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## INSIDE STORY

## NEW ZEALAND CHESS

The 1st of September was the day we changed the name of our organisation to New Zealand Chess Federation. It took a lot of effort to get there but the day passed pretty uneventfully

The really hard thing to swallow was the registering for GST. No only will it increase prices all over the place but it will make the treasurer's job that much more difficult. Don't blame us for that one; it was the politicians' idea.

Nigel Metge was asking about the whereabouts of the Mabel Abbott Trophy. We did see a wooden queen, about 10-12 inches $(25-30 \mathrm{~cm})$ high a few years ago which was a stopgap till a new trophy was made. We haven't sighted a new one yet.

Our three leading juniors have been or are going to compete overseas. Darryn Elliott (World Junjior), Edward Swayne (Asian Junior) and Shamnika Rupasinghe (Asian Under 16) received $\$ 600$ each to help with fares and coaching. Hope we see a report or two!

It appears that some people would like to know how the ratings are calculated (they must be falling) so the perpetrators of the recent changes have supplied a three page explanation. They also tried setting up a ranking list. Since the editor comes in at 57 instead of 257 , or thereabouts, we will publish that too, with glee!
If you have any ideas of getting your brilliancy published or a tourney report, club doings, adverts or whatever, note well the bold copy to the left. We intend keeping to our schedule.

## EDHOR: Ballimmay OVERSEASEDITOR NMPOLAT SMAR Wownvertbitort viansinith SPECNU GORDESPONDENO EmD WUANICHORKO

Should the 1992 Olympiad go ahead as planned in Manila, the New Zealand Chess Federation will have less time than usual to sort out its teams. The event is scheduled for June, some five months earlier

However, the selection could be much easier to achieve this time than previously as "pre-eminent" consideration is to be given to the results of the previous national championship. According to one dictionary, preeminent means first ranking. The meaning of pre-eminent understood by the meeting that passed it may, or may not, be the same.
One reading of this could mean the top six in the championship must be selected but the convenor of the selection panel, Ted Frost, has already said he favours using that method for haif the places in the teams and selection for the other half.

The reasons for such a method are not hard to find. There are players who enjoy considerable reputation but who are unlikely or unable to compete in the championship. For instance, the country's two international masters, at the time of writing, do not qualify to play in the championship! And they are both former champions.
Should there be more than six players in the top six places due to tied scores, how are the ties to be resolved? Ratings? There lies a trap. One very large club does not have its top tournaments rated.

It appears that some prominent players refuse to compete if the events are to be rated. The club should tell them to get lost but it doesn't, thereby contributing to contamination of the rating list. Arpad Elo has stated that, for accuracy, all games must be rated. Since the players we are talking about, and others who avoid tournaments where they could lose too many points, are likely to be in contention for a place in the Olympiad, then their ratings are not "honest" and useless for tie-breaking purposes.
Useless, in fact, for any purpose. It is to be hoped that the selectors, if they do any selecting, will ensure that our representatives are willing and capable of playing anyone. That's what they have to be in Manila!

## 14th WAITAKERE TRUST OPEN

## Best game prizewinner

PGarbett v R Smith
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 a6 5 Bd3 Nf6 6 0-0 Oc7 7 Qe2 d6 8 f4 Nc6 9 Nxc6 bxc6 10 Kh1 e5 11 c4 Be7 12 c5 dxc5 13 f5 a5 14 Bd2 Rb8 15 Cel c4 16 Bxc4 Bb4 17 Nc3 0-0 18 a3 Be7 19 Na4 Ra8 20 Rc1 Bb7 21 Be3 Nd7 22 Rf3 c5 23 Nc3 Nb6 24 Ba2 Afd8 25 Qg3 Bf6 26 Og4 Oe7 27 Rh3 Rd3 28 Bxc5 Od8 29 Bxb6 Rxc3 30 Rixc3 Oxb6 31 Oh5 h6 32 Oxf7 Kh8 33 Og6 Bd8 34 Rxh6t gxh6 35 Og8* 1-0

The question in the final round was whether Garbett would go for the $\$ 100$ bonus for winning all five games, or be content to draw with Green and be sure of a share of first prize. That question was answered when the two players agreed a draw after just 13 moves

Only one game was over more quickly: Craig Goodwillie played 1 d4 against lan McNally who promptly resigned without play! McNally may have been a little frustrated with his one win thus far but his reaction was one that's unlikely to endear him to tournament organisers

Some time later Spain beat Robinson to tie with Garbett for first while John Wheeler sneaked into third equal with Green by defeating Darryn Elliott

The swiss system again seemed to create anomalies in this tournament. Spain got his share of first by playing only one of the top seven seeds (Dreyer) and third place-getter Wheeler did even better The highest-rated one he faced was the eighth seed - to whom he lost!
Whether these anomalies are a result of several players rated below 1700 playing in the A grade is something the organisers will have to assess before next year

Ne suggest the organisers assess the effect of reverse pairings. in the second round the top seed played the 25th seed. Usually, a winning top seed should never play (in a field of 28 ) anyone lower than 15 th seed Current form produces anomalies but we do not need the American anomaly, reverse pairing.)

The concurrent B grade was won jointly by Neil Cruden (New Plymouth) and Brian Palmer (Waitemata). Cruden's success was hardly a surprise; he shared first last year and recently scored $5 / 8$ in the North Island Championships but Palmer's four wins and a draw were unexpected. He belied his 17 th seeding by drawing with Cruden and beating seeds one, seven and ten. In a tie for third on $4 / 5$ were Tony Booth (Howick-Pakuranga), Brendon Emett (North Shore), Don Storey (Papatoetoe) and a player to watch in the future, ten-year-old Russell Metge.

| NAME | CLUB | RTG | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | TTL | SOS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P Garbett | NS | 2290 | W15 | W18 | W7 | W5 | D3 | 4.5 | 15 |
| G Spain | TE | 2211 | W14 | D8 | W13 | W9 | W7 | 4.5 | 14 |
| P Green | AC | 2220 | W22 | W26 | DE | W12 | D1 | 4 | 13.5 |
| B Wheeler | AC | 1987 | W19 | L7 | W18 | W10 | W8 | 4 | 13 |
| R Smith | WT | 2239 | W20 | W10 | D3 | L1 | W12 | 3.5 | 16 |
| P Stuart | NS | 2158 | D17 | W25 | L12 | W14 | W13 | 3.5 | 11 |
| J Robinson | WT | 2045 | W21 | W4 | L1 | W11 | L2 | 3 | 18 |
| D Elliott | NS | 1979 | W16 | D2 | D9 | W19 | L4 | 3 | 13.5 |
| M Dreyer | AC | 2221 | W23 | D13 | D8 | L2 | W20 | 3 | 13.5 |
| P Tuffery | NP | 1912 | W28 | L5 | W20 | L4 | W19 | 3 | 11.5 |
| H Bennett | HA | 1959 | L18 | W28 | W16 | L7 | W21 | 3 | 9.5 |
| N Hopewell | AC | 2079 | D25 | W17 | W6 | L3 | L5 | 2.5 | 14.5 |
| G Iori | NS | 1926 | W27 | D9 | L2 | W17 | L6 | 2.5 | 12.5 |
| G Banks | PT | 1812 | L2 | D21 | W25 | L6 | W23 | 2.5 | 12.5 |
| B Martin-Buss | WT | 1907 | L1 | L27 | W28 | W18 | D16 | 2.5 | 12 |
| C Joel | AC | 1704 | L8 | W23 | L11 | W26 | D15 | 2.5 | 11 |
| L Whitehouse | HA | 1806 | D6 | L12 | W27 | L13 | W25 | 2.5 | 10.5 |
| C Goodwillie | HA | 1666 | W11 | L1 | L4 | L15 | W26 | 2 | 15 |
| C Whitehouse | WT | 1706 | L4 | W22 | W26 | L8 | L10 | 2 | 13 |
| S East | PT | 1855 | L5 | W24 | L10 | K27 | L9 | 2 | 12 |
| K Metge | PT | 1709 | L7 | D14 | W22 | D23 | L11 | 2 | 12 |
| $J$ | Cater | WT | 1816 | L3 | L19 | L21 | W28 | W27 | 2 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N Blaxall | PT | 1819 | L9 | L16 | W24 | D21 | L14 | 1.5 | 11.5 |
| A Shead | NS | 1564 | L26 | L20 | L23 | D25 | W28 | 1.5 | 5.5 |
| B Marsick | PT | 1736 | D12 | L6 | L14 | D24 | L17 | 1 | 12.5 |
| I McNally | AC | 1946 | W24 | L3 | L19 | L16 | L18 | 1 | 11.5 |
| E Swayne | PT | 1534 | L13 | W15 | L17 | L20 | L22 | 1 | 11.5 |

## D Elliott v B Wheoler

1 e4 e6 2 d3 d5 3 Nd2 Nf6 4 g3 dxe4 5 dxe4 e5 6 Nf3 Bc5 7 Bc4 $0-08$ Nb3 Oxdl+ 9 Kxdil Bg4 10 Ke2 Nxe4 11 Bd5 c6 12 Bxe4 f5 13 Nxc5 fxe4 14 Ke3 Rxf3+ 15 Kxe4 Rxf2 16 Kxe5 b6 17 Nd3 Nd7t 18 Kd4 Rxc2 19 Re1 c5+ 20 Ke3 Nf6 21 Kf4 Rd8 22 Ne5 Rd4+ 0-1

N Hopewell v R Smith
1 d4 Nf6 2 Nc3 g6 3 e4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg75 Be2 0-0 6 0-0 a6 7 Rel Nc6 8 Bf4 Bg4 9 d5 Nb8 10 Nd2 Bxe2 11 Oxe2 Nbd7 12 Nc4 e5 13 dxe6 fxe6 14 Radi Ce8 15 Be3 Nh5 16 g3 Qf7 17 f4 b5 18 Nd2 e5 19 fxes Nxes 20 Rf1 Od7 21 Kg2 Rae8 22 Rxf8+ Rxf8 23 Rfi Res 24 Nf3 Nxf3 25 Oxf3 Rf8 26 Oe2 Rxf1 27 Qxf1 Bxc3 28 bxc3 Qe6 29 Od3 Nf6 0-1

