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GM TITLES AWARDED AT FIDE GENERAL
ASSEMBLY 1986

| Ardiansyah RIN | Dlugy | USA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arnason | ICE | Eingorn | USR |
| Barlov | YUG | Fedorowicz | USA |
| Benjamin | USA | Ferdandez | SPA |
| Bonsch | DDR | Granda | PER |
| Campora | ARG | Groszpeter | HUN |
| Dake | USA | M. Gurevich USR |  |
| Gutman | ISR | Lau | BRD |
| Lerner | USR | Morovic | CHI |
| Petursson | ICE | Salov | USR |
| Sunye | BRA |  |  |

Also Adianto (RIN), Lukacs (HUN)
and Murshed BAN) were awarded the
title conditional on their title conditional on
achieving a rating of 2450.

## EDITORIAL

In this editorial undersigned is obliged to introduce himself as the temporary editor of the NZ Chess magazine.

Bob Mitchell, who put in so much sterling work as secretary of the N.Z.C.A. and as editor of the magazine, decided he had to give up these contributions because of work-pressure.

His continuing interest in our ambitious "Chess in Schools" project will be more than enough for him now.

On top of this he did not think the work involved in the magazine was warranted for only 400 subscribers and he proposed to let the magazine go in recession until more signs of appreciation became visible.

For me the decision to stop publication of the magazine was unthinkable and the majority of the N.Z.C.A. Council agreed with me on this point.
Alas I was the only person prepared to organise the job until we find somebody who is better qualified.

Please see this as a rescue operation by someone who is inexperienced in this field and who can do with all help possible.
What we need is well-prepared copy, 600 subscribers, and last but not least continuous constructive critisism. Rest assured I am doing my best.

Ab Borren

HASTINGS/HAVELOCK NORTH
WIN INTERCLUB CLASS
Hastings/Havelock North Chess club retained the Pete's Bishop Trophy for the third year in succession, by defeating Napier Chess club 8 points to 4 over at Havelock at Havelock North High School, on Wednesday. The first round in April was won by Napier $61 / 2$ to 5 l/2. Hastings/Havelock North ran out the overall winners by $131 / 2$
to $10 \quad 1 / 2$. There were several to $10 \mathrm{l} / 2$. There were several aramatic games and time scrambles and with forty moves to be played by each player in the three hous adjudicated at the end of the
session.
Results (Hastings/Havelock North players mentioned first).

Board one: D. Lynch $1 / 2 \mathrm{M}$. Lancaster $1 / 2$; Board two: M. Earle $1 / 2$ J. Benson $1 / 2$; Board three $R$ craig 1 R Lamont 0 ; Board four A. Robin 0 M. MacFarlane 1 ; Board five W. McLean 1 N. Milman 0; Board six J. Locke 1 P. Egermayer 0 ; Board seven J. Blake 1 P. McIvor 0 ; Board eight Bao Nguyen 1 A. Flett 0 ; Board nine M. Dunningham 1 C. Wilcox 0 ; Board ten $P$. Turner $0 \quad P$. Petaven 1 , Board eleven R. Gordon l R. Deverick ; Board twelve P. Crowe

## LOCAL NEWS

Fletcher Placemakers Tournament Jpper Hutt, Labour Weekend, 1986 by Chris Bell
[We apologise for not being able to include this article in the previous issue of our magazine Ed.]

The Upper Hutt club acquired its second tournament of the year by agreeing to stage this annual Labour Weekend event. Fletcher placemeakers kindly continued their sponsorship of previous years and, at the eleventh hour, contribution to the prize fund.
The initial entry of seventeen players was disappointing, with players was disappointing, with only five supporters from the host club and another local club not represented at all. consequently, a single grade. Of even greater a single grade. of even greater concern was the non-appearance of
one player and the withdrawal of one player and the withdrawal of
two others between rounds. Apart two others between rounds. Apart
from these hiccups, the tournament was a DoP's dream. only one game went to adjournment and only two were drawn.

Peter King clinched the upset prize in round 1 by beating Ross Corry after the latter had chosen an inferior line against the Schliemann and then sacrificed a piece unsoundly. Jonathon ChandRussell Dive marched his king across the board to win a most impressive game.

Round 2 was more predictable, with the leading quartet preserving perfect scores. Greg Aldridge was slightly fortunate in still having a winning adjourned position after frittering away a huge advantage against Don Stracy.

So to Sunday morning when Aldridge came unstuck against Dive, and Anthony Ker and Peter Hawkes played a very exciting draw. Once again, all the other games went according to rating.

The mini round-robin continued in the afternoon when Ker cracked under the pressure of defending a cramped position to leave Dive the only player with the certainty of a prize. Aldridge, having reduced indecision finished off with an indecision, finched
incisive mating combination
on the final day, Corry, the tie Dive for' first place to overlooked a winning bishop check ofter having winning bis check ent positionally Aldridge and positionally. Aldriage, Ker, the rest $f$ the field so much as a half point against the top four. half point against the top four. the olympiad with an excellent the olymian over chandler attacking win over Chandler
The final standings were: 1 Dive 5; 2 Aldridge 4; 3-4 Hawkes, Ker 3.5; 5-8 King, Corry, Sievey, de Borren, Braddock 2; 13 Hamptón 1.5; 14-15 van der Hoorn, Aburn 1; 16 Foster 0.5

Prizes totalled $\$ 440$
A. Ker - P. Hawkes Czech Benoni

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e5 4 Nc3 d6 5 e4 Be7 6 Nf3 $0-0 \quad 7$ Bd3 Nbd7 8 Qe2 a6 9 h3 Nes 10 g4 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Nc7 } & 11 & \mathrm{~g} 5 & \mathrm{R}! & \mathrm{Rb} 8 & 12 & \mathrm{Bd} 2 \\ \mathrm{~g} 5 & 13\end{array}$ cxb5 axb5 14 Nxb5 f5!? 15 Nxc7 Qxc7 16 exf5 Rxb2 17 Qe4 c4! 18 f6! cxd3 19 fxe7 Re8 20 g6 Nf6 21 gxh7+ Kh8 22 Qxd3 e4 23 Qd4


Black now has a choice between two practically incalculable lines. The move Black played fragments White's forces, wins two pawns,
and obtains a central position for the queen. 23 ... Qb7 (The major alternative is 23 ... exf3 24
 fxe3 f2+ -+] Ne4 28 Kdl Be2+ 29 Rxe2 fxe2+ $30 \mathrm{Kxe2}$ Qxd5 with a position which is still very unclear) 24 Nh4 Rbl+ 25 Bcl Rxal 26 Qxal Qxd5 27 Rgl Rxh7 28 Ng6! Bf5 29 Rg5! e3! 30 Nf8+ Kh8 31 Ng6+ Kh7 32 Nf8+ Kh8 33 Ng6+ Kh7 Draw agreed.
A prudent decision, bearing in mind that Black had only four minutes left for seven moves. But he is actually winning: $33 \ldots$ Kg8 34 fxe3 (appears forced) $\mathrm{Qh} 1+$ $35 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Qh} 2+36 \mathrm{Kel}$ Qxh3 with the unanswerable threat of Qhl+, Bxg6, and Qh5+.
R. Corry - R. Dive

Alekhine's Defence
1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg4 5 Be2 e6 6 O-O Be7 7 C4 Nb6 8 exd6 cxd6 9 b3 $0-0 \quad 10$ Nc3 Nc6 $11 \quad \mathrm{Be} 3$ d5 12 C5 Nd7 13 b4! a6 (Not 13 ... Nxb4? 14 Rbl a 15 Nf6) 14 Rbl Bxf3? (Better is Ne5 15 Bxf3 Bg5 16 Qd2 h6 17
 (Creating a weak e-pawn for imself) 19 a4 Nf6 20 b5 axbs 21 axbs Ne7 22 Ral (Preventing 23 bs 22 ... Qxf8 26 Rfl 0g7 27 Bh5 (27 Bg4 is f2 Ki 29 Bh5! but instead 28 Qf2 Kg7 29 Bh5! but instead 28 $\cdots$ Nf5 (If ... Rf8, then 28
 Nf5 31 Qe5!) 28 g4 Nh4

(Now 29 Bf7+ Kh8 30 Bxe6 Nf3+ 31 exf3 32 Bxd5 is winning,

Qb2? Nf3+ 30 Kh1 Qf8 31 Rf2 Qb8 32 Qbl (Hoping to get in 33 Rxf3) 32 ... Qf8 33 Kg 2 Qf6 34 c6 bxc6 35 b7 Rbs 36 Be8 Qf8! 37 Bh5 Qd6 38 Kh3 Kh8 39 Ra2 Qc7 40 Ra7?? Qxh2\#

## The 13th Winstone Tournament

by Peter Stuart
Seventy-two players turned up to compete for more than $\$ 1500$ in the two grades of this, the 13th tournament sponsored by Winstone Ltd and organised by the North hore Chess Club.
There was one somewhat controversial entry in the "person" of a Constellation Forte computer entered by Fenella Foster. The North Shore committe was reluctant to admit the machine in the knowledge that many players disliked playing against computers; however, the distance travelled by the Fosters was taken into account and a computer took part for the first time in this ournament.
During the tournament $I$ polled each human player in the open with the question: "If you had the option, before the tournament started, of declining to be paired against any computer, would you avail yourself of that option? frequently adopted in practicen frequently adopted in American open tournaments. Twenty of the they would players stated that potion. of the 13 players over 2000 only two whuld be happy to 2000 , only two would be happy to meet" computers.
refusenik" commented that he did not mind playing computers in accepted that a sponsor antering his own computer would be an exception Food for thought hm Apart from a late start caused by a large number of last-minute by a large number of last-minute entries, the tournament ran smoothly under the direction of lucky Auckland is to have four or five competent tournament directors.

