## CLUB DIRECTORY

Details of the advertising rates for this page can be found on the inside front cover. AUCKLAND CHESS ASSOCIATION: Contacts - President, Robert GIBBONS, phone 864-324: Secretary, Winsome STREICH, 3/33 Sunnyhaven Avenuel, Beach Haven, Auckland. AUCKJAND CHESS CENTRE: Meets Mondays and Thursdays cit Ciubrooms 17 Cromwell Street, Mit Eden, phone 602042. Contact - Lindsay CORNEOPD, phone 674-705(res) or 276-7154 (bus). Visitors welcone.
HONICK-PARURANGA C.C. meets Tuesdays 7.30pm at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Community Complex. Contact - Steve DEVLIN Flat 186 Remuera Road Auckland 5. Fhone 502-179. NORT'H SHORE C.C. meets Wednesdays 7.30pm (tournament and casual play) in St roseph's Old Church Hall,cnr Anzac St/Taharoto Ra,rTakapuna. Postal address P.O.Box 33-587 Takapuna. Contact Peter STUART phone 456-377(home). Visitors welcome.
REMUERA C.C. meets 7.30pm Wednesdays at the Auckland Bridge Club, 273 Remuera Road Remuera. Contact - K.WILLIAMS, phone 543-762 (evenings).
WAITEMATA C.C. meets 7.30pm Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre, chr Grt North and Awaroa Roads. Postal address P.O.Box 69-005 Glendene, Auckland 8. Contacts - George WILLIAMS phone 834-6618 or Bob SMITH phone 818-4113.
HAMILTON C.C. MFFTS 6.30 pm Sundays at the Students Restaurant waikato Technical Inst: Hamilton. Contacts - Miss L.McGREGOR 9b Islington Street Harrilton phone 390-228 or Len WHiTEHOUSE 165 Galloway Street Hamilton phone 69-582.
HASTTINGS/HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. meets 7pm Wednesdays at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Road, Havelock North. Contact - Mike EARLE phone 776-027.
PALMERSION NORTH C.C. meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays ai the Palmerston North Intermediate Normal School, Fergusson Street, Palmerston North. Contact - J. BLATCHFORD 155 Ruahine Street Palmerston North phone 69-575.
CIVIC C.C. meets 7.45 mm Tuesdays at St Peter's Church Hall, Willis Street, wellington Contact - Brent SOUTHGATE phone 757-604.
HUTT VALLEY C.C. meets 7.30pm Tuesdays at the Hutt Bridge Club, 17 Queen's Road Lower Hutt. Contact - Nathan GOODHUE 28 Waikare Avenue Lower Hutt phone 696-420. UPPER HUTT C.C. meets 7.45 pm Thursdays in the Supper room, Civic Hall Upper Hutt. Contact - Anton REID, 16 Hildreth Stret Upper Hutt phone 288-756.
WAINUIOMATA C.C. meets 7.30 pm on Thursdays (seniors) and 7 pm on Fridays (juniors) at Bilderbeck Hall, Main Road Wainuiomata. Contact - Joe PHILIIPS phone 646-171. CANTERBURY C.C. meets every Wednesday at 7.30pm at the Clubrooms, 227 Bealey Avenue. President John WILKES phone 558-130. Secretary Peter McKENZIE phone 893-463.
New Zeal.and Correspondence Chess Association. P.O.Box 3278 Wellington. Locai and Overseas play. Contact J.W. (Sandy) Maxwell. Phone 367682
NELSON C.C. meets 7.30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact Tom VAN DYK phone Richmond 8178 or 7140 . Visitors welcone.
OTACO C.C. meets 7.30pm Wednesdays and Saturdays at 7 Maitland Street Duncdin. Phone 776-919 (clubrooms). Contact - Arthur J.PATPON 26 College Stroct phone 877-414.
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JON JACKSON (NELSON) 1986 SOUTH ISLAND CHAMPION INSET: RUSSELL DIVE (CIVIC) TOURNAMENT WINNER.
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IM NORM FOR ANTHONY KER
Following his 20th equal placing at the World Junior Championship at Gausdal Norway, NZ Junior Champion Anthony KER stopped over in London to play in the performance there won him his first In scoring 51/9, he drew Manst in scoring van der STERRNN, and ggainst dutch in ivh der swan, and S (rad Grandmasters and to His game against IM Keith ARKULL (2350) s given below.

> K. ARKULL (Eng) - A. KER (NZ) English Opening.

Nf3 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{C} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 5 0-0 0-0 $\quad 6$ Nc3 Nc6 7 d4 d6 8 h 3 Bd7 9 Be3 Rc8 (Qc8!) 10 b3 b6 11 Qa2 Qc7 12 Rac1 Rfd8 13 Na5 Qb8 14 Nxf6+ Bxf6 15 d5 Ne5 16 Nh2 Be8 17 f4 Nd7
 Exg7 Ne5 22 Bh6 b5 23 cxb5 Qxb5 24 Rf4 c4 25 hxc4 Nxc4 26 Qd4 Nb6 27 Rxc8 Rxc8 28 Qe4 Rc1+ 29 Kh2 Qc5 30 e 3 Nc8 31 Rf2 Qc4 32 Qf3 Ra1 33 Qf4 Rc1 34
 Nb6 38 a5 Nc4 39 a6 Qas 40 Qg5 Qc7 41 Qc1 BbS 42 Qa1 Ne5 43 Qa2 Qb6 44 Bg5 f6 45 Bf4 Kxg7 46 Bxe5 dxe5 47
 51 Qc5 Qb6 $\quad 52$ Qc8+ Kg7 $\quad 53$ h5 a5 54
 a4 58 Bc 4 a3 $59 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Qd4} \quad 60 \quad \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Qe} 3+$ 61 Kg 2 Qf4 62 Qe2 Qc1 63 d6 exd6 64 Bxf7 Kxf7 65 Qa2+ Kg7 66 Qe6 Qc7 67 Kh1 Qb7 68 Kh 2 Qa7 69 Qa2 Qe3 70 Qe6 Qf2+ $71 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Qa} 7 \quad 72 \mathrm{Qa} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 3 \quad 73$ Qe6 Qxe4+ 74 Kh2 Qb7 75 Qxd6 Qa7 76 Qd1 a2 77 $\mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{al}=\mathrm{Q} \quad 78 \quad$ Qg6+ Kf8 $79 \quad$ Qxf6 + Of7 30 Qxh6+ Ke7. 0-1.

$$
{ }_{0} 0-0-0
$$

## THEE, WE AND GST

NZCA Council has decided not to register for GST purposes until our income makes registration compulsory. This will impose a 10\% burden on administrative costs and on production costs for 'NZ CHESS'. A hike in affiliation fees was overdue, but Clubs should be looking at a hefty increase in 1987.

## AGM REMITS

These should be in the hands of the secretary by 31 October 1986. AGM is on Sunday 4 January 1987 at Wanganui.

NEW ZEALAND CHESS
Vo
Editor: Bob MITCHELL
Consulting Editor: NM Peter STUART
Associate Editors: IM Ortvin SARAPU, Lev APTEKAR, Rowan WOOD

Sir,
Your February issue captioned an end game position with the words,"Improving at 68! How about Improving at 80!? the following position arose during the 1985 Hutt Valley Charnpionship and like the February example, featured a Queen sacrifice. I ann of course, 80 years of age.

$29 \mathrm{Nd7}+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 30 \mathrm{Nf6}+\mathrm{Kf} 831 \mathrm{Nd7}+\mathrm{Ke} 7$. This move called for thought as it involved a mate threat. I do not always calculate my moves so far ancad these 32 Oxg 7 Qe4 33 Qf6t Ke8 34 Oxh8+ Nxh8 35 Nfft Resigns.

Yours ctc
Roy S.Kent.

Some 20 months ago NRCA HQ moved to Gilington [rom Auckland Since then the: only notable achievements of the Administration seen to be the change ferditor and the solection of the Olymuiad teams.
For years people have complained and pinted to $7 a c k$ of finance as the factor that has prevented our playcrs from doing well in individual or tean events overseas. Wo got littlo Government funding, and the general public sees the yame as bing on a with tiddlywinks. So what do we do? Nothing.
Chess is a sport that offers a sponsor degree of respectability without the problans of crowd or player violencc.
hat is why inance companies, banks, erts sponsorchip As can be seen from the example of England, corporate sponsorship is an avenue worth exploring.

A recent Australian survey showed that over 3 million people there know how to olay chess. No doubt a similar oage s true for this country. With that sort of potential membership for our Clubs there is plenty of support for any activities we can dream up.
My question to the Association 15 this. What are your dans for chess in New Zealand for the next one, five, ten twenty years.

## Michael Rocks.

Reply: Thank you Michael, Eor your letter, and for the articles on Otago chess. I have trinmed your letter but have kept the essential points.

In 1987 we aim to introduce to schools a chess teaching progranme. This will be funded in part by a $\$ 10,000$ grant from the Ministry of Recreation \& Sport, and in part from corporate sponsorship. Given a successful promotion together with a positive response Erum Clubs to the surge of interest in chess, we hove to in future yoars promote junior competition coaching, and oversoas play for our most talented players. Money is the Fuel for theso sorts of projocts, but the working machinery is the Club structure. Success is always going to depend on the encrgy and initiative of grass roots membership. NRCA Council is pursuing its efforts to have chess recogniscd as a sport. That is the key to sponsorship in Australia and England. You are right in saying that corporate sponsorship is the way to go. Council has obtained sponsorship for the National Congress
as well as the Chess in Schools project.
There is no reason why Otago or any There is no reason why otago or any for their local activities, and if a national sponsor shows up we would be delighted to put up some proposals.

Russell DIVE (Civic) has won the 1986 Micro Computers South Island Chess Championship. Held at the Nelson Girl's College from 25-30 August, the tournament attaracted 31 entries including 5 North Islanders.
In order to avoid the bye, a Novag Computer was persuaded to play, but this did nothing to ease the problems of the Director of Play, Athol HENRY
In the first round it did not turn up and in the next two rounds it played strongly, defeating its opponents, though there was a protest over the second win. It refused to continue its game against BOYCE after a few moves, and the game had to be restarted, causing concentration problems for its human opponent. After these tactics and the withdrawal of another player it was decided to continue ithout the computer.
Round 1 saw only one upset when LLOYD lost to NIJMAN on time. Number 2 seed Russell DIVE began his relentless the 7th arward, winning every game except he orm, he dropped a piece. Jon, by losing only one game (to LLOYD) and drawing wo others took second place and the title Third to
Third to fifth places were shared by Ben ALEXANDER, Adrian LLOYD, and Kendall BOYD. This was a very good performance rot not recover from his first round loss, and even the introduction of his weet-bix opening in one of the morning rounds did not change his luck. Tony DOWDEN tharew through illness after three IMA and the old brigade of HAASE, their former glory their former glory.
One disturbing feature was the lateness of the entries, and the unpopularity of the carefully arranged acconmodation which financial assistance from Micro Couse of Systems Itd and the Nelson Building Society together with several Building donors together with several generous financially broke even

Media coverage was good and featured an interview with the Director of Play. All in all it was a pleasant and well run tournament with Athol HENRY making the greatest contribution to that outcome. I look forward to Christchurch in 1987.
B.MARTIN - R.DIVE

Petroff Defence
Notes by Graeme HAASE
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nze5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4 5 d 4 d5 $6 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{0}-0 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Bg} 4$ $9 \mathrm{c4}$.
Bad for White here would be 9 Bxe4 dxe4 10 Rxe4 $f 5$ and the Rook must abandon the d pawn.
9..-Nf6 10 cxd5 NxA5 11 Nc3 $0-0$ 12 Nxd5? Qxd5
It was thought later that 12 Be4 would be stronger, leaving Black to decide the fate of the Knights.
13 Be4 Qd6 14 Qb3? Bxf3!
this forces White to recapture with the queen. After 14 Bxf3 Nxd4 White is spread-eagled by the additional threat of NC2. A better line would be 14 d5 Ne5 15 h 3 and if the Bishop retreats to h5 16 Bxh7+! followed by $\mathrm{Ng} 5+$ with advantage.
$\begin{array}{llllllll}15 & \text { Qxf } 3 & \text { Nxd4 } & 16 & \text { Qd3 } & \text { h6 } & 17 & \text { Bxb7 }\end{array}$ Hoping to recover his lost pawn but this one turns out to be poisoned.
17...Rad8 18 Bd2 Bf6 19 Bc3 Qb6! Threatening both Qxb7 and Nb 3 winning the exchange.
20 Qe4 c6 21 Rad1 Qxb7 22 Bxd4 Bxd4 23 Rxd4 Qxb2 24 Ra4 Rd6


25 Rxa7??
This is a serious error in view of the vulnerability of the White king to mate on the first rank.
25...Re6 26 Qb1 Rfe8

White Resigns.
If 27 Kf 1 Qb5+ brings and inmediate finish. If 27 Rf 1 Qxb1 $28 \mathrm{Rxb} 1 \mathrm{Re} 1+$
J.JACKSON - R.DIVE
Catalan

1 d4 d5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 g3 c6 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \quad 0-0 \quad 8 \quad 0-0$ e5 9 cxd5 Nxd5 10 Nc4 Qc7 11 Rd1 Re8 12 e4 Nb4? 13 Ob3 exd4 14 Nxd6! Qxd6 15 Rxd4 Qf8 16 Rxb4 c5 17 Rc4 Nb6 18 Rc2 Bd7 19 Qa3 Qd6 20 Be3 Resigns. $0-0-0$


CLUB CODE: CA: Canterbury; CI Civic; CH Christchurch Chess Centre; OT Otago; NE Nelson HV Hutt Valley; WA Wainuiomata.