## Best B grade game <br> <br> G Goris V M Stowart

 <br> <br> G Goris V M Stowart}1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nc6 5 c4 Nf6 6 Nc3 g6 7 Be2 Bg7 8 Be3 0-0 9 0-0 Bd7 10 Rc1 Rc8 11 f3 a6 12 Od2 Re8 13 a3

Na5 14 Nd5 e5 15 Bg5 exd4 16 Bxf6 Bxf6 17 Nxf6 Oxf6 18 Qxa5 d3 19 Bdi Od4+ 20 Kh1 Rxc4 21 Rxc4 Oxc4 22 Od2 Rc8 23 h3 Bb5 24 Rf2 Qci 25 Kg1 Ba4 26 Oxd3 Oxd1+ 27 Oxd1 Bxd1 28 Rd2 Ba4 29 Kf2 Rc2 30 Ke3 Rxd2 31 Kxd2 Kf8 32 h4 h5 33 Ke3 Ke7 34 g3 B63 35 Kd4 a5 36 Kd3 Ke6 37 Kd4 f5 38 f4 fxe4 39 Kxe4 d5+ 0-1

B Millar y G Crosiand
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 cxd5 exd5 5 Bg5 Be7 6 e3 Bf5 7 N13 c6 8 Bd3 Ne4 9 Bxe7 Oxe7 10 Oc2 Nd7 $110-0$ Bg6 12 Rael f5 13 Nd2 0-0 1413 Nxd2 15 Qxdz Nf6 16 Oc2 Od7 17 Kh1 Rae8 18 Rf2 Re7 19 Rfe2 Rfe8 20 b4 b5 21 a4 a6 22 as Kh8 23 Nb1 Rc8 24 Nd2 Kg8 25 Nb3 Rc7 26 Nc5 Qc8 27 Kg1 Re8 28 e4 fxe4 29 fxe4 dxe4 30 Nxe4 Nxe4 31 Bxe4 Og4 32 Bd5+ Kf8 33 Qc5+ Rce7 34 Re7 cxd5 35 Rxe8+ Ki7 36 Qe7: 1-0

## J McRae y C Wilson

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 d6 4 Nc3 e5 5 e4 Be7 6 Be2 h6 7 Nf3 g5 8 0-0 g4 9 Nh4 Nxe4 10 Nxe4 Bxh4 il Qa4t Bd7 12 Nxd6+ Ke7 13 Nb5 a6 14 Qa3 b6 15 Qe3 f6 16 Nc3 Bf5 17 g3 Bg5 $18 \mathrm{ff} \mathrm{gxf3} 19$ Oxf3 Bh3 20 Bxg5 hxg5 21 Oxf6+ Kd7 22 Og7+ Kc8 23 Rf7 Nd7 24 Hdi Ra7 25 Ne4 Rf8 26 Nd6+ Kb8 27 Ne4 Bf5 28 Nxg5 Rg8 29 Hxd7 Mxd7 30 Oxe5+ Rd6 31 Nf7 Of6 32 Oxd6+ Oxd6 33 Nxd6 1-0


For our convenience we intend to type out (and print) the local stories in the order we receive them. This could result in some updates to earlier stories in the same issue. Hope you like it.

## PALMERSTON NORTH

Mark Brimble tells us that the combined Palmerston North and Wanganui team defeated New Plymouth in their Blackburn Cup match. The easy way is to list the combined players first in each game: D Cooper drew with $M$ Freeman, $P$ Vetheranium beat $P$ Tuffery, $M$ Brimble drew with $N$ Cruden, $Y$ Le beat $K$ Okey, $H$ Whitlock beat M Petrove, W Boswell lost to C Bage, M Post beat B Bowier, V Volvogzhanin beat $E$ Tuffery, R Olmedo beat J Conner, G Hoskyn beat R Crawford, 8-2. New Plymouth had white on even boards.

Though it hasn't been reported. Civic won against Wellington club and may travel to Palmerston North for the final in September. HARBOUR CITY

This issue, Mark Noble has reported on two 60/60 events (including crosstables) and the Wellington Interclub, so far! Harbour City's second 60/60 was held on 22-23 June. After Saturday games, Anthony Ker led with three points, Russell Dive and Jonathan Sarfati two and a half and Ben

Alexander, Charles Ker and Bala Venkatraman on two

In the fourth round A Ker beat Dive and Alexander beat Sarfati on time. The last two rounds saw C Ker and Venkatraman gain winning positions against A Ker but both lost in the end.

Overall, Ker carried on his form from the North Island $8 / 8$ to win his second picket fence in a row. Can he be stopped? A Ker played 1 g 4 as White and $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ as Black in every game but one, when he played 1...h6. Bala Venkatraman and Richard Glover had very good tournaments, finishing third equal.

The third $60 / 60$ was held on 13 and 14 July. Again A Ker scored three points on the Saturday but was joined, this time, by Sarfati and Mark Noble who had beaten Dive in the third round. Noble managed to hold A Ker to a draw in the first Sunday game and though Dive had many ways to beat Sarfati, he found about the only way to draw.
A Ker finished with another two draws to tie first place with Sarfati, Noble, C Ker, K Mooi. To get there, Noble secured a winning position against $C$ Ker, blundered a rock and was given a piece a move later. The game was soon drawn. K Mooi beat Dive when the latter had mate in three on the board but his flag fell.

Lawrence Farrington (1339)

## WOCAL HENS cont

picked up a grade prize with his three and a half points, the same score as the unfortunate Dive! HAWKE'S BAY

A certain Maurice Berry has been endeavouring to get an announcement about the Napier club in the magazine. Hopefully, it will be there this time. Anyway, what's happening in our favourite holiday area, Maurice?

The annual Hawke's Bay Residents' chess tournament, held over two days in July, was won by Hastings player Robert Craig with $5.5 / 6$. Second on 4.5 was Mike Earle while third place with four points was shared by Paddy Crowe (Hastings) and Mark Lancaster and Bill Sutton (Napier). Juniors played in the open field and four shared a prize with two points each; Mandy Michaelsen, Adele Barker, Cathy Smith and Nick Shallish, all from the Hastings and Havelock North club. DOP was Dave Porteous.
Since then the Napier club ran a six-round swiss which attracted four Hastings players. Victory went to Dan Fosse, one-time (1963) junior rep for Norway and now resident in Napier. His 5.5 points earned him a $\$ 50$ voucher from Havelock Village Restaurant. Tony Boswell ( 5 points) will spend his voucher at the Jade Garden Restaurant and Cathy Smith, junior winner, will go to McDonald's. Mark Lancaster came third in the senior
event and Jerard Larooy was fourth.
It appears that the Napier club is another one using that system (or something very like it) we first heard of from Otago for the club championship (NZ Chess Aug 1990). Lancaster, club captain and reigning champion, leads again this year at the halfway stage but has been overtaken by Boswell on the grading points ladder.

## WELLINGTON

This year's interclub was held over three grades with 14 teams from five clubs. In the A grade, Civic, with an average rating nearly 200 points better than the others, won easily. A Ker, on board 2, was the only A-grader to win all his games. Harbour City and Wellington shared second spot.

In the B grade, some teams thought Harbour City should have been in another grade but their average points advantages ranged from 20 to 200. Wellington came second and Civic (2) third.

Wellingtor's turn came in the $C$ grade where their points advantages ranged from 160 to 350 . The odd factor was that the second highest rated team finished on the bottom!

It is our belief that the problem regarding relative strengths of teams was not helped by the organisers setting the $B$ grade upper limit at 1800 or thereabouts. This allows for three 2000s and a 1200, guaranteeing at least 3-1 (in

## WOOAL NEWS con

the B grade). However, we stress that the main problem is the lack of participants. Chess needs more players; titles, coaches, money, are all things that will appear when we have the numbers. One cannot build a pyramid upside down

## AUCKLAND

Graham Banks remembers a game he played in the correspondence handicap tourney, 1990-91. where he sacrificed his queen for a mate in seven! Both players are in grade 2.

## G Banks v G Lovelock

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be2 e5 7 Nb3 Be7 8 Be3 0-0 9 g4 Be6 10 g5 Nfd7 11 h4 Nc6 12 Od2 Nc5 13 Rd1 Nxb3 14 axb3 Nb4 15 Nd5 Nxd5 16 exd5 Bf5 17 c4 Rc8 18 Rc1 Re8 19 Rg1 f6 20 Rg3 fxg5 21 hxg5 Od7 22 Qc3 b5 23 Bd3 Bxd3 24 Oxd3 Bd8 25 Ke 2 g 626 Rh1 0427 Oc3 Re5 28 R3h3 Og4+ 29 Ke1 Rxd5

$a b c d e f g h$
30 Oh8+ Resigns.

## WAITEMATA

Bob Smith calling again. Winter Cup - 1 R W Smith 6/6, 2= J Cater J O'Connor 4.5, 4= N Easterlow, M Garland 4.

Auckland Chess Centre has taken the Jenkins Trophy from North Shore. The weakened North Shore team lost 9-11. Absent were Sarapu, Nokes, and Green (ineligible as he plays for Howick) and they defaulted one board.

## WELLINGTON

## MARK CAPIE MEMORIAL

With the family's approval, Harbour City made their fourth 60/60 tournament a tribute to young club member, Mark Capie, who died tragically last year.

The 26 entries included Russell Dive, Jonathan Sarfati, Mark Noble (DOP too) and Ben Alexander.

Sarfati showed up early by winning his first three games while Dive, Noble and Mark Vanderhoorn hesitated on 2.5. In round four Sarfati and Dive drew their game but Noble overpressed against Vanderhoorn and lost.

Sarfati and Dive both won in the fifth round but Sarfati dissipated his lead when he could only draw with John McDonald while Dive was beating Grant Lezard.
As the family had stipulated that the event be once only, it was necessary to have a play-off for the attractive trophy. The fiveminute game between Sarfati and Dive resulted in a win for Sarfati.

Details next page.

