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Women's World Champion Maya Chiburdanidze - retained her title at Volgograd (see page 30).
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## Late News

## World Championship

Games 41 through 46 were all drawn, completing a 'mini-streak' of chirteen draws.
In game 47 Gary Kasparov recorded h1: second win. The game (with Karpov white) World Champion resigned after 32 moves

## FIDE Ratings, 1 January 1985

The top three places on the latest FIDE Rating List are unchanged with Kasparov maintaining his 10 point margin over Karpov while Timman has held his third ranking. The big gainers at the top are Vaganian (+15) who has moved into fourth place and Belyavsky ( +35 ) who now share fifth ranking. Former New Zealander Murray Chandler ( +45 ) has shot up from 38 th $=$ to 16 th place on 2585.

The top of the separate Women's LILst has been reshuffled with Hungary's 久:suz::: Polgar (2430) now enjoying a 30 -polat margin over World Champion Maya Chlburdirnidze and Pia Cramling. Natasha Mlekhtna (2355) holds fourth place ahead of former World Champion Nona Gaprindashvili (2325). The top 39 on the Men's 1ist are: 1 Kasparov (USR) 2715, 2 Karpov (USK) 2705, 3 Timman (NLD) 2650, 4 Vaganian (USR) 2640,5 Belyavsky (USR) 2635, 6 Portisch (HON) 2635, 7 Korchnoi (SWI) 2630, 8 Polugaevsky (USR) 2625, 9 Num (ENG) 2615, 10 Ribli (HUN) 2615, 11
Hübner (BRD) 2605,12 Smyslov (USR) Hubner (BRD) 2605, 12 Smyslov (USR) 2600, 13 Ljubojevic (YUG) 2595, 14 Agzamov (USR) 2590, 15 Yusupov (USR) 2590, 16 Chander (ENG) 2585, 17 Spassky (FRA) No, 18 Andersson (SWE) 2575, 19 P. (USA) 2570 , 21 Mil 2 ( $N$ O) 2570 , 22 (USA) 2570, 21 Miles ( 23 ) 2570,2 2570 (USR) (HUN) 2565 , 26 (HOSR) 2565,27 tiansen (USA) 2560 US Hort (CZE) 2560 29 Seirawan 25a 2560 Hort (Cza) 2560 (CAN) 2560 31 Kavalek (USA) 2555, (CAN) 2560, 31 Kavalek (USA) 2555, 32 2555, 34 Arkhiso ** (USR) 2550 (CZE) rikov (USR) 2550 , 36 Karl 37 Sokolov (USR) 2550 Kar1sson (SWE) 2DO 2545,39 Noguieras (CUB) 2545.
Note: * indicates IM, ** untitled.
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## anNuAL GENERAL MEETING

The Annual General Meeting of the New Zealand Chess Association was held at Upper Hutt on 4 January 1985. With no remits for discussion the meeting was relatively brief despite the persistent and fruitless attempts by one delegate to discredit the retiring Council.
The most notable result of the AGM was the election of former New Zealand Prime Minister Sir John Marshall to the office of President. Seldom indeed has the Association's highest office been held by such a respected figure in New Zealand life. We recollect that Sir Robert Stout, also a former New Zealand Prime Minister, was NZCA President for some years in the mid-1890s.

The full Council was elected as
follows: Rt Hon. Sir John Marshall GBE, CH (President), A.M.Borren (Vice-president), R.S.Mitchell (Secretary), W.A Poole (Treasurer), L.Aptekar (Council1or), Z.Franke1 (Councillor), R.J.Wood (Councillor), P.W.Stuart (Auckland Councillor), M.G.Whaley (Auckland Councillor) and V.A.Small (Canterbury Councillor)

The new administration will, of course, be based in Wellington. The new postal address of the Association is

$$
\text { P.o. Box } 2185
$$

WELLINGTON.
The meeting accepted the offer of the Auckland Chess Centre to organise the New Zealand Junior Championship over the Easter holidays and confirmed that the 1985 North Island Championship will be organised by the Hastings \& Havelock North Chess Club. The dates for this latter tournament are 20-25 May and the venue is Hereworth School which has accoumodation available. The Otago Chess Club will organise the South the August school holidays.

The $1984 / 85 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{Z}$. Women's Championship having been cancelled because of lack offer of the 0 meting accepted the
this event at Easter, entries permitting.
Two new clubs, Nae Nae College (Wellington) and Papakura, were elected to membership in the Association.

## WORLD CH'P CYCLE

The upcoming Zonal tournaments during February/March will qualify 33 players to the three Interzonals, each of 18 players. Two of these are in Tunis (commencing 25 April) and Biel (30 June); the third venue is undecided. The three host federations provide another three players while Belyavsky (USR), Hübner (BRD), Portisch (HUN) and Torre (PHI) all qualified from the 1983 Candidates. In addition 14 players are qualified by ratings: Andersson (SWE), Hort (CZE), Lubojevic (YUG), Miles (ENG), Nunn (ENG), Polugaevsky (USR), Romanishin (USR), Sax (HUN), Seirawan (USA), Sosonko (NLD), Tal (USR), Timman (NLD), Vaganian (USR) and Yusupov (USR).
four players from each Interzonal will join Korchnoi (SWI), Ribli (HUN), Smyslov (USR) and Spassky (FRA) in an all-play-all Candidates Tournament at Montpellier (France) in October/November
his year.
The Candidates semi-finals will then comprise the top three from the Candidates Tournament together with the loser of the current World Championship (or the loser of the return match if such eventuates).

## OLYMPIAD APPEAL

The final total of this appeal, now closed, was $\$ 815$. Our thanks, once again, to those who contributed.

## Acknowledged previously

660.00 Otago Chess Club Tony Dowden
W. Knipe

Auckland Chess Centre
TOTAL

## Can You See the Combinations?

Solutions on page 35


No. 1 White to move


No. 3 White to move


No. 5 White to move


No. 2 Black to move


No. 4 White to move


No. 6 White to move

## Moscow Match Meanders On

## by the Editor

We reviewed the first twenty games of the World Championship in the December ssue. The score at that point was 4 : o Karpov but the last nine games had been drawn
The next twenty games saw only two decisive results, one to each player, as the match went into its fifth month hove long time how Kasparov seems to hat bis any hope of winning the as much as possible Generally he he made little effort when playing the ade lite playing the hite piecion with black. etermination
ne strange theory to emanate from Moscow is that Kasparov is pinning his hopes for further victories on Karpov thing he has shown little sign of doing Mikhail Tal has strongly criticised Mikhail Tal has strongly criticised the first-to-win-six format althou
to be fair, the possibility of an 'eternal match' was recognised when the regulations were changed. Quite simply, nobody thought it would happen. It has, nobody thought it would happen. It has we do not see a return to a best-of- 24 games or similar format.
GAME TWENTY-ONE
2 November
Kasparov varied from game 19 with a novelty on move 7. After rapid simplification the challenger had the better endgame prospects and Karpov had to play actively to maintain the balance. At the end Black would like to march his king over to win the b-pawn but the white h-pawn would then be too dangerous.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Queen's Gambit
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 Nc 3 Be 7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6 Bxf6 7 Qd2 dxc4 8 e 4 c5 9 d5 exd5 10 e5 Bg5 11 Qxd5 Nc6 12 Bxc4 0-0 $130-0$ Qxd5 14 Bxd5 Nb 4 15 Nxg5 Nxd5 16 Nxd5 hxg5 17 f4! gxf4 18 Rxf4 Rd8 19 Nc7 Rb8 20 Rafl Rd7 $21 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \operatorname{Re} 722 \mathrm{Nxa} 7 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 23$ a4 Ra8 24 Nb 5 Bxb5 25 axb5 Ra5 26 b 6 Rb 527 b 4 ! cxb4 $28 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{~b} 3 \quad 29$ Rf3 b2 30 Rf2 Rexe5 31 Rfxb2, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME TWENTY-TWO
5 November
The challenger made little effort and a quiet draw resulted.

KASPAROV - KARPOV, Catalan:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 g3 d5 4 Bg 2 Be 7 5 Nf3 0-0 6 0-0 dxc4 7 Qc2 a6 8 a4 Bd7 9 Qxc4 Bc6 10 Bg5 a5 11 Nc 3 Na6 12 Rac1 Qd6 $13 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Bxg} 2 \quad 14 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{c} 6$ 15 Bxf6 gxf6 16 Nf3 Rfd8 17 Rfdl Qb4 18 Qa2 Rd7 19 e3 Rad8 20 Rc 2 , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. GAME TWENTY-THREE

12 November
Another quiet game which was delayed because of a national holiday. KARPOV - KASPAROV, Queen's Gambit:
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 Nc 3 Be 7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bh4 0-0 7 Rc1 dxc4 8 e3 559 Bxc4 cxd4 $10 \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 11$ 0-0 Nc6 12 Nb 3 Re8 $13 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bxe} 7$ Ncxe7 15 Nxd5 Nxd5 16 Rxc8 Qxe8 17 Qd4 Qb8 18 Bf3 Nf6 19 Nc5 Bb5 20 Rd b6 21 Ne4 Nxe4 22 Bxe4 Rc8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME TWENTY-FOUR
16 November
Karpov took his second time-out after Karpov took his second time-out afte have heen because he surely could no only one short game in a week. The game was another non-event.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Symmetrical English 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 Nc 3 Nc $64 d 4$ cxd 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 Nc3 Nc6 4 d 4 cxd 5 Nxd4 e6 6 g3 Qb6 7 Nb 3 d5 8 cxd5
 5 Nxc6 Bxc6 16 Bxc6 bxc6 17 c4, 14 GAME TWENTY-FIVE

19 November
Karpov obtained fair pressure against the black d-pawn but Kasparov found the right defensive idea of ...Kf8 and . Ng8.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, QGD Tartakower:
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf $6 \quad 3$ c 4 e6 4 Nc3 Be7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bh4 $0-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Rcl Bb7 9 Be 2 Nbd 710 cxd5 exd5 $110-0 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 12$ xe5 bxe5 13 Rc2 Rc8 14 Rd2 Qb6 15 Qb3 Rfd8 16 Rfdl Qxb3 17 axb3 Nb6 18 e5 Kf8 19 h 3 a6 20 Bf3 Ra8 21 Ng 4 g8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME TWENTY-SIX
21 November
This time it was Karpov on the defensive in the middle-game but he was able to sacrifice a pawn to reach a drawn nding with opposite-colour bishops the seventeenth successive draw!

KASPAROV - KARPOV, Symmetrical English: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 Nc3 Nc6 4 d4 cxd4 5 Nxd4 e6 6 g 3 Qb6 $7 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{d5} 8$ cxd5 Nxd5 $9 \quad \operatorname{Bg} 2$ Nxc3 10 bxc3 Be7 11 0-0 e5
 Rxd Rd8 19 Ryd8+ Qxd8 20 Bxa7 818 Bxal Ras 9 Rxab+ Qxd8 20 Bxa7 Qa8 21

## GAME TWENTY-SEVEN

23 November
At last a decisive game! White gained slight initiative after an early exchange of queens and this led to the win of a pawn. The win was still very difficult but Kasparov weakened his kingside pawns when seeking exchanges and this allowed Karpov play on both sides of the board. After adjournment karpov surprised observers with a sharp plan - but it won effectively.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Queen's Gambit:
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 Ne 3 Be7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6 Bxf6 7 e3 0-0 8 Qc2 c5 9 dxc5 dxc4 [9...Qa5 did not turn out well in Kasparov-Timman, USSR $V$ World 1984$] 10$ Bxc4 Qa5 $110-0$ Bxc3 12 Qxe3 Qxc3 13 bxc3 Nd7 14 c6 bxc6 15 Rab1 Nb6 $16 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 17 \mathrm{Rfcl} \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 18 \mathrm{Kfl}$ bd5 $19 \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{Nd} 7 \quad 20 \mathrm{Ra} 5 \mathrm{Rfb} 8 \quad 21$ c4 Bc6 22 Nel Rb4 23 Bd1 Rb7 24 f3 Rd8 25 Nd3 g5 26 Bb 3 Kf8 $27 \mathrm{Nxc} 5 \mathrm{Nxc5} 28$ Kxdl Kd6 32 Ra5 f5 33 Ke 2 h5?


34 e4! fxe4 35 fxe4 Bxe4 torced as $35 . . . e 536 \mathrm{Ke} 3$ leaves Black with 36 Rxg5 Bf5 37 Ke h4 38 Kd4 e5+ 39 Kc3 3 Bbl 40 a 3 Re 7 41 Rg4 (S) h3 42 g3 Re8 43 Rg 7 Rf 8 44 Rxa7 Rf2 45 Kb4 Rxh2 46 c5+ Kc6 $47 \mathrm{Ba} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 548 \mathrm{Rd} 7+\mathrm{Ke} 4 \quad 49 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{Rb} 2+50$ Ka5 Rb8 51 c 7 Rc 852 Kb 6 Ke 353 Bc 6 h2 $54 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Rh} 8 \quad 55 \mathrm{Rdl}$ Ba2 56 Relt Kf4 $57 \mathrm{Re} 4+\mathrm{Kg} 358 \mathrm{Rxe} 5 \mathrm{Kxg} 459 \mathrm{Re} 2,1-0$.
GAME TWENTY-EIGHT
28 November
Kasparov departs from his usual 1 d4/ Nf3. The draw was agreed in an unclear position.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Petroff Defence:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3
 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Nxe4 } & 5 & d 4 & \text { d5 } & 6 & B d 3 & \text { Nc6 } & 7 & 0-0 & \text { Bg4 } \\ \text { Re1 } & 8 \\ \text { Re7 } & 9 & \text { c } 4 & \text { Nf6 } 6 & 10 & \text { cxd5 } & \text { Bxf3 } & 11 & \text { Qxf3 }\end{array}$ Rel Be7 9 c4 Nf6 10 cxdS Bxf 3 11 Qxf Hübner-Smyslov match of 1983] 12...Nxd4

13 Ne3 Qd7 14 Qxd7+ Kxd7 15 Be3 Nae6 16 Radl Bd6 17 Bf5 Ke7 18 Nb 5 Rhds 1 Nxd6 cxd6 (White has compensation for his pawn in his bishop pair and central pressure) 20 h 3 b6 21 g 4 h 622 Bd 4

$$
\text { Rac8 } \quad 23 \text { Bc } 3 \text { g6 } \quad 24 \text { Bc2 h5 } 25 \quad \text { f3, }
$$

GAME TWENTY-NINE
3 December
After another time-out (his fourth) Kasparov again plays something new and the result is the shortest draw of the match.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, QGD Semi-Slav:
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf 6 c 3 e6 4 Ne 3 c 6 5 e3 Nbd7 6 Bd3 dxc4 7 Bxc4 b5 8 Be2 [Rather unassuming; normai is 8 Bd3] 8 ...Bb7 9 a3 b4 10 Na 4 bxa3 11 bxa3 Be7 12 0-0 0-0 $13 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{c} 5, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME THIRTY
5 December
A second Petroff with Kasparov trying an unusual 10th move rather than the normal 10 exd5 of game 28. A flurry of exchanges led to a drawn ending. KASPAROV - KARPOV, Petroff Defence: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf 3
 Rel Bg4 9 c4 Nf6 10 Nc3 dxc4 11 Bxc4 $0-0 \quad$ [11...Bxf 312 Qxff Nxa 413 Qg 3 o-0 would transpose to Lobron-Karpov, Hannover 1983, where Karpov went on to win 12 Be3 Bxf3 13 Qxf3 Nxd4 14 Bxd4 Qxd4 15 Rxe7 0xc4 16 0xb7 c6 17 Ob3 0xb3 18 axb3 Rab8 19 Ra3 Rfe 820 Rxe8+ Rxe8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME THIRTY-ONE
Kasparov reverted to the Tartakower Defence to the Queen's Gambit, Karpor varying from game 25 on move 12. The challenger looked to be in big trouble and agreement to a draw was a sur prise. Karpo KARPOV
KARPOV - KASPAROV, QGD Tartakower:
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 NE6 3 c4 e6 4 Nc 3 Be7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bh4 0-0 7 e3 b6 8 Rcl Bb7 9 Be2 Nhd7 10 cxd5 exd5 11 0-0 c5 12 Qa4 a6 13 dxc5 bxc5 14 Rfdl Qb6 15 Qb3 Qa7 16 Bg3 Rad8 $17 \mathrm{Ne} 14 \quad 18$ exd4 cxd4 19 Na 4 Re8 20 Rxe8 Rxe8 21 Bc4 Rf8 22 Qd3 Be6 23 Bb3 Ne4 24 Oxd4 Qb7 25 Bd5 Ndf6 26 Bxc6 Oxc6 27 b4 Re8 28 Qd3 h5 29 Qc4 Qb7 30 NF3 Res 31 Qe2 Ng4 32 Ne5 Nxg3 $33 \mathrm{hxg} 3 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 34$ Nb6 Re8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
GAME THIRTY-TWO
12 December
This was the 94 th day of the match.
thus surpassing the record of 92 set in 1978 at Baguio City. Karpov decided he was ready for the Queen's Indian again an opening which had not been seen
since game 18, six weeks earlier. This ine which he had played in game 10 bu his wime he had played in game 10 b th move leading to a complex middle ame where neither side castled The challenger won a pawn and adjourned in queen \& pawn ending, sealing his 41st que. Karpov resigned by phoning Gligo ric (Chief Arbiter) $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hours before the ame was due to be resumed. Thus the champion's dream of taking the match $6: 0$ was shattered and Kasparov had his first-ever win over Karpov.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Queen's Indian:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 Nc 3 Bb 7 5 a3 d5 6 cxd5 Nxd5 7 Qc2 $[7$ e3 was played in game 101 7...Nd7 8 Nxd5 exd5 $9 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 10$ Bf4 $55 \quad 11 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 12 \mathrm{~h} 4$ Qe7 $13 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 14 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 15 \mathrm{Qd} 2 \mathrm{Bf} 6$ 16 Rcl Rc8 17 Rc3 Rc6 18 Re3 Re6 19 Rxe6 Qxe6 20 Ng 5 Qe7 21 dxc 5 Nxc 522 hxg6 d4

