## CLUB DIRECTORY

Details of the advertising rates for this page can be found on the inside front cover. AUCKLAND CHESS ASSOCIATION: Contacts - President, Robert GIBBONS, phone 864-324: Secretary, Winsame STREICH, :3/33 Sunnyhaven Avenuel, Beach Haven, Auckland.
AUCKIAND CHESS CENIRE: Meets Mondays and Thursdays at Ciubrooms 17 Cramwell Street, Mt Eden, phone 602042. Contact - Lindsay CORNFORD, phone 674-705(res) or 276-7154, (bus). Visitors welcome.
HOWICK-PAKURANGA C.C. meets Tuesdays 7.30pm at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Community Complex. Contact - Steve DEVLIN 11/1766 Panama Rd Mt Wellington Auckland 1.Ph2765524 NORTH SHORE C.C. meets Wednesdays 7.30pm (toumament and casual play) in St Joseph's Old Church Hall, cnr Anzac St/Taharoto Rd, Takapuna. Postal address P.O.Box 33-587 Takapuna. Contact Peter STUART phone 456-377 (home). Visitors welcane.
REMUERA C.C. meets 7.30pm Wednesdays at the Auckland Bridge Club, 273 Remuera Road Remuera. Contact - K.WILLIAMS, phone 543-762 (evenings).
WAITEMATA C.C. meets 7.30pm Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre, cnr Grt North and Awaroa Roads. Postal address P.O.Box 69-005 Glendene, Auckland 8. Contacts - George WILLTAMS phone 834-6618 or Bob SMITH phone 818-4113.
HAMILTON C.C Meets 7 pm Thursdays at the Hamilton Bowling Club, Pembroke Street Hamilton. Contacts - Miss L.McGREGOR 9b Islington Street Hamilton phone 390-228 or Len WHITEHOUSE 165 Galloway Street Hamilton phone 69-582.
HASTINGS/HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. meets 7pm Wednesdays at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Road, Havelock North. Contact - Mike EARLE phone 776-027.
PALMERSTON NORTH C.C. meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays at the Palmerston North Intermediate Normal School, Fergusson Street, Palmerston North. Contact - J.BLATCHFORD 155 Ruahine Street Palmerston North phone 69-575.
CIVIC C.C. meets 7.45 pm Tuesdays at St Peter's Church Hall, Willis Street, Wellington Contact - Brent SOUTHGATE phone 757-604.

HUIT VALLEY C.C. meets 7.30pm Tuesdays at the Hutt Bridge Club, 17 Queen's Road Lower Hutt. Contact - Nathan GOODHUE 28 Waikare Avenue Lower Hutt phone 696-420 UPPER HUTT C.C. meets 7.45 pm Thursdays in the Supper room, Civic Hall Upper Hutt. Contact - Anton REID, 16 Hildreth Stret Upper Hutt phone 288-756.

WAINUIOMATA C.C. meets 7.30 pm on Thursdays (seniors) and 7pm on Fridays (juniors) at Bilderbeck Hall, Main Road Wainuiomata. Contact - Joe PHILLIPS phone 646-171.
CANIERBURY C.C. meets every Wednesday at 7.30 cm at the Clubroans, 227 Bealey Avenue. President John WILKES phone 558-130. Secretary Peter McKENZIE phone 893-463.

New Zealand Correspondence Chess Association. P.O.Box 3278 Wellington Local and Overseas play. Contact J.W. (Sandy) Maxwell. Phone 367682
NEISON C.C. meets 7.30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact Tom VAN DYK hone Richmon 8178 or 7140 Visitors welcome

OTAGO C.C. meets 7.30pm Wednesdays and Saturdays at 7 Maitland Street Dunedin Phone 776-919 (clubroams). Contact - Arthur J.PATION 26 College Street phone 877-414.
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GRANDMASTER ANDREI SOKOLOV WHO DEFEATED ARTUR YUSUPOV TO WIN THE CANDIDATE'S FINAL AND MEET ANATOLY KARPOV IN FERRUARY 1987

- Photo M.Rabkin


## NEW ZEALAND CHESS is published bi-

 monthly (February, April, June, August, October and December) by the New Zealand Chess Association.Unless otherwise stated however, the views expressed herein are not neccesarily those of the Association

## ADDRESS

All articles and letters should be addressed to The Editor
P.O.Bax 2185
wellington.
Manuscripts cannot be returned unless accompanied by a stamped self addressed envelope.

Subscriptions, changes of address, and advertising enquiries should be address ed to the Secretary, New zealand Chess Association at the same address.

## DFADITNES

The deadline for both copy and advertis -ing is the 15th of the month prior to publication.

## SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Rates are for one year's subscription. overseas rates are in US Dollars.

| Surface Mail |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| New Zealand | NZ\$12.00 |
| Other Countries | US\$8.00 |
| Aimail |  |
| Australia and South | Pacific |
|  | \$US12.00 |
| Other Countries | US\$ 14.00 |
| ADVERTISING RAIES |  |
| Full Page | NZ\$40.00 |
| Half page/full colum | NZ\$20.00 |
| Half Column | NZ\$10.00 |
| Club Directory Listing | NZ\$ 6.00p. |
| Alterations | NZ\$ 2.00ea |

NZ\$ 2.00ea.
This magazine is typed in part on the Association's Sharp Electronic Typewriter but mainly on an IBM Computer using a laserjet printer courtesy of Chris CLOWES.

## Letters

Dear Sir,
Are there collectors of old Chess Magazines in New Zealand? I wish to give amay (for cost of postage only) copies of CHESS WORID between 1946 and 1952 - Editor C.J.S.PURDY, Australia; and copies of NZ Chess Magazine 1963 January 1965.

Anyone interested please write
to me at 27 Merchiston Street Dunedin.
Yours etc,

## Max Rosb.

Dear Sir,
I am writing to shed light on a 'good game' appearing on page 51 of NZ Chess April-June issue. I am indeed fram canterbury but Alan HURIEY is from Upper Hutt. The game was part of last year's Reserve Correspondence Championship which I managed to win ahead of Alan $2 n d=$.

As you can imagine I am not.
infinitely proud of the game in question I don't mind it being published but I would prefer to have a few detailg included. Keep up the good work the Magazine!

Yours etc.
EA WIIKINSON.
Dear Sir,
Most of your Auckland readers probably already know that IM Ortvin SARAPU is selling books on behalf of New Zealand Chess Supplies to provide a local outlet for books etc in the Queen City.

Mr Richard POOR at Jason Books 50 High Street
Auckland 1.
is also now stocking books and equipment on our behalf. He would be pleased to pass on a request for any item that he is not currently carrying in stock.

Yours etcr
Brian FOSTER.
Auckland readers please note! $10 \%$ of all books sold by New zealand Chess Supplies provide funds for the promotion of chess throughout New Zealand. - Editor.
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Consulting Editor: NM Peter STUART.
Contributing Editors: IM Ortvin SARAPU, Lev APTEKAR, Rowan WOOD.

## Local News

Auckland Chess Centre Labour Weekend Tournament by Richard JACKSON
[The author is Club Captain of the Auckland Chess Centre.]

The Auckland Chess Centre Labour Weekend Tournament, a five round Swiss, was held over the three days of the holiday weekend. The relatively small number of entries, 24 , meant that the tournament was never going to be a huge financial success. As it happened, the club incurred a small loss.

In the final round, GREEN drew with SARAPU, while GARBETT would have been a little disappointed in only being able to manage a draw what paul cooper after being in what looked like a winning position. lop prize was thus shared by Peter GREEN, Paul GARBEN, and Peter GREEN. Fourth prize was COOPER betw cope prize (sub 1600) Was won by gran MoNAIIY (sub an McNALIY and Gary DAHL

> 1987 AMSTRAD Computers Chess Grand Prix by Ewen GREEN A major sponsorship agreement worth $\$ 5000$ has been announced between the Auckland Chess Association and Grandstand Computers Ltd, the New Zealand distributors of Amstrad computers. "We are delighted to be able to continue our association with ones, especially in view of our cosponsorship of the successful visit by Russian Grandmaster Eduard Gufeld.", said Mr. Sefton Powrie, Managing Director of Grandstand Computers.

The Amstrad Computers Chess Grand Prix will be an exciting new development in the Auckland chess scene in 1987. The following seven major Auckland events will form the Grand Prix competition:

February - Amstrad Computers Howick-Pakuranga Open
April - Auckland Easter (ACC)
July - Waitakere Trust Open
July _ Charles Belton Memorial (Robert Smith/ACC)
August - Papatoetoe Open
September - Winstone Open (North
Shore
Cober - Labour Weekend (ACC)
Amstrad Computers will not only be sponsoring the Grand Prix competition, but also the HowickPakuranga Open, which "kicks off" the Auckland tournament season. A special display of Amstrad home and business computers will be held at the H-P tournament.
The Grand Prix will in fact be two competitions. The open section will be based on accumulated points, while the Handicap section will enable players of any rating to compete on an equal basis. Other factors are the number of players and the time control. There will be three prizes for each Grand Prix section. Top prize for the open section will be $\$ 1000$, while the winner of the Handicap section will receive $\$ 500$. The sponsorship funds will be directed to the benefit of Auckland clubs as well as players. The Amstrad Computers Chess Grand Prix is of course based on the English Grand Prix and we expect that a similar rise in playing strength will occur here also. Certainly, the days of easy draws are gone - for every point will now be counting double.

## SPOT THE COMBINATIONS

Grandmaster Reuben FINE's playing days ended soon after World War II when he gave up chess for a career in psychiatry. In his heyday he could foot it with the best as these 9 combinations show. Find the winning line with Reuben FINE to move in each case.

1. FINE-DAKE

2. FINE-HOROWITT

3. HOROWITZ-FINE

4. FINE-DARE

FINE-NAJDORF


FINE-Fm TASKER


FINE-FLOHR
FINE-SHAINSWII


## 1ST PAPAIOEIOE CHESS OPE

This new tournament was held on $23 / 4$ This new tournament was held on 23/4 fonmat was a five round Swiss with a time control of 1 hour 45 minutes per player to corplete each game. Director
play was Bob GIBBONS.
The first round saw some upsets and near upsets. R.SMITH was a piece down gainst M.MORRISON before the latter crumbled in time pressure and lost. R.Hor la to M. he to a draw by local player L. PEII. the hignlights of the second round wre the gan .WUlR ad. sMin somen retrieved win from another lost pos

Nigel MEIGE Nigel lose behind were SARAO SMITH P, WREEN lothe hop COOPR SHCER SMITH . in over Peter STUAPBER holding SABAPU to a draw.
o a draw.
In Round 4 METGE continued his fine form to reach a winning position gainst SARAPU, but only at the cost of fime on the clock. He was forced seek a perpetual check which SARAPU open space. Michael HOPENELL joined ETTGE on $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points whilst the others could only draw cooper again impressed his time with a draw against SMITH The final round saw METGe and HOPEWTI he fing for a share of first or sole victory HOPEWFL was too good on the ay, MADTGE's misfortune was worsened when four of the pursuing players won their games to move ahead of him on the score table. The most deserving of these was Peter wPIR who defeat od SARAPU Others to share aqual second lace were Nigel Hopewter Peter Prace were Nigel
Besides the prize winners, others deserve special mention. Paul OCOPER and Peter GREEN remained undefeated against a strong list of opponents. COOPER shared the under 2000 Grade WILSON, in Gis first tournament impressed with his middlegane and endgame play worrying many of his opponents
Finally, thanks must be given to the ponsors whose generous support made
the tournament possible - LIESUREIIME PRODUCTS, CLARK's JEWELLERS, SOUTHWARD ENGINEERING, and MASTERCUT MEATS.
RESULTS: 1 M. HOPEWELL $4 \frac{1}{2} ; 2-5$ P.SIUART P.WEIR, N.HOPEWELL, R.SMITH 4; 6-9 P.GREEN P.COOPER, J.N.METGE, G.SPENCER-SMITH, 31 10-18 R.DOWDEN, O.SARAPU, W.KNIGITBRIDG R.BAUMGARTNER K.OKEY, K.METGE, C.BLAXALL J.BOJTOR, B.STEWART 3; 19-23 D.BRUNION TURBOSTAR KASPAROV, A MEADER, N. BLAXALI, L.COLLINS 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ : 40 players.....
P.WEIR - O.SARAPU.
Ruy Lopez

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb 5 ab 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Be7 6 Re1 b5 7 Bb3 0-0 8 c3 d6 9 h3 Na5 10 Bc2 c5 11 d4 Nc6 12 Nbd2 cxd4 13 cxd4 Qc7 14 N\& 1
14 d 5 or 14 Nb 3 offer more chances for the advantage; after the text Black can equalise comfortably with ...Nxd4 (14...exd4!?) 15 Nxd 4 exd $16 \stackrel{\mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 7}{ }$ intending Bf 6 etc.
14.. Bd 7 ? 15 Be 3
15.Ne3!?
15... Rac8 16 Rc1 Qb7 17 Ng3 a5 Bg5
18 Qd2!+(xa5) 18 Re8 20 Rb1 Bf8 Nh4 Nd4 22 Bxd4
22 Rc8 should have been interpolated. 22...Rxc1 23 Qxc1 exd 24 Qd2 d5 This seens an affective riposte, however the h7-b1 diagonal is very weak and after White's beautiful 27th it is this factor that decides.
25 e5 Bb4 26 od3 oc7!
of course not $26 .$. .Bxel 27 exf6 g6 28 Nxg6+t; but now the pawn on e5 is 'pinned' as after 27 exf6 Rxe1+ 28 Kh2 Rxb1 etc. Thus Qc7 retains the pin whilst also threatening e5. 27 a3!!