## LOCAL NEWS COMt

J Sarfati, R Dive 5; J McDonald, M Schwass 4.5; P Van Dijk, $G$ Lezard, S Kumar, R Glover 4; $M$ Vanderhoorn 3.5; $M$ Noble, $B$ Alexander, $P$ Dunn, $G$ Marner, $P$ Monrad, A Aldridge, J Frederickson 3. There was one woman among the rest, Zoe Kingston. She scored two points, one of them when, a piece down, she got a "swinging gate" combination going. otago

Round three of the champs: R Sutton 5.5/6, B Martin 4.5/5. Martin couldn't complete ail his games due to pressure from his studies.
$B$ grade, $M$ Vincent; C grade. 1 Mcintosh; D grade, $H$ Gold and $B$ Caradoc-Davies; E grade, A Kwok; F grade, A Patton and D Guthrie; G grade, K Runge and D Tidey; H grade, A Wishart and W Mcintosh; I grade, M Boyd.

Tony sent in his combination again, this time with'a note or iwo.

a b c defgh

## 40 Nxf6

Rather nerve-racking sacrificing on the last move before time control.

40 ... gxf6
41 Rxf6+ Rf7?
Black misses the main point of White's 40th. (An important lesson: Never hurry the first move after time control; take a walk if necessary.) A more stubborn defence was to give up the queen. 41..Ke7 ...Kf8 and Bf7? are all possibilities In each case, White can win the 05 pawn and the ending. eg. $41 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 742 \mathrm{Rxg} 8 \mathrm{Bxg} 8$ 43 Rg6+! Kf7 44 Rxd6 Rxd5 45 Qh5+ and 46 Qxe5 (or 46 Qf5 + first)

## 42 Rxe6! Oxe6

There is no defence. eg. 42...Qd7 43 Qher Rfg7 (43...Rgg7 $44 \mathrm{Qh} 8+$ ) 44 Rgg6 and 45 Rgf6.

```
43 Od8+ Oe8
44 Rxg8* Kxg8
45 Oxa8 Resigns.
```



White to play and win. Solution page 124

## 1991 ALL-WELLINGTON CHAMPIONSHIP

This year, the All-Wellington was held over the weekends of $10-11$ and 17-18 August, in Turnbull House. The overall turnout was small, with six entrants in the A grade, including the winner of the $B$ grade in 1990 (David Capper). The $A$ grade was run as a 6 -player round-robin, with the $B$ and $C$ grades combined as a single Swiss-style tournament.

Richard Rayner of Palmerston North made the trip to Wellington for the two weekends during which the event took place.

Because we listed prizes for both $B$ and $C$ grades, entrants with ratings below 1500 were eligible for the grade prizes in the $C$ grade. This placed Ross Jackson at the head of the C-grade field.

A GRADE

|  | Name | Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Pts |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R Dive | 2297 | XXX | W | W | W | W | W | 5 |
| 2 | A Pomeroy | 2006 | L | XXX | W | D | D | W | 3 |
| 3 | S Rupasinghe | 1744 | L | L | XXX | W | W | W | 3 |
| 4 | J McDonald | 1835 | L | D | L | XXX | W | W | 2.5 |
| S | M van der Hoorn | 1980 | L | D | L | L | XXX | D | 1 |
| 6 | D Capper | 1568 | L | L | L | L | D | XXX | 0.5 |

## B/C GRADE

| Name | Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Pts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S Grainger | 1656 | W8 | D10 | W3 | W6 | D4 | W5 | D2 | 5 |
| S Kumar | 1575E | W11 | L 7 | W9 | D5 | W6 | W8 | D1 | 5 |
| R Jackson | UNR | W12 | D4 | L1 | W9 | D5 | W10 | D7 | 4. |
| A Winfield | 1598 | W13 | D3 | W10 | W7 | D1 | D6 | L5 | 4.5 |
| E Frost | 1584 | W9 | L6 | W16 | D2 | D3 | L1 | W4 | 4 |
| W Ramsay | 1550 | W15 | W5 | w7 | L1 | L2 | D4 | D10 | 4 |
| D Stracy | 1689 | W14 | W2 | L6 | LA | D8 | W12 | D3 | 4 |
| L Farrington | 1339 | L1 | D16 | W17 | W14 | D7 | L2 | D13 | 3.5 |
| A Fowler | 1400 | L5 | W15 | L2 | L3 | BYE | D16 | W17 | 3. |
| B Kay | 1477 | W17 | D1 | L4 | D11 | W16 | L3 | D6 | 3. |
| G Mills | 1133 | L2 | D14 | W12 | D10 | D13 | L15 | BYE | 3. |
| R Rayner | 1564* | L3 | D13 | L11 | W17 | W14 | L.7 | W15 | 3.5 |
| A Schultz | 1391 | L4 | D12 | L14 | BYE | D11 | W17 | D8 | 3. |
| T van Bodegraven | - 1420 | 17 | D11 | W13 | L8 | L12 | BYE | W16 | 3. |
| R Henry | UNR | L6 | L9 | BYE | L1 6 | W17 | W11 | L12 | 3 |
| D Kara | UNR | BYE | D8 | L5 | W15 | L10 | D9 | L14 | 3 |
| z Kings | UNR | L10 | BYE | L8 | L12 | L15 | L13 | L9 | 1 |

The NZCF Top 100 Ranking List \#1
Welcome to the first edition of the NZCF Top 100 Ranking list! This particular list is based on the 1-1991 Rating List. It is hoped this will be a regular feature of future magazines (editor willing) covering all tournaments up to the current magazine issue. To make this work DoPs will have to supply their tournaments to the Ratings Officer as promptly as possible.

The rankings are based on your last four periods' rating, with increasing weight towards the mos recent period. If you don't play in a period it will drastically affect your ranking - be warned! The numbers in (brackets) show the change since the $2-1990$ Rating List, (unc) meaning
unchanged. unchanged.

|  | KER A F | CI |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | SUTTON R J | OT | (-1) | 52 | SMITH R ${ }_{\text {H }}$ | DP | (new) |
| 3 | DIVE R J | CI | (unc) | 53 | MACKWAY-JONES $R$ | IN | $(-45)$ $(8)$ |
| 4 | MARTIN B M S | OT | (1) | 54 | HAMPL M | CI | (-46) |
| 5 | GARBETT P A | NS | (-1) | 55 | KING P C | CI | (-46) |
| 7 | SARFATI J D | WE | ( 22 ) | 56 | DREYER M P | AC | (-46) |
| 8 | GREEN P P R | AC | (-1) | 57 | RAMSAY W | CI | (-3) |
| 8 | REYN I | TE | (unc) | 58 | STEADMAN M V R | AC | ( 20 ) |
| 10 | POMEROY A J | CI | ( 3 ) | 60 | SPILIER P S | HP | (15) |
| 11 | KER C M | HC | ( 1) | 61 | CUIDNANGHAM P D | UH | (20) |
| 12 | FITZPATRICK S P | WT |  | 62 | MEARS G W P D | HA | (-3) |
| 13 | BOYD K M | OT |  | 63 | MEAYS J B | NS | (-1) |
| 14 | ROBINSON J P | WT | 51) | 64 | JORDAN A W | ${ }_{\mathrm{CI}}$ | (new) |
| 15 | MCNALLY I | AC |  | 65 | WALLIS P | PT | (new) |
| 16 | VAN DER HOORN M W | HC |  | 66 | DUFFIELD T | OT | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) |
| 17 | WHEELER B | ${ }_{\text {AT }}$ | 4) | 67 | EDWARDS R | OT | (14) |
| 19 | TUFFERY P b | WT | 48) | 68 | NOBLE M F | HC | (-26) |
| 20 | MOOI K O | WE | 52) | 79 | HOPEWELL N H | AC | (-26) |
| 1 | ELLIOT D B | NS |  | 71 | WALDEN G J | HP | (new) |
| 22 | COLLINS P W | HC |  | 72 | MORRISON M K | NS |  |
| 23 | SUTHERLAND J L | CA | (3) | 73 | CHAN M | PT | (11) |
| 4 | ABRAHAMSON P | CA | ( 64) |  |  |  | (new) |
| 25 | BRUNTON D M | PT | (-2) | 75 | Stener r g m aniam P R | OT |  |
| 6 | TURNER M G | CA | ( 4) | 76 | VRTHARANIAM P A R | WA | (new) |
| 7 | BANKS G B | PT |  | 77 |  | ${ }_{\text {AC }}$ | (-3) |
| 8 | O'BOYLE D | UH | ( 5) | 78 | WILSON C | OT |  |
| 9 | ALDRIDGE A L | CI | ( 6) | 79 | NIJMAN B | CA |  |
| 1 | MARSICK B H P | PT | ( 1) | 80 | PRICE $S$ | CA | (-62) |
| 1 | STRACY D M | HC | (-2) | 81 | HAWKES P D | ${ }_{\text {CI }}$ | (-66) |
| 3 | CARTER G S S | UH | (unc) | 82 | METGE K | PT | (new) |
| 4 | BORREN A M | ${ }_{\text {KP }}$ | ( ${ }^{4}$ ) | 83 | PAINO R | CI | (-1) |
| 5 | STUART P W | NS |  | 84 | BUIS M M | PT | (new) |
| 6 | VAN DAM $S$ | AC | (3) | 86 | WRITEHOUSE L E | HA | (new) |
| 7 | VINCENT M | OT |  |  | FROSPEG A | WE | (new) |
| 8 | PRICE A J | UH | ( 3 ) | 88 | CARPINTER B A | CI | (-30) |
| 9 | SPENCER-SMITH G J | OT | (new) | 89 | ERANKEL $Z$ | WT | (new) |
| 0 | GLOISTEIN B | CA |  | 90 | POST M J ${ }^{2}$ | WE | (-5) |
| 1 | VECOVSKY P | OT |  | 91 | KOST M | WA | (new) |
| 2 | CAPPER D S | CI |  | 92 | STEVENSON | HC | (new) |
| 3 | BOYD D J | NS | ( 8) | 93 |  | WE | (-73) |
|  | RUPASINGHE S D | WE |  | 94 |  | NS | (new) |
|  | WINFIELD A | CI | ( 2) | 95 | MCCARTHY P D | KP | (new) |
|  | CHOO T N |  | 13) | 96 | COOK F | UH | $(-6)$ |
|  | BOJTOR J | HP | (2) | 97 | TUFFERY E | NP | (-4) |
|  | DOWDEN R A | OT | (16) | 98 | MAZUR J J | CI | (-1) |
|  | DUNN P ${ }_{\text {LUKETINA }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CI }}$ | (19) |  | WIGBOUT M | CC | (-77) |
|  | LUKETINA I | UH | ( 6) | 100 | SMITH V J | WT | (new) |

10. The new ratings calculated under Rule 9 are then used in the calculation of new ratings for players who already have established ratings.