## WANTED TO BUY

New Zealand Chess Association Congress Books Australasian Chess Review/Check magazines (1929-1945) The New Zealand Chessplayer (1947-1956) New Zealand Chess News (1958-1961)

Please contact PETER STUART
24 Seacliffe Ave, Takapuna, Auckland. Phone 456-377.

## LOCAL NEWS

## HAWKFS BAY RESIDFNIS CHESS TOURNAMENT

The 7th Hawke's Bay Residents Chess Tournament, a 6 round Swiss, was held at the Community College Taradale on 6-7 July. It attracted 37 entries making it the biggest so far. Jim BENSON was DOP and Napier Chess Club excelled in its organisation finishing with a pleasant 'happy hour'.
Last year's winner, Mike LANCASTER tied for 1st with Mike EARLE with $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6$. drawing their own encounter. National Master David LYNCH shared 3rd with Api ROBIN (Whakatu) and Pete PETERSEN(Napier) on $4 \frac{1}{2} / 6$. The Under 18 prize was
Seen Jeroën SPTJKFR and shared between Jeroën SPIJKFR and Andrew BROWN who both performed well to score 3 points against their more experienced opponents.
The fast time limit of 40 moves in 60 minutes led to many exciting games and sone disastrous blunders, none more decisive than Quentin SEVERINSEN's 3rd round loss of a Queen against LANCASTER. The game given below also had its nail biting finish. Notes and report by Mike EARLE.
RESULTS: 1-2 M.LANCASTER, M.EARLE $5 \frac{1}{2}$; 3-5 D. LYNCH,A.ROBIN, P. PETERSEN $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 6-11 P. EGERMEYER, C.WILCOX, S.STORY, R.GORDON, P.MCIVOR, M.DUNNINGHAM 4; 2-13 J.BEN , Craig SMIM 3, .LAON, A FHI, Q.SEDBINEN, P.CROWE R.WIBRON, E.BRINGHAM 3: .... 37 players

> M. EARLE v J. BENSON Modern

1 e4 g6 2 d4 $\mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{c} 5$ 5 Be3 Qb6 6 Bb5+ Bd7 7 Bxd7+ Nxd7 8 Qd2 e6 (8...Qxb2 9 Rb1 \& 10 Rxb 7 gives White the advantage) $90-0$ $0-0-0$ (risky as the c file is already half open) 10 a4 a6? (a5 holds the b4 quare and stops the a pawn's advance. 11 a5! Qc7 12 Rfb1 Ne7 13 b4 cxb4 14 Rxb4 Nc6 15 Rc4!? (a speculative exchange sacrifice to open up the $Q$ side) 15...b5 (otherwise 16 d5) 16 axb6 Nxb6 17 Rxc6 exc6 18 Rxa6 Qb7 19 Qd3 d5 20 Nb 5 ? (better was 20 Qb5 then if 20...Rd6 21 Na4! etc) 20...dxe4? (Nc4!) 21 Qc3+ Kb8 22 Ra7? (both players were short of time. best was 22 Qas! winning at least a piece 22...Qxa7 23 Nxa7 Kxa7 24 Qc7+ Ka6 25 Qxf7 Rd7 26 Qxeb exf3 27 d5 Rb8 28 gxf3 R7d8 29 c4 Re8 30 Qc6 Rec8 31 Qb5+ Ka7 32 Qa5+ Kb7 33 Qxb6+ Ka8 34 Qa6M.

## PAIMERSION NORTH WEEREND OPEN

A disappointing entry of only 11 players marked the return of tournament chess to Palmerston North after a break of 10 years Eight local, two from Wellington and one from New Plymouth made up the field or DOP Rowan WOOD. Dave COOPER and ony BOSWELL were the local players expected to shine, but the rest of the field were virtually unknown. A 2nd cound upset saw Tony defeated by his brother waine for the first time in a tournament. Wayne later blundered against COOPER who moved to the front in round 4. COOPER's last round opponent Keith OKEY declined a draw offer to go for the chance of a shared victory, but was ground down to give COOPER a picket fence of 5 straight wins.
RESULTS: 1 D.COOPER 5/5; 2 W.BOSWELL, 4; 3-5 K OKEY, V.ARNUL 3; 6-7 T. BOSHET,, S.ABURN $2 \frac{1}{2}: 8$ : $8-9$ J.BLATCHFORD, J.CHAPMAN 2 1C E.GIBBONS 1; 11 R.HOLLAND 0 0-0-0
WELILINGION REGIONAL S'PUPILS Report by Mark CAPIE
The Wellington Schoolpupils Champion ship drew a field of nine in the May school holidays at Rongotai College. Dave CAPPER directed, and his efforts were appreciated by the players who thoroughly enjoyed themselves.
The three top seeds (C.KER M.CAPIE, C.TAN) all were rated above 1600 , but overall the field was weaker than in recent years. KKR started badly, losing in the first round to CAPIE: CAPIE met his major rivals in the early rounds and dropped only $\frac{1 / 2}{2}$ a point to wir a point clear of Grant LEZARD.
RESULTS: 1 M.CAPIE $7 \frac{1}{2} ; 2$ G. LEZARD 6 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3-4 C.KER,C.TAN 6; 5 T.STEVENSON 4; 6-8 A.JACKSON,M.NORRISH,A.BLACK 3; 9 I.TARR 0.

## C.KER - M.CAPIE <br> Alekhines Defence

1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 g6 5 c4 Nb6 6 exd cxd 7 b3 Bg7 8 Bb2 Nc6 9 h3 $0-010$ Qd2 Bf5 11 Bd3?! Bxd3 12 Qxd3 e5 13 0-0 f5 14 @d2 e4 15 Ng 5 ? Qe7 16 Nc 3 h6! 17 d 5 Qxg5 18 Qxg5 hxg 19 dxc6 bxc 20 Rac1 Rac8 21 Ba3 Rfd8 22 Rfd1 Be5 23 Ne 2 Kf 724 Rc 2 Rc 725 Bb 4 Rcd 726 Rod2 Ke6 27 a4 Rb8 28 Ba5 Nc8 29 Nc1 d5 30 c5 Rbb7 31 b4?(time trouble).. Bc3 32 Rc2 Bxb4 33 Rxb4 Rxb4 34 Ne 2 Ne 735 Ra 1 d 436 Nc 1 37 Rd2 Rdb7 38 Nxd3 exd 39 Re1t Re4 40 Ra1 Rb3 41 Kf 1 Nd5 42 f 3 Re5 43 0-1.

## ROBT. JONES INVESTMENTS



Collegiate School Wanganui

28th December, 1986 to 8th January, 1987
FNTRY FEES: CHMMPIONSHIP $\$ 55.00$; WOMEN'S CHMPIONSHIP \& PREMIER RESERVE $\$ 40$. INCLUDES NZCA IEVY
NIRIES: CHMMPIONSHIP \& WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP ENTRIES MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE SECRETARY NZCA P.O.bOX 2185 WELIIINGION NOT LATER THAN SAITIRDAY 15 NOVEMBER 1986
rRemier reserve eniries should be positd to the sfcretary, wancanui chess club 7 pehi STREET WANGANUI TO ARRIVE BY SATURDAY 13 DECEMBER 1986
PIAYING VENUE: WANGANUI COLLEGIATE SCHOOL DINING HARL
NO SMOKING WLLL BE PERMITTED IN THE PLAYING haLl
aOCOMODATION: AVAILABLE AT EMPSON HOUSE, WANGANUI COLLLEGIATE. $\$ 14$ PER DAY BED \& CONIINENTAL BREAKFAST. FIRST COME FIRST SERVED.
TRAVEL CONCESSIONS: 108 group travel discount with air nz - vouchers available.

This year's tourney featured relatively small fields in the three grades of this annual event, organised for WCL by Wellington Chess club. The standard time control of 36 moves in $1 \frac{13}{2}$ hours My duties as DOP were not too strenuous. The A Grade field was rather unevenly matched with A.KER, R.DIVE and G.ALDRIDGE being rated about 300 Elo points above the rest. However, in Round 1 Nigel GOODHUE upset KER with a prepared variation of the Benoni and ALDRIDGE defaulted through a mixup over whether he had entered. He sportingly agreed to play the rest of his games, despite this hadndicap. Round 2 saw one sided wins to the top three whilst gOODHUE agreed a draw in a better position vs MacDONAID.

In Round 3 DIVE blitzed
the following moves as Black vs GOODHUE: 1 c4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxc4 5 d4 d5 6 Bd3 Be7 $70-0$ Nc6 8 Re1 Bg4 9 c4 0-0 but unfortunately the last move lost a piece to cxd5. KER and ALDRIDGE won easily but Julian MAZUR needed a long adjournment to beat Ab BORREN
In Round 4 KER gained an advantage vs DIVE but blundered one piece, then another. COODHUE and ALDRIDGE drew in a position neither of them liked. Going into the last round the leaders were DIVE and GOODHUE ahead of ALDRIDGE.
DIVE copied my line from the North Islan Championship against ALDRIDGE's KI Attack out got more when ALDRIDGE sent his Rook on a one way mission. Goodiue won a Bishop endgame which would have been drawn had MAZUR been prepared to move his King even one square towards the centre from g1. KER beat BORREN to gain 3rd behind GOODHUE and DIVE. For GOODHUE this was his best result ever.
The B Grade was won convincingly by Steven ABURN, while in the C Grade two Wellington Club members, Arthur SCHULTZ and newcomer Ross Powfll tied for first There were few draws, but is this a good thing was implied in the AprilJune issue? Draws are not bad in them -selves and may indicate uneven strengt or desperation instead of an effort to save half a point. BOIVINNIK made these points once to counter blind anti-draw prejudice against PEIROSYAN.
A. KER - N. GOODHUE

Modern Benoni
1 d4 N\&6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e4 $96 \quad 7 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{~Bq} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bb5+}$
 12 Kd 2 fxe6 13 dxe6 $0-0 \quad 14$ exd7 Bxa7
 18 Qd3??(conceding defeat. Kxc3 should have been played regardless of the consequences.) 18...Qxd3 19 Kxd3? Bf6 $20 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 721 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Rxd} 7+22 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Bxb} 223$ Rxb2 Rxf1 24 Rad1 Rff7 25 Rhf1 Nf5 26 Rfc1 Rxd1 27 Kxd1 Rd7+ 28 Kc1 Kf7 29 Bf6 b6 30 h4 Nd4 31 Rf1 Re6 32
 Rxh5 Kf5 36 Rh1 Ne6 37 Rg 1 Kg 638 Kc 3 h6 39 Be5 hxg5 40 Resigns.
A.KER - A. BORRFN

Queens Gambit Declined
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxds 5 Nf3 Be7 (c5!) 6 e4 Nxc3 7 bxc3 0-0 8 Bd3 c5 9 e5 cxd4 10 cxd4 Bb4 $11 \mathrm{Kf} 1 ?$ Be7? 12 h4 f5 13 exf6 Bxf6 14 Ba 3 Be 7 15 Bxh7+ Kh8 16 Ng5 Bxa3 17 Oh5 Rxf2+ $18 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Rxa} 2+19 \mathrm{Kxg} 2$ Qd5+ $20 \mathrm{Be} 4+\mathrm{1-0}$
G.ALDRIDGE - R.DIVE

Kings Indian Attack
$1 \mathrm{Nf3}$ d5 $2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{c} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bf5} 4 \mathrm{d3} \mathrm{Nd} 7$ 5 0-0 e5 6 Nbd2 Ngf6 7 Re1 Bc5 8 e4 dxe4 9 dxe4 Be6 10 No3 Bb6 11 Qd6 Qe7 12 Qxe7 Kxe7 13 Bd2 Ng4 14 Bb4+ Kf6 15 Re2 a5 16 Ba 3 a4 17 Nbd 2 Bc 518 Bxc5 Nxc5 19 h3 Nh6 20 g4?! g5 21 Nf 1 Bc4 22 Re3 Bxf1 23 Bxf1 Rhd8 24 Be2 Ng8 25 Rc3 Nef 26 Rc4 Ne7 27 Rb4 This Rook clearly had a death wish 27...b5 28 bf1 Nc7 29 c4 Na 30 a3 Rab8 31 cxb5 Nxb4 32 axb4 cxb 33 Rc1 Rdc8 34 Rd1 Rb6 35 Rd6+ Rxd6. 36 Resigns.
N. GOODHUE - G.ALDRIDGE Kings Indian
$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf6} 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6$

 15 cxd6 cxd6 $16 \quad$ Db3 $\quad \mathrm{g} 4 \quad 17 \quad \mathrm{Rc} 2 \mathrm{~g} 3$ 18 hxg3 fxg3 $19 \quad \mathrm{f} 4 \quad \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Nh} 5$ 21 Bg4 Qh4 22 Bh3 Ngf4 $23 \mathrm{Nxf4} \operatorname{exf} 4$ 24 Ne? Rae8 25 Of 3 Bb5 26 Re 1 Bxe2. Agreed drawn.