Radically removing the pin and thereby obtaining a winning advantage
27...Bxe1 28 exf6 Bxf2+ 29 Kxf2 Qf4 30 Nf3 Qxf6 31 Qh7+ Kf8 32 Nh5 ...Qb6 33 Qxg7t $\mathrm{Ke7} 34$ Bd3 b4 35 Ne5 Bc6 36 Qf6+ Kd6 37 Ng7 Rg8 38 Nxf7+ Kd7 39 Ne5+ Kc8 40 Qxe6+ Qxe6 41 Nxe6 bxa 42 bxa Re8 43 Ba6+ Kb8 44 Nc6+ Ka8 45 Nc7\#

## RATINGS, November 1986

Events rated since the last published list,(1.5.86) are: North Island Championship, Wellington Queens Birthday Tournament, A, B \& C. North Shore Rated Tournament Ghades A-E, Waitakere Trust Open, A\&B Auckland Junior Invitation,, South Island Winstone Open Otago Labour Weekend. All players active within the last two years are included on the list. Asterisks denote provisional ratings based on fewer than 25 games. Thisc rating list was produced using software developed by Jim SIMMONS and Rowan WOOD, and a computer generously provided by the Totalisator Agency Board.

| SMALL V.A. | 2377 | 48 FENERIDIS A. | 1993 | 95 | CARTER G.S. | 1814 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 SUITION R.J. | 2343 | 49 GOODHUE N. | 1989 | 96 | MARNER G. | 1814 |
| SARAPU 0. | 2342 | 50 WEEGENAAR D.P. | 1976 | 97 | SINTON P.J. | 1811 |
| GARBEIT P.A. | 2332 | 51 VAN DYK T. | 1975 | 98 | VAN DER HOORN M | 1811 |
| NOKES R.I. | 2280 | 52 GIBBONS R.E. | 1974 | 99 | RAWNSLEY L.D. | 1810 |
| DIVE R.J. | 2253 | 53 SPITLLER P.S. | 1974 | 100 | CONNOR B.P. | 1809 |
| SMITH R.W. | 2253 | 54 VAN DYK P | 1974 | 101 | FOORD M.R.R. | 1804 |
| - 8 SARFAIT J.D. | 2248 | 55 COOPER P.R. | 1967 | 102 | MEIGE K . | 1804 |
| LEVENE M. | 2246 | 56 DREYER M.P. | 1964 | 103 | JACKSON I. | 1800* |
| 10 LLOYD A.J. | 2242 | 57 HAWKES P.D. | 1963 | 104 | ION G.J. | 1799 |
| 11 GREEN E.M. | 2235 | 58 HAMPL M. | 1961 | 105 | WITISON R.T. | 1796 |
| 12 GOLLOGLY D.A. | 2226 | 59 POWER P.W. | 1961 | 106 | BOJTOR J | 1795 |
| 13 GRFEN P.R. | 2212 | 60 McKENZIE P | 1938 | 107 | NIJMAN A.J. | 1794 |
| 14 BEACH D.H. | 2211 | 61 SPILLIR T.W.L. | 1937 | 108 | DUNN P. | 1793 |
| 15 WANSINK R. | 2211 | 62 GOFFIN P.B. | 1926 | 109 | McINTOSH A.D. | 1787 |
| 16 LOVE A.J. | 2205 | 63 CALCENA A.S. | 1924* | 110 | O'BOYLE D. | 1785* |
| 17 MEIGE J.N. | 2191 | 64 MARTIN-BUSS B. | 1918 | 111 | NOTLEY D.G. | 1779 |
| 18 CLEMANCE P.A. | 2176 | 65 FOSTER F. | 1917 | 112 | EDWARDS D.W. | 1777 |
| 19 ANDERSON B.R. | 2162 | 66 CORRY R.J. | 1910 | 113 | CAPIE M. | 1775 |
| 20 STUART P.W. | 2158 | 67 Whitehouse L.E. | 1907 | 114 | FRANKEL z . | 1775 |
| 21 CORDUE P.L. | 2148 | 68 GRKOW A. | 1905 |  | MAZUR J.J. | 1775 |
| 22 ALDRIDGE G.J. | 2135 | 69 LUKEY S. | 1902 |  | SNEAD D.B. | 1774 |
| 23 WEIR P.B. | 2130 | 70 BOYD K.H. | 1900 | 117 | BOSWELL T.J. | 1769 |
| 24 KER A.F. | 2128 | 71 DOWMAN I.A. | 1899 |  | GARNETT L. | 1767 |
| 25 BATES G.T.H. | 2120 | 72 VAN GINKEL J.P. | 1897 | 119 | HEPI L. | 1765 |
| 26 FREEMAN M.R. | 2117 | 73 BENNETI H.P. | 1895 | 120 | POST M.J. | 1758 |
| 27 CORNFORD L. H . | 2108 | 74 VAN PeLT J. | 1890 |  | ADAMS J.M. | 1755 |
| 28 McLAREN L.J. | 2105 | 75 WHEELER B. | 1888 |  | WILIAMS B.M. | 1754 |
| 29 HOPENELL M.G. | 2102 | 76 HAASE G.G. | 1887 |  | WILKINSON E.M. | 1753 |
| 30 JACKSON J.R. | 2094 | 77 LYNN K.W. | 1878 |  | DAVIES G. | 1752 |
| 31 NOBLE M.F. | 2094 | 78 KER C.M. | 1859 |  | Mcrae S.A. | 1750 |
| 32 SPAIN G.A. | 2090 | 79 JORDAN A.W. | 1856 |  | MONRAD P.G. | 1746 |
| 33 ALEXANDER B.J. | 2089 | 80 BROWN S.A. | 1855 | 127 | WILLIAMSON H.G. | 1745 |
| 34 DOWDEN R.A. | 2089 | 81 VETHARANIAM P.A.R. | 1853 | 130 | ITURNER M.G. | 1742 |
| 35 POMEROY A. | 2074 | 82 STEPHENSON J.R. | 1850 | 131 | SMITH V.J. | 1739 |
| 36 MARTIN B.M. | 2056 | 83 SPENCER-SMITH G.J. | 1849 | 132 | STARK B. | 1738* |
| 37 FITZPATRICK S.P. | 2051 | 84 NIJMAN B. | 1846 | 133 | DRAKE A.S. | 1737 |
| 38 BAKER C.P. | 2048 | 85 WIGBOUT M. | 1845 | 134 | BLACKBURN A.S. | 1731* |
| 39 WALSH B.G. | 2034 | 86 LANCASTER M. | 1842 | 135 | BOYCE D.A.L. | 1731 |
| 40 WILSON M.C. | 2030 | 87 ROBERTS M.H. | 1837 | 136 | POW K. | 1728* |
| 41 STEADMAN M.V.R. | 2027 | 88 COLINS P.W. | 1830 | 137 | STRACY D.M. | 1727 |
| 42 JOHNSTON A.J. | 2023 | 89 EARLE M.R.W. | 1827* | 138 | TAN C.H. | 1724 |
| 43 HOPENELL N.H. | 2014 | 90 ADAMS D.T. | 1826 | 139 | SIMS M.T. | 1723 |
| 44 COOPER D.J. | 2009 | 91 POOR R.L. | 1824 | 140 | VETHARANIAM K. | 1720 |
| 45 HART R. | 2009 | 92 KNIGHTBRIDGE W. | 1821 |  | REID A.V. | 1718 |
| 46 LYNCH D.I. | 2007 | 93 ROBINSON J.P. | 1821 | 142 | AIMERS G. | 1716* |
| 47 HENSMAN P.J. | 1996 | 94 STEWART E. | 1821* | 143 | ROUNDILIL R.L. | 1715 |




1575 1569
$1568 *$ 67 LEEARD G. 1565* 269 JONES LIR A. 1565* 270 SIMMONS J. 1561271 MCINIOSH I.H. 1551* 272 HEALEY R. 1556273 STONE R. 1556* 274 DOWLER C.S 1552275 GIBB J.L. 1551* 276 STEWART B.K. 1547277 BLATCHFORD J 1547278 VALENTINE B. 1546279 WANG 5. 1543* 280 HANSEN P. 1543* 281 DALZIEL F. 1542* 282 GLAVIN G.

1333*
1332*
1331*
326 WINTER W. 1325*

| 327 | THORNBY B. | 1321 | 371 COOPER M. | 1218* | 415 WEBBER C.H. | 1039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 328 | ALES H. | 1317* | 372 JACKSON A. | 1218* | 416 HINCE F. | 1036* |
| 329 | GIBBONS E . | 1317* | 373 PENGELLY R.A. | 1216* | 417 ZEIGMAN F. | 1036* |
| 330 | LAWS E.T. | 1317 | 374 TWEDDEL E. | 1213 | 418 CLARK R. | 1030* |
| 331 | ASHE M. | 1312* | 375 ARNUL V. | 1212* | 419 SMITH T.A. | 1030* |
| 332 | SOMOGVARY L. | 1312* | 376 CHAPMAN J. | 1212* | 420 CHAMLEY G. | 1023* |
| 333 | SINGH H. | 1311* | 377 MILLER J. | 1211* | 421 STOKELL W. | 1020* |
| 334 | BARIOCCI K.D. | 1310 | 378 WHYMAN K. | 1210* | 422 HAY V. | 1011 |
| 335 | RUBINI B. | 1308* | 379 DUNNINGHAM M. | 1208* | 423 TROMBITAS E. | 1008* |
| 336 | REILLY N . | 1304* | 380 WALKER C. | 1205 | 424 HAMPTON M. | 1006* |
| 337 | JONES S. | 1302* | 381 BURGE D. | 1202 | 425 goslin A. | 1000* |
| 338 | MILLAR K. | 1301* | 382 GALES A.E. | 1201* | 426 NEWMAN D.A. | 979 |
| 339 | DALE J. | 1298* | 383 ANDERSON D.T. | 1196* | 427 SMAILS, A. | 978* |
| 340 | THORNE G. | 1293 | 384 BISHOP E.J. | 1192* | 428 ABADTE A. | 966* |
| 341 | TUGBY B. | 1293* | 385 GILL G. | 1189 | 429 McINITYRE I.M. | 966* |
| 342 | HOLLAND M. | 1291* | 386 STYCHE S. | 1186* | 430 VELTMEYER G. | 963* |
| 343 | SHIPKOV R.T. | 1288* | 387 McBRIDE E. | 1180* | 431 BULL M. | 954* |
| 344 | KERR T. | 1285* | 388 MARSHALL G. | 1179* | 432 DELANEY B. | 948* |
| 345 | MOORE S . | 1285* | 389 RAWNSLEY D.C. | 1176 | 433 WALLS C.J. | 944* |
| 346 | SHIETDS P. | 1285* | 390 BAKE J. | 1175* | 434 NICHOLSON C. | 937* |
| 347 | VAN DER MEY P.F | 1285 | 391 SAPSFORD E. | 1150* | 435 LEIH R. | 936* |
| 348 | WATSON R. | 1283* | 392 VINCENT T. | 1150* | 436 RIDDERING P. | 920* |
| 349 | WILLIAMS R.G. | 1283 | 393 STONES I.F.E. | 1148* | 437 ZWART I. | 918* |
| 350 | BADGER A. | 1274* | 394 MARSANYI L. | 1140* | 438 WEYERS R. | 910* |
| 351 | SHAW J. | 1274* | 395 MALCOURONNE A. | 1131* | 439 RAYMOND G. | 909* |
| 352 | CAMERON D. | 1265 | 396 BOYD D.J. | 1117 | 440 WARD A.N. | 904 |
| 353 | PLEDGER T.K. | 1265* | 397 GOLD H. | 1117* | 441 HOUIAHAN M. | 890 |
| 354 | FAULDS 5. | 1260* | 398 CLARKE T. | 1108* | 442 BERRY M. | 858* |
| 355 | HOWARD B.E. | 1260* | 399 HOSEASON J. | 1106* | 443 OTENE E. | 840* |
| 356 | SHIELDS J.A. | 1260 | 400 FOSTER R. | 1103* | 444 BOLTON C. | 821* |
| 357 | CROSSLAND G. | 1249* | 401 SMITH B. | 1103* | 445 ROGER D. | 821* |
| 358 | HILIL S.D. | 1247 | 402 PATHON A. | 1092* | 446 VAN YZENDOORN D. | 749* |
| 359 | SIDWELL W. | 1244* | 403 HAASE P. | 1090* | 447 DAY R.K. | 691* |
| 360 | CLARKSON B. | 1236* | 404 PRONK I.A. | 1081* | 448 HOLLAND R. | 675* |
| 361 | DOOLEY S. | 1233* | 405 TURKEIC P. | 1077* | 449 NIEUWENHUYSE D | 671* |
| 362 | WEHI D. | 1231* | 406 ARMSTRONG G. | 1072* | 450 VUCETICH D.G. | 618* |
| 363 | HODDER J. | 1230* | 407 BARNA I. | 1059* | 451 WONG K. | 613* |
| 364 | MCRAE J. | 1230 | 408 McKENZIE M.R. | 1058* | 452 SHFAD A.T. | 565* |
| 365 | JONES G.M. | 1227 | 409 MENEFY K.D. | 1055* | 453 ZOHRAB P. | 552* |
| 366 | MILIS G. | 1226* | 410 WILKINS C.J. | 1054* | 454 NEAL R.M. | 505* |
| 367 | VAN KOOTEN M. | 1226* | 411 GLOWACKI A.J.A. | 1053* | 455 LOCKWOOD C.S. | 483* |
| 368 | COUMBE J. | 1224* | 412 KNOWLES J. | 1048* | 456 LEE R. | 443* |
| 369 | ARVIN E. | 1219* | 413 HENDERSON J. | 1047* | 457 LAAGLAND M. | 405* |
| 370 | NEWMAN B. | 1219 | 414 SULUIVAN G.J. | 1044 | 458 ALJELY G. | 321* |