## CALCULATION OF ESTABLISHED RATINGS

11. For each established player the change in rating for each tournament is calculated by the formula $\Delta R=K\left(W_{a}-W_{e}\right)$ where $\Delta R$ is the change in rating, $K$ is the player's coefficient, $W_{a}$ is the actual game score, and $W_{e}$ is the expected game score.
12. The player's coefficient (or rating point value of a game point) is determined by $K=\left(3000-R_{o}\right) / 50$ where $R_{o}$ is the player's rating at the beginning of the period.
13. A player's expected score is obtained by $\mathrm{We}=\Sigma \mathrm{P}_{1}$ where $\Sigma \mathrm{P}_{1}$ is the sum of the percentage expectancies against the individual opponents. A player's expected score in any one game shall not exceed the bounds 0.01 to 0.99
14. Bonus points are awarded to a player who exceeds his expected percentage score by at least $10 \%$. In such a case the player's coefficient (K) is multiplied by $\left(P_{a}-P_{e}\right) / 10$ where $P_{a}$ is the player's actual percentage score and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the expected percentage score. The maximum value of this factor is 3 .
15. A player rated over 2200 cannot receive bonus points, nor may a player pass 2200 with the aid of bonus points.
16. Bonus points cannot be earned in any tournament not consisting entirely of fully rated games, nor where a player plays less than four games in any one tournament.
17. No tournament winner shall lose rating points for that tournament.
18. An established player's performance in a tournament is measured by $R_{p}=R_{o}-D_{a}-D_{e}$ where $R_{p}$ is the performance rating, $R_{o}$ is the player's rating at the beginning of the period, $D_{a}$ is the difference based on the actual score, and $D_{e}$ is the difference based on the expected score.
19. A limit is applied to the number of rating points that can be gained from any one tournament by :

$$
L(\Delta R)=\frac{(5 r+5) x\left(R_{p}-R_{o}\right)}{100}
$$

where $L(\Delta R)$ is the limit change in rating and $r$ the number of rounds played.[18]
20. At the end of each rating period the new ratings for established players are calculated by $R_{n}=R_{o}+\Sigma \Delta R$ where $R_{n}$ is the new rating and $\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{R}$ is the sum of the rating changes.[19]
21. The new rating $R_{n}$ of an established player can not exceed the player's performance rating for the entire period. This performance rating is calculated as for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ taking into account all tournaments played, any rating point reductions, and any tournaments won.

## RATING PERIODS

22. All ratings remain effective for the following six months. [20]

## MAINTENANCE

23. Each player shall be identified by a unique code number [22]
24. Any established player inactive for ten years, and any provisional player inactive for five years shall be deleted from the rating file. Such players shall be treated as unrated if they subsequently return to rated tournament play.
25. All New Zealand Chess Federation tournaments shall be rated.
26. Other New Zealand tournaments shall be rated only if all competitors are members of clubs affiliated to the Federation. Advance notice that the tournament qualif ies for NZCF rating must have been given and at least $50 \%$ of the players in each such tournament must already be rated. The organiser of a tournament qualifying for rating under this rule must provide a signed certification that the above conditions have been met.
27. A fully rated game is one where each player has an average of at least $2 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes per move for the first 60 moves. Where the rate of play varies from one time control to another the average rate over the first 60 moves shall be taken.
28. Tournaments qualifying for rating under rule 26 which do not consist entirely of fully rated games shall be subject to a reduction in the rating point value of a game point (K) by a factor of (Time per move) $\div$ ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes). Where the rate of play varies from one time control to another the average rate over the first 60 moves shall be taken.
29. Games played either at a rate faster than 90 seconds a move, or subject to adjudication, or played against computers, shall not be rated. [29]

## REPORTING PROCEDURES <br> [Rules 31-36 are unchanged]

Significant changes in the upcoming rating list may surprise many of you. We background the changes here.

An essential part of ratings administration is the ongoing analysis of the results produced by the calculations, the identification of any problems, and then determining solutions to these.
We have recently identified a number of problems, some of which were fixed in the May rating list, the remainder have been implemented for the November list. These changes can be summarised as :

1. The concept of a fully rated game was introduced.
2. Provisional players become established after 15 fully rated games.
3. The period performance as a limit on rating change was introduced for established players.
4. The tournament performance was changed for established players.

As well as these a number of housekeeping changes were made, such as tidying up the data, overcoming precision errors in calculations, etc.
The Ratings Committee consists of Jim Simmons and Rowan Wood, with valuable assistance from Peter Stuart and Russell Dive.

## Amendments to the NZCF Rating Regulations

As a result of these changes the NZCF Ratings Regulations have been revised as follows. Where a rule is a revision of an existing rule the original is noted as [1] at the end.
[Rules 1-6 are unchanged]

## CALCULATION OF PROVISIONAL RATINGS

7. A player is regarded as provisionally rated until he has played at least 15 fully rated games, only then becoming established in the following period. [8]
8. A provisional player's performance in a tournament is measured by $R_{p}=R_{a}+D_{p}$ where $R_{p}$ is the performance rating, $R_{a}$ is the average rating of the opponents, and $D_{p}$ is the difference in rating based on the percentage score achieved and taken from the table in rule 4. [7]
9. At the end of each rating period new ratings are first calculated for unrated and provisionally rated players using the performance rating formula for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$. For this calculation all games played by the provisionally rated player, including those played in earlier rating periods, are taken into account.
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All four matches were played in Brussels during August under the sponsorship of SWIFT, the banking services company led by former GMA chairman Bessel Kok. Although it is perhaps hard to talk of favourites at this exalted level most would probably have bet on Vassily Ivan chuk at least making the final - so Artur Yusupov's victory would have to rank as a minor surprise.
$\begin{array}{llllllllll} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ & \begin{array}{lllllll} \\ \text { Karpov } & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 4 \\ \text { Anand } & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1\end{array} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 3\end{array}$
Karpov was on the back foot for much of the time. Both players had good chances of a win in the first two games but then Anand missed a clear and simple win in game 3 , Like Portisch the former world champion often rebounds more strongly than ever after a reverse and the Indian was annihilated in game 4 after he over-extended.
er-extended
Then Anand, always playing actively, regained the initiative, reaching endings a pawn up in each of the next two games; Karpov held the 5th but went down in the 6th. Anand's cosmeic edge in game 7 led to nothing and Karpov then bounced back again with his best game of the match.
ANAND - KARPOV (3), Caro-Kann:
1 e4 c6 2 Nf 3 d 5 JNc 3 Bg 4 H h3 Bxf3 5 Qxf3 e6 6 d 3 Nd 77 Be 7 g 6 $80-0 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \mathrm{~g} 9 \mathrm{Qg} 3 \mathrm{Qb6} 10 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Ne} 7 \mathrm{ll}$ $\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{I} 2 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 13 \mathrm{Nb} 1 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Na} 3$ Bf8 15 Nc4 Qc7 16 a4 N7b6 17 Qf2 Nxc4 18 dxc4 Nb4 19 Bf3 Bc5 20 Rd Qe7 21 Qe2 a5 22 c3 dxc3 23 bxc3 Na6 24 Be 3 Bxe3 25 Qxe3 Qc5 26 Qd 27 Rabl Rab8 28 Rb6 Qe7 29 Bxc6 bxc6 30 Rxa6 Rhd8 31 Qgl Rxd1 32 Qxdl Rd8 33 Qf3 Qa3 34 Kh 2 Rd 7 35 Qxc6 Re7 36 Ra8 Qc1 37 Qf 3 Kg 7 38 Rd3 Rc7 39 c5 Qa3 40 Qa8 Qxc5 41 Rg8+ Kh6 42 Qd8 Qe7 43 Qd2 Rc4 44 Qd6 Qf7 45 Rf8? [After 45 Qd8 Rxf4 46 g 4 Black must give up his queen to avoid mate] 45...Qb7 46 Qd8 Qe4 47 Qh4+ Kg7, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
KARPOV - ANAND (6), Semi-Slav:
1 d4 d5 2 c4 c6 3 Nf3 Nf6 4 Nc3 e6 5 e3 Nbd7 6 Qc2 Bd6 7 Be 2 0-0

8 0-0 dxc4 9 Bxc4 Qe7 10 h3 c5 11 dxc5 Bxc5 12 e4 Bd6 13 Nd4 Ne5 14 Bb3 Bd7 15 Be3 Ng6 16 Radl Rfd8 17 Nf3 Bc6 18 Rfel Rdc8 19 Qb1 Bb4 20 Bd2 Rd8 21 a3 Bc5 22 Na4 Bd6 23 Nc3 Qc7 24 Ba2 a6 25 Be3 b5 26 Bb3 Bb7 27 Rc1 Qe7 28 Bb6 Rdc8 29 Bd4 Nd7 30 Rcd1 Nge5 31 Nxe5 Nxe5 32 Ne2 Qh4 $33 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{Nc} 434 \mathrm{Bf} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 35$ Bxc5 Rxc5 36 Rc1 Nd2 37 Qd3 Nxe4 38 Qe3 Rac8 39 Rcdl 1640 Rf1 Nf6 41 Nc3 Qh5 42 Rd6 R5c6 43 Rxc6 Bxc6 44 Rf 2 Nd 745 Rd 2 Qc 546 Qxc5 Nxc5 47 Bc 2 Kf 848 Kf 2 Ke 749 Ke 3 Nd 7 50 g 3 Nf6 51 Bd1 Ne8 52 Bc 2 Nd6 53 Bd3 Bb7 54 h4 Nc4 55 Bxc4 Rxc4 56 Rd4 Rc5 57 a4 e5 58 Rb4 Bc6 59 axb5 axb5 60 Ne 2 f6 61 Rb3 Ke6 62 Ra3 Rc2 63 fxe5 fxe5 64 Ra6 Kd6 65 b4 Rc4 66 Ra7 Bd7 67 Ra6+ Ke7 68 Rg6 Kf7 69 Rd6 Bg4 70 Rd5 Rc2, 0 - 1. The knight can only be save at the cost of entering a hopeless $K$ and $P$ ending.
KARPOV - ANAND (8), Semi-Slav:
1 d4 d5 2 c4 c6 3 Nf3 Nf6 4 Nc3 e6 e3 Nbd7 6 Qc2 Bd6 7 Be'2 0-0 8 $0-0$ dxc4 9 Bxc4 Qe7 10 a3 e5 11 h3 Bc7 12 Ba 2 h 613 Nh 4 Re 814 Nf 5 Qf8 15 Nb5 [Already survival prospects look dim for Anand who is never allowed to complete his development] 15 ...Bb8 16 Bd2 a5 [The threat of Bb4 must be Barried] 17 dxe5 Bxe5 18 f4 Bb8 19 Nc 3 Rd8 20 Bel Nh7 N4 Ndf6 22 Rad1 Rxd1 23 Rxdl 21 4 Rxe6 fxe 65 Rxd ${ }^{23}$ Rxdl Be6 24 Bxe6 fxe6 25 Qb3 Qe8 [An oversight which hastens the end] 26 Nxg7 Qf7 27 Nxe6 Ba7 28 Bf2 Re8 29 Nd4 xb3 30 Nxb3 Bxe3 31 Bxe3 Rxe3 32 Nxa5, 1 - 0.

