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WAITEMATA C.C. meets $7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre, cnr Great North \& Awaroa Roads. Postal address: P.O. Box 69-005, Glendene, Auckland 8. Contacts - George Williams, phone 834-6618 or Bob Smith, phone 818-4113.
HASTINGS \& HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. meets 7:00 pm Wednesdays at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Road, Havelock North, Hastings. Contact - Mike Earle, phone 776-027.
PALMERSTON NORTH C.C. meets $7: 30$ pm Tuesdays at the Palmerston North Intermediate Normal School, Fergusson Street, Palmerston North. Contact - J.Blatchford, 64 Apollo Parade, Palmerston North, phone 69-575
CIVIC C.C. meets $7: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ Tuesdays at St Peter's Church Hall, Willis Street, Wellington. Contact - Brent Southgate, phone $757-604$.
HUTT VALLEY C.C. meets 7:30 pm Tuesdays at the Hutt Bridge Club, 17 Queen's Road, Lower Hutt. Contact - Mrs Mary Boyack, phone 678-542.
UPPER HUTT C.C. meets $7: 45$ pm Thursdays in the Supper Room, Civic Hall, Férgusson Drive, Upper Hutt. Contact - Anton Reid, 16 Hildreth Street, Upper Hutt, phone 288-756.

WAINUIOMATA C.C. meets in Bilderbeck Hall, Main Road, Wainuiomata at 7:30 pm on Thursdays (seniors) \& 7:00 pm Fridays (juniors). Contact - Joe Phillips, 646-171
CANTERBURY C.C. meets every Wednesday at $7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ at the Clubrooms, 227 Bealey Ave President, John Wilkes, phone 558-130. Secretary, Ben Alexander, 10 Quarry Road, Christchurch 8, phone 841-461.
CHRISTCHURCH CHESS CENTRE meets Tuesdays at 8:00 pm at 314 Worcester Street. Annual subscription \$8. Contacts - Vernon Small, phone 558-696 or Roger \& Joanne okes, phone 583-027
NFISON C.C. meets 7:30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact - Tom NELSON C.C. meets 7:30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall
van Dyk, phone Richmond 8178 or 7140 . Visitors welcome.
OTAGO C.C. meets 7:30 pm Wednesdays \& Saturdays at 7 Maitland Street, Dunedin Phone (clubrooms) 776-919. Contact - Arthur J.Patton, 26 College Street, Dunedin, phone 877-414.
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GM Jan Timman - victor at Wijk aan Zee
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## Late News

WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP
Copies of documents received from FiDP in late March shed new (to us anyway) light on some events surrounding the end
of the Karpov v Kasparov match at Moscow. Still, some questions remain unanswered. On 13 February the U.S.S.R. Chess Federation wrote to the FIDE President, Mr Campomanes, requesting that the match b suspended for three months on medical grounds. It seems clear that this must have been done on behalf of the Karpov camp since there was no doubt about Kasparov's fitness to continue the match.

President Campomanes' declaration two days later contained two main points, the first stating that the match was ended without decision." The second provided for a new match starting on lst September at $0-0$ with the FIDE Congress in August deciding further match provisions, the winner of the match to be "World Champion for 1985-1986.
In other words the match format is nol yet decided but it seems there would not be any return match provision. Clearly the players will have little notice rugarding the terms of the match.
On 19 February the World Champion wrot, an open letter to Campomanes asking $t$ lail the match be restarted but the FIDE Pro sident reaffirmed his previous decision stater was ended in a press had, meanwhile, indicated that hesparoy had, meanwhile, indicated that he was no longer interested in continuing the
Give
Given Karpov's outburst during the press conference on 15 February that he wanted the match to continue coupled only wonder on whose behalf the Soviet Chess Federation was acting. Apparently ieither contestant wanted the match halted!
Chief Arbiter Svetozar Gligoric pointed out that the problems which ended the match could have been avoided if there had been provision in the match rules for a break in the match after, say, four months - a possibility taken into account by Robert Fischer in his espousal of a first-to-win-ten-games format although he did not think it would eventuate. And it probably would not if Fischer was one of the players!

NEW ZEALAND CHESS

## Editorial

This will be my last issue of New zealand Chess as editor - and it completes three years in my second term since I resumed the position, after a two-year break, with the June 1982 issue.

With the transfer of NZCA's administration from Auckland to Wellington it was probably inevitable that the editorship should also move south. At least this was one of the points considered by the new Council when it made the decision to appoint Mr Zyg Frankel as the new editor from June. The Council felt that the production of the magazine wa one of the Association's major tasks and that, accordingly, it was a responsibility that should be closely oversee by the Council; the close contact and liaison which is desirable between the Council and the magazine production staff would no longer have been possible if the magazine continued to be produce in Auckland.
It is possible that the Council may also have been influenced by the suggestions of a few people that the shift southward may help ovencome a bias towards Auckland news and events. I have always been quite sensitive about this, view $f$ Ne Zealand tournaed life as vosibl The fact is, though that possible. The fact is, though, that Auckland has more strong players, more and stronger tournaments, and virtually all New Zealand news received has ben published Sone clubs, however, hee to be very publicity-shy a rarely send in any news; unfortunately art of the Wellington clubs have fall into this category into this category. I hope that New the board coverage, treating each even the board cover on its merits!
fore the denarture fore the departure of the 0lympiad team arry on
tiring 0lympiad followed by another mediocre result at Congress left me regretting that statement so the Council decision was, in the end, a welcome one. Since the task of the editor involves production (i.e. typing the magazine) as well as editing, it has certainly occupied a large chunk of my leisure time for the greater part of the last nine years. Of course, I've written the odd article or two as well. One side effect has been that I have done no real preparation for a Congress for some
years; at least in the future I will not be able to blame the magazine for this! As I write this I cannot help but recall the fate of The New Zealand Chess player which was publised Anckland for about eight years but folded a years after the trek to the capital. ircustances hine consicerably since those days (almost 30 ears ago) and 1 and sure that this magaane will be around for many years to ome. Certainly I have der joyment and satisfaction row part produch it in reading future issues. get as mach again read future As already indicated the new editor will be Mr Frankel whose address appears ntich ine fould artics, reports, etc should hioforth ent to did be NZCA (address so at left.
2yg rankel, as one of the real characters of New Zealand chess, needs no introduction to regular Congress-goers stranger to editing and producing a chess hagine, having successfully published The New Zealand Chess Magazine for several years in the 1960 s.
Finally, a word of thanks to two groups of people - our subscribers (may you continue to increase and multiply) and our contributors (both regular and occasional) who have met deadlines and suffered the vagaries of my blue pencill suffered the vagaries of tuy blue pencint
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## World Ch'p Match Ends in Farce

The World Championship match at Mosco ground to a farcical halt early in February, one day short of five months duration. World Chess Federation President Florencio Campomanes apparently made th decision to abandon the match on 15th February because "the match had exhausted the physical, if not the psychological, resources, not only of the players but of all those connected with the match."
Kasparov, who had just won two consecutive games to narrow the score to 5-3, was particularly bitter and accused FIDE of depriving him of his chances. Both players reportedly demanded that the match continue but there must be grave doubts about the sincerity of the World Champion here since rumours (strongly backed up by his play in the last three games) were rife that Karpov had cracked under the intense strain and was undergoing medical treatment Certainly the challenger still seemed fit enough to continue and he should surely have been awarded the victory if his opponent had to withdraw through illness. In any case, with the score standing at 5-3 (to Karpov) and tiredness playing an obvious role, it could not have been expected that the match
ould have lasted much longer.
Campomanes also ruled that a fresh atch should begin in September with 24 eing mentioned as the number of games. This appears to mean a return to the match conditions prevailing up to 1972 with the champion retaining his title In the event of a 12-12 tie.
The World Championship regulations for the current cycle provide for a rewow is defeated. Now not only have the terms of this atch been changed but Karpov will, presumably, also have the advantage of itaing in the event of a tied match

After 40 games the score stood at 5-1 to Karpov and the last nine games had been drawn.
GAME FORTY-ONE
14 January
In another opening surprise Kasparov adopted the Petroff Defence but he with a one pawn deficit. Luckily for
him Karpov was unable to convert his extra paw to a full point
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Petroff Defence:


 $15 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 3 \mathrm{Bf}^{2} \mathrm{Rbl} \mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{Hf} 514 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Re} 8$ Bc 2 Nxb2 19 Oxd8 Raxd8 20 Rxb2 18 21 Rxb7 Nxc5 22 Bxc5 Bxc2 23 Rxa7 Bd 24 Re 7 Rxe7 25 Bxc5 Bxc2 $23 \mathrm{Rxa7} \mathrm{Bd} 1$ $27 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 28 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{f} 5$ Rd3 26 Ng 5 Bb 2 7 Bb4 h6 28 Ne4 529 Ne5 Rd5 30 ed 4431 Bd4 34 Ne6 Ba7 $35 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \mathrm{Rb} 1+36 \mathrm{Kh} 2$ Bxf2 37 Nxf4 Ral 38 Ne6 Rxa5 39 Rxg7+ Kh8 40 Rf 7 Be 341 Kg 3 Bd 2 (S


It may seem surprising that Karpo tries for another with so little ma terial left but there was obviously no risk whatsoever and his knight the better minor
piece
$42 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \quad 43 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 844 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 5$ 45 Ke4 Rf7 46 Rd8+ Kh7 47 Rd3 Re7+ $48 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 249 \mathrm{Rb} 3 \mathrm{Bcl} 50 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \mathrm{Re} 5 \quad 51$ Nf $6+\mathrm{Kg} 652 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 5+53 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Re} 5 \quad 54$
 61 Rc 5 Rb 5 Bd4 $59 \mathrm{Rd} 5 \mathrm{Be} 5 \quad 60 \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 7$
 h4 Ra6 65 Kf 4 Ra 566 Re8 Rf5 567 Ke 3 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Re5 } & 68 \mathrm{Rg} 8 \mathrm{Re} 7 & 69 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 7+\quad 70 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \\ \mathrm{~h} 5+ & 71 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 8, & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} .\end{array}$

## GAME FORTY-TWO

16 January
A quiet draw following an innocuous diversion from the $16 \ldots$...Rfd8 previously Played several times in the match. KASPAROV - KARPOV, QGD Tartakower: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc 3 Be7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bh4 0-0 7 e3 b6 8 Be2 Bb7 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}9 & \text { Bxf6 Bxf6 } & 10 & \text { cxd5 exd5 } & 11 & \text { b4 } 4 & \mathrm{c} 5 & 12\end{array}$
 Bb 5 Qc7 16 Qc2 Rfc8 17 Rfc1 $\mathrm{Bxb} 5 \quad 18$ Nxb5 Qc6 19 dxc5 Nxc5 20 Qf5 Qe6 21 Nfd4 Qxf5 22 Nxf5 Ne6 23 Rxe8+ Rxe8 24 Nxa7 Rc2 24 Nxa7 Rc2 25 Nb 5 Rxa2 26 h3 Ra5, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$

GAME FORTY-THREE
18 January
A return to the Scheveningen, last
seen in game 5, produced only another fairly brief draw.
KARPOV-KASPAROV, Sicilian Scheveningen: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nf6 5 Nc 3 a 66 Be 2 e6 $7 \mathrm{0}-0 \mathrm{Be} 78$ f4 0-0 9 Khl Qe7 10 Qel b5 11 Bf3 Вь7 12 e5 Ne8 13 f5 dxe5 14 fxe6 Bxf3 15 exf7+ Rxf7 16 Nxf3 Nd7 17 $\operatorname{Bg} 5$ Bf8 18 a3 Nd6 19 Nd2 Rxf $1+20$ Qxfl Qc6 $21 \operatorname{Rel} \operatorname{Re} 8, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME FORTY-FOUR

21 January
This was the first Ruy Lopez of the match. Although Kasparov gained a clear advantage, Karpov was able to simplify to a drawn position before the end of the session.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6{ }^{3} \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{a}$ a 4 Ba 4 Nf 6
 $9 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 710 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Re} 811 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 12 \mathrm{Nbd} 2$ exd4 13 cxd4 Nb4 14 Qe2 Bf8 15 e5 Bc6 16 axb5 Bxb5 17 Qdi Nfd5 18 Ne4 c6 19 Nc3 Rb8 20 Nxb5 axb5 21 exd6 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Bxd6 } & 22 \\ \text { Bxe5 } & \text { Bd2 Qc7 } 23 \\ 25 & \text { Qull Qd7 } \\ 24 & \text { Ne5 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Bxe5 } & 25 & \text { Rxe5 Rxe5 } & 26 & \text { dxe5 } & \text { c5 } & 27 & \text { Qe4 } \\ \text { c4 } & 28 & \text { Bd1 } & \text { Nd3 } 3 & 29 & \mathrm{Bg} 4 & \text { Qb7 } & 30 \\ \text { Qd4 } & \text { Qb6! }\end{array}$ 31 Qxd5 Qxf2+ $32 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qxd} 2 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rf} 1 \mathrm{Qg} 5$ 34 Oxf7+ Kh8 35 e 6 Ne $5 \quad 36$ Of5 Nxg4+ 37 hxg 4 Re8 38 Qxg5, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME FORTY-FIVE