The lead in the open changed hands repeatedly. Ortvin SARAPU, Paul GARBETT, and Robert SMITH the top three seeds) were the only players to win their first two games. Garbett then took sole lead in the third round when he beat Peter GREEN in a very nice game (annotated by Paul, below) while sarapu and Smith battled to a draw in an unusual Pirc Defence. peter WEIR made up a trio on 2.5 points when Ralph HART blundered on the white side of a Dragon and ost in 15 moves.
After round four, sarapu and Smith were back in the lead. Sarapu allowed Garbett to trap his queen but, subsequently, ortvin trapped Paul's queen and emerged with a material plus which event ually won (annotated by below). Smith took advantage of Neir's over-exposed queenside to win a pawn and, eventually, the game. these results with Garbett field to close in with bant being joined on three points by Michael Hopmat, Graeme SPATN imon Fllzparick, Graene SpAIN and the produced a grite home about.

Both fourth round leaders got by a resurgent Garbett and Sarapu by an emergent (after his Swiss Gambit) Metge who wasted no time gobbling up the a-pawn which arapu areless become isolated 7 ats celm play , was that.
Stuart and Fitzpatrick gave up the chase for a share of first fizel when to a draw fter about 25 moves but a determined vichael How moves, but a din in the game to finish joining Garbett game to finish, joining Garbett shared \$750 Sarapu, Smith Stuart and Fitzpatrick were joined by Wir and Michael FREFMAN share $\$ 170$.
Although Hopewell was favoured by the tie-break scores Garbett playing the first, third, and
fourth seeds whereas Hopewell met only one of the top ten. The main casualties were Green, Hart, and the Constellation Forte. Green was a little unlucky in that both Garbett and Freeman picked him to
play their best game against. The play their best game against. The computer was entered with an estimated rating of 2000 but this proved to be nonsense. Craig BLAXALL (1621) called it "The coy" on his scoresheet for their second round clash and this seelns apt in
view of the machine's 1514 performance rating
The under-1900 grade prize was Won by fast-improving Barry MARTIN-BUSS who counted Metge among his victims. Equal second were Robert BAUMGARTNER, Keith OKEY, and Greg SPENCER-SMJTH.

Julius BOJTOR scored a popular victory in the B-grade toummanent when he beat John o'CoNNoR, the sole leader after four rounds. in the final round. Top seed simon joined orconnor in second place joined o connor in second prace loses next three shared $\$ 250$
M. HOPEWELL - FITZPATRICK, Queen's Pawn
1 Nf3 d5 2 g3 NC6 3 d4 Bg4 4 8c2 1 Nf3 d5 2 g3 NC6 3 d4 Bg4 4 Bg2 $8650-0$ Qf6 6 BG5 Qf5 7 N

10...Qxd2 11 Nxc6 Bxe2 12 Nb + Ke7 13 Qd7+ Kf6 14 Qd8+ Kg6 15 Qxf8 Bxfl Qxb2 17 cxa5 Qe5 18 d6 Nf6 19 Qxh8 Qxd6 20 Qc8 Rxb8 21 Qc2 +Kh 6 , f/2 - 1/2 (After 22 Qcl+ g5 f4!? the postition is unclear).

SARAPU - SMITH, Pirc Defence:
1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc3 4 f4 Bg7 5

Nf3 $0-0 \quad 6$ Be2 C5 7 d5 e6 8 0-0 esd5 9 e5 Ne4 10 Nsd5 dxe5 11 Nxe5
 Rc8 15 Nxd7 Qxd7 16 Qb3 Rfe8 17 Radl Bsd5 18 Rxd5 Qe6 19 Bf2 b6 20 h3 Qf6 21 Qd3 Rcd8 22 Rdfl Bf8 23 f5 gxf5 24 b3 Re5 25 Bh4 Rxd5 26 Bsf6 Rxd3 27 Rxd3 Rb8 28 Be5 Rd8 29 Bd5 h6 30 Rf3 Kh7 31 g4 Bg7 32 Bxd6 Rxd6 33 Rsf5 Bd4+ 34 Kg 2 f 6 $35 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 736 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 737 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{I} / 2-$ 1/2.
P. GREEN - FREEMAN, Modern Benoni:

1 d4 e6 2 c4 Nf6 3 g3 c5 4 d5 exd5 d6 $6 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 67 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 78 \mathrm{Nf3} 0-09$ $0-0$ a6 10 a 4 Nbd7 11 Nd2 Re8 12 Nc4 Nb6 13 Na3 Bd7 14 Bd2 (Varying from 14 Qc2 as played against Garbett in round 3 14...Rb8 15 Qc2 NC8 16 Qd3 Ng4 17 e4 b5 18 axb5 19 Naxb5 Ne5 20 Qe2 Bxb5 21 Nxb5 Qd7 22 Nc3 Rxb2 23 Rfbl Rb6 24 Ra5 Ng4 25 Rxb6 Nxb6 26 Bh3 f5 27 Qa6 NC8 21 Bxg $1 \times 3$ 2 34 N2 285 34 Qg2 Qxg2+ 35 Kxg 2 Bxe 36 Bxe3 Ne7 3 Rxi8 Nxi8 38 Bf4 Ni5 39 h3 Nb5 43 Ra2 Nxd5 46 f5 gxf5 47 gxf5 Ne7, 0-1.
N. HOPEWELL - P. GREEN Nimzoindian:

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 c Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 c5 5 Nf3 d5 6 bd3 $0-0 \quad 7$ a3 $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & \text { Bxc3+ } \\ 5\end{array}$ bxc3 dxc4 9 Bxc4 Qc7 10 Qc2 Nbd7 $\begin{array}{llllll}11 & 0-0 & \text { e5 } & 12 & \operatorname{Bd} 3 & R e 8 \\ 13 & d x e 5 & N x e 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}11 & 0-0 & \text { e5 } & 12 & \text { Bd3 Re8 } \\ 14 & \text { Nxe5 } & \text { Qxe5 } & 15 & \text { f3 Be6 } & 16 & \text { e } 4 \\ \text { c } 4 & 17\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Qc1 Nd7 } 21 & \text { Be3 Qa5 } 22 \text { Qc2 Nc5 } 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Q4 Red7 } & 24 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{f} 6 & 25 & \mathrm{f} 5 & \mathrm{Bf} 7 & 26 \\ \mathrm{a}\end{array}$
 Ne4 30 Qh4 Nd6 31 Qh6 Ne8


32 Rab1 Qc7 33 Bxa7 R3d6 34 a5 Qxa5 35 Rxb7 Rxf6 36 Rxf7 Kxf7 37 Qxh7+ Ng7 38 Rxf6+ Kxf6 39 Qh4+ g5 40 Qf2+ Kg6 41 h4 Qxc3 42 h5+ Kh7 43 Kh2 Qd3 44 Be3 Qe4 45 Be6 Nxe6 46 Qf7+ Ng7 47 Bxg5 Rd6, 0-1.

BENNETT - STUART, Sokolsky
1 b4 e5 2 Bb2 Bxb4 3 f4 (The 'Waikato" variation, practiced by Hamiltonians Peter Hensman and Hiton Bennet; it is not mentioned in ECO) 3...d6 4 Nf3 165 e4 exf4 6 Bc4 Qe7 7 0-0 Be6 8 Qe2 Nd7? 9 d4 Bxc4 10 Qxc4 c5 (Now realising that the intended 10...Ba5 drops a pawn to 11 Qd5) 11 Nc3 Bxc3 12 Bxc3 Nb6 13 Ad3 C4 14 Qd2 Nh6 15 Qxf4 $0-0 \quad 16$ a4 f5! 17 e5 Nd5 18 exd6 Qe3t 19 Qxe3 Nxe3 20 Rfcl Rfd8 21 Rabl b6 22 Bb4 Nf7 23 Bd2 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Nd5 } 24 & \text { Ne5 } & \text { Nxd6 } 25 & \text { Rel } & \text { Rac8 } & 26\end{array}$ Rbd1 27 g4 Nxd2 28 Rxd2 Rxg4 29 Nxg4 C3 30 Rde2 Rc4 31 Re8+ Rxe8 32 Rxe8+ Kf 33 Rd8 Rxd4 34 Rd7 Ke6 35 Rxg 7 Rdit 36 Kf2 h5 37 Ne3 Rd2+ 38 Kr3 Nxe3 39 Kxe3 Bxc2 40 Rxa7. Rxh2 41 Re7 22 Kas h4 43 a5 44 Re6t Kas 45 Ra6 c1Q 46 Rxaa $5+$, 0 - 1.