\section*{| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |}


| Short | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The bare scores suggest a rather easy victory for the Englishman but it could so easily have been different. True, after routing his opponent in the first game, Gelfand was seemingly out of the running after game 5. Up to that point Short had been very dominant indeed but Gelfand turned the tables in game 6 and was well on course to equalise in the 8 th
game and take the match into overtime. Then the combination of nerves and time trouble intervened and Short was even able to win the decisive game. Without doubt this was the most interesting match as far as the actual games went

SHORT - GELFAND (1), Sicilian:
1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 63 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 f4 Qc7 7 Be 2 e5 8 Nb3 b5 9 0-0 Bb7 10 Qd3 Nbd7 11 a3 Be7 12 g4 exf4 13 Bxf4 Ne5 14 Bxe5
 Bxd5 18 exd5 e4l 19 0xe4 $173+20$ Qg2 Qxh4 21 Radi Bxg5 22 Rd3 Rae8 23 Rf5 h6 24 Nd2 Nc5, 0 - 1.

GELFAND - SHORT (2), Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 Nf6 4 Nc3 Nbd 75 Bg 5 Be 76 e3 $0-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{Rcl}$ b6 8 cxd5 exd5 9 Qa4 c5 10 Ba6 h6 11 Bh4 cxd4 12 exd4 Nh5 13 Bg3 Bxa6 14 Qxa6 Bg5 15 Rdl Re8+ 16 Kfl Ndf6 17 Nxa5 hxa5 18 f3

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

18...b5 19 Qxb5 Nxg3 20 hxg 3 Rb 8 21 Qd3 Rxb2 22 Rd2 Qb6 23 g 4 Qb8 24 Nd1 Qg3 25 Nf2 Rb6 26 Rh3 Rbe6 27 Rdl Qc7 28 Qd2 Re3 29 Rcl Qf4 $30 \mathrm{Rd1}$ Qc7 28 Qd2 Re3 29 Rc 1 Qf 33 Raf4 g6 $31 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Kg} 732 \mathrm{Qc} 1 \mathrm{Re2}$
 39 N3 Re 240 Nel 38 Rg Ree 2 39 Rx3 Reb2 40 Nc1 Rxa4 41 Nd3 Rba 42 Rxg2 Rxg2+ $43 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{Rxd4} 44 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ Nd7 45 Ke3 Ra4 46 Rcl Nb6 47 Rc7 $\mathrm{Nc} 4+48 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Ra} 2+49 \mathrm{Ke} \mathrm{Ra} 3 \mathrm{~K} 50 \mathrm{Ke} 2$ Ra2+ 51 Kel a5 $52 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{gxf4} 53 \mathrm{Nxf4}$ $\mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~F} 4 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \mathrm{a} 455 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{a} 3 \quad 56 \mathrm{~g} 6 \mathrm{Ng} 2+$ 57 Nxg2 Rxg2 58 Rxa3 fxg6 59 Ra6 Kh6 $60 \mathrm{Rd} 6 \mathrm{Rg} 561 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \quad 62 \mathrm{Kf} 3$ Kh4 63 Ra $6 \mathrm{Kh} 364 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Rg} 465 \mathrm{Ra} 3+$ Kh2 66 Ra6 Rf4+, $0-1$.
SHORT - GELFAND (3), Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nc3 d6 3 f4 Nc6 4 Nf3
 e1 Nd4 9 Nxd4 cxd4 10 Ne2 $0-0 \quad 11$ Bb3 Nc6 12 Bd2 d5 13 e5 f6 14 exf6

Bxf6 15 Kh 1 a5 16 a4 Qd6 17 Ng 1 Bd7 18 Nf3 Nb4 19 Qf2 Qc5 20 Bc3 Nc6 21 Rael b6 22 Bd2 Nb4 _ 23 Qg3 b5


24 f5! exf5 25 Ne5 Be8 26 axb5 Qxb5 27 Rxf5 Kh8 28 Rxf6 Rxf6 29 Ng4 Rf5 30 Nh6 Rh5 31 Qf4, 1 - 0. SHORT - GEL.FAND (5), Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 Nf6 4 0-0 Nxe4 5 d4 Nd6 6 Bxc6 dxc6 7 dxe5 Nf5 8 Qxd8+ Kxd8 9 Rd1+ Ke8 10 Nc3 Be6 11 h 3 Bb 412 Bd 2 a 5 l 13 Ne 2 Bc 5 14 Nf4 Bd5 15 Ne1 Bb6 16 g4 Ne7 17 Bc 3 Be 618 Nh 5 Rg 819 Nd 3 Nd 520 Bd2 c5 21 Ndf4 Nb4 22 c3 Nc6 23 Rel a4 24 Re4 c4 25 a3 Rd8 26 Nxe6 fxe6 27 Bg5 Rd7 28 Rael Na5 29 R1e2 Rf8 $30 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{~h} 631 \mathrm{Nxg} 7+\mathrm{Rxg} 7$ 32 Bxh6 Rgf7 33 Bxf8 Kxf8 34 h4 Bc5 $35 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \quad 36 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Rd} 3+37$ i3 Rdl $38 \mathrm{Rf4}+\mathrm{Kg} 839$ Rf6 Nb 340 Rxe6 Ncl 41 Re4 Nd3 42 Kh 4 Nxb 243 Kg 5 Rd8 44 Rg6+ Kh8 45 Rfб Bxa3 46 Rf7 Ndl 47 Rd4 Rxd4 48 cxd4 Ne3 49 Rd7 $\mathrm{Ng} 2 \quad 50$ e6 c3 51 Rxc7 b5 52 Rxc3 $\mathrm{Be} 7+53 \mathrm{Kg} 6 \mathrm{Nf} 4+54 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \quad 55$ Rc8+ Kh7 56 Ra8 a3 $57 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{Nb} 658$ Re8 Nc4 59 Kxe7 b4 $60 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 61$ f5, 1 - 0 .

GELFAND - SHORT (8), Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc 3 Nbd7 5 Qc2 Be7 6 cxd5 exd5 7 Bf4 c6 8 h3 Nf8 9 e3 Ng6 10 Bh2 $0-0$ 11 Bd3 Re8 12 Ne5 Bd6 $13 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 14$ $0-0$ c4 $15 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 416 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{Nf} 8 \mathrm{c} 17 \mathrm{Bf3}$ -xc3 18 bxc3 bd7 19 g 4 Bc 620 B 3 Bxc3 18 bxc3 Bd7 $19 \mathrm{g4}$ Bc6 20 Qg 804 21 Bxe4 dxe4 $24 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 25 \mathrm{f} 6 \mathrm{~g} 626 \mathrm{~h} 5$ Rg8 27 Kf2 Qa5 28 Rh1 Qxc3 29 Rae Bd7 $30 \mathrm{hxg6}$ Rxg6 31 Qxe4 Kg8 32 Qxb7 Qc2+ $33 \operatorname{Re} 2$ Qf5+ 34 Bf 4 Rc 8 35 Qf3 c3 36 e4 Qa5 37 d5 c2 38 e5 Rc3 39 Qh5 h6 40 e6 Be8 41 Bcl Qxd5 42 e7 Rxg5 43 Bxg5 Qf5+ 44 Kel clQ +45 Bxcl Rxcl+ $46 \mathrm{Kd2}$ Rc2+ 47 Kdl Qd3+ $48 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Qg3+} 49 \mathrm{Kdl} \mathrm{Qd3+}$

50 Kel Qc3+ 51 Kf 2 Qd4+, $0-1$.

\section*{|  | Yusupov | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}

No
Not long ago there was considerable debate as to whether Gelfand or Ivanchuk would be the one to break up the two K's monopoly yet both are already liminated before the semi-finals and not even by Karpov
Artur Yusupov is, of course, vastly more experienced than Ivanchuk, especially in match play, and the younger player, like Gelfand, crumbled when the pressure became intense at the end. After his 8th game victory forced the match into overtime Yusupov might well have won both play-off games but agreed to a draw in a winning position in game 10.
IVANCHUK - YUSUPOV (3), Queen's Gambit: 1 c4 e6 2 Nc3 d5 3 d4 Nf6 4 cxd5 exd5 5 Bg5 Be7 6 e3 $0-0 \quad 7$ Bd3 Nbd7 8 Nge2 Re8 9 0-0 Nf8 10 Qc2 c6 11 f3 Nh5 12 Bxe7 Qxe7 13 4 dxe4 14 fxe4 Bg4 15 e5 Rad8 16 Ne4 Ng6 17 Rad1 Rf8 18 h3 Bxe2 19 Bxe2 Nhf4 20 Bc4 Khe 21 Rf3 f6 22 exf6 gxf6 23 Ng 3 b 524 Bf 1 c 525 Qf2 Qc7 26 Rcl c4 27 b3 Rfe8 28 bxc4 bxc4 29 Rxc4 Qb8 30 h4 Nd5 31 Nh5 Re4 32 g3 Nxh4 33 gxh4 Rg8+ 34 Kh1 Rgg4 35 Rc5 Rxh4+ 36 Rh3 Rxh3+ 37 Bxh3 Qbl+ 38 Qgl Qxgl+ 39 Kxgl Nb6 40 d5 Rh4 $41 \mathrm{~d} 6,1-0$.
YUSUPOV - IVANCHUK (8), Nimzoindian: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3
 dd2 cxd4 9 exd4 d5 10 cxd5 Nxd5 11 Rc1 Nc6 12 Rel Rc8 13 Re4 Nce7 14 Nxd5 Nxd5 15 Rh4 g6 16 Rxc8 Qxc8 17 Ng5 Be7 18 Qg4 Ba6 19 Qh3 h5