$\begin{array}{llll}23 & \text { g7 Bxg7 } & 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Bxb7 } & \text { Qxb7 } & 25 & \text { f3 } \\ \text { Qd5 } & 26 & \text { Rxh7 } & \text { Rxh7 }\end{array}$ 27 Nxh7 Qb3 28 Bd6 Ne6 29 Ng 5 Bh6 30 Bf4 $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Bxg } \\ 31 \\ \text { Bxg } 5 \\ \text { Nxg } 5\end{array}\right]$ Qxg5 Qxb2 33 Qxf5 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Qxg5 } & \text { Qxb2 } \\ \text { Qcl } & 33 & 34 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \\ \mathrm{Qe} 3+\end{array}$ 35 Kfl Qcl+ 36
Kg2 Qxa3 37 Qh5+ Kd7 38 Qg4+ Kc
Oxd4 b5 40 g 4 b4 $41 \mathrm{~g} 5,1-0$.
GAME THIRTY-THREE
17 December
This game was postponed because of a prior booking of the Hall of Columns by the Soviet Academy of Sciences. It saw an unusual Slav variation where a backrank mate threat enabled Black to equaise.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, QGD Semi-Slav:
Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 Ne3 c6 5 e3 Nbd7 6 Qc2 Bd $67 \begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \text { e4 } 4 \\ & \text { e5 } \\ & 8\end{aligned}$ cxd5 cxd5 9 exd5 exd4 10 Nxd4 $0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Be} 2$ Nb6 $12 \quad 0-0$ Nbxd5 13 Nxd5 Nxd5 $14 \mathrm{Rd1}$ Qe7 15 Bf3 Re8 16 g 3 Bh3 17 Bd2 Be5 18 Bxd5 Bxd4 19 Bc3 Bxc3 20 Qxc3, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME THIRTY-FOUR
19 December
On move 9 Karpov went into a line he played against Korchnoi in the 1981
match. The game ended amicably with repetition.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, QGD Tartakower:
1 d 4 Nf6
2
c 4
e6 6
3 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc 3 Be 7 9 Re1 dxc4 10 Bxc4 Nbd7 $110-0$ a6 12 4 c5 13 Qe 2 cxd4 Korchnoi-Karpor 12 4 c5 13 Qe2 cxd4 Korchnoi Karpov, but the opening was not a success for Black 14 exd4 Nh5 15 Bxe 7 Oxe7 16 d5 ff4 17 Qe3 Of6 18 Ne4 Qf5 19 Ng 3 O 0 N 17 Ne 18 Ne4 Qfs 19

GAME THIRTY-FIVE
26 December
Now it was Karpov's turn to try 1 e4 which he had not played for three months (game 5). Kasparov varied from the earlier Sicilians and sacrificed a pawn in a Rauzer variation. Karpov was not tempted to play for a win.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Sicilian Rauzer: $1 e^{4} \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ NE6 5 Nc3 Nc6 6 Bg5 e6 7 Qd2 Be7 8 $0-0-0$ 0-0 9 f4 h6 10 Bh4 e5 11 Nf5 xaf 12 exf5 exf4 16 kbl d5 14 Bxf fxg3 17 hxg Ne7, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME THIRTY-SIX

28 December
Game 34 was followed up to White's 1th move, Karpov then varying but soon getting into trouble when the challenger sacrificed material for a strong initiative. Karpov, however, returned the material and Kasparov was unable to convert his extra pawn into a full point in the presence of opposite-colour bishops. The game was adjourned but agreed drawn without further play.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, QGD Tartakower:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf 3 d5 4 Nc 3 Be 7 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bh} 40-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Be 2 Bb 7 9 Rc 1 dxc 410 Bxc4 $4 \mathrm{Nbd} 711 \begin{array}{llll}11 & 0-0 & \text { c } 5 & 12\end{array}$ dxc5 Nxc5 13 Qe2 a6 14 Rfd1 Qe8 15 Ne5 b5


16 Nxb5 axb5 17 Bxb5 Ba6! [White has a powerful attack after 17...
Qbs 18 Rxc5 Bxc5 19 Bxf6 gxf5 20 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Na7j } 18 & \text { Rxc5 } & \text { Bxc5 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}19 \text { Bxa6 } \mathrm{Qa} 4 & 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}19 & \text { Bxa6 Qa4 } \\ \text { Bxf6 gxf6 } & 21 & \mathrm{Bb} 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Bxf6 } & \mathrm{gxf6} & 21 \\ \text { Qxab } & 22 \mathrm{Nd} 7 & \mathrm{Be} 7\end{array}$ 22...Rfc8 23 Qg4+. Black returns the exchange to break the attack] $230 \mathrm{~g} 4+$ Kh8 24 Nxf8 Bxf8 25 Qf3 Be7 26 Bc4 Qa7 27 Qh5 Kg7 28 Qg4+ Kf8 29 Bf 1
 33 g 3 Re8 $34 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Rxc} 2 \quad 35$ Qxc2 Bf6 36


## GAME THIRTY-SEVEN

2 January
Another Sicilian Rauzer saw Kasparov equalise quickly with an early draw. KARPOV - KASPAROV, Sicilian Rauzer:
 Nf6 5 Nc3 Nc6 6 Bg5 e6 7 Qd2 Be7 8 $\begin{array}{llllll}0-0-0 & 0-0 & 9 & \mathrm{Nb} 3 & \text { a5 } & 10 \\ \text { at d5 } \\ 11 & \text { d exd5 }\end{array}$ Nxd5 12 Bxe7 Ncxe7 13 Nb5 Bd7 14 Be Nf5 15 N3d4 Nxd4, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME THIRTY-EIGHT

4 January
Another Tartakower which followed the 12th game for the first 15 moves. Kasparov gained a threatening position but ressure on his a-pawn tied him down KASPAROV - KARPOV, QGD Tartakower: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc 3 Be 7 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \quad 0-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Be 2 Bb 7 9 Bxf6 Bxf6 10 cxd5 exd5 11 b4 c5 12 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { bxc5 bxc5 } & 13 \mathrm{Rbl} \text { Be6 } \quad 14 & 0-0 & \mathrm{Nd} 7 \\ 15\end{array}$ Bb5 Qc7 16 Qc2 [16 Qd2 was played in game 121 16...Rfd8 17 Rfcl Rab8 18 a4 Qd6 19 dxc5 Nxc5 20 Bxc6 Qxc6 21 Nb 5 Be7 22 Qf5 Qe8 23 Ne5 Rb7 24 Nd4 Rc7 25 Nb 5 Rb 7 , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME THIRTY-NINE

7 January
In another off-stage incident there was talk, over the New Year, of the match being transferred to another venue. This would have saved costs for the organisers and also avoided clashes with other functions for which the Hall of Columns had been booked. In a show of unanimity unheard of in the last few World Championship matches both players opposed the change and the plans were quietly shelved

Game 39 was a repeat of the previous encounter for the first 21 moves (with a minor transposition). At this point the ending soon reached the reduced material precluded his taking advantage of Kasparov's isolated d-pawn
KARPOV - KASPAROV, QGD Tartakower:
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 Bg 5 Be 7 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~h} 66 \mathrm{Bh} 40-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Be 2 Bb 7 9 Bxf6 Bxf6 10 cxd5 exd5 $110-0$ Nd7 12 b4 c5 13 bxc5 bxc5 $14 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 15$ Bb5 Qc7 16 Qc2 Rfd8 17 Rfc1 Rab8 18 a4 Qd6 19 dxc5 Nxc5 20 Bxc6 Qxc6 21 Nb5 Be7 22 Nxa 7 Qa6 23 Nb 5 Qxa4 24 Qxa4 Nxa4 25 Nfd4 Rd7 26 Nc6 Rb6 27

Nbd4 Bf6 28 Rxb6 Nxb6 29 Rbl Na4 30 $\mathrm{g} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 32 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 6 \quad 33 \mathrm{Nc} / 4$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\mathrm{Ne} 6 & 34 \mathrm{Rb} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 7 & 35 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 7 & 36 \mathrm{Rb} 5\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Bc} 3 \quad 37 \mathrm{Nc} 1 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \quad 38 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rb} 3 \mathrm{Rc} 7$ $40 \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{Rd} 741 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 642 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 43$
 GAME FORTY

9 January
If nothing else, this match has advanced the theory of the Tartakower variation of the Queen's Gambit; today's game was the ninth such in the match. Karpov chose a different queen development on move 13 but Kasparov gained a clear advantage and, later, acquired a pawn. Errors before the adjournment, however, 1eft Kasparov without realistic winning chances when the game was resumed; nevertheless the challenger manoeuvred aimlessly for another thirty moves before the draw was finally agreed.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, QGD Tartakower:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6' 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc 3 Be 7 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bh} 40-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Be 2 Bb 7 9 Bxf6 Bxf6 10 cxd5 exd5 11 b4 c5 12 bxc5 bxc5 $13 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{Qa} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Qd} 2 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \quad 15$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Nxd4 Bxd4 } \\ 0-0 & 16 & \text { axd4 } & \text { Bc6 } & 19 & \mathrm{Nb} 5 & \text { Qd8 } & 18\end{array}$
 Bb5 22 Rxb5 axb5 23 Qxb5 Rxa2 24 Ne 3 Ra5 25 Qb7 Qe8 [The threat of 26 Rc 1 forces Black to give up his d-pawnl 26 Ixd5 Rb5 27 Qa8 Qd7 $28 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 4 \quad 29 \mathrm{~d} 5$ Qc7 30 Nd 1 Rb 531 Ne 3 Qa5 32 Qxa5 Pxa5 33 Rdl Nd7
 $\begin{array}{rrrrr}34 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & 35 & \mathrm{Kg} 2 \\ \mathrm{Ra} 4 & 36 & \mathrm{~h} 3 & \mathrm{Kg} 7 & 37\end{array}$ d6 Ra6 38 f4 Rc6 39 h4 Kf8 40 g 5 hxg5 41 hxg 5 f5 $\begin{array}{ccccc}42 & \text { Rd } 4 & \mathrm{Kf} 7 & 43 & \mathrm{Nc} 4 \\ \text { Ke6 } & 44 & \mathrm{Kf} 3 & \text { Rc5 } & 45\end{array}$ Ke6 44 Kf 3 Rc5 45 Ke 3 Rb 546 Kd 2 Rd $\begin{array}{cccc}47 & \text { Rxd5 Kxd5 } & 48 \\ \text { Ne5 } & \text { Kxd6 } & 49 & \text { Nxg6 }\end{array}$ Nc5 50 Nh4 Ke6 51 Ke3 Ne4 52 Nf3 Kf7 53 Kd4 Ke6 54 Kc4 Nf 255 Kd 4 Ne 456 Ne1 Kd6 57 Nc2 Nc5 58 Ke3 Ne6 59 Nd Ng 760 Kd 2 Kc 561 Kd 3 Kd 562 Ne 2 Nh 5 $63 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 764 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Kd} 6 \quad 65 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 766$ $\mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 667 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 768 \mathrm{Nf} 1 \mathrm{Kf7} 69 \mathrm{Ne} 3$ Kg6 70 Nd5 Ne6 [71 Ne7+ Kh5 72 Nxf5 Nxg5+ and the last pawn goes], $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
With Kasparov gaining the upper hand over the last few games perhaps we might yet see an exciting finish to this it enters its fifth month although the score is still 5-1!

## 26th Olympiad, Thessaloniki 1984

## Report by Peter Stuart

The main body of our team left New ealand on November 13th, the exceptions being Mark Levene and Women's team captain Philip Clemance who wer already in Europe and the Smiths, Robert and vian, who left Auckland day later. We had a two-day stopove n Singapore and then tway 1 Ahens. Wha the New Zealand par with Singapore's and the days two-to-one exchange rate only dollars went further in Greece.
The contrast between the two counThe contrast between the two coun-
tries was enormous. Urban renewal is gradually spreading over all of Singapore with countless modern skyscrapers, although the heat and the aromas typical of the Orient are still very much in evidence. On the other hand hoary old athens was mild of weather 1d and dirty - but somehow more 1ik ld and dirt home.
Our second day in Athens coincided ith the annual march to the U.S Embassy celebrating the anniversary of the Polytechnic students' uprising 11 years ago against the regime of the colonels. Many thousands of marchers, including many communists, wound their way past our hotel on Omonia Square during the afternoon and into the eveing. The only trouble occurred when groups of anarchists (according to press reports we read) tried to join the march but were repulsed by the groups whose job it was to protect the marchers. Most team members, even those who had previously visited Athens, were irresistably drawn to the Acropolis and the hauntingly impressive Parthenon.
Thessaloniki, the site of the Olympiads, is Greece's second city and was first settled more than 300 years before the birth of Christ. About the same size as Auckland, it is a major Balkan cultural and trade centre and its International Trade Fair each September is well known throughout the world. Relics of Roman and Byzantine times are numerous.
Being modest chaps we had selected three B-class hotels from the list
supplied by the organisers but we quickly discovered that we had been allotted a C-class hotel. To make matters worse the hotel decline supply the single rooms we had
requested months before so we refuse requested months before so we ref
to register until they were made available. In view of the fact that where demand for a particular hotel exceeded the supply of rooms, priority would be given to higher placed teams at Lucerne 1982 and teams such as Japan and Jordan were staying in one of the hotels we had nominated, we were rather disappointed.
"C-class" hardly did justice to my room - the lighting was even worse than most of the rooms, the door latch was broken so could not be locked, and there was no cold water for the shower (this last might sound a trivial complaint but you try having a hot shower without ANY cold water at all). Fortunately I was able to change rooms where, like other team members, I found sleeping was made difficult by road noise from the "main drag" on which the hotel was situated.
Both Olympiads were played in the same hall at the International Fair complex - one of the best venues $I$ have experienced. The 150 -odd teams were accommodated with ease and the lighting was excellent. The two-hour Captains' meeting before the first round entertained a pointless proposal that accelerated pairings be used for the first round but this was rejected; it would merely have transposed rounds one and two and, in the event, the top seeds (USSR and Hungary) met already in round three. FIDE President Florencio Campomanes caused some mirth when he read out a list of the countries which had failed to pay the olympiad entry fees - it included the Philippines!

When we arrived in Thessaloniki various rumours about the composition of the Soviet team were rife. One in particular suggested that the World Championship match in Moscow would be interrupted so that Karpov and Kasparov could attend the olympiad. This was not the case, however. Instead
the Soviets proposed that Karpov should be allowed to come into the USSR team if the Moscow match should finish before the end of the olympiad; in this event their board 6, national champion Sokolov, would drop out of the team. This proposal was accepted by the meeting.

The Opening Ceremony on the night before play started was televised live and featured a concert conducted by the well-known Greek composer Mikis Theodorakis - well worth seeing although most of the New Zealand team left after the "boring part" so missed it.

## ROUND 1

As in 1982 New Zealand found itself seeded just below the middle and we were paired against third-ranked Yugoslavia. Playing black against GM Ljubojevic (2615), top board Vernon Small equalised in a Closed Sicilian but later reached a slightly inferfor endgame where the vast difference in experience proved decisive. On board two Ortvin Sarapu played a solid draw against $2585-$ rated Predrag Nikolic, a player whose name is perhaps not well known in New Zealand but who has established himself as Yugoslavia's number two player during the last year or two. Robert Smith (board 3) and Mark Levene (board 4) lost to GMs Kurajica and Kovacevic respectively, so we finished with half a point better than the $4-0$ whitewash we suffered at the hands of the Soviet team Last time but a little disappointing when a $3-1$ result looked on the cards at one stage. The only tand-out resul was Czechoslovakia's all-GM line-up dropping $1 \frac{1}{2}$ points against Sri Lanka.

ROUND 2
We were drawn against Iraq, a team which has not competed since Nice 974, and we could have expected more than the 2-2 tie which resulted. Smal won quite convincingly but Sarapu when he lo adantage to be dissipated whe he played one more (weak) move t en he had reached (he cime conin the second session Smith and Co110 1 y both played pooly and patient and tenacious defence, coupled ith a little help from his opponent gained David a draw. His opponent,
incidentally, was a Mr Taha whose only (but notable) claim to fame was a victory over Walter Browne at Nice 1974.

Czechoslovakia, runner-up in 1982, was made to suffer again as Agdestein of Norway defeated Vlastimil Hort on top board. Indonesia also made a strong showing with a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ defeat of West Germany while China drew 2-2 with the United States.

## ROUND 3

If there was any doubt in our minds that the standards of world chess had risen considerably over the last few years, the next two rounds erased it. New Zealand was somewhat fortunate to beat Nigeria $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ in round three as Levene had a lost position at the adjournment but later drew when his opponent failed to find a clearly winning line. The shortest of the three wins was 49 moves.

The surprise of the round was the annihilation of Hungary by the Soviet Union when nothing went right for the Magyars; the Soviet team of Belyavsky Polugaevsky, Vaganian and Yusupov was opposed by Portisch, Ribli (who was winning at one stage), Adorian and Sax. The USSR now led with a possible 12 points, with the Netherlands $10 \frac{1}{2}$ and England 10 next. New Zealand was on $50 \%$, equal with Australia among others.

## ROUND 4

The Faroe Islands have a population of less than 5000 but they have over 200 chessplayers - and they are no longer the walkover they perhaps were ten years ago. Their board one, Rodgaard (2315), is a Dane who settled in the islands in recent years and he was responsible for the earliest upset in Thessaloniki when he drew with the black pieces against Boris Spassky in the first round. Vernon duly drew the white side of a Tarrasch French but, of the others, only David was able to win - and nicely too. Mark "won" the exchange on board three but his opponent had a lot of compensation and later infiltrated the white squares around Mark's king. A time trouble mistake cost Robert his game on board wo.
The round saw the USSR, in the person of GM Tukmakov, lose its first game of
the olympiad when it narrowly defeated the Netherlands $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$; on top board, owever, Belyavsky defeated Timman, continuing the form he showed in the match against the Rest of the World when he demolished Larsen and Seirawan. The Soviet Union now led by 2 points rom England, with Rumania and the Netherlands another half point back.

## ROUND 5

During the first half of the session the situation of our match against the Irish team looked very promising. Sarapu and Levene, however, saw their advantages evaporate and both agreed draws. Gollogly, who had earlier missed a chance for a large advantage, blundered in a position which was probably at least equal, while Smith also erred grievously in an unclear posiion.
The top board match between England and the USSR was closely fought and, for a time, there seemed a chance that the 4 th seed might even win. Miles, who looked to be lost out of the opening, recovered and had chances of a randler the analer aganian on board 3 molugevsky Speenile Short oard 2, while Short gained a winning osition and was two pawns up during to be however, as Belyavsky had hings under control and wo in 91 oves, wile the Soviet alysts fo draw for Yusuov despite his two pawn deficit. C'est la vie!
Timman crashed to his second consecutive loss, this time to Suba of Rumania which took the match against he Dutchen $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ white Czechoslotakia was held to a $2-2$ tie by celand, Helgi olafsson defeating Vlastimil Hort on top board. France, now headed by Spassky, drew their match with West Germany. Thus far Boris' record reads $\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-$ he seemed to be taking things easy but at least he guaranteed France something on top board each day!