## WELLINGION INTERCLUB

 by Rowan WOODHutt Valley are the 1986 A Grade champions of the Wellington Chess league Interclub competition. This was a complete reversal of last year's result when the Hutt Valley team finished last. They scored 13 points to win $\frac{1}{2}$ a point clear of Wellington. 1985 winners Civic could only manage 3 rd with 12 points.
A surprise result occurred in the
Grade when the Civic 2 team of S.ABURN, B.KAY, BFORSIER and P.KING were unbeaten to finish on $13 \frac{1}{2}$ points ahead of Hutt Valley. Only 5 teams contested the C Grade which was won by Civic despite a loss to Wellington
SCORES:
A GRADE: 1 Hutt Valley 13; 2 Wellington 12立; 3 Civic 12; 4-5 Wainuiomata, Upper Hutt 1, $8 \frac{1}{2} ; 6$ Upper Hutt $25 \frac{1}{2}$.
B GRADE: 1 Civic 2 13六; 2 Hutt Valley 12; 3-4 Civic 1,Upper Hutt 10; 5 Wainuiomata 9늘; 6 Polonia 5
C GRADE: 1 Civic 10늘; 2 Wellington $8 \frac{1}{2}$; 3-4 Upper Hutt, Wainuionata 8; 5 Hutt Valley 5.

0-0-0

## THE 9TH WAITAKERE TRUST OPEN by Simon FITZPATRICK

Following tradition, the first round began late. The need for a bye was averted when Sean McRAE agreed to change from the B Grade to bring the field to 16 My draw against GARBETT was the only upset of Round 1. Round 2 saw White have a field day with 6 wins and 2 draws. SPAIN and METGE tried the Dutch but were harmered by GAREEIT and STUART respectively. SMITH and WEIR lost to HOPEWELL and GREEN in the Kings Indian. Round 3 saw a rash of Saturday night blunders and a forfeit by SPILLER to McRAE. In this round GREEN and GARBETT used the Queen's Indian to defeat HOPEWELL and STUART, leaving GREEN in front on 3 points, with GARBEIT on $2 \frac{1}{2}$.
These two drew in Round 4 mainly because neither player had more than a few seconds left on the clock. SPAIN showed good endgame technique to beat MARTIN-BUSS.
The last round draw raised an objection from MEIGE who correctly pointed out that the pairing rules required that GREEN neet the highest player on three point hat he had not already met ie: METGE. GARBEETI outplayed SMITH's Pirc and

MEIGe-GRFFN seemed headed for a draw when GREEN grabbed a pawn in a mad attempt to win and quickly lost instead. STUART played carefully to beat SPAIN to share third place with GRFEN half a poin behind GARBETT and METGE.
In the B Grade Kieth OKEY became the
first player to win the prize for a
picket fence since it was introduced some ears ago. His game against BOJTOR was particularly good.
J.BOUTOR - K.OKEY
Nimzoindian

1 d4 NI6 2 c4 e6 3 Bg5 Bb4+ 4 Nc 3 h 5 Bxf6(not very enterprising, Bh4 is better) ... Qxf6 6 e4 d6 7 Nf3 e5 8 d5 Bxc3 9 bxc3 nd7 10 Nd2 Nc5 11 3? Qy5! 12 Qe2 0-0 13 Nb 3 f5 14 Nxc5 dxc5 15 Rd1 fxe4 16 Qxe4 Bf5 7 Qe2 e4 18 qa2 e3 19 qe2 Be4!!


White cannot take the Bishop because of 20...Rf2 21 Qd3 Rxg2!) 20 Qb2 Rxf3! 21 Qxb7 Qh4+ $22 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Rxg} 3 \quad 23$ Qxa8+ Kh 24 Ke2 Qg4+ 25 Ke 1 Qf3 26 Rd2 exd2 $27 \mathrm{Kxd} 2 \mathrm{QL} 2+28 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 3+29 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Qxe} 2$ 30 Kb 3 Rxc3+ 0-1.

## G.SPAIN - B.MARTIN-BUSS

## Exchange Ruy Lopez

e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Bxc6 dxc6 e4 2 Ni3 Ni6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Bxc6 dxc $50-0 \mathrm{Bg} 4$ This move is a good alternative Of6 8 Nba $2 \mathrm{Ne} 7 \mathrm{Mel} \mathrm{Ng6} 10 \mathrm{d4}$ ! Bd $1 \begin{array}{llllll} \\ \text { hxg4 hxg4 } & 12 \text { Nh2 Rxh2 } 13 \text { Qxg4 Rh }\end{array}$ 3...Qh4 is also playable. 14 Qf5 Ne 15 Qxf6 gxf6 16 Nf3! BCO gives 16 c3 here with an unclear position but $\mathrm{N} \ddagger 3$ seems more natural. $16 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 517$ $0-0-0 \quad 18$ dxe5 fxe5 19 g3 Rdh8 20 Kg 2 Ng6 (20...f5!?) 21 Rh1 Nf4+? 2 Bxi4 exf4 23 e5! Rxh1 24 Rxh1 Rx 25 Kxh1 Bc5 26 gxf4 Bxf2 27 Ng 5 Bg 3 28 Nxf7 Bxf4 29 e6! Diagram next
page.

As can be seen，Black is quite lost in this endgame after the text move． The Knight is superior to the Bishop and denies the Black King the d8 square and entry to the Kingside．Meanwhile the White King has a free hand in the centre．29．．．Bd6 $30 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7$ $32 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{Kd} 5(\mathrm{Kf5}-\mathrm{Kg} 6$ also wins）c4 34 C 3 Bf8 35 a3 Be7 36 Kc6 Bf6 37 Nh 6 Kd8 38 Nf 5 Be 5 （ $38 \ldots$ ．．．Be7 lasts a bit 39 e7＋Ke8 40 Ka5 Bf6 41 Ke6 Bh8 42 $\begin{array}{lllllll}39 & \text { et＋Ke8 } \\ \text { Ne3 c5 } & 43 & \text { Nd5！}!~ B e 5 ~ & 44 & \text { Kxe5 } & \text { Kd7 } & 45\end{array}$ Kf6 Ke8 46 Ke6 b4 47 Nf6 Mate
Notes by Graeme SPAIN．

> MEIGE - GREEN
Queen's Indian

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 a3 $\mathbf{~ B b 7}$ （c5！is good） 5 Nc3 d5 6 cxas exd5 $7 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Bd} 68 \mathrm{Bg} 30-0 \quad 9$ e3 c5 $\quad 10$ Bd3 Nc6 $110-0 \quad$ Bxg 312 hxg 3 cxd4 13 exd4 Re8 14 Rc 1 Ne 415 Qa4 a6 16 b4 Qd6 $17 \quad$ Ob3 Nxc3 18 Rxc3 Rac8 19 Rfc1 g6 20 Qa4 b5 21 Qa1 Nb8 22 Qd2 Rxc3 23 Rxc3 Nd7 24 Oh6 Rc8 25 Ng5 Nf8 26 Rc5 Qf6 27 Rxc8 Bxc8 28 Oxf8＋Kxf8 29 Nxh7＋ $\mathrm{Kg7} 30 \mathrm{Nxf6} \mathrm{Kxf6} 31 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{g5}$ $32 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad 33 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 34 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 35$ $\mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 36 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{f} 537 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kf6} 38 \mathrm{Bd} 1 \mathrm{Bd} 7$ 39 a4 bxa4？？（．．．Kg6 is a draw） 40 Kc 3

 7 Be8 Kxb4 48 BE7 Kc5 49 g4 Bxg6 50 53 Kb 3 1－0．

P．GARBEIT－R．SMITH
1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 $3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 5 Be2 0－0 6 0－0 c6 7 h3 Qc7 8 Bg5 Nbd7 9 a4 a5 10 Qd2 Re8 11 Rfd1 e5 12 d5 cxd5 13 Nxd5 Nxd5 14 Qxd5 Nc5 （14．．．Qxc2 fails to 15 Bc4） 15 Qxd6 Qxd6 16 Rxd6 Nxe4 17 Rb6 Re6 18 Be3 Rxb6 19 Bxb6 Bd7 20 Bc4 Nd6 21 Bb3 e4 22 Ng5 Ra6 23 Bc5 h6 24 Bxd6 hxg5 25 Ba3 Be6 26 Bxe6 Rxe6 27 Rd1 Be5 $28 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 29$ Bc5 Rc6 30 Rd5 Kf6 31 Be3 Rd6 32 Rxd6 Bxd6 33 Bb6 Ke5 34

Bxa5 Kd5 35 b3 e3 36 fxe3 Bc5 37 Kf2 Re4 $\quad 38 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bxe} 3 \quad 39 \mathrm{C} 4 \quad \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 40 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 1$ $41 \quad \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{Ba} 7 \quad 42 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 4 \quad 43 \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad 44 \mathrm{hxg} 4$
 $48 \mathrm{b7}$ Ba7 49 c5 1－0．

1986 CHARLES BELTON MEMORIAL Time limit hour per player

## P．GARBETT－R．SMITT

Alekhine's Defence

1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nat 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 $\operatorname{Bg} 4$ 5 Be2 e6 6 0－0 Be7 $7 \mathrm{c4} \mathrm{Nb} 68 \mathbf{N c} 3 \quad 0-0$ 9 Be3 N8d7 Less conmon than the normal 9．．．d5 10 c5 which leaves Black with a solid but passive position． 10 exd6 cxd6 11 b 3 Best，as White does not want to tie his pieces to defend－ ing the c4 pawn．11．．．d5！？Adventurous but risky．11．．．Nf6 is solid but clearly somewhat better for White． 12 c5 Nc8 13 b4 Bf6 14 Bf4？This works out exceptionally well but sounder is 14 Qd2． and if 14．．．as 15 a3 maintaining White＇s advantage．14．．．Bxf3？Much better is 14．．．a5！immediately and after 15 Rb1（not 15 b5？Nxc5 or 15 a3？axb4 16 axb4 Rxa1 17 Qxa1 Bxd4） 15 ．．．axb4 16 Rxb4 Nxc5 17 dxc5 Bxc3 18 Rxb7 Rxa2 with a very unclear position． 15 Bxf3 a5 Now not nearly as good，but under－ standable for if white is given time to consolidate，a pawn storm on the Q－side looks crushing． 16 Rb 1 axb 4 17 Rxb4 b6？This seens to lose by force，but 17．．．Nxc5 18 Nxd5！exd5 19 axc5 is also extremely strong for white． 18 cb nc5 If 18．．．Qe7 I was intending not 19 cxd 7 Qxb4 20 dxc 8 Rfxc 8 with counterplay but 19 Ra4！Rxa4 20 Nxa4 and Black must lose a Knight． 19 dxc5 Bxc3


20 cxb6！This appears decisive．If 20 Rb3？ 20．．．Ba5 is possible．20．．．Bxb4 Not Nxb6 21 c 7 winning the Knight． 21 c7 Qd7 22 b7 Nd6 23 bxa8＝Q Rxa8 24 Ob3！The sting in the tail．Otherwise Black would e doing fine．24．．．Ba5 If 24 ．．．．Bc5 25 b88＋Qc8 26 Rb1 Ba7 27 Bxd6！ 25 Ob8＋Qc8 26 Bxd6 Bxc7 27 Qxc8＋Rxc8 28 Rc1 Resigns．

## NZ SCHOOLPUPILS CHAMPIONSHIP

Otago Chess Club hosted the 1986 NZ Schoolpupils Championship from 1－5 Sept－ ember．The Tournament was sponsored by WHITCOULS LTD．
Auckland was not represented this year， due it seems to problems clearing their mailbox，consequently 4 Otago players appeared．The perennial corment about weakness of the field could again be made Only Ben MARTIN had played in the tournament previously and as defending co－champion of a number of tournaments in the past year he was overwhelming favourite．
In order to meet the requirement for regional representatives to meet each other in the opening rounds，the first three rounds were almost minitournaments where Ben MARTIN disposed of the other tago players and the helingtonians Mark CAPIE and Grant LEZARD almost completed a clean sweep against the Cantabrian pair and M．SHANAHAN．
The key game occurred in Round 5 when MARTIN met CAPIE．This battle was over in only two hours when CAPIE succumbed to a sequence of deadly pins．A draw against LEZAR assured marr of first place ahead of CAPIE with LEZARD in 3rd position．
TABIE


> M.CAPIE - B.MARTIN Ruy Lopez
le4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 0－0 b5 6 Rb3 Rb7 7 Re1 Bc5 8 c3 d6 $9 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bb6} \quad 10$ a4 $0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 12 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \mathrm{Qe} 7$ $13 \quad \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Nb} 8 \quad 14 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 15 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Nbd} 716$ Nf1 Ne8 17 Ne3 Ng7 18 Bc2 2 Nf6 19 h4 Nfh5 20 hxg5 hxg5 21 Qd2 f5 22 exf5 $\begin{array}{lllllll} & \text { Nxg3 } 23 & \text { fxg3 } & \text { Nxf5 } 24 & \text { Bxf5 Rxf5 }\end{array}$ 25 axb5 axb5 26 Rxa8＋Bxa8 27 Kf1 Bxe3 28 Qxe3 g4 29 Qe4 Qg5 0－1．

$$
0-0-0
$$

Tactics is the most important element in the middlegame

SPOT THE COMBINATIONS
MARTIZ to Move！The late IM Bill MARTZ found winning resources in these game


OLAFSSON－MARTZ
PADEVSKY－MARTZ
We regret the need to publish fewer diagrams using a more restricted format．Hopefully he space versus material equation will work in favour of those readers who enjoy puzzles，in the December issue．

## FLETCHER PLACEMAKERS LABOUR WEEKEND TOURNAMENT

DATES： 25 － 27 October 1986
VENUE：Fraser Crescent School Hall Redwood Street
ENTRIES Close 22 October
FEES：Adults $\$ 16$
Schoolpupils $\$ 8.00$
Includes NzCA rating levy）
PRIZES：Guaranteed minimum $\$ 250$ 00

## THE TOURNAMENT

Round Swiss in Two Grades 40 Moves in 2 hours，thereafter 20 moves per hour
Eligibility：Open to financial members of an NZCA affiliated Club． DIRECTOR OF PLAY：Chris BELL． NO SMOKING IN THE PLAYING HALL
Entry Forms are available from your Nearest Chess Club．

## THE GUFELD VISIT

As promised, this issue begins a report on the visit of Grandmaster Eduard GUFELD to New Zealand, with games involving local players. In addition, there are two more examples of his beautiful games (see "Sochi Pearl" by Jonathan Adams and "This is Chess" by Bob Mitchell).