$20 \mathrm{Rxh5} \mathrm{gxh} 521 \mathrm{Bh} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 7220 \times h 5$ Nf6 23 Nxe6+ fxe6 24 Qh6+ Kh8 25

Bf5+ Kg8 26 Qg5+ Kh8 27 Qh4+ Kg8 $28 \mathrm{Qg} 5+\mathrm{Kh} 829 \mathrm{Qh} 4+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 30 \mathrm{Qg} 3+\mathrm{Kh} 8$ $31 \mathrm{Qh} 3+\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 32 \mathrm{Qg} 3+\mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{Qh} 3+\mathrm{Kg} 7$ 34 Вxe6 Qxe6 35 Qxe6 Bd8 36 g 4 Re 8 37 Qf5 Bc4 38 g5, 1 - 0.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Timman $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & - & 4 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{2} & - & 2\end{array}$
Korchno $\begin{array}{lllllll}\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
In the only match not to go the full distance Timman held control throughout. Though he was unable to convert a slight endgame advantage in game 1 he won a tremendous battle in the next game and Korchnoi's pawn weaknesses cost him game 3 as well. Timman might well have increased his victory margin in game 5.
KORCHNOI - TIMMAN (2), English: 1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 e6 3 e4 d5 4 e5 Ne4 $5 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 6 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{Nxg} 5 \mathrm{Bxg5} 88$ cxd5 exd5 9 d4 Be7 10 Be3 $0-0 \quad 11$ $0-0-0$ Nc6 12 a3 Na5 13 Bd3 h6 14 Qe2 b5 15 Bc2 Rb8 16 Qd3 g6 17 Bxh6 b4 18 axb4 Rxb4 19 Qg3 Bh4 20 Qf4 c6 21 Rdel Be6 $22 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 23$ Bxf8 Bg5 24 Qg3 Kxf8 25 h4 Bh6 26 h5 g5 27 Na 2 Rb 828 dxc5 g4 29 Kb 1 Hic4 $30 \mathrm{Rb} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 2+31 \mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{Nxb3}+32$ Bxb3 Qa5 33 Rd1 Qb5 34 Rd3 Bf5 35 Rxd5 Qxb3 36 Qxb3 Rxb3 37 c6 Rd3 38 Nb4 Rxd5 39 Nxd5 Ke8 40 Nf6+ Kd8 41 f3 gxf3 42 gxf3 Be6 43 Ng 8 Bf4 44 h 6 Bxe5 $45 \mathrm{h7} \mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 46 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 47$ Nh6 Kxc6 48 f5 Bb3 $49 \mathrm{Kbl} \mathrm{Kd6} 50$ $\mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Bd5} 51 \mathrm{Nh} 6 \mathrm{Ke5} 52 \mathrm{Kc} \mathrm{Kf4}^{\mathrm{K}}$ $\mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Bd5}$
$0-1$.

TIMMAN - KORCHNOI (3), Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 f5 4 Nc3 fxe4 5 Nxe4 Nf6 6 Qe2 d5 7 Nxf6+ gxf6 8 d4 Bg7 9 dxe5 0-0 10 e6 Re8 11 0-0 Bxe6 12 Qd3 Qd6 13 Be 3 a 6 14 Bxc6 Qxc6 15 Nd4 Qd7 16 Nxe6 Qxe6 17 Radl Rad8 18 Bd4 Qd6 19 c 3 a5 20 h 3 b 621 Be 3 c 522 Rfe 1 Re 7 23 Re2 Qe6 24 Qb5 Rd6 25 Red2 Rd8 26 b4 axb4 27 cxb4 d4 28 bxc5 bxc5 29 Oxc5 Rd5 30 Oc4 Ra5 31 Qxd4 Rxa2 32 Qd8+ Kf7 33 Rxa2 Qxaz 34 Rc5 Rb7 35 Rel Kg6 36 Qd3+ f5 $37 \mathrm{Qg} 3+\mathrm{Kf} 7$ 35 Rel Kg6 36
38 Qf3, $1-0$.

TIMMAN - KORCHNOI (5), Sicilian 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 g6 6 Nxc6 bxc6 7 e5 Ng8

8 Bc4 Bg7 9 Bf4 Qa5 10 0-0 Bxe5 11 Bxe5 Qxe5 12 Rel Qf4 13 Re4 Qf6 14 Re3 d5 15 Bxd5 Bf5 16 Bf3 [16 Re6!] 16...h5 $17 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 18 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Kf8} 19$
 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Rel } & \text { Rb4 } 20 \text { b3 Kg7 } 21 & \text { Rc3 Bg4 } 22 \\ \text { ee3 Bxf3 } 23 \text { Oxf3 } 0 x f 3 & 24 & \text { Rxf3 }\end{array}$ Qe3 Bxf3 23 Qxf3 Qxf3 24 Rxf3 e6 25 Rd1 Nf6 26 c4 Rbb8 27 Rfd3 h4 $28 \mathrm{f3} \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 29 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \mathrm{a} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad 31$ Rd6 Rbc8 32 Ke 3 h 3 Kg 3 Rg hxg 2 Rxg2 Rh3 35 Rg3 Rxh2 36 fxg4 Rxa2 $37 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Nh} 5 \quad 38 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \mathrm{Kg} 640$ Rfxf7 Reg5 41 Ne4 Rg4, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

So .... on to the semi-finals in which the pairings will be Karpov v Short and Timman $v$ Yusupov.

## HAMBURG

Shortly before the Candidates quarter-final matches Artur Yusupov had a morale-boosting victory in a category 11 event, conceding just 3 draws to tally $11 \frac{1}{2} / 13$. German $G M$ Matthias Wahls was second in the round-robin tournament on 10 points while GM Curt Hansen (DEN) came in third on $9 \frac{1}{2}$
Then came: 4 GM Lobron (GER) $8 \frac{1}{2}$;
5 GM Piket (NLD) 7it ; 6-8 IM P.Cramling (SWE), GM Halifman (USR) © K. Muller (GER) 7; 9 GM Kindermann (GER) $6 \frac{1}{2} ; 10$ IM Maus (GER) $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 11 12 GM Bischoff (GER) \& GM Knaak (GER) 4; 13 IM Wegner (GER) $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 14 IM Wirthensohn (SWI) $1 \frac{1}{2}$.

## BIEL

Alexei Shirov, the 19-year old Latvian GM, won the category 15 GM tournament with $9 \frac{1}{2} / 14$. At the halfway stage GM Evgeni Bareev shared the lead but then slipped back a bit to finish on $8 \frac{1}{2}$ points, still a full point clear of GMs Ulf Andersson and Joel Lautier. American GM Larry Christiansen could not repeat his great Munich result and finished 5th on $50 \%$ while GMs Adams (ENG) 6, Gavrikov (USR) $5 \frac{1}{2}$ and Kozul (YUG) $4 \frac{1}{2}$ brought up the rear in the doubleround event.
More than 1000 players competed in
the various events of this 25th Bie chess festival. The Meisterturnier was won by IM Zurab Sturua (USR) with $9 / 11$ ahead of sixteen GMs while the CS World Mixed Turnier, a 12-player round-robin, was won by Argentine GM Daniel Campora with $8 / 11$ ahead of WGM Pia Cramling (SWE) and IM Antonio (PHI) 7. Then came Boog (SWI), GM L Hansen (DEN) and GM Hort (GER) 6.

## EASTBOURNE

The 78th British Championship was a 70 -player Swiss of 11 rounds. Julian Hodgson, one of only 3 English GMs competing, scored $7 \frac{1}{2}$ points from his first 8 games and went on to take his first British title with $9 / 11$. He was closely followed by IM Peter Wells on $8 \frac{1}{2}$ while GM Barua (IND) and IM Howell (ENG) were joint third on 8 points.

## LOS ANGELES

The U.S. Championship, again played on a knock-out basis, saw Gata Kamsky win the final $2 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ against Joel Benjamin. Earlier Kansky beat A. Ivanov $1 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ and Fedorowicz 2:0 while Benjamin eliminated Seirawan $2: 1$ and Gulko $1 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

## LONDON

Alexei Shirov won his second major tournament in a couple of months when he scored $8 / 10$ in the Lloyds Bank event in late August. Fellow Latvian players GM Kengis and IM Shabalov shared second place with England's Michael Adams on $7 \frac{1}{2}$. Adams thereby won the title of Commonwealth champion.
Among the 18 players tied on 7 were GMs Bagirov (URS), Barua (IND) Chandler (ENG), Murshed (BAN), Novikov (USR), Rogers (AUS), Speelman (ENG), Timoshenko (USR) and Vaiser (FRA).
Among those on $6 \frac{1}{2}$ points was new British champion Julian Hodgson.

## BOOK REVIEW

## THE KILLER GROB

## By Michael Basman

## Soft cover, 174 pages

## $\$ 32.00$ approx

Great cover: a giant carnivorous "Jaws" pawn on g4 spreads destruction across the board! After a brief introduction the book divides into four sections.
(1) The Victims. Basman gives a selection of Grob wins against opponents of M strength or better - notably Nunn and Speelman. The games are interesting and easy to follow, with figurine algegbraic notation and plenty of diagrams. Furthermore, each game has a distinctive and often amusing title eg; "Man with a loose rook", "Who dares does not always win", "Mad dogs" etc.
(2) Theory in Practice. This is the longest section and deals with Grob opening theory with plenty of illustrative games. For the uninitiated, the Grob is 1 g4 (or $1 \ldots g 5$ - it can be played with either colour). It is essentially a hypermodern opening, intending to fianchetto the king's bishop and control the centre from the wings while hassling an enemy knight on $\mathrm{f6}$ (or f 3 ). The king is flexible - he can remain in the centre, castle que日要ide or even enter the wrecked kingside. There is plenty of scope for experimentation - "...every game is a marvellous adventure into uncharted territory."
(3) Your Turn Now. Introducing the Grobometer! 17 games in which, at every move, you are invited to choose between three alternatives, each with a points value. Are you a Grob Master or should you play the Ruy Lopez or Queen's Gambit instead?
(4) On Your Own. 13 more games and this time you think up your own move, then compare it with the one actually played. Light annotations follow. An excellent way to learn the system. NZ's IM Vernon Small appears in one of these games!

