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A pensive Anatoly Karpov watches Gary Kasparov deep in concentration before the start of one of the games in the World Championship match at Moscow. Photo by M. Rabkin, official photographer for SAHS (Riga)
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## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due to IBM for their
donation of the IBM Selectric typewriter used to produce this magazine.

## ANNUAL CONGRESS

Organising club Upper Hutt has sent out entry forms for the 1984/85 New Zeal and Chess Association Congress which is sponsored this year by Dominion Brew ries
The venue is Upper Hutt's Civic Hall which will be quite familiar to the hundreds of players who have competed in one of the eleven DB 40-40 tournaments held there - or in one of the two previous Congresses hosted by Upper Hutt Chess Club (1975/76 and 1979/80).
The events being held are the New Zealand Championship, New Zealand Women's Championship, Premier Reserve Tournament and C-grade (under 1350) tournament. Als four cournaments stant on 28 December and conclude on 8 January Although entries for the New Zealand Championship close with the Council on 15 November (before you read this), intending players have until 6th December to enter the other grades.
Hostel accommodation is available at the Post Office Training College in Heretaunga, about four kilometres from the Civic Hall. There is a regular train service linking the two
Further enquiries should be addressed to Dr R.J.Weston, Secretary, Upper Hutt Chess Club, 14B Arnot Avenue, Upper Huet
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## EDITORIAL

After having misgivings about the earlier than usual deadline for this issue, we finished up with plenty of copy - and a bumper 36-pager which must surely make 1984 the best value year ever for New Zealand Chess despite our having only five issues instead of the normal six.
In fact several items have had to be held over until a later issue. February will doubtless see another big issue with coverage of the NZCA Congress, the World Championship and the Olympiads.
In the New Year the national administration will move to Wellington after bout thirty years ince thend. It about thirty years since the Associaalthough prior to 1954 the Council la , prour perhaps there capital for many decades. sigh of relief at thi nows (although fourse, it has ben no secret ore than a year) but no doubt some those who have served the Association for a good many years in various capa cities will feel the same way!
Running a national sports organisation
s no small undertaking, requiring con siderable time, perseverance, conp conand, frequently enough sadly, the ability to dodge the inevitable brickbats! We wish the incoming administration every success.
Obviously the transfer of headquarters will have repercussions for New Zealand Chess. For a start the business office for the magazine will doubtless move to Wellington in 1985. By our February issue we should have more definite new Until then subscribers should continue to use the Association's present address shown on the inside front cover and can be assured of the usual prompt service.
On the editorial side we have indicated our willingness to continue as Editor indefinitely and copy for the magazine should still be sent to the Editor's address, again as shown on the
inside front cover. Again the intentions of the new Council will doubtless become clear early next year.

Finally, I take this opportunity to thank our contributors during the year and to wish all readers a most convivial holiday season!

## OLYMPIAD APPEAL

The Appeal total currently stands at $\$ 660$, certainly a disappointing response so far with only one donation from outside the Auckland area. The Appeal will close on 15 December.

| Acknowledged previously | 490.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Anon | 20.00 |
| Ralph Hart | 10.00 |
| Anon | 10.00 |
| Stephen Moore | 10.00 |
| North Shore Chess Club | 100.00 |
| Bruce Marsick | $\underline{20.00}$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 660.00$ |

Our sincere thanks to all those who have made donations. Any further donations will be acknowledged in February.

## THE WORLD CH'P

Play began in Moscow on l0th September with three games each week on Monday, Wednesday and Friday although each player is entitled to three postponements in the first 24 games.
Victory goes to the first to win SIX games and it did not seem as though this would take very long as Anatoly Karpov won games $3,6,7$ and 9 to take a $4: 0$ lead. Gary Kasparov, however, stabilised the situation with a record run of 13 draws so that the score after 22 games is still 4:0. Our game by game coverage begins on page 135 and will be continued in the February issue.

## Can You See the Combinations?

Solutions on page 151


No. 1 White to move


No. 5 White to move


No. 4 White to move


No. 6 Black to move

## The World Championship Game by Game

There cannot be much doubt that the 1984 World Championship pitted against each other the World's two strongest players. The latest FIDE rating list had Kasparov on 2715 and Karpov on 2705 , more than 50 points ahead of anyone else. In a pre-match interview the orld Champion declared that he and the challenger were in a class of their own nd he thought it likely that the Wo hampionship could beco a privat attlefield for many year come.
The Moscow chess fraternity had a feast of World Championship matches in the twenty-five years following the Second World War but this ended in 196 when Boris Spassky defeated Tigran Petrosian. Now, with two Soviet player involved once again, the USSR Chess Federation exercised its prerogative to organise the 1984 match in its own rganise 1984 moth venue is the as that used in the 1948 World Chip same rnate Hall of Colums in the House of nions, originally a Tsarist nobleman' nions, original ballroom.
Anatoly Karpov, at 33 years of age, should be approaching his peak. His weakness lies away from the chessboard - a frail physique and possible lack of stamina led to his collapsing during the later stages of his gruelling 32 ame series against Korchnoi at Baguio City in 1978. At the board Karpov is a rilliant technician and he has lost exceedingly few games in tournaments ince winning the title by default in 1975 after Fischer refused to accept the match conditions and voluntarily relinquished his title.
Gary Kasparov, until recently the world's youngest grandmaster, is a robust 2l-year old with a liking for nore physical sport, notably soccer. He has risen to the top of the FIDE
ratings with a string of impressive tournament victories together with Canidates match wins over Belyavsky. Korchnoi and Smyslov. There is a marked ontrast in playing styles. If Karpov is the boa constrictor of the chess world, then Kasparov could be likened to the proverbial bull in the china shop. The challenger relishes complicated positions and tactical situations and is always prepared to sacrifice
material to gain or preserve the initiative.
The match preliminaries took place at the opening ceremony on 9 th September with Chief Referee Svetozar Gligoric officiating. Karpov, as reigning champion, was given first choice of two traditional Russian matroshka dolls; he chose the right one, containing in its he word "Da" (yes) first choice of a nd chain or ach the right the word "'Da" this time gave him the right to choose which colour he would take in the first came; naturally he mor the ligoric insisted that Kasparov open the second doll to verify that it con pined a piece of paper with the wor tained a piece of paper with the word

GAME ONE
10 September
Kasparov's Scheveningen Sicilian was et with the Keres Attack which would have come as no surprise to the challenger. After white's initiative petered out Kasparov gained a slight edge and, though this was insufficient for winning purposes, it must have provided a psychological boost for him.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Sicilian Scheveningen: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc 3 d6 6 g4 h6 $7 \mathrm{h4} \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{Rg}$


 Ne5 19 Bh3 Nc4 20 Nd2 Nxd2 21 Rxd2 Rc8 22 fxe6 Вxe6 23 Bxe6 fxe6 24 Qgl Qa5 25 Qd4 Qe5 26 Qd3 Qc4 27 Qe3 Ka8 28 a3 Qc6 29 e5 dxe5 30 Qxe5 Rhd8 31 Rgd3 Rxd3 32 Rxd3 Qhl+ 33 Nd1 Qg2 34 Rd2 Qc6 $35 \operatorname{Re} 2$ Bd6 36 Qc3 Qd7, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME TWO

12 September
In a Queen's Indian Defence Kasparov forsook the 4 a 3 line which has brought him so much success in favour of the 7 d5 gambit variation. Kasparov's 17 th ove lost the initiative and Black later on the exchange. After this Kasparov's nergetic play gined him a draw but it ast his fame Kasparov took the first
his three permitted time-outs.