## Christchurch

by Mark WILSON
Grandmaster Eduard GUFELD's demanding tour of this country began in Christchurch on Thursday July 3. By the time he left for Dunedin on Sunday, many local players had availed themselves of the opportunity to learn from one of the world's top trainers Accompanied by friend, interpret er, and guide, Ortvin SARAPU, he gave two simultaneous exhibitions, conceding one loss and eight draws from about fifty games, as well as playing one public game wit Vernon SMALL which was drawn GUFELD gave a well-received lecture at gave canterbury olubrooms in which he demonstrated some of his he demonstrated some of his brilliant coaching skill while his magnificent games. opinion was unanimous that this was the was unanimous that this was the highlight of the visit, and awaiting the next trip.
The sponsors, Anstrad and FTC, received reasonable coverage which may encourage them to further support chess in New Zealand. One quibble, though: I hope that when future such events are organised, that the clubs are notified earlier so that they have considerably more time for organisation.

Personally, I am glad to have met such a genuinely good-humoured and likeable man, far removed from the media stereotypes of today, whose visit can only benefit the sport in this country.
The following games between GM GUFELD and local players Peter van

DIJK and Vernon SMALL are annotated by IM ortvin SARAPU.

> GUFELD - van DIJK Christchurch 1986 Ruy Lopez

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 oto bs his opening (Black has moves very accurately and is in 6 bad position. His moves 5 and 6 are very suspect of being minor mistakes.) 6 .... Be7 10 Bd5 Nc5 11 b 4 e5 Ne4 9 c3 d3 10 Bd5 Nc5 13 a4 ba4 14 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Ne6 } & 12 & \text { Qxd3 } & \text { Bb7 } & 13 & \text { a4 } & \text { ba4 } & 14 \\ \text { Rxa4 } & \text { Oc8 } & 15 & \mathrm{Na} 3 & \text { a3 } & 16 & \mathrm{Bxe} & \mathrm{fe} 6\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}17 & \text { b5 Nd8 } \\ 20 & \text { Bf4 Ng5 } & 21 & \text { Bxg5 Bxg5 } 22 & \text { Rxa5 }\end{array}$ 20 Bf4 Ng5 23 Rfal Rxa5 24 Nxa5 Bf4 25 Bd5 Bas 26 Rel ... (White has a clear advantage in his extra clear advala ods 27 g3 Qg5 28 pe2? ... (This move loses the exchange since, after 28 ... Bd2! 29 Ral, there follows 29 ... Bc3! For the rest of the game, Peter van DIJK played very well to exploit his material advantage to a well-earned win.) 28 ... Bd2 29 Nab3 Bxel 30 Qxel Qg4 31 c5
 Ba5 32 f3 Qxe5 36 Kf2 Bxb3 37 Nxb3 Qb5 38 Qe3 Rxb6 39 Nd4 QC4 $40 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 4 \quad 41 \mathrm{Nf5} \mathrm{Rb} 2+42 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 2$ 43 Kf4 Qxh2 44 Kg5 Qd2 $0-1$

> GUFELD - SMALL
> Christchurch 1986
> Sicilian Defence

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cd4 4 Nxd4 NC6 5 Nc3 a6 (Small invites Gufeld to play the "eagle" variation, which he played against Anatoly Karpov in the Lucerne olympiad, 1982.) 6 Be2 d6 7 O-0 Nf6 8 Be3 Be7 $9 \quad \mathrm{ff} 4 \mathrm{O}-0 \quad 10$ at Qc7 (With the transposition of moves, we have the Sheveningen variation.) ll Bf3 ... Here, Khl is more elastic. Black can now get an even game.) 11 ... Nxd4 12 Qxd4 e5 13 Qd2 ef4 14 Bxf4 Be6 15 Rfdl Rfds 16 a5 Rac8 17 Khl h6 18 Qf2 Kh8 19 Qg3 Qc5 20 Ra 4 Nh5 21 Bxh5 Qxh5 22 Raal Qh4 23 Qe3 d5 Drawn

Sochi Pearl
by Jonathan ADAMS
The following game was demonstrated by GM Eduard GUFELD during a lecture hosted by the Wellington Chess hosted by the Wellington visit. GUFELD used it to illus trate the theme of fantasy in trate the theme of fantasy in 'Sochi Pearli. It is annotated using GUFELD's own comments.

GUFELD - IVANOVIC
Sochi 1979
Sicilian Defence

| 1 | e4 | C5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nf3 | Nc6 |
| 3 | d4 | cd4 |
| 4 | Nxd4 | Nf6 |
| 5 | Nc3 | e5 |

The Pelikan was a relatively rare bird in those days.

| 6 | Nbd5 | d6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Nd5 | Nxd5 |
| 8 | ed5 | Nb8 |
|  | t. |  |

9 White's plan is bäed on Queenside expansion with b4 and c5, revolving around d5, and finishing his deployment.

| 9 |  | a6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Nc3 | Nd7 |  |
| 11 | Be 2 |  |  |
| A | game between | TAL and | SOKOLSK |
| con | inued 11 | Be7. | Black | continued 11 ... Be7. Black followed up with ... 0-0, ... f5, … $\quad . \cdot 96, \cdots$ idea: on th face of it, time-saving compared with Sokolsky's line, but not every new idea is good.

11 ...
g6 (?)

Black's plan should be King-side play.
$120-0$
Bg7
As a general rule, small pieces rather than big ones should be given defensive tasks. The pawn on d6 is now only defended indirectly, by the queen.

Superficially, White's l3th move looks silly since, obviously, Black will soon chase the Knight is no real alternative to Black's is reply ind 0. Nb 1 met by Be3 0a4 0-0 15 0a3 with a big advant Qa4 0-0 15 Qa3 with a big advantage to White

14 Qa4
f5
Forced, since if 14 ... 0 -O? Qb4.
$15 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 5$


Black threatens ... h6 but White has an exploitable advantage in development.

16 f4! h6
Black can't take the Knight because of 17 fe5 and 18 e6. Now, 17 fe5 fails to 17 ... Bxe5 with a good game for Black.

| 17 | Bh4 | ef4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Rxf4 | Be5 |

White threatens the devastating Rxf5. Black defends $d 6$ so the Queen can safely capture on f5.

## 19 Rafl!

Amazing! If 19 ... Bxf4 20 Rxf4 threatens Rxf5 and Nf6+. So the Rook can't be taken.

19 Og7
If Black could castle, he would be winning!
20 Rxf5
A sacrifice that was played intuitively, rather than being based upon exact calculation of variations.


Here, if $12 \ldots$ Nc5 13 b4 Nxd3 14 cd3 and White is a little better. This is a standard sicilian position, but today $I$ felt that $I$ should try something that was antipositional and not the standard line.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
13 & \text { b4! } & \text { Nb6 } \\
14 & \text { a4! } & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

$$
14 \text { In Russia there is a saying: }
$$

"If one says A then one must say
B, but GUFELD says IIf you say B
then you must say A! !" Look at
this position! What is Black to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { An? } \\
& 14
\end{aligned}
$$

| 14 | $\ldots$ | ba4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | b5! | ab5 |
| 16 | Ncxb5 | Qb8 |
| 17 | Bb4! | $\ldots$ |

Now White has a clear advantage. Black's pieces are cramped and $I$ Black's pieces are cramped and I
have the beginnings of a strong have the
attack.
Nfd7
This move is a mistake. One may play with inspiration or classically but not both at the same timel This was a classical sove and it was wrong a should have continued the atock perhaps have 18 f5 e5 19 f6!

| 18 | $\ldots$ | NC5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | e5 | de5 |
| 20 | fe5 | $0-0$ |
| 21 | Bxh7!? | $\ldots$ |

If 21 Bxc5 Bxc5 22 Nxe6 fe6 23 Qh5 Rf5! 24 Rf5 ef5 ( 24 ... g6? 25 Rg 5 ) 25 Qf5 g6 26 Qe6=

| 21 | $\cdots$ | Kxh |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | Qh5 | Kg 8 |

23 Re3 Qa8!

After playing my $23 r d$ move I left the table and walked around the hall. When I returned it took me a moment or two to spot my opponent's move which was a little move but very strong. His threats meant that I must pursue my plan quickly.

## $24 \quad \mathrm{Rg} 3$

with the idea of 25 Qh6
$\qquad$
Another strong move. (If 24 ... Ne4 then 25 Rh3!)


At this point I remembered the story of the young Russian war hero, Alexander Matrosov, who threw himself on the enemy machine guns so that his fellow soldiers He died, of course, but cleared the way for his friends.
25 Rf5!!
On 25 Nf5? ef5 26 Qh6 Ne6 27 Bxe7 Rxc2! 28 Bf6 Rxg2-+. Black must now decide how to capture the Rook.
 Kgl!! with the idea of Rh3 and e6. 26 Nxf5 the ldea of 27 Na6!!
This was one of the best moves of my career. After I played it I got up and left the table. My opponent thought and thought while I looked at other games and did not go back to my board. After an hour, my opponent came to me. His tie was loosened, he was perspiring, and he whispered to me one word: "Draw!"
Of course I accepted his offer knowing that he had seen the one move that would save him from defeat: 27 ... Bxd6! (If $27 \ldots$ Ne6 28 Nxf5 Bxb4 29 Rxg7! Nxg7 $30 \mathrm{Qg4+-}$ or if $27 \ldots$ Ne7 28 Rxg7+! Kxg7 29 Nxf5 Kg8 30 Nxe7+ $\mathrm{Kg} 731 \mathrm{Nf5} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 32 \mathrm{Qg} 5+-$ or 27 3 Qe4! 28 Rh3 Bh4 29 Rxh4 Qxh4 30 Qxh4+-.) But after 27 ... Bxd 6 28 Rxg7! Kxg7 and White has the draw.
Grandmaster GUFELD eased back from the table, his story complete. He leaned forward once more, fixing me with his eyes as his hands framed the board. "You ask 'What is chess?' My friend, I tell you. This is chess!" A crandyaster handshakes and the ranty of this gane. But the eauty forer. me forever.