I recently gave the Grob a field test. Playing in one of Harbour City's 60/60 events, I won all six games. A few weeks later in a similar tournament, using conventional openings. I scored three wins and three draws.

An excellent book which I thoroughly recommend to anyone with a taste for aggressive and unorthodox play. A refreshing change from learning long and involved opening variations.

To tell the truth, we didn't intend it this way but we 价e it. You get the whole game, with notes!

## From Richard Sutton

## R Sutton v M O'Siochru

## 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 c5 5 Bd3 Nc6 6 Ne2?! 0-0

Better is $6 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$.

## 7 0-0 d6 8 Oc2 Bxc3 9 Oxc3 e5

White has good prospects for his two bishops. especially on the at-h8 diagonal which Black must try to block.

## 10 d5 Ne7 11 Ng 3 b5?!

With her knights out of play on the kingside, it is difficult for Black to inject any venom into this queenside sortie. Overprotection of e5 seems more in order

## 12 b3 a5 13 Bb2 Bd7 14 Rae1 Ob6

As it turns out, not a happy square for the queen.

## 15 f4! exf4

Even now 15...bxc4 16 bxc4 Rae8 would have protected the e-pawn indirectly but after e4 and f5 Black is cramped.

## 16 Axf4 b4

## SEE DIAGRAM

## 17 Oxf6! gxf6 18 Nh5 Nxd5

The threat of Nxf6+ and $\mathrm{Nxd7}+$ limits Black's options considerably; if 18...Qd8 19 Nxf6+ Kg7 (19...Kh8 20 Rh4 wins) $20 \mathrm{Nxd7}+$ with ample material and threats to compensate for the queen.

I expected 18...Rfd8 $19 \mathrm{Nxf6+} \mathrm{Kf8} 20$ $\mathrm{Nxh7}+$ (to open the h -file) Ke8 $21 \mathrm{Nf6}+\mathrm{Kf8}$ 22 Ref1 when Black's best chance is

$a b c d e f g h$ 22...Bf5, though after 23 e4 Bg6 24 Rh4 Black is in strife, eg 24...Nc8 25 $\mathrm{Nd} 7+!\mathrm{Rxd} 726 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Ke7} 27 \mathrm{Bf6} \mathrm{\#}$.

## 19 cxd5 Rfd8 20 Nxf6+Kf8 21 Nxh7+ Ke8

Otherwise the knight returns to $\mathrm{f6}$, captures on d 7 and mate by Bf 6

## 22 Re4+ Be6 23 dxe6 fxe6 24 Nf6+ Resigns.

An elegant conclusion would be 24...Kf8 25 Rf1 Qc6 26 Nd5+ Ke8 27 Rxe6+Kd7 28 Rf7+! Kxe6 29 Re7+Kxd5 30 Be4\#.

## STUDY CORNER

A. Manvelyan (Ix.BS)
th Prize, Shakhmaty v SSSR, 1985


## By Emil Melnichenko

Scrutiny of this showcase miniature reveals that White must pull out all stops to stop the unstoppable. Manvelyan, a composer from Erevan, shows us the only way it can be done.
$1 \mathrm{Ne} 2+\quad \mathrm{Kb2} 2 \mathbf{R b 3 +} \mathrm{Kc} 2$
Naturally 2..Kxb3 $3 \mathrm{Nc} 1+$ leaves Black with two impotent knights unable to force mate.

## 3 Nd4+ Kc1

Inadequate is $3 . . \mathrm{Kd1} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 3+\mathrm{Nd} 2+5 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{Q}$ $6 \mathrm{Rxd} 2+$ when royalty must submit to a fork.

Astonishing. White uncorks rare vintage whose bouquet cannot be appreciated immediately. It is Black's turn to fork with $4 \mathrm{Kf4}$ ? alQ 5 Rc3+ $\mathrm{Kb} 26 \mathrm{Rc} 2+\mathrm{Ka} 37 \mathrm{Rc} 3+\mathrm{Ka} 28 \mathrm{Rc} 2+\mathrm{Nb} 29 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Nd} 3+$. Other tries also fail. 4...alQ pins the white knight after Kd5? and disables 5 Rc3+ if the king plays elsewhere.
4 ...
$a 10$

Here 4...Nc5 5 Rb 5 and 5...Na3 $6 \mathrm{Ne} 2+\mathrm{Kc} 27 \mathrm{Rxc5}+\mathrm{Kb} 28 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Nb} 19$ Nc3 Nxc3 (9...a1Q $10 \mathrm{Rxb1}+=$ ) $10 \mathrm{Rh} 1=$ or $5 \ldots \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{Rxc5}+\mathrm{Kb} 2(6 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 17$ Rc1+ =) 7 Rc2+ Ka3 8 Rc3+ Ka4 9 Rc4+ = allow White quicksilver draws.

## 5 Rc3+ Kb2

A spectacular gift that could not be accepted. Deft pirouetting by the knight after 5... Qxc3 $6 \mathrm{Ne} 2+=, 5 \ldots \mathrm{Nxc3} 6 \mathrm{Nb} 3+=$ or $5 \ldots \mathrm{Kdt} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 3+\mathrm{Nd} 2$ 7 Rxd2+ draws

## 6 Rc2+ $\quad \mathrm{Ka} 3 \mathrm{Rc} \mathbf{R H}_{+} \mathrm{Ka} 2$

The identical rook offer with forks rotated 90 degrees is just as unappetising, the cavalry jumping to the rescue this time on b5 or c2.

## 8 Rc2+ <br> Nb2 9 Rc1

A remarkable tableau whereby Black, sheltering the king, ignominously smothers the queen. Note that White's 4th prevents the liberating check.

| 9 | Nd3 | $10 \mathrm{Rc} 2+$ | Ka3 | 11 Rc3+ | Ka2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not 11..Ka4 $12 \mathrm{Rc} 4+\mathrm{Nb} 4$ (12..Ka5 $13 \mathrm{Nb} 3+=$ ) $13 \mathrm{Rxb4+}=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Rc2+ |  |  |  |  |  |

12 Rc2+ Nb2 $13 \mathrm{Rc} 1+=$
Surely this rag-tag army of an overworked knight. suicidal rook and retreating king imposing a positional curfew demands an applause!

## A WOMAN'S GAME

We haven't heard from the woman's editor but good old Otago sent this introduction to an /rish international who is in New Zealand ar the moment. As women make up 50 per cent of the population, we want to encourage them to participate.

Mairead O'Siochru came to New Zealand in April 1990. She is a strong player with an international rating of 2100, higher than any female New Zealander. Mairead has played for Ireland fin chess, Ireland is undivided!/ in four Olympiads At Thessaloniki she won the bronze medal on board two with 8.5/11 and put in a solid performance on board one at Novi Sad

This game was played at the Novi Sad Olympiad. Her Canadian opponent is a women's international master

## V Baltgailis v M O'Siochru

1 c4 c5 2 g3 Nc6 3 Bg2 Nf6 4 Nc3 g6 5 e3 e5 6 Nge2 Bg7 7 d4 exd4 8 exd4 cxd4 9 Nb5 0-0 100-0 a6
Better is $10 \ldots d 5$ immediately.

## 11 Nbxd4

If 11 Nd6 Qb6 and Black is okay.
11...d5 12 c5 Ne4 13 Nxc6 bxc6 14 Qa4 Qe7 15 Be3

15 Qxc6 Bb7 16 Qb6 Nxc5 and Black stands well.
15...Bd7 16 Oc2 Bf5 17 Oc1 Rab8 18 Nd4 Bd7 19 Nb3 Rb4 $20 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{Bf5}$

Better is 20...Rfb8 when White
would be under uncomfortable pressure.

## 21 Qe1 Ob7 22 f3?

22 Na 5 with some counterplay. eg. 22...Qb5 $23 \mathrm{Nxc5} \mathrm{Rxb} 224 \mathrm{Ne7+}$ Kh8 25 Nxf5.
22...Nf6 23 Rc1 Re8 24 Od2 Nd7 25 Rfe1 Ra4 26 Na5 Ob5 27 b3!

A clever move but White follows it up incorrectly.

a b c d efgh
27...Ra3!

Not 27...Qxa5 28 bxa4 or 27...Rxa5 28 Bf1.

28 g 4 ?
Losing. 28 b4 is much better though after 28...Qa4 Black is still doing well

## 28.. Oxa5 29 gxf5 Oxd2

The ending is an easy technical win-despite the time pressure. 30 Bxd2 Axe1+ 31 Rxe1 Bd4+ 32 Kf1 Rxa2 33 Be3 Bxe3 34 Axe3 gxf5 35 Bh3 Rxh2 36 Bxf5 Nxc5 37 Rc3 Nc6 38 Bxe6 fxe6 39 Rxc6 Rb2 40 Rxe6 Rxb3 41 Ke2 a5 42 Resigns.

