INTRODUCING

PC-SCOREBOOK

...the Ultimate PC Game Processor



For all IBM and Compatibles:

Requires 256K & Color Graphics & DOS 2.1 or higher

- 1) Chess game files include information on tournament, opponent and opening variations
- 2) Can be used for postal or OTB games
- 3) Allows comments on each half move
- 4) Uses Algebraic or International notation
- 5) Replays game with review of analysis
- 6) Is a useful study aid
- 7) Not copy protected Owner's name is included in source code
- 8) Prints graphic display through DOS's graphics program
- 9) Works on hard disk or floppy systems
- 10) Kasparov-Karpov game files included on program disk specify 51/4" or 31/2"

G & W Micro-Specialties, Inc.

P. O. Box 1051 Bamberg, S. C. 29003 U.S.A.

\$3495 S.C. Resident add 5% sales tax Check or Money Order

(Orders outside U.S. add \$5.00 Shipping & Handling — U.S. Currency only)



MURRAY CHANDLER AT THE PLAZA

NEW ZEALAND CHESS is published bi-monthly (February, June, August, October and December) by the New Zealand Chess Association.

Unless otherwise stated, however, the views expressed herein are not neccessarily those of the Association.

ADDRESSES

All articles and letters should be addressed to The Editor, 25 Jeep Road, Raumati South.

Correspondence to the Association should be addressed to The Secretary, NZCA, PO Box 2185, Wellington.

Subscriptions, changes of address and advertising enquiries should be addressed to The Secretary.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Rates are for one year's subscription. Overseas rates are in US Dollars:

Surface mail
New Zealand \$NZ12.00
Other countries \$US15.00

Airmail
Australia & South Pacific \$US15.♦♦
Other Countries \$US20.♦♦

ADVERTISING RATES
Full page \$NZ40.00
Half page or full column \$NZ20.00
Half column \$NZ10.00

Club directory listing - \$6 per annum, \$2 per alteration.

DEADLINE FOR COPY Editorial copy should be in the editor's hands by the third Saturday of the month preceeding publication.

THE LAST OF Boris Spassky's simuls in New Zealand was held at Auckland's Hyatt Kingsgate Hotel on Easter Monday. The event was sponsored by Datapoint Corporation which was to be represented by its own computer. The Grandmaster, hoever, declined to play a machine so Datapoint was represented by its alternate, Sponger (hard 'g'!) aka Peter Giffin.

Boris also requested a maximum of 25 boards but, due to an organisational glitch, only 24 players took part. The line-up was possibly the weakest ever seen in Auckland for a grandmaster simul with none of the players rated over 2000 so the final score of 24-0 to Spassky was not too surprising. In only one game did the former world champion feel inspired to offer a draw - but the unpredictable Merv Morrison declined!

ANATOLY KARPOV won the SWIFT World Cup Tournament in Brussels in April with 11 points. Second was Valery Salov with 10, then came Ljubojevic, Nunn and Belyavsky with 9.5.

The second round of the World Cup, featuring Kasparov as well as Karpov, will be held in Belfort, France, from June 12 to July 5.

We have on hand a full report of the candidates matches in St Johns compiled by Martin Dreyer, who represented New Zealand Chess at the tournament. The report will appear in the June issue.

COLLAT ED sets of bulletins from the Plaza International Tourment, bound in card covers, are available from New Zealand Chess Supplies at \$14.50. For address see NZCS advertisement on page 45.

NEW ZEALAND CHESS

Editor: Bill CDX
Overseas News: NM Peter STUART
Women's Editor: Vivian SMITH
Colleges (Wellington): Todd STEVENSON
Special Correspondents: IM Ortvin SARAPU; NM Ewen GREEN

EDITORIAL

OUR apologies for the lateness of this, the April issue, but things just seemed to pile up on us for a few weeks.

The Plaza International is now just a pleasant memory, but hopefully has done something for chess in New Zeland. The Wellington newspapers gave it plenty of coverage, but the other major centres papers did not seem to do so well parochialism again?

In this issue we start a series of articles by GM Eduard Gufeld aimed at the lower grade players but still of interest for the high grades. We also have by the same author articles on Indian Motives and the French, as well as a couple of games by him, all of which will appear in future issues.

Please keep the information coming, if it doesn't appear immediately, rest assured it goes into the file and will appear in print in the next issue.

BILL COX



PLAZA INTERNATIONAL CHESS TOURNAMENT

WELLINGTON, NEW ZEALAND 15 - 27 MARCH 1988

ROUND ONE

Jonathan Sarfati made an excellent start when he drew against Boris Spassky. The draw was agreed when a position was about to be repeated for the third time. Australian Ian Rogers quickly got off the mark when he beat West German Robert Hubner. However, this was the only game Hubner

FOR BALE

CHESS COMPUTER

NOVAG EXPERT. One year old, excellent condition \$550 (original cost \$1100). Tel: (4) 788-971 a/h.

played as he fell ill the next day and had to withdraw. Murray Chandler also started well when he beat Ortvin Sarapu, while Russell Dive went under to Zsuzsa Polgar.

The games between Larry Christiansen and Eduard Gufeld and Vernon Small and Anthony Ker were adjourned, with Gufeld and Small eventually gaining wins.

ROUND TWO

Using an opening that, so it has been said, he never loses with, Spassky's King's Gambit was too much for Zsuzsa Polgar, despite the latter's sharp Falkbeer Counter-Gambit

defence. Gufeld took the lead when he proved too strong for Ker; Small and Dive agreed to a quick draw after 20 moves; and Safarti took another half point with Sarapu.

Rogers made a mistake in an unclear position and went under to Christiansen.

ROUND THREE

Gufeld completed a hat-trick of victories when he beat Small, despite , the latter's strong defence to the English Opening for more than 40 moves. Small made a mistake with his King on his 42nd move and eventually resigned.

Spassky stayed half a point behind the leader when he beat Dive; Ker missed an early threat and lost to Rogers; while Sarapu drew with Polgar. Chandler got into time trouble against Christiansen and appeared to be losing, but got back into the game to force a draw.

ROUND FOUR

Gufeld managed only half a point against Rogers and Spassky joined him in the lead when he outplayed Small. Christiansen, the only smoker in the tournament, graciously did not smoke at the board against Sarfati. Both players missed winnings charces and settled for a draw.

Ker had Chandler on the ropes, but the latter came out the better in the time scramble and won.

Missed chances by both Sarapu and Dive in a short game resulted in Dive gaining the win.

ROUND FIVE

Spassky was the overnight leader when he drew with Sarapu, the game between Gufeld and Chandler being adjourned. However, after a marathon game the latter pair drew.

Sarfati comfortably beat Ker, making a pawn sacrifice in the centre; Rogers beat Small; and Christiansen beat Polgar after a long game which hung fire for many moves before the American broke through on the King side.

ROUND SIX

Gufeld, as he admitted later, was playing much better than he expected and won his fourth game from five completed - the Chandler game was adjourned again after Gufeld caught the 'flu - when he beat Sarfati in 24 moves.

Ker failed to get his rooks together against Polgar and consequently lost, while Christiansen beat Dive in smart fashion and quick draw were agreed between Rogers and Chandler and Small and Sarapu.

ROUND SEVEN

Gufeld drew against Polgar to remain unbeaten; Chandler beat Small; and Sarfati missed some good chances when losing to Rogers.

Dive and Ker drew an exciting game, while Spassky adjourned against Christiansen after 60 moves, later winning the game.

ROUND EIGHT

A minor error by Spassky put him into some trouble against Ker, but the latter failed to sieze his winning chance and had to settle for a draw. Gufeld kept up his pressure in the lead to beat Dive, going a full point ahead of the field.

Declining Christansen's Queen's Gambit, Sarapu drew a bishop-pawn ending; Chandler beat Sarfati; and Polgar gained a win over Rogers.

ROUND NINE

The successful run by Gufeld was halted by Spassky, leaving the pair tied in the lead. Chandler made an early mistake against Polgar and was still in trouble when accepting her offer of a draw.

Dive again wound up in time trouble against Rogers and lost while Ker went close to

beating Sarapu, but had to accept a draw. Small missed a chance against Sarfati and also drew.

ROUND TEN

Dive got into a winning position against Chandler, but missed his path and lost. Small also looked to be in a good position in his game with Christiansen, but the latter came back strongly to force the draw.

Gufeld picked up the winning thread again when he beat Sarapu, while Spassky and Rogers drew. Sarfati was another who looked to have winning chances against Polgar, but he, too, failed to follow through and lost.

ROUND ELEVEN

Gufeld had the bye in this, the final round, and a win for either Spassky or Chandler would have gained first prize. But Spassky missed a one-square bishop move - "A very expensive little mistake" said Gufeld - which would have given him outright victory, so a threeway tie was the result.

Christiansen beat Ker; Rogers beat Sarapu; Polgar defeated Small; and Sarfati won over Dive and ended as the leading New Zealand player.

The DOP was Bob Gibbons, assisted by Chris Bell of Upper Hutt.

IM ORTVIN SARAPU COMMENTS:

New Zealand players landed on the bottom of the tournament table. It is interesting to note that the NZ Championship was almost repeated - Sarfatifirst, then myself and Small, followed by Dive and Ker.

Statistics do not tell the story. Games like Dive - Chandler, Ker - Spassky, Sarfati - Christiansen, Sarapu - Christiansen, were some where we missed many opportunities to do better.

In New Zealand we play one to two strong tournaments a

year. I asked Ian Rogers if he plays six to eight tournaments a year? He was surprised and told me that he plays 12-13 a year against stronger opposition!

I enjoyed the tournament and went home with mixed feelings. I had done well in some games and badly in others.

J D SARFATI - B V SPASSKY King's Indian Defence

1 d4 Mf6 2 c4 d6 3 Mc3 g6 4 e4 Bg7 5 Mge2 c6

Black should play ... 0-0, and if 6 g3 Nc6! 7 Bg2 e5 8 d5 Nd4! with the idea 9 Nxd4 exd4 10 Qxd4 Nxe4! This was pointed out to me by Gufeld, who claims the title of "King's Indian World Champion.