## OVERSEAS NEWS

WORLD OPEN
The egotistically named＇World＇open at Philadelphia had no Soviet players competing but the US\＄ 188,500 prize fund other than a world championship match． Just over 1500 players turned out in the several grades．
For the first time sine 1974 the Open produced an outright winner in 28 year old．Nick de FIRMIAN who won almost \＄21，000 Leading scores： 1 GM de FIRMIAN （USA）7 $\frac{1}{2} / 9$ ；2－7 GM DURIC（YUG），IM DLUGY （USA）IM FEDOROWICZ（USA），IM IVANOV
（CAN），GM SEIRAWAN（USA）\＆WOLFF （USA）7；8－19 GM ALBURT（USA），IM ANAND （IND），IM BENJAMIN（USA）GM BROWNE
（USA）FINLAY（CAN）GM D．GUREVICH（USA） GM KTARTC（YUG）GM LEIN（USA），GM LOBRON（BRD），IM MCCAMBRIDGE（USA） GM OLAFSSON（ICE）\＆IM RIZZITANO（USA） $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

## U．S．OPEN

Held at Somerset new Jersey in August the U．S．Open Chanmpionship could not boast the huge prizes of the World Open but the field was considerably stronger．For the first time，too， soviet representatives appeared in an merican Open tournament－GMs CHERNIN and TSESHKOVSKY．Another first was the participation of Boris GULKO in his first tournament since being allowed to leave the Soviet Union．Making up a quartet of Russian Champions was Boris SPASSKY！Over 500 competed in the single ournament
Larry CHRISTIANSEN won top honours （and $\$ 5,000$ ）with $10 / 12$ ．2－7 were：GM LLBURT（USA）GM CHERNIN（USR）IM FRIAS（COL）IM ROHDE（USA）GM SPASSKY （FRA）\＆IM WILDER（USA）9를．For those who like King marches：

> CHRISTIANSEN - RACHELS
King's Indian

1 d4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{c4} \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 3$ d5 d6 4 Nc3 g6 $5 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{0}-0 \quad 7$ Qd2 e6 8 Bd3 exd5 9 Nxd5 Be6 10 Ne 2 Bx d5 11 cxd5 c4 12 Bc 2 Nb d7 13 0－0 $\begin{array}{llllll} & \mathrm{Nc} 5 & 14 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 & \mathrm{Re} 8 & 15\end{array}$ Rae1 a6 16 Kh 1 b 517 a3 Ob6 18 f 4 Nfd 7 19 e5 dxe5 20 d6 Nd3 21 Bxd3 Oxd6 22 Rf3 e4 23 Nxe4 Qd4 24 Rfe3 Cxd3 25 Rxd3 Qc4 $26 \quad$ b3 $4 c 6 \quad 27$ RA6 4 Bc3

 $\begin{array}{llllllll}34 \mathrm{~h} 5 & \text { gxh } 5 & 35 \mathrm{Kg} 2 & \mathrm{Rc} 2+ & 36 & \mathrm{Kh} 3 & \mathrm{Bg} 1 & 37\end{array}$
 Kf5，1－0．

Sy
The 2nd Tigran PEIROSYAN Memorial tournament in June was jointly won tournament in June was jointly
by Soviet GMs Lev PSAKHIS and oleg
ROMINISHIN with $9 \frac{1}{2} / 14$ ．Just $\frac{1}{2}$ a point ROMINISHIN with $9 \frac{1}{2} / 14$ ．Just $\frac{1}{2}$ a point
behind was Mikhail TAL whilst English IM Julian HODGSON TAL whilst Fnglish success in taking 4th place with 8 points．

## SYDNEY

The playoff for the Australian Champ－ ionship was held in June．GM Ian ROGERS won the double round robin beating both opponents $1 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ．Chris DEPASQUALE made the same score against Craig LAIRD， thus relegating the former NZ player to third place．

The GM Group at the annual Biel Chess Festival saw a tie for first place between veteran Lev POLUGAEvSKY and 26 year old Eric LOBRON．The 51 year old Soviet player has not collected a Soviet player first prize for a year or two，having played mainly in the highest category events where the likes of KARPOV，TIMMAN，LJUBOJECIC and KORCHNO have dominated．This time KORCHNOI finished in a lowly 1th slot though only 2 points of the pace．He did at least efeating his old rival POLU in their individual clash．
SCORES：1－2 LOBRON POLUGAEVSKY 7； 3－4 GM CEBALO，GM HORT $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ；5－7 IM GREEN－ FELD，GM MILES GM NUNN 6；8－9 GMs HUBNER \＆ROGERS 5步； 10 GM KORCHNOI 5；
11 im klinger $3 \frac{1}{2} 12 \mathrm{IM}$ HUG $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ．
The＂Young Master＂tournament held at the same time was won by West Genman IM Stefan KINDERMANN with $7 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ．
LOBRON - MILES

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7$ f3 $0-0 \quad 8$ Qd2 Nc6 Bc4 Bd7 10 h 4 Rc 811 Bb 3 h 512 0－0－0 Ne5 13 Bg5 Nh7 14 Bh6 Bxh6 15 0 axh6 Rxc3 16 bxc3 $\quad$ Qc7 17 Kb 1 Nc4 18 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{g} 4 & \mathrm{hxg} 4 & 19 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{Rc} 8 & 20 & \mathrm{RA} 3 & \mathrm{Qa} 5\end{array}$ 25 fxg5 Bf5 26 Rxc4 Rxc4 24 Nb3 QC7 28 Rxgs Bi5 26 g6 N土6 27 Rd2 Rxc3 Kxf7 31 Bxc2＋ 29 Rxc2 Rxc2 30 gxt $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Kxf7 } & 31 & \text { Qg6t } & \text { Ke6 } & 32 & \text { Oxc2 } & \text { Qxc2＋} & 33 \\ \text { Kxc2 } & \text { Nxh } 5 & 34 & \text { Kd2 } & \text { Kf5 } & 35 & \text { Ke3 } & \text { g } 3 & 36\end{array}$

 $42 \mathrm{Ng} 1 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \quad 43 \mathrm{Rd} 7,1-0$.

KORCHNOI－POLUGAEVSKY Symmetrical English

 9 Nxa4 Bxg2 10 Kxg 2 Qc7 11 e 4 a 6 16 Qb7 13 Re1 0－0 14 Qe 2 Rc8 15 f 4 Nc 16 Nxc6 Oxc6 17 Rac1 Ob7 18 a4 Nd7 19 Oc6 Nc5 20 b4 Nb3 21 Red1 Qc7 22 Na2

Kh 3
$\mathrm{QC6}$


23 f5 e5 24 Nc3 Oxc4 25 Qg4 f6 26 nas Bd8 27 Re3 Qc2 28 Bc3 Rc6 29 Oh5 Rf8
 36 Qd7＋Kg8 37 Qe8＋Kh7 38 Rxd6 Rc8 39 Qg6t Kh8 $40 \mathrm{Rd7} \mathrm{Rg} 8 \quad 41 \mathrm{Ng} 4,1-0$.

## WORLD JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

Iocal Favourite（and the only GM） Simen AGDESTEIN had to be content with only second place on tie－break in the 1986 world Junior Championship held at Gausdal，Norway during August．
The new champion is Walter ARENCIBIA of Cuba．Other favourites with strong credentials were Evgeny BAREEV（USR）， Sweden＇s Ferdinand HELJERS，James HOWELIL of England and Joseph KLINGER of Austria． The NZ representative，Anthony KER placed 20th＝with seven points－the same as last year．

W．ARENCIBIA－S．AGDESIJEIN
 5 a3 Bxc3＋ 6 bxc3 c5 7 cxat5 exd5 8 $120-0$ Ba7 $13 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{cxd4} 14$ Hxa6 Nxa6 15 Qd3 Nc7 16 a4 Ne6 17 Bd2 Rac8 18 Rfb 1 Rc4 $19 \mathrm{Ob} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 20$ Ob5 g6


21 Ne4 Qd8 22 Nxf6＋Qxf6 23 Qxd5 Rc2 24

Rd1 Rd8 25 Qe4 Rc4 26 Be1 Rdc8 27 Bh4 $\quad$ g5 28 Bg3 Nc5 29 Qd4 Nb3 30 Rab1
 34 Qe6t Kg7 35 Bd6 Rc2 36 Qd7＋Kh6 37 e4 Ne2＋ 38 Kf 1 Qd8 39 Rxc2 Rxc2 40 d5 Ne3 1－0．

## A．KER－T．OPARAUGO（NIG）

 5 cxd5 d6 6 e4 g6 7 f4 $\mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Nfa7}$ 9 a4 a6 10 Bd3 0－0 11 Nf3 Nf6 12 0－0 Bg4 13 h 3 Bxf3 $14 \quad$ Qxf3 Nbd7 15 Bd2 Qc7 16 Bc4 Rfe8 17 Rael Rab8 18 Qd3 Nb6 19 b3 Nfd7 20 Kh1 od8 21 Ne2 De7 22 Ng 1 Rf8 23 Nf 3 Rbe8 $24 \mathrm{a5}$ Na8 25 Bc3 Nc7 26 Bxg7 Kxg7 27 e5 Rc8 28 Ng5 h6 29 exd6 Qxd6 30 Ne4 Qe7 31 d6 Qa8 32 dxc7 Rxc7 33 Rd1 Qe7 34 Qc3＋ f6 35 Rfe1 Qd8 36 Nd6 Rct 37 Og3 f5 38 Qc3＋Kh7 39 Nxb7 Qc7 40 Re7＋1－0．

## AMSTERDAM

Once again the OHRA Festival featured small double round－robin in the Crown group．Yugoslav GM LJUBOJEVIC continued his run of good form over the past year by winning narrowly from Dutchman Joh van der WEIL．SCORES： 1 LJUBONEVIC $6 \frac{1}{2}:$ 2－5 heil 6； 3 RIBLI（HUN） 6 HPLITERS（SWE） The GM Group
，GM Group was a 9 round Swiss with 32 players．Colombian GM Alonso zAPATA posted an excellent $7 / 9$ to win by a point from GM GEILLER（USR），GM SMETKAI Champion Maya CHIBURDANTDZE scored 5／9 Several time in the past we have renarked upon the hoodoo Jan TIMMAN seems to have over Rafael VAGANTAN．The Armen－ ian finally broke it in the following game：

VAGANIAN－TIMMAN
Queen＇s Indian
1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 b6 3 d4 e6 4 g3 Ва木 5 NHVA2 Bb7 $6 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 70-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 8$ $0 \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{d5} 9$ cxd5 exd5 10 Ne5 c5 11 dxc5

 axb3 Bd6 19 Nac4 Bxe5 20 Bxe5 Bxc4 21 bxc4 Nfd7 22 Bxd4 cxd4 23 e5 Nc6 24
 Rd4 $28 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Rfd8} 29 \mathrm{Rxa} 7 \mathrm{~d} 230 \mathrm{Be} 4,1-0$.

## WEST BERLIN

In August Mikhail TAL headed home a field of 466 players on tie－break in an open tournament．Joining TAL on $7 \frac{1}{2}$ points were IM BIRNBOIM（ISR），and untitled LAUVSNES of Norway．

## WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP 1986 <br> By PETER STUART

On Monday 28 July Garry KASPAROV and Anatoly KARPOV sat down to their 73rd mutual encounter in less than 2 years as the return match for the World title began at London's Park Lane Hotel.
The first official match for the title of World Champion was staged in several US cities in 1886. Thus the present match celebrates 100 years of world Championship matches. This is the first World Title match to be played in he British Isles. Also for the first time since World War II a World Championship is being split between two venues; after 12 ganes in London the tournament moves to Leningrad which like the British capital has never before hosted such a This
.This report covers the London half of the match which ended with the chanpion leading by the narrow margin of $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ having won games 4 and 8 whilst losing game 5. Perhaps KARPOV can be considered a trifle lucky that he faces only a one pasparov winning line in game 2 The cly siple lowever imself in games 7 and 11 so he should not hiself in gaf just be written just yet
fairly the opening front KASPAROV has the Grünfeld Defence a refreshing with from the monotonous diet of nd Queen's Indian lines adopted by both and Queen's Indian lines adopted by both change should not be much of a surprise to anyone since the world's surprise exponent of the Grinfeld leading exponent of the Grunfeld, Hungarian the KASPAROV camp It is noteworthy the KASPAROV camp. It is noteworthy that currently fashionable 8 Rbl line the currently fashionable 8 Rbl line of the main for the classical 4 BE4

> Game 1 - Settling In
> KARPOV KASPAROV
> Grünfeld Defence
$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 5 Bf4 c5 6 dxc5 Qa5 7 Rc 1 dxc4 8 e3 Qxc5 9 Qa4+ Nc6 10 Bxc4 $0-0 \quad 110-0$ Bd7 12 Ob5 Oxb5 13 Bxb5 Rac8 14 Rfd 1
 18 Rxd8+ Rxd8 19 Ne5 Nxe5 20 Bxe5 Ra2 21 b3 そ-

[^0]RASPAROV KARPOV Nimzoindian Defence
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 Nff c5 5 g 3 (The ROMANISHIN Variation which proved successful for KASPAROV in the 1985 match.) 5...Nc6 6 Bg2 d5 (6...Ne4 was KARPOV's choice in games 13 and 17 of the 1985 match) 7 cxd5 Nxd5 8 Bd2 cxd4 9 Nxd4 Nexd4 $10 \quad$ Nxd5 Bxd2+ 11 Qxd2 Nc6 $12 \mathrm{~N} \pm 4 \mathrm{Oxd} 2+13 \mathrm{Kxd} 2 \mathrm{Bd7} 14$ Rhc1 Re7 (Despite several exchanges and a symmetrical pawn fonmation White retains and edge thanks to his superior Bishop)
15 Nd3 Rhc8 16 Nc5 RabB 17 Rc3 Nd8 18 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Rac1 } & \text { Bc6 } & 19 & \text { Nd } 3 \text { Bd7 } 20 \text { Ne5 Rxc3 } & 21\end{array}$ Rxc3 Be8
(21...Rc8 looks more natural; KARPOV perhaps overestimates the Bishop's future usefulness)
22 b4 a6 23 Be4 h6 24 a3 f6 25 Na3 Bc6 26 Bxct Nxc6 27 Nc5
Threatening Nxa6 or Nxb7
27...Ne5 28 f4 Nd7 29 Nb3 Kd6 30 e4 g5 31 Ke 3 e 5
Black's position has deteriorated over the last few moves and time trouble was also now a factor. Fixing the centre pawns leaves Black with Kingside weaknesses but it is not easy to suggest viable alternatives. At
least the White $N$ is denied use of d. least the white $N$ is denied use of d4.
32 fxg5 fxg5 33 Na5 g4 34 Rc2 h5 35 Rc1!