## ROUND 6

last met Thailand in an lympiad (Malta 1980) we lost l-3 so we clearly had a score to settle and, would settle it in full. Small
and Sarapu both outplayed their opponents to record good wins while Levene and Kavakul fought to a standstill, agreeing the draw at the adjournment Gollogly had a number of chances to gain a substantial advantage but eventually allowed Sinprayoon to break through, regaining his lost pawn with interest, so we had to be satisfied ith a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ victory.
The top four matches all saw $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ results, the victors being USSR (over Rumania), England (v USA), Hungary (v France) and Iceland (v Netherlands). The Iceland team is seeded eighth; although it had only one GM (Sigurjonsson, board 5) in Greece, our of its Ms are rated over 2500 . The USSR now enjoyed a 2 -point lead rom Czechoslovakia, with Yugoslavia and England a further half point in arrears
ROUND 7
Our seventh match against Cyprus was a rout, only their top board laying sensiby whil hoe blun wery eand but Cypriot player eked out a draw.
The Soviet Union racked up a 3-1 The Soviet union racked up a inaving very little doubt as to the eventul winner of the to who ould ber Alewander Belyavsky won ouin this time ance Enoland beat Czechosio vakia while USA v Rumania and Hungar akia
Iceland were 2-2 draws.
At the halfway stage the leaders slovakIa 181 ; ; Hungary, USA, Iceland, Bulgaria, Rumania and Spain 18.

ROUND 8
Solid play by Small and Gollogly on top and bottom boards gained draws against Albania but our centre collapsed when Smith and Levene made istakes leading to losing positions although Mark had some practical drawing chances into the adjournment. The $1-3$ loss was a little disappointing against a team ranked only ten places above us - although it could be mentioned that the whole team was suffering from colds in the middle par of the tournament. Cold germs must really relish such large gatherings of potential victims and such minor ail-
ments seem to be an occupational
hazard at 0lympiads．
Sweden became the first country to even draw their match against the Soviet Union when all four games were drawn．The Jnited States（ $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ over slovala）and buria table to share second spot with Swede －but still $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points behind the Soviets．Another half point back were England，Yugoslavía and Hungary．

ROUND 9
For the third olympiad in a row we came up against Algeria and managed to repeat the big wins achieved at Malta and Lucerne．Small and Levene won convincingly with the white pieces but Sarapu quickly ran into difficulties in an Exchange Lopez，Iater losing a pawn．His opponent，however，badly misplayed his won ending and Ortvin may even have had a slight edge when the Algerian lost on time with three moves still to go．Gollogly agreed à 12－move draw in an unclear position
with two knights against two bishops．
After scoring $5 \frac{1}{2}$ points in his first six games，Belyavsky lost to Dzhindzi－ hashvill who was able to lead the American team to an important victory which made them a leading favourite for the silver medals．On board 2 Polugaevsky beat Christiansen but de irmian defeated Yusupov on board 4 to clinch the match．Apart from the nited States，nobody was able to take much advantage of the Soviet Ioss as Bulgaria and Sweden tied their match s did Hungary and Yugoslavia．
The scores now：USSR 26；USA 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； Sweden and Bulgaria 23；Hungary，Yugo－ slavia and Rumania 22年；England 22 （ 1 adj．）．New Zealand was now lying
37 th equal on 19 points．

## ROUND 10

Our seesawing continued with a 1－3 oss to 18 th－ranked Norway．Simen Agdestein，who will almost certainly become Norway＇s first grandmaster exore too long，lost／sacrificed the xchange and．won a very nicely played orgot shil Sarapu drew racher ，showing better ormulth his Benko Gambit，had diournment．In a gan the layers castled on opposite side

Levene＇s attack unfortunately came to Levene＇s attack unfortunately came
a standstill while his opponent＇s proceeded apace．
While the USSR consolidated its lead with a 3－1 victory over Bulgaria，the USA continued its run of good form with a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ win over run of good form with beating Portisch ongary（Kavalek beating Portisch on board l）to strengthen its hold on second place， Yugoslavia and England ach over Sweder and Rumania over Sweden and Rumania respectively to share third place，one point behind the Americans．

ROUND 11
Smith and Levene defeated IMs on boards 2 and 3 while Sarapu drew to give us a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ win over Dominican Republic；a rather pleasing result as New Zealand has been a＂good customer＂ for them in the past on more than one occasion．We were now +1 and our main worry was that the seesaw was out of phase for 14 rounds．
The USSR came close to losing a second match but the young Icelanders lost their chance，agreeing two draws in better positions against their more illustrious opponents．Then，on to board，Helgi Olafsson lost from a drawn position so the Soviet team won $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ where they had earlier looked to lose 1－3．The USA held on to its one point margin in second place but Eng－ land and Yugoslavia，who had shared third place before the round，dropped back when they drew their match while Hungary（ $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ over $S_{\text {pain }}$ ）and Rumania （ $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ over Bulgaria）shot up to equal third．
Scores：USSR 31 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；USA 2812 ；Hungary and Rumania $27 \frac{1}{2}$ ；Yugoslavia and Eng－ land 27；France 26롤．

## ROUND 12

New Zealand achieved its best result of the Olympiad when we beat Indonesia －1．Small played a particularly nice game on top board against Ardiansyah while Levene won his second conse－ cutive game on board 4．Sarapu （quickly）and Smith（at the adjourn－ nent）drew their games with Gunawan and Handoko respectively．
The long－awaited clash between Spassky and Belyavsky was a non－event as a perfunctory draw was agreed－the
nly half point France managed
Hungary defeated Rumania $3-1$ while
ngland clobbered Sweden $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ but the United States kept its hold on second lace winnting 3－1 over Yugoslavia wit zhindzihashvili making short work of jubojevic on board in another one sided result Cuba beat 12 silver
clear fifth place，a point behind Eng－ clear fifth plac

ROUND 13
A narrow loss $1 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ to India，seeded twenty places above us，was a trifle isappointing after the previous two ounds．A win for Small against Thip－ say would have meant an IM norn but is initiative petered out and a draw resulted．Sarapu lost his unbeaten record at the hands of Ravisekhar hile Smith and Gollogly drew tightly fought games．We were thus＋2 going into the final day
The USSR became certain of first lace regardless of the last round ith its $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ win over Cuba but the USA lost $1^{\frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}}$ to West Germany，allow－ ing England to slip ahead in the race for silver by hammering Colombia．On the other hand Hungary was held to a 2－2 tie by the Philippines，enough to ive them a chance of bronze medals but not silver．The scores：USSR $38 \frac{1}{2}$ ； England 34；USA 33；Hungary 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；West Germany and Rumania 31.

## ROUND 14

Draws were agreed rather quickly on he three lower boards of our march against Wales but Vernon played on as a win would still have given him an IM norm．He was unable to make any pro－ ress，however，and we therefore finished the round as we started it， +2 ．
As in the penultimate round，the Swiss draw favoured the English team is－a－vis the Americans．While England ompleted a $3-1$ over the Philippines， the USA was held to four draws by bulgarians where they needed four wins to take second place．Thus England took the silver medals for the first time（they were third in 1927 and 1976） while the United States was a little lucky to win the bronze as Hungary could do no better than draw 2－2 versus Cuba．
The full scores were： 1 USSR 41； 2 England 37； 3 USA 35； 4 Hungary 34 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； Rumania 33；6－7 West Germany and
 Netherlands，Cuba，China，Israel and Argentina 32；15－16 Iceland and Phili－ ppines 31／2；17－20 Czechoslovakia，Den－ mark，Brazil and Canada 31；21－24 Poland，Australia，Chile and Scotland 301，25－32 Sweden，Colombia，Spain Italy，Indonesia，Norway，Greece＇A and Portugal 30；33－37 India，Turkey UAE，Wales and Morocco 2912 Austria，Finland，Belgium，Albania Singapore，Mexico，New Zealand and Greece＇$B$＇29；46－47 Egypt and Dominican Republic 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 48 Tunisia 28 ； 49－52 Switzerland，Malaysia，Sri Lanka and Puerto Rico 271／2；53－55 Pakistan， Hong Kong and Iraq 27；56－60 Ireland， Paraguay，Algeria，Cyprus and Uganda 26 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；61－67 Thailand，Bangladesh， Faroe Islands，Andorra，Malta，Leb anon and Honduras 26；68－69 Luxembourg and Bahrain 25눈；70－75 Zimbabwe， Trinidad \＆Tobago，Nigeria，Surinam， Libya and Kenya 25；76－77 Jordan and Jamaica 24⿺𠃊 ；78－81 Angola，Guernsey－ Jersey，British Virgin Islands and Papua－New Guinea 24； 82 Japan 23仿； 83 US Virgin Islands $21 \frac{1}{2}$ ； 84 Monaco 21； 85 Bermuda 17는； 86 Mali 17； 87 San Marino 16； 88 Palestine 13. As well as gold，silver and bronze medals for the highest percentage scorers on each board，the Greek organisers also provided medals for the best overall performances，taking into account the opposition met． Alexander Belyavsky＇s score of a 2791 performance rating on top board wa not quite sufficient for the gold medal as Englishman John Nunn＇s per formance rating was over 2800 ！of those who played a mindmum of nine games，Nunn scored the highest per－ centage－ $90.9 \%$ ．
The following table summarises the New Zealand players＇results
Player（W／B）P W $\quad$ D $\quad$ L Pts $\quad \%$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Smal1（6／6）} & 12 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 7 \frac{1 / 2}{2} & 62.5 \\ \text { Sarapu } & (6 / 6) & 12 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 71 / 2 \\ 62.5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Sarapu（6／6）} & 12 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 71 / 2 & 62.5 \\ \text { Smith }(6 / 6) & 12 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 41.7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Smith（6／6）} & 12 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 41 .\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Gollogly } & (3 / 6) & 9 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 4\end{array} 44.4$
The technical organisation of this olympiad was really impressive－an excellent playing hall wtth very good lighting，an excellent daily bulletin， good tournament direction and fine restaurant service．The tournament direction was almost too good as it
meant that players were confined more or less to their own little group of three or four matches; it was therefore not possible, as in previous Olympiads, to watch games in other areas from
within the arena.
I have already dwelt on the shortcomings of our accommodation although we were perhaps getting used to it by the finish! The only other area open to improvement was the dissemination of results which left much to be desired a surprising lapse when it is considered that computers are used to compile them.
The Greek proposal that they organise the olympiad every four years was gladly in view of the rreat success this as well as the freque suctis to the enormous cost) to the enornous cost) 1n finding a host 01ympiads will be held elsewhere the General Assuly forfer despite the fact that espite the fact that the UAE could not ge granted visas Israeli tean would including President Campomanes an, land's Ray Keene, latisfay Kee, was asked seek a
As far as our results are concerned
e can point to an improvenent in we can point to an improvement in vious two olympiads. This was not, how ver reflected in a commensurate io provement in placing.
Vernon and Ortvin played well from the start but Robert and Mark showed patchy form until relatively near the end when we scored our best results. Had there been more rounds we might we have fought harder against Waies and looked forward to a higher placing still. For the first ten rounds Davi looked the most consistent of the bottom three boards but the return form of Robert and Mark unfortunately cost him a chance of more games in the last few (vital) rounds.
After the Olympiad we had a few days before leaving for Singapore and I ired a car and visited 01ympia and other places of interest in the Peloponnese while some of the others headed north of Athens to Marathon and Delphi Ortvin, however, remained in Athens and devoted some of his time to annotating wo games for New Zealand Chess. The following games are, of course, but a
small sample of the more than 2400 played in the Men's Olympiad alone.

## SMALL - ARDIANSYAH (RIN)

## Sicilian, Sozin

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 d6 6 Bc4

Vernon has used this line many times in New Zealand games. As usual he is well prepared in the opening and knew what Ardiansyah played.
6...e6 7 Be3 Be 7 8 Qe2 0-0 9 0-0-0 Qc7 10 Bb 3 a6 11 Rhg1

The stage is set. White will attack on the kingside and Black on the queenside. It is still a book position for quite a while
11...b5 12 g4 Nxd4 13 Bxd4 Nd7 14 g5 b4 $15 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 16 \mathrm{f} 4$ !
Now that Black has developed his bishop on b7 White has a strong threat in $f 4-f 5$.
16...Rfe8 17 f5!

Ardi hoped that his Re8 would dis courage $17 \mathrm{f5}$ as, after $17 \ldots$...exf5 18 exf5??, Bxg5+ wins the queen.
17...exf5 18 Qh5!

The point is that on $18 \ldots g 6$ follows 19 Bxf7+ sacrifice.

## 18...d5

The best defence; Black manages to stop White's kingside attack by accurate play.


9 exd5 Qf4+ 20 Kb 1 g 621 Qh6 Bf8 22 Qh3
Here Small offered a draw which Black unwisely declined (Vernon points out that after 22...Bd6 23 Qh6 neither side has better than repetition). Despite Black's big pawn majority on the kingside, White's powerful centre pawn more than compensates for that endgame advancage in the following play
22...Re2 23 Qg3 Qxg3 24 hxg 3 Rc 8 5 c 4 !
How the game has changed; now White is attacking on the queenside and Black on the kingside.
25...bxc3 26 Nxc3 Rh2 27 Ba4 Rd8 28 Bc6 Bc8 29 Rge1 Bd6 30 g 4 fxg 4

A very dangerous pawn grab as the white knight comes strongly into play via 24.

31 Ne4 Bb4 32 Re 3 g 3
Giving the pawn back with a bad position.
33 a3! Ba5 34 Nxg3 Bd2 35 Rf3 Bxg5
Black again manages to be a pawn up ut his pieces do not coordinate. He is also weak on the dark squares.
36 Ne4 Bh4
Instead $36 \ldots$...Be7 only invites 37 d6 ith Bd5 to follow.

## 37 Nd6! Nb8 38 Nxf7

Threatening 39 Nh6 mate!
38...Be7 39 Nxd8 Bg4 40 Rffl Bxdl 41 Rxdl Re 2

Unavailing would be 41...Bxd8 becaus of 42 Be 5 winning.
42 Nb 7 !
The best execution. White simply pushes his pawn through.
42...h5 43 Ba4 h4 44 d 6 Bg 545 d 7 h3 46

SARAPU - RITTIPANYAWONG (TAI)
Ruy Lopez
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrr}1 & e 4 & e 5 & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Nc} 6 & 3 \mathrm{Bb} & \text { a6 } & 4 \mathrm{Ba} 4\end{array}$
5 d
My old line of play is good for 01ympiads since opponents are not prepared against it and I at least gain time on the clock.
5...exd4 6 0-0 Be7 7 e5

Not the latest 7 Rel here.
7...Ne4 8 Nxd4 Nxd4 9 Qxd4 Nc5 10 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Nc3 } & 0-0 & 11 & \mathrm{Bg} 5\end{array}$
Also good is 11 Be 3 . The text sets an old trap ....
11...Nxa4 12 Bxe7 Qxe7
.... which Black does not fall into.

In 1970 I beat the Iranian champion when he played 12...Nxc3? 13 Qh4 Ne2+ 14 Kh 1 Qe 8 and the Ne2 is trapped so Thite wins the exchange

## 13 Nxa4 b5

White has a small advantage as Black cannot develop his bishop without coneding a weak isolated pawn.

14 Nc5 d6 15 exd6 Qxd6 16 Qxd6 cxd6 17 Nb3!
The Tarrasch knight on d4 assures a clear endgame advantage for White; the second player has no play and must await slow strangulation.
17...Be6 18 Nd4 Rac8 19 a3 g6 20 Rfe1 Rfe8 21 c3 Kf8 22 f3 Ke7


23 a4!
The time is ripe to open the a-file. 23...Rc5 24 axb5 axb5 25 Ra7+ Kf6 26 Rb7 Bd7 27 Ral Rd8 28 Kf2
Black has managed to defend his b pawn but stands nearly in zugzwang. White improves his king's position before taking further action.
28...g5?!