23 January
Just when Karpov seemed to be gaining the upper hand his opponent unleashed a fine tactical continuation leading to simplification and an equal rook \& pawn ending
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Sicilian Scheveningen: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be 2 e6 $7 \quad 0-0 \quad \mathrm{Be} 78$ 4 0-0 9 Kh1 Qc7 10 a4 Nc6 11 Be 3 Re8 12 Bf3 Rb8 13 Qa2 Nxd4 14 Bxd4 $515 \mathrm{Ba} 7 \mathrm{Ra} 816 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 17$ a5 Rac8 18 Be2 Bc6 19 Qd3 Qd8 20 RId1 exf4 1 Bxf4 Bf8 22 Be 24 Qxd6 Bxd6 25 Rxd 6

25...Nxe4! 2 Rxc6 Rxc6 27 Nxe4 Rce6 28 Kg 1 Rxe 4 29 Bxe4 Rxe4 30 Rdl g5 31 Rd5 h6 32 c3 Re6 33 Kf2 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\mathrm{Kg} 7 & 34 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 35\end{array}$ h3 Kg6 36 Kf 3 h 5 , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME FORTY-SIX
28 January
tion, this time because the playing hall was being used for political purposes. In a second Ruy Lopez Kasparov built up what looked like a winning position but, in time pressure, he let most of his advantage slip away. The challenger still stood slightly better at the adjournment but preferred instead to offer a draw which Karpov understandably accepted. KASPAROV - KARPOV, Ruy Lopez 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb 5 a 64 Ba 4 Nf 6 $50-0 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 6$ Re1 b5 7 Bb 3 d $6 \quad 8$ c 3 0-0 9 h3 bb7 10 d4 Re8 11 Nbd2 Bf8 12 a4 Qd7 13 axb5 axb5 14 Rxa8 Bxa8 15 d5
 $19 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Na} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Nh} 7 \quad 21 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{c} 6 \quad 22$ dxc6 Bxe6 23 Bb3 Nc7 24 Qf3 Ne6 25 h 4 Qd8 $\quad 26$ Rd1 Qa8


27 Bd5 [Doubtless Kasparov examined 27 Bxh6 gxh6 28 Rxd6! which gives White a powerful attack whether or not Black takes the rook] 27... Bxd5 $\begin{array}{lllll}28 & \text { exd5 } & \mathrm{Nc} 7 & 29 & \mathrm{Ne} 4 \\ \text { Qc8 } & 30 & \mathrm{Ne} & \text { Qd7 } & 31\end{array}$ Nf5 Ra8? 32 Qh3 [White can win a pawn by 32 Nexd6! as $32 .$. .Bxd6 is met by 33 Qg4 Kf8 34 Qxg7+] 32...Rd8 33 Be3 Qc8! [Black is past the worst now although TBlack is past the worst now although $\mathrm{Ne} 8 \quad 35 \mathrm{Bb} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \quad 36 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Qb} 7 \quad 37 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Kh} 8$ 38 g 4 Be 739 Nxe 7 Rxe7 40 g 5 hxg 541 Bxg5 Rc $7, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME FORTY-SEVEN
30 January
The constant probing for a weakness in the opening continued with Karpov switching back to 1 Nf3 which was met, after considerable thought, by the Cambridge Springs Defence. Doubtless taken by surprise, Karpov played the early middle-game very passively and Kasparov played a well-judged and well thus end a string fourteen draws thus end string 5 foend KARPOV KASPAROV OGD Cambridge Springs: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 d4 d5 4 Nc3 c6 5 Bg5 Nbd7 6 e3 Qa5 7 cxd5 Nxd5 8 Od2 N7b6 9 Nxd5 Qxd2+ 10 Nxd2 exdS 11 Bd3 a5 12 a4? [This weakening hardly seems necessary although it can hardy alone be blamed for white s losing the game)



20 Bf4 Rac8 21 dxc5 Nd7 22 c6 bxc6 23 Rhdl Nc5+ wishes to play Ne6 without allowing Be5 in replyl 25 Bes in replyl
Nf1 Ne6 26 Bg 3 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Red8 } & 27 & \mathrm{Bf} 2 \mathrm{c} 5\end{array}$ 28 Nd2 c4 29 bxc4 Nc5! 30 e $4 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 31 \mathrm{Nb} 1 \mathrm{~d} 3+32 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{~d} 2$, $0-1$.

GAME FORTY-EIGHT
8 February
After the 47 th game the organisers were forced to move the match to anothe Hall after being evicted from the hall of Columns. The Champion's promatch moved to the this time and the mater moved to the hotel Sport in an meant a delay in the ris transfer meant a delay Karpov took was further postponed whe Whe gan 48 was fis
When game 48 was finally played the long 'holiday' did not appear to have pawn in fending off a forced to shed pawn in fending off a sacrificial attack by Kaspron the ated. ated.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Petroff Defence:

 Na5 12 Bd3 Be6 13 Rel Nc6 411 Bxc
15 Bf4 Qd7 16 Ne5 Nxe5 17 dxe5 Nd5 18

Nxds Bxds 19 Qe2 g6 20 Radl c6 21 Bhi Rfd8


22 e6! Exe6 23 Bxg6! Bf8 24 Bxf
Rxf8
26 Rxf8 $25 \mathrm{Be}_{4} \mathrm{RE} 7$ 26 Re3 Rg7 27 Rda Rf8 28 Rg 3 Kn 8 $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Qc3 } & \text { Rf } 7 & 30 \\ \text { Kgde3 } \\ 31 & \text { Re } 51\end{array}$ Kg8 32 Rxg7+ Rxg 7 Bxd5 Qxe5 34 13xe6 Qxe6 35 Rxe6 Rd7 $36 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 737 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 1+38 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Rel} 39$ $\mathrm{g} 4 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 40 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 41$ bxc5 (S) Rxc5 $\quad 42$ Rd3 $\mathrm{Ke} 743 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{a} 5 \quad 44 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 45 \mathrm{Kbb}$



 $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Re} \\ 59 \mathrm{Rc} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 6 & 60 & \mathrm{Re} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 7 & 61 \mathrm{Kd} 6 & \mathrm{Kf} 6 & \mathrm{Kf} 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}59 & \mathrm{Rc} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 6 & 60 & \mathrm{Re} 4 & \mathrm{Kf} 7 & 61 & \mathrm{Kd} 6 & \mathrm{Kf} 6 & 62 \\ \mathrm{Re} 6+\mathrm{Kf} 7 & 63 & \mathrm{Re} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 6 & 64 \mathrm{Rg} 7 & \mathrm{Rd} 8+ & 65\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Re6+ Kf } & 63 & \mathrm{Re} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 6 & 64 \mathrm{Rg} 7 \mathrm{Rd} 8+ & 65\end{array}$

After this game the rumours started flying - a natural enough occurrence given the Soviet penchant for (at least as we see it) unnecessary secrecy. One report had Karpov's camp requesting FIDE to end the match and declare him the winner! Another suggests that Karpov's strong start in major events is due to a training regimen perhaps involving hypnotism which leads to an adverse re action eventually.
Probably we shall never hear the whole story - and, if we do, can we be sure it is the right one? The new/old format in September should certainly be more exciting

## 6th Asian Cities Tournament

## by Robert Gibbons

The first day of March saw the Auckland chess team embarking on the long trip to Hong Kong where New Zealand was being represented for the second time in the Asian Cities tournament. Auckland had turned on some of its worst weather to see us off and there was the usual chaos at the check-in counter so it was with some relief that we were able to settle down in the aircraft and contemplate what lay ahead. The team consisted of Peter Goffin, Lindsay Cornford, Robert Gibbons (Captain) and that well known Aucklander Michael Freeman. It was not what could be called the 'heavy
squad' but we were equipped with determination and, at least in Peter Goffin's case, unbounded optimism.
The flight was not especially thrilling with eating, drinking and playing awful lightning chess being the order of the day; the in-flight movie was only suitable for captive audiences. Much to my surprise the arrangements except for the fact that we had to wait a while as our guides had lost their bus! A sma1l piece of chess magic solved this problem; Lindsay set up the chessboard for a quick game of lightning and
naturally the bus appeared as soon as the clock was started. We were billeted initially at the YWCA and later transferred to the YMCA. Each place was relatively comfortable and there seemed to be no sexual distinction but the to be no sexual distinction but the meals were served there.

At the Captains' meeting on March 2nd I was appalled to discover the average ratings of our opposition until I found that we were rated at 2205 and seeded just above the middle. After a superb banquet we settled down to play round one. Our opponents were a young team from Sharjah (UAE) and, while we won $4-0$, they played quite creditably. In my game, while I was trying to remember the Arabic for "I resign," my opponent gave me his queen instead of stealing mine.

In round 2 we ran into the Chinese number two team Taiyuan. Freeman, Cornford and Gibbons succumbed after varying degrees of resistance but Goffin put some scrute into his inscrutable opponent by unleashing a 'Poison Pawn Pirc' and demolishing him in 23 moves: His only loss in the tournament.

We were outgunned by Quezon City 1-3 in round three. Lindsay and Peter drew, Goffin being a pawn up but going astray in the ending. Michael and I were playing our third games with black which was our excuse. Jakarta caused a stir by beating Shanghai $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ to share top place with the latter. The Shanghai team was the same group of five who won the event last year.
Round four saw us playing Raffles City (Singapore 2). They proved to be one of the most friendly and engaging teams present and we had many lightning en counters with them. Lindsay and I had a transfer' battle with their boards 2 and 5 (Suan Shiau Quek \& Dennis Tan), winning all games until they suggested playing for money/press-ups. My arms hurt for days. We won the real match $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ with Cornford winning and the rest of us drawing.

In the fifth round we demolished Bangkok 3-1. We drew on boards 2 and 3, Goffin struck with a swindle on board 4 and Freeman, playing the white side of a Benoni, had a game of fluctuating fortunes before his opponent made him a present of a rook. The two Chinese teams played each other to a 2-2 draw though there seemed to be some hard fighting in
all four games. Madras had a fine result when they beat Jakarta 3-1. These results left Taiyuan in sole lead with 16 points, half a point clear of Shanghai.
Round six was our low point. The Sinapore number one team took revenge by eating us $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ with only Freeman saving us from a washout. Jakarta struck back by beating Taiyuan $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$. The Indonesian team was the only one to beat ither Chinese team so completing the ouble was a fine effort. The standings now were: Shanghai 19, Taiyuan $17 \frac{1}{2}$, Metro Manila $16 \frac{1}{2}$, Jakarta $16 \frac{1}{2}$.
The seventh round brought us up against Dubai, another UAE team. Goffin effectively despatched another victim to stand on 5/7 and easily qualify for a FIDE rating of 2205; this earned him congratulatory beer from the Adelaide team. I torpedoed my opponent with an anti-Benko line painfully taught to me by Bruce Anderson at a North Island Championship. Cornford drew on board 2 but Freeman ran into trouble on board 1 and found himself in a lost position after surviving a time scramble. Result: $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ to Auckland.
Round eight put us into bat agains delaide (funny .... they look like a wel1-known Sydney mob!?). The team captains arranged a tactical draw on board and we settled down to slug it out on the other boards. The nasty part from m perspective was that the winner of his encounter was certain to get a heavy' in the last round, either Shanghai or Jakarta, so our best chance of a good final score lay in losing the match and getting either Penang or Tokyo in the final round. I looked hopefully at Goffin's board but, alas, Sponger had struck again! Not wishing to be the sacrificial goat myself, I ceased grovelling about in my lost position, fired y rooks into the enemy, and grabbed another point. Meanwhile Freeman gained nother nice position but went astray, hence $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ to us. Shanghai defeated Kuwait and disappeared into the distance ( $26 \frac{1}{2}$ ) while Taiyuan took Sydney 3-1 to get to $24^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Jakarta and Metro Manila had a $2-2$ draw to reach $22 \frac{1}{2}$ and $18 \frac{1}{2}$ respectively.
The last round .... Jakarta! The objective of the team had been to reach at least $50 \%$ and here we were needing ne more point and up against a monster Furthermore Cornford and I both needed
at least half a point for rating norms. As usual Goffin was confident we could take them 4-0 but, for the rest of us, the pre-match lunch was funereal as well as brown and liquid. We lost $1-3$ (yippee!) with Goffin losing a good game to Tobing and Freeman being slowly outplayed by Ardiansyah. Cornford and I defended grimly for draws against Handoko and Mahmud - a particularly good result for Lindsay as Handoko scored 8/9.
Thus it ended. We finished 9 th out of 26 teams on tie-break. This was an excellent result and would have been even better if a somewhat dispirited Adelaide team hadn't allowed Tokyo to leap ahead of us with a 3-1 win. Having three players qualify for a 2205 FIDE rating was another bonus which we had not expected to achieve.
Peter Goffin played extremely well on board four to finish with 6/9. Lindsay Cornford's $4 \frac{1}{2} / 9$ on 2 nd board was well earned, his only losses being to IMs. Michael Freeman (board 1) played valiantly against a strong field and his score of $3 / 9$ was very satisfactory in that light. My own $4 \frac{1}{2} / 9$ was due to the
hard work of some friendly gremlins.
The trip as a whole was a great sucess. All the players had a thoroughly njoyable time and, thanks to the tion and the gorg Kong Chess Federa ion and the generous sponsorship by ble to ling Bank ble to live comfortably, drink (in oderation chaps) and eat to excess both at and and renewing friendships the Austral aws from the board. Even ne Australlans were found to be human and they endured our comments about bruptly forced shor
rup it only remained for us to survive boarding the plane for the wearying rip baek to Auckland Guess wat
it was raining again.
The final scores: 1 Shanghai $30 \frac{1}{2}, 2$ Taiyuan 27, 3 Jakarta $25 \frac{1}{2}$, 4 Metro Manila $20 \frac{1}{2}, 5$ Madras 20, 6 Queenstown 19, 7 Tokyo $18 \frac{1}{2}, 8$ Penang $18 \frac{1}{2}, 9$ Auckland 18, 10 Bangkok 18, 11 Hyderabad 18, 12 Raffles City 18, 13 Quezon City $17^{\frac{1}{2},} 12$ Raffles City 18 Hong Kong 171, 15 Quezon $17 \frac{1}{2}, 16$ Kuala Lumpur $17 \frac{1}{2}, 17$ Adelaide 17 $\frac{1}{2}$, 16 Kuala Lumpur $1.7 \frac{1}{2}, 17$ Adelaide 17, 18 Dubai 17, 19 Kuwait $16 \frac{1}{2}, 20$ Quetta $15 \frac{1}{2}, 23$ Macau $15 \frac{1}{2}, 24$ Sharjah

12 $\frac{1}{2}, 25$ Ahmadi 11, 26 Medan $9 \frac{1}{2}$.
Notes to the following game are by Peter Goffin.