## PROBLEM SOLUTIONS

Page 32: April June Issue: 1. DURAO CAROZZI (1957) 1 Rł4 Kh5 2 Rh4 gxh 3 g4\# 2. GEORGADZE - KUINDZNI 1....Of2+ 2 Qxf2 Rh5+ 3 Bxh5 g5\#
3. MOLDOIARKOV - SANCHEIOV 19741 Rg6 a4 2 Ke5 a3 3 Kf 4 a 24 Rg 3 Be6 $5 \mathrm{Rh} 3+$ Bxh3 6 g3\#
4. KASANISEV 1 Bf6+ Qxf6 $2 \mathrm{Kd5}+\mathrm{Kg} 5$ h4+ Kf5 $4 \mathrm{~g} 4+\mathrm{hxg} 5 \mathrm{Rf} 4+\mathrm{Bxf} 4 \quad 6$ e4\# 5. NN - LANGERSTRAM 1...Re3 2 Bd3 Kh5 3 Bxe4 g4\#
6. No Solution Rook missing from diagram - sorry about that.

Page 70 April-June Issue. 1 zaKhodyakin 1 Nf6 Rh6 2 Ng4 Rh4 3 Ne5 Rh6 4 Ng4 Rg6 5 Ne5 Rf6 6 Ng4 Rf7 7 Ne5 Draw. If 1...Rf7 2 Ke6 Rf8 3 nd7 Draw.
2. POGOSIANIS: $1 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Na} 3+2 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Nb5} 3$ Nc4\# If 2...Nb1 3 Nf1 Kb5 4 Kb 2. 3. SARICHIN: $1 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Kd} 7 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 3 \mathrm{Kd} 6$ Bf5 4 Ke5 Bc8 5 RA4 $=$.
4. D.GURGENIDZE: $1 \mathrm{~g} 7 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 2 \mathrm{Rb} 7 \mathrm{Rc} 83$ Kb3 Kg2 4 Rc 7 Rd 85 Kc 4 Kg 36 Rd 7 Re 8 7 Kd5 Kg4 8 Re7 Rg8 9 Ke6 Kg5 $10 \mathrm{Kf71-0}$ 5. J FRIIZ 1 Bh1 Rxh1 2 a8=Q Rd1 Qh1 Rxh1 4 a7 R any $5 \mathrm{a} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ 1-0 6. POGOSIANIS: 1 Be6+ Kb8 2 Kb6 Rh5 3 Be7 Ka8 4 Rd6 Rg5 5 Bd7 Rg7 6 Bc6+ Rb7 7 Bxb7\# If 3...Re5 4 Bd6 Ka6 5 Bc8.

## GUFELD

This article concludes the report, begun last issue (pp 109 Eduard GUFELD to New Zealand, with games involving local players.

Dunedin
by Arthur J PATTON
International Grand Master Eduard GUFELD arrived in Dunedin on Sunday 6th July for three very busy days. On Monday he played thirty three people and an Amstrad computer in a simul which lasted two and three-quarter hours. Ben MARTIN, the present joint New Zealand School Pupils champion, was the only challenger to secure a draw.
Tuesday brought a match game with Tony LOVE. Forty moves in two hours was the agreed arrangement with an adjudication at the end of that time. Mr ortvin SARAPU and Professor Richard SUTTON adjudicated a win to the Grandmaster who had a passed pawn. on Tuesday evening the Grandmaster conducted a coaching clinic for twenty six people. This was a most instructive and helpful evening.
By Wednesday we were having to turn away participants. space allowed for only forty two competitors (and the computer!) Tony LOVE and Peter SINTON managed draws while Robert PRESTAGE from Oamaru - where there is no club was last out after 66 moves. If time had permitted, Robert might have managed a win.
It was a great pleasure to be with Eduard GUFELD and Ortvin SARAFU for those three days. GM un: is one of hature's gentle men: a humorist and, of course, great exponent of chess. the contribution he has made through his visit was greatly appreciate by us all.
FTC Farmers were great to work with on this venture. Mr Tony UMMERS and his staff are to be their help and cooperation.

## GUFELD - LOVE Dunedin 1986 Reti

 $0-0$ O-O $\quad 5 \mathrm{c4}$ c6 $6 \quad \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{~cd}$ cd The position is symmetrical and, as White has the move, he also has a microscopic advantage.
8 Ne5 Ng4
The alternative here is 8 . e6, so that on Nc3 Black can play Nf6-d7 as GUFELD played 20 years ago against AVERBAKH.
9 Nxg4 Bxg 10 h3 Be6 12 e4 Nxd4 13 Nxd5 Bxd5
An important moment: Love gives up his bishop for the knight on Russian Russian language, the chess piece as an elephant He always advises as an elephant. He always aavises if pupil to go to the zoo to see if an elephant is bigger than a horse - and to conside exchanges accoraingly
14 ed Qd7 15 Rel!
In spite of the simplicity in acuras to play will be strong in the endgame will be strong in the endgame $15 . .$. Rfe8 16 Bg5 Rc8 17 Rcl All exchanges are helpful to White, as he has the two bishops. 18 Bxcl b6 19 Bg5 Nf5 20 Qd2 h6
This is a serious positional mistake: opening lines only helps White.
22 de (ep) Qxd2 23 Bxd2 Rxe6
It would have been better to keep the rooks on the board in this ending. Now White has two types of advantages: One is the advantage of the two bishops, the advantage of the two bishops, the second is a better pawn structure. All this makes for a big positional advantage to
24 Rxe6 fe6 25
b3
This ending is an instructive demonstration of how to exploit small advantages. 25 ... g5 26 Kfl Nd4 27 Be4 Kf7
 Even after best defence, gxf4, Bxf4, Black cannot save this difficult ending.

31 fg hg
After Bxg5, White plays 32 Bxg5 $\mathrm{hxg} 533 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 634 \mathrm{Bxf5}$ exf5t 35 Kh 5 and wins.
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}32 & \mathrm{Kg} 4 & \mathrm{Kg} 6 & 33 & \mathrm{h4} & \mathrm{gh} & 34 & \mathrm{gh} & \mathrm{Bf8}\end{array}$ 35 Bg5 Bg7 36 a4 a6 $37 \mathrm{~h} 5+\mathrm{Kf7}$ 38 Bxf5 ef5 39 Kxf5 b5 40 ab ab At this point the game was adjudicated by IM o sarapu and Prof RJ Sutton as a win for White. Annotations by SARAPU and PATTON. 1-0

Wellington

```
GUFELD - SARFATI
Wellington 1986
Ruy Lopez
```

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & e 4 & \text { e5 } & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Nc6} & 3 & \mathrm{Bb} 5 & \mathrm{a6} \\ \mathbf{B a 4} & 4 \\ \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 5 & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{Be} 7 & 6 & \operatorname{Rel} & \mathrm{~b} 5 & 7\end{array}$ Bb3 $\begin{array}{llllll} & 0-0 & \text { c3 }\end{array}$

Sarfati played his moves very quickly and was presumeably well-prepared.
The Marshall Variation. Since 1918, when Marshall first played t against Capablanca, this variation has not lost its popularity. For the minimal sacrifice of a pawn, Black gets a ibilities. 9 exd5 Nxd5 10 Nxe5 Nxe5 11 Rxe5 9 ex
Bb 7
A rare but good-looking move which I have used successfully several times. More popular is li ... c6 to follow with ... Bd6 and ... Ch 4.
12 d 4 Qd7 $13 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 14 \mathrm{Ne} 4$

$$
\text { After } 40 \text { minutes } I
$$

chose Ne4. After the game Sarfati informed me that Tuknakov here played Nf3. I still preferred Ne4.
This new move casts doubt on the variation. Tukmakov - Tseitlin went 14 Nf3 allowing 14 ... Nxg2! 15 Rxe7 Qxe7 16 Kxg2 Qe4 which is ok for Black.
14 ... Nxg2
On $14 \ldots$ Ng6, 15 Nc5 etc, when if Black plays $15 \ldots$ Bd 6 then White continues with Bd5. 15 Kxg2 Bf6 16 f3 Bxe5 17 dxe5 Qf5


The difference between 14 Nf3 and 14 Ne4 is that the knight on e4 is centralised; this means more than the extra pawn in the Nf3 line.
18 Be3 Rad8
If 18 ... Bxe4 19 fxe4 Qxe4+ 20 Qf3 Qxe5 21 Bd4 +- or 21 Rfl where White has a clear advantage.
18 ... Qxe5 was better but i doesn't change the +- assessment. 19 Qc2 Qxe5 20 Rel Oh5 21 Qf Rfe8 22 Bd4 Qg6+ 23 Qg3 Kh8?
A mistake in a difficult position, but nothing was good.
24 Ng5 h6 25 Nxf7+ Kh7 26 Nxd8
Resigns. Annotations by Jon-
athan SARFATI, with extra comments from SARAPU and GUFELD. 1 - 0

> GUFELD - DIVE
> Wellington 1986
> Petroff's Defence
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & \text { e4 } & \text { e5 } & 2 & \text { Nff3 } & \text { Nf6 } & 3 & \text { Nxe5 } & \text { d6 } \\ \text { Nf3 } & \text { Nxe4 } & 5 & \text { d4 } & \text { d5 } & 6 & \mathrm{Bd} 3 & \mathrm{Be7} & 7\end{array}$ 0-O Nc6 8 h 3

White decides to vary from the main lines.
8 ... O-O 9 C4 Nf6
9 ... Nb4 is better
10 a3 dxc4 11 Bxc4 Bf5 12 Nc3 h6 13 Rel a6 14 b4 Qd6 15 d5
This move gives White more space but blocks his white-squared bishop and provides a good blockading square on $d 6$ for Black.
$15 . . . \mathrm{Na7}$
This knight is headed for d6.)
16 Be3 Nc8
Parrying the threat of 17 BC5 which would win a piece.
17 Bd4 Qd8 18 Ne5 Nd6 19 Bb 3
A bad positional move. This bishop is a bad one because of the pawn on d5, so a better move would have been 19 Bd3! and then swaps bishops.
19 ... Bh7 20 Rcl


White should still play to swap bishops with 20 Bc2.
20 ... Nfe4 21 Nxe4 Bxe4 22 Qg4 Bf5 23 Qg3 Bf6

This stops the threat of Nc6 hitting the queen and threatening mate.
24 Nf3 Rc8 25 Qf4 Bxd4
Black's plan is to swap pieces so as to arrive at an endgame where his better pawn structure will give him an advantage.
26 Nxd4 Bg6 27 Re3 Re8 28 Rcel Qd7 29 Nf3 Rxe3 30 Rxe3
30 fxe3 may have been better. With his following move, Black practically forces off the queens. 30 ... Qf5 31 Qxf5 Bxf5 32 g4 Be4
This blocks the file but, more importantly, tries to exchange off the bishop for the knight.
33 Nd4 Kf8 34 f3 Bh7 35 Kf2
Here White misses a chance to create a few problems for Black and should have played 35 Ba4! preventing Black playing ... Re8. $35 \ldots \operatorname{Re} 83 \mathrm{Ne} 2$
White should attack Blacks weak pawn on $c 7$ which he can only do with the rook. Therefore a better move was 36 Rc3!
36 ... Rxe3 37 Kxe3 Ke7 38 f4 Be4 4 Before the bishop gets blocked 39 Nd4
Much better was 39 h 4 .
39 ... g5
This good move fixes White's kingside pawns on the same colour squares as his bishop and mine.
40 Nf3?
This loses a clear pawn. Black had to protcct his $h$-pawn but the best way seems to be 40 Ne 2 when, if $40 \ldots \mathrm{Bg} 2$, then 41 Ngl although this leaves White in a very passive position.