Weakening the f5 square, but Black had to make moves.
29 Rb6 Be8 $30 \mathrm{~g} 4!$ Rd5 31 Raa6 Ke5 32 Kg 3 h 633 h 3 Bd 734 Ra 7 Be 835 Rbb7 Rxd4!?
The white knight was too strong and Black hopes by the exchange sacrifice to avoid being strangulated.
36 Re7+! Kd5 37 cxd4 Kxd4 38 Re4+ Kc5
On 38...Kd3 follows a mating attack with Ra3+ etc.
39 b4+ Kb6 40 Rae7 Bc6 41 Rd4 Be8 42 Rde4, 1 - 0.
White sealed his 42 nd and Black resigned without resuming. I could have played 42 Rxe8! Rxe8 43 Rxd6+ and 44

Rxh6 but it was unnecessary to commit myself as 42 Rde4 Bc6 43 Rd 4 Be 8 would produce the same position.
CHAIVICHIT (TAI) - SMALL, Torre Attack: 1 d 4 Nf6 2 Bg 5 e6 3 e4 h6 4 Bxf6 Qxf6 $5 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Bd} 78$
 $12 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{cxd4} \quad 13 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Be} 714 \mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{~h} 515$ Ne3 Nc6 16 Nxc6 bxc6 $17 \quad 0-0 \quad$ Qb8 18 Qc2 Qe5 19 Rael Qf6 20 Kh1 e5 21 Qa4 Qd6 22 f4 exf4 23 Rxf4 0-0 24 Rf 3 Bg5 25 Refl c5 26 Bb5 Bxb5 27 Qxb5
 Re2 31 Rf5 d4 32 Rxc5 dxc3 33 Rxc3 Rxa2 34 Rcf3 Rd2 35 Qe3 a5 36 Qa7 Rd8 $40 \mathrm{h3} 418 \mathrm{Qe2} 12$
 46 Kgl Qd 77 Ke 2 Rb 3 l $46 \mathrm{Kfl} \mathrm{Kg} 747 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 3,0-1$
SARAPU - NIKOLIC (YUG), French Defence: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 Bd2 Ne7 5 exd5 exd5 6 Bd3 Nbc6 7 a3 Bxc3 8 Bxc3 0-0 9 Qf3 Ng6 10 Ne2 Nh4 11 Qg3 Bf5 12 0-0 Re8 13 Rae 1 Be 414 Bxe4 Rxe4 15 f3 Re8 16 Nf4 Nf5 17 Qf 2 Qd6 $18 \mathrm{Nd} 3 \mathrm{~b} 619 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Nfe7} 20 \mathrm{Bb4} 4 \mathrm{Nbb} 421$ axb4 Nc6 22 c3, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
SMITH - MATEO (DOM), Closed Sicilian:

 $9 \mathrm{h3} 0-0 \quad 10$ a3 a5 11 Be 3 b4 12 axb4 16 Oel $\mathrm{Nb} 5 \quad 17 \mathrm{Rdl} \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 18$ g $4 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 19$ 16 Qe1 Nb5 17 Rd1 Bb 18 g4 Rc8 19

 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Bxg } 5 \mathrm{Kh} 8 & 30 \text { Bxd } 8 \text { Qxd8 } & 31 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 8 & 32\end{array}$

 Qe3 Nf6 39 Qf 3 h 40 Qg2, 1 - 0 GONZALEZ (DOM) - LEVENE, Sicilian Dragon: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf 3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd 4 Nf6. 5 Nc3 g6 6 Be3 $\operatorname{Bg} 7 \quad 7$ f3 $0-0 \quad 8$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Qd2 } & \text { Nc6 } & 9 & \mathrm{Bc} 4 & \mathrm{Bd} 7 & 10 & 0-0-0 & \mathrm{Ne} 5 & 11\end{array}$
 hxg4 15 f4 Nc6 16 e5 Nxd4 17 Qxd4
 $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Bxd5} & 21 & \mathrm{Bxd} 5 & \mathrm{Qb} 6 & 22 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Rfc} 8 & 23 \mathrm{Rd} 2\end{array}$ dxe5 24 fxe5 e6 25 Rfl Qc7 26 Rfdl Qxe5 27 Rd8+ Bf8 28 R8d7 Oxg5+ 29 Kb1 Qf5 30 Qg2 $\mathrm{Qf} 3 \quad 31$ Qxf3 gxf3 32 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\operatorname{Rf} 1 & \operatorname{Rf5} 5 & 33 & \mathrm{c} 3 & \mathrm{~g} 5 & 34 & \mathrm{Bd} 1 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & 35 & \mathrm{Rd} 4\end{array}$ Rg5, $0-1$.
THOMSEN (FAI)-GOLLOGLY, Modern Defence:
 Qe7 9 Radl $0-0 \quad 10$ Bh6 Re8 11 Bxg 7

Kxg7 12 Qg5 h6 13 Qh4 Nf8 14 Nel Ne6 15 dxe5 dxe5 $16 \mathrm{Nd} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 5!17$ f4 [17 53 Nfx'e4] 17...exf4 18 e5
 18...Ng4 19 Bxg4
 $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Nf3+ } 20 \text { Rxf3 } & \text { Qxh4 } \\ 21 \text { Bxe8 Raxc8 } & 22\end{array}$ Rxf4 Qd8 23 Rdfl $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Rxf4 } \\ \text { Rc7 } & 24 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \text { Ree7 }\end{array}$ 25 Ne 4 Rcd 726 Nec5 Rd4 27 Rxf7+ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Nec5 } & \text { Rd4 } \\ \text { Rxf7 } & 28 & & \text { Ne6+'Kg8 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Rxf } & 28 & \mathrm{Rxf} 7 \mathrm{Kxf} 7 \\ \mathrm{Kg} & 30\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Nxd8} & \mathrm{Rxd8} & 31 & \mathrm{Kgl}\end{array}$
 $\mathrm{b} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 8 \quad 36 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 1 \quad 37 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Rh} 1 \quad 38 \mathrm{~h} 4$ gxh4 $39 \mathrm{Nf} 4+\mathrm{Kf} 740 \mathrm{gxh} 4 \mathrm{Rxh} 4 \quad 41 \mathrm{Kf} 5$ Rxf4+, $0-1$.
LJUBOJEVIC - BELYAVSKY, French Winawer: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 $3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 4$ e5 c5 5 a3 Bxc3+ 6 bxc3 Ne7 7 Qg4 Qc7 8 Oxg7 Rg8 9 Qxh7 cxd4 $10 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Nbc6} 11 \mathrm{f4}$
 Nf5 15 Qh5 d4 16 Rd3 Nce 717 Bb 2 Nd $18 \mathrm{Rcl} \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 19 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Rh} 820 \mathrm{Qg} 4 \mathrm{Qa} 5+21$ Kf2 Nde3 22 Qh3 Qd5

$23 \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4} 24$ Kxe3 Qf3+ 25 Kd 2 Qf $2+26 \mathrm{Kc} 3$ Rd5 27 Rxd4 Rxd4 28 Bd3 Rhd8 29 h5 R8d5 30 a4 Re5t $31 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 5+32$ Ka3 Rxd3+ 33 cxd Rxcl, $0-1$.
NOGUEIRAS - DZINDZIHASHVILI, Queen's Indian Defence: 1 d $4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ e 6
 7 0-0 86 Bb7 5 e3 d5 6 Bd3 Nbd Ra6 811 Be2 Ne4 72
 Ndf6 17 Ne2 Qc8 18 Rac Rf7 19 Qd g5 20 Rc 6 f4 21 exf4 gxf4 22 Rxd6 fxg3 23 Rxf6 gxh2+ 24 Nxh2 Rxf6 25 f3 Qh3 26 Qb3 Rh6, $0-1$. VAGANIAN-ADORIAN, Queen's Pawn 1 d 4 Nf 62 c 4 e6 $3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4+\quad 4 \mathrm{Bd} 2$ Bxd2+ 5 Qxd2 c5 $6 \mathrm{Bg} 20-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{dxc} 5 \mathrm{Qc} 7$ 8 Qd6 Qa5+ $9 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Na} 610 \mathrm{Nh} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 4 \quad 11$ Qd2 Nxc5 12 Rcl Rb8 $130-0$ b 614 e 4 Bb7 15 e $5 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \quad 16 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Bxg} 2 \quad 17 \mathrm{Kxg} 2$ Nh6 18 Rfdl Nf5 $19 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ Rfd8 $21 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \quad 22 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 723 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 6$ $24 \mathrm{Kg} 3.1-0$.
NUNN-DZINDZIHASHVILI, Sicilian Sozin: 1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4$ cxd4 4 Nxd 4 Nf6 5 Ne3 Ne6 6 Bc4 Ob 67 Nb 3 e6 8
$0-0$ a6 9 a4 Qc7 10 a5 Bd7 11 Be 2 Be 7 $12 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Rc} 813 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{O}-0 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 15$
 Rxb4 Bc4 19 Nd5 Bxd5 20 exd5 exf4 21 Bb6 Qb8 22 Re 2 Rce8 23 Rxf4 Nd7 24 Bd4 Bf6 25 Bxf6 Nxf6 26 Qd4 Re5 27 c4 Rfe8 28 Qf2 Qc7 $29 \mathrm{b4}$ Qe7 30 Kfl $\mathrm{h} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 32 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ 34 hxg 4 Kg 735 Rd 1 Nd7 36 Rxe5 Nxe5 $37 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{Rh} 8 \quad 38 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{Rh} 639 \mathrm{Rel} \operatorname{Rf} 640$ kg2 bxc6 41 dxc6 Qe6 42 b5 Qc4

43 Rxe5 dxe5 44
 Qe2 Qf4 45 b6 Rh6 46 Qe4 Qd2+ 47 Be2 Qc3 48 c 7 Qh3+ 49 Kf2 Rf6+ 50 Bf3 Qh2+ $51 \mathrm{Kf1}$ Qh1+ $52 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Qh} 2+$ $53 \mathrm{Kd3}$ Rd6 +54 Rxe4 56 Bxe4 Qd2
57 Kc6 Qf4 58 Bd5, 1-0.
DZINDZIHASHVILI - LJUBOJEVIC, QP Opening: 1 Nf3 c5 $2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 64 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6$ 5 0-0 bf5 6 c4 dxc4 7 Qa4 Nd7 8 dxc5 6 Qxc4 Bxc5 10 Nc3 Rc8 11 Rdl Nb Pxd7 0516 Rxb7 Qa6 17 Rxa7 Qd6 18 Qa4+ Kf8 19 Rf4, $1-0$.

N

## The Women at Thessaloniki

## by Philip Clemance

The New Zealand Women's team at the 26th Olympiad, consisting of Fenella oster, Winsome Stretch, Vivian Smith and Lynn Parlane, started out with a seeding of 38 th out of 51 teams. Currently Fenella is the only N.Z. woman player with an international rating.
After 14 rounds of mixed fortunes our final score was $20 / 42$ which was perhaps a little disappointing considering that the team scores at the previous two 0lympiads were $20 \frac{1}{2}$ and 21 at Malta and Lucerne respectively. This represented a tie for 39 th and 40th places, roughly in accordance with pre-tournament expectations. As is well known the score in the final round is a major factor in determining the final placings and $\frac{1}{2} / 3$ against a strong Scotand team was not enough.
New Zealand scored heavily against some of the weakest teams (3-0 against

LOBRON-GUNAWAN, French Winawer:
1 e4 e6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 4$ e5 c5 5 a3 $\mathrm{Bxc} 3+6 \mathrm{bxc} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 77 \mathrm{Qg} 4 \mathrm{Qc} 78 \mathrm{Qxg} 7$ Rg8 9 Qxh7 cxd4 10 Ne 2 Nbc 611 f 4
 $\mathrm{Nf5} 15 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 16 \mathrm{Qd} 3 \mathrm{Nce} 717 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Ba} 4$ $18 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 \quad 19 \mathrm{Rb} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \quad 20 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 21$ Bxe3 dxe3 22 Qxe3 f6 23 Ne4 fxe5 24 Nc5 Qa5+ 25 Rb4 exf4 26 Qf3 Bc6 27 Nxb7 Qe5+ $28 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Bxf3} 29 \mathrm{Nxd8}+\mathrm{Kc} 730$ gxf3 Qal+ 31 Bdl Rxd8, 0 - 1
MARJANOVIC-SCHNEIDER, Sicilian Rauzer: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf $6 \quad 5 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ d6 6 Bg5 e6 7 Qd2 a6 8 $0-0-0$ Bd7 9 f4 b5 10 Bxf6 gxf6 11 Kbl Qb6 12 Nce2 Nxd4 13 Nxd4 0-0-0 14 Be 2 h5 15 Rhfl Kb8 16 Rf3 Rg8 17 g3 h4 18 Rb3 hxg3 19 hxg3 e5 20 Nxb5 axb5 21 Bxb5 Bxb5 22 Qd5 Kc8 23 Rxb5 Qa6 $24 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{Be} 725 \mathrm{Ra} 3 \mathrm{Rh} 826 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Rh} 1+27$ Kc2 Rh2+ $28 \mathrm{Kd} 3,1-0$.
NUNN - SOSONKO, Sicilian Sozin: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 $0-0-0$ Na5 10 Bd3 5 44513 gxb5 Kd8 exd5 Nxd5 14 Ndxb5 axb5 15 Rc3 Bb4 19 Pxc4 dxc4 $20 \mathrm{Rdl}+\mathrm{Kc} 821$ Rd4 Bd5 22 Rxd5 Bd6 23 Rd4 1 S 昷

目

Trinidad \& Tobago, Zimbabwe, U.S. Virgin Islands and Jamaica) but did badly against some other teams of unrated players. Our best results were a $2-1$ win over Denmark and a $1 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ tie with Italy. On the other hand the most disappointing result was $\frac{1}{2}-2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ against a moderate line-up from Belgium which led to our being given the bye in round 10 . The highlight of the team's efforts was undoubtedly Vivian Smith (née Burndred) winning the bronze medal on board three. While she had a little luck here and there it is also true that she missed a relatively easy win agains the Belgian. In general her success with a score of 7/9 (5 wins, 4 draws) was thoroughly deserved
Fenella Foster held her own well on top board with a score of $5 \frac{1}{2} / 10$. She faced some strong opposition, including Lematchko of Switzerland in round one, but put up a good fight in every game.

Winsome Stretch was the major disappointment of the team, managing only 2 10. Lynn Parlane finished with $4 / 10$, picking up some useful points against the weaker teams and holding her Italian and Danish opponents to draws
The overall result can be regarded as atisfactory. The New Zealand women have established themselves as clearly better than the weakest teams but have not made conspicuous inroads into the next 'group' of countries. The experience gained can only prove valuable.
The way to success in future seems to be to crush the weakest teams and try to hold out the stronger ones as far as possible. The range of playing strengths is considerable and adjusting each round to teams of varying strengths is not at all easy.
The scores: 1 U.S.S.R. 32; 2 Bulgaria 2712; 3 Rumania 27; 4-5 West Germany \& China 26; 6 Hungary 25; 7-8 Poland \& England 24 $\frac{1}{2}$; 9-10 Yugoslavia \& Spain 24; $11-14$ Cuba, U.S.A., Netherlands Switzerland $23 \frac{1}{2} ; 15$ Sweden 23; 16 India 221, 17-21 Canada, France, Bra21, Scotland \& Portugal 22; 22-27 Doin, Colon ian Dominican Republic $\&$ Norway 21浆; 28-33 Greece A, Italy, Minland, Austria, Iceland \& Malaysia 21; 34-38 Argentina, 201. 39-40 Merico, 202, 39-40 Mexico $\&$ New Zealand 20 , $41-43$ Japan, Turkey \& Iraq 192; 44 161, 47 , 46 .A.E. 2, 415 , $8 \frac{1}{2}$; 51 U .S. Virgin Islands $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

The first game is from the 'local derby against Australia which the Aussies won 2-1 and the second was played in the match versus Italy.
V.SMITH - A.MARTIN, Closed Sicilian: 1 e4.c5 2 f 4 e6 3 Nf3 Nc6 4 Ne3 Nge7 d3 a6 8 0-0 $0-0$ mediately rather than ceding the a-fil to Whitel 10 b 4 b 11 axb 5 axb 12 $\mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 13$ d4 cxd4 14 Nfxd4 Nxd4 15 Nxd4 Bd7 16 Be3 Qc7 17 c 3 b4 18 Ne2 Ra8 [Sacrificing a paw; 18 bxa is simpler as 19 Ra7 Rb7 is nothing for Whitel 19 Rxa8 Rxas 20 25 21 cxb4 Bf8 22 Bc5 Nf5 fWhite is clearly going to have trouble defending the front bpawn] 23 Ocl [23 Bxf8 foll wed by Od and Rc1 looks betterl 23...Rc8 24 Bb5! [Now White has some difficulties.

25 Re1 Bxe2 26 Rxe2 Nd4 is clearly better for Black but 26 gxf5 improves in this line] 25 Bxf8 Bxe2 26 Qxc7 kxc7 27 Bc5!? [An interesting try - as is well known, the two bishops are very trong when supporting a passed pawn 27...Bxf1 28 Bxfi Ne7 29 Kg 2 Nc 6 ? [This allows White to advance the pawn, 29...Nc8 is better] 30 Bd6 Ra7 31 b 5 Nd4 [This can't be right but it was played in a frantic time scramblel 32 Bc5 [Not as good as 32 b6!] 32...Ra4 33 6 Rc4?? [A touch-move blunder. 33... Nc6 34 Bb5? Ra5 35 Bxc6 Rxc5 36 b7 Rc2+ and Rxb2 wins for Black. After 33...Nc6 better is 34 Kf 3 ! threatening $35 \mathrm{Bb5}$ and the position is unclearl 34 Bxc4 dxc4 35 Bxd4, 1 - 0.
Not a great game but a success for the policy of mixing it a little
IACONO - FOSTER, Nimzowitsch-Larsen: 1 b3 d5 2 Bb2 Nf6 3 e3 bf5 4 Nf3 e6 5 Ne 5 [Looks premature] 5...Bd6 6 f4 Nbd7 7 Be2? [Better was 7 Nxd7] 7... Bxe5! 8 fxe5 Ng4 9 Bxg4 Qh4+ 10 Kfl [Can't be right but the ending after 10 $g^{3}$ Qxg4 11 0xg4 Bxg4 is also better for Blackl 10... Bxg4 11 Qel Qg5 12 d $40-0$ 3 Nd2 Qf5+ [Winning a pawn; 13...f6t? is another wayl 14 Qf2 Qxc2 15 Ba3 Rfc8 16 h3 Bh5 17 Kgl b6 [17...c5 im nediately is better] 18 Kh2 c5 19 Rhfl White gets nothing on the f-file; she had to challenge on the c-file] $19 .$. Qd3 $20 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 621 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{cxd} 422 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nxe5 23 Kg 1 Qe 4 fBlack is clearly winningl $24 \mathrm{Qg} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 3 \quad 25 \mathrm{Bd} 6$ e5 26 Nf 5 Bxf5 27 Rxf5 f6 28 Raf1 Rc1! 29 Rxc xcl 30 Qf2 Rc8 $31 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 2 \quad 32 \mathrm{Qf}$ e2+, $0-1$ [After 32 Kh 1 or $32 \mathrm{Kf1}$ the simplest is 32...Qxf5!].

## FIDE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Fourteen GM titles were awarded or confirmed at Thessaloniki: Bosko Abramovic (YUG), Georgy T.Agzamov (USR), Eero Book (FIN), Valery Chekhov (USR) Viktor Gavrikov (USR), Sergey Kudrin (USA), Smbat Lputyan (USR), Karel Mokry CZE), Arshak Petrosian (USR), Nigel Short (ENG), Radoslav Simic (YUG), Andrei Sokolov (USR), Gennadi Zaichik (USR) and Alonso Zapata (COL).
The General Assembly also ratified a new code of the Laws of Chess to be effective from l January 1985.