0-0g3 Bg2 e5 Nbd7 8 0-0 Rb1 exd4 · 10 Nxd4 Re8 11 h3 Nc5 12 Re1 a5

To answer ... a4 with b4. It makes it harder for Black to find play.

To answer ... a4 with b4. It makes it harder for Black to find play.

gb6?

This Queen is badly placed.

14 Be3! Bd7

14 ... Nxe4? 15 Nxe4 Nxe4 16 Ne6 c5 17 Nxg7 Kxg7 18 Bxe4 Rxe4 19 Bh6+ Kxh6 20 Rxe4 Bf5 21 Rh4+.

15 a3 Qc7

A painful retreat. Black has a cramped position, a weakness on d6 and no counterplay anywhere.

16 Qc2 h5 17 Rbd1 Nh7 To answer 18 Bf4 with ... Bxd4 19 Rxd4 Ne6.

18 Nde2 Be6 19 Nd4

Repeating moves, due to a slight time shortage. The only person to berate me for this was Gufeld, who said, "You had a total (sic) won position!" Possibly. 19 ... Bd4 (Spassky) certainly gives White a clear advantage.

19 ... Bd7 20 Nde2 Be6 21 Nd4 Bd7 DRAWN

Notes by Sarfati.

J D SARFATI - A F KER Nimzo-Indian Defence

d4	Mf6
c4	e6
Nc3	Bb4
e3	c5
Bd3	Nc6
Ne2	cxd4
exd4	d5
cxd5	Nxd5
0-0	0-0
a3	Be7
Qc2	h6
	c4 Nc3 e3 Bd3 Ne2 exd4 cxd5 O-0 a3

Not bad, but ... g6 is usual in such positions. After ... h6 black can get into strife if white can place his white-squared Bishop behind the Queen on the b1-h7 diagonal.

12 Be3 Bf6?

The Bishop obstructs the Knight pawn from the important defensive square f6 and is itself obstructed after consistent follow-up.

13 Rad1

The "right" Rook.

Nce7 14 Bc4! Bd7 15 Ba2 Rc8?

Now Black is definitely lost. He had to play ... Bc6 to stop white transferring his Queen to the K-side via e4. However, his pieces are still awkward. One idea is 16 Nxd5 Bxd5

17 Nf4 Rc8 18 Qd3 Bc4
19 Qe4 Bxf1 [if ... Bd5 20
Nxd5 (a) ... exd5 21 Qg4
and the Ng6 can't move and
can't be reasonably protected
either (... Qe8, Rfe1;
Kh7, g3 idea h4-h5); (b) ...
Qxd5 21 Qg4 is similar. Also
Be4 and d5 is a strong threat].
20 Nxg6 Fxg6 21 Qxe6+ Kh7
22 Kxf1 idea Qg4 - tying black
down, and h4-h5.

16 Bb1 Ng6 17 Qe4 Bc6 18 Qg4 Nde7 19 Ng3 Kh8 What else?

Muac eises

20 Nh5 Nf5



21 d5!

The unblockaded pawns "lust to expand" undermines black's position.

Nxe3

The pawn e6 must protect Nf5, while a move of Bc6 allows dxe6.

22 23 24	fxe3 Nxf6+ Qh5!	exd5 gxf6
Bxg6?	Rg8.	

	- 22	Kq7
25	Rf3	Q56
26	Rq3	Be8
27	Nxd5	0xb2
28	Ne7	Řc1
29	Nf5+	Kq8
30	Nxh6+	Kq7
31	Nf5+	Kq8
32	Bd3	

Toshield the white King. Black is helpless.

Rxd1+
33 Qxd1 Qxa3
34 Rh3 Ba4
35 Qh5 Rc8
36 Qh7 1-0

SPASSKY - SARAPU Vienna Opening

> 1 e4 e5 2 Nc3 Nf6 3 q3

Spassky's choice of the Vienna Opening was no surprise for me. I have seen games by Spassky with this variation. Emmanuel Lasker used to play it and in NZ Vernon Small also likes it.

3 ... Nc6!?

After some thought I did not like to play the main line of 3... d5 here. As it happened, it was the right choice. BCO gives the game Spassky - Karpov, Tilburg 1979 3... d5 4 exd5 Nxd5 5 Bg2 Nxc3 6 bxc3 Bd6 7 Ne2 0-0 8 0-0 c6 9 d3 Nd7 10 f4 exf4 11 Bxf4 Ne5 12 Rb1 Rc8 13 Bc4 +=. My game after 13 moves was somewhat better than Karpov's!

4 Bg2 Bc5 5 d3

gives a favourable line for Black, if White continues with an early 0-0. 5 Nge2 d6 6 0-0 h5!? 7 d3 h4 Bg5 hxg3 9 Nxg3! (hxg3 8 0d7 11 Na4 Bq4 10 Od2 Bh3! -+ Nunn) 9... Nd4! 10 Ne6 11 Nxg7+! Nxg7 Nh5 12 Nd5 Nxd5! 13 Bxd8 Nf4 14 Bg5 Nge6 15 Bxf4 Nxf4 16 Kh1 -+ Augustin-Nunn, Moscow 1977. Fantastic varation by GM Nunn!

> 5 ... d6 6 Na4!

Now Spassky secures himself two bishops. After 6 Nge2 h5! would transpose into Nunn's variation. 6 ... Bb6 7 Nxb6 axb6 8 Ne2 d5

With the idea of opening up in the centre. I did not like Spassky's systematic play with f4 and f5 etc.

9 exd5 Nxd5 10 0-0 Be6

Now that lines are opened in the centre there is no future in the h5 attack.

> 11 d4 exd4 12 Nxd4 Nxd4 13 Qxd4 0-0 14 b3

White's two bishops would control long diagonals, but are a bit "cross-eyed".

14 ... c6 15 Bc2

After the game Spassky thought that a4 was better here with a clearer advantage to White.

15 ... Qf6

A pawn sacrifice to get opposite coloured bishops and good chances for a draw.

16 ... Qxd4 17 Bxd4 Nxb4 18 Bxb6 Rxa2

Later I was told that in the demonstration room they considered Nxa2 to be better here. I disagree, as after Nxa2 I have some problems of what to do with my Rf8?

19 Rxa2

On 19 Bc5 follows Rfa8 etc.

19 ... Mxa2 20 Ra1 Ra8

Sure, that Na2 is pinned, but after Ra6 all is well again.

21 Be4 f51

Not only getting a square (f7) for the King, but answering Bb1 with Ra6! etc. Spassky thought that 21 f4 was better for him and Kf2 to follow.

22 Bf3 Ra3 23 Bd1 Ra6 24 Bc5 Kf7 25 f4 g6 26 Bf3 b6!?

If now b5? 27 cxb5 cxb5 28 Bb7 is most uncomfortable for Black.

27 Bc5 b5!
Beginning of the "great escape"
for Black.

28 Be2 bxc4 29 bxc4 Ra4 30 Be5 c5 31 Kf2 Ke7 32 h3 h5 33 Ke3 Ra6!?

It is time to unpin the N.

34 Kd2 Nb4 35 Rxa6 Nxa6 36 Kc3 Nb4

Black must control the a4 square to stop White's king from coming over to the c5 pawn.

37 Bf3 Bd7 38 Bd1 Bc6

At last my bad bishop has a good diagonal.

39 Kd2 Bg2 40 h4 Bf1 41 Re2

Here Spassky offered a draw. **DRAW!**An escape, almost as good as

An escape, almost as good as some of Murray Chandler's in the tournament!

NOTES BY SARAPU. CHANDLER _ SARAPU Ruy Lopez

> 1 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 Ba4 Nf6 0-0 Be7 6 Re1 b5 **Bb3** 7 46 8 c3 0-09 h3 B_b7 lately

This move is lately fairly popular.

10 d4 Re8 11 Nbd2

Also "popular" is an early draw here by 11 Ng5 Rf8 12 Nf3 Re8 13 Ng5 Rf8. Later Gufeld repeated only twice those moves against me!

11 ... Bf8

According to Gufeld a3 is better, with the idea of playing Ba2 and b4, d5, c4 etc. I got a very difficult game against him.

12 ... g6?!

Gufeld, who knows this variation very well, considers g6 a mistake. Better is 12 ... h6 etc.

13 d5

13 ... Ne7

A very strong move. I had to spend a lot of time to find the right answer. All moves, like bxc4?, bxa4; b4, c6, c5 are weak.

14 ... Qd7!

The only move that holds the game together.

15 Nf1 bxc4 16 Bxc4 Bg7 17 Ng3

Chandler regretted this move after the game. Ng3 is out of play there and gives Black chances to equalise.

17 ... c6 18 dxc6 Nxc6 19 Be3 Rac8 20 Rc1 Na5 21 Ba2 Rxc1

I was happy with my position here and "naturally" I saw the trap 21 ... Nxe4? 22 Nxe4 Bxe4 24 Ng5 and wins.

22 Bxc1 d5??
A bad mistake, losing a pawn and the game. Nc6 or Bc6 with Nb7, Nc5 to follow is OK for Black. Gufeld even preferred Black's position after Nc6!?
23 exd5 Bxd5

OnNxd5? follows b4! winning a piece.

24 Nxe5 Qc7?

Chandler thought that Black would have had some compensation for the pawn after 24 ... Qd8. My trouble was that I did not see that my Na5 is hanging after I played 22 ... d57 Now 24 ... Rxe5 as planned 25 Rxe5 Bxa2 26 Qxd7 Nxd7 27 Rxa5! and not 27 Re8+? Bf8 28 Bh6 Be6 etc. So again got outplayed by Ī myself tactically against Murray. The rest is execution.

> 25 Bxd5 Nxd5 26 Qxd5 Rxe5 27 Qa8+ Bf8 28 Rxe5 Qxe5

29 Bh6 Qe7 30 Ne4 f5 31 Qd5+ Kh8

32 8g5 Resigns
On 32... Qxe4 33 Bf6+ Bg7
34 OdB mates.