## P.B.GOFFIN - HUANG ZENGYUAN <br> (Auckland) <br> (Taiyuan)

Pirc Defence, Byrne Variation
1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc3 g6 4 Bg5
As I occasionally play the Pirc myself I sat and thought at this point about the line I disliked the most whe playing black. So, I played the Byrne variation.
4...Bg7 5 f 4 c 66 Be 2 Qb 6

This move, curiously enough, is suggested in Botterill and Keene's book on the Pirc. It surprises me that such a rotten move can be suggested as a serious possibility in a Batsford opening tome. 6...Nbd7 followed by ...h6 or ..0-0 seems better.
7 Qd2 Qxb2
Ho, ho I thought, you will be sorry you took that fellow.
8 Rbl Qa3 9 Nf 3 h 610 Bh4 Qa5
I am not sure why he played this. Maybe he was hoping to get in ...e5 after ...Nbd7. The other possibility is that he was worried about his queen being shut out of the game. I think his best shot here is $10 \ldots$ Nbd7 when play might go 11 0-0 e5 12 fxe5 dxe5 13 Nxe5 $0-0 \quad 14$ Nxd7 Nxd7 15 e 5 and, although Black is going to do a bit of grovelling, he is still alive.
$110-0$ Nbd7 12 e5 dxe5 13 fxe5 Nh7 14 Bc4 Nb6 15 Bb3 Qa3
Black wants to castle now and this protects his e-pawn. The alternative 15 ...g5 is unsavoury due to 16 Nxg 5 and

16 Ne4 0-0 $\quad 17$ Nc5 g5
Now Black thinks this is okay.


Continued

Small Wins 9th Howick-Pakuranga Open

## by Paul Spiller

The 9th consecutive Howick-Pakuranga pen chess tournament saw many record for this event tumble. Prize money was increased from $\$ 600$ to $\$ 1,000$ thanks to sponsorship from Barson Computers.
Entries soared to 79 on the day (the previous best was 72) including 5 computer entries; this figure included 14 entries from outside Auckland. The computers were housed in a separate lounge and distraction. Since this was the first Howick-Pakuranga event with computer entries it was very much a trial run. One or two special rules were formulated to allow the computer operator to use his own discretion in setting the speed level of play and to increase the speed of play when he thought it necessary (remembering the sudden death time control). The computers were
allowed an extra five minutes on their clocks to counter-balance the time lost by the operator feeding in moves and transferring moves from one board to another. The problems some operators had deciding on the right speed control was evidenced in many games where the computer achieved a winning position against a low rated player, only to find that there wasn't enough time on the clock for the computer to win. In one particular game John McClory pushed the "play" button on his Mephisto ches computer too soon to find his machine give away a queen for nothing. Obviously it must have been examining this move at that particular instant when it was forced to play. The other rule introduced was that the operator could offer or accept draws or resign on behalf of his computer

Because of the size of the entry and the addition of computer entries, Paul Spiller helped Bob Gibbons with directing the event. Even so the first three rounds were about half an hour late. Hopefully, next year Bob will have debugged his Swiss pairing program and this will certainly speed things up. Just one more of the benefits of the home computer!
Included in this year's line-up was current New Zealand champion Vernon Small, top seed and obvious favourite since one of Vernon's strong points is
fast play. Other top players present were ex-New Zealand champions IM Ortvin Sarapu, Paul Garbett and Ewen Green as well as last year's winner Bob Smith. As well as these players there were another eight rated over 2000 and a Novag Super Constellation rated 2018 by FIDE. Arguably this was one of the strongest and largest fields seen in an Auckland Swiss event in recent years.

If anybody had any skepticism as to the strength of the chess machines this would have been dispelled after round one. Michael Hopewell lost (on time in a lost position) to the Colossus program entered by Brian and Fenella Foster, even though the machine had forfeited an early ten minutes on the clock due to technical difficulties. This loss may have affected Michael's later play as he lost in later rounds to Martin Dreyer and Richard Taylor, both rated over 300 points below him. The other machines had varied results with Novag winning easily but Mephisto, Applied Concepts and the BBC micro all losing.
By the end of round four it was clear that Small was in strong form with a string of effortless victories, including quick crushes against Greg SpencerSmith and Taylor. Lindsay Cornford was also on maximum points after some good play in time-trouble against a determined Bob Smith. Sarapu, at this stage, had dropped two halves, to Paul Cooper (winner of last year's Winstone B-grade) and Ewen Green. Those on $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points were Paul Garbett (draw with Noble), Ewen Green, Nigel Metge and Mark Noble. Nigel put his reputation on the line when he said he would quit chess if he didn' beat Novag in round four; he nearly came unstuck when the computer outplayed him positionally but, in time-trouble, played some dublous cactics which let jigel off the hook. The groan was audi ble on the other side Editor].
The last three rounds saw Small consolidate his lead with good victories ver Cornford and then Garbett followe by a perfunctory draw with Sarapu in play by Small, confirming his position play by Snall, confinurg his posit
The last round draw enabled Ortvin to
share second place although this was still in doubt until Garbett managed t down an ambitious Simon Fitzpatrick， demonstrating the superiority of two rooks over a queen．Those joining Sarapu and Garbett in second equal slot were Peter Green，Peter Weir and Mark Noble． Green had a flukey last round victory over Nigel Metge when the latter lost rook halding an endgame advantage of rook and pawn versus rook．This game had a curious irony since Katrine Metge Weir and Noble split last round last round without any real fight；the to victory to victory over Smith，thus shutting of a place． a place．
The Novag Super Constellation operated by Graham Banks finished the tournament with three draws，ending up on $4 \frac{1}{2}$ points BBC micro scored two wins on the．The day to finish second in this with a $50 \%$ score．

The Howick－Pakuranga Chess Club would like to extend thanks to its sponsor Barson Computers，and to Ewen Green for coordinating arrangements between the sponsor and the Howick－Pakuranga club Thanks go also to Bob Gibbons for his usual efficiency．The club extends an year not to miss next year＇s out this yearth event in the series promises．The have more prizes，more computers and more fun！

The scores：1st（\＄275）V．A．Small $6 \frac{1}{2}$ 2nd＝（ $\$ 90$ each）P．A．Garbett，P．R．Green， M．F．Noble，O．Sarapu \＆P．B．Weir $5 \frac{1}{2} ; 7$ 7－ 13 L．H．Cornford，M．P．Dreyer，S．P．Fitz－ patrick，N．H．Hopewell，P．W．Power，G．J P．B．Goffin，E．M．Green，R．Hart，B．Martin Buss，P．McKenzie，J．N．Metge，Novag Super Constellation，J．P．Robinson，R．W．Smith G．E．Trundle $4 \frac{1}{2} ; 24-35$ S．Andersen R． Baumgartner，J．Chandler，S．Devlin，M．G． Hopewell，M．I．Howard，P．D．McCarthy，K． Metge，K．M．Okey，A．Rahman，L．D．Rawnsley \＆R．Taylor 4；36－45 BBC Micro，J．Boj－ tor，J．E．Cater，P．J．Hensman，A．．John－ stone，M．K．Morrison，A．Stern，S．van Dam， R．Weston \＆J．Worn $3 \frac{1}{2} ; ~ 46-61$ S．Baker， P．Baldwin，R．Beesley，Colossus 2．0，M Cooper，P．R．Cooper，W．Dick，B．Glass，R． Hampton，A．J．Henderson，G．M．Jones，W． Peddie，T．Smith，B．K．Stewart，W．R．

Stretch \＆A．Swanink 3；62－65 J．Borov－ skis，K．Burgess，I．McNally \＆P．Tervitt $2 \frac{1}{2} ; 66-75$ Applied Concepts，K．D．Bar－ tocci，C．Blaxall，N．Blaxall，P．T．Futter， B．Harman，Mephisto，T．McLean，J．Smeed \＆ M．Sorel 2；76－77 J．McRae \＆L．Tavener 1⿺𠃊⿳⺈⿴囗十一⿱一土刂$; 78$ G．Cooling 1； 79 L．G．Edmonds 0.

SMALL－GARBETT，Sicilian Scheveningen： $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & e 4 & \text { c5 } & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} & \mathrm{d} 6 & 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 & \mathrm{cxd} 4 & 4 \mathrm{Nxd4} \\ \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 5 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 & \mathrm{e} 6 & 6 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{~h} 6 & 7 & \mathrm{~g} 5 & \mathrm{hxg} 5 \\ 8\end{array}$ Nf6 5 Nc3 e6 6 g4 h6 7 g5 hxg5 8 Bxg5 Nc6 9 Qd2 a6 10 h 4 Qb 611 Nb 3
 exd5 15 exd5 Ne7 16 Rdel Qc4 17 Nd4 Qxa2 18 Qxb4 Kd7 19 c4 Ra7 $20 \mathrm{Bh} 3+$ Kc7 21 Bf4 Nc6 22 Nxc6 Rb7 23 Qa5＋ Qxa5 24 Nxa5 Rb4 25 Bd2 Ra4 26 Bxe8 Ral＋ 27 Kc 2 Rxel 28 Rxel Kxc8 29 Bg 5 Kd7 30 Nc6 $\mathrm{Ng}_{4} \quad 31 \mathrm{Nb} 8+\mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 32 \mathrm{Nxa6+}$
 － 0.
M．HOPEWELL－COLOSSUS 2．0，Alekhine： 1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 c4 Nb6 4 d4 d6 5 f4 dxe5 6 fxe5 Nc6 7 Be3 bf5 8 Nc3 $6 \quad 9 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 10 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Na} 5!$ ？ 11 Bxb 8 Nc 3 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { b3！}] ~ a x b 6 ~ & 12 & \text { Nd4 Bb4 } & 13 & \text { Qa4＋Nc6 } & 14\end{array}$ Qxa8 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Qxa8 } & 15 & \text { dxc6 } & \text { Bxc } 3+ & 16 \text { bxc3 bxc6 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}17 & \text { Nxf5 } 5 \text { exf5 } & 18 & \text { Bd3 } 3 \text { Qa5 } & 16 & 19 & 0-0 \text { Oxc } 3\end{array}$ 20 Bxf5 Od4＋ 21 Kh 1 0－0 22 e6 Qxc4 23 Rael Kh8 24 e7 Re8 25 Rf3 Qxa2 26 Rd3 Qf2 27 Red1 Qc5 28 Rel Ob4 29 Rde3 Qd4 30 Rd3 Rxe7 31 Redl Qe5 32 Rd8＋Re8， $0-1$（time）．
P．GREEN－K．METGE，Ruy Lopez：
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 $50-0 \mathrm{Nxe} 46 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~b} 57 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{~d} 58 \mathrm{dxe5}$ Be6 9 c3 Bc5 $10 \mathrm{Nbd2} 0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Nxf} 2$ 12 Rxf2 $56 \quad 13$ exf6 Qxf6 $14 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Bxf} 2+$
 18 Bd2 c6 19 a4 Qh4＋ 20 Kg 1 Bxf3 21 Nxf3 Nxf3＋ 22 gxf3 Re6 23 f4 Rfe8 24 Bf5 Re2， 0 － 1.
CORNFORD－SMALL，Sicilian Rauzer：
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nc6 5 Ne3 a6 6 Be 2 d 67 0 0 Nf 68 Bg 5 Be 7 9 Rel 0－0 10 Qd2 h6 11 Be3 Nxd4 12 Bxd4 b5 13 Bf 3 e5 14 Be 3 Bb 715 a3 Rc 8 16 Radl Qc7 17 Qe2 Qc4 18 Bc1 Qxe2 19 Rxe2 Rc4 20 g 3 Rfc8 $21 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{c} 7 \quad 22 \mathrm{f} 3$ Bc8 23 Bf1 Be6 24 Be3 R4c6 25 Red2 Bc4 26 Nd5 Bxd5 27 exd5 Rxc2 28 Bb6 Rxd2 29 Rxd 2 Rc 130 Kg 2 Nd 731 Be 3 Bg 532 Bxg5 hxg5 33 a4 bxa4 34 Bxa6 Nc5 35 $\mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 36 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 37 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{f} 538 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \mathrm{Kf} 6$ 39 Rf2 Rdl $40 \operatorname{Rc} 2 \operatorname{Rd} 441 \mathrm{Kf} 1 \mathrm{e} 442 \mathrm{Rc} 3$ Rb4 43 fxe4 Nxe 444 Ra 3 Ne 545 Ke 1 fxg 446 hxg 4 Rxg 447 Rf3 3 Rf4 48 Re3 g4 $49 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 2+50 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 3,0-1$.