KASPAROV - KARPOV, Queen's Indian
$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf6} 2 \mathrm{c} 4$ e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 g 3 Bb 7
 12 e4 Bf6 13 Bf4 Bc8 [Previously 13 .Nd7 or 13...d4 have been played] 14 g4 Nba6 15 Rel Bd7 16 Qd2 Nc5


17 e5 Be7 18 Nxe7+ Qxe7 19 Bg5 Qe6 20 h3 Qg6 21 $-46$ 24 b4 $2 \times 4$ 2 b4 fxg 425 Nxg4 Nd3 26 Rf3 8 Oxcl 15 Qg 7 $0 \mathrm{Qxcl} 2 \mathrm{Rae8} 29$
31 Nxd4 Kh8 32 g5 Re4 33 be2 Qe5 34
 Qa1+ 38 Bfl Rf5 39 g6 Bxg6 40 Rxg6 R5xf6 41 Rxf6 0xf6 42 Del Rg8+ 43 Kh2 Qf4+ $\frac{\mathrm{Rxf}}{44 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Rxg} 3 \quad 45 \text { Oxg3 Oxf1 } 46}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Kh} 2+\mathrm{Qf} \\ \mathrm{Qb} 7 & 47 & \mathrm{Qg} 3+, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} .\end{array}$

## GAME THREE

17 September
Kasparov switched to the Taimanov variation of the Sicilian. Ominously, however, Karpov is also an expert in this line with many wins to his credit - on both sides! Black's 12 th move looks new and does not turn out well, revealing inferior opening preparation by the Kasparov team. Karpov played powerfully to open his account.
KARPOV-KASPAROV, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd 4
 Bb7 [More popular recently has been 11 ...Ne5 intending to relocate the $Q N$ on d7] 12 Qb3 [White has many alternatives here but this has been Karpov's normal choice] 12...Na5? [The only move with any pedigree at all is $12 . . . N d 7$, one example being 13 Radl NC5 14 QC2 QC7 15 $f 4$ Rfd8 16 Bf3 Rac8 as in a game Spassky-Karpov 1978] 13 Qxb6 Nxe4 14 Nxe4 Bxe4 15 Qxd8 Bxd8 「Obviously forced in view of the possibility of Bb6. Black's minor pieces are in disarray and, far from providing compensation, his central pawn majority offers a target for White] 16 Radl d5 [True to style, Kasparov sacrifices a pawn for the initiative but passive defence by $16 . . . \mathrm{Be} 717 \mathrm{Bb} 6 \mathrm{Nb} 7$ was probably a better option 17 f3 bf5 18 cxd5 exd5 19 Rxd5 Be6 20 Rd6 Bxa2 21 Rxa6 Rb8

22 Bc5 Re8 23 Bb5 [Black's counterplay against the b-pawn is now shown to be insufficientl $23 .$. .Re6 $24 \mathrm{b4} \mathrm{Nb7} 25$ $\mathrm{Bf} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 26 \mathrm{Nc} 2$

26...Bd5 [Worse is $26 . . . B b 3$ on account of 27 Na 4 Rxa6 28 Bxa6 Bd5 29 Bg3 winningl 27 Rd1! Bb3 28 Rd7 Rd8 [That Black also has a back now become appa-
rent. Vernon Small suggests $28 \ldots$...Bxc2 29 Rxe6 fxe6 30 Rxe7 Na6 as Black's best, though not promising, chancel 29 Rxe6 Rxay 30 Rel. Rc7 31 Bb6, 1 - 0 hite win a plece after Rxe7 Nd6 33 BC5.

## GAME FOUR

21 September
Karpov took his first time-out after the third game, possibly a welcome break for Kasparov too. The fourth game, another Queen's Indian, saw Karpov avoid the gambit variation of game two by playing the modern "main line" 4...Ba6. Kasparov may have gained the edge but he was unable to make any headway against Black's hanging pawns and the game was agreed drawn shortly after the resumption.
The Times of London reported that "the only incident of the day was provided by the adjournment envelope which took several minutes and great exertions to open." We have become used to a lot of off-the-board controversy with consequent good newspaper coverage after three World Championship matches invol ving Messrs R.Fischer and V.Korchnoi. The above quoted "incident" highlights the low profile publicity emanating from Moscow.
KASPAROV-KARPOV, Queen's Indian: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 $4 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Ba6}$
 12 Oc2 c5 13 Rfdl Oc8 14 Be5 Rd8 15 Rac1 Ne4 16 Qb2 Qe6 17 Nb5 Bf8 18
 $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Ne} 5 & \mathrm{Bxb5} & 22 & \text { axb5 } & \mathrm{Nb} 4 & 23 \\ \text { Qbl }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Ne5 } & \text { Bxb5 } & 22 & \text { axb5 } & \text { Nb4 } & 23 & \text { Qbl Nf6 } \\ \text { Nc6 } & 24 \\ \text { Nxc6 } & 25 & \text { bxc6 } & \text { Qxc6 } & 26 & \text { Bg5 } 5 \text { a5 } & 27\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Nc6 Nxc6 } & 55 & \text { bxc6 Qxc6 } & 26 & \text { Bg5 as } & 27 \\ \text { Bxf6 } & \text { Qxf6 } & 28 & \text { Bxd5 } & \text { Ra7 } & 29 & \text { Rc4 } \\ \text { Qb6 } & 30\end{array}$ Qc2 Rad7 $\quad 31 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 32 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{f} 3$ g6 34 Rc3 Qc7 35 Rcd3 fxe4 36 fxe4 Bg7 37 Rel Rc8 38 Rf3 Qe5 39 Rcfl

Qd6 40 Qe2 Ra7 41 Qe3 Qe5 42 Rlf2 a4 43 bxa4 Rxa4 44 Rf 7 Rb4, $\frac{1 / 2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME FIVE

24 September
Kasparov employed a third different variation of the Sicilian in as many games as Black, although the Najdorf soon transposed into the Scheveningen. The outcome was the shortest of the four draws to date.
KARPOV - KASPAROV, Sicilian Scheveningen: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be2 e6 7 0-0 Be7 8 f4 0-0 9 Khl Qc7 10 Bf3 Nc6 11 a4 Re8 12 Be3 Rb8 $13 \mathrm{Rel} \mathrm{Bd7} 14 \mathrm{Qd} 3$
 Qc4 18 a5 h6 19 h3 Bf8 20 Bd2 Qd4 21 Be3 Qb4, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME SIX
26 September
The challenger suffered a moralesapping defeat with the white pieces in a Queen's Indian to 1 ag by 2 games to 0 usual and double-adg the

In previous World Championship matche Karpov has played very quickly, no doubt partly to conserve energy but also to put more pressure on an bpent prone to time trouble. In the current match, however, he has been using his time much more fully and in game 5 time pressure was probably the cause of slip which turned a relatively easy win into what should probably have been a draw. The game is annotated in the Games Section.

## GAME SEVEN

28 September
Kasparov's mother showed who was boss when she decided that Gary would play, despite the contrary advice given by his personal physician who recommended a time-out to give him time to recover from a cold.

Karpov changed to 1 d 4 , met by a
Tarrasch Queen's Gambit. This was a well played game on both sides until Kasparov went astray in time pressure; by the adjournment his position was hopeless and ix six games the score is $3: 0$ and a rout KARPOV - KASPA

OGD Tarrasch:
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 c5 4 cxd5 exd5 $5 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 66 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{0} 000-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ Nc6 9 Bg 5 cxd4 10 Nxd4 h6 11 Be 3 Re8 12 Qb3 Na5 13 Qc2 Bg4 14 Nf 5 [This is new; the usual move being 14 h3l $14 . . .15$

Nxe7+ Rxe7 16 Radl Qe8 $17 \mathrm{~h} 3!$ [Not 17 Bxa7 Rxe2, nor 17 Bxd5 Nxd5 18 Rxd5 because of 18...Rxe3! 19 fxe 3 Nc4] 17... Bh5 [Sacrificing a pawn for initiative] 18 Bxd5 Bg6 [The variation in the above note is now ruled out as the Bh5 would be hanging] 19 Qcl Nxd5 20 Rxd5 Nc4 21 Bd4 Rec7 $22 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 623 \mathrm{Re5}$ Qd7 24 Qe3 f6! 25 Rc5 Rxc5 26 Bxc5 Qxh3 27 Rd1! The control of open files gives White an edgef $27 \ldots \mathrm{H}, 28$ Rd4 Nd7 29 dd6 Bf7 $30 \mathrm{Nd5}$ Bxd5 31 Rxd5 a6 32


haps $K$ the mistake of assuming that white was committed to the exchange of queens. Instead 35 ...Qxd3 36 Rxd3 comfortably] 36 e3! decisive back-rank pin and black has nothing better than to withdraw his rookl 36...Rc8 37 Qe7 b5? [The final mistake. Instead 37...Qf7 cedes a less mportant pawn and retains the rooks with excellent drawing chances after 38 Qxf7+ Kxf7 39 Rxh5 Rc2] 38 Rd8 Rxd8 9 Qxd8 Qf7 40 Bd 6 g $5 \quad 41$ Qa8 Kg 7, 1 - 0 [White wins another pawn after 42 Qxa6].
GAME EIGHT