I told Murray that the draw gave me 6 blacks and only 4 whites after Hubner pulled out. Black against Chandler, Polgar, Spassky, Small, Christiansen and Gufeld.
Rogers tried to change some colours to make it 5-5 for all, but the tournament arbiters did not go along with it. Murray then told me that I play better with Black than

with White!?!?

NOTES BY SARAPU.

White Black
KER SPASSKY

King's Indian Defence

1.d4 NfA 2.c4 d۵ 3.Nc3 96 4. 44 Bg7 5.f3 Nc6 6. Nae2 0-0 7.Be3 **a**6 8. Qd2 Rb8 9. Bh6 b5 10.h4 **e**5 11.Bxq7 Kxq7 12.h5 Nxd4 (?)



abcdetgh

Here 12....Nxh5 opens the h-file for White's attack, eg 13.g4 Nf6 14.Gh6ch Kg8 15.Nd5 Re8 16.g5 Nxd5 17.Gxh7ch Kf8 18.cxd5 Nxd4 19.Nxd4 exd4 20.Qh8ch Ke7 21.Qf6ch Kd7 22.Bh3ch.

With the move played Black embarks on a plan which has not been seen before in this position; it is quite risky and unpromising, however. The moves 12....NgB and 12....KhB have been tried in this position.
13.Nxd4 exd4
14.Nd5

Also with a simple recapture on d4 White could get a good game: 14.0xd4 c5 15.0d2 b4 16.Ne2 Be6 17.Nf4 Ge7 18.0-0-0 Rfd8 19.g4 and so on; the text move is more forcing, however.

14... c5
15.hxg6 fxg6
16.Qh6ch Kf7
17.Nxf6 Qxf6
18.Qkh7ch Qg7
19.cxb5 axb5:
20.a4

This is the point of the line chosen by White: he wants to open up the a-file for his rook and to gain the splendid square c4 for his bishop, securing a most dangerous attack for hisself.

20.... bx44

Black goes along with White's plans, aiming for counterplay on the b-file. Also other

continuations are painful: I. 20...b4 21.Bc4ch Kf6 22.Kd2 Oxh7 23.Rxh7. Black is positionally lost. II. 20....Be6 and (a) 21.axb5 Ra8 22.Ra6 Rxa6 23.bxa6 Rb8 is not clear as Black gets counterplays (b) 21.8xb5 is more circumspect. Black has no compensation for the pawn he has lost. However, this line offers more hopes for salvation than the move played in the game and was to be preferred. 21.Rxa4?

White omits to make use of the possibilities offered to him. After 21.Bc4ch Kf6 22.Qh2 Ke7 (22.... Ge7 fails to 23. Qh4ch q5 24. Qh6ch, while after 22....RdB 23.Rxa4 Rxb2 24.Ra7 Bb7 25.Bd5 Black should not be able to survive) 23.Rxa4 Rxb2 (otherwise Black remains in a strategically lost position) 24.Ra7ch Black is in deen troubles I. 24....Rb7 25.Qh7 Kf6 26.e5ch dxe5 27.Ra6ch (Spassky) and White will mate. II. 24....Bb7 25.Bd5 (Now the attempt 25.0h7 Kf6 is fruitless as after 26.e5ch dxe5 the square a6 is covered) 25....Rb8 26.0h4ch Kd7 (26.... a5 is refuted by 27.Qh7 Kf6 28.Rh6ch) 27. 0h7 0xh7 28. Rxh7ch Kc8 29.f4. White wins because Black

is completely tied up: 29....c4 30. Bxc4 Rxg2 is answered by 31.Be6ch followed by 32.Rhxb7 and 29....d3 is to no avail; 30.f5 will be winning. The feeble text move allows

Black to wriggle out. 21.... Oxh7 Kf6 22.Rxh7ch 23.b4

Only with this move can White hope to survive.

Rxb4 23....

Now the game peters out into a draw. With 23...cxb4 Black could retain some winning chances, although White should be able to hold the position after 24.Kd2 Be6 25.Bd3 Rb6 26.f4 Rf7 27.Rxf7ch Bxf7 28.g3; Black's pawns are too vulnerable.

24.Rxb4	cxb4
25.Bc4	Be6
26. Bx e6	Kxe6
27.Rb7	g 5
28.Rxb4	q 4
29.Ke2	gxf3ch
30.gxf3	d5
31.Rxd4	
Draw agreed	1/2-1/2

White	RISCK
EMOL I	CHRISTIANSEN

Queen's Indian Defence

Nf6

1.d4

23.Qe2

2.c4	€6
3.Nf3	bé
4.Nc3	9 67
5. a3	96
6.g3	Bg7
7. Bg2	0-0
8.0-0	Ne4
9.Bd2	Nxd2
Possibly	better is 9c5
10.d5 Nxd2	11.0xd2.
10.0xd2	Nc6
11.b4	Ne7
12.Rab1	a 6
13.Rfd1	46
14.Qc2	Q e B
15.e4	b 5
16.Bf1	bxc4
17.8xc4	Kh 8
18.Bb3	Rc8
19.d5	c5
20.Ba4	Qd 9
21.bxc5	Rxc5
22.Rxb7	Rxc3

An equal position is reached after 23....Rxa3 24.Rd7 Qe8 25.8c6 Nxc6 26.dxc6 Rc3 27.R1xd6 Rxc6 28.Rxc6 Qxd7 29.Rxa6.

exd5

Nf5
Qf6
Rc5
Ne7
NaB
Rc1
Ge1
Rh1
Rxb8
Bf6
Kg7



35.Bxf7	Ne7
Not 35Kx	f7 36.QeBch Kg7
37.Qf8ch Kh8 36	3.Qxg8 checkmate.
36.Be6	a5
37.Rb7	h5
38.Rb3	
Black would b	nave no play
after the preve	entative 38.h4.

38....

39.Re3 Rd1 White was threatening 40.Ne1 trapping the rook. 40.h3

41.Bg4

White had not realised the strength of 43....Qa2. He could have preserved the extra pawn with 41 PdT

vith 41.RdJ.	
11	NxdS
12.Rd3	Rxd3
13.0xd3	Q =2
14. Be6	hxg3
15.Kxg3	Nf 4
6.8xa2	Nxd3
17.Bc4	Nc5
IB. Nd2	Bb2
19.Nb3	N×b3
50.Bxb3	Bx a3
51.f4	Kf6
52.fxg5ch	Kx q5
53.Kf3	Kf5
54.Ke3	Ke5
55.Kd3	d5
56.Ba4	Be7
57.Bb3	K d 6
58.Bd1	Bf6
59.Kc2	a4
60.Kd3	a3
61.Bb3	Ke5
52.Ba2	d4
53.B63	Kf4
64.Bd5	Kg3
55. Beó	Kf2
56.Bd5	Ke1
57.B63	Bh8
58.h4	Bf6

69.h5		Bg7
70.h6		Bf6
71.h7		Bg7
72.Kc4	Draw	agreed
1/2-1/2		

hite	Black
PASSKY	GUFELD
. e 4 . N⊂3	,c5 Nc6
. g3	g6
. Bg2 . d3	Bg7 d6
.f4 .Nf3	e6 Nge7
.0-0 .Be3	0-0 Nd4
0.Bf2	Nxf3ch
1.Bxf3 2.Bg2	Nc6 Nd4 (7)
This move is not	the most

accurate one since White gets a favourable position with the central thrust played in the game. As Gufeld himself has pointed out in the article on this line which he wrote for the "Encyclopaedia of Chess Openings" (ECO), 12,...Rb8 happened in the game Spassky~ Karpov, Linares 1983. Also the immediate 12....b6 giving support to the pawn on c5 deserves attention; 13.e5 Bb7 is good for Black and 13.45 surrenders the square e5 without being particularly dangerous as White's blacksquared bishop does not participate in the attack. dx e5

13.e5 13...d5 is worth considering. After 14.Na4 (14.Bxd4 cxd4 15.Ne2 Qb6 followed by f7-f6 when necessary is satisfactory for Black) 14....b6 15.c3 Nc6 (after 15...Nf5 White will gain valuable time by playing q3-q4 sooner or later) 16.d4 Black has a choices I.16....c4 17.b3 Ba6 18.Re1. Black is in a passive situations he may not aim for f7-f6 on positional grounds. White has play on both wings; yet he is far from winning as

the position is closed and Black has no serious weakness so far.

II. 16....cxd4 17.cxd4 (after 17.Bxd4 the knight on a4 remains awkwardly placed) 17...Ba6 18.Rel Rel 17.Qd2. White has the more comfortable play thanks to the wedge he has implanted on e5 but Black can exert pressure along the c-file in order to obtain counterplay or relieving exchanges.

Variation II might constitute the best continuation under the

given circumstances. 14.fxe5 8xe5 15.Ne4 f5

Black fights for space. After 15....Qc7 16.c3 Nc6 17.Bxc5 Rd8 18.d4 (18.Nf6ch fails to 18...Bxf6 19.Rxf6 Qe5) 18....bx 6 19.Ba3 he has difficulties due to his holes on f6 and d6; the natural sequel 19....Bb7 fails to 20.Qf3 Bg7 (20....Nxd4 21.cxd4 Bxd4ch 22.Kh1 f5 doesn't work on account of the possibility 23.Nf6ch) 21.Nd6 Rxd6 22.Bxd6 Qxd6 23.Qxf7ch followed by 24.Qxb7.