## OVERSEAS NEWS

## TITOGRAD

A category 12 tournament at this Yugo－ slav city in December saw Viktor Korch－ noi and Dragoljub Velimirovic share the spoils with $7 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ．Mikhail Tal＇s re－ cord against Korchnoi is，as is well known，abysmal and it was not improved here when the former World Champion blundered in what was probably a drawn ending in the last round．
Scores：1－2 GM Korchnoi（SWI）\＆GM Velimirovic（YUG） $7 \frac{1}{2} ; 3 \mathrm{GM}$ Tal（USR） $6 \frac{1}{2} ; 4-5 \mathrm{GM}$ Ivanovic（YUG）\＆GM Popovic （YUG）6；6－7 GM Marjanovic（YUG）\＆GM Taimanov（USR） $5 \frac{1}{2} ; ~ 8-9$ IM Cebalo（YUG） \＆GM Zapata（COL）5； 10 GM Kudrin（USA） 4늘； 11 GM Djuric（YUG）4； 12 GM Csom （HUN） 3.

म か か
BRIGHTON
The British Isles sub－Zonal here in December was won jointly by GMs Jon Speelman and Nigel Short who thereby qualified for the Interzonals；both scored $7 / 9$ ．The two favourites to miss out were Jonathan Mestel， 6 points，and Short Gander（who lost early oresen－ tative Carton）who finished equal 4th with 5 points．

## TIMMAN V PORTISCH

These two grandmasters played a spon－ sored match of six games at Hilversum in December．Timman took the lead in the second game，Portisch equalised in game four，and the Dutch GM made sure of the victory in game five．Although it was drawn，the sixth game was perhaps the most interesting：
PORTISCH－TIMMAN，Nimzoindian Defence： 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 Nc3 Bb4 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 6$ Qc2 h6 7 Bh 4 g 58 Bg 3 Ne4 9 Be5 f6 10 d5！？exd5 11 cxd5 Bxc3＋ 12 Bxc3 Bxas $130-0-0$ Nxc3 14 Qxc3 Bf7 $15 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{g4} 16 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Bh} 5!17 \mathrm{Qc} 2$ fxe5 18 Qf5 Qe7 19 Qxh5＋Kd8 20 Qxg RI8 21 Qhs Qeb 22 Kb1 Nc6 23 a3 as 24 gJ Nb4 25 axb4 axb4 26 Bh3 Qa2＋ 27 Kc2 Qc4＋ 28 Kd2 $30 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Qd} 4+, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ．

EUROPEAN JUNIOR CH＇P
The 1984／85 European Junior Champion－ ship at Groningen had a surprise winner in virtually unknown 15－year old Swede Ferdinand Hellers who scored $9 \frac{1}{2}$ points in the 13 －round Swiss．Second，on the same score，was Romero of Spain while 011 （USR）and de Wit（NLD）were equal third on $8 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

## SHORT $V$ ALBURT

In a match played at Foxboro（Massa－ chusetts）in January the national cham－ pions of Britain and the United States met in what is hoped to be the first of a series．Nigel Short wallopped the un－ predictable American，Lev Alburt，7－1 The Soviet emigre，who has recently been outspoken about the strength of American chess，could manage only two draws although he did overreach himself on more than one occasion in a superior position．

WIJK AAN ZEE
Jan Timman convincingly won the annual Hoogovens tournament in January with 9 ／ 13，a whole point ahead of John Nunn and Alexander Belyavsky．
Scores： 1 GM Timman（NLD）9；2－3 GM Belyavsky（USR）\＆GM Nunn（ENG）8； 4 IM Kir．Georgiev（BUL）71 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 5 GM Portisch （HUN）7；6－9 GM Korchnoi（SWI），GM Lo－ bron（BRD），GM Ree（NLD）\＆GM Romanishin （USR）6 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；10－11 GM Ftacnik（CZE）\＆IM Spraggett（CAN） $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ；12－13 IM Ligterink （NLD）\＆GM van der Wiel（NLD）5； 14 GM Kudrin（USA） $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

## LOCAL NEWS

## PAPATOETOE

Graham Banks won the 1984 Papatoetoe Club Championship with Sean Hart second and Lew Collins third．The B－grade title ent to J．Worn
The Handicap event was another success in banks with L．Peti joining Hart in a都 Dave Brunton（2nd）and Sean Hart．

## Veteran Player/Administrator Nears Jubilee

## by Ortvin Sarapu

Alan Linnell Fletcher was born in Onehunga, Auckland in 1908. Apart from learning the trade of linotype operator and marrying (in 1935) nothing much happened (according to Alan) until in 1936 a workmate showed him chess. Fletcher was entranced, and remained so. He joined the Auckland Chess Club the same year and is a life member of both the present Auckland Chess Centre and the New Zealand Correspondence Chess Association.

In 1983 Alan and his wife moved from Auckland to Hamilton for family reasons Talking of family, it could also be mentioned that Alan's mother is now 104 years old - which makes him a comparative youngster!
After 25 years at the Auckland Star, Fletcher joined the late Fred McSherry at the latter's printing works. In 1947 McSherry had founded The New Zealand Chessplayer and it was not long before he appointed Fletcher as editor.

McSherry's death in 1953 was the beginning of the end for the magazine; it had always made a loss but McSherry considered this to be his donation to chess in New Zealand. As Alan has pointed out, master printers of similar persuasion are scarce indeed!
The New Zealand Chessplayer was certainly the best produced magazine seen in this country. During his term as editor Alan made one unfortunate mistake. Being keen to get the latest news into the magazine, he spotted a death notice of a fellow Auckland Chess Club member - his name, age and suburb tallied exactly and Alan wrote a nice obituary and duly sent the latest magazine to the player's widow. To his surprise Alan received a phone call from the 'deceased' who thanked Alan for his kind words. Rather taken aback, Alan nevertheless could not help asking,
"Where are you ringing from?"
Still ahead, however, was A1an Fletcher's major chess job. In 1960 he assumed the post of Secretary-Treasurer of the N.Z. Correspondence Chess Association of which he had been a playing member since 1938. The N.Z.C.C.A. had gradually declined during the later 1950 s and Fletcher was undoubtedly a
major influence in the rehabilitation of correspondence chess in New Zealand ably supported by D.G.Brunt and L.J. Kiley as the tourney directors. The days of the C.C. one man band had gone for good. After seventeen years the ad ministration of the N.Z.C.C.A. was handed over in excellizt order wo Wellington group with J.W. (Sandy) Maxwell in the hot seat
Also, for nearly thirty years, Alan represented C.J.S.Purdy and Chess World in New Zealand on a business basis. The current boom in chess literature was not evident in those days and the New Zealand agent could not have been said to be in it for the money. In fact, a rough comparison of the time spent and remuneration gained once gave Fletcher a rate of up to 15 cents an hour!
As a practical chess player Fletcher has had his moments but his administrative work for the benefit of the game ar outweighs his impact on the game as a player.
His significant successes include winning the Auckland Chess League Championship in 1949 and again in 1950. He won the Auckland Chess Club's Championship in 1951 and became champion of the Papatoetoe Chess Club in 1976. In correspondence chess Fletcher twice won he N.Z.C.C.A.'s Reserve Championship, in 1957/58 and 1973/74.
Fletcher also played five times in the New Zealand Championship (over the oard) for a final average of exactly $50 \%(+21,-21,=13)$, his best result being in $1948 / 49$ at Wanganui when he finished equal fourth with a score of $6 \frac{1}{2} / 11(+6$, -4, =1). Highlights included a draw as black against N.Z. Champion Bob Wade's Ruy Lopez at Auckland 1945/46 and gaining the brilliancy prize for his win over H.McNabb's Sicilian at Wanganui 1948/49.

Fletcher was also a frequent winner of lightning tournaments in the late forties and was a regular member of Auckland Bledisloe Cup teams with good results.
Alan Fletcher still competes regularl in the N.Z.C.C.A.'s trophy tournaments and the game below was played in this year's (1984/85) grade II Trophy Tourney.

## R.DIVE - A.FLETCHER

## Symmetrical English

1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxd5 5 g3 Nxc3!
An M.C.O. recommendation which Alan thought an excellent one.
$6 \mathrm{bxc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{O}-0 \quad 9$ 0-0 Nd7 10 Ba3 Rb8 11 Rabl Qc7 12 d4 b6 13 e3 Bb7 14 Rfd1 Rbd8

Both sides have completed their development. Black has a solid position without weaknesses but White has still obtained a small advantage - he has two centre pawns to Black's one. Now that the middle game is under way White, one of Wellington's leading younger players, underestimates the 'old campaigner' and commits an inaccuracy. Correct now is 15 d5! preventing ...e6 by Black and intending to follow with e4 or c4.
15 c4? e6! 16 Bb2 Rfe8 17 a4 Be4!
The start of the big push. White has lost control of the critical d5 square; it is remarkable how this leads to light square weaknesses around the white king which lead to defeat.
18 Ra1 Qb7 19 Ne1 Bxg2 20 Nxg2 e5!
With the idea of splitting White's queenside pawns or taking control of f3 by e5-e4.


21 d5 e4 22 Qc2?
Better was 22 Bxg7.
22...Bxb2 23 Qxb2 Ne5

White is now in great difficulty. There are a number of threats on the white squares and perhaps White's position is already undefensible.
24 Qe2 Qd7 25 Ne 1
Forced.
25...Qf5 26 Kg 2 Rd6 27 Ra 2 g 528 f4

This opens lines for Black's attack
but it is difficult to see how else White could defend against the threat of 28...Rh6.
28...exf3+ 29 Nxf3 Qe4 30 Kf 2

Apparently an oversight losing a piece but White could scarcely defend by 30 h 3 in view of $30 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ renewing the threat of ...g4. A fine game by the 76-

30...Rf6, 0-1.

The following game was played in the Auckland Labour Weekend tournament of 1960.
A. FLETCHER - J. ARBUTHNOTT, King's Gambit: 1 e 4 e5 2 f4 exf4 3 Bc4 d5 4 Bxd5

 g5 12 Qd3 Bf8 13 Bd2 $\operatorname{Bg} 7 \quad 14 \mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Bh} 5$ $15 \mathrm{Be} \mathrm{g}_{4}$


16 Nxf4 gxf3 17 Nxh5 fxe2 18 Qg3 Kf8 19 Qxg7+ Ke7 $20 \mathrm{Bh} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 6 \quad 21 \mathrm{Bxd} 8$ Raxd8 $22 \mathrm{Og} 3+\mathrm{Ke} 7$
Black could have resigned here with a clear conscience.
23 Rel Rg8 24 Qh4+ Kf8 25 Rxe2 Rd6 26 Nf6 Rg6 27 e5 Re6 28 d5 Nxe5 29 Rxe5 Rexf6 30 Qb4+ Kg7 31 Re 8 Kh 632 Qf8+ Kh5 33 Re5+ Kg4 34 Qc8+ Kf4 35 Qxc7 Rd6 $36 \operatorname{Re} 7,1-0$.

Finally, a game from an interclub match between Dominion Road and Auckland Chess Clubs in 195l. It was these two clubs which amalgamated in the late Auckland Chess Centre
A.G.ROWLAND-A.FLETCHER, Max Lange: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 exd4 4 Bc4 Nf6 5 0-0 Bc5 6 e5 d5 7 exf6 dxc4 8 fxg 7 Rg8 9 Bh6 Qf6 10 Rel+ Be6 11 Qd2 $\begin{array}{llllllll}0-0-0 & 12 & \text { Qg5 } & \text { Be7 } & 13 & \text { h4 Qxf3 } & 14 & \text { Qxe7 }\end{array}$ Nxe7 15 gxf3 Nf5 16 BgS Rxg 17 Kh2 Rdg8 18 Nd2 h6 19 Bf6 Rg2+ 20 Kh 1 Rxf2 $21 \mathrm{Rad} 1 \mathrm{Ng} 3+22 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Ne} 4+23 \mathrm{Kh} 1$ Nxf6, $0-1$.

## Critical Endings from Congress

## by Ralph Hart \& Peter Stuart

The final placings in both the New Zealand Championship and the Premier Reserve were still in doubt after five hours play in the last round. In the Championship thernament Anthor would finish equal third if he Keat Peter Green; the consensus among the spectators seemed to be that Green wa indeed losing but had misdefended the position and might perhaps have drawn with correct play. The ending in this ame is not covered by the standard theoretical works
The Premier Reserve clash between ark Noble and Nigel Metge bas even more vital to the final outcome of the tournament since Noble needed the half oint to tie for first place and (with superior tie-break score) qualify for the next New Zealand Championship. Opinions were evenly divided as to pinions were evenly divided as to he had already blown his chances by the time control.
Our first game, however, was played as early as the fourth round and invol ved one of the co-authors who suffered the typical and exasperating time trouble experience of noticing a move later what he should have played a move later what he should have played a move that this game also proved to have a bearing on the final placings - but at the other end of the score-table!
As usual in such articles '+-' indicates a won position for White, '-+' a won position for Black, and '=' a draw position


Diagram 1 shows the position after 32 oves in the game Stuart-Pomeroy. Black has just recaptured the last minor piece ith the pawn on e6 and, in time trouble, White failed to adjust to the considerably altered circumstances.