40 ... Bxf3 $41 \mathrm{Kxf3} \mathrm{Nb} 5$
Threatening both $\mathrm{Nd} 4+$ and Nxa3. 42 Ke4 Nxa3 43 fxg5 hxg5 44 Kd4 Nb5+ 45 Ke5 $\mathbf{~} 6+46$ Ke4 Na6+ 47 50 Bfl Kd6 51 Ke4 Ne7 52 Bc4 Nc Beting 51 Ke4 Ne7 52 Bc 4 Nc 8 53 Kf5?
... which White falls straight into, losing the game. White had to keep his king on his own side of the board to preserve any 53 of saving the game. After and bishop embarrassed his king 53 bishop embarrassed

The White king is forced offside, and kept offside.
55 Bd3 b5
Reducing the scope of the white bishop.
$56 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 457 \mathrm{Bdl} \mathrm{Nf} 2 \mathrm{5} \quad \mathrm{Bc} 2$ White could get his king back with 59 Kf5.
59... Nf2 60 Bf 5 Nh 361 BC 8 Why not go back to e4 with the bishop?
61 ... Nf4t 62 Kf5 Nxd5 63 Bxa6 A nice finesse finishes the game.
64 Bb7 Nxb4
White resigns. - Annotations by Russell DIVE. 0 Auckland

> SARAPU - GUFELD
> Auckland 1986
> Sicilian Dragon
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & e 4 & \text { c5 } & 2 & N f 3 & \text { d6 } & 3 & \text { d4 } & \text { cxd4 }\end{array} 4$ Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 g6
friend Gus a pleasure to see my old friend Gufeld take up the Dragon variation he knows so well.
 -0-0
The popularity of this line is returning. For a long time, Bc4 9 was played.
 bxc6 12 Nxd5
Another possibility here is 12 Bd4 e5 13 Bc5 Be6. I played this variation as Black some years ago, That game continued 14 rimic. g 4 f 5 l l6 gxf5inued 14 Ne 4 Rb 815 43 Rxb2 18 analyse 'readers to

12 ... cxd5 13 Qxd5 Qc7
Another continuation here is 13 $\cdots$ Rbs when 14 Qxd8 is bad Bd4+ $16 \mathrm{Kcl} \mathrm{Be}^{+} \ldots \mathrm{Bxb2} 17 \mathrm{Od}, \mathrm{Kb}$ has a small advantage.
14 Qc5
The game Smyslov - Gufeld [1961 Soviet Championship] continued 14 Qxa8 Bf5 15 Qxf8+ Kxf8 16 Rd2 Qb8 17 Bb5 h5 18 Rhdl Bxb2+ 19 Kxb2 Qxb5+ with a small advantage to Black.
$14 \ldots$ Qb7 15 Qa3 Bf5 16 Ba6 Qc7 16 Bxb2t is bad because of will lose the exchange.
17 Qc5 Qb6
The only continuation: Other moves will leave White with material advantage.
18 Qxb6 axb6 19 Bc4 Rfe8 20 Bb Rxa2 21 Rd8+
White cannot capture on $a 2$ on account of 21 ... Rxc2+ 22 Kbl Re2+ when White can resign.
21 ... Rxd8 22 Bxa2 Ra8 23 Rd1 h5

If 23 ... Rxa2 24 Rd8+ Bf8 25 Bh6 and Black should resign. After the text move, however, the position is drawish.
24 Bb3 Ral+ 25 Kd2 Rxdl+ 26 Kxdl Bxb2 27 Bxb6 Kf8 28 Kd2 Be5 29 h3 Ke8 30 Ba4+ Bd7 31 Bxd7+ Kxd7 32 Kd3 Draw agreed. Annotations by Ortvin SARAPU.

Conclusion
There can be no doubting the value and success of Grandmaster GUFELD's visit to New zealand. Altogether he played exactly 400 games: 365 wins, 23 draws, and only two losses!

His final simul, played on the 22nd of July, was played at Farmers TC in Auckland, against 10 Amstrad computers! FTC were major sponsors of GM GUFELD's visit, and their assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

## AMSTRAD COMPUTERS

HOWICK - PAKURANGA OPEN
CHESS TOURNAMENT
TO BE HELD ON 21 - 22 FEBRUARY 1987 in the
PAKUIRANGA COMMUNITY CULIURAL CFNIRE REEVES ROAD PAKURANCA.
GUARANTEED PRIZE FUND AT LEAST \$1250.
First Prize: $\$ 350$ plus three other main prizes and grade prizes. ENTRY FEE: $\$ 15.00$
Late Fee (for entries received after 17 February): \$17.00 DIRECIOR OF PIAY: R.GIBBONS.
N.B. THIS TOURNMMENT IS TO COUNT AS THE FIRST LLEG OF THE 1987 AMSTRAD COMPUIERS GRAND PRIX.
Entry forms will be available early in 1987 from Steve DEVLIN Flat 1/166 Panama Road Mt Wellington, Phone 2765524.

## WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP

## by Peter Stuart

The World Champion, Gary Kaspar ov, retained his title after an exciting second half in Leningrad which first saw him stretch his lead to three points by winning games 14 and 16. Both wins were the result of time-trouble induced mistakes by Karpov arter he had obtained good midale-game posit ions, particularly in game 16.
At this stage things looked he had to win four of the resin ing eight games while not losing ing eight gaing which looked most anlikely when examining the nesults to that point! Nevertheresults Karpov had really been making the running since the start of the second half and be continudo do so but to much bettor ffect in the next few games. In ffect, in the next few games. In fact he wing with game 17 and game scores were tied. He was distinctly lucky not to lose let lone win gane 18 but this was balanced by game 16
After a Kasparov time-out the next two games were drawn and then kasparov equilibrium regained, Kasparov, equilibrium regained,
struck in game 22 in what was struck in game 22 in what was possibly the best game of the 10.5 so Karpov would have had to win the last two games in order to regain his title. He was, however, unable to make any real impression in either of them. The final scores were Kasparov 12.5, Karpov ll. 5.
Gary Kasparov can thus take a holiday until the next match late in 1987 (unless FIDE messes around with the calendar again) while Anatoly Karpov must start thinking about his Candidates Super-final match against Artur Yusupov in February.

> Game 13 - A Fighting Draw Karpov - Kasparov Grunfeld Defence


So far as in game 3. Karpov's next is not new and does not promise white anything.
10 f4 f6
Black soon equalised with 10 ... Nxe5 in a 1983 game A. Petrosian Dorfman.
11 Nf3 Nc6 12 Be3 Nb6 13 Bf2 f5 14 Ne5 Bd7 15 Qd2 Nc8 16 Qe3 Kh8 17 Rfdl Nd6 18 b3 Rc8 19 Racl Be8 20 Bel Bf6 21 Na4 b6 22 Nb 2 Ne 4
The position looks fairly equal although Black is well placed for the break with g6-g5 while White has no equivalent activity lined $\operatorname{up}_{23}$.
23 Nbd3 g5 24 Nxc6 Bxc6 25 Ne5 gxf4 26 gxf4 Be8 27 Qh3 Rg8 28 Kfl Rxcl 29 Rxcl h5 30 Bb4 a5 31 Ba3 Bxe5 32 dxe5 Rg4 33 Bxe4 dxe4 34 Bd6!
The f-pawn is indefensible since 34 e3 is obviously out of the question while 34 Qe3 allows the winning 34 ... Qh4.
34 White Rxf4+ 35 Kel Rg 4
White gains adequate counterplay

36 Qe3 Qg5 37 Qxg5 Rxg5 38 Rc8 Rg8 39 e3 h4 40 h 3 a 4

Draw agreed.
Game 14 - Kasparov goes Two Up Kasparov - Karpov Ruy Lopez
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & \mathrm{e} 4 & \mathrm{e} 5 & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Nc} 6 & 3 & \mathrm{Bb} 5 & \mathrm{a} 6 & 4\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Ba} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 5 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ Be7 6 Rel b 57 $\mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 8 \quad \mathrm{c} 3 \quad \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \quad 9 \mathrm{h3} \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 10 \mathrm{~d} 4$ Re8 11 Nbd2 Bf8 12 a4

Sokolov - Karpov, Bugojno 1986 saw instead 12 Bc 2 - see August issue, p 88
12 ... h6
in ... Qd7 has been seen twice in previous encounters. After the 15 d5 Karpov played 15 Rxa8 Bxa8 game 46 of their first... Nas in game 46 of their first match and 15 ... Na5 in game 5 of their second match

Varying from his 13 ... Nb8 of game 9 in the second matich. 14 cxd4 $\mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 15 \mathrm{Bbl} \mathrm{c5} 16 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Nd} 7$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}14 & \text { cxd4 } & \text { Nb4 } & 15 & \mathrm{Bbl} & \mathrm{C5} & 16 & \mathrm{d5} & \mathrm{Nd} 7 \\ 17 & \mathrm{Ra} & \mathrm{C} 4 & 18 & \mathrm{axb} & \mathrm{axb} 5 & 19 & \mathrm{Nd} 4\end{array}$

Rxa3 20 bxa3 Nd3 21 Bxd3 cxd3 22 Bb 2 Qa5

The weakness of the White a-pawn compensates for the doomed pawn on d3.
23 Nf5 Ne5 24 Bxe5 dxe5 25 Nb3 Qb6 26 Qxd3 Ras 27 Rcl g6 28 Ne3 Bxa3 29 Ral Ra4?
This proves to be just a waste suddenly come to life. Instead 29 ... Bf8 should be quite ok for Black.
30 Ng 4 Bf 831 Rcl
White cannot win a pawn by 31 Nxe5 because of 31 ... Rxalt 32 Nxal Qf6.
31 ... Qd6 32 Nc5
But not 32 Qxb5 Rb4 33 Qd3 f5! 34 exf5 e4 when Black will regain his pawn.
32 ... Rc4 33 Rxc4 bxc4 34 Nxb7 cxd3 35 Nxd6 Bxd6 36 Kfl

This time Black does not have any compensation for his weak pawn on d3.
 $39 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 440 \mathrm{Nd} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \quad 41 \mathrm{Nc} 5$

The game was adjourned here but Karpov resigned without resuming as the d-pawn falls quickly and White has the better minor piece. 1-0

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Game } 15 \text { - The Grunfeld Holds } \\
\text { Comfortably } \\
\text { Karpov - Kasparov } \\
\text { Grunfeld Defence }
\end{gathered}
$$

 Bg7 5 Qb3

Karpov's fourth different choice against this difficult defence without essaying the critical exchange variation lines which begins 5 cxd5 Nxd5 6 e4 Nxc3 7 bxc3 c5 8 Rbl .
5 … dxc4 6 Qxc4 $0-0 \quad 7$ e4 $\quad \mathrm{Bg} 4$ 8 Be3 Nfd7 9 Rd1 Nc6 10 Be 2 Nb 6 11 Qc5 Qd6 12 e5 Qxc5 13 dxc5 Nc8

13 ... Nd7 would be met by 14 6.

## 4 Nb 5 Rb 8

Kasparov decides to look to his development before relieving White of his fixed e-pawn.
15 Nxc7 e6 16 Nb5 N8e7 17 Rd2 b6 18 cxb6 axb6 19 Bg5 Nf5 20 b3 h6 21 Bf6 Bxf3 22 Bxf3 Nxe5 23 Bxe5

Bxe5 24 O-O Rfd8 25 Rfdl Rxd2 26 Rxd2 Rc8 27 g3 Rcl+ $28 \quad \mathrm{Kg} 2$ Kf8 29 Be 4 Ke 7

Draw agreed.
Game 16 - A Sad Loss for Karpov Kasparov - Karpov

Ruy Lopez
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & e 4 & e 5 & 2 & \text { Nf3 } & \text { Nc6 } & 3 & \mathrm{Bb} 5 & \mathrm{a} 6 \\ 4\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Ba4}$ Nf6 5 O-O Be7 6 Rel b5 7

 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { exd4 } & 14 & \text { cxa4 } & \mathrm{Nb} 4 & 15 & \mathrm{Bbl} & \mathrm{C} 5 & 16\end{array}$ d5 Nd7 17 Ra 3 C 418 Nd 4
Instead of 18 axb5 axb5 19 Nd4 as in game 14 .
18... Qf6 19 N2f3 Nc5

Karpov's plan involves a pawn sacrifice for queenside pressure. 20 axb5 axb5 21 Nxb5 Rxa3 22 Nxa3
Otherwise the knight on $b 5$ is embarrassed, eg. by 22 bxa3 Nbd3 23 Re3 Ba6 and 24 a4 is forced when 24 ... Nxa4! 25 Qxc4 Qal! follows.
22 ... Ba6 23 Re3 Rb8


24 e5
Rather than defend his extra pawn, Kasparov strives for the initiative. 24.... dxe5 25 28 Bxh6 0xb2 29 ff Nat Rg3 gef8 Kxf8 3l Th2 32 Bxd3 cxd3
32 ... Rxd3 33 Qf4 Qxa3 was a perfectly reasonable alternative.
33 Qf4 Qxa3?
Correct was $33 \ldots$ keeping the queen on the long black diagonal 34 Nh6 Qe7 35 Rxg6 Qe5 36 Rg8+ Ke7 37 d6+!
It's all over; Black must give up his queen.
37 ... Ke6 38 Re8+ Kd5 39 Rxe5+ Nxe5 $40 \mathrm{d7}$ Rbs 41 Nxf7

Game 17 - The Fightback Begins Karpov - Kasparov Grunfeld Defence

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 Nf3 Bg7 5 Qb3 dxc4 6 Oxc4 0-0 7 e4 Bg4 8 Be3 Nfd7 9 Rdl Nc6 10 Be2 Nb6 11 Qc5 Qd6 12 e5 Qxc5 13 dxc5 Nc8 14 h3!?