## 3 0ctober

Kasparov took his second time-out after the seventh game and avoided the Queen's Indian in game 8. Karpov, howver, had little trouble equalising KASPAROV-KARPOV, Catalan:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 g 3 d 54 Bg 2 Be 7


 Nxd5 Bxd5 16 Nel c6 17 Nd3 Qb6 18 Qe3 b4 19 Qd2 a5 20 Rdc1, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME NINE

5 October
The World Champion ground out his 4 th win of the match, maintaining a slight advantage throughout the first session The general prognosis at the adjournment was hat Kasparov should draw with accurate play but the position proved to be very difficult. In the 1981 match Karpor beat Korchnoi 6 : 2 in just 16 gak itlis
this stage the present match looks even more one-sided and the challenger's hopes of a successful comeback look slim.
KARPOV-KASPAROV, QGD Tarrasch:
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 c5 4 cxd5 exd5 $5 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 66 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{0} 0 \quad 0-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ Nc6 9 Bg 5 cxd4 $10 \mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 11 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Re} 8$ 12 Qb3 Na5 13 Qc2 Bg4 $14 \mathrm{Nf5} \mathrm{Rc} 8 \mathrm{l} 5$ Bd 4 Karpov is first to vary from th seventh game in which he played 15 $\mathrm{Nxe7+1} 15 .$. Bc5 16 Bxc5 Rxc5 17 Ne 3 From here on White maintains concerted pressure on the isolated pawn; he does not fear the reply 17...d4, answering Rad lnot 18 b4? Rc8 19 bxa 141818 2c8 19 Qat Ra8 20 Rd3 at 21 Rfd1 N 2 Nuc4 Rxc 43305 Rc5 2106 5 Rd4 Qc7 26 Qxc7 Rdxc 727 b3 27 Nxd5 Black has sufficient Nay black has saficient counter28 36 29 Kg7 30 Kh2 Rc4 31 Bf 532 Kg 2 At last threatening to Brl the d-pawn. 32 Byd5 is met by 32 . Rxc 33 Вхеб Rc2] 32..R7c5 33 Rxc 4 Rxc 4 4 Rd4 Kf8 35 Be2 Ryd4? RThis only reases the effect of the "good bishop reases the effect of the "good bishop RC61 36 exd4 Ke 737 Na 2 Bc 838 Nb 4 Kd6 $39 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 8 \quad 40 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Nh} 641 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 5$ 4 No f6 43 Bd3 g5 44 Bxf5 Bxf5 45 Ne3 Bbl


46 b4 gxh4 47 Ng2! [A nice temporary pawn sacrifice to keep open through for the $k$ through Black' s lines? 47...hxg3+ Ior 47...h3 48 Nf4 Ke6 49 Kg2 48 Kxg
50 Nxh5 Ke6 $51 \mathrm{Nf} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 6 \mathrm{~K}^{2} \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Bc} 2$ $53 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Bdl} 54 \mathrm{Kg} 6!\mathrm{Ke} 7$ PBlack is also lost after 54...Bxf3, e.g. 55 Kxf6 Be4 56 Ng6: Bg2 (56...-Bxg6 57 Kxg6 Keb 58 kg ke so krs is hopeless for Black 5. Nes with 58 NITt and 59 kes soon winning the d-pawnl 55 Nxest ke6 56 c7+ Kd7 57 Nxa6 Bxf3 58 Kxf6 Ka6 (I) now seems that black has arawing chances after all as it will be difficult for hite to advance his d-pawn; there is a way thoughl 59 Kf5 Kas 60 Ki4 Bhil e5t Ke3 65 N86 Kc4 66 Ne7 24 Ne5 Ke3 $68 \mathrm{Ng} 6+\mathrm{Kc} 469 \mathrm{Ne}-\mathrm{Bb} 76$ d6, 1 - 0 Nat Kus 69 Nxbs Ka4 70 des, - 0. The advance of the b-pawn decides.

## GAME TEN

Finally Kasparov turns to his favourite 4 a3 variation of the Queen's Indian but all that resulted was the shortest draw so far.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Queen's Indian 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 Nc3 Bb7 5 a3 d5 6 cxd5 Nxd5 7 e3 Nd7 8 Bd3 N5f6 9 e 4 c5 10 d5 exd5 11 exd5 Bd6 12 0-0 0-0 13 Bg5 Qc7 14 Bf5 a6 15 Qd2, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME ELEVEN
10 October
The World Champion had a slight edge throughout most of the game but the symmetrical pawn formation, together with accurate defence by his opponent precluded serious winning chances KARPOV-KASPAROV, Symmetrical English: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 b6 3 g3 4 Bg 2 Bb 7 1 Nf3 Nf6 $2{ }^{2}$ c4 b6 $3 \mathrm{~g}^{3}$ c5 4 Bg 2 Bb 7 5 0-0 g6 $6 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \mathrm{la}^{7} \mathrm{Bb} 20-0 \quad 8$ e3 e 9 d4 Qe7 10 Nc3 Na6 11 Qe2 d5 12 15 Nb 5 Be4 16 a3 Nb8 17 dxc5 bxc5 18 Be5 Ne8 19 Bxg 7 Kxg7 20 Ne5 Bxg2 21 Kxg2 f6 22 Nd3 Nc6 23 Nc3 Na6 24 Na4 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Kxg2 } & \text { ne } 6 \text { nd } & 25 \text { Nxe5 fxe5 } & 26 \text { Nc3 Qb7+ } & 27 & \text { Qf3 }\end{array}$ Ne5! 25 Nxe5 fxe5 26 Nc3 Qb7+ 27 Qf3
Oxf3+ 28 Kxf3 Rb8! 29 Rbl e4+ 30 Ke 2 Rxbl 31 Rxbl Kf6 32 Rdl Ke5 33 Nb 5 Rd7 $34 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 35$ a $4 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 36$ Nxd6 Rxd6 $37 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{Rd} 338 \mathrm{f} 4+\mathrm{Kf6} 39 \mathrm{Rb} 6 \mathrm{Rc} 340$ Rxa6 Rc2+ 41 Kdl , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME TWELVE

12 October
Karpov switched to a Queen's Gambit, Tartakower Defence and, once again, the challenger was unable to profit from the white pieces, the game being drawn in 21 moves.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, QGD Tartakower
1 d4 Nf $6 \quad 2$ c4 e6 3 Nf 3 d5 4 Nc 3 Be 7 5 Bg 5 h6 $6 \mathrm{Bh} 40-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Be 2 Bb 7 9 Bxf5 Bxf6 10 cxd5 exd5 11 b4 c5 12 bxc5 bxes 13 Rb 1 Be6 $140-0$ Nd7 15 buj Qc7 16 Qd2 Red8 17 RFO 18 20 dxc5 Nxc5 21 Oc 2 , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME THIRTEEN
15 October
A second Symmetrical English in which Kasparov equalised quickly after some (brief) lively play.
KARPOV-KASPAROV, Symmetrical English: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 b6 3 g 3 c 54 Bg 2 Bb 7 5 0-0 g6 6 Nc3 Bg7 7 d4 cxd4 8 Nxd4 Bxg2 9 Kxg2 0-0 10 e4 Qc7 11 b3 Nxe4 12 Nxe4 Qe5 13 Qf3 Qxd4 14 Ba3 Nc6 15 Radl Qe5 16 Rxd7 Qa5, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME FOURTEEN
17 October
It was back to the Queen's Indian but, once again, Kasparov failed to make any impression with the white pieces - not that he tried very hard.
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Queen's Indian:
$1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & d 4 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 \\ 5 & \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e} 6 & 3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~b} 6 & 4 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Ba} 6\end{array}$ $5 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4+6 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \quad 0-0 \quad 8 \quad 0-0$ d5 9 cxd5 Nxd5 10 Nc 3 Nd 7 N Nxd5 exd5 $12 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{c} 513 \mathrm{dxc} 5 \mathrm{bxc} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Re}$ Nb6 15 a4 Rb8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME FIFTEEN