33 Rd2?
White's reasoning was that he could not exchange rooks and therefore had to be in a position to defend his second rank after the threatened 33...Rd8.
33...Rf8!

After this Black wins without difficulty by the steady advance of his epawn as the white king can only kibitz from here on. Only at this point did Stuart realise that the $K \& P$ ending after 32 Kf 4 ! Rd8 33 Rxd8 Kxd8 34 Ke5 held interesting drawing possibilities - but it was, alas, now too late. We give the remaining moves without comment before returning to the diagram:
34 Kg 4 Rf 535 Kg 3 Rfl 36 Rd 3 Rf 5 37 Rd 2 Rf 138 Rd 4 e 539 Rd 2 Rf 840 Kg4 Ke6 41 h 4 e4, 0 - 1

As already indicated, White's best chances of a draw lay in the move 33 Kf4. Of course, Black is by no means obliged to go in for the $K \& P$ ending after $33 .$. Rd8. Instead he can play 33 ...Rf8 although, after 34 Ke 3 , the rook ending would be very difficult, if at all possible, to win as the white monarch has crossed his Rubicon, the f-file, and is now ideally placed.
It is well known that $K \& P$ endings are easier to win with $3 P v 2 P$ than with $2 P$ v $P$ and easier still with 4 Pv 3 P . It is also possible, however, to have too much of a good thing as the more pawns there are on the board, the greater the possibility of a blockade. It was this possibility which caught White's eye a move too late. Thus
33 Kf4! Rd8!? 34 Rxd8 Kxd8 35 Ke5 Ke7 36 c5! [Diagram 2]


The black king has only a narrow corridor through which to infiltrate
the white position - down the e-file. If, for instance, White could anchor pawns on c5 and g5 the draw would be certain. Analysing the game later, we at first thought there was a simple draw by 36 g 4 g 537 c 5 but then we found 37...b6 38 b4 b5! and Black's extra pawn tempo gives him the win: 39 a3 a6 40 Ke4 Kf6 41 Kd 4 e5+ 42 Ke 3 Ke 6 43 Ke4 h6 and White has to give ground fatally.
The second point in White's favour is his space advantage. The text move is less prodigal with pawn tempi and also signals the second part of the drawing plan, a general advance of the queenside pawns.
36. . Kf7!?

Black's hope is that White will run out of pawn moves and thus have to move his king so it seems logical for Black to use his king to mark time. White cannot continue 37 Kd 6 because of $37 . . . \mathrm{Kf} 6$ followed by the advance of the e-pawn. On $36 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 5$ (instead of the text) the reply is 37 g 3 !
37 b4
But not 37 a4 when $37 .$. a5! shuts down the queenside and White soon runs out of pawn moves
37...Ke7 38 g4!?

Threatening the blockade with 39 g 5 so Black must react. 38 a 4 is also fine for White.
38...g5 39 a4

With his queenside play now well advanced, White is not fearful of Black's extra tempo h7-h6.
39...Kf7!?

The outside passed pawn wins after 39 ..b5? 40 cxb6! axb6 41 a 5 bxa5 42 bxa5 Kd7 43 a6 Kc7 44 Kxe6. White draws comfortably after $39 . . a 640 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ (only move) Kf6 $41 \mathrm{Kd4}$ e5+ 42 Ke 3 ! Ke6 43 Ke 4 e44 as Black lacks the necessary White Kf7? 41 61 the 41 ary a 1 holds

40 Kd 6 !
The only move to draw.
40. . .a6!

The only move to avoid serious disad-
vantage! After the obvious 40...Kf6 play can become very interesting, e.g. 41 b5 e5 42 Kc7!? cxb5 43 axb5 e4 44 Kxb7 e3 45 c 6 e2 46 c 7 elQ 47 c 8 Q and White has a clear, perhaps even winning, advantage
41 Ke5! Ke7 42 Ke4! Kf6 43 Kd4 e5+ 44 Ke3 Ke6 45 Ke4 h6 46 a5 $=$

Black can make no progress after 46 .. Kf6 47 Ke3.


Diagram 3 shows the position after 36 moves in the game Ker-Green. Black's pieces are horribly tangled and the loss queen for rook seems inevitable. The question is whether Black can then ob tain a draw with rook \& pawns versus queen \& pawn(s). Play continued:

37 Qc5?
A mistake which should have cost White his h-pawn. Correct was 37 Qb4
37...Qf4?

Black, also in time trouble, returns the compliment! He should have played 37...Rxh4!, a possibility we shall return to below. After the text White is again winning without too much trouble.

38 Qe7+
Not $38 \mathrm{Re} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 6$ ! with strong counter play. In any case White was quite happy repeating the position to gain time on the clock.
38...Qf7

After 38...Kg8 Black loses his queen in even worse circumstances: 39 Qd8+ Qf8 40 Re8 Qxe8 41 Qxe8+ Kg7 42 Qe5+! Kf8 43 Qb8+ Ke7 44 Qxb7+ Ke6 45 Qxa6+ Ke5 46 Qe2+ Kd6 47 Qe8! Kc7 (forced) 48 Qe7+ Kc6 49 Qg5 winning the rook.

39 Qb4!
White gets it right second time round. Possibly his avoidance of 39 Qc5 was due to the fact that 39...Q14!, which wove bad forces position
has nothing better than 40 Qe7+ when 40 ..Qf7 repeats the position for the third time.
39... Kg8

Another attempt to set up a fortress involves $39 . . \mathrm{Rh} 540 \mathrm{Re} 7 \operatorname{Rf} 5$ but it fails after $41 \mathrm{Rxf} 7+$, e.g. 41...Rxf7 42 Qd4+ Kh7 43 Qxd5 Kg7 44 Kg 2 Kf 845 Kg 3 $\mathrm{Kg} 746 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 847 \mathrm{Qe} 6!\mathrm{Kg} 748 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 549$ h5! Rxh5 50 Qe7+ Kh6 51 . $Q x b 7+$, or 41 ...Kxf 742 Qxb $7+\mathrm{Kg} 843$ Qxa6 as in the game.

## 40 Qd6!

A virtual zugzwang. The h-pawn is immune because of the forking Qd8+.

## 40...Rh8 41 Re7 Rh5 42 Rxf7 Kxf <br> 43 Kg 2 Rf 5

White soon wins the rook after 43.. Rxh4? 44 Qxd5+.
44 Qd7+ Kf6 45 Qxb7 a5 46 Qb6+, 1 - 0 .
After eliminating the a-pawn White exchanges major pieces to reach a won $\mathrm{K} \& \mathrm{P}$ ending, e.g. 46...Kf7 47 Qxas Kg Qd7+ Kf6 52 Oxf5+ (simplest) gxf5+ 53 Kf4 Kg6 $54 \mathrm{~h} 5+\mathrm{Kxh} 555 \mathrm{Kxf} 5+$ -

Returning to diagram 3 where White played 37 Qc5? we shall now investigate the continuation $37 \ldots$ Rxh 438 Re7 which leads to positions not considered in any of the standard endgame texts. Black has an immediate choice as to whether to preserve his b-pawn (on b5) or d-pawn

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { A. } & 38 \ldots \text { Rh5 } & 39 & \text { Rxf7 }+ \text { Kxf7 } & 40 & \text { Qc7+ } \\
\text { f6 } & 41 \text { Qxb7 a5 } & 42 & \text { Qb6+ Kf7 } & 43 & \text { Qxa5 }
\end{array}
$$ Rf5

43...d4? does not work due to 44 Qa7+.
$44 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 45 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 46 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ [Diagram 4]


Without the queenside pawns this position would be drawn. The winning method in such positions involves forcing the defender's king in front of his pawn but
here the white queen does not have access to the squares behind the enemy king (g8 and h 8 ) ; with the pawn on g 5 or f6 (instead of g6) White could win bviously helps White since he has the obvitional possibility of exchanging addional por rook +g ofawn to his queen +P v K , g . winning $K+P$ v K ending
47 Qc7+ Kg8!
Better than 47...Kf6 48 Qd7! (zugzwang) $\mathrm{Rg} 5+49 \mathrm{Kf} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 5+50 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Rh} 551$ Kd4 Rf5 52 Kc 5 and the king penetration soon decides.
$48 \mathrm{Qc} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 7$
On 48...Kf7 White can liquidate to a won $K \& P$ ending: 49 Qxf5 + gxf5+ 50 Kxf5 $5+$

## 49 Qe6!

But not now 49 Qxf5? gxf5+ 50 Kxf5 (50 Kg5 f4!) d4! 51 cxd4 Kf7 $=$. Also 4 Qd7+ Kg8! does not help as the black king can still reach $f 7$ in one move. After the text, however, Black is in zugzwang and he must allow the entry of the white king.
49...Rh5 50 Qe7+ Kg8 51 Qf6 Kh7 52 Qf7+ Kh6 $53 \mathrm{Kf4!}$

Also winning, though not as quickly, is 53 Qg8!? After 53 Kf4! Black cannot play 53...Rf5+ since his king does not have access to the f 7 square.
53...Rh4+ 54 Ke5 Rh5 +55 Ke6 Rg5

The only way to hold the d-pawn.
56 Qf4 Kh5 57 Qh2+ Kg4 58 Qh6 +-.
A final zugzwang wins more material.
B. 38...Rf4 39 Rxf7+ Rxf7 40 Qxd5 b5

Really the only chance; B1ack will set up a 'fortress' similar to that in variation $A$ but with a pawn on $b 5$ instead of $d 5$.
$41 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 542 \mathrm{Qb} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 43$ Qxa6 Kf7 44 Kg 3 Kg 7


In this line the rook has more legroom on its fourth rank but this fact does not really help Black.

46 Qc8! Kg7 47 Qe6!
As in variation A, 47 Qxf5+? only
draws: 47...gxf5+ $48 \mathrm{Kxf5} \mathrm{b4}$ !
47...Rh5 48 Qe7+ Kg8 49 Qf6 Kh7 0f7+ Kh6 $51 \mathrm{Kf4!}$

Again the rook is forced off the
fourth rank since 5l...Rc5 loses to 52 Qf8+ and 51...Rf5+ to, need we say it, 52 Qxf5!
51...Rh4+ 52 Ke5 Rh5+ 53 Ke6 Rg5

Or 53...Kg5 54 Qg7! with another zugzwang

54 Qf4 Kh5 55 Qh2+ Kg4 56 Qh6 +-.


The Premier Reserve game Noble - Metge reached the position shown in diagram 6 after White's 30th move.
Black should obtain a winning advantage with a queenside pawn storm creating holes in White's position which his king will exploit. White will be unable to prevent this plan as his pieces will be tied down defending his weak pawn
30...Kf6?

This, however, is a mistake after which White should draw. It was necessary to first fix the white pawn on h3 where it will be a permanent target for the bishop. Thus $30 \ldots \mathrm{~g}$ ! was correct, e.g. 31 kel (forced to prevent Br ) 31 Ne2 Be4! 36 Na4 Kf6 $37 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bd} 7!38 \mathrm{Ke1}$ Ne2 Ba4. 36 N 40 K Keb4 axb4 axb4 43 Ne 2 Bc 644 Ng 1 (or 44 Nd 4 R1 48 ...b3 45 exb3 cxb3 $46 \mathrm{Kcl} \mathrm{Bb5} 47$ Kar Kcs 38 Kb Kf6 34 a 35 Nat in Kf2 Kab 37 Ke2 Kc5 38 Kf2 Bc6 (with the 2 Ka 540 Nb 1 b 54 l Nd2 b4 42 axb4+ axb4 43 Nbl Bd5 -+.
$31 \mathrm{~h} 4!\mathrm{g} 5$

Sooner or later this move is necessary to defend the f-pawn against Nd3. After White's reply his position has improved considerably as the knight can sometimes be sacrificed for the g-pawn if the black king and bishop wander too far away.

32 h5 Ke5 $33 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 34 \mathrm{a} 4$ ?
A dreadful mistake which should lose; White allows Black to fix another pawn as a target for the bishop. Obviously better was 34 as! when white should not lose as only the f3 pawn is weak and Black cannot force his king into the white position.
34...a5! 35 Nd 2 Be6 36 Kc 1

The white king will reach b3 in time as Black has to watch out for the knight check on c 4.
36...Kd5 37 Kb 2 Bd 738 Kb 3

38...Bc6

Black fails to find the winning plan, the first step in which is to engineer a-pawn by means of zugzwang. Thus: 38 .. a-pawn by means of zugzwang. 39 Ka 3 (not 39 Nxc4 Bxa4+!) 39 . Bc6! 40 Ne4 Ke5 $41 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Ke} 6!42 \mathrm{~Kb} 2$ (This is forced as White cannot afford to give up his f-pawn) 42...Bxa4 43 Nxc4 Bc 644 Nd2 b5 (Black's idea now is to play b5b4 so as to gain access to d 4 for his king) 45 Kb 3 Ke 546 Kb 2 Bb 7 ! (An important move; the bishop is placed on its least vulnerable square) 47 Kb 3 b 4 ! White has three options here, none of them being sufficient to save him:

1. 48 cxb4 Kd4 49 bxa5 Ke3 50 Kc 3 ( 0 r $50 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Kxf} 3 \mathrm{5l}$ Nxg5+ Kxg4 -+) 50....Ba8 51 a6 Bd5! 52 a7 Bb7 53 a 8 Q Bxa8 and White is in zugzwang.
2. $48 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{bxc} 3+49 \mathrm{Kxc} 3 \mathrm{a} 4$ ! (The steady advance of this pawn will force the white king to relinquish control of d4) 50 Nc4+ Ke6 51 Nd2 Kd5! 52 Ne4 Ke5 53 Nd2 a3! 54 Ne4+ Ke6 55 Nd2 Kd5 56 Ne4 Ke5 57 Nd 2 a2 $58 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Kd} 459 \mathrm{Kxa} 2 \mathrm{Kc} 3-+$
3. $48 \mathrm{Nc} 4+\mathrm{Ke} 649 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Kd5} 50 \mathrm{Nc} 4$ (The
only new try as 50 exb4 $\mathrm{Kd4}$ is variation 1 and 50 Kb 2 bxc3+ 51 Kxc 3 a4 is varia tion 2) 50...Kc5! 51 Ne5 (51 Nd2 bxc3 52 Kxc 3 a4 -+) 51...Bd5+ 52 c4 Bxc4+! 53 Nxc4 a4+ 54 Kxa4 Kxc4 and Black wins the king \& pawn endgame.
39 Ne4 Ke5
This does not throw away the win but 39...c4+! transposing to the last note is best.