16.Nxc5 It is understandable that Black doesn't like the picture which occurs after 16.... Dc7 17.64 Nb5 (17....Bo7 18.a4 e5 19.c3 Nc6 20.a5 leads to a very favourable situation for White: there is no other way of stopping White's advance) 18.44 Bxa1 19. Qxa1 Nd6 20. Bd4 but at least Black the consolation of having won some material in exchange for the positional concessions he had to make. In most cases the queen is placed better on c7 than on d6 for defensive purposes but Black has a specific set-up in mind. 17.64 RbB

Black has no possibility of taking satisfactory measures against White's expansion in the centre any more:

I. 17....Nb5 18.a4 (a)
18...Nc3 19.Gd2 Ne4 20.Nxe4 fxe4 21.Bxe4 (but not 21.d4 Rxf2 nor 21.Bc5 Bd4ch)

21.... Bxa1 22.Rxa1 gives White an overwhelming positional advantage. (b) 18...Bxa1 19. Gx a1 Nc7 20. Nxb7 Bxb7 21.8xb7 (after 21.8c5 Qa6 22.b5 Nxb5 23.axb5 Qxa1 24.Rxa1 Rf7 White has lost the exchange) 21.... @xb4 (21.... Rab8 22.8c5 is hopeless for Black) 22. BxaB Rxa8 23.0e5 followed by 24.8d4. Black's situation is deplorable. II. 17....Bg7 18.44 (18.c3 Nb5 blunders a pawn away) 18....65 19.c3 Nc6 20.a5. Black's position is not to be envied. 18.c3 Nb5 19.d4 Bf6 20. Qb3 64 21.Nd3 Bb7 Black has managed to get rid

Black has managed to get rid of his bad bishop but he retains an ugly weakness on e6 and his pieces are badly co-ordinated.

22.Bxb7 Rxb7

23.a4

Now Black's knight being forced to retreat will have to close the second rank to Black's queen's rook. After 23.Rfe1 Re7 Black can resist. 23.... Nc7 24.Rfe1 Nd5(?)

Black gives White the present of tempi for the advance of the c-pawn. After this move he is definitely lost.

It is not obvious that patient defence with 24...Rbb8 (25.Be3 g5) or heroic defence with 24...g5 preventing Nd3-f4 was absolutely hopeless although Black's task is especially unpleasant after 25.Ne5 followed by c3-c4. 25.c4 Ne7 26.Nf4 Nc6

Black has no choice from here onwards. After 26....Bxd4
27.Radi Bxf2ch 28.Kxf2 Qb8
(28....Qc6 can be most easily met with 29.Nxe6 and 30.Nd8)
29.Nxe6 Rc8 30.c5 the fun is

over.
27.Rxe6 Qxb4
28.Qd3 Ne7
Black has to protect the

equare d5. 29.Be1 Qb2 30.Bc3 Qb3



31.Rxf6 Rxf6
32.d5 Kf7
After 32....Rd6 33.Rb1 Qxa4
(33...Qa3 34.Qd4 Kf7 35.Bb4 Qa2
36.Ra1 loses without ado)
34.Qd4 Kf7 35.Qg7ch Ke8 36.Bb4
Rd8 37.Ne6 White's attack
crashes through.
33.Ne6 Rxe6
There is no other defence

There is no other defence against the double threat of 34.Nd8ch and 34.Nd4 trapping Black's queen.
34.dxe6ch Kxe6

K#7 35.Reich 36.Qd4 Qxa4 37.Qa7ch KeB 38.8f6 KdB 38.... Qb4 fails to 39.0g8ch Kd7 40.Rxe7ch. 39.0f8ch Qe9 Rd7 40.Rd1ch 41.Bxe7ch Kc7 42.Qxe8 Black resigns. 1-0

White Black
SPASSKY CHANDLER

Queen's Gambit Accepted

.d4	d5
2.c4	dxc4
5.Nf3	Nf6
1. e3	26
5.Bxc4	c5
5.0-0	a 6
7 dues	

Commentators took this as the

signal of a quick draw, but that was not Spassky's intention.

7	Bxc5
8.Qxd8ch	Kxd8
9.63	b6
10.Bb2	Bb7
11.Nc3	Nbd7
12.Rfd1	Ke7
13.Be2	Rac8
14.Nd2	RhdB
15.Raci	b 5
16.a4	bxa4
17.Nxa4	Bb4
18.Nc4	Nd5
19.q3	N5f6
20.f3	Nc5
21.Rxd8	Rxde



ab cde f g h

22.Nxc5?

After 20 minutes' thought Spassky misses the little move 22.Bc3 which wins a piece, eg 22...Bxc3 23.Nxc5 or 22...Nd3 23.Bxd3 Bxc3 24.Rxc3. And 22...Nxa4 23.Bxb4 is check.

22NX 44	23.8x64	15 CN	ec:
22		Bxc5	
23.Kf1		Bb4	
24.Ne5		NeO	
25.e4		Rd2	
26.Nc4		Rd7	
27.Ra1		Rc7	
28.Ra4		Bc5	
29. b4			

White allows a little tactic that swaps off the queenside pawns and leaves Black safe.

pawns and	TATAL	DIECK BET
27		Bc6
30.Rxa6		Bxb4
31.Be5		Rc8
32.Ra7ch		KfB

The players made their next 10 moves in about a minute

TO WOARR	тu	about	Willer
each.			
33. Na5			Ba4
34.Nc4			B65
35.Rb7			Bxc4
36.Rxb4			Bx∉2ch

37.Kxe2	f6		21.c3!	D4-4
38.Bf4	Rc2ch		22.Rdg1	R4c6
39.Bd2	Kf7		-	ine 22 Not -11
40.Rb7ch	Kg6		Diant elect	ing 22.Na5 allows
41.Re7	Nc7		DIECK #1GUT	ficant counter-play
42.Kd3	Rc6		with 22	
43.Ba5	Na6		22	NfB
44.f4	Nc5ch		23.f4	exf4
45.Ke3	h5		Nore resi	lient seems
Draw agreed.	1/2-1/2		23 816,	though after 24.f5!
Di am agi EEu.	1/2-1/2		gx+5 25.Nx+ 27.Qh2 Ng6	6ch
			attack look	s decisive.
<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>		24.Nxf4	d5!
			25.Nxd5!7	
ROGERS	HUBNER			ers were now in mild
			time pressu	re. Far more
Sicilian Defe	nce, Najdorf			5 25.Nd4! as
			suggested a	fter the game by
1. e4	c 5		Hubner, e.a.	. 25dx=4?!
2. Nf3	d6		26. NEVA NV	₽6 27 Nxc6 Rxc6
3. d4	cxd4		28.Rxg6 etc	
4. Nxd4	Nf6		25	Rc4?!
5. Nc3	a 6			thance lay in
6. Be3	e 5			
7. Nb3	Be7		When White	26.exd5 Rd6 27.Rd1!
0. Be2	0-0		tachnical a	may still have
The usual m	ove in this		bewaves 27	oblems (not,
position, but			V47 when Med	Bd47 Rxd3! 28 Rh8ch
probably more				lte's attack is not
9. q4	Beá		decisive).	
10.q5	Nfd7		26. Bd4!	Bxd5
11.0d2	Nb6		27.Rh8ch!	Kf7
12.h47!	1150		17 2/	(xh8 then 28.Qh6ch
	s best here, since		and mate nex	
instead of Bla	ack's actual reply		28.exd5	Qxd5
he could have	played 12a5		29.Rf1ch	Bf6
immediately to	discourage Q-		30.Bx + 6	Qe4ch
side castling.	oraconiade d-			ice 30@xd2
12,	NBd7?!		31.Bd4ch win	s a piece.
13.0-0-0	Rc8		31.Ka1	g×f6
13a5 co			32.Re1!	Qf5
answered by 10	Kb1 a4 15.Nc1 a3		If 32Q	f4 then 33.Qd5ch
16.63 Rc8 17.8	1-NUL 84 10-NC1 85		wins.	
advantage.	HS: WITH		33.Nd4	Qg5
14.Kb1	NI- 4		34.Qh2	Rxd4
	N⊂4		35.Rxf8ch	Kxf8
15.8xc4	Rxc4		36.Qh8ch	Kf7
16.f3	Gc7?		37.Qxc8	Ge5
oreating a	target for a		A time-tro	uble trick, but
racer Noo. The	only chance for			defence anyway.
	y in 16f5!		38.Qxb7ch	KfB
fearing neithe	r 17.gxf6 e .p.		39. Qh1!	Resigns.
Nxf6 nor 17.Nd	5 fxe4 18.Nxe7ch		1-0	· •·
9xe7 19.0xd6 0			Notes by F	Rogers.
20.Nd2? Rc6! W	hite's best try		····	
for advantage				
probably be 17		" I	NEVER belie	ved this would
		-	06116	veu inis would

"I NEVER believed this would happen till very near the day. They were talking of holding a quality competition in a hotel which didn't exist."

It was a worry and I naturally felt a bit sceptical."

So said GM Murray Chandler in an interview with a Dominion reporter in Wellington at the Plaza Hotel a couple of days before the Plaza International Chess Tournament got under way.

Murray was not alone. There was considerable scepticism amongst the New Zealand chess fraternity about the show. That it did come together as planned - seven international GMs and IMs and five leading NZ players - is a tribute to the one man who has received almost no publicity - Grant Kerr

Grant, a strong OTB player who represented New Zealand at Olympiads in the early 1970s and who is now a lawyer in New Plymouth, conceived, planned, organised and generally pushed and shoved the tournament into existence. Getting the Plaza

International Hotel management behind the sceme was a major coup, the hotel providing the accommodation and facilities with which the visiting players were really delighted.

More importantly, the overseas players went away knowing that New Zealand has the ability and facilities to stage such highly graded tournaments and there will be less hesitation on their - and others - part in accepting invitations to

play here.

One other person deserves our congratulations - Bernard Carpinter. As Public Relations and Publicity man he did a great job. It cost him... in hours spent into the early mornings producing the bulletins, in organising interviews with the media, reports to radio, the daily newspapers and to overseas interests, and dealing with the thousand and one details that crop up behind the scenes.