> The following position arose after 27 moves of the game


Play continued: 28 Qf4+ g5 29 Qxf7 Rhe8 (Perhaps 29...Bf5 is a try) 30 h3? (White missed 30 Rle6+! which at least draws, e.g 30... Bxe6 31 Qxe6+ Kh5 $32 \mathrm{~g} 4+$ ! Kh4 33 Rxe8; Black cannot play 31...Qg6 in this because of 32 Qh3+ Qh5 33 Rxh7+ Kxh7 34 Qxh5 winning. After the text Black is
winning) $30 .$. Rxe7 31 Rxe7 Qdl+ 32 Kh2 Qd6+ 33 g3 Bf5 34 Qg7+ Kh5 35 Re5 Rd7 36 Oh8 Rf7 37 Qe8 Bg6 38 Re2 Rxf2+, 0 - 1

SPAIN - GIBBONS, French Defence:
1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 dxe4 4 Nre4 Be7 5 Nf3 Nf6 6 Nxf6+ Bxf6 7 Bd3 $0-0$ ?! (To be preferred is 7...Nc6 8 c3 e5 which ECO assesses as slightly better for white) 8 h4!? Nc6 (Perhaps 8...h6 is better although White already has a fixed target for attack in the black king) 9 Bxh7+ Kxh7 10 Ng5+ Kg8 Forced) 11 Qh5 Re8 (Again forced) 12 Qxil course, force a deaw by repetition th for the win as the rame oroco it seems that Black has puite adequate defensive resource qut it is quite likely that whit out it is quite likely that whe an lmprove 14 (96 $150-0-0$ (15 0h7+ Kf8 16 Og6 Ke7 17 Of7+ Kd8 en7 K8 continuing attack but $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 8$ continuing attack but $16 \ldots$ Kg8 repeats) $17 . . \begin{gathered}\text { exd } \\ \text { would simply }\end{gathered}$ open up more attacking lines for White) 18 Oh8 $+\mathrm{Ng} 819 \mathrm{BC} 5+\mathrm{Be} 720$ Ne6t Oxe6 (Forced since 20...Kf7? 21 Qxg7 is mate) 21 dxe6 Bxc5 22 21 (Black has ample compensation for the queen bu the attack for the queen bu the attack
continues) $22 \ldots$...Bxe6 23 h6 gxh6 24 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rxh6 Bd } & 25 \text { Rf6+ } & \text { Bf7 (Not }\end{array}$ 25...Ke7? Q97+ Kd8 27 Rxe6! winning) 26 Rd 3

26...Ke7? (Up to know Black has defended splendidly but here he goes grievously astray. The only move was $26 . . . e 4$ ! not only keeping the white rook out of f3 but also threatening Be5. Black will emerge with two rooks plus minor piece for the queen and likely win) 27 Rfd3 Rf8 28 R6f5 Rad8 (Now the simple 29 Qh7 wins the Bf7 although spain found a slightly moe complicated, although equally effective, way to win.

The alternative 28...e4 is met by 29 Re3! c6 30 Rxe4+ Kd7 31 Qg7 or 29...Ke6 30 Qh3! winning) 29 Qh4+ Ke8 30 Rxf7 31 Rxf7 Be7 32 Qh5 Rd7 $33 \mathrm{Rg} 7+, 1-0$
P. GARBETT - O. SARAPU Ruy Lopez

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 3 Bb5 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 $0-0$ e7 6 Rel b5 6 Rel b5 7 Bb3 0-0 8 c3 d6 9 h3 Na5 10 Bc2 c5 11 d4 Qc7
Originally $I$ planned to play 11...Nd7 but somehow I played differently instead
12 Nbd2 csd4 13 cxd4 $\operatorname{Bd7} 14$ Nf1 Rac8 15 Ne 3 Nc 616 d 5
I do not think White has much gain by closing the centre unless perhaps there is a slow kingside attack.
16...Nb4 17 Bb1 a5 18 B3?

Setting a trap for Black which he deliberately falls into.
18...Qc3!?

Black could also play more carefuly with $18 \ldots$ Nh5 when 19 Nxes dxe5 20 Qxh5 Qc3! is unpleasant vor White but the first 19 Qd2 Qxal 10 Bb 2
Got you!
20...Qxbl 21 Rxbl Nxe4 22 Qel Nd3! this was the move that persuaded me to sacrifice the queen. Black has for his queen 'only' $R+B+P$ but there is also the initiative and the lack of coordination of the white pieces.
23 Qxa5
on 23 Qe2 or 23 QfI Black simly taked the pawn on f2.
23...Ra8!

Suddenly the white queen is also trapped!


24 Qc7 Nac5
Black now thratens Bd8 or even Rfcs winning the queen. Paul now
spent half an hour on his next move. There is nothing better than sacrificng the knight extricate the queen.
25 Nxe5!? dxe5!?
I spent 20 minutes here. on 25...Bd8 follows 26 Qxd7 Nxd7 27 Nxd7 Re8 28 Nf5 with plenty of compensation for the exchange. After the text the queen escapes but Black will have $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{N}$ for her majesty.
26 Qxe5 Bf6 27 Qh5 Rxa2 28 Bxf6 Nxf6 29 Qd1 Nce4
The double threat of NC3 and Nxf2 gives black a winning position aalthough there are still some technical difficulties.
30 Qd Rxf2 31 Rb 2 Rxb2 32 Qxb2 Re8 33 Qd4 h6 34 Kh 2 h 5
Black lost a move here as the pawn could move to h5 in one hop. As White is unable to make any play and must wait it does not invilve any loss of time.
$35 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Ng} 336 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 4+37 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 8$ 38 Qb2
Preventing the thratend Ra2 and Rf2+.
38...Rc8 39 Qe5 Rc3 40 b4 Rd3

Better was 40 ...Rb3 winning the b-pawn as 41 Qe7? will be answered by $41 \ldots$ Rxe $3+$ and $42 \ldots$ Nd5+. white will have to take this combination into account all the time.
41 Ke2 Rd2+ 42 Ke1 Ra2 43 g4
Paul later regretted thismove which only helps Black. A waiting move like 43 Kd1, however loses after 43...N12 $2+$ and $4 \ldots$...Nas in the long run Black should always be able to win the b-pawn
3...NT2 44 Qc7 Nxh3 45 gxh5 Ng5! 46 Kdl Nge4 47 Qb8+ Kh7 48 Qf8 Ng5!
Allowing white no counterplay at 19.

With the idea of slowly winning, with the ide
pawn by pawn
50 d6 Rxh5 $51 \mathrm{Qc} 2+$ Nge4 52 Kcl g6 53 Qc7 Be 654 0c6 Kg7 45 Kc 2 Rh 56 Qxb5 Nxd6 57 0a6 $\mathrm{Rh} 2+58 \mathrm{Kd3}$ Nde4 59 Kd4 Rd2+ 60 Ke5 Nf2!
So as to meet 61 b5 with 61...Nd3+ So as to meet
and $62 . \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 4+$
61 Kf4 Nd3+ 62 Kf3 Nxb4 63 ( Nfd5 64 Qalt f6 65 Qa7+ Bf7, 0

## Green - Garbet

Modern Benoni
(Annotations by Paul Garbett)

| d4 | Nf6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| c4 | e6 |
| g3 | c5 |
| d5 | exd5 |
| cxd5 | d6 |
| Nc3 | g6 |
| Bg2 | Bg7 |
| Nf3 | O-O |
| O-O | Re8 |
| Nd2 | $a 6$ |
| 3.4 | Nbd7 |
| NC4 | Nb6 |
| Na3 | Bd7 |

I'm a real novice in the Benoni but actually have been in this position before, also against Peter Green, at Easter 1986. Then I played 13 ... Rb8 14 Bd2 Bf 5 15 a5 Nc8 16 NC4 Ne4 17 Bel! Bd4?! 18 Nxe4 Bxe4 19 Bxe4 Rxe4 20 Qd3 f5 21 e3 Bg7 22 Bc 3 with slightly better prospects for White. For a good example of how an apparently anesthetised Benoni can come to life and produce a sprightly finish, I give the rest of the game: $22 \ldots$ Qd7 23 Bxg 7 Qxg7 $\quad 24 \quad \mathrm{f} 3$ Re8 $\quad 25$ e4 Qf $\quad \begin{array}{llllll}26\end{array}$ exf5? (This doesn't work out too well. After the game 26 e5 was found to be dangerous, although Black should hold.) 26 ... gxf5 27 Rfel Na7 28 Ne3 Rf8 29 Ra4 Nb5 30 Rf4 Rbe8 31 g4 Qh4! 32 Rf1 Qh6! 33 Ng2 Nd4 34 Rf2 fxg4 35 Rxg4+ Kh8 36 f4 Re7 37 Ne3 Qh5 38 Rfg2 Nf3+ 39 Kf2 Ne5 40 Qc3 Ref7 41 Kg3 Rxf4 42 Rxf4 Qg5+ $43 \mathrm{Kf2}$ Qxf4+ 44 Ke 2 Qf3+ $45 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 4 \quad 46 \mathrm{Kc} 1 \mathrm{Rd} 4 \quad 47 \mathrm{Rd} 2$ Qh1+ 48 Kc2 Qe4+ 49 Kdl Nd3 50 Ke2 Nf4+ 51 Kd1 Qbl+ 52 Qcl Rxd2+, 0 - 1

## $140 C_{2}$

The main line is 14 a5, but Black's control of b5 gives equal prospects.