An improvement on game 15 where Karpov won a pawn but never conjured up any winning chances.
14 ... Bxf3 15 Bxf3 Bxe5
15 ... Nxe5 16 Bxb7 Rb8 17 c6! also favours White.
16 Bxc6 bxc6 17 Bd4 Bf4 18 0-0 a5

Or 18 ... e6 19 g3 Bg5 20 Bf4 with a clear advantage.
19 Rfel a4 20 Re4 Bh6 21 Be5 a3 $22 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Na7}$
$22 \ldots$ Ra7 23 Rd7 is hardly attractive for Black.
23 Rd7! Bcl 24 Rxc7 Bb2 25 Na4 Nb 5
The threat was Nb6
26 Rxc6 Rfds 27 Rb6 Rd5 28 Bg 3 Black's last hope lay in 28 Nxb2? Rxe5
 1-0

Game 18 - Karpov Grovels
and Kasparov Errs
Kasparov - Karpov
Queens Indian

 Bxc3+

The usual continuation is $7 \ldots$ g5 8 Bg3 Ne4 as in Kasparov rimman, 6th match game 1985 - see April-June, page 54.
bxis d6, Nik g5?
This seems like poor preparation on the part of Karpov's team. should be countered and e4 which should be countered by a timely or 9 ... Qe7 suggest themselves.
10 Bg 3 Qe7 $11 \mathrm{a4} \mathrm{a5} 12 \mathrm{~h} 4$ ! Rg8 13 hxg5 hxg5 14 Qb3 Na6
If 14 Nbd7 then 15
15 Rbl Kf 8 l 16 Qd 1 ! Bc6 17 Rh 2
To be able to develop the bishop on fl.
17 ... Kg7 18 c5 bxc5 $19 \mathrm{Bb5} \mathrm{Nb} 8$ 20 dxc5 d5 21 Be5 Kf8 22 Rh6 Ne8 23 Oh5 f6 $\quad 24$ Rh7 Ng7 $\quad 25$ Rh6 Ne8

26 Qh5+ Kf8 27 Qf3 Kf7 28 Rh6 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Ne8 } & 29 & \text { e4 } 44 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{OH} & \mathrm{Qf4} & \mathrm{Bxb5} & 31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Rxb5 Nd7! } & 32 & \text { Bxc7 } & \text { Nxc5 } & 33 & \text { Qe3 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rxe4 } & 34 & \text { Nxe4 dxe4 } 35 \text { Bxa5 f5 }\end{array}$ It is clear that the ending favours white with his two passed pawns.
36 Bb4 Qd7 37 Qd4 Ra7 38 Rh7+? With this mistake Kasparov loses his grip on the position. on h6 the rook combined with the queen to keep the Black kingside in a strait-jacket but after the text move, the rook soon finds itself in a purely spectator's role. 38 ...Ng7 39 a5 Kg6! 40 Rxd7 41 Rh4
The sealed move. What a change of fortune in the space of only a few moves, White now being virtually a rook down. No better than the text was 41 Rhl because of 41 ... Rgd8.


41 ... Rgd8 42 C4 Rdit 43 Ke2 Rcl 44 a6 Rc2+ 45 Kel Ra2 46 Rb6 Rd3!

The White king is now in a mating net.
$47 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{Ral+} 48 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Ra2+} 49 \mathrm{Kel}$ g3! 50 fxg3 Rxg3 51 Kfl Rgxg2 52 Bel Rgc2 53 c6 Ral 54 Rh3 f4 $55 \mathrm{Rb} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \quad 56 \mathrm{Rb} 5+\mathrm{e} 5 \quad 57$ Ra5 Rdl! 58 a7 e3
After the forced 59 Rf3 there follows 59 ... Nh5 and 60 ... Ng3t. $0-1$

Game 19 - Karpov Catches Up!
Karpov - Kasparov Karpov - Kasparov Grunfeld Defence
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 Nf3 $\mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 5$ Qb3 dxc4 6 Qxc4 $0-0 \quad 7$ e4 Na6
The main alternative to the normal 7 ... Bg4 employed by Kasparov in games 15 and 17.
8 Be2 C5 9 d5 e6 $100-0$ exd5 11

Both sides are fighting for control of d6.
14 Nb5! Qf6?
With a dubious exchange sacrifice in mind. Korchnoi recommended eliminating the worrisome knight on b5.
15 Bd3 Nb4 16 Nc7 Nxd3 17 Nxe8 Rxe8 18 Qxd3 Qxb 2

Neither $18 \ldots$ Nxf2 nor 18 ... Ng 3 is feasible on account of 19 Qb5.
19 Rdel Qb4 20 Nd2 Qa4 21 Qc4 Qxc4 22 Nxc4 Bc3 23 Nd2!
With the threat of 24 Nxe4 Bxel 25 Nf6+.
$23 . \ldots$ Bxd2 24 Bxd2 Bd7 25 Bf4! Bb5 26 f3 g5

The exchange cannot be regained immediately: 26 ... Bxfl? 27 Kxfl Nf6 28 Rxe8+ Nxe8 29 Be5 f6 30 d6! winning the knight. Thus Karpov engineers simplification to a winning minor piece ending. 27 Bxg5 Bxf1 28 Kxfl Nd6 29 Be7 NC8 30 Bxc5 Rd8 31 Re5 f6 32 Rf5 b6 33 Bd4 Ne7 34 Bxf6 Rxd5 35 Rg5+ Rxg5 36 Bxg5 Nc6 37 Ke2 Kf7 38 Kd3 Ke6 39 Kc4 Ne5+ 40 Kd4 Nc6+

1-0

> Game 20 - Interlude
> Kasparov - Karpov
> Catalan

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 g3
Perhaps a sign of peaceful intentions - the Catalan has led to quick draws in previous matches.
$3 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 5 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} 6$
 Qc2 Bb7 10 Bg5 Nbd7 11 Bxf6 Nxf6 12 Nbd2 Rc8 13 Nb3 c5 14 dxc5 Bd5 15 Rfdl Bxb3 16 Qxb3 Qc7 17 a4 Qxc5 18 axb5 axb5 19 Nd4 b4 20 e3 Rfd8 21 Rd2 Qb6

Draw agreed
Game 21 - Kasparov Holds Out Karpov - Kasparov Queen's Indian
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & \mathrm{~d} 4 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 2 & \mathrm{c} & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{l} & \mathbf{N f} 3 & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 4 & \mathrm{~g} 3\end{array}$ ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{~} 6$

This line was played six times in the first match, usually with Kasparov playing white.

Queen's Indian was never seen in $5 \mathrm{b3} \mathrm{Bb} 4+6 \mathrm{Bd2} \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} 8$ O-O d5 9 Ne5 c6 10 Bc3 Nfd7 11 Nxd7 Nxd7 12 Nd2 Rc8 13 e4 dxc4 14 bxc4 b5 15 Rel bxc4 16 Qc2 Qc7 17 Nfl e5 18 Ne3 exd4 19 Bxd4 Bc5 20 Bxc5 Nxc5 21 Nxc4 Rfds 22 Radl Rxdl 23 Rxdl Rd8 24 Rxd8+ Qxd8 25 h 4 Qd4 26 Qb2 Qxb2 27 Nxb2 f6 28 f3

Karpov plays on because of the match situation, but his winning chances are extremely slight.
28 ... Kf7 29 Bfl Bb5 30 Kf2 Ke6 $31 \mathrm{BC} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 632 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Nd7} 33 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 6$ 34 Bg8 h6 35 Nd3 Nd7 36 Kd4 c5+ 37 Kc3 Bc6 38 Nf 2 Nb 639 Bb 3 Na $40 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 641 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 5+$
The sealed move. Obviously both camps had analysed the concluding moves:
$42 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Na4+} \quad 43 \quad \mathrm{Kd} 2 \quad \mathrm{c} 4 \quad 44 \quad$ e5+ fxe5 45 Ne4+ Ke6
Draw agreed. After 46 Bxa4 Bxa4 47 Nc5+ Kf5 48 Nxa4 exf4 49 gxf4 Kxf4 the position is drawn as White does not have time to hunt the Black a-pawn.

Game 22 - Kasparov Regains the Lead
Kasparov - Karpov Queen's Gambit

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc3 Be7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6 Bxf6 7 e3 O-O 8 Rcl C6 9 Bd3 Nd7 10 O-O dxc4 11 Bxc4 e5 12 h3 exd4 13 exd4 Nb6
Varying from his $13 \ldots$ of of game in tavour of the move he played in the 2Jrd game of the second match. Both those games
were drawn
14 Bb 3 Bf 5

The $23 r d$ game of the second match continued 14 ... Re8.
15 Rel a5 16 a3 Re8 17 Rxes $0 \times 818$ Od2 Nd7 Re8 17 Rxe8+ Qxes 18 Qd2 Nd7 19 Qf4 Bg6 20 Nc3 Ob8 24 Oe3 b4 25 Ne4 bxa3?! Nc3 Qb8 24 Qe3 b4 25 Ne4 bxa3?! bxa3. The exchanges following the oxa3. The exchanges following the text are favourable for White. $\begin{array}{llll} \\ \text { Bxd5 cxd5 } & 29 \text { Ne5 od8 } 30 \text { Nd5 } 28\end{array}$ Bxd5 cxd5 29 Ne5 Qd8 30 Qf3 Ra6
34 Qg3 a4 $35 \mathrm{Ra8}$ Qe6 63 Rc8 Qd6
$35 \ldots$ Rb3 loses to $36 \mathrm{Rh} 8+$ and 37

Nxf7+. 35 ... Ra6 loses to 36 Nxf7! Bxf7 37 Qd3+ 36 Rxa4 Qf5 37 Ra7 Rbl+ 38 Kh2 Rcl 39 Rb 7 Rc 240 f 3 Rd 2 The adjourned position.


41 Nd7! Rxd4 42 Nf8+ Kh6 If $42 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 8$, then 43 Rb 8 and White is winning.
43 Rb4!
This move is even better than the immediate Rb 8 .

## 43 ... Rc4

Black has no good move as 43 ... Rdl loses a piece after 44 Rb 8 Bh 7 (else Nxg6 and Rh8+) 45 Qg5+ while 43 ... Rxb4 44 axb4 d4 45 b5 d3 46 b6 d2 $47 \mathrm{~b} 7 \quad \mathrm{dl}=\mathrm{Q} \quad 48 \mathrm{~b} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ leads to mate; eg. $48 \ldots$ Qd4 49 Nxg6 Qxg6 50 Qh8+ Qh7 51 Qg5 or $48 . .$. Qcl 49 Nxg6 Qxg6 50 Qh8+ 44 S1 Qgxg7.
44 Rxc4 45 Qd
Threatening Qd2+
$45 \ldots{ }^{63} 46$ Qd4

> Game 23 - Kasparov Retains his Title ... Karpov - Kasparov Symmetrical English

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { Nf3 Nf6 } 2 \text { c4 b6 } 3 \text { g3 c5 } 4 \text { Bg2 } \\
& \text { Bb7 } 5 \text { 0-O g6 } 6 \text { d4 cxd4 } 7 \text { Qxd4 } \\
& \text { Bg7 } 8 \text { NC3 d6 } 9 \text { Ral Nbd7 } 10 \text { b } \\
& \text { Racl } 11 \text { B62 O- Rc5 } 15 \text { a4 Oas } 16 \\
& \text { Racl a6 } 14 \text { Bal Rc5 } 15 \text { a4 Qa8 } 16
\end{aligned}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Nc5 } & 22 & \text { Rb1 } & \text { Ne6 } & 23 & \text { Qa3 } & \text { Nc7 } & 24 \\ \text { Nf4 } & \text { b5! } & 25 & \text { cxb5 } & \text { axb5 } & 26 & \text { Nxb5 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Nxb5 } & 27 \text { Qxb5 Qxb5 } 28 \text { axb5 Rb8 }\end{array}$
$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NXb5} \\ & 29 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 7\end{aligned}$
Not yet $29 \ldots$ Rxb5 as 30 Bxf6
Bxf6 31 Nd5 is better for White.
30 b6 Reb8 31 b4 Nd7 32 Bxg7
Draw agreed.
Game 24 - .... and Wins the Match
Queen's Indian

 Bxf6 11 Nc3 g6 12 Radl d6 13 h 4
 Nxe5 17 Nxe5 Bxe5 18 dxe6 Bxg2 19 exf7+ Kxf7 20 Kxg2 Bxc3 21 Qxc3 Qf6 22 Qxf6+ Kxf6
Karpov plays on in the vain hope of tying the match.
23 a4 Rae8 24 Rfel Rxel 25 Rxel Rd8 26 Rdl c6 27 Kf3 Ke5 28 Ke3 Rf8 29 f3 Rh8 30 Rel Rb8 3 Kd3+ Kf6 32 Re4 d5 33 cxd5 cxd 34 Re2 b5 35 Kd4 bxa4 36 Rxd5 Rb3 37 Ke4 Rb4+ 38 Kd5 Rb5+ 39 Kd4 Rb4+ 40 Kd5 Rb3 41 Ke4

Draw agreed.