19 October
After three quiet draws, the longest game of the match, at least so far. The usual roles were reversed in the opening, Kasparov playing the black side of the Queen's Indian Defence. In fact the players followed the previous game for the first 11 moves. Kasparov's book My Games gives a complete record of his
games up to late 1982 and includes only four examples of him playing the Q.I.D. with black. The most recent was in 1980 and none featured the variation with 4
.Bab.
Karpov emerged from some interesting middlegame complications with an extra pawn but this proved insufficient for a KARPOV - KASPAROV, Queen's Indian: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 d4 b6 4 g 3 Ba6 $5 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Bb4}+6 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \quad 0-0 \quad 8 \quad 0-0$ d5 9 cxd5 Nxd5 10 Nc3 Nd7 11 Nxd5 exd5 $12 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Re} 813 \mathrm{Rc} 2 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Bb} 7$ 15 Be3 a5 16 Qc1 a4 17 Rd 1 axb3 18 axb3 Bf6 19 Nel h6 20 Bf3 Qe7 21 Qd2 Ra3 22 Rb 1 Rd 823 dxc5 Nxc5 24 Qb4 d


25 Bxd4 [25 Qxa3 dxe 3 would give attack] 25...Rxb3! 26 Rxb3 126 Bx£6 Rxbi 27 Bxe7 Rxb1 28 Bxds Bxf3 29 KF1 Be4 30 RC4 BdS is better for the
second player 26 Rxd4 27 Qxb6 Nxb3 $28 \mathrm{Rc} 7!~[28$ Qxb3 Rd1!J 28...Rd7 29 Rxb7 Rxb7 30 Qxb7 Nd4 31 Kfl Qxb 73 Bxb7 Nf5 33 Nd 3 Bc3 34 e3 g5 $35 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Nd} 6 \quad 36 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ 37 Ke 2 Kf 638 h 3 Ke 739 Bd 5 Kf 640 Nc5 Ke7 41 Na6 Ba5 42 Nc5 Bb6 43 Na Ba5 44 Nb 8 Bc3 $45 \mathrm{Ne} 6+\mathrm{Kd} 746 \mathrm{Kd} 3$ Bel $47 \mathrm{Ne} 5+\mathrm{Ke} 748 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 349 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ Ba5 50 Bb3 Bb6 51 Ne5 Be5 52 Kd3 Bb6 $53 \mathrm{Bd} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 554 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 855 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Ke7} 56$ Ka4 Bb6 $57 \mathrm{~Kb} 4 \mathrm{Ke} 8 \quad 58 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \mathrm{Kd} 7 \quad 59 \mathrm{Kc} 3$

Ke8 $60 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 561 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 62 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Ba} 3$ 63 Bb 3 Bc 564 Ne 5 Ke 765 Nd 3 Bb 666 $\mathrm{Nb} 4 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 67$ Nd5+ Kf8 $8 \quad 68$ Bc $2 \mathrm{Ba} 7 \quad 69 \quad$ h4 4 $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Nb} 4 & \mathrm{Bc5} \\ \mathrm{Kg} 7 & 70 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \text { [White seems to have made }\end{array}$ some progress but even getting his $N$ to $f 5$ proves not to be enough to winl 71 Ke2 Nc4 72 Bf5 $5 \mathrm{Nb} 6 \quad 73 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 74 \mathrm{Nb} 5$ Kf6 75 Bc2 $2 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \quad 76$ Nd4 $4 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 77$ Nf5 5 Nd 6 [77...Bf8 is also okay] 78 Ng 3 [ $78 \mathrm{Nxh6}$ Kg7 79 Nf5 Nxf5 80 Bxf5 is also drawn] 78...Ke5 $79 \mathrm{Nf} 1 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 80 \mathrm{Nh} 2 \mathrm{Kf6} 81$ Bb3 Bc5 82 Nf3 Bb6 83 Kd 3 Bc5 84 Bc 2 Ke6 $85 \mathrm{Ng} 1 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \quad 86 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 687$ Вь3 Вс5 88 Nc3 Kf6 $89 \mathrm{Nd} 5+$ Ke6 90 f4 gxf 491 g5 fxe3 [But not 91...hxg5?? 92 h6 winningl 92 gxh6 e2 $93 \mathrm{Nf4+}$ [93 Kxe2 Bd4] 93...Ke7, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME SIXTEEN

22 October
A bad tactical mistake by Karpov llowed the challenger what should hav een a winning exchange sacrifice. A ubsequent error by Kasparov, however,
 drawn a few moves la
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Queen's Indian:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 $\quad 3$ Nf3 b6 4 g3 Ваб d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 2 Nf3 b6 43 Ba 6 $\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 10 \mathrm{Ne} 50-0 \quad 11$ e 4 Na 6 2 0-0 513 15 14 Rel 4 15 Bxd4 Nc5 16 Ng4 dxc4 17 Nxc4 Bxg2 18 Kxg2 Nxg4 19 Oxg4 Bf6 20 Radl Bxd4 1 Rod4 Qe 722 Nd6 Nef? [Correct was 22 Nab Neb? [Correct was


23 Rxe6! h5! 10 23...fxe6 24 Qxe6t Khs White wins by 25 RCA! Rff 26 Qd Qd8 27 NE7+ with pawn and attack] 24 Qe4 fxe6 25
Qxe6+ Kh7 26 Rd. 5 Qxe6+ Kh7 26 Rd5
g6 27 Ne 4 Rad8 $28 \mathrm{Ng} 5+\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 29$ Q4! Rfe8 30 Qd4+? [This allows Black to escape with a draw. Instead 30 Ne6t Re6 31 Qd4t: Qe5 (best) 32 Rxd8 Qxd4 33 Rxd4 is winning for whitel 30 ... Kg 8 Rxd8 Rxd8 32 Qf 6 Rd6 33 Qf4 Qc6 3 Kh3 Qd7+ 35 Kg 2 Qc6 HAlthough White has only one pawn for the exchange he has conpensation in klacks exposed ing $36 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 7+37 \mathrm{Kg} 2, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## GAME SEVENTEEN

24 October
As in the fifteenth game Kasparov dopts a defence from the World Champion's opening repertoire. To page 165

## The IBM South Island Ch'p

## by Adrian Lloyd \& Russell Freeman

|  |  |  | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | R. 8 | T'1 | Sos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lloyd A.J. | C | W19 | W10 | W4 | D2 | L5 | W3 | W7 | D6 | 6 | 43 |
| 2 | Small V.A. | Che | W24 | W3 | W8 | D1 | D7 | W16 | D4 | D5 | 6 | 40\% |
| 3 | Wilson M.C. | c | W20 | L2 | W30 | W11 | W9 | L1 | W16 | W7 | 6 | 371 |
| 4 | Sarfati J.D. | W | W17 | D30 | L1 | W12 | W24 | W5 | D2 | W13 | 6 | 361 |
| 5 | Anderson B.R. | c | W23 | W1I | W6 | L7 | W1 | L4 | W15 | D2 | 51/2 | 41 |
| 6 | Love A.J. | $\bigcirc$ | W18 | W9 | L5 | W17 | W14 | D7 | D13 | D1 | 51/2 | 38 |
| 7 | Nokes R.I. | Chc | W14 | W15 | W13 | W5 | D2 | D6 | L1 | L3 | 5 | 411 ${ }^{1}$ |
| 8 | Alexander B.J. | C | W12 | W27 | L2 | L10 | W18 | D14 | W22 | D11 | 5 | 3512 |
| 9 | Boyd K.M. | o | W28 | L6 | W12 | W30 | L3 | L13 | W18 | W15 | 5 | 342 |
| 10 | Wilson R.T. | C | W31 | L. 1 | W20 | W8 | L16 | L15 | W19 | W20 | 5 | 3212 |
| 11 | Hamp 1 M. | C | W33 | L5 | W26 | L3 | D2 3 | W17 | W14 | D8 | 5 | 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 12 | Lukey S. | c | L8 | W22 | L9 | L4 | W29 | W25 | W24 | W16 | 5 | 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 13 | Nijman A.J. | c | W25 | W26 | L7 | L14 | W27 | W9 | D6 | L4 | 43 |  |
| 14 | Jordan A.W. | C | L7 | W21 | W25 | W13 | L6 | D8 | L11 | D17 | 4 | 36 |
| 15 | Martin B.M. | $\bigcirc$ | W21 | L7 | W28 | L16 | W19 | W10 | L5 | L9 | 4 | 351/2 |
| 16 | Jones C . | ? | L26 | W34 | W27 | W15 | W10 | L2 | L3 | L12 | 4 | 33 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 17 | McIntosh A.D. | o | L4 | W29 | W23 | L6 | D22 | L11 | W30 | D14 | 4 | 33 |
| 18 | Wilkinson E. | C | L6 | L28 | W21 | W25 | L8 | W20 | 19 | W29 | 4 | 321 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 19 | Mathieson J.S. | C | L1 | D31 | D32 | W20 | L15 | W21 | L10 | W25 | 4 | 30 |
| 20 | Kelly S. | C | L3 | W24 | L10 | L19 | W26 | L18 | W32 | W28 | 4 | 30 |
| 21 | Edwards D.W. | c | L15 | L14 | L18 | W33 | W30 | L19 | W23 | W24 | 4 | $26 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 22 | Boyce D.A.L. | Nel | L27 | L12 | W33 | W28 | D17 | W23 | L8 | L10 | 37 | 30 |
| 23 | Davies G. | c | L5 | W33 | L17 | W26 | D11 | L22 | L21 | W30 | 31/2 | 29 |
| 24 | Gloistein B. | C | L2 | L20 | W29 | W31 | L4 | W27 | L12 | L21 | 3 | 33 |
| 25 | Morrison M.K. | A | L13 | W32 | L14 | L18 | W31 | L12 | W27 | L19 | 3 | 281/2 |
| 26 | Cole G. | c | W16 | L13 | L11 | L23 | L20 | L32 | W31 | W34 | 3 | 26\% |
| 27 | Johnson Q. | c | W22 | L8 | L16 | W34 | L13 | L24 | L25 | W32 | 3 | 26\% |
| 28 | McKee D. | c | L9 | W18 | L15 | L22 | W34 | L30 | W33 | L20 | 3 | 26 |
| 29 | Wilkes J. | c | L30 | L17 | L24 | W32 | L12 | W31 | W34 | L18 | 3 | 24 |
| 30 | Okey K.M. | $N P$ | W29 | D4 | L3 | L9 | L21 | W28 | L17 | L23 | 21/2 |  |
| 31 | Anderson D. | Inv | L10 | D19 | D34 | L24 | L25 | L29 | L26 | W33 | 2 | 24 |
| 32 | Millar K. | c | D34 | L25 | D19 | L29 | L33 | W26 | L20 | L27 | 2 | 23 |
| 33 | Guerin G. | c | L11 | L23 | L22 | L21 | W32 | D34 | L28 | L31 | 11/2 | 241/2 |
| 34 | IBM Computer | - | D32 | L16 | D31 | L27 | L28 | D33 | L29 | L26 | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 21震 |