14 ...
Rbs

With the possibility of $b 4$ in
mind, but is the combination it allows sound? Probably best is 15 Bd2.

15 ...

## Nxa4!?

Sound or not, terrific fun and a good practical chance.
16 Nxa4

## Bf5!

The alternative, 16 ... b5, is best met by 17 NxC5 dxc5 18 Qxc5 Rxe2 with a very obscure position Rxe2 with a very obscure position
in which I have a slight in which I have

## 17

After most queen moves, $17 \ldots$ b5 would be very strong as white cannot capture twice on c5.

| 17 | $\ldots$ | Nxe4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | g4 | Bxg4 |
| 19 | Bxe4 | b5 |
| 20 | Ral |  |

Probably best, this removes the possibility of Rxe4 followed by Bf5.

$$
20 \text {... Bh3 }
$$

This is the critical position.


21 Nc3
White gives back material to safeguard his king but, after this, Black's earlier combination seems justified. The critical test is 21 Bg 2 when Black can go for the king with $21 \ldots$ Bxg2 ( 21 ... Qh4 22 Bxh3 Qxh3 23 Bf4 holds) or play $21 \ldots$ Bf5 relying on more active piece positioning and control of space as compensation for the small material deficit. The second course seems
more promising though lines such as 21 ... Bxg2 22 Kxg 2 Qh4 23 f3! (certainly not 23 f4 Qg4+ and
 obscure indeed

On 21 Bg2
bxa5 23 Nc4 Pb4 22 QdI (22 Qd2 pretty horrible for White) 22 looks bra4 23 Nc4 ( 23 Oxa4 Rb4 24 Oxa Qh4 must be deadly) 23 ... Rb4 24 Ne3 Rd4 is very anno.. Rb4 These are just a few possibilities in the myriad complications. on balance, Black has the easier life but I wouldn't be surprised if there is a refutation hidden away somewhere!

| 21 | P. | Bxf1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | Rxf1 | b4 |
| 23 | Ne2 | bxa3 |
| 24 | Rxa3 |  |

So Black ends up with rook and two pawns for bishop and knight, but Black's pieces remain splendidly active and White's remaining pawns are weak.

| 24 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{Rb4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | Bg 2 | Qb6 |
| 26 | b 3 | Qb5 |

The pin on the knight is very handy.

27 Qa2
Or 27 Be 3 Bd 4 , while if 27 Bb 2 Bxb2 28 Qxb2 c4. Perhaps sounder is 27 Bf 3.
$\begin{array}{ll}27 & \ldots \\ 28 & \text { Bf }\end{array}$
Rd4 !

Naturally not 28 Be3 Rdi mate.
28
29
30

29
30
31
If 29 Be3 there is 29 ... Rdi+ $30 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Ral}!$

## 29 Kxf3 Rxf3 <br> $\begin{array}{ll}30 & \mathrm{Kxf} 3 \\ 31 & \mathrm{Be} 3\end{array}$

Better than 31 Qxd5+ which gives White a little more space for his

## h5!

The two threats of $32 \ldots$ Qg4 mate and 32
are decisive

| 33 | Ra4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 34 | Kh3 |
| 35 | Ng 3 |
| 36 | hxg 3 |
| 37 | $\mathrm{Kg4}$ |
|  | $0-1$ |

# h4+ Qf3+ <br> hxg3 Qh1+ Qh5+ 

(7th) 1986 CITY OF HASTINGS JUNIOR CHESS TOURNAMENT SATURDAY 27 SEPTEMBER 1986

A record number of 496 entries, A record number of 496 entries,
superb weather which brought out capacity crowds to the Indoor Stadium, and the toppling of many oflast years champions, produced a very exciting Chess Tourament this year. Once again Peterhead School, Flaxmere, put on some memorable performances and won a record 44 awards; Hillcrest School, Dannevirke had 3 of their 4 competitors reach the semiFinals and there were a lot of
family successes: Nadene and family successes: Nadene and
Henry o'Brien, Andrew and Ivan Henry o'Brien, Andrew and Ivan Wong-kee, Robin and Natasha Clarke and Julie and Nicholas carew. Three players collected their 3 rd clarke and Andrew Chalmers Natasha clarke and Andrew Chalmers.

Selected Results:
Girls Open Junior
lst Linnh Hawke - Flax Int 2nd Sally Robinson - st Marys 3rd= Tania Alexander - Flax Int 3rd= Catherine McKeever - St Josephs

Boys Open Junior (Section A)
lst Jay Doole - H Nth Int 2nd Winitana Faalele - Flax Int 3rd= Leonard carroll - Hillcrest 3rd= Michael Butler - Flax Int

Boys Open Junior (Section B)
1st Danniel Cremins - Hast Int 2nd Joshua Munokoa - St Marys 3 rd= Josh Bannan - Nap Int 3rd= Alex Gillies - H Nth Int
A Contribution from the
South Island Championship
Annotated by Russell Dive

OLD INDIAN DEFENCE
WHITE: R. DIVE
BLACK: A. LLOYD

| 1. | Nf3 | Nf6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. | C 4 | d 6 |
| 3. | d 4 | C 6 |
| 4. | Nc 3 | $\mathrm{Nbd7}$ |
| 5. | e 4 | e 5 |
| 6. | Be 2 | Be 7 |
| 7. | $0-0$ | $0-0$ |
| 8. | h 3 | h 6 |
| 9. | Be 3 | $\mathrm{Nh7}$ |
| 10. | Qc2 | Ng 5 ? ! |

An intersting way to swap pieces to aleviate his cramped position, but is a bit slow.

| 11. Rad1 | Nxf3+ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 12. | Bxf3 | Bg5 |
| 13. | Qd2 | Bxe3 |
| 14. | Qxe3 | Qe7 |
| 15. | Bg $4!$ |  |

White swaps off his bad bishop and prepares f4. ...Re8
$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { 16. } & \text { Bxd7 } & \text { Bxd7 } \\ \text { 17. } & \mathrm{f} 4 & \text { exf4 }\end{array}$
18. Qxf4 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Rab8 }\end{array}$

Black is going to swipe at Whites big centre
19. Rdel f6
20. Re3 a6
21. b4 Kh8
$\begin{array}{crc}\text { 22. Rfel } & \text { b5 } \\ \text { 23. } & \text { c5 } & \text { dxc5 }\end{array}$
24. bxc5

White now has a mobile centre and all that remains is to get it moving.

Desperation, but it weakens his postition too much.

| 25. | Qf2 | Rf8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 26. | Qb2 | Be8 |
| 27. | a4 | b 4 |
| 28. | a5 | Bg 6 |
| 29. | Na 4 |  |

With the threat of Nb 6 and picking up the weak b-pawn.
29. Rb 5

Finally the central break

## 31. e6 f4?

The losing move played in time trouble. White now gets two, killer, central pawns. It was imperative for Black to get his King off the al - h8 diagonal.
32. $\mathrm{d} 5+\quad$ Qg7
33. e7 Re8
34. Re6!


Threatening the bishop and gaining time to push the d-pawn to d6.

Qxb2
35. Nxb2 Bf7
36. d6!

The winning move. The two pawns
for outweigh the exchange for outw
sacrificed

| 36 | ○.. | Bxe6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37. | Rxe6 | $\mathrm{Rb7}$ |
| 38. | $\mathrm{Re4}$ | Kg 7 |
| 39. | $\mathrm{Kf2}$ | $\mathrm{Kf7}$ |
| 40. | Ke 2 | Rg 8 |

$\mathrm{Bxe6}$
Rb 7
Kg 7
Kg 7
$\mathrm{Kf7}$
Rg8

| 41. | NC 4 | b 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42. | Nb 6 | b 2 |
| 43. | Rb 4 | Rg 6 |
| 44. | Rxb 2 | $\mathrm{Re} 6+$ |
| 45. | Kf 2 | Re 4 |
| 46. | Rd 2 | Rb 8 |
| 47. | g 3 ? |  |

A faster way to win, pointed out by Adrian was 47. Nc8! and then 48. d7

| 47 | Ke8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 48. gxd4 | Rxf4+ |
| 49. Kg3 | h5 |
| 50. Re2 | Rd4 |
| 51. Re5 | Rd3+ |
| 52. Kf2 | Rd4 |
| 53. Rxg5 | Kf7 |
| 54. Rf5+ | Kg7 |
| 55. Re5 | Resigns |

1986 All Canterbury Championship
This tournament was held on three consecutive Saturdays, tarting on $29 t h$ November. Th format was a six round Swiss with limit of 40 per day and a ti Unfortunately the field
Unfortunately the field was weaker than in previous years interesting chess.