## $92^{\text {nd }}$ N.Z. Ch'p-Another Four-time Winner

by Michael Freeman

The amnual N.Z.C.A. Congress, sponsored this year by Dominion Breweries was organised by the Upper Hutt Chess lub and played in the spacious Upper futt Civic Hall. The host club's committee led by Anton Reid went out of their way to make the event as enjoyable as possible for all competitors. The playing hall was well laid out and ne notable feature was the prominently displayed advertising signs adorning
the walls.
Accommodation was provided for visiting players at the Post Office Training Centre, about 6 kms from the playing hall. Wellington's efficient rail service provided an easy link with the tournament venue.
The field had a more youthful look than in previous years. The absence of four players from the 1984 01ympiad team left the way open for several players who otherwise would have been on the borderline of selection.
Defending champion Paul Garbett and Olympiad top board Vernon Small must have been considered hot favourites for this year's title. These two were rate over 100 points ahead of any of the others. Paul was looking for his third consecutive title but Upper Hutt has not proved a happy hunting ground for him in the past, and having his car break down on the way to Wellington did nat jode well for things to come. Verno had just missed an olympia it.
Leading the challenge of youth were Jonathan Sarfati and Adrian Lloyd while Anthony Ker had performed well in loca Wellington events throughout the year. wing withlraw thur Poseroy, the selected arves came into the field

## ROUND ONE 28 December

By a quirk of fate the draw saw the old guard sit down with the white pieces to commence battle with the younger generation. Pomeroy jumped straight from the gun and sacrificed two pawns on the white side of a Winawer French for some attacking chances but McLaren fended off
the attack and soon gained the advantage oo score his first point in the Championship. This left him in the sole lead as all the other games were drawn.
Freeman invented a new defence to Stuart's English and was soon in difficulties; Bh3 on move 23 instead of retaking the pawn on c7 would have 1 ed to probable win. Green - Dowden was an other English with Tony temporarily sacrificing a pawn which he soon regained at the cost of finding all his pieces bottled up on the queenside; Green missed a couple of chances and a draw esulted.
Lloyd played a Dragon Sicilian versus arbett and managed to exchange plece into an advantageous ending. He then seemed to run out of winning ideas, however, and agreed a draw in a position where he may still have held the advantage. Small declined Sarfati's draw offer but adopted the wrong plan soon after and offered a draw himself.
The longest game of the round was Cornford-Ker where Lindsay spent over 40 moves trying to win a good knight versus bad bishop ending.
STUART - FREEMAN, English-Reti:
1 c4 e6 2 Nf3 d5 3 b3 Nf6 4 g3 Bd6
 a6 9 exd5 exd5 10 Nd4 Bb7 $11 \mathrm{Nf5} 5 \mathrm{Ne5}$ 12 d4 Ng6 13 Nxa6 Qxd6 14 Qd3 Rfe8 15 Racl Re7 16 Nb Qe6 17 e3 Ne4 18 a3 Rd7 19 Rc2 Of5 20 Rfcl a5 21 f3 22 Oxf5 Nxf5 23 Rxc7 Rxc7 24
 Bf1 Bxf1 28 Kxfl Nd3 29 Bd2 h5 30 Ke 2 f4+ $31 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 3+32 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 4+33 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ Nd3 + , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## ROUND TWO, 29 December

This round saw the players get down to the real business with four decisive games. Freeman and Lloyd played a sharp ing in favour of launching forgo cast ne queens as draw into a mating wet was soon drawn out into a mating net. his was to be Lloyd s only loss of the tournament. Ker opened with a Stonewall ttack and soon launched his kingside pawns forward; Pomeroy failed to counter
in the centre until it was too late an suffered his second defeat. Stuart gained a slight edge over Green but it never came to much while McLaren's unambitious opening gave Small the advan tage but this also came to little.
Dowden - Cornford and Sarfati-Garbett were disasters for the losers who both gained winning advantages. Dowden lost on time while making his last move at the second time control when he appeared to forget the clock while Garbett won a pawn and had an overwhelming position only to, as he put it, "sacrifice three pawns for a check.'
FREEMAN - LLOYD, King's Indian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 $\mathbf{~ B g 7 ~} 4$ e4 d6 f3 Nc6 6 Be3 a6 7 Nge2 Rb8 8 Qd2 9 Bh6 Bxh6 10 Oxh6 bxc4 $110-0-0$ $512 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \mathrm{Nxd5} \quad 13$ exd5 $\mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 14 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{c} 6$ 15 Bxc4 Qb6


16 Rd2 cxd5 17 Bb3 exd4 18 Qg 7 Rf8 $19 \mathrm{Ba} 4+\mathrm{Ke} 7$ 20 Rel+ Be6 21 Rxe6+ Kxe6 $22 \mathrm{Re} 2+$ Kf5 23 Bd7+ Kg 24 f4+, 1 - 0.

ROUND THREE, 30 December
Cornford lost in similar fashion to a previous encounter with Stuart, saddling himself with a bad bishop and eventually a lost king \& pawn ending. Pomeroy went one better against the Winawer French when he sacrificed three pawns, this time for even less of an attack; Dowden returned two of them for a won ending.
Ker showed little understanding of the Dragon Sicilian and was always in trouble, a nice interruption sacrific (Bg6) brought about his downfall.
Llo be finally a cosmetic attack but when frally broke through he found Sarfati. Marent check ders Sarfaci. MeLaren spent the round de fending the first of isolated d defence, while Freeman and an uneventful draw.

SMALL - KER, Sicilian Dragon:
1 e4 c5 2 Nf 3 d 63 d 4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{Nf} 6$ 5 Nc3 g6 6 Be3 Bg7 7 f3 Nc6 8 Qd2 0-0 9 g4 Nd7 10 0-0-0 Nde5 1I Be2 Na5 12 b3 Nac6 13 Kb 1 a5 14 a4 Be6 15 f 4 Nxd4 16 Bxd4 Ne6 17 Bxg7 Kxg7 18 f5

Bc8 $19 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nd} 5$ e6 21 Ne 3 exf5 22 exf5 Qe7 23 g5

23...hxg5 24 Nd Qe5 $25 \mathrm{f} 6+\mathrm{Kg} 8$ 26 Qxg5 Kh7 27 Qd2 Be6 28 h 5 g 5
29 Bb 5 Bxd5 30 29 Bb5 Bxd5 30 Qxd5 Qxf6 31 Rhf $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Qe6 } 32 & \text { Qxg } 5 \\ 33 & \mathrm{Rg} 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}33 & \mathrm{Bd} 3+ & \mathrm{Kh} 8 & 34 \\ \mathrm{Bg} 6 & \text { Rxg6 } & 35 & \mathrm{hxg} 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Bg6 Rxg6 } & 35 & \text { hxg6 } \\ \text { fxg6 } & 36 & \text { Rf6 } & \text { Oh3 }\end{array}$
37 Rxg6 Kh7 $38 \mathrm{Rg} 1,1-0$.

## ROUND FOUR, 31 December

This round saw Small move into the sole lead and the further demise of Garbett. Ker opened with a Grob which Garbett completely refuted within a few moves, retaining his advantage right through to the ending where he blundered and swapped into a lost queen ending. This second disaster left Garbett sharing last place and the Upper Hutt bogey seemed to be with him again
Dowden played a prepared opening and left Small with what looked like weak queenside pawns but Tony's bad bishop could not exploit the weaknesses while small's well-placed knight assisted his kingside attack to break through.
Lloyd started a run to the top when McLaren gained an early advantage but started to drift in the middlegame and ell to a speculative piece sacrifice. Pomeroy gained his first win when Stuart fell into an opening trap losing pawn. Cornford also trapped Green in the opening but continued to play murky traps which a determined Green negotiated to emerge with a winning ending. Freeman played an unusual line against Sarfati's Queen's Gambit but accepted an early draw offer when hallucinations et in.
Scores after round four: Small 3; Green, Sarfati \& Freeman 2 $\frac{1}{2}$; Lloyd, McLaren \& Stuart 2; Cornford, Ker \& Dowden $1 \frac{1}{2}$; Garbett \& Pomeroy 1.
KER - GARBETT, Grob's Attack:
1 g4 e5 2 d3 Nc6 3 Bg2 d6 4 e4 Be6 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Nge7} 6 \mathrm{Hc} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nh} 4 \mathrm{Be}^{8} \mathrm{Bfl}$ d5 9 exd5 Bxd5 10 Ne 4 Be 711 Qe 2 Qd 7 12 Nf3 Nxf3+ 13 Oxf3 0-0-0 14 Rgl Nd4 15 Qd1 Qb5 16 Bxd4 exd4 $\quad 17$ Qcl g6 18 a3 f5 19 gxf5 $5 \times f 520 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Rhg} 8 \quad 21$ Rxg8 Rxg8 22 c4 dxc3 23 Oxc3 Qb6 24 Ne4 Qe6t 25 Ne5 Bg5 26 d4 Bf4 27 Ke 2 Rg1 28 b3 Bxe5 29 dxe5 Bxb3 30 Rcl
c6 $\quad 31 \quad$ Qc5 $\quad \mathrm{Ba} 4 \quad 32 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Qa} 2+\quad 33 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Qg} 8$ 34 Rc3 Kb8 35 Rd3 Rxfl+? 36 Kxf1 Bb5 37 Qd6+ Ka8 38 Kf2 $\operatorname{Bxd3} 39$ Qxd3 Qf8 40 Qd7 $\mathrm{Qc} 5+41 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 2+42 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{a}+43$ Kh4 h6 44 e6 Qc4 $45 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Ka} 7 \quad 46 \mathrm{Kg} 6$ Qb3 47 e7 Qg8+ $48 \mathrm{Kf} 6 \mathrm{Qh} 8+49 \mathrm{Ke} 6$ Qg8+ $50 \mathrm{Kd} 6 \mathrm{Qb} 8+51 \mathrm{Kc} 5,1-0$.

## McLAREN - LLOYD, Queen's Pawn:

$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2$ e3 Nf6 3 Nf 3 g 64 dxc 5 Bg 7 $5 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{Qa} 5 \quad 6 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{Qxc} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Oc} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bb} 2$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5 & \text { Nbd2 } & \text { Qa5 } & 6 & \text { a3 } & \text { Qxc5 } & 7 & \text { b4 } & \text { Qc7 } & 8 & \text { Bb2 } \\ 0-0 & 9 & \text { c4 } 4 & \text { d6 } & 10 & \mathrm{Be} 2 & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 11 & 0-0 & \mathrm{Bb} 7 & 12\end{array}$ Qb3 Nbd7 13 Racl Rac8 14 Rfd1 Kh8 15
 Rcd8 $19 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 21 \mathrm{Nbl} \mathrm{f} 4$ 22 exf4 exf4 23 Ne3 Ne5 24 Na 5 Qf7 25 Bxe5 Bxe5 26 Bf3 h5 27 Qd3 Bc8 28 Be4 Rg8 29 Nc 3 Qf6 30 Nb 5 a6 31 Nc 7 Rdf8 32 Nd5 Qg 5


ROUND FIVE, 1 January
The only draw in the round was a very ordinary affair by Pomeroy and Peter Green. Garbett bounced back with an emphatic win over Dowden who riskily grabbed a pawn and soon found his king in trouble. Ker also found his king in danger after mishandling Lloyd's favourite Bb 5 in the Sicilian, Adrian wimning quickly
small finally managed to nail Stuart's Taimanov Sicilian after several previous draws; a bishop sacrifice on h6 removed the king's defence. McLaren defended another isolated d-pawn but Sarfati succeeded where Garbett had failed in achieving a winning ending. Cornford also ended up with a won ending after Freeman played several bad moves in the fifth hour.

## ROUND SIX, 2 January

Freeman sunk his chances by analysing all but the obvious move in grabbing a stray rook-pawn and found his bishop trapped. Pomeroy also made an early mistake after which he seemed to lose set in comical fashion - resigning when he found only his king left.

Lloyd played another speculative sacrifice in an inferior position, after which Dowden played poorly and unnecessarily lost his queen. Ker again played a bad opening but it took Sarfati 90 moves to force resignation. Green Small and Stuart-Garbett were both reasonably quiet draws.
The scores now were: Sarfati \& Small 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Lloyd 4; Cornford \& Green $3 \frac{1}{2}$; McLaren 3; Freeman, Garbett, Ker Stuart $2 \frac{1}{2}$; Dowden \& Pomeroy $1 \frac{1}{2}$.

## ROUND SEVEN, 3 January

This was the last game before the only rest day but there was little sign of tiredness among the players as the wins continued to roll in. Cornford played a rather drawish opening but, like Stuart two rounds earlier, he soon found his opponent's pieces hovering around his king. Garbett showed some of his true promotion races against Green. Meanwhile Stuart found his Taimanov taking another hammering, this time from Lloyd.

Sarfati uncharacteristically gambited a pawn in a closed Sicilian but Dowden beat off the resulting initiative to leave himself with both a positional and material advantage; some over-confident play from Dowden just before the time control, however, allowed Sarfati to infiltrate with his queen and gain a perpetual check.

Ker yet again played the opening poorly but his tenacity saw him gain the full point from Mclaren in the second session. Pomeroy and Freeman played sensible chess for an uneventful draw.
SMALL - CORNFORD, French Rubinstein: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 dxe4 4 Nxe4 Nd7 5 Nf3 Ngi6 6 Nxf6+ Nxf6 7 Bd3 c5 8 Be3 cxd4 9 Bxd4 Be7 10 Qe2 0-0 11 0-0-0 Qa5 $12 \mathrm{~Kb} 1 \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \mathrm{Qh} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 7$ 15 g4 Bxf3 16 Qxf3 Qc5 17 h 4 Rac8 18 g5 Nd5 19 Bd4 Qc6 20 Qe4 g6


21 h5 Bxg5 22 hxg6 f5 23 gxh7+ 24 Qel Bf6 25 Bxf6 Nxf6 26 Qe5 Rh8 27 Rdg 1 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Qc7 } & 28 & \text { Qe3 } & \mathrm{Ng}_{4} 29 \\ \text { Og5 } & \text { Qd8 } & 30 & \text { Rxg } 4\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Vg}_{\mathrm{fg}} \mathrm{Qd}_{3} 31 \mathrm{Bg} 6+\mathrm{Kg} 7$ $\begin{array}{ll}32 \mathrm{Qh} 6+\mathrm{Kf} 6 & 33\end{array}$ Be8+ Kf5 $34 \mathrm{Rh} 5+$ $\mathrm{Be} 8+\mathrm{K}$
$1-0$.

LLOYD - STUART, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd 4
 report is Reving quite painful! I This reted the discovery of this powerful had nove months previously but powerful mos existence until this brutal remind its Editorl 10 . Nb 5 li exf 6 remind Nxb5 axb5 13 Og4 Bf8 14 Oh4 $4 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 15$ Bh6 Bxh6 16 Oxf6 $0-0 \quad 17$ Re4 Ra4 18 b4 Oxc 219 Oxh6 f5 20 Rh 4 Kf 721 Og
 23...Of6 24 Od2 Kg7? 25 Rxc8 Rxc8 26 Qxd7+, 1 - 0 .
SARFATI - DOWDEN, Closed Sicilian:
 5 d 3 Bg 76 Be 3 Nd 47 Nce 2 Nxe 28 Nxe2 $\mathrm{Bxb} 29 \mathrm{Rbl} \mathrm{Bg} 710 \quad 0-0$ e5 11 f 4 b 612
 16 dxc5 dxc5 17 fxg6 hxg6 18 Qb5+ Qc6 19 Qc4 Rd8 20 Rbdl Rxdl 21 Rxdl Bc 8 22 a4 Be6 23 Qa6 Nc8 24 c4 Bg4 25 Rd 2 Ke7 29 Rxe2 Bh6 27 Bl2 Qd 28 Re $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Ke} 7 & 29 & \mathrm{Bf} 3 & \mathrm{Rd} 8 \\ \mathrm{Kxd} & 30 \mathrm{Rdl} & \mathrm{Qc} 7 & 31 \mathrm{Rxd} 8\end{array}$ Kxa8 35 a5 Na6 33 axb6 axb6 $34 \mathrm{Qa8+}$ $38 \mathrm{Qc} 6 \mathrm{Qdl} \mathrm{Ke}^{39} \mathrm{Bfl} \mathrm{Bcl} 37 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Qg} 4$ 38 Qc6 Qdit 39 Bf1 Kxc4 40 Qc7+Ke $42 \mathrm{Qc} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 43 \mathrm{Qc} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 7$ 44 Qc7+ Kh6, $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$

ROUND EIGHT, 5 January
Lloyd had his fifth consecutive win in this round when Green adopted the wrong plan, despatching his knight to the queenside when it was required for the defence of his king. Pomeroy left what looked like a poisoned pawn for Small to capture. Arthur then realised that Vernon would gain a rook, three pieces and two pawns for his queen so he opted instead just to lose a piece for a few swindling chances which did not eventuate.
Garbett unleashed his Caro Kann to which Cornford responded badly and was quickly squashed. The other three games ended in draws with Dowden frittering away the inevitable advantage against McLaren's isolated pawn and Freeman pressuring Ker throughout but without finding a final breakthrough. Sarfati won a pawn off Stuart but gave it back later on to leave a drawn ending.
Scores. Small 512 ; Garbett 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Ker 4; Cornford, Freeman, Green Dowden $2 \frac{1}{2}$; Pomeroy 2.
GREEN - LLOYD, Symmetrical English:
$1 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 6$ $50-0$ e5 6 Nc 3 d 67 d 3 Nge 78 Rbl a6 9 a3 Rb8 10 b4 cxb4 $\quad 11$ axb4 b5 12 exb5 axb5 13 e4 $0-0 \quad 14$ Re1 h6 15 Nd5 Nxd5 16 exd5 Ne7 $17 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 18 \mathrm{Bb} 2$
 22 Nf 1 Rbf8 23 Rael g5 24 Khl f4 25 e4+ Kh8 26 g4 Rf6 27 f3 h5 28 h3 $32 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{Bxg} 6 \quad \mathrm{Ox} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 8131 \mathrm{Nbl} \mathrm{Ng} 6$ $32 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 8 \quad 33$ Bxg6 Qxg6 $34 \mathrm{Nxb5}$ Qh7 38 Kf1 Qh3+ 39 Rgg2 Rxg 40 Rxg Rxf3 8 Kf1 Qh3+ 39 Rgg2 Rxg2 40 Rxg2 Bxf3, - 1 .