40 Nd 2 b 5 ?
Finally letting the win slip away as his king will now be unable to break through in the centre. Correct was 40. . Kd5 when Black can still transpose into the note on Black's 38th. It is hard to understand why Nigel did not delay this decision until after the time control.
41 axb5 Bxb5 42 c4! Bc6 43 c3 Kd6 $44 \mathrm{Ka} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 545 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 746 \mathrm{Ka3}$ a4 47 Nf1 Be8 48 Nd2 Bc6 49 Kb 2

Both players just gain time on the clock; as this was the last round there was no adjournment session. Nigel now goes in for a dubious last-ditch winning

## Oops!

Andrej Sharko has pointed out that the cross-table of the 1984 Auckland Chess Centre Ch'p (February, page 28) contains an error which affects the top placings. Here is the correct version of the top part of the table:

123456789

 4 Gibbons R.E. $0 \frac{1}{2} 1 \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0011184 \frac{1}{2}$

It may appear that, after calling Andrei an Australian (October 1984) and now (February 1985) suggesting that he lost to Lindsay Cornford, we are running a campaign of persecution against him! We assure Andrei, however, that such is not the case. In fact, the cross-table published in February was as received from the Centre. We nevertheless apologise. Here is the game, the result of which was misreported (notes by Andrei Sharko):
SHARKO - CORNFORD, Sicilian Rauzer:
1 e4 c5 2 Nc3 Nc6 3 Ni3 d 64 d 4 cxd4 5 Nxd4 Nf6 6 Bg5 Bd7 7 Qd2 Nxd4 8 Qxd4 Qa5 9 f4 Rc8 10 0-0-0 [Belyavsky has
attempt.

49...Bxf3? 50 Nxf3 Ke4 51 Nxg5+!

The counter-sacrifice is the only move. 51...hxg5 52 h6 f3 53 h 7 f2 54 h8Q $\mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{Q} \quad 55$ Qh7+! Ke3 56 Qe7+ Kf3

Black's position is now difficult. On 56...Kd3 57 Qd6+ is strong.

57 Qf6 + , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
Noble was happy with a draw since it secured him first place on tie-break. White has winning chances after the exchange of queens: $57 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 258$ Qxfl+ Kxfl 59 Ka3 Kf2 60 Kxa4 Kf3 61 Kb 5 Kxg 462 Kxc5 soon reaching the difficult ending of $Q+P \vee Q$.
played 10 e 5 which seems strong but leads to fantastic complications] 10. . Qc5?! [Black has a number of options here, the most common being 10...Rxc3 11 here, the most common being 10...Rxc3 11 some play for the sacrificed exchangel some play for the sacrificed exchange]
11 e5 Ng4 [11...Qxd4 12 Rxd4 dxe5 13 fxe5 Ng8 14 e6 looks good for whitel 12 exd6 Qxd4 13 Rxd4 f6 [13...exd6 14 Re4t wins a piecel 14 dxe 7 Bxe 715 Bh4 Bf5 $16 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Kf} 717 \mathrm{Rel}[17 \mathrm{BC} 4+$ was worth considering as 17...Be6 would Iose a piece to 18 Bxe6+ Kxe6 19 f5+ Kxf5 20 Rfl + ] 17...Rhd8 18 Rxd8 Rxd8 19 h3 Nh6 20 g 4 Bd7 21 Bc4+ Kf8 22 Bf2 b6 23 Rdl Bc6 24 Rxd8+ Bxd8 25 Bd5 Bxd5 26 Nxd5 Ng8 27 c4 Ne7 28 Nxe7 Kxe7 29 f5 g6 30 Kc 2 Kd 631 Bd 4 a6 $32 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ Kc6 34 a4 a5 35 b3 Be7 36 Bb2 Bd8 37 Bal [Not the most forthright move but White can hardly go wrongl 37...Kc5 [Sealed] 38 Kd 3 Be 739 Bb 2 Kc 640 c 5 [Not difficult to find. 40...Kxc5? 41 Ba3+ wins a piece; 40...Bxc5 41 Bxf6 will wipe out Black's kingside; and 40 ...bxc5 is met by 41 Kc 4 Bas 42 BC 3 and Black is in zugzwangl 40...b5 41 axb $5+$ Kxb5 $42 \mathrm{Kd4}$ Bxc5+ $43 \mathrm{Kd5} \mathrm{Kb4} 44$ Bxf6 Bf8 45 Bd8 h6 46 f6, 1 - 0.

## Games

New Zealand champion Vernon Small annotates two of his games from the recent national championship at Upper Hutt.
R.A.DOWDEN - V.A.SMALL

Sicilian, Taimanov
1 e4 c5 2 Nc3 Nc6 3 Nf3 e6 4 d4 cxd4 5 Nxd4 a6 6 f4 d5
It is arguable whether Dowden's move order, designed, he said, to make me think "oh no a closed" is better than the "oh no a bind order" omitting an early Nc3.
I played 6...d5 rather than my recent favourite 6...Nge7 because, after draws against Sarapu and Sigurjonsson, I still do not feel too convinced of the soundness of Black's set-up.

7 Be3 Bb4
In Informator 37 (game 191) Nunn gives Timman an " N " for this but the fiveminute school in Christchurch has known about it for a while.

8 e5 Nge7
So the game becomes a sort of French Defence. With 8 Nxc6 bxc6 9 Od4 White can fish in pawn-infested waters. Black does best to go $9 . . . \mathrm{Be} 7$ when 10 Qxg7 Bf6 11 Qg3 d4 12 0-0-0 Qb6 gets very messy.

9 a3 Bxc3+
Novelty. Nunn-Timman (mentioned above) went 9...Ba5 10 Bd 3 and then Nun assesses 10...Nxd4 $11 \mathrm{Bxd4} \mathrm{Bb} 612 \mathrm{Ne} 2$ Bxd4 13 Nxd4 Qb6 as equal.

10 bxc3 Nxd4 11 Bxd4
Understandably White avoids the ending after 11 cxd4 Qa5+ which is likely to be more comfortable for Black
11...Qa5 12 Be 2

Maybe 12 Bd 3 Nc6 13 0-0 Bd7 (13... Qa4!?) is better but White wants to keep d 4 covered by the queen.
12...Nf5 13 0-0 Bd7 14 Qb1 Bb5!

As with most French positions the exchange of the white squared bishops is advantageous to Black, even here where it involves weakening the pawn structure.

15 Bxb5+ axb5 16 Bc5 h5 $17 \mathrm{~g} 3!?$
Fearing tricks based on ...Ng3 after later Kh1.
17...Kd7

I wanted to keep a rook on the a-file as long as possible to dissuade the apawn from advancing.

## 18 Rf3 Rhc8 19 Bb4

This may well be the decisive error since 19 Bf 2 , although ultimately bringing the bishop to the passive el square to defend the c-pawn, also allows that ame piece to exert some influence on the kingside.
19...Qb6+ 20 Kh1 Rc4 21 Qgl Qc6 22 Qg2 Re4 23 Qf2

23...b6

This surprised Tony who thought 23. 14 won immediately. He may well be right. Certainly the defence I had imagined he had was 24 Rd1 when 24 . Re3 25 Rd 3 (or 25 Kg 2 Rxf 326 Qxf3 Ne3+) $25 .$. .Rxd3 26 cxd3 dxc3 looks good. Perhaps 24 Kgl might hold but it looks dubious. Instead I had a long positional manoeuvre in mind so continued with it.
$24 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Kc} 725 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 726 \mathrm{Rel} \mathrm{Rxel+}$ 27 Qxel Rg8! 28 Qdl g6 29 Qf3 Qc4 30 Kf2 Ka6
This was the position I was steering for from move twenty. About now White offered a draw but I guess he was just being optimistic.

## 31 Rdl

If White does nothing Black might play a plan involving Qa2, Rc8-c4-e4 and Qc4 when h 4 and the manoeuvre of the king to e8 followed by f6 looks romising. Now, however, there is a deisious meak 27 .. Rg terious move 27...Rg8

31...g5 32 Qxh5

Or 32 fxg5 Rxg5 followed by Rg4 and $h 4$.
32...gxf4 33 Rd3

If 33 g 4 Ne 3 and if 33 Qxf 7 fxg $3+$.
33...fxg3+ 34 hxg3 Qe4!

Simply threatening to take the e-pawn as well as 35 ...Rxg3 36 Rxg 3 Qxc2+ with a winning attack.

35 Qxf7 Rh8 36 Rf3 Qxc2+
$36 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 2+$ leads to the same thing. $37 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Qd1}+38 \mathrm{Rfl} \mathrm{Rh} 1+39 \mathrm{Kxhl}$ Qxf1+ 40 Kh2 Qf2+, 0-1.

It is mate in one.
V.A.SMALL - P.W.STUART Sicilian, Taimanov
1 e4 c5 2 Nf 3 e6 $3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nc6 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 76 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{O}-0 \mathrm{~b} 5$

Peter was expecting a fianchetto which explains this inferior move. Better is 7...Nf6 when after 8 Be 3 Bb 4 we have Lloyd-Small [or Dowden-Stuart! Editor] from the last round.
8 Nxc6 Qxc6 $9 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 710 \mathrm{Bf} 4!\mathrm{d} 6$
Really the only move otherwise White will play e5 and Ne4.

11 Rel e5
Again the only move since the only reasonable move to develop and also prevent NdS is $11 . . . B e 7$ when the knight cannot come to f 6 because of the threat of e5.

12 Be3!?
The recommended move is 12 a4 when 12 ..exf4 loses to 13 e5 while $12 \ldots b 413$ Nd5 is winning according to Velimirovic. That player suggests that (after 12 a4)
12...bxa4 is clearly better for White and the game transposes to this anyway. 12...Be7 13 a4 bxa4 14 Rxa4 h6

In an analogous position ECO gives 14 ..Nf6 15 Bg 5 with equality but it does not look equal to me and 1 would be happy to play that. Peter's decision to prevent the bishop move seems right.

15 Qd2 Nf6 16 Real 0-0 17 Nd5
It would be nice to be able to play the bishop to $e 2$ to pressure the a6 pawn but the sacrifice on 4 then makes the position very unclear.
17...Nxd5 18 exd5 Qc8?

The losing move. Best is 18 ...Qc 7 19 Be 2 f 520 f 3 Qc8 when White can advance the queenside pawns with great power but the win must still be demonstrated.


19 Bxh6 gxh6
Instead 19...f5 20 Be3 will allow Black to fight on in a hopeless cause. 20 Qxh6 f5
To stop Rg4+
21 Qg6+
The immediate $21 \mathrm{Rg} 4+$ also wins. 21...Kh8 22 Rg4! fxg4 23 Be4 Rf7 24 Qxf7 Qg8 25 Qxe7, 1 - 0.
White will add to his collection of pawns since 25 ... Bxd5 loses to 26 Qf $6+$


The defending champion at Upper Hutt was Paul Garbett who was sitting on a hat trick. He was not so successful at this Congress but still showed his class on occasion after a most dismal beginning of one point from four games.

The following game was played in the fifth round. Notes are by Paul Garbett.
P.A.GARBETT - R.A.DOWDEN

## Ruy Lopez

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 Nd4 4 Nxd4 exd4 $\quad 5 \quad 0-0 \quad \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 6 \mathrm{c} 3$
Uncommon but reasonable. Normal is 6 d3 often followed by Qh5.
6...c6 7 Bc4 d6 8 d3 Qf6!?

Adventurous. Instead 8...Ne7 gives good chances of equality.
9 cxd4 Bxd4 10 Nc3 h5?
But this is probably too ambitious. Accepting the pawn sacrifice with 10 ... Bxc3 11 bxc3 Qxc3 is obviously bad after 12 Rb 1 with the crushing Bb 2 to follow and $10 . . . \mathrm{Ne} 711$ Be3!? is probably quite promising for white.

The move I expected was 10 ...Nh6 with tricky play. One point is that on 11 Be3 Black can play l1...Bxe3 12 fxe3 as his knight defends the f7 square.
11 Be3!
Now Black must accept the sacrifice
or allow White to play d4.
11...Bxc3

If Black had spotted his opponent's 13th move he might well have tried 11 ...Bb6. The alternative 11...Ne7 12 Bxd4 Qxd4 13 Qf3 is also good for White, e.g. 13....Be6 14 Ne 2 !

12 bxc3 Qxc3 13 Rel!