## WOMENS CHAMPIONSHIP

The match between Maya Chiburdanidze (rating 2435) and challenger Elena Akhmilovskaya (2290) roved to be as one-sided as th After 13 of the might suggest games, Chiburdanidze had retained her title with an 8 - 5 scoreline She won games $1,5,7$ and 8 and then coasted home, dropping game but drawing the next four first iont thenes were played i Sofia (Bulgaria), the remainder in ofia (Bulgaria) the remainder in Borzomi (USSR).

## Tallinn

The fifth Kotov Memorial tournament was held in September in the Estonian capital. The event has been held in a different city each year
The first two places were taken by $2500+$ rated Soviet IMs: Konstantin Lerner's 10 points gave him a half-point advantage over the also undefeated Sergei Smagin Then came: 3 GM Palatnik (USR) 9 4 IM Ehlvest (USR) 8.5; 5-6 Glek (USR), GM Panchenko (USR) 8; 7 GM Vaiser (USR) 7.5; 8 Dajkalo (POL) 7; 9-10 GM Djuric (YUG), IM Stohl (CZE) 5; 11-12 IM Berg (DEN), IM Grunberg (DDR) 4; 13 GM Forintos (HUN) 3.5; 14 Paavilainen (FIN)

## Overseas News

The Candidates Final SOKOLOV vs YUSUPOV

The title of this match, played in Riga concurrently with the first half of the World title match, is a misnomer since the winner did not qualify to meet the World Champion but only to meet the loser of the latest title match for that right.
Artur Yusupov (26) was accorded a slight degree of favouritism, if only on the grounds of greater experience than his younge pponent, Andrei Sokolo (23).

Yusupov started sensationally winning games 1 and 3 with the Black pieces. Sokolov pulled one back in game french when yusupo favour of a Ruy topez but the favour of a Ruy Lopez wo point older man restorea his two point . With only three games to go it looked to be the end of sokolov's hances in the current World Championship cycle, especially Chen back however with back-to-back ains to tie the match at 6-6 This necessitated ather
inis necesslata another two the first pf these to emulate Tarpou's hat trick. When yusupov was unable to break through in the fourteenth game, Sokolov completed a remarkable come-back.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Sokolov - Yusupov } \\
\text { Candidates Final, Riga } 1986 \\
\text { Game 3 } \\
\text { French Winawer }
\end{gathered}
$$

1 e
Yusupov avoids the less respected $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{a}$ a Ba 5 with which Vaganian suffered against the same opponent.
5 a3 Bxc3+ 6 bxc3 c5 7 Nf3 b6 8 a 4
Sokolov tried 8 Bb5+ in game 1.
... Ba6 9 Bxa6 Nxa6
Black thus solves the problem of his white-squared bishop, albeit at the cost of several tempi although the loss of time in a
semi-blocked position is not so 10 O-O Nb8 11 dxc5 bxc5 12 c4 $0-013$ cxd5 Nxd5 14 Od3 h6 15 C4 Ne7 16 Qe4 Na7 17 Rbl
White decides that the bishop is s well posted on cl as anywhere so first develops his rooks. The resulting back-rank weakness soon proves to be his downfall.
17 ... Qa5 18 Rdl Rad8 19 Qc2


19 ... Nxe5! 20 Nxe5 Qc3!
The point - the knight on e5 falls, leaving Black with a sound extra pawn.
21 Qe2 Qxe5 22 Be3 Nf5 23 Qf3 Rxdl+ 24 Rxdl Nd4 25 Bxd4 Cxd4 26 Qd3 Rd8 27 g3 Qc5 28 f4 Qb4 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}29 & \text { Ral } & \text { a5 } & 30 & \mathrm{~h} 4 & \mathrm{~h} 5 & 31 & \mathrm{Rbl} & \mathrm{Qxa} \\ 32 & \mathrm{Rb} 5 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & 33 & \mathrm{Kg} 2 & \mathrm{Qa}+ & 34 & \mathrm{Kf} 3 & \mathrm{a4}\end{array}$ $32 \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 33 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qa2+} 34 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{a} 4$ 35 Rb 6 Kg 7
The threat was 36 Rxe6
36 Rbl Kg 837 Rb 6 Qal 38 Ke 2
38 Rxe6 Qc3 leads to a winning rook ending.
$38 . .$. a3 39 Ra6 Qb2+ 40 Qd2 d3+ $0-1$

Sokolov - Yusupov
Candidates Final, Riga 1986
Game 11
1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3
Sokolov used Tarrasch's 3 Nd2 in game 9 but to no better effect than in games 1 and 3 .
3 ... Bb4 4 e5 Ne7 5 a3 Bxc3+ 6 bxc3 c5 7 Nf3 Qa5 8 Bd2 Nbc6 9 Be2 cxd4
Black has in mind another method of exchanging the bishop on c8, but White is able to prevent this manoeuvre.
10 cxd4 Qa4 11 Be3 b6 12 Qd3 Na5 13 Nd2 Bd7 14 O-O Rc8 15 Rfcl $0-0 \quad 16$ Rabl Nc4 17 Rb3 Rfc8 18 Nf3 Rfc8 $19 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Ng} 620 \mathrm{h4} \mathrm{Na} 5$ ? Black should first drive away Th 4 Op 20 Nfa


24 Bf6! h6
Black's defence is hamstrung and he must give up a piece
 while $26 \cdots \quad g 6 \quad 27$ Qf4 Kh7 is ferc 30 29 Nor 29 0xg5 ioh in either case.
27 hxg6 fxg6 28 Bh4 Qxa3 29 Rbbl Bf7 30 Rdl g5 31 Bxg5!
White returns the piece to renew his attack.
31 ... hxg5 32 Nxg5 Qe7 33 Bd3 Rxc2

Desperation. 35 Rbcl Rc6 36 Rxc6 34 Bxc2 R Rd 3 Bg6 38 Rc3 Nd8 39 Rc8 Bf7 40 f4 Qd7 41 Ra8
$1-0$

London - Lloyds Bank Open
Simen Agdestein of Norway, the world's youngest GM at 19, won the annual Lloyds Bank Open at the Great Eastern Hotel in August, winning with the black pieces against van der Sterren in the last round. English IM Julian Hodgson took clear second place, gaining his first $G M$ norm in the process. New Zealand's Anthony KER was one of several players to gain an $I M$ norm, as reported in the October issue.
Leading scores were: 1 GM Agdestein (NOR) 8; 2 IM Hodgson (ENG) 7.5; 3-5 GM Chandler (ENG), IM Condie (SCO), GM Hjartarson (ICE) 7; 6-12 IM Barua (IND), IM Birnboim (ISR), GM Kudrin (USA), Nijboer (NLD), GM Plaskett (ENG), IM Rechlis (ISR), IM W. Watson (ENG) 6.5.

## British Championship

A Grandmaster trio of Murray Chandler, Jonathon Mestel, and Jon Speelman shared first place with $8 / 11$ at Southhampton in August. Youngster Michael Adams (14) scored a fine 7 points against a strong field to tie for 6 th place. Leading scores were: 1-3 GM 8. 8; 4-5 IM Flear, IM King 7.5; 6-13 Adams, IM Basman, IM Condie, IM McDonald IM Wat 7 , Lawton, 62 players. Watson 7. There were 62 players.

## Szirak

A category 12 tournament in this Hungarian city in September saw a very even field (only 100 rating points from top seed to bottom) Psakhis who won by a full point frokith wo won by foin Pinter. inter.
Scores: 1 GM Psakhis (USR) 9; 2 GM Pinter (HUN) 8; 3-4 GM Nogueiras (CUB), GM Rogers (AUS)
$7.5 ; \quad 5-6 \quad G M$ Adorian (HUN), GM $\begin{array}{lcccc}7.5 ; & 5-6 & \text { GM Adorian } & \text { (HUN), } & \text { GM } \\ \text { Romanishin } & \text { (USR) } & 7-9 & \text { GM }\end{array}$ Romanishin (USR) 7; 7-9 GM
Ftacnik (CZE), GM Garcia-Palermo (ARG) GM Spraggett (CAN) 6.5; (ARG), GM Spraggett (CAN) 6.5; 10-12 GM Csom (HUN), IM Hazai IM Flear (ENG), GM Smejkal (CZE) 4.5 .

## Solingen

A category 10 tournament in this West German industrial city in September / October was won by Robert Hubner while compatriot Ralf Lau, who led for much of the time, gained his final $G M$ norm in tying for second place.
Scores were: 1 GM Hubner (BRD) 8.5; 2-3 IM Lau (BRD), GM Short (ENG) 7.5; 4 GM Kavalek (USA) 7; 5-6 Brunner (BRD), GM Spassky (FRA) 6.5; 7-8 IM Sunye (BRZ), GM Westerinen (FIN) 5; 9 IM Ostermeyer (BRD) 4.5; 10 Borngasser (BRD) 4; 11 Schneider (BRD) 2.5; 12 IM Capelan (BRD) 1.5.

## NZ CHAMPIONS

David J (Dave) Lynch The Gentleman of Gentlemen among New Zealand Champions by Zyg FRANKEL
The above heading is meant literally. Most NZ Champions I have met were gentlemen but it will not be to their discredit that none of them have come up to Dave's level in this respect.
He played in national championships, on and orf, since 1937 , with an interruption during the war when he served with the Nz forces overseas. After finishing second or second equal on two 1950-51, he won the title in from A. Gyles (Wellington) H McNabb (Nelson), and A. Turner (Auckland). Subsequently, he was (Auckland) Subsequently, he was afterwards enjoyed only moderate success.
Dave was also NZ Correspondence Champion on at least two occasions.
In an article in the "New zealand Chessplayer" (February 1951), Alan Fletcher wrote about 1951)' Alan Fletcher wrote about defensive powers were in evidence when required while, once he got a winning advantage, by his strong positional play he seldom failed to capitalise on it." There are no fireworks in his games, just strong positional play.
In his younger years, Dave was a keen sportsman, trying his hand at hockey, tennis, golf, and tramping. He was also keen on contract bridge.
I had the pleasure of staying with him and his family after one Congress held in the North Island. I knew him reasonably well before this, but this time acquired an even better picture of the man. A hard working store-keeper, marvellous family man, hospitable and friendly to most people, and displaying a pleasant and keen sense of humour.
two over the board come to mind.

## AFTER 1946

During my first time in the Championship (Napier 1951-52) I played Dave and obtained what looked to be an advantage, but hardiy enough to win. He offered a wheek sone unme young man's cheek. The game was adjourned and afterwards baten my whole result in the tournament I whole $r$ esult the toumament. Sarapu telling me: "Last yrvis New Zealand Champion draw and you decline in a practically even position! a serves you right."
The following year I met Dave again in the championship. I played White and an unsound but well-calculated King's Gambit and won in short order, not without some help from Dave. When he resigned he made an uncharacteristic violent gesture accompanied by a little grumble. He soon remembered, however, and stretched out his hand with a generous smile. This was more in líne with what Alan Fletcher had to say about him: "There is no better winner or loser in the land." Indeed!
A note in the NZCP, Autumn 1948, says that he was then 38 years of age which makes him now over 75 not an advanced age considering New Zealand's longevity data, but a time when chess is not at one's heights. However, from the previous issue of this journal it appears that he has still no equal in Hastings and Hawkes Bay. He was recently made a NZ Master and we hope to see his name figuring in tournament tables for a long time to come.
Here is one of Dave's better games, from the 195l-52 Congress. Frank Haight played in the NZ Championship twice. He was an American and for several years lectured in mathematics at the Auckland University. The annotator, Adrian Turner, was one of the top $N Z$ players in the early fifties.