Results: 1-2 P. McKenzie M. Wilson $51 / 2,3-4 \mathrm{~B}$. Alexander S. Lukey 4 , 5 B. Nijman $3 \quad 1 / 2 ; \quad 6-9 \quad \mathrm{M}$. Shanahan, Y. Teck Soon E. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Shanahan, Y. Teck Soon } & \text { E. } \\ \text { Wilkinson, } & \text { B. Wood } 3 ; & 10-11 & \text { S. }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Wilkinson, B. Wood } & 3 ; & 10-11 & \text { S. } \\ \text { Dunn B. McMullen } 2 & 1 / 2 & 12-15 & \text { D. }\end{array}$ Dunn B. McMullen 2 I/2 $12-15$ D. C. Williams 2, 16 J . Martin $1 / 2$

A game from round 5:
P. McKenzie - B. Alexander

## French

1 e4 e6 2d4 d5 3Nc3 Nc6?! 4 Nf3 Nf6 5 Bg 5 Bb 4 (5...Be7 6 e5 Ne4 Bxe7 Qxe7 8 Bd3 Qb4 9 Bxe4 dxe4 10 a3 Qx62 11 Nxe4+ Keres-Lein 1961) 6 e5 h6 7 Bd2 (7 Bh4 g5 8 Nxg5 Ne4 9 Nf3 Nxc3 is ok for black but 9 Nxe4 Qxh4 10 Nf6+ Ke7 llg4 looks + ) Ne4 8 Bd3 Nxd2 9 Qxd2 b6 loa3 Be7 11 0-0 Bb7 12 Nez! (When white plays b4 he wants to be able to
answer...15 with c3) Qa7 13 b4 $0-0-0$ (a desperate bid for counterplay, if 13...0-0 then white has a clear space advantage) 14 c3 f6 15 a4 fxe5 (If 15...a5, then 16 Bb5 forces the b-file open) 16 dxe5 g5 17 Ned4 Rdf8 18 Nxc6 Bxc6 19 Nd4 Bb7 20 a5 c6 21 axb6 axb6 22 Ra 7 Kb 8 (more tenacious is 22...Kc7) 23 Fal b5 24 Qa2

c5 25 Ra8+ Bxa8 26 Qxa8+ Kc7 27 Nxe6+ Qxe6 28 Ra7+ 1-0.

> WAITEMATA CHESS CLUB "MINI-BULLETIN" DECEMBER 111986

Dear members: I would have liked to put out a full-scale bulleting for the end of the year, but having arrived back from the World Chess olympiad in Dubai just this week, I find I have run out of time. Nevertheless, the following items should be of interest.

Below are the ratings of all club members with their starting points at the beginning of the year (on the left). This should year (on you to chart your progress (or otherwise) and make plans for next year's play!
R. Smith 749 - 757 K. Metge 558 534 J . Robinson 509 - 531 B. Martin-Buss 467 - 511 J. Van Pelt 506-500 V. Smith 477-484 R. Hothersall 427 - 444 G. Crossland 420-398 J. O'Connor 417-375 D. Morrow 418 - 339 B. Savage 332 336 M. Ashe 276 - 325 C. Walker 295-321 J. Shields 291-317 P. Turketo 246 - 314 A. Malcouronne 263 - 313 C. Moule 322 - 301 R. Watson 310 - 300 G. Williams 352 -

292 P. Shields 311 - 281 E. Tweddell 243 - 248 T. Petrasich 199-211 T. Wood 219 - 189 P. James 132-117 INACTIVE RATINGS N. Bridges 493 - 513 P. Whibley 361 D. Plumpton 340 - 334 D. Mackie 268 - 249 PROVISIONAL RATINGS - M. Garland 354 R. Mosen 185 A. Pattison 161 K. Newman 137

This year's prize winners: Club champion - R. Smith, runner-up still to be decided; Reserve Board - lst M. Ashe, 2nd A. Malcouronne; Most Improved player
Martin-Buss; Junior Cup - C Baker, runner-up - A. Malcouronne, R. Watson, T. Petrasich; Summer Cup - 1st R. Smith, 2nd B. Martin-Buss; Under 400 Tournament - lst c. Moule, 2nd c. Walker; Half-Hour Tournament - R. Smith; Five-Minute Championships - 1st R Smith, 2nd B. Martin-Buss.

As mentioned, I have been to the World Chess olympiad as board four in the New Zealand Men's Team.
Two other club members - my wife, Vivian, and Eva Tweddell were selected for the women's team.

The olympiad was a marvellous experience - 108 countries competed and Dubai laid on everything for using five star hifts of gravel bags and clocks
gifts of travel bags and clocks.
ood men out of good 'lst out of ios, while women's team finished 39 th out of 49.

My personal score was $50 \%$ including a draw with black against a grandmaster.
1/2/14 on creditable fomen's for the woing a little tough in her first going a litcle tough in her first three.

Here is my game against Finnish Grandmaster H. Westerinen:

WESTERINEN - SMITH, Najdorf Sicilian

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 a4 (a quiet line designed to stop black's
queen-side expansion) Nc6 7 Be 2 e6 (transposing into Scheveningen Sicilian) 8 Be 3 Be 9 0-0 0-0 10 f4 QC7 11 Kh1 Nxd4 12 Qxd4 e5 (a small advantage to White, the books say) 13 Qc4 Qxc4 14 Bxc4 Be6! (with the point that 15 Bxe6 fe6 $16 f 5$ d5! is good for black; also black need not fear loubrer fer 16 des as the oubled e pawns are difficult to attack and are in fact less awn e4) $15 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Rac} 816 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{BC} 4 \quad 17$ Rfdl b5 18 ab5 ab5 19 Bg5 b4 20 Bxf6 Bxf6 21 Nd5 Bxb3 22 cb 3 Rc 2 (white has control of d5 but black has compensation in his active rook and white's weak b pawns) 23 Rabl Bg5! (Now if 24 Nxb4 Re2 25 Rxd6 Not 25 Rel Rxel 26 Rxel Bd2 25...Rb8 26 Nd3 Rxb3 and black ins either the e-pawn or b-pawn 24 Nb6 Be3 25 NC4 (If 25 Rxd6 Bxb6 26 Rxb6 Rd8 and black doubles on the 7 th after making a luft) 25... Bd4 26 Nxd6 Bxb2 27 h3 h6 (f6) 28 Rd5 Ra8 29 Nc4 Bd4 30 Ras Bxe5 31 Rxe5 Raa2 32 Rg Rab2 33 Re8+ Kh7 34 e5 Rxb3 35 e6 fe6 36 fe6 Re2 37 Rd1 Rbe3 3 Ros Relt 39 Rxel Rxel+ 40 Kh2

A consistent effort by Vivian against Venezuela:

SALAZAR - V. SMITH, Sicilian Kahn 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 Nc3 a6 4 d4

 Nxd5 Bxd5 14 d 12 ed5 Nxd5 13 16 Nf4 BC4 17 b3 Bxal 15 Ne 2 Ne 5 Rad8 19 Be 17 b3 Bxd3 18 Nxd3 d4 Qxb3 22 Rfbl 20 Cxd3 QC3 21 24 Rc2 Rc4 25 Od3 Rfc8 26 Rec4
 Bd8 $30 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Ba} 5 \quad 31$ 0b3 0c6 $0-1$

NORTH SHORE $V$ HOWICK-PAKURANGA
The North Shore club took the Jenkins Trophy "on tour" when they defended it against HowickPakuranga on 30th September at the latter's clubrooms. The holders in 1978 from the which they won Centre by winning the match 15.5 4.5 .

Auckland Chess Centre
P. Green capped off an excellent year with a dominating perfromance is the 1986 club ch'p, winning all his seven games

WHITE P.GREEN - BLACK L. CORNFORD

| 1 | d4 | Nf6 | 21 | Bd 4 | Qa5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | e6 | 22 | Bc5 | f6 |
| 3 | g3 | Bb4 | 23 | Qg4 | f5 |
| 4 | Nc3 | 0-0 | 24 | Q95 | Ba4 |
| 5 | Bg2 | d5 | 25 | Qe7 | Qc5 |
| 6 | Nf3 | dc4 | 26 | Qc5 | Bd1 |
| 7 | 0-0 | c5 | 27 | QC4 | kh8 |
| 8 | dc5 | Nc6 | 28 | Qe6 | f4 |
| 9 | Bf4 | Bc5 | 29 | gf4 | Bh5 |
| 10 | Qa4 | Bb4? | 30 | e5 | Rf4 |

## 11 Ne5!



11 ...
12 Nc6
12 Nc6
13 bc3
13 Rct 14
15 Be5 Ba6? (b)
16 e4 Bb5?
17 Qa3 Nf6
18 Qcl! (c) Rad8
19 Qg5 $\pm+\mathrm{Rdl}$
20 Rd 1
a) 14...Nc3? 15 Rd8 Na4 16. Rf8 Bc6 ++
b) The ending that ensures after 15...f6 should have been preferred.