We have a page and a half to fill and games from different sources North Shore Open
Notes by the winner
A Nokes v G Spain
$1 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{~b} 4$ Nf6 3 Bb2 c6 4 a4?! Dubious. More consistent is 4 f4. 4...Oc7 Also good is 4.. e5 5 Nf3 Bg4 6 Be2 Bxf3 7 Bxf3 Nbd7 More accurate is e5 immediately. B Be2 e5 9 bS Bd6 10 c4 0-0 11 0-0 e4 12 h3 dxc4 Playing to exploit the d-file, On 13 Bxc4 Ne5 followed by Rad8 is strong. $13 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Bxa3} 14 \mathrm{Bxa3}$ c5 14...Rfe8 may be even stronger. 15 Bxc4 Ne5 16 Be2 Rad8 17 Oc2 b6 18 Bb2 Od6 19 Rad1? White's best chance here was 19 Bxe5 Qxe5 followed by d3 but after 20...Rd6 the ending clearly favours Black. 19...Nd3 20 Bal Rd7 21 Qc3 Rfd8 With the added advantage of two powerful black knights, Black increases his pressure on the d-file $22 \mathrm{f4}$ On $22 \mathrm{f3}$ Qg3 covers the mate on g7. 22 h5 23 g3 Ce6 24 g4?! Na8 25 Kh2 h4 26 g5 Rd5 27 Rg 1 Nf2 28 Bg 4 Nxd1 29 Rxd1 Qd6 30 d4 exd3 31 $\mathbf{K g} 2 \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathbf{3 2}$ Be2 SEE DIAGRAM
32...Rxg5+ If 33 fxg5 Qg3+ 34 Kh1 Qxh3+ 35 Kg 1 Rd5 wins. 33 Bg4 Rd5 34 Kf2 Og6 35 Rg1 d10 36 Bxd1 Rd2t 37 Be2 Rxe2* 38 Kxe2 Oxg1 39 e4 Qg2+ $40 \mathrm{Ke1}$ Og3+ 41 Oxg3 hxg3 42 Resigns.

From previous column


Lloyds Bank Masters
A Shirov y TErnst
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxd5 5 e4 Nxc3 6 bxc3 Bg7 7 Bc4 c5 8 Ne2 0-0 9 Be3 Nc6 10 Rc1!? Shirov's idea. Black can stop White castling but White can quickly attack by h4-h5. $10 .$. cxd4 11 cxd4 Qa5+ 12 Kf1 Bd7 13 h 4 Rfc8 $14 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Nd8}$ 15 f3 Shirov's improvement on Bd2 or Qd3. White's pieces are well placed for attack so he quietly safeguards the pawn centre and prepares Qe1-h4. 15...Bb5 16 Bxb5 Oxb5 17 Kf2 Rxcl 18 Oxc1 Nc6 19 Qb1 Qa6 20 hxg6 hxg6 21 e5 Rd8 $22 a 4$ The star move. Better than 22 Qe4? (Shirov-Gurevich which Black won) 22...Na5 23 Oe4 Rc8 The clock times here told the story. Shirov 15 minutes, Ernst 57. 24 Bh6 Bh8 25 Bg5 Qe6 26 Oh4 Resigns.

National S; choolpupils 1991 M Sinclair v S Rupasinghe
1 e5 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Be7 6 Re1 b5 7 Bb3 0-0 8 c3 d5 9 exd5 Nxd5 10 Nxe5 Nxe5 11 Rxe5 c6 12 d4 Bd6 13 Re1 Oh4 14 g3 Oh3 15 Be3 Bg4 16 Od3 Rae8 17 Nd2 f5 18 a4 f4 19 Bxd5+ cxd5 20 axb5

a $b c d e f g h$
20...fxg3 21 fxg3 Bxg3 22 hxg3 Oxg3+ 23 Kh1 Rf5 24 Qxf5 Bxf5 25 bxa6 Be4t 26 Nxe4 Rxe4 27 Bg5 Rxe1+ 28 Rxe1 Qxe1+ 29 Kg2 Qal and $0-1$.

No 6: 1 Qxh7+ Bxh7 2 Nf7+ Kg8 3 Nxd6 1-0. Shirov-Renet 1991.

No 7: 1... Qxd5 (2 cxd5 Re1+ 3 Kg 2 Bf1+ $4 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Bh} 3 \#$ ) 0-1 Dschandschgawa-Chandler 1990.

No 8: 1 Rb7 Qxb7 2 Nxd6 Qa7 3 Qxf7+ Kh8 4 Qxg6 Nc5 5 Bc2 1-0. Sion-Perez 1990.

## CLUB DIRECTORY cont

WELLINGTON CC meets Saturdays from 6pm at Museum Room, Tumbull House, Bowen Street. Contacts: Tim Frost, president, 1/51 Cornford St, Karori 763-541 or E G A Frost, secretary, 17 Croydon Street, Karori 764-098.
NELSON CC meets Thursdays 7.30pm at 2 Shakespeare Walk. Contact, Dan Dolejs, 89-827 or Denis Boyce, Motuaka 87-985. Visitors walcome.
CANTERBURY CC meets Wednesdays 7.00 pm at the clubrooms, 227 Bealey Avenue, Christchurch. Phone 663-935 (ctubrooms). Contact, Michael Rocks, 42 Brockworth Place, Riccarton, phone 480-154
ASHBURTON CC meets Mondays 7.30pm (Feb-Oct inclusive), Room R5, Ashburton College, Walnut Avenue. Contact, Roy Keeling 86-936 or Stephen Taylor 85-761, Postal address: PO Box 204, Ashburton.
OTAGO CC meets Wednesdays and Saturdays 7.30pm at 7 Maitland Street, Dunedin. Phone 477-6919 (clubrooms). Pres, David Reid, 12 Marquis Street, Dunedin, phone 473-7652. INVERCARGILL CC meets Tuesdays 7.30 pm at Staff Room, South School, Ness Street. Contact, Robert Mackway Jones, phone 64-747 or Allan McCall, phone 82-204 NEW ZEALAND CORRESPONDENCE CHESS ASSOCIATION, PO Box 3278, Wellington. Local and overseas play. Contact, J W (Sandy) Maxwell, phone 367-682

## CLUB DIRECTORY

AUCKLAND CHESS ASSOCIATION, contacts: President, Ewen Green, phone 412-9701 Secretary, Nigel Hopewell, 78 Old Mill Road, Grey Lymn, Auckand 2.
AUCKLAND CHESS CENTRE meets Mondays 7.15pm at 17 Cromwell Street, Mt Eden Auckiand. Phone 602-042 (clubrooms). Contact: Martin Dreyer, 142b Upland Road, Remuera Auckland. Phone 5222-781
DEVONPORT CC meets Tuesdays 7.30pm at the Methodist Church Hall, Owens Road Devonport. All welcome. President, Philip Hair, phone 458-673; Secretary, David Shead phone 456-175. Postal address: 18 Grove Road,. Devonport
HOWICK-PAKURANGA CC meets Tuesdays 7.30 pm at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Community Complex. Contact, Derrick Lark, 39 Minaret Drive, Bucklands Beach. Phone Community
$534-1114$
NORTH SHORE CC meets Wednesdays 7.30 pm in the Northcote Community Centre, enr College Road/Ernie Mays Street, Northcote. Postal address: PO Box 33-587, Takapuna Contact, Peter Stuart, phone 4456-377. Visitors welcome
PAPATOETOE CC meets Wednesdays 7-11pm at St George's Anglican Church Hall Landscape Road, Papatoetoe. Contact, secretary/treasurer BHP Marsick $3 / 62$ Kolmar Road Papatoetoe 278-2309 (anytime) or club captain G Banks, 534-7951 evenings
WATTEMATA CC meets Thursdays 7.30 pm at Kelson West Community Centre, cnr Great North and Awaroa Roads. Postal address: 2 Autumn Ave, Glen Eden, Auckland 8. Contacts, gob Smith, phone 818-4113; Steve Lawson, phone 818-5137.
HAMILTON CC meets Thursdays 7pm at Hamilton Bowling Club, Pembroke Street, Hamilton. Contact, Len Whitehouse, 165 Galloway Street, Hamilton, phone 69-582.
TE AWAMUTU CC meets Wednesdays 7.30 pm at Federated Farmers Building. Carton Street, Te Awamutu. Contact: President, Roman Novak (0871) 6165 (home) 4536 (bus) Secretary, Mark Gulliver 7825.
ROTORUA CC meets Thursdays 7.30 pm in the Salvation Army Hall, Old Taupo Road Rotorua. Secretary: Percy Margolin, 64 Turner Drive, Rotorua Phone Rotorua 83-415.
NEW PLYMOUTH CC meets Tuesdays $7,30 \mathrm{pm}$ at clubrooms, 11 Gillbert Street, New Plymouth. Contact, President, Errol Tuffery, phone 82-626 New Plymouth
MOUNT MAUNGANUI CC meets Tuesdays 2pm and Thursdays 7pm at the Hillier Memorial Centre, Gloucester Road, Mt Maunganui. Contact, Barney Sullivan phone 56-793.
TAUPO CC meets Mondays 7.30pm at "Lakewood", 5a Fletcher Street, Taupo. Contact Joanne Rae, phone 83-285
NAPIER CC meets Mondays in the library, Colenso High School, Arnold Street, Onekawa Napier. Secretary: Maurice Berry, 21 Clyde Road, Napier, phone 835-8339.
HASTINGS/HAVELOCK NORTH CC meets Wednesdays 7pm at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Road, Havelock North. Contact, Mike Earle, phone 776-027.
PALMERSTON NORTH CC meets Tuesdays 7.30pm at Palmerston North Intermediate School, Ferguson Street, Palmerston North, Contact, President, John Chapman, phone 80-337: Secretary, Mark Brimble, phone 84-947.
KAPITI CC meets Thursdays 7.30pm at Block E, Kapiti College, Margaret's Road, Raumati Beach. Contact, Glenys Mills (Sec), 179 Te Moana Road, Waikanae. (058) 34-991.
UPPER HUTT CC meets Mondays 7.30 pm at Fraser Crescent School Hall, Redwood Street Upper Hutt. Contact, Anton Reid, 16 Hildreth Street, Upper Hutt, phone 288-756.
HUTT VALLEY CC meets Tuesdays 8pm at Hutt Valley Intermediate School, south end entrance off Kauri Street. Contact, Derek Wong Nam, phone 673-297.
HARBOUR CITY CC meets Wednesdays at the Central Bowling Club, end of Roxburgh Street, Petone. Contact, Peter Collins phone 646-764 or Gavin Mamer, phone 648-401 Postal address, 54 Kowhai Street, Wainuiomata.
CIVIC CC meets Tuesdays, 7.30 pm at Turnbull House, Bowen Street, Wellington. Contact Russell Dive, 14 Burnton Street, Lower Hutt, phone 666-090.