Abbreviations: A = Auckland Centre, $C=$ Canterbury, Che = Christchurch Centre, Inv = Invercargill, Nel = Nelson, $N P=$ New Plymouth, $O=$ Otago, $w=$ Wellington

This year's South Island Championship drew 34 entries of which 33 were apparently human. The remaining compeitor was an IBM computer named 'Spoc' (we didn't notice its ears). IBM had generously sponsored the tournament to the tune of $\$ 1000$ and had sent forth Spoc to try his/her luck and perhaps
soci
nal).
is usual for a Canterbury event here was a strong local contingent including Vernon Small, Roger Nokes, Bruce Anderson and Adrian Lloyd. Other hopefuls included Jonathan Sarfati from Denis Jones crossed the Alps only to find he had a week to kill before witnessing a brave Shield challenge.
Mainlanders aside, Auckland sent us Merv Morrison while Keith Okey trekked
down from New Plymouth. It is hardly necessary to mention the rank and file of schoolboys buzzing with eagreness or for that matter the battle-hardened Wednesday-nighters.
As well as securing sponsorship, part of Russell Wilson's Herculean task as organiser included gaining the use of Adams House, a Christchurch Boys High departure from the smoking er was no eparture fron here in 1981 but Ari Nijman still
in his around with an unlit cigarette in his mouth, taking it out momentarily than the that the rity with Nimzowitsch
Together with Russell Wilson and IB thanks are due to Boys High and the Tournament Director Graham Haase who ran the event very efficiently
All results wery efficiently.
th the Christchurch press and in Christchurch star with several photo during the weak and ainal sumary.

## Round 1

As always the tank division was overall victor in the battle against lower rated cavalrymen but inevitably there were a few freak accidents. Wily local Quentin Johnson found his mark versus a rusty Denis Boyce while fellow schoolpupil Gary Cole didn't think twice about accepting Chris Jones's Swiss gambit.
Round 2
Sarfati eventually drew with Okey while lower down Denis Boyce failed to draw his sword against his second schoo1pupil, Stephen Lukey. Simon Kelly beat Bruce Gloistein and Edward Wilkinson opened his account with Boys High by losing to David McKee.

The computer had drawn its first round game but wasn't wise to Chris Jones on this occasion.

Round 3
The thinning-out process saw Small grind down 1983 New Zealand Schoolpupil co-champion Ben Alexander and Nokes defeat Nijman. Sarfati was reprimanded for snatching Lloyd's e-pawn while
Anderson had a good win over Tony Love. The 'Spoc' program thought it was perfectly logical to have a draw with Invercargill's Debbie Anderson. The
only players still with $100 \%$ scores after three rounds were Small, Nokes, Anderson and Lloyd.

## Round 4

Lloyd's novelty was followed up badly allowing Small an easy draw with the black pieces. Nokes assumed the sole lead with a victory over Anderson in a game which had everything but a gambing facility.
Canterbury schoolpupil champion Mark Wilson won a protracted encounter from current New Zealand Junior co-champion Michael Hampl and Russell Wilson
slowed down Alexander by winning their clash.
Scores at the ha1f-way mark: Nokes 4 ; Sma11 \& Lloyd 3놀.

Round 5
Small-Nokes was $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ in 34 moves. Lloyd encouraged Anderson to try something and then missed a winning chance hich should never have existed. Bruce's extra pawn ensured an endgame win. Tony Love moved into contention with some controlled pressure against Tony Jordan. Mark Wilson was too strong for Kendall Boyd while Chris Jones was coasting along after subduing Russell Wilson. Leading scores: Nokes 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Small, Anderson, Love, M. Wilson \& Jones 4.

## Round 6

Talk of Jones dispensing justice Coast-style to Small became inaudible as the latter became co-leader of the to beat Love. Sarfes who was unable o beat Love. Sarfati returned from he sin-bln to quickly terminate Ander on wo played a suggestion of horln uickly in trouble. Lloyd' All Bran rekly in trouble. Lloyd's All-bran opening upset Mark Wilson s digestion ijon did some reckoning to take ad jata bor 's ile the Boy hile the prous Ben Martin was解 hroughout the lead
eading scores: Small \& Nokes 5; arfati, Lloyd \& Love $4 \frac{1}{2}$; Anderson, M. Wilson, Nijman, Martin \& Jones 4.

## Round 7

Small lapsed to allow Sarfati a per-
petual. An oversight saw Nokes win two pawns off Lloyd but Roger couldn't halt the attack on his weakened kingside. Anderson's mellowness was beyond young Martin's comprehension although the latter kept trying. Nijman's enhanced respiration enabled him to draw with Love and Mark Wilson profitted from Jones's apparent preoccupation with the [Ranfurly] Shield which saw his scoring stop in round five.

With one round remaining the leading scorers were: Small \& Lloyd $5 \frac{1}{2}$; Nokes, Sarfati, Anderson, Love \& M. Wilson 5; Alexander, Hampl \& Nijman $4 \frac{1}{2}$.

## Round 8

Small and Anderson agreed to the draw after both sides had missed chances for more and the game Love v Lloyd also sul into an endgame with the same result. Thus Small and Lloyd assured others had a chance to join them.
Nokes played some bizarre moves and Mark Wilson played well to put him in blue bag. Sarfati also joined the first place tie after exploiting Nijman's
lack of interest in pawns.
As always in this sugar-coated world, whoever was winning in Alexander - Hampl produced a limb of some sort to share the glory [This is much too obscure for me - Editor
Overall the tournament was very close as is reflected in the final scores. Of the winners, Lloyd was the most impressive, playing each of the other six top finishers and thus guaranteeing for himself the best countback score and direct qualification for the next New Zealand Championship. Small was his usual solid self, being the only player to survive the tournament undefeated. Sarfati benefitted from his Swiss gambit and had the easiest draw of the cowinners, playing only three opponents who scored more than $4 \frac{1}{2}$ points. This is however, another good result for the 19 year old and he must now be one of the most consistent players in the country.
Mark Wilson was perhaps the luckiest of the winners, lurking about close behind the leaders all the time but not striking until the last round. His win against Nokes was a great upset and was perhaps the result of Roger trying too hard to win. However, Mark made no mistakes and did not crack under the pres sure. Hopefully this is the first of
any titles for the sixth former who acidentally, went on to come equal second in the National Schoolpupil Championship the following week.
The novelty of the tournament, the IBM computer, did not perform to its estimated rating of 1700 which was perhaps based on US ratings. It showed all the weaknesses typical of computer programs. For example, it went into one endgame two pawns up only to give them back; in another ending with $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{P}$ versus 2 P it swapped pawns! IBM was not despondent, however, and they promptly announced that they had just released new machine of similar size and price but with three times the speed of ersonal conputer used o watch out next time
each of the winners Lloyd, Small,
ilson and Sarfati received a cheque for $\$ 262.50$. The total prize fund was $\$ 1200$.