LESSONS IN CHESS STRATEGY

By IGM EDUARD GUFFID

Superiority in development

WE ARE beginning a new series of lessons on chess strategy for those players who are well acquainted with the fundamentals of the game, who know how to evaluate and analyse positions and how to make up plans of conducting the chess struggle. However, chess practice abounds in such cases when very unclear and unpredictable positions arise and then the chess player should know the basic strategic ideas or some principles which may help him in any situation. In our lessons we shall consider the games played by masters and grandmasters and we shall see chess strategy of the most outstanding players. Besides, each lesson is completed with some home assignments for independent research. Playing chess without any plan never bears any fruit and is



absolutely unpromising. Chess strategy is based on gradual accumulation of small advantages, the so-called positional play, in other words, the strategic mastery consists of finding the correct plan and carrying it out at the chessboard. We remind you of the basic criteria of positional advantage:

- 1. Superiority in development.
- seizure of the centre.
 Superior placement of the
- pieces.
 4. Superior pawn formation.
- 5. Weak squares in the enemy
- 6. Seizure of open files.7. Advantage of two bishops.

Certainly, some of these advantages are of a temporary character whereas others are

17.h5

18.g6

19.hxq6

20.Nd5

Rc8

fxq6

hx g6

950

of a more permanent nature. The chessplayer must be able accumulate positional t n advantages, turning temporary factors into permanent plusses. Here is one of the examples of the superiority in development.

Freiman - Alekhine



Black is better developed, but he is a pawn down. If he tries to win it back at once White will get some drawing chances after 1...Bxg2 2 Bxg2 Qxg2 3 e3. So, Black should not let White play 3 e3. 1 ... Qa21 2 Kd1 No good is 2 e3 Na5 and White

2 ... Qb3+ 3 Qc2 Bxc3 4 Bc3 Be4! Capitalising on the pin, Black forces the Queen exchange, afterwards queening his pawn.

5 Oxb3 cb3 6 e3 b2 0 - 1

loses.

The following example is for vour self study:

Chervonov - Dumansky Championship of the Ukraine

> SUPPORT NEW ZEALAND CHESS

1	e4	e5
2	Nf3	Nc6
3	Bc4	Bc5
4	c3	Qe7
2 3 4 5	d4	ed4
_	200	A Made M A NY
	• 4	
	7 E I	國主權主國主
	6	
	5	
	4	○ 語 ☆
	3	
	2 宜頭	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
	1 600	四十四 四日
	-	cdefah
	a b	
6	0-0	Ne5
7	Nxe5	Qxe5
8	b4	Be7
9	Re1	dc3
10		Qh5
11	Nxc3	Nf6
12	e5	Ng4
13	h3	Nh6
14	Nd5	Bd8
15		gf6
16	ef6+	Kf8
17	Re8+	Kxe8
18		Kf8
19	0xh6+	Qxh6
20	Bxh6+	Ke8
21	Re1+	Be7
22		Kd8
23		1-0
	20	. •

Please answer the following questions: 1: Point out themove when Black thedecisive strategic made mistake. What sort of mistake was it? 2: Explain why Black's 6 ... Ne5 was bad. 3: What did White achieve by 8 b47

LESSON ONE - ANSWERS

42

1: ed. Black voluntarily conceded the centre. 2: By 6... Ne5 Black violated the principle of mobilisation of forces and fell behind in development. 3: By 8 b4 White seized the initiative and launched an attack.

LETTERS

Just a few comments on the joint letter of Arthur Pomeroy and Jonathan Sarfati in Vol13, No 5. For starters. I said nothing about avoiding draws per se, only about dissuading players from agreeing uncontested draws. I think the writers presumed that I was planning some drastic reallocation of the prize money, which was not the case. I had in mind 55%/30%15% split instead of 1/2, 1/3, 1/6. This would have meant that Sarfati and Pomerov would have been \$62.50 rather than \$41.67 worse off, compared with a last equal return with Garbett. In any case, I believe that first place deserves a small majority of the prize money: otherwise there may be no monetary difference between first equal and second equal (this would have happened. in fact, had Russell Dive and Ross Corrie drawn their lastgame). The suggestion that two players on 3½/4 would create a result to shut out others on 3/4 requires the addition al proviso that that these two have agreed to divide the money. Who, otherwise, would agree to <u>lose</u> a game? No organisers should consider possible collusion of this sort when structuring prize fund. In general, brevity of game not indicative of lack of courage or effort, but in this particular game, this is exactly what it indicated. Both players were afraid of missing out on a prize and neither expended significent effort in playing just one move. On the other hand Paul Garbett and Nigel Metge were prepared to "give it a go". Though Metge overpressed and lost eventually, he still had a draw in hand as late as the endgame (we have an

excellent photograph of the position). This level position was certainly not the result of "kamikaze" chess. Finally, if, as the writers suggest, assessment of past results is a factor , then why bother turning up to play? Once the organisers had received all the entries and done the neccessary research. could write and tell the entrants the precise finishing order. complete with tie-breaks. CHRIS BELL

Dear Sir,

Through the pages of New Zealand Chess I would like to thank those who organised the Plaza International Tournament. I thought the event was superbly run in excellent surroundings. They are to be congratulated on a fine effort and my only regret is that I couldn't attend every day because of distance.

What a great last round! So many last rounds are just a formality, but not this one. Blood was spilt and excitement was in the air for the large crowd.

I hope all clubs, but especially those in and around Wellington, capitalise on the interest.

May I also take this opportunity to thank you for the improved quality in the magazine. It is once again becoming a pleasure to read. MARTIN SIMS

I feel that Leigh McGregor's letter in the February Issue requires a response.

Leigh was "delighted" that few women were interested in a women's tournament, and felt that women's chess tournaments should "disintegrate" out of existence.

The problem in NZ is not so much that few women are interested in women's tournaments - the problem is that few women

participate in ANY chess tournaments.

We need to encourage women to play chess, and any attempt to do that - eg by holding a women's championship - should be applauded, rather than decried on some idealistic theory that it's "sexist".

The first women's championship I can remember held in Wellington in 1977 saw a field of 12 women competing - many of whom , including myself, had never played in a chess tournament before. It is by such tournaments that women can gain the initial impetus to play competitive chess.

On an international level,

if you took away the Women's Olympiad and made it open only, you'd be depriving hundreds of women all over the world the chance to take part in this great chess event. I doubt if a single woman would qualify for her national team - be she Maya Chiburdanidze ot Szusza Polgar.

The fact is, for whatever reason - be it biological or social - women in general do not play chess as well as men.

Given time and encouragement maybe this will some day change.

PS: I look forward to seeing Leigh McGregor participating in the next open tournament. North Island Champs perhaps?

Womens' CHESS

HTIMS NAIVIV

IN AN earlier column I posed the question "Where are all the women chess players?"

Recently I thought I'd try to find at least some of them. With the support of the Waitemata Chess Club, the following article was published in a local newspaper in April:

West Auckland women are being encouraged to take up chess in sessions set up by the Waitemata Chess Club.

Each Sunday informal chess playing and coaching afternoons for women are to be held at the Glen Eden home of the four-time national representative, Vivian Smith.

Waitemata Chess Club will provide the equipment and Mrs Smith and Waitemata's other national women's representative, Eve Tweddell will be on hand to teach and advise about chess.

Club President Peter Turketo says that for some inexplicable reason far fewer women than men play chess.

"I'm sure most women would agree they do anything as well as men, and we want to give

them the chance to do that in chess, he says. "Anyone who learns will

"Anyone who learns will find the game both challenging and rewarding."

The informal sessions are open to women who already know how to play chess, as well as those who want to learn the game.

The response might well surprise people who think women aren't interested in chess.

The phone rang hot with women - old, young, mothers, daughters - all delighted to be offered the opportunity.

There were 12 women at our first Sunday session (enough for a New Zealand Championship!) and since then I've had further inquiries, bringing the number of interested women up to 20. I might have to get a bigger house!

All the women at the first sessions knew the basics of the game. But none had any club experience - they had no confidence in their ability and clubs do not generally offer coaching, or even actively encourage people to join.

On the first Sunday I went over opening principles, notation, and other important things such as pawn structure.

The rest of the time was spent on social games.

Everyone went away very enthusiastic and I'm certain of a good turn out next week.

The idea is that these sessions will continue for some time

and hopefully, many of these women will gain enough confidence to join the Waitemata Chess Club.

I challenge all chess clubs throughout New Zealand to follow this example!

HEW SEALAND CHESS SWIPLES

PO BOX 42090 WAINUIOMATA PHONE (04) 648-578

The official stockist of chess books, sets, and all playing equipment for the New Zealand Chess Association. Chess computers a speciality - all leading brands stocked. NZ distributors of NOVAG and MEPHISTO World Champion models.

SEND FOR OUR FREE CATALOGUE

Some new books: Developments in the Orthodox Queen's Gambit 1984-87. 36 pp, 100 complete games J WAY \$9.50

A Line for White No 1 - The Caro-Kann Advance variation. 1 e4 c6 2 d4 d5 3 e5 12 pp, 24 games B JACOBS \$6

The English Defence ... e6 ... b6 ... Bb7
100 pp, 39 games Keene et al \$ 22.50

Child of Change (hard cover) 243 pp KASPAROV 434.50

AUCKLAND STOCKISTS IM Ortvin Sarapu — 8 Barrington Rd, Auckland 2 All playing equipment and chess stationery. School Supplies a speciality. Phone (09) 763-083

Richard Poor - Jason Books, 50 High Street, Auckland 1. (09) 790-266. Books, sets, clocks, etc. Chesss computers a speciality demonstration models available.

CHRISTCHURCH STOCKIST DAVID CAMERON - SCORPIO BOOKS, CNR HEREFORD ST & OXFORD TCE, CHRISTCHURCH. Books, sets, clocks etc. (03) 792-882.

*** NOW AVAILABLE - LIMITED EDITION ***

MEPHISTO MONDIAL 68,000 XL with 16-bit World Champion 'Dallas' program. (Only available US and Australasia). ELO 2161.