35...b6
35...b6
Black had lit.tle choice as King moves allow Rc7 while 35 ...Nf6 lets the White allow Rc7 while 35 ... Nf6 lets the White 36 Rc6+ Ke7 37 Nc4 Rf8
Passive defence is no longer possible. $38 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \quad 39 \mathrm{Ne} 3$ ?
39 Nxb would be no improvement leading to a Rook ending with so many weak pawns on both sides that a draw would be inevitable. KASPAROV however must have missed the much stronger 39 Rc7! which threatens 40 Rxd7+ in addition to the obvious 40 Nxb6 or 40 Nxe5. Black has no defence since 39 ...Rc3 is met by 40

Kd2 and Black must cede the exchange. To be fair the text move also looks rather good but, as it turns out, not quite good enough for the win.

## 39...Nf6! 40 Rxb6 Nxe4 41 Rxa6

The game was adjourned here with most of the Grandmasters believing that White was winning. Nevertheless KARPOV
manages to keep a whole skin!
41...R£2+ 42 Kd3 Na6 43 Ra7+

Black's potential counterplay is illustrated by the variation 43 a4 Rxh2 44 b5 h4! 45 Nxg4 Rh3.
43....Ke6 44 Rh7 e4+!

But not 44...Rxh2 45 Rh6+ ke7 46 Nd5+ Kd7 47 Rxh5! or here $45 .$. Kd7 46 Nxg4 followed by 47 Nxe5 +
$45 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 5+46 \mathrm{Kc}$
The only move.
46. . .Nxa3+ 47 Kd 4 Rxh2 48 Rh6+ Kd7 49 Na5 Not 49 Nxg4 Rd2+
49...h4! 50 Rxh4 Rxh4 51 gxh4 g3 52 Nf4 $5252 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 2+!$ and 53...Nxb4
52...Nc2+, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$

Game 3 - Another quiet Grünfeld KARPOV KASPAROV
 5 gli 6 cxas cxas 7 Ne3 0 - 8 Nes A quiet 6 cetains a slight pull due to Black's difficulty in developing his Bc8.
$9 \quad 0-0 \quad$ Nfd7 10 Nf3 Nc6 11 Bf4 Nf6 12 $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \\ \mathrm{Rfd1} & 13 & \mathrm{Qd} 2 & \text { Nxe5 } 14 \text { Bxe5 Bc6 } & 15\end{array}$ R£d1 Nd7 16 Bxg7 Kxg7 17 Rac1 N 5618 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Qt4 } & \text { Ob8 } & 19 & \text { Qxb8 Raxb8 } & 20 & \mathrm{f} 3 & \text { Rfd8 } \\ 21\end{array}$ 24 Rdc2 Kf8 25 Rf1 Ke7 26 RA R5 Nd6
 $\mathrm{Rc} 7 \mathrm{Rxc} 7 \quad 32 \mathrm{Rxc} 7 \mathrm{Ra} 833 \mathrm{Ng} 1 \mathrm{Ne} 8 \quad 34 \mathrm{Rc} 1$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Rc7 } & \text { Rxc7 } & 32 & \text { Rxc7 } \\ \text { Rc8 } & 35 & \text { Rxc8 } & 1,3 & 1\end{array}$

> Game 4 - First Blood!
> KASPAROV KARPOV
> Nimzoindian Defence

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 Nf3 c5 5 g3 cxd4
Varying from the 5..Nc6 of game 2. 6 Nxd4 $000 \quad 7 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 8 \mathrm{Ob3} 3 \mathrm{BxC} 3+\quad 9$ bxc3 Nc6 10 cxd5 Na5 11 Oc2 Nxd5 12 Qd3 Bd7 13 c4! Ne7 14 0-0 Rc8 15 Nb 3
Neatly exchanging the weakling on c4 since $15 \ldots$ Nxb3 16 axb3 drops one of the Q'side pawns while $15 .$. Nac6 16 Nc5 favours White.
15...Nxc4 16 Bxb7 Rc7 17 Ba6 Ne5 18 Qe3 Nd6 20 Qd3 Rc6 21 Ba 3 Bc8 22 Bxc8 Ndxc8 23 Rfd1 Qxd3 24 Rxd 3 Re8
With almost every White piece more active
than its Black counterpart the first player has an enduring initiative. 25 Rad1 f6 26 Nd4 Rb6 27 Bc5 Ra6 28 Nb5!

28...Rc6

The defence of the a pawn must be abandoned since 28 ...Rxa 3 loses material after the further 29 Nc7 Rf8 30 Nxe6 Re8 31 Nc 7 Rf8 32 Re3!
29 Bxe7 Nxe7 30 Rd7 Ng6
 e5 35 Rd3 Kh7 36 Rc3 Rbc8
Else White doubles on the seventh rank,
37 Rxc6 Rxc6 38 Nc7 Ne6 39 Na5 Kh6 40 a5 e4 $\quad 41$ a6, 1 - 0.

Game 5 - KARPOV strikes back!
KARPOV KASPAROV
Grünfeld Defence
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 $\mathbf{9} 6$ 5 e3 c5 6 dxc5 Qa5 7 Rc 1 Ne 48 cxas Nxc3 9 Qd2 Qxa2 10 bxc3 Qxa2+
Theory suggests that $10 \ldots$ Qa5 is better.
11 Kxd2 Nd7 12 Rb5 $0-0$ 11 Kxd2 Na7 12 Rb5 0-0 13 Bxd7 Bxd7 14 e4
14 Rb 1 is met by 14...Bf5 15 Rxb 7 Be 4 .
14...f5 15 e5 e6

A novelty but whether an improvement over the previously played 15...Rac8 is doubtful.
16 C4 Rfc8 17 c6! bxc6 18 d6 c5
black should probably have tried 18..g5!? 19 h4! h6 20 Nh3 a5 21 f3 a4 22 Rhel Preparing to redeploy the Nh3
22...a3?

This pawn represents Black's only possible counterplay but now it becomes too vulnerable.
23 Nf 2 a 24 NA 3 Ra3 25 Ral g5 26 hxg 5 nxg5 $27 \mathrm{Bxg} 5 \mathrm{Kf7} 28 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 29 \mathrm{Rec} 1$ Nc1, $1-0$ Re3 Ras

Game 6 - an imaginitive pawn offer avails nothing.

> KASPAROV KARPOV Petroff Defence Ifz

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4
d4 d5 6 Bd3 Nc6 $70-0$ Bg4 8 c4
wice in the first match (1984/5)

and KASPAROV now tried 10 cxds in game 28 and 10 NC 3 in game 30, failing to make any impression in either case. Game 15 of the second match saw $11 \mathrm{Re} 1+$ 7 Qd1 Ne6 with KASPAROV again failing Be7 Qdive nthing o achieve anything.



Setting Black fresh problems before he can complete his development. To my mind one of the nicest moves played in the match so far
The safest response. The Black King is stranded in the centre after 17...Bxb4 18 Ba3!, eg. 18.. Bxa3 19 Qxa3 c5 20 Bd3 or 18.. Bd6 19 Rab1 Rb8 20 Bd3 with Bb5+ looming in both cases.
18 Oh3 g6 19 Bb 2 Qc4! 20 Rd7 Rae8 21 Ba5 Qxb4 22 Bc3 Nf4!
KARPOV succeeds in equalising with energetic play
Not 23 Of3? Oxc3! winning a piece.
23...Nxh3+ 24 gxh3 Bxb4 25 Rxc7 b6 26 Rxa7
A draw might have been agreed here but White's broken Kingside pawns provide an excuse for Black to play on to the adjournment.
26 ..Kg7 27 Rd7 Rd8 28 Rxd8 Rxd8 29 Rd1 Rd6 $30 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{~h} 531 \mathrm{Kf1}$ Rd7 32 Kg 2 Bc 5 33 Kf1 h4 34 BC4 Re7 $35 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Ba} 6 \quad 36 \mathrm{Kg} 2$ Rc7 $\quad 37$ Bb3 f5 38 Rd3 Bc5 39 Rc3 Kf6 40 Rc4 g5 41 Rc2 Ke5 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$.

Game 7 - Back to the Queen's Gambit
KARPOV KASPAROV
QGD Exchange
$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4$ e6 3 Nc 3 Be7 4 cxd5 cxat5 5 Bf4 C6 6 Qc2 g6 7 e3 Bf5 8 Qd2 Nbd7 9 f3
Introducing a novel plan in this position. White's idea is to aim for an early e3-e4. We now see some interesting manoeuvring from both players.
$9 .$. Nb $6 \quad 10$ e4 Be6 11 e5 h5 12 Bd3 Qd7 $13 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Bh} 4+14 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 15 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Bf5} 16 \mathrm{Bf} 1$


20 Kxh3 Kq7 21 Kg 2 Na7 22 Bd3 Nf8 23 Be3 Ne6 24 Ne2 Nh6 25 b4 Ob6 26 b5 c5 White has good play after 26 ...cxb5 27 Rhb1 Nc7 28 Bg5
27 Nc3 cxd4 28 Bxh6+ Rxh6 29 Nxd5 Qd8 30 Be4 h4 31 Rhf1 hxg 32 hxg 3 Rc 833 Rh1 Rxh1 34 Rxh1 Bg5 35 f4

35. . .Rc5!

It is KASPAROV's turn to find a nice defensive resource the obvious 35 Bel loses after 36 f5 Bg5 $37 \mathrm{f6}+\mathrm{Kg} 838$ Od 1 with Ne7+ to follow. 36 fxg5 Rxd5 37 Bxd5 Oxab + 38 Kh 2 Qxe5 39 Rf1 Qxb5 40 Qf2 Nxg5 41 Qxd4+ $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
Game 8 - KARPOV falters in time trouble.
KASPAROV KARPOV
QGD Exchange

1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Be7 4 cxd5 exd5 5 Bf4 Nf6 6 e3 0-0 7 Bd3
7 Nf3 Bf5 8 h3 c6 9 g4 Bg6 10 e5 was played in game 22 of the second match 7...c5 8 Nf3 Nc6 9 0-0 Bg4 10 dxc5 Bxc5 11 h3 Bxf3
Giving up the Bishop pair but 11...Bh5 12 g 4 Bg 613 Bxg6 hxg6 14 g 5 wins the d pawn while 11...Be6 is hardly attractive. Perhaps Black should look to improve on move 9
12 Qxf3 d4 13 Ne4 Be7 14 Rad1 Qa5 15 Ng3 dxe3 16 fxe3 Qxa2 17 Nf5 Qe6 18 Bh6 Ne8 19 Oh5 g6
The veiled threat of mate on h7 forces Black to offer the exchange...

## 20 Qg4 Ne5 21 Qg 3

...but KASPAROV, realising that his opponent is already in trouble on the clock, spurns the slight material gain after 21 Nxe7+ Qxe7 22 Bxf8 Kxf8 23 Qf4.
21...bf6 22 Bb5

Again White eschews cashing in by 22 Bxf 8 since his attack is quite diminished after 22...Kxf8 $23 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \mathrm{Qb6} 24 \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{Bg} 7$
22...Ng7 23 Bxg 7

The tempting 23 Rd6? is refuted by 23.. Nxf5 24 Rxe6 Nxg3 and Black will emerge with a sound extra pawn.
23. ©Bxg7 24 Rd6 0 Ob3 $\quad 25 \mathrm{Nxg} 7 \mathrm{Oxb5} 26$

26 ...Rad8 27 Rf6

27...Rd2?

Time pressure takes its toll justifying KASPAROV's decision to keep things complicated. Correct was 27...2xb2! 28 eg kae8. preventing $29 \mathrm{Ne} 7+\mathrm{Kno} 30$ R6f2 and 31 Q16+. If then 29 Qh6 Black R1xf5 Kh8 31 Ph5 $01+$...gxis th after the text, Black i
8 Qg 5
Now the threat is 29 Nh6+ and 30 Nxf7 ince the Ne5 will be pinned.
3 Qxb2 29 Kh1! Kh8 30 Na4 Rxd4 1) Quel., 1
on time but 31..Rd2 32 ge7 is hopeless anyway.