A selection of the most interesting ames follows. Notes to the first are by Adrian Lloyd.
LOYD-SARFATI, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 $3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{Bb} 5$ a6 4 Ba 4 Nf 6 $5 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 6$ c3 g6 $7 \mathrm{Nb} 22 \mathrm{Bg} 78 \mathrm{Nf} 1!? \mathrm{~b}$ 9 Bb 3 d 5 ?! II cannot see why Black should commit himself to this advance so soon] 10 Qe2 0-0 [10...h6 could be soon] 10 runs into 13 Qe 4111 Bg 5 dxe 412 dxe4 6613 Bh 4 Qe 8 I 4 Ne 3 g ? 14 . Be6 or 1613 Bh 4 Qe 8 l 4 Ne 3 g 5 ? [14...Be6 or Nh5 is answered by 16 Ng Na Nue 4 ? I15 xgy? Nf4; the text, however, is exNxg5? Nf4; the text, however, is ex-
tremely dangerous] 16 Nd5 Nxg3 17 tremely dangerous] 16 NdS Nxg3 17 hxg3 g4? Necessary was 0 -0-0 Ba7 19 Qe4 when there are threat like 20 NXC7 while 19...Na5 20 Nxe5 re gains the pawn]


18 Rxh6! Ne7 Not 18...gxf3 19
$N E 6+$ Bxf
NE Nf6t Bxf6 20 Qe4 mating; also 18 e4 19 Na2 does
little for Blackl little for Black
19 Nxe7+ Qxe7 20 19 Nxe7t Qxe) R48 [20...Bxh6? 21 Qg6t Bg7 22 Ng5J 21 Qu7+ Ki8 22
Rg6? $[22$ wh4 is much better] 22... Be6 23 Rxg7 [23 Qxg7+1] 23... Qf6 $24 \mathrm{Rg} 8+$ Ke7 25 Rxd8 Rxd8 26 Nh4 Rd2 27 Ng6+ Kd6 28 f4 exf4 $29 \mathrm{Kxd2}$ fxg6 30 Rdl f3 31 Kcl+ Kc6 32 Bxe6 Qxe6 33 gxf3
M.WILSON-SMALL, Sicilian Taimanov: $\begin{array}{lllllll} & \text { e } 4 & \mathrm{c} 5 & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \text { e6 } 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 & \mathrm{cxd} 4 \\ 4 & \mathrm{Nxd4} \\ 5\end{array}$ Na3 Be7 9 Be2 $0-0 \quad 10$ Be3 b6 1100
 15 Rfdl Qc7 16 Racl Rfe8 17 Nab1 Bf8 18 Nd2 Bc6 19 Qc2 Qb7 20 b4 Qa8 21 3 Rbc8 22 Qd3 Qb8 23 Khl Rcd8 24 Qe2 h6 $25 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 26 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \mathrm{Ba} 8 \quad 27 \mathrm{f} 5$ gxf5 28 exf5 e5 $\quad 29$ nb3 e4


30 Nxe4 Bxe4 31 Bxe4 Nxe4 32 Qg4+ $\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Ng} 5 & 33 & \mathrm{Bxg} 5\end{array} \mathrm{hxg} 5 \\ 34 & \mathrm{Qxg} 5+\mathrm{Bg} 7 & 35\end{array}$ Rd3 f6 36 Qh5 Rc8 $37 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Re} 4 \quad 38$ Nd4 Nf8 39 Ne6 Nxe6 40 fxe6 Qc7 41 c5 $\begin{array}{llll}40 \text { fxe6 } \\ \text { bxc5 } 5 & 42 \text { bxc } 5 \text { Rxe } 6\end{array}$ 43 Qd5 Qf7 44 c6 Qf5 Re5 47 Qd7 $49 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Bf} 4+50 \mathrm{~g} 3$ Bh6 45 Rbl Rce8 46 49 Kh2 Bf4+ 50 g 3 Rel+ 48 Rxel Rxelt Qa2+ 51 Kh3 Qe2
chter
NOKES-M.WILSON, Richter-Veresov Attack: 1 d4 d5 2 Nc3 Nf6 3 Bg5 Nbd7 4 e3 e6 $5 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Be} 76 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{O}-0 \mathrm{~h} 688 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \mathrm{~b} 6$ 9 Bxf6 Bxf6 10 e 4 cxd4 11 Nb5 $0-0 \quad 12$ Nfxd4 Ne5 $13 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Nxd3} 14$ Qxd3 Bb7 exd5 Qxd5 16 Qxd5 Bxd5 17 Nbc3 Bc4 18 Rfdl Bxe2 19 Nxe2 Bxb2 20 Rab 1 Rfd8 21 Kfl Bf6 22 Kel Rxdl+ 23 Rxd Rd8 24 Rxd8+ Bxa8 25 c4 Bf6 26 Kd2

 4 f5 34 Ne3 a 7 kf2 $37 \mathrm{Nb} 5+\mathrm{Kej} 38 \mathrm{Nc} \mathrm{Kf}^{2} \mathrm{Nxe6} \mathrm{Kxg}$ 40 Nf4 $0-1$.

SMALL - NOKES, Modern Benoni.
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc 3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 Nf3 g6 7 Bf4 Bg7 8 Qa4 Bd7 9 Qb3 Qc7 10 e4 Nh5 11 Be3 $0-0$
 Bxf3 Nf6 $160-0$ Nbd7 17 Rfe1 Rfe8 18 a5 $\mathrm{Re} 7 \quad 19 \mathrm{Qa} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 20 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 21 \mathrm{Kg} 2$
 25 axb6 Rxb6 26 Qc2 Qb7 27 Ra2 Nfd7 $28 \mathrm{Ndl} \mathrm{Ng} 629 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 430 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Nde5} 31$ b3 Nxc4 32 bxc4 Ne5 33 Ne3 Bxe3 34 Rxe3 $\mathrm{Rb} 4, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
ANDERSON-SARFATI, Benko Gambit:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6

 12 Nf4 Qa8 13 Ne6? fxe6 14 dxe6 Bb7 12 Ne. Qa8 15 Qxb 16 exd 7 Qxd7 17 Nc 3 Qh3 18 e 3 Ng $4 \quad 19$ Qd5 + e $6 \quad 20 \quad$ Qg $2 \quad$ Qxg $2+21$

Kxg2 Raf7 22 Kg1 Rxf2 23 Rxf2 Rxf2 24 Ndl Rxb2 25 Nxb2 Bxb2 26 Rb 1 Ba $27 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 528 \mathrm{Rfl} \mathrm{Kg} 729 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{~g} 4$ c4 31 bxc4 dxc4 32 Rf2 Nd3 33 Re2 Nb4, $0-1$.
LLOYD-NOKES, Modern Benoni:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc 3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 Nf3 g6 $7 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bg} 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}50-0 & 9 & 0-0 & a 6 & 10 & \text { a4 } 4 \mathrm{Re} 8 & 11 & \mathrm{Nd} 2 & \mathrm{Nbd} 7\end{array}$ 12 Nc4 Nb6 13 Na3 Bd7 14 Qc2 Rb8 $\quad 15$ Bd2 Nc8 16 Rabl b5 17 axb5 axb5 18
 $22 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 8 \quad 23$ Bd4 g5 $5 \quad 24$ Rbel?