(Hallsworth)

PRICE: \$939 (incl adaptor)

EVERYTHING FOR NEW ZEALAND CHESS AT NZCS

45

AÀ

ASSOCIATION NEWS

The Plaza International tournament is now part of New Zealand chess history. The most prestigious tournament ever held here proved to be a major success with the players, the spectators and the media. Great credit must go to former NZ chess rep. Grant Kerr who organised the whole thing so well and to the Plaza Hotel who sponsored it. Thanks also go from the NZCA to the Gressier Stud at Otaki who sponsored pre-tournament coaching for some of the local players.

The next big international event involving local players is the Olympiad, to be held in Thessalonika from 12 to 30 November 1988. The teams are, in board order, Vernon Small, Jonathan Sarfati, Ortvin Sarapu, Russell Dive, Anthony Ker and Lev Aptekar (captain), and Fenella Foster, Winsome Stretch, Jackie Sievey and Lillian Terry. The Association is arranging group travel at discounted rates and fellow travellers are welcome. As plans are advancing rapidly, some urgency is recommended to those wishing to take advantage of this offer.

Some rather disappointing news now. The Active Chess tournament has been cancelled for 1988 and will be introduced next year instead. This is because the first prize was to be an all expenses paid trip to compete in the Asian Continental Active

Chess Championship, scheduled by FIDE's new rules for July to Sep-tember, but actually organised for 28-30 May in Singapore. The NZ round was planned for June.

Due to a combination of rather unfortunate circumstances, the NZ Junior was postponed until 4-6 June. It will be played at the Otago Chess Club rooms as a five round accelerated Swiss. Closing date for entries is 30 May.

The Bledisloe Cup has attracted only two entries, Auckland and Dunedin, who will contest the final, Auckland having the white pieces on board one. No entries were received for the Blackburn Cup.

The Chess in Schools project is now officially launched with the Wisdom in Chess books being delivered to the Department of Education. From the dozens of letters of thanks, support and requests for further contact which have already been received by the Association It is clear that colleges and high schools now have the book. Primary schools and Intermediates should get their copies soon. Incidentally, several GM's in the Plaza tournament, including Boris Spassky, gave good reviews to Wisdom in Chess and Eduard Gufeld will be recommending it to FIDE's Chess In Schools committee, of which he is a member.

TOURNAMENT CALENDAR

16-21 May North Island Champs, Wanganul CC 4-6 June Rank Xerox MZ Junior Champs, Dunedin 18-19 June NZ Active Chams **CANCELLED 2-3 July Waitakere Trust Open, Watemata CC 23 July 40-40 Tournament, Upper Hutt CC 29 Aug -3 Sep South Island Champs, Invercargill CC 5-10 Sep Mational Schoolpupils Champs, CC (Provisional) 22-24 Oct Labour Weekend Tournament, Upper Hutt CC 28 Dec-9 Jan RJI NZ, Reserve, Women's Major Open Champs Otago CC (Provisional)

*** CLUBS WISHING TO HAVE THEIR OPEN TOURNAMENTS LISTED

SHOULD NOTIFY THE EDITOR IN PLENTY OF TIME!!

OVERSEAS NEWS

By NM PETER STUART

HASTINGS

THE field for the New Year Hastings Tournament was stronger than for many years - and produced the first English solo winner since 1947. The 8-player double round-robin was won by Nigel Short with 9/14. Countryman Jonathan Speelman came in second on 8½ while Danish veteran Bent Larsen scored a double victory over close rival Murray Chandler to take third place with 8 points. Chandler shared fourth place on 7 points with Lev Psakhis (USSR) then came Benjamin (USA) and Nunn (ENG) om 6½ while Davies (ENG), the only non-GM, was last with 35.

REGGIO EMILIA

Also played over the New Year this event with an all-GM cast was won by Vladimir Tukmakov (USR) with 6/9. Close behind were Belyavsky (USR) and Christiansen (USA) on 5½ while a trio on 5 points comprised Portisch (HUN), Ribli (HUN) and Korchnoi (SWI). Then came: P Nikoloc (YUG) 4½; Andersson (SWE) 3½; Pinter (HUN) and Vaganian (USR) 2½.

AUSTRALIAN CHAMPIONSHIP

Played concurrently with the New Zealand Congress. Australian Championship held at Gosford (NSW). seed Darryl Johansen won the 36-player Swiss with 9½/13 ahead of Chris Depasquale on 9 point. Next were Terry Shaw and Steve Solomon on 83. Australia's only grandmaster. Ian Rogers, was not competing.

WIJK AAN ZEE

IN JANUARY this Dutch seaside town celebrated the 50th jubilee of the Hoogoven tournament which was, for many years, held in the nearby town of Beverwijk. A clash with the Candidates matches weakened

the field slightly but 12 GMs were joined by Dutch IMs Piket and van der Sterren in a category 13 field (average ratings 2572).

Karpov was the obvious favourite, but a round 2 loss to Predrag Nikolic left him trailing Andersson by a point after seven rounds, the Swede having won three consecutive games in rounds two through four to lead with 5½ points.

Andersson, however, could not win another game, while Karpov, apart from his second round hiccup, won every game with the white pieces while drawing the lot with black. Thus the former World Champion caught Andersson in round 10 and went ahead in round 12.

Hubner started promisingly with two wins but after a draw lost two games and then drew the rest. Ljubojevic also made a solid start with 3/5, but lost five of his last eight games.

The scores: 1 Karpov (USR) 9; 2 Andersson (SME) 8½; 3-4 Agdestein (NOR) and Georgiev (BUL) 7½; 5-7 Farago (HUN), Hubner (BRD) and Tal (USR) 6½; 8-10 Hansen (DEN), Nikolic (YUG) and Piket (NLD) 6; 11-13 Sosonko (NLD), van der Sterren (NLD) and van der Hiel (NLD) 5½; Ljubojevic (YUG) 4½.

During the tournament celebrations Dutch Bohm set a new world simultaneous record when he played oppopnents. recording over 90% with 509 wins, 38 draws and only 13 losses. That little exercise would have required almost 18.000 chess pleces and took a might over 25 hours. strain on both Bohm's extremities must have been prodigious! SAINT JOHN

A strong open tournament (75 players including 33 GMs and 29 IMs) was played concurrently with the Candidates

TO PAGE 52

17

by NM Ewen Green (TXS = The Exchange Sacrifice)

This article was largely done before the Plaza International tournament, so certain comparisons are now possible.

HOW OFTEN DO SACRIFICES OCCUR?

A homegrown statistical theme this time. I mean to show what and how often you could be sacrificing on average!

How frequently do sacrifices occur in a tournament? I don't believe that anybody in the world has the faintest idea to date, with the possible exception of myself.

False modesty aside, I have analysed the complete games of eight international tournaments played in the early part of 1986, and found that the average number games with an XS was approximately The total number of games analysed was 530. The percentage of XS's for each tournament ranged considerably from less than seven to more than seventeen percent. The tournaments with ten players or less which were held outside the USSR were the least sacrificially inclined.

Of course, such percentage figures are of little practical use, unless you apply them to something you are doing or are thinking about doing. So let me make a couple of assertions, just in case you were thinking of doing nothing of the sort!

I believe that as your playing strength improves, you sacrifice +2-0=1; Benoni +3-0=0). more often.

I also believe that sacrificing more often, you can probably improve your playing strength. Not as a result of "true" or "real" sacrifices. logical, foreseeable reasons, but of psychological processes.

THE 87/88 RJI NZ CHAMPIONSHIP

Yes, even in New Zealand there are sacrifices. I have analysed the 66 games played in the sacrifice.

Why analyse this tournament? Well, because I was there. Also fell into three major categories. because it represented a fairly Only one sacrifice was in the evenly-balanced rating

centred around 2250. This provides a useful comparison with the stronger tournaments which I have been analysing (2400 plus average rating).

A brief background to the tournament may be useful.

The overall score for White was +19 -9 =38. A very low percentage of decisive results, not helped by my own shameful tally of ten draws.

For some reason not a single flank opening was played. Queen's Pawn openings occurred 41 times, while King's Pawn openings took a back seat with 25. The result with 1. e4 was +6-3=16, while 1. d4 scored +13 -6 = 22.

Certain openings predominated. Against 1. e4 the Sicilian and one variation of the (Bronstein's 4. ... Nf6 5. Nxf6+ gxf6) were the most popular, despite not winning once for Black (+2-0=4 each).

Against 1. d4 the popular choice was the Nimzo-Indian (+ 4 -2 = 8 in White's favour), while the draws piled up for the Queen's Indian (+0-0=4) and the English 4N's (+0-0=3). When Black players tried aggression, they were cannon fodder (Grunfeld

WHAT COUNTS AS A SACRIFICE?

The sacrifices counted are only those which I considered to be

I excluded "temporary" or "sham" sacrifices, i.e. those made as part of a combination. Another way of putting it is "only where there was no forced method of immediately regaining the championship, for the frequency and degree of sacrificed material or giving checkmate".

> The sacrifices actually made spread, fourth category ("unclear"), and

this I have included in the "aggressive" category.

the themes of development, counter- the masters' average of one in attack, diversion, breaking the eight. bind, etc.

special case of defence, usually a counter-XS's. response to violent attack or WHO SACRIFICED? severe positional inferiority. It is in essence a means of staying in the game, hoping for errors from the opponent.

The "aggressive" category includes such themes as attack. initiative, development, and weakened pawn structure.

Actually, I am now totally dissatisfied with this method of categorisation, but will use it until I have worked out something more useful.

Defence Desperate Aggressive

WHAT WAS SACRIFICED? (Initial sacrifice only)

PAWN	•	0 1 0	3 1 2 (11
xs	0 0 1	0 1 1	0 1 1 (5)
PIECE	0 1 0	0 1 0	0 0 0 (2)

PIECE	010	010	0 0 0 (2)
TOTAL	0 2 4	0 3 1	3 2 3

Overall score: +3 - 7 = 8 from 18 games.