Viz: 16 Bd4 c5 17.Rabl Qc7 (17...Qd6? $18 \mathrm{Be} 3+$ ) 18 QC4 cd4 19 Bd5 Qc4 20 BC4 dc3 $21 \mathrm{Rbc}+$

NZ CHAMPS 1986/1987

WHITE G. SPAIN - BLACK O. SARAPU
1 e4 e5 2 Nff No63 Bb5 g6 (The Smyslov variation came as a surprise to me, more usual is 3...a6) 4 d4 exd4 5 Nxd4 Bg7 6 Nxc6?! More solid is c3) bxc6 7 $\mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 78 \mathrm{NC} 3 \quad 0-0 \quad 9 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 5$ Rbn8 10 Qd!? d6? To slow, better was 10...Rb4 if 11 Nd5 cxd5 12 oxb4 c5! 13 Qxc5 d6 is strange for black so white must play 11. Qd3 with unclear lay 11 0-0 Be6 12 Bb3 Qd7?! Better was 12...Bxb3 13 axb3 res and if 14 Bh6 Bh8) 13 Bh6! $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Bxb3 } & 14 & \mathrm{axb} 3 & \mathrm{~F} 6 & 15 \mathrm{~h} 4 & \mathrm{Rf} 7 & 16 & \mathrm{Bxg} 7\end{array}$ Kxg7 17 h5 g5 18 e5!


The winning The queens knight comes into the asttack with enormous force. 18...Qe6 19 exf6+ Rxf6 20 Rdel Qaf7 21 Ne4! h6 (Forced) 22 NXf5 Qxf6 23. Qc3 Qxc3 24 bxc3 kf6 25 Re4 Na5 26 kd 2 kf 5 $27 \mathrm{f3}$ Rg8 28 g4+ kf6 29 Rhel Rf8 33 Rxf7+ kxf7 34 Ral! Kf6 35 Rxa7 Ne6 36 Ke3 Ke5 37 C3 d5 38 Rxat Ne6 36 Ke3 Ke5 30 C3 d5 38 cxd5 f4 42 Ra6t 0643 b5 Na5t 44 kal 12 45 Rxc6+ Resig

WHITE: B. MARTIN
BLACK: 0. SARAPU

Ruy Lopez. Round 8
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 а6 4 Ba4 f6 5 0-0 Be7 6 Rel b5 7 Bb3 0-0 8 d4 young Martin does not like to play against Marshall variation,
therefore not 8 c3 d5!? etc.. ...d6 9 C 3 Bg 410 Be 3 exd4 Also d5!? is an interesting alternative here. 11 cxd4 Na5 12 Bc2 c5 13 N6d2 Nc6 14 d5?
Better seems dxc5 here. Now black can get es square or even $d 6$ square later.
14...Ne5 15 h3 Bh5?!

Now it is my turn to play inacurately. Better was firs Nxf3+ 16 Nxf3 Bh5 forcing White to defend his e4 pawn after 17 g4. 16 94!?
Brave but risky. I spent a lot of time here ( 20 min ) to take g 4 or not? Analysis after the game showed that Nf6xg4!? is ok for Black and should even give him the advantage 16... Bg6?! 17 Nh2!?


With the threat of f 4 and f 5 .
New White has somewhat better game. 17...Nc4!? 18 Nxc4 bxc4 19 Bd2 Nd7 20 Qe2 Bf6 21 Rabl Bd4 For the bad pawn on c 4 Black has some compensation in attack c2. 22 Qxc4?!

There was no hurry for that capture. White obviously underestimated Blacks next move 22...Nb6 23 Qe2 Nxd5 24 Nf3 Qb6!? Double pawns in centre are not weak, as perhaps some onlookers thought! 25 Nxd4 cxd4 26 Bb3 on 26 exd5 Bxc2 27 Rbc1 d3! 26...Nf6 27 f3 d5! By now it must be clear that Black is on top. After 28 g5 dxe4 29 gxf6?! even exf3 is ok for Black 28 Rbdl dxe4 29 g5 exf3!?

There are other moves just as good for Black. This is the simple way to maintain the advantage.
30 Qxf3 Bh5 31 Qg3 Nd7 32 Rcl Nc5 Exposed King and pawn down young Ben Martin is in a bad way. His first tournmanent in the top 12 started exceptionally well, leading after 7 rounds without a loss. At 17, he has all the years ahead of him.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}33 & \mathrm{Ba5} & \mathrm{Rad} 8 & 34 & \mathrm{BC} 4 & \mathrm{Bg} 6 & 35 \\ 36 & \mathrm{Ba} 5\end{array}$ Qxb2 36 Ba5 Rc8 37 Rcdl Qa3!
Double attack on Ba5 and h3 pawn must be the final blow to White's position
38 Bd 2 Qxh3 $39 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad$ Qg4+ 40 Kh2 Ne4
hite resigns.
The threat of Qh4+, Qf2+ either rates or wins the Queen.
WHITE:
N. METGE
BLACK: 0 .

## Old Indian Defence

1d4 Nf6 2 c4 d6 3 Nc3 e5 4 dxe5 Instead 4 Nf3 is preferred here. The exchange of queens equalises immediately. 4...dxe5 5 Qxd8+?! Kxd8 6 Bg5 Nbd7 7 0-0-0 c6!? Black will place his King on c7 and continue nrmally
development.
Nf3 Kc7 9 Bh4?!
With the idea of playing Bg3 perhaps Ng5. But a bit of a time losing move and shows that Nigel was out of form in this tournament ...Bb 10 Kc2 Re8 11 a3?! Again loses time, black is now getting better game. li....Bxc3 12 Kxc3 h6!? Nigel did not notice that h6 was not only played to stop played piece bis next move to win a sea biunder as a biu
13 c4??
No. 13 is Kasparov's lucky number, he is the 13 th World Champion and he is the l3th World Champion and he won the fith game against Karpor. For Nigel Metge the 13th move is omething else!
After 44 minutes deep thought he finds the best move is the save himself. 14...f5 15 g4!? g5!


Now on 16 Bg3 follows Nxg3 and e4+ fork 16 gxf5 Ndf6!
Also gxh4 17 Kxe4 Nf6+ threatening $\mathrm{Ng} 4+$ on Ke3 and on Kd3 follows e4+ fork. The text move is even stronger! White resigns.

Rnd 2 Alekhine's defence
B. MARTIN - G. SPAIN

1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg4 5 Be2 Nc6 6 0-0 Bxf3 7 Bxf3 dxe5 8 dxe5 369 Rel Bc5 10 Bd 2 (10 Bxd5 is better) Qh4! 11 g3 (11 Qe2 Nd4 12 Qe4 Nxf3+ is in Black; facour) Qd4 12 Qe2 Qxb2 13 Bxd5 exd5 14 Nc3 (14 e6 0-0 and 14 Bc 3 Qb6 leave white with no compensation for the pawn) Nd4 (14...0-0-0 is also strong) 15 Qg4 Qxc2 16 Nxd5 h5?

(If 16...Qxd2 then Nxc7 followed by 18 Radl However 16...Ne6! holds everything) 17 Nxc7+ Kf8? (Black should play $17 \ldots$ kd8 18 Qxg7 Kxc7 19 Qxf7+ although White has a very strong attack) 18 Qf4 Qxd2 (After $18 .$. Rc8 19 e6 is crushing, eg, 19...Qg6 20 e7t Bxe7 21 Rxe7!) 19, Qxd2 Nf3+ $20 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Nxd2} 21 \mathrm{Nxa8} \mathrm{Nc} 4$ 22 Rac1 b5 23 Nc7 Ba3 24 Rc2 a6 25 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Nxa6 Rh6 } & 26 & \text { e6 Bd6 } 27 & \text { d } 4 & \text { Na3 } & 28\end{array}$ e?+ Resigns 1-0

Rnd 10 French defence
B. Martin - B. Alexander

1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3 3 Bb4 4 Ne2 dxe4 5 a3 Be7 6 Nxe4 Nf6 7 Qd3 Nc6 (An alternative is 7...0-0 followed by...b6 and...Ba6) or Be3 $0-0$ N 9 Nxe4 (stronger is 9...Rb8 and...6 b5-4) 10 Qxe4 $2 d 5$ 11 Qd3 Bg5 (After 11...Qa2 12 Nc3 Qal+ 13 Nbl Black's Queen gets into trouble after c4, kc2 etc) 12 $\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Bf} 6 \mathrm{l3}$, Nc3 Qd7 $14 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Rd8}$ (If 14... Be7 then black has wasted 3 temp with this Bishop) 15 Nxf $6+$ gxf6 16 Be2 b6 17 Rhf1 Bb7 (17...Ne7-f5 holds out onger) 18 15 e5 19 Bh 21 Qh4 Qe7 22 Rd3 Nyd4 23 Rh3