## ROUND NINE, 6 January

Small played the latest word against the Tarrasch French to which Freeman responded with an exchange sacrifice frowned upon by theory. Although gaining more compensation than the recommended continuation gives, it still ended up winning for White after some accurate play by Small
Lloyd kept up his momentum by bludgeoning Cornford. The other main contender, Sarfati, had his chances ruined by Green when the opposite coloured bishops assisted the attacking side
McLaren varied his usual opening repertoire to join the list of people bashing Stuart's Sicilian. Garbett found Pomeroy was now playing better chess and a seesaw struggle finally saw Arthur play a nice combination to liquidate to a drawn ending.
Ker and Dowden played the wild affair expected from these two. Dowden sacrificed his queen for strong compensation b draw lik jour a draw likely with careful play. Ker, but Dowden inexplicably played th wrong reply and lost what had ben wrong winning position.
SMALL - FREEMAN, French Tarrasch: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 Nf6 4 e5 Nfd 5 c3 c5 6 Bd3 Nc6 7 Ne 2 cxd4 8 cxd4 f6 9 exf6 Nxf6 10 Nf3 Bd6 $110-0$ Qc7 12 Nc 3 a6 $13 \mathrm{Bg} 50-0 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \mathrm{Nh} 515$
 gxf3 Nf4 19 Bg 3 Qh6 20 Kh 1 Qh5 21 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{Rc} 3 \mathrm{Bd7} 7 & 22 & \mathrm{Nb} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 8 & 23 \mathrm{Nxd7} \mathrm{Rxd} 7 & 24\end{array}$ Bc2 Rc7 25 a3 Kf7 26 Qd2 g5 27 Rel Ne7 28 Qe3 Qh3 29 Rg 1 Rxc3 30 bxc3 h5 $31 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{~h} 432 \mathrm{Bfl} \mathrm{Qf5} 33 \mathrm{Bxf4} \mathrm{Bxf} 4$ 34 Qd3 Kf6 35 Qxf5+ Nxf5 36 Bd 3 Bd 2 37 Rb 1 Bxc3 38 Bxf5 Kxf5 39 Rxb 7 a5 $40 \mathrm{Rf} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 6 \quad 41 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 542 \mathrm{Kg} 2$ e 543 dxe5 Kxe5 44 Kf 1 Kd 445 Ke 2 Ke 546
h3 Kf4 47 Rf7+ Ke5 48 Kd3 d4 49 f4+ SARFATI - GREEN, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 0-0 Nxe4 6 d4 b5 7 Bb3 d5 8 exd5 Be6 9 c3 Bc5 10 Nbd2 $0-0 \quad 11$ Bc2 Bf5 12 Nb 3 Bg 613 Nfd 4 Bxd 414 cxd4 a5
 Nxe4 dxe4 19 dxc5 Nd3 20 Bxd3 exd3 $21 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Qd} 4+22 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Be} 4 \quad 23 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Ra} 624$ Rxa4 Qd5 25 Qel f5 26 Rxa5 Rg6 27 Qf2 Rb8 28 Qd2 Rb4 29 Ra3 Rc4 30 Rc3 Rxc3 31 bxe3 Ra6 32 c4 Qxc4 33 $\mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Ra} 2 \quad 34 \mathrm{Ra} 1 \mathrm{Rxa} 135 \mathrm{Bxal}$ Qxe5 36 Bb2 Kf7 $37 \mathrm{Bc} 1 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 38 \mathrm{Qe} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 6 \quad 39$ Qa7+ Kg6 40 Qg1 Qc2, $0-1$
KER - DOWDEN, Stonewall Attack:
1 d4 Nf6 2 e3 c5 3 c3 d5 4 Bd3 Nc6 5 f 4 Bg 46 Nf 3 e6 7 Nbd 2 Bd 68 Nfl cxd4 9 exd 4 Qc7 10 g3 g6 11 Qe2 a6 12 Ne3 Bxf3 13 Qxf3 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}14 & 14 & 0-0 & \text { b5 } & 15\end{array}$ Bd2 Kd7 16 f5 Raf8 17 a4 bxa4 18 Rxa4 h4 19 Rxa6 Nb8 20 Ra8 hxg3 2 Rfal

21...Rxh2 22 R8a7 Rxd2 23 Bb5+ Kd8 24 Rxc7 Kxc Nat Ka7 27 Nu Ne4+ Kxa7 27 Nxd $29 \mathrm{c5} \mathrm{Bc} 7 \mathrm{cg}$ 231 Qab 7 32 Nf3 Ne4 33 c6+ Kc8 34 Ba6+ Kd8 35 b 5 Ke8 36 Qb2 Nxc6 37 bxc6 Kf8 88 Ob7 Bf4 39 Qb4+ Nd6 40 Qb3 Ne 441 Qa3+ Bd6 42 Qa5 $\mathrm{Rg} 3 \mathrm{Ha}^{2} \mathrm{Ne1} \mathrm{f} 444 \mathrm{Bd} 3$ Kf6 48 Od 7 Rxd3 49 Oxd6 f3 50 c 7 f 2 $51 \mathrm{c} 80 \mathrm{flN+} 52 \mathrm{Khl} \mathrm{Ng} 3+53 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Nfl}+$ $54 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Ng} 3+55 \mathrm{Kh1} \mathrm{Ng} 3+53 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Nf1+}$ $57 \mathrm{Qh} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 4 \quad 58 \mathrm{Qh} 4+\mathrm{Kf} 3 \quad 59 \mathrm{Ne} 1+\mathrm{Kf} 2$ $60 \mathrm{Kh} 2,1-0$.
McLAREN - STUART, Sicilian 2 f 4 :
1 e4 c5 2 f4 Nc6 3 Nf3 e6 4 Nc3 Nge7 5 d 4 cxd4 $6 \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \quad 7$ Qxd4 Nc6 8 Qf2 d5 9 Bd2 dxe4 10 Nxe4 Be7 11 $0-0-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 12 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \quad \mathrm{Qc} 713 \mathrm{Qg} 3 \mathrm{f} 614$

 Bxe5 21 fxe5 Bd7 22 Rhel Rad8 23 Qh4 $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Bc} 8 & 24 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 4 & 25 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Rfd8} 26 \mathrm{Kbl} \mathrm{b} 6\end{array}$ 27 Qf2 Ba6 28 gxf5 Bxd3 29 Rxd3 Rxd3 30 cxd3 Qd7 $31 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 8 \quad 32 \mathrm{f} 6 \mathrm{gxf} 6 \quad 33$ exf6 Qf $7 \quad 34 \mathrm{Qg} 2+\mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 35$ Rxe $6 \mathrm{Rg} 8 \quad 36$ Qe4 Rg1+ $37 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 7+38 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ Qf7 39 Ka3 $\mathrm{Q} 8+40 \mathrm{Re} 7,1$ - 0
Scores with two rounds to go: Small

7娄; Lloyd 7; Sarfati 5 $\frac{1}{2}$; Garbett \& Ker 5; Green \& McLaren 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Cornford $\&$ Freeman 31 $\frac{1}{2}$; Stuart 3; Dowden \& Pome oy $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

## ROUND TEN, 7 January

Much against the run of play this round saw all six games drawn. Small Garbett was very short but Lloyd was unable to catch up and was actually ucky to survive a resurgent Pomeroy stuart gained an opening advantage rom Ker but played for the endgame win which never quite eventuated. Mclaren probably enjoyed gaining an advantage with his isolated pawn but Green managed to hold him off. Cornford and Sarfati played yet another Worrall Attack, the latter gaining his usual advantage but ailing to press it home. Dowden got much the better of Freeman but fear of catching Freeman's cold led him to offer a draw.
Scores: Small 8; Lloyd 7 $\frac{1}{2}$; Sarfati 6; Garbett \& Ker $5 \frac{1}{2}$; Green \& McLaren 5; Cornford \& Freeman 4; Stuart 3仿; oowden \& Pomeroy 3.
POMEROY - LLOYD, Sicilian Dragon:
e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nf6 5 Nc 3 g $6 \quad 6 \mathrm{~g} 3$ Nc6 7 Nde2 Bg 78 3g2 0-0 $\quad 9 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 10 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 11 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 4$ 12 Be 3 a5 13 Nd4 Bc6 14 Qd2 Qc7 15 44 Rfd8 16 Qf2 Nd7 17 Rael Nc5 18 Nxc6 bxc6 19 e5 f5 20 Bxc5 dxc5 21 Rd1 Rd4 22 Ne2 Rxd1 23 Rxd1 Rd8 24 Nc3 Rdl 25 Ndl Qd7 26 Bf3 Qd4, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## ROUND ELEVEN, 8 January

With at least clear second guaranteed, most interest centred on whether Lloyd would try to beat Small to gain his ver, gained the advanta out, how ver, gaind the advantage out of the pening and brou Sarfati agreed
sarfati agreed a quick draw with Pomeoy which left Garbett and Ker with the could win their respective if they they did in contrasting styles. Garbet nade short work of Freeman's experiment ith the Alekhine Defence; whil Fre man was busy trying to encourage his apponent to win only the exchange, imply mated the king instead Greal ooked to be doing well against Ker but late blunder gave Ker the required in.
Cornford played a series of anti-
positional moves against Mclaren and won with a lightning kingside attack while Dowden and Stuart decided there
was nothing to be gained by exerting themselves in the last round The final scores

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 8 |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | x | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | x | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4 |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | x | 0 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | x | $3 \frac{1 / 2}{2}$ |

chess for most of the tournament and only a middle-round slump prevented a higher placing. He rallied with a fine win over Sarfati to end that player's chances. Leonard McLaren, one of the last-minute substitutes, can be well pleased with his first Championship. Almost all of his games were close encounters. The main feature of his play with the black was the presence of an isolated d-pawn. Lindsay Cornford played a mixture of good and bad chess; unlike last year when he was the drawing master, this year saw him with the smallest number of draws.
The rest of the field can all be disappointed with their results. Freeman started well but was far too rusty after an inactive year while Peter Stuart's three losses with the Sicilian prevented him from getting a good result. Tony Dowden can lay claim to being the unluckiest player; he threw away several polnts by tossing away good positions. Arthur Pomeroy had a disastrous start but slowly found some form towards the end

Vernon Small took away $\$ 600$ for his efforts and Lloyd \$400. Garbett, Ker and Sarfati each won $\$ 167$ for finishing third equal.
For the statistically minded, White won 21 games, Black 16, while 29 were drawn. This is the highest percentage of wins ( $6.1 \%$ ) since the Centennal Congress of 19 , incidentally also held at Upper Hutl. The two previous Comes it seems that this year's 10 knew how to win with Black as well.

Noble M.F.
Cordue P.

## PREMIER RESERVE TOURNAMENT

Aldridge G.J.
Spiller P.S
Wilkinson E.M.
Dive R.J.
Metge J.N.
Steadman M.V.R. Alexander B.J. Wilson M.C. Hensman P.J. Gibbons R.E Connor B. P.
Cooper D.J
Hart R.
Adams J.
Stephenson J
Marner G.
Ferguson R.T.
Bennett H.P.
Spiller T.W.L.
Gloistein B .
Robinson J.P.
Collins P.W.
Collins P.W.
Brown S.A.
Brown S.A.
Goodhue N .
van Ginkel J.P
Lukey S.
Lukey $S$.
Ker C.M.
Martin-Buss B.
Cunninguss B.
Frankel $Z$.
Corry R.J.
Post M.J.
Mazur J.
Wigbout M.
Reid A.V.
Carter $G$.
Grkow A.
Price A.J.
Price A.J.
King P.C.
Stracy D.M
Ruth S.
Goodwillie C.
Bennell D.J.
Dunn $P$.
Dunn P .
Wood R.J.
Opferman H. UH

|  |  |  | R. 1 | . 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | . 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | R. 8 | R. 9 | R10 | R11 | T' | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Bennett P.E. | UH | L20 | L70 | W64 | D67 | W66 | W56 | D38 | L37 | L19 | L5I | W61 | 5 | 52 |
| 53 | Okey K.M. | $N P$ | L55 | L42 | W74 | L68 | W63 | W62 | L36 | L31 | W59 | L22 | W60 | 5 | 48 |
| 54 | Middleton J. | UH | L38 | D44 | W32 | L17 | L13 | W49 | L48 | W55 | L41 | W69 | L40 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 59 |
| 55 | Sutherland J.L. | $\bigcirc$ | W53 | W57 | L6 | L.11 | L25 | L30 | D50 | L54 | W56 | L40 | W67 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 59 |
| 56 | Bojtor J. | Rem | W70 | W20 | D9 | L1 | L14 | L52 | L60 | L43 | L55 | W68 | W69 | 412 | 58 |
| 57 | Marsick B.H.P. | Ham | W43 | L55 | D69 | D60 | W29 | L13 | D24 | L22 | D61 | L64 | D59 | 412 | 57 |
| 58 | Ramsay W. | W | L37 | L30 | W59 | L25 | W65 | L29 | D45 | L50 | L64 | W62 | W66* | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 531/2 |
| 59 | Morrison M.K. | A | L35 | L61 | L58 | W74 | W51 | 167 | D71 | D62 | L53 | W66 | D57 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 43 |
| 60 | Edwards D.W. | C | D17 | L23 | W50 | D57 | D40 | L37 | W56 | L33 | L46 | D64 | L53 | 4 | 581/2 |
| 61 | Bennett D. | Wnm | D40 | W59 | L7 | L21 | L46 | W69 | L34 | W71 | D57 | L39 | L52 | 4 | $56 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 62 | Reid $P$. | UH | W44 | L35 | L18 | W34 | L26 | L53 | D67 | D59 | L47 | L58 | W72 | 4 | 55 |
| 63 | Healey R. | UH | L36 | D46 | L4 | L32 | L53 | W74 | D69 | W66 | D67 | D50 | L47 | 4 | 501/2 |
| 64 | Chandler J. | NS | L39 | L13 | L52 | L65 | W74 | L33 | D49 | W72 | W58 | D60 | L50 | 4 | 491/2 |
| 65 | Phillips J.N. | Wnm | L34 | L33 | L67 | W64 | L58 | W73 | L42 | L49 | L66 | W74 | W70 | 4 | 44/21 |
| 66 | Lee C.T. | UH | W30 | W18 | L2 | L8 | L52 | D34 | L40 | L63 | W65 | L59 | L58* | 31/2 | 62 |
| 67 | Kay J.B. | Civ | L2 | L68 | W65 | D52 | L31 | W59 | D62 | L45 | D63 | L49 | L55 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 54 |
| 68 | Sievey J.C. | $\bigcirc$ | L15 | W67 | L16 | W53 | L44 | L17 | L33 | D69 | L51 | L56 | W74 | 312 | 54 |
| 69 | Duhs A. | NS | W26 | L38 | D57 | L4 | L48 | L61 | D63 | D68 | W71 | L54 | L56 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 521/2 |
| 70 | McLean R.E. | HV | L56 | W52 | L27 | L39 | L32 | L50 | D73 | D74 | D72 | W71 | L65 | 31/2 | 451/2 |
| 71 | Shardy Z . | Civ | L11 | W74 | W34 | L27 | L18 | L28 | D59 | L61 | L69 | L70 | D73 | 3 | 51 |
| 72 | Parlane L.N. | NS | L16 | L29 | D47 | L48 | L45 | L51 | W74 | L64 | D70 | W73 | L62 |  | 48 |
| 73 | Allen E.g. | Che | D23 | L40 | L44 | L46 | D50 | L65 | D70 | L51 | W74 | 2 | D71 | 3 | 46 |

4 Stinson I.P.

## R. 1 R. 2 R. 3 R. 4 R. 5 R. 6 R. 7 R. 8 R. 9 R10 Rll T'1 SOS

Bojtor J.
Marsick B.H.P
Ramsay W .
Edwards D.W.
Bennett $D$.
Reid $P$.
Healey R.
Phillips J.N.
Lee C.T.
Kievey J. J.C.
Sievey
Duhs A.
McLean R.E.
Shardy $Z$.
Parlane L.
Allen E.G.
Wnm

L5 L71 L53 L59 L64 L63 L72 D70 L73 L65 L68
a draw offer after just 12 moves. The next hopeful was Michael Steadman who went all out to crush Noble and nearly succeeded. Adjournment, however saw Noble a pawn up in an endgame but he subsequently lost the exchange, the game nevertheless being finally drawn. Cordue beat Hensman in spite of his vegetable opening ( $1 \mathrm{~g} 3,2 \mathrm{Bg} 2,3 \mathrm{c} 3$ ) to move only half a point behind Noble. Round ten saw some scrappy chess with Noble-Aldridge being drawn after a good fight and Steadman losing to Cordue through trying to play an endgame without knowing anything about endgames. Thus, going into the last round, Cordue and Noble shared the lead with Paul Spiller only a $\frac{1}{2}$ point behind. The game P.Spiller-Cordue was an unambitious draw while a nervous Noble allowed Metge to quickly reach a winning endgame which, alas, he failed to win. Hence Cordue and Noble came first equal with and fourth places. Gibbons held Wilkinson to a draw and the latter joined Dive and Metge in a tie for the next three places.

In closing, we want to make two observations. First, the C-grade (preferably renamed the "Reserve") should have included the bottom 15 or so players from the Premier Reserve. Secondly, the three women playing failed to shine. Now these women are inevitably in the limited pool from which olympiad selections are made It seems essential that NZCA develop a formal coaching and preparation programme for players hopeful of represent ing New Zealand.

Editor's note: the authors were under time pressure in preparing this report for the February issue, hence were un able to provide any annotated games. They did, however, select the following as being among the most interesting.
WILKINSON-STEADMAN, Scandinavian Def: 1 e4 d5 2 exd5 Qxd5 3 Nc 3 Qd 84 d 4
 $\mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 59 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 510 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 11 \mathrm{Ng} 3$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}N h 4 & 12 & 0-0 & 0-0 & 13 \mathrm{Khl} & \mathrm{Qd} 7 & 14 \mathrm{Qe} 2 & \mathrm{Ng} 4\end{array}$ 15 f3 Nf6 16 Rad 1 Re8 17 Rfel h6 18

 25 axb5 a5 26 Qf4 Rxc3 27 bxc3 Nxd5 28 Bxd5 Bxd5 29 c4 e5 30 Qxg4 Qxg4 31 fxg4 Bxc4 32 b6 e4 33 Redl Bf6 34 b7 a4 35 Nxe4, $1-0$.