Game 9 - A Clayton's time-out. KARPOV KASPAROV
 5 e3 c5 6 dxc5 Qa5 7 Rc1 dxc4 Varying from 7..Ne4 as played in game 5 8 Bxc4 $0-0 \quad 9$ Nf3 0xc5 10 nb3 5 $110-0$ Oas 12 h 3 Bf5 $13 \mathrm{Nd4} \mathrm{Bd7} 14 \mathrm{Oe}$ Nxd4 15 exd4 e6 16 Bd2 Ob6 17 Rfd1 Bc6 18 Be3 Qa5 19 Bd2 0 b6 $20 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Qa5}$ $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

Game 10 - an accurately played draw. KASPAROV KARPOV Queen's Gambit
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Be7 4 Nf3 Nf6 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6
the anti TARTAKOWER, seen frequently in the first two natches.
6...Bxf6 7 e3

KARPOV played 7 Qd2 twice in the first match, both games being drawn
7...0-0 8 Rcl

KARPOV won game 27 of the first match with 8 oc2
8...c6 9 Bd3 Nd7 10 0-0 dxc4 11 Bxc4 e5 12 h 3 exd4 13 exd4 c5!
An improvenent on 13 ..Nb6 as played by KARPOV in game 23 of the second match.
$14 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 3$ cxd4 15 Na5 b6 16 Nxd4 Bxa4 17 Oxd4 Nc5 18 Bc4 Rb7 19 Rfd1 Rc8 20 Qg4 Bxa5 21 Rxa5 Qe7 22 Rad1 Qe4 23 Qxe4 Nxe4 24 Ba6 Nf6 25 Bxc8 Bxd5 26 Ba6 Nff

27 f4 Re8 28 Kf2 Rf8 29 Kf3 Re7 30 Rd8+ Re8 31 Rxe8+ Nxe8 32 Ke 4 Ke 733 BC4 Nc7 34 Ke5 f6+ 35 K\&5 Ne8 36 Ke4 Nc7 $37 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Kd} 638 \mathrm{Kf5} \mathrm{Ke} 739 \mathrm{Kg} 6$ Rf8 40 Kf5 Ke7 41 Ke4 Ka6 42 g 4 Ke 7 43 b4 Kd6 $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$.

## Game 11 - an interesting melee KARPOV KASPAROV <br> Grünfeld Defence

 5 e3 c5 6 dxc5 Qa5 7 Rc1 dxc4 8 Bxc4 $0-0 \quad 9$ Nf3 Qxc5 10 Bb3 Nc6 11 0-0 Qas $12 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bf5} 13$ Qe2 Game 9 saw 13 Nd4
13...Ne4 14 Nas e5


15 Rxc6! exf4
After 15..bxc6 16 Ne7+ Kh8 17 Nxc6 Qc5 18 Nexe5 white has ample compensation for the exchange.
16 Rc7 Be6 17 Qe1 Qb5 18 Ne7+ Kh8 19 Bxe6 fxe6 20 Ob1 Ng5 21 Nh4! Setting the stage for considerable mayhem
21...Nxh3 22 Kh2

Not 22 gxh3? Qg5+ 23 Ng 2 ? f3 with mate to follow
22.--Oh5 23 Nexg6t hxg6 24 Qxg6 Qe5 25 Rf7 Rxf7 26 Qxf7 Ng5
On 26..exf3+ White simply takes the Nh3. 27 Ng6t Kh7 28 Nxe5 Nxf7 29 Nxf7 Kg6 30 Nd6 fxe3 31 Nc4 ext2 32 Rxf2 b5 $33 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{as} 34 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{35} \mathrm{Rc} 2 \mathrm{Rf8} 36 \mathrm{Kg} 4$ Rxg2+ 40 Kf3 $\quad$ Rxa2 41 bxa $4 \quad \frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$

Game 12 A refinement on game 10
KASPAROV KARPOV
Queen's Gambit.
$1 \mathrm{l} 4 \mathrm{d5} \quad 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e} \quad 3 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ Be7 4 Nf3 Nf6 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6 Bxf6 7 e3 0-0 8 Re1 c6 9 Bd3 Na7 10 0-0 dxc4 11 Bxc4 c5 12 Qe2 a6 13 Rfd1 cxd4 14 Nxd4 Qe7 15 Ne4 Be5 16 Nf 3 Bb 817 Qd2 b5 18 Be2 Nf 6 19 Nxf6+ Qxf6 20 Qd4 Bb7 21 Qxf6 gxf6

 Rdd6 Rfa8 $29 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 30 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 31 \mathrm{~g} 4$ fxg4 32 hxg 4 a5 $33 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 8 \quad 34 \mathrm{Rxd} 8 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. As this issue goes to press the match

## KERES ON ROOK \& PAWN ENDINGS

## by IM Ortvin SARAPU

This is the third installment of my translation from Estonian of the work of Paul KERES. [Parts $1 \& 2$ were published in the April-June, and August issues respectively. Editor]
Before moving on to new examples we should examine a further study where play goes as in Diagram 8.

Diagram 9


Here it is clear that to win White must capture the pawn on e7, after which we reach a position similar to Diagram 8. the only question is: "Which is the right way?" As the following analyses show, only one possibility is correct.
1 Kc8!
Only this move leads to the target. The natural looking 1 Kc gives only a draw after 1...Rd1! For example:

1) 2 Rxa5 Rd6! $3 \mathrm{Rg} 5+$ Kh7 4 Rg 7 Kxh 6 5 Rxe7 Ra6 with an easy draw.
2) Ra8+ Kh7 3 Re8 (In this variation Black has more difficulty.) 3..Kxh6 4 Rxe7 a4 (Also,4...Re1 5 Re8 a4 gives the same result.) 5.Rd7 (or 5 Re8 $\mathrm{Kg} 7!$ etc. 5...Re1 6 Kd6 (After 6 e7 follows 6...a3 7 Kd 8 a2 8 Ra 7 al $=09$ Rxal Rxa1 10 e $8=0$ Ra8+ etc.) 6...a3 7 Ra7 Re3 8 e7 Rd3+ 9 Ke6 Re3+ $10 \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{Rf} 3+11 \mathrm{Ke} 8 \mathrm{Kg} 712 \mathrm{Ra} 6$ Rd3 (12...Kg8? $13 \mathrm{Kd7}$ Rd3+ 14 Rd6!) 13 Ra8 Kg8! 14 Ra4 Kg7 $15 \mathrm{Rg} 4+\mathrm{Kh} 716$ Rg2 Rd1 and White cannot improve his position.
Also unsatisfactory is 1 Kc6 Rd1! etc.
1...-a4

If now 1...Rd1 then White wins after 2 Ra7 a4 (or $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 83 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \mathrm{Rc} 1+4 \mathrm{~Kb} 7 \mathrm{Rb} 1+$ 5 Ka7 Kg8 6 Rxe7 etc)

## 2 Kd7 a3

Black cannot defend his pawn, therefor a3 is his best defensive ploy.

## 3 Kxe7 a2

Now we have the Diagram 8 position, where white wins with 4 Ra7! etc.

We have now almost completed our study of positions where White has his pawn one 6th rank. In the following interesting position the only dilference $g$ file instead of the $h$ file

As with Diagram 8 this is winnable, but gaining the winning position needs special care and a different procedure. 1 g 7 so as to play after 1 Khite could try and 3 e 7 and after 1 khi to achieve victory by 2 Ke8! Re1 3 Rxa2 Rxe6t 4 Kf7+! But now 1 g7 is faultyl Black simply plays 1...Kxg7! 2 Ke8+ Kf6 and obtains an easy draw after 3 e 7 Re 1 4 Ra6t Re6! etc White must play very carefully and precisely so as not to spoil his hopes for a win.

Also sufficient for a win is $1 \mathrm{Ra} 5,1$ Ra4 or 1 Ra3, but not 1 Rab? 1...Kg7! and White is in zugswang! For exa
$\mathrm{Kxg} 63 \mathrm{Rg} 3+\mathrm{Kf} 54 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ etc.

## 1...Kg7 2 Ra6!

Now it is Black that is in zugswang! After 2... Kxg6 White has 3 Ke8 with 4 e7+ a winning position known to us from Diagram 8. 2...Kh6 allows white to use method 3 Ke8! Re1 4 Rxa2 Rxe6+ 5 Kf 7 Rxg6 $6 \mathrm{Rh} 2+\mathrm{Kg} 57 \mathrm{Rg} 2+$ etc.
The same result arises if Black plays 2. Kh8 3 Ke8 Re1 4 Rxa2 Rxe6+ 5 Kf7 etc In view of these lines Black has only one possibility.

## 2...Kg8 3 Ra7! Kg7

Black was in zugswang. After 3...Kh8 would follow 4 Ke8 and if then 4...Re

## 4 Ke8+ Kf6

After 4..Kxg5 5 e7 we arrive at the position given in Diagram 8.

Therefor we use as the main line a different variation which, though less favourable to Black, leads to new situations.

## 5 e7

Not 5 g 7 Rg 1 ! and Black draws after 6 e 7 a1 $=0$ and also after 6 Rxa2 Rxg7 7 Kf8 Rh7!

## 5...Re1 6 Ra6+

Another winning line is 6 Rxa2 Rxe7+ 7 Kf8 6. Re6

White now has two winning methods. Simple and clear is 7 Rxa2 Rxe7+ 8 Kf 8 Rb 79 Ra6t with 10 g 7 etc., but there is also 7 Rxe6+ Kxe6 $8 \mathrm{~g} 7 \mathrm{a} 1=Q 9 \mathrm{~g} 8=\mathrm{Q}+$ giving a winning Queen ending, though White has a more
complicated thechnical task to perform.
Extensions of LASKER's idea have appeared in other compositions. One of the most interesting is as follows:

Diagram 11


Here we notice the similarity to Diaqram . After possibie moves: 1 Rh8 Ra3 2 Rh5 ka4!, the similarity of positions becomes even clearer. If now $3 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Rc} 3+$ Kd8, then 4...Rc2 and Black does not get intop zugswang, as was the case in Diagram 8. he has in reserve enough tempo moves along the second rank with his Rook. A1so, $4 \mathrm{~Kb} 6 \mathrm{~Pb} 3+5 \mathrm{Ka} 6$ does not work, as 5...Rd3 6 Rh4+ Ka3 and the White King is too far from its pawn to complete [ASKER's manoeuvre.
This analysis shows that White must first force the King away from the a 4 Square in order to enploy LASKER's idea. This is possible only with the following fine prepatory play:

## 1 Rf5+! Ka4 2 Ra5+!

Now the Elack King is forced away from its best square - a4. After this it is possible to gemonstrate the given winning manoeuvre from Diagram 8. There it was the idea of forcing the King Erom the square a4 (correct is hi4, as it is a "mirror position"! by using the zugswang motil. Here it is done by Simple checking. Black cannot: play
(3...Ka4 4 Qh4+) 4 Qa5t he would lose the pawn by checking on the second rank The endgame of queen $v$ Rook is easily won for White.

## 2...Kb4

After 2...Kb3 3 Rh5 the qane goes the same way except that White wins two moves sooner.

## 3 Rh5 Rd3 $4 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Rc} 3+5 \mathrm{~Kb} 6$ !

Not yet 5 Kd8 Rc2 and draws! Before White goes to d8 it is important to force the King further down.

## 5...Rd3

We can now see why it was necessary to force the Black King to the $b$ file Otherwise 5...Rb3+ forces the White King further away from its pawn. Thereafter 6...Rd3 draws.

## 6 Rh4+ Ka3

Comparitively the best defence, whereafter Black retains checking possibilities on the b file. Because the Black Rook is on the 3rd rank, White cannot yet complete the winning manoeuvre 7 Kc 6 he 8 Kb5 Rb3+ 9 Ka 5 , as after $9 \ldots \mathrm{Rd}$ White must therefor complete the crepatory manoeuvre of forcing the Black Rook to leave the 3rd rank.

## 7 Kc7 Rc3+ 8 Kd8

White has finally achieved his objective The threat of 9 Rxh2 forces Black to play 8...Rc2. Now white wins by the already known manoeuvre:
8...Rc2 9 Ke 7 Re2+ 10 Kd6 Rd2+ $11 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \mathrm{Rc} 2+12 \mathrm{~Kb} 5 \mathrm{Rb} 2+13 \mathrm{Ka} 5$ !
All now follows the known road. Black cannot give check, his moves are forced, and White can force the Black Ring to the second rank.
13...Rd2 14 Rh3+ Ka2 15 Rxh2! and wins.
This was a very interesting endgame where a number of other finesses were added to the Lasker manoeuvre already known to us.
All the previoous examples were based on LASKER's idea. We saw that a comparitively simple idea such as LASKER's could appear in more complicated forms and could create many beautiful and interesting variations.
Analagous solutions arising from basic themes in parallel positions are conmonplace in endgames. Knowledge
of the themes and the correct method of employing them is essential in a good player.

Let us now leave the LASKER idea and exmaine other positions. Before turning to the interesting position shown in Diagram 15 we must first examine a few imple theoretical positions which provide essential prepatory knowledge.
Diagram 12 shows a classical position that everyone should know. PHILIDOR analysed it thoroughly in 1777.
The question is: "Is White's pawn enough to win?" Then: "Which practical possibilities exist for a win?" PHILIDOR noted correctly, that by exact defence Black is able to draw. Unfortunately his analysis was not very accurate. We show here the main ideas for the defending side.

Diagram 12


PHILIDOR showed that with Black to move, the simplest way to draw is 1...Ra6! Black prevents the White King from advancing to the 6 th rank. He leaves his Rook on the 6th rank until White advances e5-e6. Then follows ... Ra1 and Black obtains the draw by checking rom behind. Its as simple as that!
With white to move the situation is ather more complicated.