24...Nxd5! 25 Bxg7 Nxb4 26 Qb $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Nc6 } & 27 & \text { Nd5 } & \text { Kxg } 7\end{array}$ 28 f4 gxi4 29 Rxf4 Ne5 30 Refl $\begin{array}{llll} & & 31 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 \\ \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{N7g} 6\end{array}$ 32 R4f 2 Be6 33 Qc1 b4 34 Nc 2 ( $6+\mathrm{Ke} 7+37$ + , 1 - 0 .
Rxf7+! Bxf7 38 Rxf7+, l-0.
ANDERSON-LOVE, Benko Gambit:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6 5 bxa6 Вxa6 $6 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{~b} 3$ $\mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 9 \mathrm{Bb} 20-0 \quad 10 \mathrm{Nh} 3 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 110-0$ Qb6 12 Bc 3 Ra 713 Rel Rfa8 14 Nd 2 Qc 715 a4 Rb8 16 Qc2 Rab7 17 Rabl Ne8 18 Bxg7 Nxg7 19 Ng5 Rb4 20 Ngf3 Nf5 21 e3 Qb7 22 h3 hJ 23 Rbdl Rc8 24 Rc1 Nb6 25 a5 Nd7 26 Nc4 Bxc4 27 bxc4 Qa6 28 g4 hxg4 29 hxg 4 Nh 630 Qe Re8 $31 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Nf5} 32 \mathrm{Bh} 3$ Qxa5 $33 \mathrm{Bxf5}$ gxf5 34 Qxf5 Nf8 35 Kg 2 Ng 636 Rh 1 Kg7 $37 \mathrm{Rh} 7+$ !, $1-0$.
LOVE-JORDAN, Sicilian Lowenthal: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 e5 5 Nb 5 a6 $6 \mathrm{Nd} 6+$ Bxd6 7 Qxd6 Qf6 8 Qdl Qg6 9 Nc3 Nge7 10 h 4 f 5 ll h 5 Qf7 12 b3 b5 13 a4 Rb8 $\quad 14$ axb5 axb $18 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{Nc} 8 \quad 19$ Bc5 Kd8 20 Nd6 Nxd6 21 Qxd6 e3 22 fxe3 Qxc 23 0-0 Rc8 24 Bb6+, 1 - 0.
ANDERSON - SMALL, Queen's Indian Defence 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 $\quad 4$ g 3 Ba6 $5 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Qa} 4+\mathrm{c} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{cxd} 5$ exd5 9 Ne5 Bd6 $100000-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Ndf3}$ Ne4 12 Bf4 Re8 13 Rfdl f6 $14 \mathrm{Nd}^{2}$ Bxf4 15 Nxf4 Nd7 16 Racl Nf8 17 e3 Qd6 18 b4 b5 19 Qb3 a5 20 a3 axb4 21 axb4 Ra6 22 Nd 3 Rea 823 Nd 2 Nxd 2 24 Rxd2 Ra3 25 Qc2 Nd7 26 Nc5 Nxc5 $\begin{array}{llllll}27 & \text { dxc5 Qe5 } & 28 & \text { e4 } \\ 30 & \text { Ral } & 29 & \text { Rxal } & \text { Rxalt } \\ 31 & \mathrm{Rb} 1 & \mathrm{Bc} 8 & 32 & \text { cxd5 } & \text { exd5 }\end{array}$

33 h3 Be6 34 Rxal Qxal＋ 35 Kh2 Qd4 36 c6 Kf8 37 Qe2 Kf7 38 Qxb5 Qxf2 39 Qb7＋Kg6 40 b5 Bf5 $41 \mathrm{Khl} \mathrm{Qel+} 42$ Kh2 Qf2，$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ．
Grade prizes were distributed as follows：Grade $1-1$ st $=$ K．M．Boyd，M． lst S．Lukey（5／8）；2nd＝E Wilkinson Kelly，J．S．Mathieson \＆D．W．Edwards（4／8）

## A \＆

All in all a very good tournament for the younger generation with $75 \%$ of the prize money going to players under 21.
Editor＇s note－this last section of the report went astray and was not re－ ceived until a couple of weeks later； hence its aisplacement from the main been typed．

## N．Z．Schoolpupil Ch＇p

## by Bob Mitchell

Frigid playing conditions and an over－ all modest standard of play characterised the 1984 New Zealand Schoolpupil Cham－ pionship held at Lower Hutt from 27 th to 3lst August．Coming from his first equal in the South Island Championship， Mark Wilson（Christchurch）was obvious－ ly on form but Anthony Ker，Paul Cooper and Ben Martin were also pre－tournament prospects．

Director John Hartley had few problems and the players showed an inclination to mix it from round one．Ben Martin stum－ bled against fellow Dunedinite Andrew McIntosh while Ker and Cooper drew after triple repetition in 92 moves after Paul demonstrated his skill in using queen－ power against rook，bishop and several pawns．Wilson drew against Stephen Lukey and Martin Dreyer beat Alistair Duhs．

Round two confirmed the favouritism of Ker，Wilson，Cooper and Martin as all four won．In the third round Martin beat Cooper with a nice finish while Ker and Wilson drew in 82 moves．Dreyer also reached two points with his win over McIntosh．
In round four Cooper beat Dreyer but Martin could only draw versus Lukey． This allowed Ker and Wilson，who both won again，to share the lead，a half point in front of Cooper and Martin．
Wilson dropped off the pace slightly with his fifth round draw against Mar－ tin and was caught by Cooper．Thus Ker took a half point lead with two rounds remaining，a situation which was not changed in round six as all three leaders won their games．
The last round pairings of Wilson $v$ Cooper and Ker v Dreyer appeared to
ive Ker a relatively＇easy＇road to the title but Dreyer had no problems equalising against Ker＇s Stonewall pening and attention then centred on the other game where Wilson was able to contain Cooper＇s aggression but could not manage more than a draw．These two hus had to be content with sharing second place．
The final scores

## 12345678

Ker A．F．$\quad W \quad x \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111 \frac{1}{2} 1115$ 2 Cooper P．R．$W \quad \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1 / 2}{2} 01111111$ 3 Wilson M．C．C $\quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111$
 5 Lukey S．$\quad C \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \times 11114$

 | 7 | McIntosh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Duhs A．D． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x$ | 0 | 1 |$\quad A \quad \begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & x & 1\end{array}$

MARTIN－McINTOSH，Queen＇s Gambit：
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nc 3 Nf 64 Bg 5 c 6 5 e3 Be7 6 Nf3 Nbd7 7 Bd3 dxc4 8 Bxc 12 Bd3 a6 10 Qc2 c5 11 Bxf6 Nxf6 15 dxc5 Bxc5 13 0－0－0 Qb6 14 Kbl Bb 15 Ne4 Be7 16 Nxf6＋Bxf6 17 Qe2 0－0 18 h 4 Ba5 19 e 4 Bc4 20 Bxc4 bxc4 21 e5 Be7 22 Rd 7 Ba 323 Kal Qc6 24 Rhd MARTIN－A．KER，Sicilian Sozin： 1 e4 c5 2 Nf 3 Nc 63 d 4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{NxC4}$ Nf6 5 Nc3 d6 6 Bc4 e6 7 Be3 Be7 8 Qe2 a6 9 0－0－0 Qc7 10 Bb3 $0-0 \quad 11$ Rhg b5 12 g 4 b4 13 Qc4 Bb7 14 Nxc6 Qxc6 15 Qxb4 Nxe4 16 Ba4？Nxe3 17 Bxc6 Nxa2＋ 18 Kd2 Nxb4 19 Bxb7 Rab8 20 Bf3 Rfc8 21 c3 Nc6 $22 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 23 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 7$ 24 Be 2 g6 25 Be3 a5 26 f 4 bf6 27 Bc5？ Nb4＋ 28 cxb4 Rxb4 29 Rd2 Rxb2＋ 30 Kd 3 $\mathrm{Rb} 3+31 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{a} 4 \quad 32 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Rxc} 5+33 \mathrm{Kd1} \mathrm{a} 3$
$\sqrt{3} 4 \mathrm{Rg} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 235 \mathrm{Rxb} 2 \mathrm{Bxb} 2,0-1$
COOPER－MARTIN，Scotch Game：
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 exd4 4 Bc4 Bc5 5 0－0 d6 6 c3 Bg4 7 b4 Bb6 8 Qb3 Bxf3 9 Bxf7＋Kf8 10 gxf3 Qf6 11 Bxg8 Rxg8 12 c4 a5 13 b5 a4 14 Qdl Ne5 15 Nd2
 19 Qxa4 g4 20 Qdi gxf3 21 Rxg6 Qxg6 22 Qgl Rg8 23 Bb2 Qg2＋ 24 Qxg2 fxg2＋ 25 Kg1 ba5 26 f 4 Bxd 227 fxe5 Be3 mate． WILSON－COOPER，Sicilian Taimanov：
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 e6 $5 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Nlc} 3 \mathrm{a6} \quad 8 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7$