CORDUE - DIVE, King's Indian Attack e4 e6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nd} 2$ c5 4 Ngf 3 Nc 6 g3 Nf6 $6 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 70-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{Re} 1$ fl 5 C3 Ras 13 Nf 5 Na 11 Qe2 a6 12 416 Ne4 Bf4 Nf8 14 h4 Bb7 15 Nh2

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |



19 Nf6+ Kh8 20 gg Bxf6 21 exf6 Qd7 22 Ne 4 gxf 6 23 Nxf6 Qc8 24 Bh6 e5 25 Bxf8 Qf5 26 Qh6 Qg6 27 Be4 Qxh6 28 Bxh6 Rd6 29 Ng4 Rg8 $30 \operatorname{Bg} 5$
Redl, $1-0$.
ALDRIDGE-ADAMS, English Opening: 1 c4 e5 2 Nc 3 d6 3 e4 f5 4 c5 Nf6 5 cxd6 cxd6 6 exf5 Bxf5 7 d4 Nc6 8 Bb Nxal 12 Nd 4 dxe5 13 Nxf5 a6 14 Bg Qc8 15 Nd6+ Bxd6 16 Qxd6, $1-0$. NOBLE - CORDUE, Modern Defence: $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 7$
 9 dxe5 Nxe5 10 Nxe5 dxe5 11 Nb 3 Qc 7 12 Qe2 a5 13 Be3 b6 14 Rfd1 f5 15 Qc4+ Kh8 $\quad 16$ exf5 gxf5 $17 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 5$ a4 18 Bxe7 Qxe7 19 Qxc6 e4 20 Qxa8 axb3 21 Qc6 Qf7 22 axb3 $\mathrm{Qxb} 3 \quad 23 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Be} 624$ Ra3 Qc4 25 Qxb6 f4 26 gxf4 Rg8 27 Rd8 Bf8 28 Raa8 e3 29 Qxe3 Bg7 30 Rxg8+ Bxg8, 1 - 0 .
METGE - HART, King's Indian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc 3 Bg 74 e4 5 f4 0-0 6 Nf3 c5 7 d5 e6 8 Be 2 exd5 9 e5 Ne4 10 cxd5 Nxc3 11 bxc3 Bg4 12 $0-0$ Bxf3 13 Rxf3 dxe5 14 fxe5 Bxe5 15 Bh 6 Bg 716 Bxg 7 Kxg 717 Qd 2 h 6 c4 Ne6 19 Rafi Nd4 20 Rf4 Qe7 21 Rxd4 cxd4 22 Qxd4+ Kg8 23 Bf3 Qb4 24 d6 Rac8 25 d 7 Qxc4 26 Qxa7 Qc5+, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
CONNOR - P.SPILLER, Caro-Kann:
1 e 4 c $6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3$ exd5 cxd5 4 Bd3 Nc6 5 c3 g6 6 Nf3 $3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Nh} 68$ Qb3 0-0 9 0-0 Kh8 10 Na3 f6 11 c 4 e5 12 dxe5 fxe5 13 Bxh6 Bxh6 14 cxd5 Kxf2 Qd4+ 18 Kel Bg4 $19 \mathrm{Nc} 2 \mathrm{Og} 1+\quad 20$ Bf1 Rf8 21 Qc4 Qf2 mate, $0-1$. ALDRIDGE - NOBLE, Symmetrical English: 1 c4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{c5} 3 \mathrm{~g} 3$ e6 4 Bg 2 Nc 5 d3 Be7 $6 \mathrm{Nh} 3 \mathrm{0} 0 \quad 7 \mathrm{O} 0 \mathrm{a} 68 \mathrm{Be} 3$


19...Rxf4 20 Rxf4 Bxg5

21 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rxf } 8+ & \text { Kxf } 81 & 22 \\ \text { Qxh7 } & \text { Qf6 } & 23 & \text { Qc7 } 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Qxh7 } \\ \mathrm{Ra} 8 & 24 \mathrm{Re} & \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{Bxd}\end{array}$ | Ra8 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25 | Qxd $6+\mathrm{Kg} 8$ |
| 26 |  | $\begin{array}{rrr}25 \\ \text { Rfl } & \mathrm{Be} 3+27 \mathrm{Kg} 2\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Qg} 7 \quad 28$ Bxg6 Bd7 $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { Qg } & 28 & \mathrm{Bxg6} & \mathrm{Bd7} \\ 29 & \mathrm{Bf} 7+\mathrm{Qxf} 7 & 30\end{array}$ Rxf7 $7 \mathrm{Bc} 6+31 \mathrm{Kf}$ Kxf7 32 Qg3 Kg6?? 33 Qxe3 [33...Rf8 $34 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Nf} 3+35 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Nd4t}$ repeats], $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

WILLIAMS - H.BENNETT, Scandinavian Def 1 e4 d5 2 exd5 Nf6 3 c4 c6 4 dxc6 Nxc6 5 Nf3 e5 6 d3 e 47 dxe 4 Qxdl+ 8 Kxd1 Nxe4 9 Be3 Bf5 $10 \mathrm{Be} 20-0-0+$ $11 \mathrm{Kc} 1 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 12 \mathrm{Bxc} 5 \mathrm{Nxc} 513 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 4$ 14 Nh 4 Nxa2+, $0-1$.

POST - HENSMAN, Petroff Defence
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4 5 d4 d5 6 Bd3 Be7 7 0-0 0-0 Rel f5 9 Ne5 Nc6 10 Nxc6 bxc6 11 f3 Bd6 12 Qe2 Qh4 13 g 3 Bxg3 14 hxg 3 Qxg3+ $15 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{f} 4,0-1$.

## The C-Grade

The validity of the comments of the authors of the Premier Reserve report about the bottom 15 or so players competing instead in the c-grade was confirmed when just 13 entered this tournament, a highly unsatisfactory number for an 11-round Swiss!
The draw was further complicated when one player (Weyers) withdrew after eight rounds. The last three rounds were drawn (with some difficulty) at this point. With a rating limit of 1350 , seedings did not mean very much as evidenced by the fact that the eventual winner played the joint runners-up in rounds 1 and 3. Mark Capie (15) overcame a slow start (conceding a loss and a draw to the two runners-up) to eventually outpace the rest of the field with 8 wins in a row. Scores: 1 M.Capie (HV) $9 \frac{1}{2}$; 2-3 S.Wang (W) \& L.R.Jones (W) $8 \frac{1}{2}$; 4 J.R.Simmons (Civ) 8; 5-6 I.MeNally (NS) \& T.K. Pledger (W) 7; 7 W. Sidwell (Wai) 612; 8 M. Dunningham (Has) 5; 9-10 F.Hince (UH) \& C.H.Webber (UH) 4; 11 R.Weyers (Wai) 3; 12 M. Houlahan (Twa) 2; 13 D.G. Vucetich (UH) 1.

## LOCAL NEWS

A wrap-up of late 1984 activities AUCKLAND CENTRE $\mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$

123456789
1 Hopewell N. H. $\quad \begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
2 Cornford L.H. $0 \times 10 \begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 1\end{array}$

4 Sharko A.V. $\quad 0 \quad 0 \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111$

6 Metge J.N. $\quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & x & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 7 & \text { Rawnsley L.D. } \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 / 2 & 1\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8 & \text { Stephenson J.R. } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & x & 0 & 2^{11} \\ 9 & \text { Fitzpatrick } & \text { S. } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & x & 112\end{array}$
An impressive win for Nigel Hopewell who has previously been overshadowed by brother Michael
The B-grade was won by Sean McRae with $6 \frac{1}{2} / 8$ followed by Simon van Dam on $5 \frac{1}{2}$ and Nick Bridges on 5 .

Ken Grace won the c-grade with $7 \frac{1}{2} / 8$ while John McClory took the D-grade with 7/8.
The following game was played in the A-grade. Notes are by Lindsay Cornford. METGE - CORNFORD, Nimzoindian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 0-0 5 Bd3 Re8! [This versatile move is more or less ignored by most of the standard texts on this opening. However, it leaves all the central pawn options (c5, $d 5$ and e5) available and also permits Bf8 in response to a31 6 g4!? II suspect $g$-pawns should be nailed to the board] ....d. Much better than 6..... weaken ing the kingside) 7 Bd es.? NNow White must justify his 6th move. Nevertheles Black's queenside is undeveloped and White has good, is after opening up the position with dxe5 8 g Bxc3 9 bxc3 [Better was 9 bxc3) 9 …Ne4 10 h4. Nhe sequel shows that dxes was essential) $10 . .$. exd 411 Bxd4 13 Nb3 Nud4 14 exd4 Qo7! 15 Ne 2 Bg 4 $16 \mathrm{Kf} 1 \mathrm{Nd} 2+17 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Bxe} 218 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{Bxd} 319$ Qxd3 Qxb2, $0-1$.

## OTAGO V TIMARU

The Otago Chess Club accepted a kind invitation to visit the Timaru club on 3 rd November. The afternoon saw a match解 0 R. Prestage 1. J. C. Sievey 1, W. Fix 0;
E.Puddle 0, A.Shewan 1; R.C.E.Gonin 1, H.Wilson 0; A. Patton 1, L.Staite 0; D. Cameron 1, R.Harrison 0; A.R.Chang 1, T. Varghese 0; G.M.Williams 1, P. Daly 0 .

In the evening two sections of four from each club had an enjoyable bell \& buzzer tournament, Otago just holding out

## CANTERBURY $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}$

Bruce Anderson scored a runaway victory in the 1984 Canterbury Club Championship despite suffering a double default.

## 123456789

1 Anderson B.R.
2 Hampl M.
3 Leese M
4 Wilson M.C.
5 Gloistein $B$
6 Nijman B.
x1111-11111
B. $\quad \begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}$

9 Jordan A.W. $\quad 000001000 \frac{1 / 2}{2} \mathrm{x} \quad 1^{\frac{1}{2}}$
The B-grade was won by Stephen Lukey ith 6/7. Then came: 2 R. Colthart $5 \frac{1}{2}$; 3-4 S. Kelly \& D.W.Edwards 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 5-6 P. itzgibbon \& K. Brannigan 2 $\frac{1}{2}$; 7 R. Hil作 1 ; 8 R.Scott $\frac{1}{2}$
An "Invitation" tournament, also organised by the Club, was won by Ben
lexander who conceded only two draws,
o Wilson and Hampl.
Scores: 1 B.J.Alexander 6/7; 2 M.C. ilson 5, M . Nijman 1; 8 E.M.Wilkinson 0.

## HASTINGS \& HAVELOCK NORTH C.C.

Once again Dave Lynch, in good form, proved how hard he is to beat when he lub 10 f $11 / 14$ in f lift f the H Residen 0 in capped off a good year by in July, second a point behind lynch and a point econd, a point behind lynch and a point Scores: I D. Lynch 11, 2 ce Larle
3 M Earle 9,4 D Chrisie 71 , 5 Locke $6 \frac{1}{2}, 6$ J. Bake 5,7 W. McLean $4 \frac{1}{2}, 8$ M. Dunningham $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

The B-grade was dominated by Roy Gordon who won a similar double round-
robin with 11 points. The scores: l R. Gordon 11, 2 D. Gratton 91 $\frac{1}{2}, 3$ P. Crowe 9, 4 M. McKenzie $8 \frac{1}{2}, 5$ D. Cumberbeach 8,6 D. Porteous 6, 7-8 K. Runge \& A. Gay 2.

The C-grade was won by Jim Whitaker with $8 \frac{1}{2} / 10$ followed by: 2 G . Sloane $7 \frac{1}{2}$, 3 K . Grinter 6, 4 T . Hay $4 \frac{1}{2}$, 5 C .Webley 3, 6 B. Fowler $\frac{1}{2}$.
Derek Christie was awarded the prize for the most improved player and also won the trophy for the Lightning Ch'p which he won in fine fashion.

## NORTH SHORE C.C.

Peter Weir won the club's Spring Tournament with $6 \frac{1}{2} / 7$, well clear of Ron Feasey on 5 points. Then came Ralph Hart and Greg Spencer-Smith 4, G.L.Pitts 3iz, R.L.Poor $2 \frac{1}{2}$, P.A.Spencer-Smith $1 \frac{1}{2} \& P$.R Snelson 1.
Bob Johnstone won the second grade with $6 / 7$ with Graham Mears and Ian Atkinson sharing second on $4 \frac{1}{2}$. Ian McIntyre and Dave Langley tied for first in grade 3 while youngster David Boyd took the fourth grade with $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6$.
The final event for 1984 was the Club Lightning Championship which was jointly won by Peter Stuart and Paul Garbett who P B Weir and P Hart on 13 third were P.B.Weir and R.hart on 13 points while R.A.Feasey (12) and G.L.Pitts ( $10 \frac{1}{2}$ ) took th and sixth places.
The B-grade Lightning Ch'p was won by Dave Newnan ( $15 / 16$ ) ahead of J.K. Boyd
(13), A. Duhs \& P.F.van der Mey (each 11) Greg Spencer-Smith was awarded the ost lmproved Player trophy for the second time in three years while a warded to popular Vice spider Winsor for repording therian linsor bor populat loss during the year

## OTAGO 'CHESS FOR FUN'

After three grading rounds, there were five rounds of competition proper in three groups of six.
In Section 1 (Evening Star Trophy) there was a first-place tie between renchmen P1us 3 and P.H. Factor with 4/20. Equal third
SAFMO and Flying In.
Section 2 (Sir John Walsh Cup) was won by O.B.H.S. and Section 3 by Haggis!

## OVERSEAS NEWS

123456789012
1 Miles
2 Hübner
3 Tukmakov
4 Ribli
5 Belyavsky
6 Ljubojevic
7 Portisch
8 Timman
9 Andersson
10 Smyslov
11 Sosonko
$\times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 11 \frac{1}{2} 111$ $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111 \frac{1}{2} / \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 1$
 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \times 100 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111$




Played in October, this was one of the strongest tournaments of 1984 (Category 14, 2595) but it might have been even stronger if Korchnoi had not declined his invitation and Karpov (a three-time winner) had not been otherwise engaged.
Tony Miles victory was his best-ever result - $8 / 11$ in such company (all GMs ) and a $1 \frac{1}{2}$ point margin to second is really impressive. Miles started with four draws but a five game winning streak saw him break clear of the field.

WOMEN'S WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP
The best-of-16 match for the Women's World Championship was played at Volgograd concurrently with (the first part of) the World Championship at Moscow.
Maya Chiburdanidze retained her title after 13 games, a win in game 14 giving her victory in the match. As can be seen from the results below, Irina Levitina twice took the lead before collapsing in the second half of the match.

12345678901234
Chiburdanidze $\frac{1}{2} / \frac{1}{2} 01 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 011 \frac{1}{2} 11 \frac{1}{2} \quad 8 \frac{1}{2}$ Levitina

## ZURICH

John Nunn scored another English success in winning this 9 -round Swiss held to cele brate the 175th anniversary of the Zurich Chess Club. The Spanish gm Juan Bellon also made a strong showing, inflicting a surprise defeat on Korchnoi in round one.
Leading scores: 1 GM Nunn (ENG) 6; 2-7 GM Bellon (SPA), GM Gheorghiu (RUM), GM

Korchnoi (SWI), GM Seirawan (USA), GM Sosonko (NLD) \& GM Spassky (FRA) $5 \frac{1}{2}$; 8-9 GM Hort (CZE) \& IM Kindermann (BRD) 5.

## YEREVAN

The memorial tournament for former World Champion Tigran Petrosian was won by his namesake Arshak Petrosian. Scores: 1 IM A.Petrosian (USR) $10 \frac{1}{2}$; 2 GM Tseshkovsky (USR) 9; 3-6 Eolian (USR), IM Lputyan (USR), IM Short (ENG) \& IM Szekely (HUN) 8; 7-9 IM Mnatsakanian (USR), Novikov (USR) \& GM A.Rodriguez (CUB) 7눈 ; 10-13 GM Kholmov (USR), IM Lukov (BUL), IM Prandstetter (CZE) \& IM Pytel (POL) 7; 14 GM Rashkovsky (USR) 6年; 15 Malaniuk (USR) 6; 16 GM Kupreichik (USR) $5 \frac{1}{2}$.

## SOCHI

The World's highest rated IM, Georgy Agzamov, won the 18th Tchigoin Memorial at this Black Sea resort in October. Agzamov's GM title was warded a month later at Thessaloniki Scores: 1 IM Agzamov (USR) $10 \frac{1}{2} ; 2$ GM Romanishin (USR) 91 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3 GM Psakhis (USR) 9 ; 4-5 GM Tal (USR) \& Yrjöäa (FIN) 8; 6 IM Vaiser (USR) 7 $\frac{1}{2}$; 7-8 GM Geller (USR) \& GM Sveshnikov (USR) 7; 9 IM Barlov (YUG) $6 \frac{1}{2}$; $10-11$ IM ukacs (HUN) \& IM Ubilava (USR) 6; 12-13 IM Groszpeter (HUN) \& IM Plaskett (ENG) $5 \frac{1}{2}$; 14-15 IM Bönsch (DDR) IM Pribyl (CZE) 4 $\frac{1}{2}$.

## ASIAN JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

The 6 th Asian Junior Championship in October at Coimbatore (India) was won by 15 -year old Viswanathan Anand who already had one IM norm.
Scores: 1 Anand (IND) 712 $; 2$ Perera (SRI) 7; 3 Waqar (PAK) 6; 4 Mishra (IND) 51 ; 5-6 Drummond (AUS) \& Khan (BAN) 5; 7 Soh (SIN) 4; 8 Arokiaraj (IND) 3; 9 Vijayendran (MAL) 2; 10 Shamsi (YAR) 0 .