## 1 Kf6!

Black now must play very accurately. We look at the moves from Black's point of iew

A: 1...Re1!
This, the strongest move available to Black, was not noticed by PHILIDOR! He analysed only 1...Rf1+, which we will examine under B. Here 1...Ra6+ would be examine unce. Kc7 4 Kf 7 with 5 e 7 , White wins easily.

## Ke6

Of little use is $2 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Kd} 7$ and due to the threat of Rf $1+$, white has nothing threat of Rf $1+$, white has no
position by 3 Rh7+ Ke8. But if 2 e6, then 2...Rf1+ draws easily

## 2...Kf8!

As a general rule in similar positions, the King should always go to the "short" side. That is: to the wing that the pawn is on. To save the position Black will have to check from the side. these checks are more powerful if the Rook is far away from the opposing King. In a given situation, if the a file is further away than the $h$ file the King will go to the Kingside so that it will not disturb future Rook checks from the a file.
Thanks to the useful position of the Black Rook, also possible here is 2...Kd8 as after 3 Rh8+ Kc7 White has nothing better than 4 Re8(4n Kd! or 4 Rh5 Kd8 etc.) ${ }^{\circ}$ On this follows 4...Rh1! and Black escapes because the file is sufficiently far away from the awn. For example: $5 \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{Rh} 7+6 \mathrm{Kg} 6$ Rh1 7 eb Ka6 etc

## 3 Rh8+ Kg7 4 Re8 Ra1!

The simplest way to draw. White is threatened with annoying side checks on the a file. A King move will avail White nothing.

## 5 Rd8 Re1!

Again the simplest way. Now 6 re8 Ra1 would give the previous position. After 6 Kd6 there follows Kf7 etc.

## 6 Rd5 Kf8 7 Kd7 Kf7

and Black has the draw.
B 1...Rf1+?
PHILIDOR analysed just this move and reached the conclusion that the diagram 12 position was winnable with White to move. Even though ....Rf1+ is not the best continuation, it is still sufficient to draw.

## 2 Ke6 Kf8!

This is the only move to avoid the loss. After 2...Kd8 there follows 3 Rh8 + Kc7 4 Ke7!, (NB With the Black Rook on 1 this move would not be possible.) and white wins easily by advancing the e pawn.

## 3. Rh8+ Kg7 4 Re8

The only hope for White, as other Rook moves on the 8th rank would lead to variation $\bar{A}-4 .$. Re1 etc with
a comfortable draw. From analysis of this variation we know that Black can draw by 4...Ral!, but we shall continue the main line of PHIIIIDOR's analysis, as this will lead to the necessary typical positions.

## 4. . Re1?

Not the best but still not so bad that it would lead Black to loss of the ganne.

## 5. Kd7 Kf7?

Only this is the decisive mistake that leads to the loss. We see then, how many inaccuracies Black may make from PHILIDOR's starting position without being punished, before he finally reaches a punished, before he finally reaches a
lost position. Black has two ways to draw

1) $5 \ldots \mathrm{Ra} 1+6 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Ra} 1$ (even simpler is 6...Re1 7 e6 Ra1 giving a drawn position
that is examined later) 7 Rd8 Ra 78 Ke 8 Ra6! 9 Rd7+ Kg8 and at best White will reach a drawn position reviewed later
2) 5...Ra1 $6 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \mathrm{Ra} 7+7 \mathrm{Rc} 7$ (or 7 Ke 8 Ra6! as in variation 1) 7...Ra8 8 e6 (or 8 Ke7 Ra6 9 e6 Ra1 which we will study later) 8...Kf6 9 e7 Kf7 and White can make no further progress.

## $6 \mathrm{e}_{6+\mathrm{Kg} 7}$

Naturally not 6...Kf6 7 Rf8 + with 8 e7, winning.

## 7 Ke7?

With this move PHILIDOR changes a winning position into a draw. The win is quite easy after 7 Ra8 Rd1+ 8 Ke8 with 9 e7 etc. After the inaccuracy, 7 Ke7? we have a new and important position; a position that teaches us to know this type of Rook and Pawn endgame and how to handle it. Therefor we should have a diagram.

Diagram 13


With White to move the win is to be had by 1 Ra8! (or i Rd8 - b8 moves). Black now has no defence against
$2 \mathrm{Kd7}$ and the pawn will reach the 7th rank. But what is the situation if it is Black
to move?

## 7...Ra1!

With this move we depart from the analysis of PHILIDOR which mistakenly continued here with 7...Re2? whereupon the win for White can be found with 8 Rd8 9 Rd2 (Faster would be 9 Kd 7 threatening 10 e7) 9...Re3 $10 \mathrm{Rg} 2+\mathrm{Kb} 711 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \mathrm{Rf} 3+$ 12 Ke 8 Re 313 e7. We will discover that Black can draw from the Diagram 13 position if it is his move.

## 8 Rd8

The only defence to checks from the side: A similar position will occur after 8 Rc 8 or 8 Rb8.

## 8...Ra7+ 9 Rd7

Naturally not 9 Ke8 Kf6 and the pawn is lost.

## 9...Ra8!

Simplest, but other Rook moves on the file are sufficient to draw, except for 9 Ra6? For example: 9...Ra1 $10 \mathrm{Ke} 8+$ (or $10 \mathrm{Kd} 6+\mathrm{Kf6} 11 \mathrm{Rf} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 6$ and white 12 Kf8 advance) $10 \ldots$ Kf6 11 e7 Ke6! 12 Kl8 RI $1+$ (Without this useful check Black would lose, as in the 9...Re6? Black draws Kes Ral 14 Ra8 Ra7 and ith 10 RdG then Black must play 10 ....al with 10 Rd6, then Black must play 10 Ra8

## 10 Rb 7

Nothing comes from $10 \mathrm{Kd6}+\mathrm{Kf6} 11 \mathrm{Rf} 7+$ Kg6., and after 10 Rd6 Black does not Kg6., and after 10 Rd6 Black does not
play 10...Ra7+? 11 Ke8 and White wins, but instead plays 10 ..Kg K and White has achieved nothing.
10...Ra1

Also possible was $10 \ldots \mathrm{Kg}$ b but not 0...Kg8 11 Kf6 etc.

## 11 Kab+

After $11 \mathrm{Kd7}$ follows 11...Ra8! Also, 11 Kd8 (e8)+Kf6 12 e7 Ra8+ 13 Ka7 Kf7 does not help White.

## 1...Kf6 $12 \mathrm{Rf} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 6$

White can make no further progress. If 13 Rc7 then ...Kf6, and 13 Rf2 allows checks from the side eg: 13 Ra6+ etc. Therefor, the position is drawn!
Before we go on to Diagram 15, we will PHILIDCR position with only a small
difference in that the Rook on h7 is placed on a7.

Diagram 14

E.LASKER

Although the change in the position scems unimportant, it becomes decisive IASKER has demonstrated the win for white with the Rook on a7, in the position shall look at the difference.
1...Kf8

After 1...Kd8 $2 \mathrm{Ra} 8+\mathrm{Kc} 73 \mathrm{Ke} 7$ and White wins. for example: 3 ...Rh1 4 e 6 Rh7+ $5 \quad \mathrm{Kf} 5$ Rh6t $6 \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{Rh} 7+7 \mathrm{Kg} 6 \mathrm{Re} 7$ 3 Kf6 etc.
$2 \mathrm{Ra} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{Ke} 3$ !
Black is losing here because his Rook is not on the efile-preventing the text the Black kook of the defensive move ...Ral 3...-Pbl

Here the Rook is too near the white Ring but there was nothing else to do. After 3...Rf7+ 4 Kd6 Rb7 5 e6 we arrive at essentially the same position.
4 e6 Rb7+
otherwise there would follow 5 kos and 6 e7, winning.
5 Kd6 Rb6+ 6 Kd7 Rb7+
Or...6kf6 7 Rfe $8+$ and 8 e7 etc.
7 Kc6 Re7 8 Kd6
and Write wins.
To be continued...

$$
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This article is the third of a series of translations from the Eistonian anguage by IM Ortvin SARAPU. with acknowledgenent of the source.

The fr - An installinthe will appear ir the De ember 1986 issue of New Zealand Cliess.

This game won GAMES This game won the 'Best Game
at Palmerston North.
award

> T. BOSWELL - W Sicilian

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Bxc6 bxc6 5 0-0 g6 6 d3 Bg7 7 c4 d6 8 Qc2 Nf6 9 h3 0-0 10 a4 0b6 11 a5 Qb7 12 Nc3 Ве6 $13 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 614 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Rab} 815 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Ob4} 16 \mathrm{Bd} 2$ Qb3 17 Qc1 Kh7 18 Ra3 Qb7 19 Nb6 Na7 20 Rb3 Qa7 21 Nxd7 Qxd7 22 Rxb8 Rxb8 $23 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 724 \mathrm{Bxg} 7 \mathrm{Kxg} 7 \quad 25 \mathrm{Qc} 3+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 26$ Rb1 Qb4 27 Qxb4 Rxb4 28 b3 f6 29 Nd2 d5 $30 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 31 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 832 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 833 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 8$ 34 Rd1 gxf5 35 exf5 h5 36 cxd5 cxd5 $37 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Rg} 8 \quad 38 \mathrm{Kf} 3$ e $6 \quad 39 \mathrm{gxh} 5$ e5 40 Rc 1 Bxf5 41 Rxc5 rd8 42 Rc7+ Rd7 43 Rc6 Bxd3 $44 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 5 \quad 45$ Rc8 $\quad \mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{H}^{26}$ b4 Kh6 47 Rf8 Kg5 $48 \mathrm{Nf} 3+\mathrm{Kf5} 49 \mathrm{Nh} 4+\mathrm{Ke} 6$ $50 \mathrm{Re} 8+\mathrm{Kf} 751 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{~d} 4+52 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{e} 4 \quad 53 \mathrm{~h} 6$ e3+ 54 Kd1 Bd3 55 b 5 axb5 56 a6 Bc2+ $57 \mathrm{Kxc} 2 \mathrm{~d} 3+58 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{~b} 4+59 \mathrm{Kc} 4 \mathrm{~d} 2 \quad 60 \mathrm{~h} 7$ Rd4+ 61 Kc5 Rxh4 $62 \mathrm{a} 7 \mathrm{~d} 1=0 \quad 63 \mathrm{Rb} 7+$ Ke6 64 a8=Q Qd6t $65 \mathrm{Kb5}$ Rh5t 66 Ka4 Qct + $67 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 3+\quad 68$ Ka2 b3+ 69 Rxb3 Ra5+ 70 Qxa5 Qxa5+ 71 Kb 1 Qf5t 72 Kc 1 Qxa7 73 Rxe3+ Kd5 0-1.
J.SARFATI - R.DIVE

North Island Championships Petroff Defence Notes by White.
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4 5 d4 d5 6 Bd3 Be7 7 0-0 Nc6 8 c4 4 Bg 4 9 Be3 (Rather passive, allowing Black equality: $9^{-R e 1}+\frac{ \pm}{\text { after }} .$. Nf6; + - after $\ldots$..0-0 (GOODHUE-DIVE) 0-0 10 Nc3 NE6 11 h3 Bh5 12 cxd5 Nb4 [...Nxd5? 13 Bxh7+! Kxh7 15 Ng5+ Bxg5 (..Kg6 15 Qc2+ f5 16 Ne6 etc) 15 Qxh5+ Bh6 16 Qxd5+-] 13 Bc4 Nbxd5 14 Re1 c6 15 Bg 5 Nb 6 ?! (Retreat from a blockading square) $16 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Re} 817 \mathrm{~g} 4!\mathrm{Bg} 6$ 18 Ne5 Nfd5 19 Bxe7 Rxe7 20 Qf3 Re6 This leads to a very bad end game, but Black was worried about h4\&h5. 21 Bxd5 Nxd5 22 Nxd5 Qxd5 23 Qxas cxd5 24 Nxg6 Rxg6 25 Re5 Rb6 26 b3 Rd8 27 Rc1 h6 28 Rc7 Ra6 29 Ree7 Rf8 30 a4 Rf6 31 Rxb7? (Hasty, a5 stops counterplay Rc8 32 Rbc 7 Rxc 733 Rxc 7 Rb6! 34 Rxa7 Rxb3 35 a5 Rb4 36 a6 Rxd4 37 Rb7 Ra4 38 a7 Kh7 (forced) 39 Rxf7 Kg6 40 R5 Kr6 41 e4 Ra2 42 Kfl d4 43 Kel d3 44 45 Ral per 46 win K Ka 957 Ra2t 50 Kc3 R2 51 Ral2 48 Rab Ke4 4 Ral Ra2 50 Kc3 R2 51 Rxa2 Rxa7 52 16! R3+ 53 Kc4 RE3 54 Re2+ Kf4 55 f7?! Careless. I didn $t$ examine the ovR endgame 58 dep Pe4t 59 R15 Re3. Ke5 $518=$ Kxh 7xg4 $62 \mathrm{Qd} 3+\mathrm{Kh} 463 \mathrm{Oh} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 364 \mathrm{Kf5} \mathrm{Kf} 31-0$.
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