Some notes on all sacrifices (initial, subsequent and counter)

- 5 sacrifices were for attack - 16 sacrifices were defensive

(of which 8 were desperate) - 5 sacrifices had P-structure as a factor (but only 1 as the main factor)

- 6 sacrifices for initiative

- 5 sacrifices for development - 2 sacrifices for simplifying

1 sacrifice for a central pawn majority

- 1 game only featured a second pawn sacrifice

- 1 game only saw a pawn counter-sacrificed

As might be expected, the frequency of sacrifices was in line with the amount of material sacrificed.

Five games featured an XS. That's about 7.5 percent, or one in The "defence" category includes every thirteen games, well below

A total of seven XS's occurred The "desperate" category is a in the five games - there were two

Player	P	xs	Piece
Martin	2	2	0
E. Green	3	1	0
Garbett	2	1	0
Metge	1	1	1 (Rook)
P. Green	1	1	0

CONTINUED OVER PAGE

A slight mix-up occurred here when pasting down TXS hence this game...

T-T Dechin 1977

White: Hort Black: Alburt

Benko Gambit

1. d4 Nf6 2. c4 c5 3. d5 b5 4. cxb a6 5. Bxa6 g6 6. Nc3 Bxa6 7. Nf3 d6 8. g3 Bg7 Bg2 Nbd7 10. 0-0 Nb6 11. Rc1 0-0 12. Nd2 Qc7 13. Rb1 Qb7 14. b3 Nfxd5 15. Nd5 Nd5 16. Nf1 Nc3 17. Bxb7 Bxb7 18. Qd3 Be4 · 19. Qe3 Bd4 20. Qh6 Bxb1



21. a3 Ba2 22. Nd2 Rfb8 23. c4 Cxb4 24. axb4 Rxb4 25. Nf3 Bq7 26. Oh3 Be6 27. Qfl Bc4 Kg2 Ral 29. Ng1 Rbb1 30. Kh3 h5 31. f4 Be6+ 32. Kq2 Nd5 33. Kf3 Bc3 34. Rd1 Bc2 Resigned.

Sarfati	2	0	0	
Stuart	0	1	1	
Small	: 1	0	0	
Sarapu	1	0	0	
Smith	0	0	1	
Dive	0	0	0	

Player	R	esul	t	No. of
	+	-	=	Sacs
Martin	0	2	2	4
E. Green	1	0	2	4
Garbett	1	1	1	3
Metge	1	2	0	3
P. Green	1	0	1	2
Sarfati	0	1	1	2
Stuart	0	1	1	2
Small	0	0	1	1
Sarapu	1	0	0	1
Ker	0	0	1	1
Smith	0	1	0	1
Dive	0	0	0	0
	12.0			

None of which means that Russell Dive had a boring tournament or is not capable of one XS and one piece (of which six sacrificing - he didn't and he is. In fact, he rather went overboard desperately). The result was a in the Plaza tournament!

PREDICTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Kiwis don't sacrifice enough often score. enough for the right reasons.

there will be eight or nine XS's in the right reasons. Only the right the forthcoming West Plaza tournament. Kiwis will responsible for most of the defensive and desperate ones.

My post-Plaza retrodiction is: Of the 55 games actually played, 1. Ker - E. Green, NZ Ch 87/88. my preliminary analysis shows the following sacrifices occurred: (initial sacrifices only)

	Pawn	xs	Piece
	+ - =	+ - =	+ - =
Aggressive	3 3 3	3 0 1	0 2 0
Defensive	1 0 1	0 2 0	0 0 0
Desperate	0 2 1	0 0 0	0 1 0
Total	4 5 5	3 2 1	0 3 0

In total, there were 23 games out 55 featuring one or more sacrifices, with the sacrificers scoring +7 -10 =6. TXS's were on target - over 11

percent - and were the only type to score a nett plus.

The Kiwi players were more generous with their material in the Plaza, though even here amongst themselves the drawish tendencies of the NZ RJI Championship were in evidence.

If we divide Plaza contestants into NZ and OS (Spassky, Chandler, Gufeld, Polgar, Christiansen and Rogers), then decisive results by colour were:

(White score) + OS VS OS NZ vs OS NZ vs NZ 2

Unfortunately , the NZ vs OS score did not include a single NZ victory, despite some good chances.

The NZ group sacrificed eight times versus OS players; six pawns, aggressively and only disappointing +0 -7 =1.

The OS group sacrificed four times versus NZ players; one pawn My pre-Plaza conclusion was: and three XS's for a +3 -0 =1

Concluding conclusion: Kiwis My pre-Plaza prediction was: sacrificed enough often enough for results were lacking - this time. be THE GAMES

All five XS's from the NZ RJI Championship are here.

Nimzo-Indian, Saemisch.

An extended fortress. No good for winning chances as there is no passed pawn, but safe enough. though White could have done more to try and break on the K-side.

1. d4 Nf6 2. c4 e6 3. Nc3 Bb4 4. a3 Bxc3ch 5, bxc3 b6 6, f3 Ba6 7.e4 Nc6 8. e5 Nq8 9. Bd3 Na5 10. Oe2?! c5 11. Be3 Qc7 12. Rc1 Ne7!? 13. f4 d5?! (13. ... Nf5!) 14. cxd5 Bxd3 15. Qxd3 Nxd5 16. Bd2 c4 17. Qe4 Qc6 18. Nf3 Nb4 19. Qxc6ch Nbxc6 initial 20. Ng5 Rd8 21. Ne4 Rd5 22. Rb1 Ke7 23. 0-0 f5 24. Nd6 Rxd6 25. exd6ch Kxd6 26. a4 Ne7 27. Kf2 28. Ke2

Nb3?! (this N will later want to Rglch Kh7 60. Rcg4 Rh3ch 61. R4g3 break) 33. Ba3 Rf7 34. Re3 Rf6 35. 1/2 - 1/2. Rbel Rq6 36. Re2 Na1ch 37. Kb2 Nb3 38. Bf8 Rq4 39. q3 Rq6 40. Rg2 h5 3. P. Green - Metge, NZ Ch 87/88. 41. Be7 Rh6 (sealed and forced, since otherwise Bg5 locks the R the return of the Nb3, then thinks blunder. about how to engineer a q4 break without giving up the h-file or a 1. d4 f5 2. c4 Nf6 3. Nf3 g6 4. g3 passed black q-pawn) 42. Bb4 g6 43. Bq7 5. Bq2 0-0 6. 0-0 d6 7. Nc3 Nc6 Rel Rh7 44. Kc2 Rh8 45. h3 Rh7 46. 8. d5 Ne5 9. Nxe5 dxe5 10. e4 f4 Re3 Rh8 47. Kd1 Rg8! (with ideas of 11. gxf4? exf4 12. e5 Ng4 13. e6 q5) 48. h4 (Locking-up time!) Draw Ne5 14. Rel Oe8! (With the idea of agreed. 1/2 - 1/2.

2. Smith - Stuart, NZ Ch 87/88. Sicilian, Taimanov

Desperate measures succeed. White may have started missing the boat by following the usual method of (offering to return the lunch, exchanging pieces when ahead - in this case the Oueens.

1. e4 c5 2. Nf3 e6 3. d4 cxd4 4. 21. dxe6 Qxe6 (threat 22. ... Nxd4 5. Nc3 Qc7 6. f4 a6 7. Be2 b5 Bxh2ch) 22. Be3 (countering the 8. Nxc6 Qxc6 9. Bf3 Qc7 10. e5 Bb7 obvious, but allowing something 11. Ne4 Rc8!? 12. 0-0 h5?! 13. Be3 else ...) 22. ... Rxa8?? (... h4 14. a4 bxa4 15. Rxa4 Nh6 16. c3 which Black overlooks! Instead, Nf5 17. Bf2 Be7 18. Qd3 0-0 19. Rd1 after 22. ... Qh3 23. f4 Rxf4 White Qb8 20. b4 Bc6 21. Raal Bb5 22. Qd2 can resign) 23. Bf4 and Black Rfd8 23. 23. Bc5 Bxc5 24. Nxc5 d5 resigned instead. 1-0 25. Qf2 Qb6 26. Bq4 Nh6 27. Bh3 Rxc5! (Applause please! Otherwise 4. Garbett - Martin, NZ Ch 87/88. Black is positionally busted) 28. bxc5 Qc6 29. Rac1 Rc8 30. Oxh4 Qxc5ch 31. Qf2 Qxf2ch 32. Kxf2 g6 1. e4 e6 2. d4 d5 3. Nd2 c5 4. e5 winning here, but time trouble, 8. Nf3 cxd4 9. cxd4 f6 10. exf6 over-confidence or something Nxf6 11. 0-0 Bd6 12. Nc3 0-0 13. intervenes.) 33. g3 Kf8 34. g4 Bc4 Rel Bd7 14. Bg5 Ng4 15. Bh4 Nh6 16. 35. Rg3 Ng8 36. Bf1 Bxf1 37. Rxf1 a3 Rxf3!? (This is a known XS, Ne7 38. h4 Kg7 39. Rfd1 Rc7 40. Rd3 which White had deliberately Rc6 41. Rd4 Rc5 42. Ra4 a5 43. c4 provoked in the belief that it was Nc8 44. Rcal dxc4 45. Rxa5 Rc7 (... not quite sufficient. He changed and Black has salvaged good drawing his mind as the game went on! chances from the ruins. In what Fortunately for him, Black went follows, White attempts to make wrong somewhere.) 17. Qxf3 Nxd4 18. something of Black's weakness - Qh5 Ndf5 19. Bg5 Rf8 20. Bxh6 Nxh6 Pf7 - and his own strength - the h- 21. Re2 Nf5 22. Rf1 g6 23. Qg4 Kg7 pawn.) 46. Rc1 Nb6 47. Rb5 Nd5 48. 24. Qg5 Qc7 25. Kh1 Bf4 26. Qg4 h5 Kf3 c3 49. Rc2 Rc4 50. f5 gxf5 51. 27. Qh3 Nd4 28. Reel e5 29. Qh4 Qd6 gxf5 Rf4ch 52. Kg3 Rxf5 53. Rc5 Kg6 30. f3 Nf5 31. Qf2 a6 32. Bxf5 Bxf5 54. Re2 Rf1 55. Rc4 Rq1ch 56. Kf2 33. Rd1 d4 34. Rfe1 Qc6?! 35. Rxd4! Rc1 57. Rel Rc2ch 58. Kf3 Rh2 59. (a simplifying counter-XS, giving

return, but will not be able to) Rxh4 62. Rq7ch Kh6 63. Rxf7 Rh5 64. 29. Kd1 Nf6 30. Kc2 Ne4 31. Bc1 Kd5 Rf8 Kh7 65. Rf7ch Kh6 66. Rf8 Kh7 32. Re1 Rf8 (to provoke q3 - I did 67. Ke4 Rh4ch 68. Kd3 Nf4ch 69. Ke3 not want White's Rook in front of Nd5ch 70. Kd3 Nf4ch 71. Ke3 Nd5ch his pawns when he played the g4 72. Kf2 Rh5 73. Rf7ch Draw agreed.