NOVI SAD
Predrag Nikolic scored To page 32

All Canterbury Championship
by Mark Wilson

| R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | T'1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W12 | W3 | W7 | D2 | W5 | D4 | 5 |  |
| W4 | W11 | D5 | D1 | W8 | D3 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $21 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| W14 | L1 | W10 | W8 | W7 | D2 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 181/2 |
| L2 | W6 | L8 | W12 | W11 | D1 | 3六 | 20 |
| L11 | W14 | D2 | W6 | L1 | W7* | 31/2 | 18 |
| D9 | L4 | W14 | L5 | W10 | W12 | 31/2 | 15 |
| W8 | W10 | L1 | W11 | L3 | L5* | 3 | 21 |
| L7 | W9 | W4 | L3 | L2 | W13 | 3 | 20 |
| D6 | L8 | D12 | L10 | W13 | W11 | 3 | 18 |
| W13 | L7 | L3 | W9 | L6 | W14 | 3 | 1513 |
| W5 | L2 | W13 | L7 | L4 | L9 | 2 | 19 |
| L1 | D13 | D9 | L4 | W14 | L6 | 2 | 1712 |
| L10 | D12 | L11 | W14 | L9 | L8 | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| L3 | L5 | L6 | L13 | L12 | L10 | 0 |  |

The inaugural All Canterbury Championships were held at the Canterbury Chess Club on three consecutive Saturdays, starting from 17 November. This event brainchild of club secretary, Ben Alexander - was not perhaps as strong as he would have liked but nevertheless attracted entries of 14 (A grade) and 10 (B grade). The top seeds in the $A$ grade were David Weegenaar (now living in Christchurch), Ari Nijman, Ben Alexander, Mark Wilson and Michael Hampl. An unknown quantity was Hammond Williamson who was making a return to serious play. The time control of 40 moves in 2 hours provided the opportunity for some reasonable chess. Few took advantage, however, and large fluctuations of fortune characterised many games.
Round 1 - some surprises here. Nijman went down to Ed Wilkinson in a typically vicious game while Dean Edwards missed a forced win in drawing with Williamson and Quentin Johnson disposed of Owen Thomson. Alexander won surprisingly quickly versus Lukey after blundering a pawn.
Round 2 - Mark Wilson defeated Hamp1 when the latter inexplicably put up no resistance. The first of the aforementioned up-and-down games emerged as Russell Wilson managed to beat Williamson after looking very sick at one stage. Nijman had great difficult

Alexander looked much better than in he first round in beating Wilkinson. Round 3 - On the top board Weegenaar's pace advantage in a closed Sicilian did ot compensate for thoughtless manoeuving and Mark Wilson managed to break ree, victory for the latter coming very soon after. Russell Wilson was involved in another topsyturvy game in which he apparently bluffed Lukey into not taking a free piece and won the ending. 'Highlight' of the round was Ed Wilkinson's effort against Thomson; veak opening play by Ed led to a dead lost ending a piece down but he obviously had not heard of resignation and his victory brought mutterings from spectators of publishing the game as "Wilkinson's Immoral!'
Round 4 - a much quieter round. Mark Wilson and Alexander drew with little fuss, Hampl withstood Russell Wilson's roglodyte attack and won, while Nijma beat Edwards rather quickly with 1 b3. Scores with one day to play were: M. Wilson $3 \frac{1}{2}$; Alexander, Hampl \& Weegenaar 3; Nijman $2 \frac{1}{2}$.
$\frac{\text { Round } 5}{}$ - As the run-up to the finish begani Mark Wilson beat Nijman in a har game with the advantage changing hands any times. Alexander beat Russell on very easily and Hanpl won well gainst Weegenaar wo promptly decided fter roun 3). (ther incredible are Willin the exchate upab
to administer the coup de grace to Lukey＇s position，pin a piece only to see it capture another with check． The scores，with one round to go，
were：M．Wilson $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ，Alexander $\&$ Hampl 4，Lukey \＆Weegenaar 3 ．
，Lukey \＆Weegenaar 3
Round 6 －The final round saw Mark Wilson draw with Lukey in what later proved to be a winning position，thus Hampl had a none too strenuous draw Nijman beat Weegenaar who failed to appear（he really meant it this tiol） and Edwards clawed his way up to $3^{1 \frac{1}{2}}$ by winning against Co1thart，seemingly by willpower alone．
The winner＇s result was achieved by a mixture of opponents＇blunders and some passive resistance after aggression re－ bounded．However，he was arguably never losing in any game．
Of the second prize winners，Hampl played sounder chess on the whole but Alexander managed to remain undefeated． Stephen Lukey，Dean Edwards and Quen－ tin Johnson all scored well while Ham－ mond Williamson＇s play showed the effects of a long period of inactivity． David（I＇ll be back to get you）Weege－ naar played as well as could be expected but his behaviour was not up to stan－

The B－grade saw the favourite，John Wilkes，score $5 / 5$ and then lose his last game with overall victory already assured．A very young field produced many quick games；it was not uncommon for all the B－grade games to be finished before one had ended in the A－grade
B－grade scores： 1 J．Wilkes 5／6； 2 J Barrett 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；3－4 O．Pritchard \＆K．Millar 4；5－6 F．Dalziel \＆M．Shanahan 3늘；7－9 C．Newson，D．Lewis \＆J．Kirk 2； 10 A ． Dunn 0.

There were no complaints about the very efficient direction of play by Ben Alexander who tackled a difficult assignment well．All credit to Ben for his work in organising this tournament which，I am sure，is destined to be an annual event．

## WEEGENAAR－M．WILSON，Closed Sicilian：

 $\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{c} 5 & 2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 & \mathrm{Nc} 6 & 3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 & 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7\end{array}$ 5 d3 e6 6 Be3 d6 7 Od2 Nge 78 Nh3 Nd4 9 0－0 Bd7 10 Rael 0－0 11 Bh6 Nec6 12 Bxg7 Kxg7 13 Nd 1 e5 $14 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 7 \quad 15 \mathrm{c} 3$ Ndc6 16 Ne3 f6 $17 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 18 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6$ 19 Nhf 2 Rh8 $20 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 8 \mathrm{R}_{2} 21 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{Nge7} 22$Nh3 Ng8 23 Khl Kf8 24 Ne 2 Qa5 25 a3 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Rd8 } & 26 & \text { b4 } 4 \text { Qc7 } & 27 & \text { Ne3 } & \text { Nce } 7 & 28 & \text { Rbl } 1\end{array}$ $29 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 30 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{Qa} 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 32$ Bh5 Rh7 33 cxd4 Rxd4 34 Qc2 cxb4 35 axb4 Qb6 $36 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \mathrm{Qd8} 37 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 38 \mathrm{Nd} 2$ Qd6 39 hxg5 hxg5 40 Rh1？Nxf5 41 Kf 2 g4！ 42 Bxg4 Rxd3 43 Nfl Nxg3 44 Qxd3 Nxh1＋， 0 － 1.
ALEXANDER－WILKINSON，QGD Tarrasch： 1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 Nf6 $4 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Be7}$ $5 \mathrm{Bg} 20_{0} 060-0$ c5 7 cxd5 exd5 8 Nc 3 h6 9 b3 Be6 10 Bb2 Qd7 11 dxc5 Bxc5 $12 \mathrm{Rcl} 1 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Rel} \mathrm{Na} 6 \quad 14$ e4 dxe $4 \quad 15$ Nxe4 Nxe4 16 Rxe4 Rfd8 17 Rd4 Qe7 18 Qe2 Ba3 19 Bxa3 Qxa3 20 Rcdl Re8 21 Qd2 Qe7 22 Ne5 Rac8 23 Rd6 Qc7 24 Qd4 Kf8 25 h 4 Ra 826 Qe4 Nc5 27 Qh7 Rad8 28 Rxd8 Rxd8 29 Rxd8＋Qxd8 30 Qh8＋Ke7 $31 \quad$ Qxg7 Qd2 $\quad 32 \mathrm{Ng} 6+\mathrm{Kd} 7 \quad 33$ Nf8＋Kd6 34 Nxe6 Nxe6 35 Qxf7 Qxa2 36 Qxb7 a5 37 Qd5＋Ke7 38 Bh3 Qbl＋ 39 Kg 2 Qel 40 Qxe6＋Qxe6 41 Bxe6 Kxe6 42 Kf 3 Kd 543 Ke 3 Kc 544 Kd 3 Kb 445 Kc2 Ka3 46 f4 a4 47 bxa4， $1-0$.
笪 管

## OVERSEAS NEWS contd

another noteworthy success in taking irst prize in this category 12 even which was intended as training for the Yugoslav Olympiad team except for Lju－ bojevic（at Tilburg）and Gligoric（at Moscow）．The results of Kovacevic and Kurajica，however，apparently set a cat among the proverbial pigeons．
Scores：1 GM P．Nikolic（YUG）7 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；2－3 GM Popovic（YUG）\＆IM Sokolov（USR）7； 4－7 IM Cebalo（YUG），GM Ftacnik（CZE）， GM Smejkal（CZE）\＆GM Torre（PHI）6；8 GM Velimirovic（YUG）5 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；9－10 GM Ivano－ vic（YUG）\＆GM Marjanovic（YUG）5； 11 GM Kurajica（YUG）3； 12 GM Kovacevic （YUG） 2.

## HASTINGS

The 1984／85 Hastings tournament lacked a strong local contingent due to insuf－ ficient appearance money．Scores： 1 GM Sveshnikov（USR）9；2－5 IM Benjamin （USA）GM Djuric（YUG），IM Fedorowicz （USA）\＆Plaskett（ENG）8； 6 IM Flear （ENG）7 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；7－8 IM Gutman（ISR）\＆GM Ku－ preichik（USR） $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ； 9 IM Abramovic（YUG） 6； 10 GM Farago（HUN）51 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 11 IMWatson （ENG）5； 12 Martin（ENG）\＆Ravikumar（IND） 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 14 IM Hébert（CAN） 4

## OBITUARY：R．A．Godtschalk

The death occurred on 15 August 1984 of Mr R．A．（Bob）Godtschalk at the age of 82．Bob was a notable chess administra－ tor over a period of more than 55 years in the Wellington region．
Mr Godtschalk＇s involvement in chess started in 1921 at the（now defunct） Wadestown Chess Club of which he was appointed secretary at the inaugural meeting．In 1922 he was a foundation delegate to the Wellington Chess League on its formation in that year．
During his long association with chess Bob was at various times secretary of the New Plymouth Chess Club，the Welling－ ton Chess Club and the Wellington Ches League．For twenty years he was also Director of Play for both the Wellington Chess Club and League．It was in his latter capacity that Bob directed at least four N．Z．C．A．Congresses held at Wellington in the 1960＇s and the early 1970＇s．He was also the guest D．o．P． when the Congress was held at Nelson in 1970／71
In 1973 the Wellington Chess League，
as a tribute to Bob＇s services，named its Queen＇s Birthday Weekend Tournament a testimonial function in his honour． In typical style，however，Bob insisted on directing his own testimonial tour－ nament！
One of the highlights of Bob＇s chess career was the Wellington Chess League winning the Bledisloe Cup for telegra phic play after many annual attempts． During a busy life Bob found time to play cricket，referee soccer matches， coach a women＇s senior marching team， be a member of Tawa High1and Pipe Band and its treasurer from 1976 to 1981 ， and serve on the committee of Miramar South School P．T．A．He also compiled the ex－pupils register for that school＇s jubilee celebrations．
Mr Godtschalk was a life member of the New P1ymouth Chess Club and of the Well－ ington Chess Club and was also，up unti his death，a vice－patron the New Zealand Chess Association

Reg Woodford

## Herbert Louis James Remembered

## by Val Zemitis

Herbert Louis James of Wellington died more than fifty years ago．He was not only a recognised authority on Eng collent chess player．
Mayb the Clayer
Maybe the California State Library in acramento，Mr is not the right place out could not find anything on English解 I did how pen．Mr Championship at Napier，1911，Deservedly Championsip at the game the Corgress．While during prize at the Congress．While during English literature and librarianship may have been more rewarding than chess， it turns out that his＇immortality＇is secured by the following combination secured by the following combination preceding three－piece sacrifice fasci－ nated me and I wondered who was the originator of this remarkable combina
tion．As far as I can determine，the primacy of this combination belongs to Herbert Louis James．

JAMES－MILES，Queen＇s Pawn Opening 1 Nf3 d5 2 d 4 Nf6 3 Bf4 e6 4 e3 b6 5 c 4 Bb 76 Nc 3 a6 7 cxd5 exd5 8 Bd3
 Nxf4 12 Nxf4 Rc8 13 Qe2 a5 14 Rfdl $\begin{array}{lllll}0-0 & 15 & \text { Qc2 } 2 \mathrm{~h} 6 & 16 & \mathrm{Bf} 5! \\ & \mathrm{c} 5\end{array}$

$\qquad$ Ne5！cxd4 18 Bh7＋！Kh8 19 Nxf7＋ Rxf7 $20 \mathrm{Ng} 6+!\mathrm{Kxh} 7 \mathrm{C} 21 \mathrm{Nf} 8+, 1-0$. Kxf8 23 Qh8．A fascinating conclusion！

## Mean Machine?

## by Peter Stuart

Much has been written about chess computers over the past ten years with many and varied predictions on their future potential. We recall David Levy's bet with computer experts back in 1968 the experts wagered that a computer would be able to beat the Scottish IM in a match within ten years. Well, despite his virtual retirement from serious remains for a good many years now, Levy richer! Nevertheless, it does not seem likely that Levy will be able to hold out much longer and most are agreed that computer programs will eventually e able to defeat the World Champion; it is just a matter of when.
Over the last few years the field of chess micro computers has grown out of sight with many magazines featuring pages and pages of advertising for these machines. I personally have never had any interest in owning one. Perhaps my Scottish heritage inhibits any urge to buy a chess computer; after all, the tremendous rate of progress in such fields would probably render the machine bsolete in no time.
As a consequence of this attitude, I have no recommendations for the reader as to which chess computer he or she should consider purchasing. I have not studied the 'form' and, it seems to me, nany of the manufacturers' claims regarding playing strength cannot be too losely relied upon.
Recently, however, I came across a couple of games played by Novag's new Super Constellation which is, currently theast, among the very strongest of chess uicro computers. The first game orainst play gainst a five-time United States
 econd game was played recently in a mosing 25 , his game was his only loss. this game was his only loss. SAVEREIDE-CONSTELLATION, Sicilian: e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Bc4 e6 7 Bb3 b5 8 $0-0 \mathrm{Be} 79 \mathbf{f} 4 \mathrm{Bb} 710$ e5 dxe5 11 fxe5 c5 12 Be3 Nfd7 13 Qh5 0-0 14 Rf4 Ne6 15 Rh4 h6 l6 Kh1 Bxd4 [White's attack seems strong but constellation
exposes the weak link by accurate defencel 17 Bxd4 Qg5 18 Qxg5 hxg5 19 Rg4 Nxd4 20 Rxd4 Nxe5 21 Rel Ng6 22 Rg4 Rad8 [Black gains control of the open d-file and uses it to good effect] 23 Rxg5 Nf4 24 Rfl Nxg2! [An excellent combination which forces the win of Bd5 R8xd5! [A neat finish; 28 Nxd5 Bxd5 is hopeless for White] $28 \mathrm{Rxg} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 8$ is hopeless
$29 \mathrm{Rg} 8+\mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 30 \mathrm{Rlg} 3 \mathrm{Re} 5+, 0-1$. SPEELMAN - CONSTELLATION, Engl ish: 1 g 3 [Hoping to avoid the computer's 'book' 1 1...e5 2 Bg2 Nf6 3 c4 Bc5 4 Nc3 0-0 5 e3 Nc6 6 Nge2 Qe7 $70-0$ e4 8 b3 Nb4 [Speelman is adopting normal anti-computer strategy of 'doing nothing well and the computer zeroes in on the strong point d3 which soon disappears the strong point that isl $9 \mathrm{Nf4} \mathrm{~d} 610$ a3 Bg4 11 f 3 exf3 $12 \mathrm{Bxf3} \mathrm{Bxf} 313$ Qxf3 Nc6 14 d 4 Bb6 15 Ncd5 Nxd5 16 Nxa5 Qd7 17 Bb2 f5! 18 Rael Rae8 19 Kg2 Re] 21 g6 [A rare tactical Bxe5 Pxe5 24 Pdi 224 Rd2 $0 \times$ xa3


27 Qf4? [A careless move overlooking a simple tactic which any self-respecting computer will see in the blink of an eyel 27...Rxd5 28 Rc2 Rdd7 29 Rff2 Rde7 30 Rfe 2 Re4 [Clearly Black is winningl 31 Qh6 Qxb4 $32 \mathrm{Ra} 2 \mathrm{Qf} 8 \quad 33$ Qh3 Qe8 34 Qh6 Ra4 35 Rad 2 Ra 1 36 Rd4 c5 $37 \mathrm{Rf} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 1 \quad 38$ e4 $\mathrm{Re} 7 \quad 39 \mathrm{~h} 4$ Rxe4 40 Rfxe4 fxe4 $41 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Qf7} 42 \mathrm{Rf} 2$ Qg7 43 Qf4 Qe7 $44 \mathrm{~h} 6 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 45 \mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 4$ 46 Qbot:! (Allowing Black to force the exchange of queens although transposing from a middlegame with nebulous swindling chances to an endgame might be the best chance against a tactically orien 8 Ra 4 49 Rat White 10

Final Exam At The Riga Chess Culture University

## by Val Zemitis

Recently, while visiting Riga, a city only two positions to solve within 30 situated on the eastern shore of the Baltic Sea and well known for its sup port of chess, I was invited to see the Riga Chess Culture University. The University is one of the branches of the local continuing education system. Those who select chess as their object of interest have the opportunity and privilege to pursue chess studies under the tutelage of local masters and grandmasters.
This year there were 42 first year students in the class who had successfully completed all their class assignfor for 50) ind 9 to 50 ), that of passing the final chess examination, before they could qualify pletion certificat This year's fina

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

1. Foltys-Golombek, Prague 1947: 1 Rxa6! Rxa6 2 Rb 7 Rg 73 c 7 Ra 84 Rb8 Rg8 5 Rxa8, I - 0 (5...Rxa8 $6 \mathrm{Nd} 7+$ Ke7 7 Nbs +-)
2. Palevich - Soloviev, corres. 1983: 1...Ng $3+12 \mathrm{Kgl}(2 \mathrm{fxg} 3$ ? Rxh2t 3 Kxh 2 Rh8 mate) 2...Bxf $2+$ ! 3 Nxf2 Qxf $1+$ ! 4 Rxfl Ne2+ $5 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Ng} 3+$, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
3. Levenfish - Yudovich, USSR Ch'p 1933: $1 \operatorname{Rxg} 7+$ ! Bxg7 (1...Rxg7 2 Qxf6 Kg8 3 Rxe6 +-) 2 Qxf5+ Ke7 3 Rxe6+ Kd8 4 Rxe8+, 1 - 0
4. Trifunovic - Kostic, Yugoslav Ch'p 1949: 1 Bxg6+! 「xg6 2 Qxg7+! Kxg7 3 Nxe6 + Kf6 4 Nxd8 +
5. Foguelman - Oliveira, Leipzig 1960: $1 \operatorname{Rxg} 7+!\operatorname{Kxg} 72 \mathrm{Qg} 3+!, 1-0(2 \ldots \mathrm{Kiz}$ $3 \mathrm{Ng} 6+$ +-; $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kh7} 3$ Qg6+ Kh8 $4 \mathrm{Nf7}$ mate; $2 \ldots$ Kf6 3 Ng4+ +-).
6. Urusov - Kalinovsky, St Petersburg 1880: 1 Og5t! fxg5 2 Nh6t, 1-0 (2.. 1880: 1 Qg5+! exg 2 Nh6+, 1

As can be seen computers are tactically strong but are not nearly as strong positionally which is where the World Championship is normally decided. Thus the positional aspect is now coming in
all the students had in front of them were two demonstration boards depicting the following positions:


In the first position it is Black to play and win.

The second is the final position of the game Alexander-Euwe, Nottingham Would yo have pased the final? passed the final?
$\star \quad$ Solutions

## FINAL EXAM SOLUTIONS
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