The best move of the game. The point is that the black queen needs to remain on the al-h8 diagonal to prevent Bd4 If White plays, instead, 13 Rc then after 13...Qf6 14 Rel Black has time to try 14...Ne7 or 14...Be6. After the text move, however, 13...Q£6 is met by 14 e5! dxe5 15 Bd4 breaking open the position.
13...Bg4

Played to prevent White's queen going to f 3 at a later stage.

14 f3 Bd7 15 Rcl Qf6 16 e5 Qg6
Black decides that White's overwhelming lead in development would be too much after $16 \ldots$...dxe5 17 Bd 4 .
17 exd6 Kf8 18 Bc5 h4
Black is reduced to such measures as neither bishop nor knight can move - on a knight move White has Re7.
19 Re5!
Stamping out any attempt to confuse matters with ...Rh5.
19...b6 20 Ba3 c5

Unfortunately for Black this does not work, but it is difficult to suggest anything constructive.

21 d 4 h 3
Black cannot play 21...Qxd6 because of 22 Rxc5!

22 g 3 Rh 4 ?!
This loses material by force but the alternatives are pretty horrible.

23 dxc5 bxc5 24 Qd5 Rb8 25 Bd3!
White wins at least the exchange - if Black plays Rd4. Black tries one last
f1ing.
25...Qf6 26 gxh4 Bc6 27 Qxc6,

## $1-0$.

## 为 为

## 6th ASIAN CITIES TOURN. contd

18 Bxg5 hxg5 $19 \mathrm{Nxg5}$ Bh6
My opponent thought that this saved him but he had failed to take into account the Boffin Spong. After 19...Nxg5 20 Qxg5 Black cannot meet all the threats created by 21 Rf3.
20 Qd3! Nxg5 21 Bxf7+ Rxf7
No better is 21...Nxf7.
22 Qxa3 Rg7
Better is 22...Nd5 but the position is shot anyway.
23 Rxb6
The sting in the end of the tale!
1-0.

Oops 2!
Ken Austin (New Plymouth) points out a vastly superior solution to position No. 2 in the February "Can You See the Combinations?" This was the position:


The game ended in a draw after $1 . .$. g3+ but far better Rxh +1 . 3 Kxh2 Khat 3 Kxh2 [0r 3 Kgl Rhl+] $3 .$.
Rh8+ 4 Kgl Ng 3 followed by 5 Rh 1 mate.

As Mr Austin comit can hardly rate as brilliant in correspondence chess to take a draw instead of an easy win!

## Book Review

AUSTRALIAN CHESS LORE (VOLUME III) Edited by John van Manen Published by ACL Partnership

This 1ittle book (softback, 84 pages) is, as the title suggests, the third of a series. The subject matter may seem, at first glance, to be of marginal interest to New Zealand readers although Australians have frequently graced the stage of New Zealand chess too.

The showpiece of this volume [at least for me - Editorl is a brilliant piece of biographical writing by Anne Purdy on the lives of the two Crakanthorps, father L.S. and son Spencer. The writer is, of course, the widow of the late C.J.S.Purdy and Spencer Crakanthorp's daughter. While the book is worth reading for this article alone, the volum also includes the second part or an W.S. Viner. About thirty games and a W.S. Viner About the book.

As with the first two volumes, the depth of historical research is great indeed and perhaps the only pity is that the title is not "AustralASian Chess Lore" as then the authors might be let loose on this side of the Tasman.
This is a limited edition of 150 copies and may be ordered directly from Modbury Heights, S.A. 5092, Australia. At A $\$ 7.50$ the price is steep, though
this is rapidly becoming the case (if it is not already) with all overseas publications, thanks to a sinking New Zealand dollar.

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

## From page 40

1. Keres - Wilkins, correspondence 1933 Qxc6+! bxc6 2 Nxc7+ Rxc7 3 Rd8 mate.
2. Horowitz-Anon, Simul 1941: 1 Rc8+! Bxc8 2 Qe8+ Rf8 3 Rxg7+ Kxg7 $4 \mathrm{Qg} 6+\mathrm{Kh} 85 \mathrm{Qh} 7$ mate.
3. Michel-Rossetto, Buenos Aires 1941: 1 Qh6+! Rxh6 2 Bxh6+ Kh7 3 Bf8 mate.
4. Vukovich - Anon, Simul 1937: 1 Nf5! Qxh4 2 Qh5!!, 1 - 0.
5. Alekhine - Reshevsky, Kemeri 1937 1 Rxb8+! Kxb8 2 Qxe5+ and Whit mates in three.
6. Botvinnik - Yudovich, Leningrad 1933 1 Nxg6! Kxg6 2 Bh5 + !, 1 - 0 [2.. Kxh5 $3 \mathrm{Ng} 3+\& 4$ Qe4+; 2...Kh7 3 Nf6t \& 4 Qh7 mate].

## From page 41

1. Kliavin - Zhuravlev, U.S.S.R. 1968 1 Nd5+! cxd5 2 Qa3+, 1 - 0 [2...Kd8 3 Qā6 Kc8 4 Rcl+].
2. Donner-Bouwneester, Munich 1958: 1 Bxg6+! Kxg6 2 Qa6+!, 1 - 0 [2. Kf7 3 Qa2t; 2...Kf5 3 Qa3t; 2.. Kg5 $3 \mathrm{f} 4+\mathrm{l}$.
3. Salwe-Speyer, Hamburg 1910 1...Nel! 2 Rxel $\mathrm{Qf} 3+3 \mathrm{Kgl}$ Bh3, $0-1$.
4. Portisch - Smyslov, Amsterdam 1964: $1 . . . N x g 4!2$ hxg 4 Qh4+ $3 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Qg} 3+$ 4 Kh1 f2, $0-1$
5. Ofstad - Uh1mann, Halle 1963 1 Qd6+ Be7 [1...Ne7 2 Bxg5 +-] Rxe7! Nxe7 3 Qf6+!! gxf6 4 Bh6 mate.
6. Platz-Just, East Germany 1972: 1 Qxh7+!! Kxh7 2 Rhl + Kg8 3 Nh6 $4 \mathrm{Nf} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 5 \mathrm{Rh} 8+!\mathrm{Kxf7} 6 \mathrm{Rh} 7+$ $\mathrm{Kg} 87 \mathrm{Rg} 7+, 1-0$.

## National Ratings, 15 February

Events rated since the last published list include the South Island Championship Olympiads and the 1984/85 NZCA Congress. The list includes all players active in the last two years. An asterisk denotes a provisional rating, i.e. one based on less than 25 games. The Rating List was produced with the valuable assistance of Sirius Systems Ltd, whose computer and software were used.

| 1 | Smal1 V.A. | 2377 | 53 | Turner G.M. | 1958 | 105 | Ong R. | 1804 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Sarapu 0. | 2365 | 54 | van Dyk T. | 1957 | 106 | Wilson R.T. | 1803 |
| 3 | Garbett P.A. | 2350 | 55 | Hawkes P.D. | 1956 | 107 | Knightbridge W. | 18 Q 0 |
| 4 | Sutton R.J. | 2343 | 56 | Marshal1 C.J | 1952 | 108 | Ion G.J. | 1799 |
| 5 | Smith R.W. | 2279 | 57 | Walden G.J. | 1948 | 109 | Mazur J.J. | 1797 |
| 6 | Watson B.R. | 2272 | 58 | Scott M.J. | 1947* | 110 | Lukey S . | 1797 |
| 7 | Nokes R.I. | 2269 | 59 | Hopewell N.H. | 1945 | 111 | Forbes G. | 793 |
| 8 | Green E.M. | 2263 | 60 | Hampl M. | 1945 | 112 | Robinson J.P. | 787 |
| 9 | Lloyd A.J. | 2252 | 61 | Spiller T.W.L. | . 1937 | 113 | Jordan A.W. | 1785 |
|  | Levene M. | 2246 | 62 | Vetharaniam $P$. | . 1930 | 114 | van der Hoorn M | 1785 |
| 11 | Ker A.F. | 2236 | 63 | Bennett H.P. | 1927 | 115 | Boswell T.J. | 1784 |
| 12 | Gollogly D.A. | 2226 | 64 | Cooper D.J. | 1926 | 116 | Ferguson R.T. | 1783 |
| 13 | Sarfati J.D. | 2223 | 65 | Cater J.E. | 1922 | 117 | Gloistein B. | 1779 |
| 14 | Green P.R. | 2199 | 66 | Collins P.W | 1922 | 118 | Roundill R.L | 1773 |
| 15 | Anderson B.R. | 2194 | 67 | Leese M. | 1920 | 119 | Notley D.G. | 1771 |
| 16 | Hopewell M.G. | 2181 | 68 | Kaspar W. | 1911* | 120 | 0'Boyle D. | 1760 |
| 17 | Clemance P.A. | 2177 | 69 | Foster F.M | 1909 | 121 | Post M.J. | 1759 |
| 18 | Chye M. | 2165* | 70 | Norton W. | 1907 | 122 | Borren A.M. | 1757 |
| 19 | Stuart P.W. | 2157 | 71 | Wheeler B. | 1902 | 123 | Poor R.L. | 1755 |
| 20 | Leonhardt W. | 2149 | 72 | Free T.J. | 1900 | 124 | Williams B.M. | 1754 |
| 21 | Love A.J. | 2149 | 73 | Haase G.G | 1895 | 125 | Smith V.J. | 753 |
| 22 | Cordue P.L. | 2148 | 74 | Yee S . | 1893 | 126 | Vetharaniam K. | 1751 |
| 23 | Weir P.B. | 2147 | 75 | Field D.W. | 1892 | 127 | Bridges N.P. | 1748 |
| 24 | Dowden R.A. | 2141 | 76 | Spencer-Smith G. | G. 1892 | 128 | Moule C. | 748 |
| 25 | Bates G.T.H. | 2140 | 77 | Lynn K.w. | 1889 | 129 | Williamson H.G. | 1745 |
| 26 | Cornford L.H. | 2136 | 78 | Feasey R.A. | 1883* | 130 | Goodhue N . | 744 |
| 27 | Freeman M.R. | 2121 | 79 | Whitehouse L.E. | 1882 | 131 | van Dam S. | 1743 |
| 28 | McLaren L.J. | 2119 | 80 | Ba1dwin P.A. | 1881* | 132 | Sims I.M. | 1743 |
| 29 | Metge J.N. | 2117 | 81 | Dowman I.A. | 1872 | 133 | Booth A.J. | 1743 |
| 30 | Pomeroy A. | 2110 | 82 | van Ginke1 J.P. | 1871 | 134 | Drake A.S. | 1737 |
| 31 | Noble M.F. | 2090 | 83 | Goffin P.B. | 1867 | 135 | Mitche11 R.S. | 1737 |
| 32 | Jackson J.R. | 2076 | 84 | Nijman A.J. | 1867 | 136 | Metge K.M. | 1734 |
| 33 | Spain G.A. | 2050 | 85 | Roberts M.H. | 1864 | 137 | Fleming M. | 1730 |
| 34 | Spiller P.S. | 2042 | 86 | Hart R. | 1864 | 138 | Marsick B.H.P. | 1729 |
| 35 | Aldridge G.J. | 2042 | 87 | Rawnsley L.D. | 1861 | 139 | Nijman B. | 1728 |
| 36 | Fitzpatrick S.P. | 2040 | 88 | Connor B.P. | 1859 | 140 | Hall M. | 1727 |
| 37 | Jackson R.E. | 2037* | 89 | Taylor R. | 1850 | 141 | White M. | 1726 |
| 38 | Feneridis A. | 2034 | 90 | Stephenson J.R. | 1850 | 142 | Sims M.T. | 1723 |
| 39 | Wilson M.C. | 2033 | 91 | Wigbout M. | 1845 | 143 | Waddle M.H. | 1722 |
| 40 | Dive R.J. | 2031 | 92 | Jackson I. | 1841* | 144 | Monrad P.G. | 1722 |
| 41 | Carpinter B.A. | 2028 | 93 | Martin B.M. | 1838 | 145 | Whitlock H.P. | 1721 |
| 42 | Steadman M.V.R. | 2027 | 94 | Rose C.A. | 1836* | 146 | Lake C. | 72 |
| 43 | van Dijk P. | 2027 | 95 | Cooper P.R. | 1834 | 147 | Jones C. | 719 |
| 44 | Gibbons R.E. | 2009 | 96 | Foord M.R.R. | 1830 | 148 | Weber E. | 1714 |
| 45 | Alexander B.J. | 2006 | 97 | Adams J.M. | 1830 | 149 | Hoskyn G.A. | 1713 |
| 46 | Walsh B.G. | 2003 | 98 | Marner G. | 1830 | 150 | Cornelissen R. | 1712 |
| 47 | Hensman P.J. | 2001 | 99 | Dreyer M.P. | 1830 | 151 | Ker C.M. | 1709 |
| 48 | Sharko A.V. | 1996 | 100 | Boyd K.M. | 1827 | 152 | Hare M. | 1704* |
| 49 | White P . | 1989 | 101 | Cribbett P.F | 1827 | 153 | Frankel $Z$. | 1702 |
| 50 | Wilkinson E.M. | 1979 | 102 | Corry R.J. | 1824 | 154 | Reid A.V. | 1702 |
| 51 | Weegenaar D.P. | 1968 | 103 | Brown S.A. | 1817 | 155 | Stracy D.M. | 1701 |
| 52 | Power P.W. | 1962 | 104 | Carter G.S. | 1814 | 156 | Snelson P.R. | 1701 |