Nxe2+ 24 Kbl Bxg2 (If 24...NF4 25 Rxf4! exf4 26 Bf8 Qe1+ 27 Qxe1 Raxf8 28 Qh4 White wins easily) 25 Bf8 Bxh3 26 Bxe7 Bxf1 27 Bxf6+ Rg7 28 Qf2 $\mathrm{kg} 8 \quad 29 \quad \mathrm{Bxg} 7 \mathrm{kxg} 7 \quad 30$ Qxfl resigns (The Queen is too strong) 1-0

White: P. Green Black: R. Dive

| 1. | d4 | Nf6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | c4 | C5 |
| 3. | Nf3 | cxd4 |
| 4. | Nxd4 | e5!? |
| 5. | Nb5 | d5!? |
| 6. | cxd5 | Bc5!? |

Not 6 Nxd5?? oxd5!! winning a piece.
7. e3 0-0
8. $\mathrm{Nb} 5-\mathrm{c} 3$ e4
9. Nd2 Qe7
10. a3 as
11. BC4 $1 ?$ Nbd
12. Nb3!? N

Better was 13. Na4 Bg6 14. b3 and finishing his development.
13. Od4 Rac8 ${ }^{\text {Qxc5 }}$
15. b3 Nac8
16. Bd2?!

Better was 16. Bb2 Nxc4 17. bxc4 Qxc4 18. Qxc4 Rxc4 19. O-0 Rd8 20. Rfd1=
Qxd4!
17. exd4 Nxc4
18. bxc4 Rxc4
19. Nb5 Bd7
20. Nd6 Rxd4
21. Bxa5?

Better was 21. Nxb7 Rxd5 22. Netter was R ( 23 . Bb4! Bb5! and white has equal material, but is tied down.
21.
22.
B64

Rxd5

If 22. Nxb7? Rb8 23. Rb1 Bc6 and white loses a piece.
22 R68
23. 0-0 BC6
24. Rfdl Rg5
25. g3
26. Racl Ng4
27. NC4 Rh5
28. h4 Rf5
29. Rd2 Ra8
30. Re1 Rf3
31. Na5??

The losing blunder. White should play 31 . Ne3 but after 31... Ne5 things are still difficult for white.
31. fxe3 e3
32. £xe3 Rxg3+
33. Resigns

After 33. Kf1 Bb5+ white loses at least the exchange.

THE MASTER TITLE

Editor's note: the New Zealand Association adopted new rules at Special General Meeting in July 1985. that portion of the rules pertaining to of the new the National Master the award of into force on 1 August into force on 1 August 1985. Mr points.

A national master title for New zealand was introduced in 1955 and over the following thirty years only cosmetic changes were made to the rules governing its award New NZCA rules adopted last year included completely new rules for the award of these titles but befire discussing them in detail a a little background is in order.
Under the old rules a mere nine points were required for the NM title. Points were awarded as follows: 3 points for winning the New Zealand Championship or finishing second behind a master; 1 point for finishing second behind a non-master or third behind two masters in a New zealand Championship; 1 point for winning a North Island or South Island Championship. In the case of a tie each tied player gained a full measure of master points. Additionally a candidate for the NM title had to have won the New Zealand Championship (or at least shared the title) and could include a maximum of three points from Island championships.
The last two conditions were popularly belived to make the title even harder to obtain but this is somewhat of a red herring as no player who gained nine points had failed to win the New Zealand Championship along the way and no candidate could have gained the title even if more than three points were allowed from Island championships. Another point which has always seemed
paradoxical to me was the fact that it was in theory possible to gain more master points for gain more master points for masters than for finishing ahead of up to ten masters!
These rules produced just NINETEEN masters in over one hundred years. of these, only october 1979 New zealand chess included a history of the title to that date; since then only one further player, Vernon Small, gained the title under those rules.
There is much to be said for having a NM title which is very difficult to come by but the publicity value was at best negligible. The National Master was rather like the tuatara - nice to know that he was around but rarely ever seen or heard about. In fact, despite Ortvin Sarapu's best efforts, there are far more former New Zealand champions around than national masters. surely an oddity in the chess world.
The liberalising
The liberalising of of and the consequent popularisation (some would say 'cheapening') of international titles did not go unnoticed in New Zealand and there were, from time to time, mutterings to the effect that qualification for the New Zealand master title was absurdly difficult. Such claims were doubtless correct - if the qualification methods of many other countries were used as a yardstick, as many of our representatives in the World Junior Championships (where many men, and not a few dogs, have their respective national master titles) would attest.
Nothing happened, however, until late 1983 when the NZCA Rules

Committee addressed itself to the number of altey length before deciding to recommend the rules since adopted by the Association. The conmittee systems for two reasons. first, as FIDE has found out, such systems can easily get out of
control
with a consequent cheapening of titles. Secondly, ratings as a statistical tool are less reliable than they might be when the rating poci is a small one since it is almost impossible to monitor deflation of ratings closely enough to achieve prompt remedial action.
Under the new rules master points are gained from the same tournmaments as before but with the addition of the New Zeaiand Junior championship. In each New Zealand championship points are awarded as follows: 45 for first place, 36 for second, 28 for third, 21 for fourth, 15 for Sifth, 10 for sixth, 6 for seventh, 3 for eighth, and $\frac{1}{}$ for ninth. For ease of remembering the scale of points it can be pointed out that $1+2+3+4+5$ awarded in Tsland championships are 10 for first for second are 10 for first, 6 for second, 3 for third and 1 for fouth while Championship awards are 6 for first, 3 for second and 1 for available master points are shared with fractions rouncied to the nearest whole noint
nearest wole point
100 master points National Mastex 100 master points are required and at least 50 of these must have been gained in ivew zealand championships. A new title of and the requirement for this is 40 master points. Additionally an NM with 250 points gains a star while soo points earns a se
The system adopted last year is, like the previous one, largely masters it will produce since
those players who have already gained the NM title will continue to take the lion's share of available master points.

When the new rules came into force last August twenty-five more players gained the title due to the retrospective nature of ail master title rules. This made a total of 44 NMs of whom less than twenty are alive today. I'wo more players have since qualified for the title as a result of the 1985/86 New Zealand championship.
Those gaining the title retrospectively had their titles confirmed at the last AGM in January. Adrian Lloyd and Roger Nokes completed their title qualification at the recent congress and were awarded the title by the council on the basis of the following results (their title awards to be confirmed a the AGM in January 1987)

## A.J. LLOYD

South Island Ch'p 1979 NZ Junior 1980
South Island Ch'p 1980
South Tsland Ch'p 198
NZ Junior Ch'p 198
N Ch'p $1932 / 83$
NO Junior Ch'p 1983
South Island Ch'p 1983
south Island Ch'p 1984
12 Ch'p $9085 / 86$
35
NZ Ch'p 1985/86 47

## R.I. NORES

South Island ch'p 1976
South Island ch ${ }^{2} \mathrm{p} 1978$
W Ch'p 1978/79
Southislana Ch'o 1980
NZ Cn'p $1980 / 81$
vZ chip 1925/5
$\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 107 \\ \hline\end{array}$

The following list incorporates the 1986 New Zealand Junior and North Island championships. It gives the names of the 46 players National Master Logether with the
year each completed his title points to date. An asteris indicates that the player already had the title under the previous rules.

NATIONAL MASTER
Sarapu O.* (1953)

## NATIONAL MASTER

Barnes R.J* (1892)
Gyles A.W* (1914)

## NATIONAL MASTER

Kelling F.K* (1909) Davies A.W.O* (1907) Severne E.H* (1922) Garbett P.A* (1973) Dunlop J.B* (1922)
Maton R.J* (1964)

Phillips J.R* (1958) Small V.A* (1980)
yynch D. (1951)
Lynch D. 1 (1951)
Mason J. Anderson B. R* (1968) McNabb H A.
Ciorson J ${ }^{*}$
Trierson J.C* (1910)
Lepviikman T*
Lepviikman T.* (1946) Scott R.O (1948)
Siedberg F.V (1892)

Haase G.G (1968)
Sarfati J.D (1985)
Edwards J. (1898)
Gren E.M (1980)
Forsyth D. (1903)
van Dyk T. (1971) 124
Allerland Dr P. (1954) 120 Cleland R.A (1900) 119 James H.L (1908) 118 Moir J.A (1945) Turner A.E (1957) Rasa R.A (1956) Burry I. (1940) Stuart P.W (1982) Foulds F.A (1959) 1111 Floyd A.J Dr W.A (1976)110 Lloyd A.J (1986) 107 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nokes R.I (1986) } & 107 \\ \text { Miles E.J } & (1914)\end{array}$ Miles E.J (1914) 101

## NATIONAL MASTER

Hookham H. (1890) Court R.A (1960)

The title of Candidate Master (CM) is automatically gained by players who accumulate the necessary 40 master points - it coes not require any award by the Council. Another 44 players have quallied as con last six been active during the Cornford, years or so are: L.H Frankel, 72; R W Smith 71: 2. Aptekar 67; C. Taird, 60; M 5evene 53: R A Dowden 51; D. Gollogly, 50 ; and K.J Jensen, 47 .