Dutch, Leningrad

out/in/up/down - White now prevents Blood and thunder becomes thud and

q5 and a decisive concentration of force against White's weakened Kside. So White decides to take a lunch under dangerous conditions) 15. Nb5 f3 16. Nxc7 Qd8 17. Nxa8

fxq2 18. Re4 Bxe6 19. Rxe5 before the liberated overruns the white squares on the K-side) 19. ... Bxe5 20. Qe2 Qd6

French, Tarrasch

(White still has every chance of Nfd7 5. Bd3 c5 6. c3 Nc6 7. Ne2 Qb6

e.p. Agreed drawn. 1/2 - 1/2.

5. Dive - Martin, NZ Ch 87/88. Nimzo-Indian Def.

A delightful comparison can be made with the game Dive-Spassky from the Plaza tournament. There White used the same plan, ignoring Black's FROM PAGE 47 slight deviation (15. ... Be6), and suffered a total calamity, culminating in a very winning XS by Spassky!

1. d4 Nf6 2. c4 e6 3. Nc3 Bb4 4. e3 c5 5. Bd3 Nc6 6. Nf3 d5 7. O-O O-O 8. a3 Bxc3 9. bxc3 dxc4 10. Bxc4 Qc7 11. Bd3 e5 12. Qc2 Re8 13. dxe5 Nxe5 14. Nxe5 Qxe5 15. f3 Bd7 (This position has been reached dozens of times in master chess. White chooses an original and rather effective way of mobilising his central pawn majority) 16. Bd2 Rad8 17. Rf2 h6 18. Re1 Bc6 19. Bf1 Nd5 20. c4 Nb6 (a little offside!?) 21. Bc3 Qe6 22. Ba1 Rd7 23. Bd3 Rd6 (j'adoube!?) 24. e4 Red8 (normally Black would hope to control the dark squares - e5,f4 - after White achieves this advance, when White must weaken his K-side to make further advances possible. But here Black only has "control" of an empty highway - the d-file. To offset the pawns' advance, Black finds it necessary to weaken his own K-side, to the benefit of White's latent advantage of the 2 Bishops.) 25. Bf1 Qd7 26. f4 f6 27. Qc3 Rd4 28. Qq3 Rxe4 29. Rxe4 Bxe4 30. Bxf6 Rf8 31. Bc3 Rf7 32. h3 Qf5 33. Be2 Nd7 34. Bq4 Qq6 35. Qe3 Bc6 (A sacrifice born of desperation. time trouble or both; White has too many pieces lined up on the K-side. In the ending, Black hopes to focus on his Q-side majority and the White pawns weakened there. Ideally, Black would like to exchange two O-side pawns. retaining a passed pawn which his

good winning chances) 35. ... exd4 Bishop can protect from the centre 36. Oxd4ch Of6 37. Oxf4 Rd8 38. h3 or K-side, then build a fortress Rd7 39. Qb4 Kf7 40. Ne4 Bxe4 41. with what remains on the K-side. fxe4 Qe5 42. Rflch Kg7 43. Qf8ch White doesn't allow such dreams to Kh7 44. Rf7ch Rxf7 45. Qxf7 Kh6 46. come true - Black cannot cover both Qf8ch Kh5 47. Qb4 b5 48. Qd2ch Qf4 the Q- and K-side pawns while 49. Qd4 Kh6 50. Kg1 g5 51. Qb6ch reducing the pawn count.) 36. Be6 Kg7 52. Qb7ch Kf8 53. Qc8ch Ke7 54. Qe4 37. Qxe4 Bxe4 38. Rd2 Bc6 39. Of5 Oxf5 55. exf5 h4 56. g4 hxg3 Kf2 Kf8 40. Bxf7 Kxf7 41. Be5 Nb6 42. Rc2 Be4 43. Rc3 Bf5 44. q4 Be6 45. f5 Bxc4 46. a4 Bd5 47. Rxc5 Bc6 48. Bd4 Nxa4 49. Re5 a6 50. Re6 (goodbye K-side fortress) Black resigned. 1-0

(c) Copyright 1988 E. M. Green.

matches. American GM Joel Benjamin won the 9-round Swiss with 7 points while IM Michael Rohde (USA) and GM Mihai Suba (RUM) shared second place on 61/2. Thirteen players shared place on 6 points.

A second Open included some of the candidates and was won by Yasser Seirawan with 7/9. Sharing second were Damljanovic

and Lputyan on 61/2.

A World Blitz Championship was also held. In normal circumstances Kasparov would be an overwhelming favourite, but the system of knock-out matches employed meant a single mistake could be fatal. Only six of the candidates took part, but Kasparov and Karpov were seeded to meet in the final. Neither of them made it, however, as Karpov lost in the second round to Chernin 1½-2½ while Kasparov lost by the same score to Georgiev in the quarter-finals. the semi-finals Tal beat Chernin 4½-3½ and Vaganian accounted for Georgiev by the same score. Mikhail Tal won the world blitz title with a 4-0 whitewash.

A number of reports etc., had to be held over until the June issue.

CLUB DIRECTORY

DETAILS of the advertising rates for this page can be found on the inside front cover.

AUCKLAND CHESS ASSOCIATION: Contacts - President, Robert GIBBONS, phone 864-324: Secretary Winsome STRETCH, 3/33 Sunnyhaven Avenue, Beach Haven, Auckland.

AUCKLAND CHESS CENTRE meets Mondays 7.15 pm (tournament and casual play) at 17 Cromwell Street, Mt Eden, phone 602-042.

All chess players and visitors welcome.

DEVONPORT CHESS CLUB meets Tuesdays 7.30 pm in the Devonport Community House, Kerr St. Devonport, All Welcome, President: Philip HAIR 458-673; Secretary: David SHEAD 456-175, Posyal address: 18 Grove Rd. Devonport.

HOWICK-PAKURANGA CC meets Tuesdays 7.30 pm at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Community Complex. Contact President PAUL SPILLER 1/6

Kookaburra Place, Howick. Phone 535-4962.

NORTH SHORE CC meets Wednesday 7.30pm (tournament and casual play) in the Northcote Community Centre, onr College Road/ Ernie Mays St. Northcote, Postal address: PO Box 33-587, Takapuna. Contact - Feter STUART, phone 456-377. Visitors welcome. PAPATOETOE CC meets Wednesdays, 7-11pm at St Georges Anglican Church Hall, Landscape Road, Papatoetoe. Contact G Banks 279 8170 or J McRae 278 4520 (evenings). REMUERA CC meets 7.30pm Wednesdays at the Auckland Bridge Club,

273 Remuera Road, Remuera. Contact - K WILLIAMS, phone 543-762

(evenings).

WAITEMATA CC meets 7.30pm Thursdays at Kelson West Community Centre, onr Great North and Awaroa Roads. Postal address: PO Box 69-005, Glendene, Auckland 8. Contacts - Michael ASHE phone 836-8445 (res), 775-059 (wk) or Bob SMITH 818-4113 (res). HAMILTON CC meets Thursdays 7pm at the Hamilton Bowling Club, Pembroke Street, Hamilton. Contact Len WHITEHOUSE, 165 Galloway

Street, Hamilton. Phone 69-582. TAUPO CC meets 7.30pm Mondays at "Lakewood", 5a Fletcher Street,

Taupo. Contact: Joanne Rae, 83-285.

HASTINGS/HAVELOCK NORTH CC meets 7pm Wednesdays at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Road, Havelock North. Contact → Mike Earle phone 776-027.

PALMERSTON NORTH CC meets 7.30pm Tuesdays at the Palmerston North Intermediate School, Ferguson Street, Palmerston North, Contact -President JOHN CHAPMAN Phone 80337; Secretary Allen Blackburn Phone 64522.

CIVIC CC meets 7.30pm Tuesdays at Wellington Bridge Club, Moturoa Street. Thorndon. Contact - Brent SOUTHGATE, phone 757-604. LOWER HUTT CC meets Wednesdays at St James Social Hall, Woburn Road, Lower Hutt. Contact - Nathan GOODHUE, 28 Waikare Avenue,

Lower Hutt. Phone 696-420.

UPPER HUTT CC meets 7.45pm Mondays, at Fraser Crescent School Hall, Redwood Street, Upper Hutt. Contact Anton REID, 16 Hildreth Street, Upper Hutt. Phone 288-756.

NEW ZEALAND CORRESPONDENCE CHESS ASSOCIATION, PO Box 3279, Wellington, Local and overseas play. Contact - J W (Sandy)

MAXWELL, phone 367-682.

CANTERBURY CC meets 7.30pm Wednesdays at the clubrooms, 227 Bealey Avenue, President Murray SIM, phone 885-113; Secretary Owen Thomson, phone 519-351. Club Rooms Phone 63-935. NELSON CC meets 7.30pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact - Tom VAN DYKE, phone Richmond 8178 or 7140. Visitors Welcome.

OTAGO CC meets 7.30pm Wednesdays and Saturdays at 7 Maitland Street, Dunedin. Phone 776-919 (clubrooms). Contact - Arthur PATTON, 26 College Street. Phone 877-414.