| 5 | Thompson A. | 1701 | 217 | Hames A. | 1584* | 277 | G1ass B.D. | $1468{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 158 | Whitehouse C. | 1692* | 218 | Spencer-Smith P. | 1581 | 278 | Blatchford J. | 1465* |
| 159 | McIntosh A.D. | 1691 | 219 | Morgan B. | 1581* | 279 | Grace K. | 1460* |
| 160 | Tangiav J. | 1691* | 220 | Houpt R. | 1580 | 280 | Gales A.E. | 1458* |
| 161 | Beesley R. | 1689 | 221 | Petch W.H. | 1577 | 281 | Lezard G. | 1457* |
| 162 | Grevers L.P. | 1687 | 22 | Byford C. | 1577 | 282 | Fitzgibbon P. | 1455* |
| 163 | Johnstone R.B. | 1685 | 223 | Stanton R.A | 1573 | 283 | Jones L.R. | 1452* |
| 164 | Hartley J. | 1676 | 224 | Colthart R. | 1572 | 284 | Hipkins B. | 1450 |
| 165 | Shuker R. | 1675 | 225 | Herbert J. | 1570* | 285 | 01dridge C.B.W. | 1446 |
| 166 | Grkow A. | 1675 | 226 | Mears G.W. | 1567 | 286 | Cole G. | 1444* |
| 167 | Martin-Buss B. | 1674 | 227 | Steel R.G. | 1565 | 287 | Healey R. | 1443 |
| 168 | Boyce D.A.L. | 1671 | 228 | Kelly Simo | 1564* | 288 | Whibley P | 1443* |
| 169 | Edwards D.W. | 1668 | 229 | Banks G. | 1564* | 289 | Eccles S. | 1437* |
| 170 | Capper D.S. | 1667 | 230 | Kay J.B. | 1563 | 290 | Alexander G. | 1436* |
| 171 | Bojtor J. | 1666 | 231 | Watts D.W. | 1559 | 291 | Wang S . | 1436* |
| 172 | Thomson 0.N | 1663 | 232 | Aldridge A.L. | 1557 | 292 | Glavin G. | 1433* |
| 173 | Weston R.M. | 1661* | 233 | Morse D.E. | 1557* | 293 | Martin L.M. | 1430 |
| 174 | Ruth S. | $1660^{*}$ | 234 | Sinton P.J. | 1555 | 294 | Schwass M.P | 1429 |
| 175 | Clements T.C. | 1659 | 235 | Henderson A.J | 1551 | 295 | Boyd J.K. | 1428 |
| 176 | Young P . | 1659* | 236 | Stewart M.I. | 1551 | 296 | McLean R.E. | 1423* |
| 177 | Bell C.M. | 1653 | 37 | Bennett D. | 1546 | 297 | Ward C. | 1421* |
| 178 | Opferman H | 1652 | 238 | Craigie A.B. | 1546* | 298 | Parlane L.N. | 1420 |
| 179 | Aandah 1. | 1650* | 239 | Raines T. | 1544 | 299 | Stiles A.D. | 1420* |
| 180 | Stretch W.R. | 1648 | 240 | Jackson R. | 1539* | 300 | Allen E.G. | 1418 |
| 181 | Mullan A.B. | 1648 | 1 | Billing J. | 1536* | 301 | Martin S.C. | 1416 |
| 182 | Boughen A. | 1646 | 242 | McCormick R. | 1530 | 302 | McCarthy T.R. | 1414 |
| 183 | Baumgartner R. | 1646 | 243 | Bell D.I. | 1529 | 303 | Schofield G.S. | 1413 |
| 184 | Johnstone A.J. | 1644* | 244 | Morrison M.K. | 1527 | 304 | Uszakiewicz G. | 1413* |
| 5 | Mathieson J.S. | 1643 | 245 | Sangster A. | 1527* | 305 | Takhar R. | 1411 |
| 186 | Price A.J. | 1640 | 46 | McKee D. | 1525* | 306 | Stewart B.E. | 1410 |
| 187 | Boswell W.J. | 1638* | 247 | Shardy $Z$. | 1521 | 307 | Rudkins L.R. | 1409 |
| 188 | Clinton D. | 1637* | 248 | $0^{\prime}$ Connor J.A. | 1516 | 308 | McAllister S. | 1406* |
| 189 | Davies G. | 1636 | 249 | Hampton R . | 1514 | 309 | Wilkes J. | 1403 |
| 190 | Cunningham P.D. | 1632 | 250 | Levy R. | 1510 | 310 | Savage B. | 1403* |
| 191 | Gribben B. | 1629 | 1 | Bradley N.A. | 1510 | 311 | Winsor B.M. | 1400 |
| 192 | Wood R.J. | 1625 | 252 | Nokes J. | 1509* | 312 | Chandler J. | 1398 |
| 193 | Kelly Stephen | 1619* | 253 | Ramsay W. | 1503 | 313 | Freeman B.W. | 1396* |
| 194 | Turner M.G. | 1617 | 254 | Lamb D.I. | 1503* | 314 | Phillips J. | 1393 |
| 195 | Goodwillie C. | 1615 | 255 | Brown B. | 1502 | 315 | Bartocci K.D. | 1393 |
| 196 | Gifford-Moore D. | 1613 | 6 | Blundell K . | 1502 | 316 | Dunwoody M.L. | 1384 |
| 197 | Lannie R.M. | 1611 | 257 | Jones H. | 1502* | 317 | Mueller H. | 1384* |
| 198 | Bennett P.E. | 1611 | 258 | Talaic L. | 1501 | 318 | Booth S. | 1383 |
| 199 | Trundle G.E. | 1610 | 259 | Wilcock P.R. | 1492 | 319 | Edwards R. | 1379* |
| 200 | King P.C. | 1610 | 260 | Petrie B. | 1489 | 320 | Simmons J. | 1371 |
| 201 | Dunn $P$. | 1609 | 261 | Cook F. | 1489 | 321 | Haag G. | 1369* |
| 202 | Bennell D.J. | 1608 | 262 | Row1and N. | 1489* | 322 | Hofsteede J. | 1364 |
| 203 | Lee C.T. | 1607* | 263 | Capie M. | 1487 | 323 | MacLean G.D. | 1363* |
| 204 | McRae S . | 1605 | 264 | Reid P. | 1481 | 324 | Turner A. | 1361* |
| 205 | Johnson Q. | 1605 | 265 | Simpson G. | 1481* | 325 | Stymman F. | $1360{ }^{*}$ |
| 206 | Powell J.R. | 1601* | 266 | Baldwin P. | 1480 | 326 | Gilberd J. | 1348* |
| 207 | McKenzie P. | 1600* | 267 | Turner G. | 1478** | 327 | Gribben C. | 1348* |
| 208 | Barrow G. | 1596 | 268 | Owens N. | 1477* | 328 | Langley D.B. | 1347* |
| 209 | Scott D. | 1594* | 269 | Brownlee L.R. | 1476 | 329 | Byfield C. | 1343* |
| 210 | Brumby T.J. | 1591* | 270 | Sievey J.C. | 1474 | 330 | Edmonds L.G. | 1340 |
| 211 | Jackson R. | 1589* | 271 | Aburn S. | 1474 | 331 | Borovskis J. | 1336 |
| 212 | Middleton J. | 1589* | 272 | Brannigan K. | 1473 | 332 | McClory J. | 1336* |
| 215 | Sareczky G. | 1587 | 273 | Duhs A. | 1473* | 333 | Winter W. | 1325 |
| 214 | Brett B. | 1586* | 274 | Brett K.W. | 1469 | 334 | Harris K. | 1324 |
| 215 | Sutherland J.L. | 1585 | 275 | Calder R.J. | 1469 | 335 | Stelco C. | 1322* |
| 216 | Okey K.M. | 1584 | 276 | Atkinson I.E. | 1469 | 336 | Thorby B. | 1321 |


| 337 | Styche S. | 1321* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 338 | McNally I. | 1321 |
| 339 | Robbie C.G. | 1320 |
| 340 | Copp J. | 1318* |
| 341 | Hansen L. | 1317* |
| 342 | Rubini B. | 1316* |
| 43 | Hillier R. | 1314* |
| 344 | Watson M.J. | 1310 |
| 45 | Chang A.R. | 1310 |
| 46 | Guerin G. | 1309* |
| 347 | Shields P. | 1306* |
| 48 | van der Mey P.F. | 1300 |
| 349 | Gibb J.L. | 1298* |
| 350 | Anderson Debbie | 1297* |
| 351 | Anderson G. | 1294 |
| 352 | Watson Denise | 1294* |
| 353 | Meader A.J. | 1294* |
| 354 | McRae J. | 1294* |
| 355 | Gordon M.A. | 1288 |
| 356 | Ware M. | 1282* |
| 357 | Morris I. | 1273* |
| 358 | Gonin R.C.E. | 1271* |
| 359 | Millar K. | 1270* |
| 360 | Pledger T.K. | 1265* |
| 361 | Strickett R.L. | 1262 |
| 362 | Williams R.G. | 1262 |
| 363 | Shields J. | 1258 |
| 64 | Aimers C. | 1257* |
| 5 | Thorne G. | 1255 |
| 66 | Scott H.M. | 1249* |
| 367 | Jones S. | 1245* |
| 368 | Skurr P. | 1245* |
| 369 | Sidwell W. | 1244* |
| 370 | Scott R.J.L. | 1239 |
| 371 | Clinton J . | 1239* |
| 2 | Dah1 G. | 1236* |
| 3 | Pengelly R.A. | 1235* |
| 74 | Hoolihan N . | 1231* |
| 375 | Astin J. | 1230 * |
| 376 | Smith G.P. | 1230 * |
| 377 | Hill S.D. | 1227 |
| 378 | Lancaster C. | 1227* |
| 379 | Hemela J. | 1223 |
| 380 | Archer A. | 1223* |
| 381 | Newnan B. | 1219 |
| 382 | Dunningham M . | 1208* |
| 383 | Treanor S.R | 1203* |
| 384 | Jones G.M. | 1202 |
| 385 | Pacitto D. | 1199* |
| 386 | Cameron D. | 1197 |
| 387 | McBride E. | 1180* |
| 388 | Macri I. | 1172* |
| 389 | Choat S.A. | 1162* |
| 390 | Peddie W.S. | 1161* |
| 391 | Saipe A.M. | 1158* |
| 392 | Raynes P. | 1154* |
| 393 | Mackay M. | 1152* |
| 394 | Wood B. | 1150* |
| 395 | Stubberfield W. | 1149* |
| 396 | Mowat R.J. | 1144* |
| 397 |  |  |

## Panel Poser

Has anyone got the score of Spassky-Karpov (King's Gambit), Hamburg 1982. It was played in the final of the TV World Cup (l hour time limit); Spassky won. SPASSKY - KARPOV, King's Gambit: 1 e 4 e 52 f4 exf4

 fxg 618 Mxg Kxg7 19 Qd4t Qf6 20 Re7t Kg8 27 Nxf6 Rxf6 22 Rxc7 Bf5 23 Rxc4 Re8 $24 \mathrm{h3}$ Bxc2 25 Ra4 gxh 3 26 Kh2 f2 27 Kxh 3 Rel 28 Kg 2 Rxfl 29 Kxfl Rf3 30 Ra Bd3t 31 Pxd3 Rxa3 32 Kxfz 1 - 0 The Rest of the game was played at lightning speed and could not be re onstructed afterwards.


The superb Novale ranue of chess computers are the nonly modeh endorsed by New Zealand Grandmaster Murray Chandler.

New Zealand Chess Supplies
b.O. Box 42n90, WAINUIOMATA

Wellington.
ph (04) 648-578 (Eve).

| 398 | Kubatski K. | 1135* | 419 | Haynes S.P. | 945* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 399 | Harron G. | 1134* | 420 | Smeed J. | * |
| 400 | Tomlin A . | 1127* | 421 | McDonald D. | $0^{*}$ |
| 401 | Cole N . | 1126* | 422 | Weyers R. | 910 * |
| 402 | Cooper M. | 1116* | 423 | Malcouronne A. | 905* |
| 403 | Keith J.G. | 1105 | 424 | McQuinlan G.M. | 884* |
| 404 | Plummer B. | 1090* | 425 | Stinson I.P. | 82 |
| 405 | Carthew B. | 1088* | 426 | Urguhart T. | 855* |
| 406 | Newman D.A. | 1087* | 427 | Twiss J. | 836* |
| 407 | Sorel M. | 1079* | 428 | Freeman J. | 831* |
| 8 | Webber C.H. | 1073 | 429 | Morrison N . | 29* |
| 409 | Hay V. | 1072 | 430 | Houlahan M. | 3* |
| 410 | Weston R. | 1070* | 431 | Turner B. | 69* |
| 411 | Blaxall N . | 1069* | 432 | Boyd D.J. | 743* |
| 412 | Walker C. | 1065* | 433 | Candy W. | 723* |
| 413 | Cooling G. | 1059* | 434 | Ker S. | 711* |
| 414 | Haase P. | 1041* | 435 | Savage D. | 648* |
| 415 | Hince F . | 1036* | 436 | Vucetich D.G. | 618* |
| 416 | Blaxall C. | 1005* | - 437 | Lake T. | 615* |
| 417 | Stevenson T. | 1000* | 438 | Meyer D. | 541* |
| 418 | Jenkinson K. | 962* | 439 | Walklin P.D. | 528 |