Three host nation players gained GM Norms in a tournamnet played in the Indonesian capital during october. first place Ardiansyah tied Ardiansyah first place with Yugoslav GM Petar Popovic while Ro Gunawan and Ardiansyah and Adianto it was the final norm.

Scores: 1-2 IM Ardiansyah (RIN) \& GM Popoviv (YUG) 10/13: 3-4 Adianto (RIN) \& IM Ro Gunawan (RIN) $91 / 2 ; 5 \mathrm{GM}$ Ree (NLD) $81 / 2$; 6 M Hulak (YUG) 8; 7-8 GM Marjanovic (YUG) \& GM Zapata (COL) 7; 9 - 10 Ginting (RIN) \& Sitanggang (RIN) 5 1/2; 11 Ru Gunawan (RIN) $3 \mathrm{l} / 2$; 12-13 IM Handoko (RIN) \& Kileng (RIN) 3; 14 IM Bachtiar (RIN) 1.
US CHAMPIONSHIP
The venue for the 1986 US Championship, played shortly before the olympiad, was the this caused in Estes Park but Yasser Seirawan scored an unbeaten 10 in points ahead take first place one defending champion bev Alburt Then came: $4_{4-5}$ Christiansen \& Kavalek 8 1/2; 6 Shirazi $8 ; 7-9$ Fedorowicz, Kudrin $\%$ Wilder 7 ; 7 -9 Fedorowicz, Kudrin \& Wilder 7 1/2; Kogan \& Lein 6 1/2; 14 Browne 6 : 15 Rohde $151 / 2$; 16 de firiman 5.

## BRUSSELS

Gary Kasparov returned to the tournament scene after a long absence, with a vengance by easily winning the 2nd OHRA tournament (Belgian version) in December.

The scores and final placings were:
1 Kasparov USR (2740) 7.5
2 Korchnoi SWI (2650) 5.5
3 Hubner BRD (2620)
4 Nunn ENG (2590)
Short ENG (2615)

SHORT Najdorf:

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be3 e6 7 Qd2 b5 8 f3 Nbd7 9 g4 h6 10 0-0-0 B67 11 Bd3 Ne5 12 Rhe1 Rc8 $13 \mathrm{~Kb} 1 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 14 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 15 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Qa} 5$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}16 & \mathrm{~b} 3 & \mathrm{Nfd} \\ 17 & \mathrm{~g} 5 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & 18 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{Nxd}\end{array}$ 19 cxd3 hxg5 20 hxg 5 d 521 f 5 e5 22 exd5 Qxd5 23 f6


23 ... Bd6 24 Nc2 a5 25 Ba7 Kf8 $26 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{Qe6} 27 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \mathrm{2} 8 \mathrm{Nxd} 6$ Qxd6 $29 \mathrm{Nb} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 3 \quad 30 \mathrm{NC} 4 \mathrm{Qd5} 31$ Ne3 Qe6 32 Rc1 Qa6 33 Rxc3 bxc3
 Rxd3+ 37 Nc2 Rh2 38 Qc8+ Nf8 39 42 Rds Qe5t 40 Kb 2 Qd2 41 Re 8 Qd 42 Rds Qe5+ 43 Ka3 Kh7 44 Rxf8 D6+ 45 b4 1-0

Lost only one game (to the winner) behind to settle for third place Ljubojevic who lost three games but won five.

Scores: 1 GM Belyavsky (USR) 8 1/2/14; 2 GM Ljubojevic (YUG) 8: 3 GM Karpov (USR) 7 1/2; 4-6 GM Miles (ENG), GM Portisch (HUN) \& GM Timman (NLD) 7; 7 GM Hubner (BRD) $61 / 2 ; 8$ GM Korchnoi (SWI) 4 1/2.

## $27 T H$ OLYMPIAD DUBAI 1986

New Zealand's men's team has the best score ever at full olympics. Thirty points was my aim, when we left Auckland International Airport on the loth of November. Our team was perhaps more even than ever before and this proved to be right. Our No. 5 and No. 6 both scored plus results never achieved before. We have alway been top heavy in previous 1970. Also we used 6 players instea our wome captain
our women's team melted down to 3 players who had to play every round as there was ate withdrawal men and reasons. so we had Australia for example had 6 ar players one non playing captain and one delegate pla President Mr stirling. Women had 4 players and one non-playing male captain and all 4 more people than us in is no reason why we could not have more people at olympiad's in the future. Personally I found a bit too much to play board 1 be the captain, Manager and delegate at the same time. Even when we had free day, I got 4 invitations to artend including invitations to and Sheik of Dubai etc... After 4 hours at F.I.D.E have lunch and rush to play next round.

In two games $I$ found that it was very difficult to concentrate on the game. Therefore too quick the game. Therefor
draws under 10 moves!
We stayed in a very nice 5 star Chicago Beach Hotel. About 20 minutes bus ride from exhibition minutes bus ride from exhibition Hilton just next to the playing place, but they did no have beach, the Persian Gulf.

Round 1
Japan - New Zealand. Our Elo ratings put us on No. 36 out of 108 teams.

Sarapu, Garbett and Love won, but Smith lost to a camicaze attack when Robert was a piece up, came an unsound sacrifice that came right after my opponent lost his way in time trouble. so 3:1 win for us. rested.

Round 2
New Zealand - Wales
We seem to play them every olympiad. In Tessalonita we agreed to 3 draws out (Small V
Williams), $2-2$. This time we had a "fighting" 2-2. Small won nicely against cooper, Garbett and nicely against cooper, Garbett and cunningham, old friend of ours from Melbourne Commonwealth tournament 1983.

## Round 3

Uruguay - New Zealand
One of our not so good days. A suprise loss to a team that got 28, 5 points.
Small drew, Garbett lost Sarfati lost and Love drew Sarapu and Smith "escaped" punishment.

Round 4
New Zealand - Luxemburg
Big win to us, $31 / 2$ - $1 / 2$. sarapu, Smith, Sarfati all won. Sarfati got one back after losing his first two games, Love drew.

## Round 5

Switzerland - New Zealand
A team that finished 27th equal. They played without $V$. Korchnoi, who did not play in protest that Israel was not allowed to come, no visas. Also I.G.M's Hubner, Lobron (West Germany) Alburt (U.S.A) and Benjamin (U.S.A) did not play for the same reason. Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Holland and Faroer Islands did not send their teams as pmall. We lost again $1-3$ Garbett lost. This was my only loss there. Already a bad opening and I.M Wirthensohm (Elo 2440) playing well did the damage.

Round 6
New Zealand - Nicaragua
The score of 2-2 with them seems low for us, but they scored 29 points and top two players are over 2300 on Elo. We actually agreed to 3 quick draws, only Small played to win, but also drew.

Round 7
New Zealand - Bolivia
Best win to us! 4-0, thanks to Garbett, Smith, Sarfati, Love after "semi" rest day the round before.

## Round 8

Poland - New Zealand
In the Swiss system when you win a match, you get a hard one to follow. So Poland did us $1 / 2-3$ 1/2. Only yours truly drew with I.G.M SCHMIDT, see the ga

## Round 9

New Zealand - Tunsinia
This was a hard fight, small, smith and Love drew. Sarfati lost drawish so $1 / 2-21 / 2$

## Round 10

Thailand - New Zealand
We won $21 / 2-1$ 1/2. I agreed to a quick draw with my old friend sinprayoon. Garbett and Sarfati both won but Love lost suprisingly.

Round 11
New Zealand - Sri Lanka
A narrow win again, $21 / 2-1$ 1/2. Small, Smith and Sarfati all rew. I managed to score a win by a bit wild 3 pawn sacrifice and won on time and position.

## Round 12

Singapore - New Zealand
A big win to Singapore 3 - 1! our team did the same sort of thing as against Uruguay. Small and Garbett lost, Smith and Love drew.

Round 13
New zealand - Bangladesh
By winning 3, $5-0,5$ in the second to last round we jumped many countries. We also got the nagical 28 points ( $50 \%$ )
Small, Sarfati and Love won Sarapu could not concentrate and drew in 7 moves! We also passed Australia on points!!

## Round 14

## Finland - New Zealand

The score of 2-2 does is really quite good for us, but even while Finland had 2 I.G.M, aganst us and we should have beaten them at least $21 / 2$ or $3-1$ on positions we had. I asm very proud of the team. Small, Garbett, Smith and arfati. Also naturally of Love, mall had a totally won position, Garbett and smith both had better games. Finally all 4 drew.