## Haight - Lynch

NZ Championship, Napier 1951-52 Colle System
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & d 4 & d 5 & 2 & \text { Nf3 } & \text { Nf6 } & 3 & \text { e3 } & \text { Nbd7 } \\ 4\end{array}$ Nbd2 g6 5 Bd3 Bg7 6 e4 dxe4 7 Nxe4 Nxe4 8 Bxe4 0-0 9 O-O c5 An interesting opening since it illustrates perhaps the best defence against the tricky colle System. The essentials are the queen's knight on 92 , kingside fianchetto, and c5. The fianchetto not only helps counterplay against the centre, but squashes White's secret hope of funny business at h7
10 Be3?! Nf6 il Bd3 Nd5 12 c3 Haight has won some nice games by giving up his bishop for the knight at e3 in return for a tempo and the f-file. Here, however, Lynch shows how the player with the two bishops should meet this situation.
$12 . .$. Nxe3 13 fxe3 Qc7! 14 Rcl Useless. The idea is probably to play Be4 without losing a pawn after ... cxa, but better was 14 Qb3. If in reply 14 ... Be6, then 15 Bc4 and Black must part with one of his bishops
$14 \ldots$ e5 15 d5
If 15 Nxe5, one of the bishops goes but the white e-pawn, alas remains sticking out like a sor thumb.
15 ...c c4! $16 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Qc5} 17 \mathrm{Khl} \mathrm{Bf5}$
8 b3?
Better is 18 Nd 2 which holds the balance by threatening both e4 and Nxc4. The weakening of the long diagonal is a grave error.
... Bh6! 19 Bxc4 Oxe3!
Forcing White's reply by the threat of $\ldots$ Qxcl, winning the exchange. What bishops.
20 Ral Qxc3 21 Qe2 Rfe8 22 Bb5

Reds 23 Qxe5? Bg7?
Missing a win by $23 \ldots$ Qxe5.
24 Nxe5 Bg7 25 Qxc3 Bxc3 26 Radl a6 27 Bc4 b5 28 Be2 Rd6 29 Ng 5 Excellent drawing chances here With Nd4, but the text is not bad. 29 now $29 \ldots$.... h6, 30 Nxf7
$29 \ldots$ Re8 30 g4?
${ }_{32}$ After 30 Bd3 Rxd5 31 Bxf5 Rxf5 have fair 33 h 3 , White would ending because of the disarra the Black's kingside pawns disarray of with the rook on the seventh strong bishop, and an extra paw Black has a sure win 30 Black has a sure win.
... Rxe2 31 gxf5 h6 32 Rcl? 33 Nf3 Rxd5 34 fxg fxg 35
35 a3 would have prolonged the agony considerably. Now Lynch finishes vigorously.
35 ... Rxdl 36 Rxdi Rxa2 37 Ra6 Kf7 38 h4 Rf2! 39 Rd3 Rb2 40 Rd6 Rxb3 41 Rxa6 Ra3 42 Rc6 Bf6 43 Kg2 b3 44 Rb6 b2 45 Na2 Ra3 46 Nc4 Rdl! 47 Rb7+ Kg8 48 Rbs $\mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 49 \mathrm{Rb} 7+\mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 50 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 8$

0-1
OTAGO-SOUTHLAND TROPHY
This 8 man team event between Otago and Invercargill Chess Clubs was played for the third time on 9 August. Otago proved too strong on the top boards and took the Trophy for the first time. Special thanks are due to John van ZOOMERAN who made the Trophy. The final score was 5-3 to
Otago (4 wins 2 draws 2 losses).

## 0-0-0

FENNY'S FAVOURITES
Another of those games that Arcaadi FENERIDIS enjoys.

> SPASSKY - SEIRAWAN Zurich 1984
> Modern Defence

1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc3 g6 4 Nf 3 Bg7 5 h3 $0-0 \quad 6 \quad$ Be3 a6 7 a4 b6 8 Bc4 Bb7 9 e5! Ne4 10 Nxe4 $\begin{array}{lllllll}8 x e 4 & 11 & \mathrm{Ng} 5 & \mathrm{Bg} 2 & 12 & \mathrm{Rg} 1 & \mathrm{Bc} 6\end{array}$ î Og4! e6 14 0-0-0 Nd7 15 h4 de5 16 des Qe7 17 Rxd7!? Bxd7 18 h5 f5 19 Qh3 f4 20 hg 6 Bxe5 21 Ne6+$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Bxe6 } 22 & \text { Bxe6 } & \mathrm{Kg} 7 & 23 & \mathrm{gh7} \\ 1-0\end{array}$

## KERES ON ROOK AND PAWN ENDINGS

Part Four
translation by IM Ortvin SARAPU The following endgame is from the game Keres - Mikenas, Stockholm 1937. The hidden possibilities are very instructive

Diagram


Already we can notice that the position might easily transpose into similar philidor positions: pawn on h3 and 55 but immediate pawns on hould only yield a draw as we know from yielda draw as we know from analysis of diagns 12. chances than Philidor's. White's advantages
First of all White is a pawn secondly he has an active king secondly, he has an active king and good rook on the 7 th rank. The Black king is cut off from the rest of the board. Also important advanced. The only weak point in White's position is wis weak pawn on h3. Surprisingly, with correct on h3. Surprisingly, with correct Black to escape.

As we already know from Philidor, White can get nowhere by dor, White can get nowhere by he has either to win Black's pawn or to exchange it for his own pawn on h3. But he has to do so in such a way as to reach Lasker's winning position, diagram 14; not Philidor's drawn position of diagram 12. Knowing that, we can easily understand the following play:

[^0]setting up a trap: If now 2 ... Rf3+? then 3 Kxg5 Rd3, seemingly leading to a draw, but white wins with 4 Kf6! Rxd5 5 Ke6 netting the rook.


Ra3!
The best defence. The Black rook takes the correct position in case White exchanges the kingside pawns. Before continuing with the main variation, however, it is ilities if exacine the possibilities if Black's defence is somewhat inaccurate:

A:
$\begin{array}{ll}4 & -\cdot\end{array}$
Rf3

White has completed his positional threat. We demonstrate that, after this advance, Black cannot save his position.

5
gxh4
The other possibility here, 5 ... g4 (but not $5 \ldots$ Rh3 6 Re7+ with 7 hxg5 etc), is also unsatwith 7 hxgs etc), is also unsat-
isfactory for Black. For example: 6 isfactory for Black. For example:
 Rf6+ 8 Kc5 Rh6 9 d6! Rxh5+ 10 Kc6 with an easy win) $8 \mathrm{Rg} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 6+$

 White wins without much trouble.

6 Rh8+
Kf7
Black is also lost after 6 ...

Kc5 11 Rc7+ Kb6 12 d6 Rxh3 and White has no winning continuation. After the text move, the position is much more complicated for Black

9
Ka6!

## The saving move

(1) $9 \quad \ldots \quad \mathrm{Ka7} \quad 10 \quad \mathrm{Rb} 4 \quad \mathrm{Rxh} 3 \quad 11$ $\mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Rc}^{\mathrm{Ra}} 12 \mathrm{Kd} 7 \mathrm{Rg} 3$ (otherwise the pawn will advance) 13 d 6 g 4 l 14 Re 4 ! Kb 7 I 15 Ke 7 gives white an easy win.
(2) 9 ... Ka5 10 Kc5 Rc3+ 11 Kd 4 Rxh3 12 d6 Rhl 13 d7! Rdl+ 14 Kc 5 and wins.

10
11
12
13
Rc3+
Kc6
Kd7
d6
g4

After 13 Rbl, Black would not play $13 \ldots g 3$ ? when White wins with 14 Kc6 and $15 \mathrm{d7}$, but would instead play $13 \ldots$ Rd3! Now it further. For example: 14 Rel Kb6! (not $14 \ldots$ example: 14 when white wins after 15 Ke7 g2 16 d7 Re3+ 17 Rxe3 gl=Q 18 Re6+ with 19 $\mathrm{d} 8=\mathrm{Q}) 15 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \quad 16 \mathrm{Rcl}+\mathrm{Kb} 7 \mathrm{l}$ d7 Re3t $18 \mathrm{Kd8}$ g3 $19 \mathrm{Rbl}+\mathrm{Ka7}$ and White is unable to advance.
With the text move, White is putting Black into the most difficult situation. But with the correct continuation Black can hold out.

13 .. .

## Ka5! !

This surprising and difficult-to-find move secures Black the way to a draw.
(1) 13 ... 93 loses to 14 Kc 6 Ka 5 15 Rg 4 , and so also
(2) $13 \ldots \mathrm{Rg}_{3}$ loses to 14 Re 4 ! Kb7 15 Ke7 etc.
(3) But 13 ... Rhl! so that, after 14 Rxg4, Black can play 14 ... Kb6! draws as in the main variation.

An interesting alternative is 14 $\mathrm{Rb} 2!$
(1) After 14 ... g3 15 Kc6 Rh2 l6 d7, the White pawn check.
(2) If Black defends with 14 ... Rd3, then 15 Kc7 Rc3+ (the threat was 16 Rc 2 ) 16 Kd 8 Re 3 (or 16 ... g3 $17 \mathrm{Re} 2!$ ) 17 d 7 and it seems that Black can defend himself with the manoeuvre 17 ... Ka6! 18 Rc 2 Kb 7 .
(3) Even more accurate seems to be the immediate 14 ... Ka6! when if 15 Kd 8 , then $15 \ldots$ Re3! 16 d 7 g 3 etc.
White can make many winning attempts, but it seems that by proper defence Black can just save himself.

14 ... Kb6!
With this move, Black completes his surprising plan of defence. It is not apparent how White could gain decisive superiority.
The try $15 \mathrm{Rb} 4+\mathrm{Kc5} 16 \mathrm{Re} 4$ intending 17 Kc7 does not lead to the objective because the white rook on the fourth rank is too close to the Black King. Black 16 Ka5l 17 hel manoeuvre $16 \ldots$ Kd5 White
White could also try 15 Re4 but here, also, after 15 ... Rh7+ 16 Re7 Rh8 there are no winning chances as we have already seen in dianalyis game n diagram 13
Thus we arrive at the conclusion that, beside 4 ... Ra3!, 4 ... Rd3 though plack must play with al utmost precision.

Now we return to the game after our analysis of side variations (diagram 16 with $4 \ldots$ Ra3).

Here, 5 Rh8+ Kf7 6 h4 would win after 6 ... gxh4 7 Rxh4. But Black is now pawns on account of the bad position of White's rook.

5

## Ra6+

Also possible was 5 ... Rd3; for example: 6 Ke6 Re3+ 7 Kf6 Rd3 8 Rh7 Rg3! and it is a draw again. But the text move is sufficient.
6 Ke5 Rg6
This is an inaccuracy which could end badly for Black. He should have played $6 \ldots$ Ra3 7 previous note, 8 ... Rd3! etc.

7 Kf5
(1) 7 d6 g4 8 Rh8+ Kd7 9 Rh7+ does not give results as Black plays 9 ... Kes! (but not 9 ... Kd8? 10 Kd5 with 11 Kc6 and wins) 10 d7+ Kd8 and after 11 Kf5?, Black plays 11 ... g3! etc.
(2) 7 Rh8+ Kd7 8 Rh7+ Ke8! 9 Kf5 Rd6, etc, leads to much the same position as in the game since White's extra tempo makes no difference.
$\begin{array}{ll}7 \\ 8 & \text { Rh7! }\end{array}$

## Rd6

White takes advantage of the fact that Black cannot take with 8 ... Rxd5+ because of 9 Ke6, winning the rook.

$$
8 \quad \text {... Kd8! }
$$

The only satisfactory answer. It does not help to play 8 ... Kf 8 after which White plays 9 Kes with 10 d6, and also 8 ... Ra6 loses to 9 Kxg5 Ra5 10 Kf6 Rxd5 ll Ke6 etc.


10 Rh5
Ra3

11 Ke6
Re3+
Forced by the threat of 12 Rxg5.
12 Kd6
Ke8
Naturally not $12 \ldots$ Kc8 13 Rh8+ Kb7 14 Kd7 when the d-pawn adances incisively

Following Black's inaccurate sixth move we now have the same except that Black's rook stands on the unfavourable soure on the unfavourable square e3. still, White cannot force the decision if carefully


13 Rh8+
White will get no real winning chances with this move. Black's defence is comparitively easy to play. Much more difficult for Black would have been 13 h 4 whereafter:
(1) $13 \quad \ldots \quad g 4 \quad 14 \quad \operatorname{Rg} 5 \quad$ g3 $\quad 15 \quad \mathrm{~h} 5$ with a won position.
(2) $13 \ldots . \operatorname{gxh} 4 \quad 14$ Rh8+ Kf7 15 Rxh4 with a theoretical win.
(3) 13 ... Rh3! This is the only viable defence. 14 Rh8+ (not 14 Rxg5 Rxh4 15 Rg8+ Kf7 leading to a drawn position 14 ... Kf7 15 h5 Kg7 l6 Re8 Rxh5 17 Kc7 Rhl! (but not 17 ... Kf7 18 d6! etc). Now Black can obtain a draw with accurate play.

| 13 | $\mathbf{K a j}$ | $\mathrm{Kf7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Now Black will win the h3 pawn, leaving only very problematic winning hopes. Still, Black has to play carefully.

| 15 | Rc8 | Rxh3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | d6 | Kg6! |

Rf8 7 Rxh4 Ka8 8 Kc6 or 7 ... Rf6+ 8 Kc7 Rf7+ 9 Kc6.

## $7 \quad$ Rxh4 <br> Ra3

Nothing will change with $7 \ldots$ Ke8 8 Rh8+ Kf7 9 Kd7 etc, or 7 ... Rd3 8 Re4 with an easy win.

## 8 Re4!

The Black king is cut off and the white pawn can advance. For example 8 ... Ra6+ 9 Kc7 Ra7 10 Kb6 Rd7 11 Kc6 and 12 d 6 etc.
$\mathrm{B}:$
4
Rd3
A good try but it seems to be insuficient to hold the position. The following possibilities are interesting and instructive.

## 5 Re7+!

The only possible winning chance. After 5 h 4 gxh4 6 Rh8+ Kf7 7 Rxh4 Ke8! the position is drawish. For example: 8 Rh8+ Kf7 9 Rd8 Ral! 10 Rc8 Rdl! or 10 Kc Ra6t 11 Kb 7 Ke 7 etc.

5

After 5 ... Kf8 Black's problems become much more difficult: 6 Re5 Rxh3 7 Kd 7 ! (not 7 Rxg5 when Black can draw after 7 Rxg5 when Black can draw after 7 .... Rd8 Ra3!).
(1) Here, 7 ... 94 loses to 8 Rf5+ Kg7 $9 \mathbf{9}$ Rg5+ Kf6 10 Rxg4 and
(2) 7 ... Rh7+ loses to 8 Kd8 94 9 d 6 g 3 l 10 d 7 g 2 ll Rg 5 Rg 7 12 Kc ! etc.
(3) But after $7 \ldots$ Rg3! 8 d6 Kf7 it seems that Black may be able to draw. For example: 9 Rf5+ Kg6 10 Ke6 Re3+ 11 Re5 Rxe5 12 Kxe5 Kf7! or 9 Rc5 Kf6 10 Kc7 Rd3 ll d7 Ketc. 12 Re5+ Kf6 13 Re3 Rdl
$6 \quad \operatorname{Rg} 7$
Kc8!

The only move. After $6 \ldots$ Ke8 7 Rg8+ Kf7 8 Rxg5 Rxh3 9 Kd7 or Re5, White would win without much trouble. Note that $7 \mathrm{Rg} 8+$ would be a mistake since 7 ... Kb7 7 Rxg5 Rxh3 draws as we saw from analysing Philidor's position: The Black king is on the right side and the Black rook on the h-file is sufficiently distant to give checks from the side.

## 7 Rg8+

Tempting here is 7 Rh 7 with the following possibilities:
(1) 7 ... Rdi 8 Rc7+ Kb 8 (or 8 $\ldots \mathrm{Kd} 89 \mathrm{Rg} 7$ etc) 9 Rc 3 and the d-pawn advances.
(2) $7 \ldots \mathrm{Rg}^{2} \quad 8 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Kb} 7 \quad 9 \mathrm{Kd} 7$ 9410 hxg 4 Rxg4 11 d 6 with

Still, there is a satisfactory defence for Black, viz.
(3) 7 ... Kb8! Now 8 Kc6 Rc3+ 9 Kd7? fails to 9 Kc6 Rc3+ 9 8 Ke6 Kc8! gives White no 8 Ke6 Kc8! gives White no
success; for example: 9 d6 successi 10 Kf5 Rd3 $11 \mathrm{~d} 7+\mathrm{Rxd} 7$ etc, with a draw.
$\begin{array}{lll}7 & -\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{Kb7} \\ 8 & \mathrm{Rh} 8 & \mathrm{~Kb} \text { ! }\end{array}$
Obviously the only move to avoid getting into zugzwang. Rook moves on the 3 rd rank would be followed by $9 \mathrm{Kd7}$ or $9 \mathrm{Ke7}$ and after 8 ... Rd, 9 Rh7+! would be bad for Black. For example: 9 ... Kc8 10 Re6 Ras 11 Rc3 or $9 \ldots$ Kb6 $13 \mathrm{Kcs} \mathrm{Re}+11 \mathrm{Kc6} 12 \mathrm{Rc} 7+\mathrm{Kb} 6$ Re3t $16 \mathrm{Kda} 14 \mathrm{Ke6} \mathrm{Ra3} 15 \mathrm{Ke7}$

## $9 \mathrm{Rb} 8+$

Black is able to hold himself on the border of losing and drawing. The winning attempt 9 Rh7 would almost work as, after 9 ... Rdl, position we encountered in the previous note as dered in the 10 Kd 7 etc. But, with 9 ... Rg3 Black is able to keep $\because$ is head above water For example: 10 Ked

Black's correct defensive plan is to quickly advance his king to support his g-pawn. At the same time, he has to sacrifice his rook for the d-pawn.

A mistake would be 16 ... g4, as White would play 17 Rc4 9318 Kc 7 with a winning endgame. Also, 16 ... Rd3 would give Black more difficulties.

| 17 | Rc5 | Rh8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Ke7 | Kh5! |

Again, a finesse. If Black had carelessly played 18 ... g4? the position would be lost for him after 19 d 7 . He would have to give up his rook for the d-pawn and, as his king is cut off from his own g-pawn, he could not advance without losing it. For example: $19 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 7+20$ Ke6 Rxd7 21 Kxd7 g3 22 Rc3 and the pawn is lost. Black must leave his pawn on 95 and use it as a shield to get his king to the 5 th rank to draw.
19 d7
Kg4!
Black must still play accurately. The "natural" 19 ... Kh4? would lose here to 20 Rc8 Rh7+ 21 Ke6 Rxd7 22 Kxd7 g4 23 Ke6 93 black king must go to the other black king must go to the other shite king the same time keep the White king from approaching to draw.

## 20 Kf 6

This manoeuvre gives White nothing but the main variation, 20 Rf4 Rhle 21 Ke6 Rxd 22 Kxd7 Kf4, also gives only a draw after 23 Ke6 g4 24 Rc4+ Kf3 25 Kf5 g3 26 Rc3 $\mathrm{Kfl}^{27} \mathrm{Kl}=\mathrm{R}+1 \mathrm{l}$ with theoretically drawn position.

| 20 | Me | Rf8+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | Ke6 | Rd8 |
| 22 | Rd5 | Kf4 |
| 23 | Rf5+ | Kg4 |
| 24 | Rf7 | Kh3 |
| 25 | Kf5 |  |

White no longer has any real winning hopes. After a few more moves the draw was agreed.
$\begin{array}{lll}25 & \text { Kf } & 94 \\ 26 & \text { Kf } & \text { g3 } \\ 27 & \text { Kf3 } & \text { Kh }\end{array}$

This excellent example shows how many unexpected finesses it is possible to find in even the simplest of rook and pawn endings. No chess player should feel that they spend too much time studying endgame technique; the possibilities are almost without end, especially in endings with rook and pawn(s).

To be continued. This article is the fourth of a series of translations from the Estonian language by IM SARAPU. It is free from copyright and may be reproduced with acknowledgement of the source.

## $0-0-0$

OTAGO CLUB CHAMPIONSHIP
By Michael ROCKS
The second round of the Otago Club Championship was completed in July with Richard SUTTON winning the A Grade with 4 points ahead of Tony LOVE and Ben MARTIN on $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points. In the $B$ Grade Bill PETCH earned promotion to the higher grade by scoring a $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$ victory, ahead of Blair FREEMAN (3). Other Grade winners were C Grade Geoff ADAMS, D Grade william JONES E Grade Peter HAASE, F Grade Eric RAYNER, G Grade Gideon LEVY and Martin SHELTON.

OTAGO LIGHTNING CHAMPIONSHIP
This tournament was conducted to raise money for urgently needed clock repairs on 26 July. 24 players entered among them 11 year old David NICKRRSON from U.S.A. After a preliminary grading stage, the field was divided into 8 player groups which then played a round robin.
RESULTS:
A.GRADE: 1-2 R.SUTION, R.WANSINK 6; 3 B MARTIN $5 \frac{1}{2} ; 4$ K. BOYD $3 \frac{1}{2} ; 5$ G. HAASE $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 7 P.SINTON 2; 8 H.LEVY 0.
B.GRADE: 1-2 G.AIMERS, W.JONES 6; 3 C. AIMERS 5; 4 J.VAN ZOOMERAN 4; 5 A.PATTON 3; 6 D.NICKERSON 2; 7 H.GOLD 11/2; 8 G.LEVY

C GRADE: 1 S.LYALL 7; 2 M.SHELTON 4눌; 3 R.CLARK 4; 4 -5 D. CAMERON, C VECOVSKY $3 \frac{1}{2} ; 6-7$ A.CHANG V HAY 2; 8 P.LUTYEN $1 \frac{1}{2}$.

# THE FORTE - NOVAG'S NEWEST NOVELTY 

## by Brett SINCIAIR

The Forte is Novag's latest addition to its range of chess playing computers and supersedes the Super Constellation model. I was fortunate enough to be able to experiment with the first of the Fortes to arrive in this country, courtesy of New Zealand Chess Supplies.
I must admit that chess computers is not my favourite subject. My previous experience was with a machine that offered ho-hum performance and gave the impression of being as familiar with the intricacies of chess as a nuclear you this was 1981 waters of Wellington harbour. Mind 16 K bytes of program memory. The interesting thing for me was to see how much progress had been made in the five years since then.

First appearances are deceiving. The Forte's simple yet effective design hides its true mettle. The playing surface features 16 LEDs (instead of the more common 64) to indicate moves, a sensory playing surface and a liquid combination gives you access to a whole range of features not available on other lesser computers. The LCD tells you just about all you might need to know, with the possible exception of the outcome of the Karpov/Kasparov match.

One of the useful features I was impressed with was my finding that the Forte announces its own resignation in a losing position. Oh how infuriating it is to find a computer that plays on to the bitter end in a hopelessly
lost position! It also offers not only sixteen basic levels of play including various standard tournament levels but also the ability to set your own levels, in effect giving an infinite number of levels. I found by setting the time to practise for $40-40$ toumaments and the like. Very useful. If you prefer, you can also set a separate infinite search level to analyse more complicated positions.

The Forte exhibits the typical strengths and weaknesses of chess playing computers - strong on tactics but relatively weaker on strategy. It knows all the rules of the game including under promotion, castling, en passant paring eare. No black marks here at all. It was also plesitions I tried she good ability in the end game. A few positions I tried showed that it knew how to enforce the opposition in king and pawn endings and understood similarly had no problems with rook king and pawn endings and with the Lucena position in particular. I did endings and with the Lucena position in particular. I did (white to move: $K$ on c2, $P$ on b2, black: $K$ on f6, $P$ on a4). But then, how many human opponents would play 1.Kbl knowing that it is the only winning move?

Some of the more interesting features included a position assessment, depth search indication, time used (by both sides), hint mode, total number of positions analysed and many more. I was also impressed with the feature which allowed the curren game to be retained in men ned toteries to had benolish this. On swithing
back on, the game can be resumed - even the playing level and times used by each side are retained. So, no respite if you are in a losing position!
On a more sophisticated level there were the 20,000 move opening book variations and also a 2,000 move user programmable opening book. Great for experimenting with the latest information on your favounte openings. The Forte will also connect to an IBM compatible personal computer via an RS232 connector for the real enthusiast. Unfortunately I did not have the opportunity to evaluate at length any of these offerings.

About the only thing I did not like about the Forte was the multifunction keys. With so many features in such a small package, all keys adopt many roles depending on the sequence in which they are depressed. It was some time before I became proficient with these. Perhaps clearer labelling would overcome this.

All in all the Forte is an impressive offering which I feel sure will be of interest to both the novice and expert alike. At around $\$ 880$, the price compares more than favourably with not only other models in the Novag range but also its competitors.

## aUTHOR'S RATINGS

(Scores: 5 excellent, 4 very good, 3 good, 2 fair, 1 poor, 0 very poor)

| 1. Appearance/design | 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Construction quality | 4 |
| 3. Ease of use | 3 |
| 4. Range of usable | 5 |
| features |  |
| 5. Playing ability | 3 |
| 6. Value for money | 4 |
|  | - |
|  | TOTAL (out of 30 ) |

TECHNICAL

| Size $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $: 3.5 \times 26.5 \times 37$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weight | $: 1.25 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Power | $:$Mains adaptor (supplied) <br> or $6 \times \mathrm{C}$ size batteries |
| Program | $: 64 \mathrm{~K}$ bytes (ROM) |
| 4 K bytes (RAM) |  |
| Micro <br> Processor | $: 6502(8$ bit) 4 MHz |

(Editor's note - Brett Sinclair is Director of Overseas Play for the New Zealand Correspondence Chess Association.)


[^0]:    $\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Ke6 } \\ 2 & \mathrm{Kf} 6\end{array}$
    Re3+

    The immediate 2 Kd6 is faster but, with the text move, White is