9 Be3 0－0 10 Be2 d5 11 cxd5 Bxa3 12 bxa3 Qa5 13 Bd2 exd5 14 Nxd5 Qd8 15 Nxf6＋Qxf6 16 0－0 Rd8 17 Qcl Nd4 18




 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}35 & \text { h4 } & \text { Qc2 } & 36 & \text { Qe3 } & \text { Be6 } & 37 & \text { hxg5 } & \text { Qc5 } & 38 \\ \text { Qxc5 } & \text { Rxc5 } & 39 & \text { gxh6 Kh7 } & 40 & \text { Bb2 } & \text { Kxh6 } & 41\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 42 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Bc} 443 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{Be} 644 \mathrm{Bd} 4$ $\mathrm{Rc} 1+45 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 446 \mathrm{Be} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 2+47 \mathrm{Kg} 1$ Rc4 $48 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 4 \quad 49 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 50$ e5 Rh4＋ $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Rc} 4 & 48 \mathrm{Kh} 2 & \mathrm{Kh} 4 & 49 & \mathrm{Bd} 6 \\ 5 \mathrm{Kg} \\ \mathrm{Kg} & \mathrm{Rc} 4 & 52 \mathrm{Rg} 3+\mathrm{Rg} 4 & 53 \mathrm{Rd} 3,1 / 2 & -\frac{1}{2} .\end{array}$

## 11th Winstone Open

## by Peter Stuart

| R．1 | R．2 | R．3 | R．4 | R．5 | T＇1 | S0S |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| W13 | W9 | W4 | W12 | D2 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| W22 | W14 | D3 | W7 | D1 | 4 |  |
| D7 | W5 | D2 | W8 | D6 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 17 |
| W21 | W6 | L1 | D5 | W12 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $15 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| W24 | L3 | W16 | D4 | W13 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 |
| W20 | L4 | W25 | W9 | D3 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| D3 | W15 | W17 | L2 | D8 | 3 | $15 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| W18 | W10 | D12 | L3 | D7 | 3 | 14 |
| W23 | L1 | W11 | L6 | W20 | 3 | 14 |
| W16 | L8 | W19 | D13 | D14 | 3 | 12 |
| W26 | L12 | L9 | W17 | W18 | 3 | 11 |
| W19 | W11 | D8 | L1 | L4 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $15 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| L1 | W23 | W14 | D10 | L5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 |
| W25 | L2 | L13 | W15 | D10 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 |
| D17 | L7 | W20 | L14 | W21 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 |
| L10 | W18 | L5 | D19 | W24 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 |
| D15 | W24 | L7 | L11 | W19 | $2 \frac{12}{2}$ | 11 |
| L8 | L16 | W26 | W24 | L11 | 2 |  |
| L12 | W26 | L10 | D16 | L17 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| L6 | D21 | L15 | W25 | L9 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| L4 | D20 | L24 | W22 | L15 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 |
| L2 | L25 | D23 | L21 | W26 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 |
| L9 | L13 | D22 | L26 | W25 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 |
| L5 | L17 | W21 | L18 | L16 | 1 | 12 |
| L14 | W22 | L6 | L20 | L23 | 1 | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| L11 | L19 | L18 | W23 | L22 | 1 | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ |

The 11 th annual Winstone Tournament was played on $15 / 16$ September，a typi－ cal brilliantly fine spring weekend on Auckland＇s North Shore．The Lournamen
the new hall of St Joseph＇s Church in Takapuna．
The level of sponsorship from Win－ stone Ltd was again increased，allowing stone Ltd was again increased，allowin
for a prize fund in excess of $\$ 1,200$
which is doubtless a record for a week end tournament in New Zealand. Although the field was a little bigger than that for other Auckland weekenders this year the turn-out of 62 players was somewhat disappointing, being well below the entries of some previous Winstone Tournaments.
Graham Mears was the Tournament Director, having recently taken over from the writer as North Shore's Club Captain. Graham occupied the same position for the Auckland Centre for many ears until the mid-1970s and becaue an institution as director of most
land weekenders in those years.
The Open field was not as strong as in some years but there were still eight players rated over 2100 including Christchurch's Michael Freeman, one of a number who travelled a considerable distance to the tournament. Andrei Sharko, who was seeded third following his fine result in the Waitakere Trust Open, was actually born in New Zealand but lived in South Africa for a number of years where he played tournament chess; he took our accusation (in the last issue) that he was Australian very well! Another visitor was Emil Weber from Switzerland who is spending about six months in this country.
Ortvin Sarapu powered his way to what was really a fairly comfortable victory with three of his opponents dying unnaturally quick deaths after the IM won material in the middlegame. Among these was Sharko who allowed his king to be stranded in the centre and lost a piece for nothing shortly afterwards.
Sarapu's one uncomfortable moment came in his game against Simon Fitzpatrick where the Auckland Centre player gave up two pieces for a rook, leaving Ortvin's QR and QB trapped on their original squares by his opponent's rook on d1. Fitzpatrick, however, failed to find the best plan and Sarapu recovered to win.
SARAPU-FITZPATRICK, Alekhine Defence: 1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg4 5 Be2 c6 6 h3 Bxf3 7 Bxf3 dxe5 8 dxe5 e6 $9 \quad 0-0$ Nd7 10 Qe2 Qc7 11 Rel Be5 12 Nd2 Nf4 13 Qe4 Ng6 14 Nc4 $0-0$ 15 h 4 b5!? 16 Qxc6 Qxc6 17 Bxc6 bxc4 18 Bxd7 Rfd8 19 Bb5 [Black can capture the white h-pawn but his own c-pawn appears doomed, leaving him a pawn in arrears. Fitzpatrick, however, finds a
hice solution to the problem

19...Nxe5! 20 Rxe5 Rdl+ 21 Kh 2 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Bd6 } & 22 & \text { f4 Bxe5 }\end{array}$ 23 fxe5 Rc8 24
Ba4! White would like to play 24 b3 to develop his queenside but 24 ...cxb3! 25 axb3 Rxc2 26 Bb2 Rdd2 is obviously fine for Black] 24...c3? (A bad mistake, making it easy for the first player to develop his queenside. After 24...RC5!, however, things are not so clear, e.g. 25 Be3 Rxal 26 Bxc5 Rxa2 27 Ba 3 c 328 bb3 Ral $29 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{a5!} 30 \mathrm{Kf} 3$ a4 31 Bc4 (31 Bxa4? cxb2) 31...cxb2 32 Bxb2 Rb1! 33 Ba3 (32 BC3 Rc1!) 33...Ral 34 Bb4 a3 and White is in trouble. Another try is 25 b3 c3! 26 Be3 (26 Bb2 Rd2 27 Ba3 Rxe5 28 Bc6 Ree2 looks okay for Black) 26 ...Rxal 27 Bxc5 Rxa2 28 Bxa7 Rxc2 but the black c-pawn is still dangerous. Finally, 25 c3 Rfi 26 b3 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Rxe5 } 27 \text { Bb2 Rf2 28́ Ba3 ( } 28 \text { BCl? Ree } 2 & 29 \\ \text { BC6 Rfl costs Whit }\end{array}$ Bc6 Rfl costs White a piece: 30 Bb 2 Rxal 31 Bxal Rxa2) 28...Ree2 29 Rg1 Rxa2 is again unclear] 25 Bb3 [Also okay is 25 bxc3] 25 ...Rc5 26 bxc3 Rxe5 27 Bf4 [Now the passed c-pawn, supported by the bishop pair, should be decisive ..... 27...Rxal 28 Bxe5 Rel 29 Bd4 a6 $30 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 31 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Rh} 1 \quad 32 \mathrm{~g} 3$ Rh2+ $33 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Rh} 1 \quad 34$ a4 $\mathrm{g} 6 \quad 35 \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{Ke} 7$ $36 \mathrm{Bc} 4[\ldots$ a passed a-pawn even more solf $36 . . \mathrm{Ral} 37 \mathrm{Bc} 5+\mathrm{Kd} 38 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \mathrm{Rcl}$ 39 Bxa6 Rxc2 40 Bb5+ Kc7 41 a6 Kb6 $42 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 243 \mathrm{Be} 7 \mathrm{f} 44 \mathrm{Bf6} \mathrm{Rb} 3+45$ $\mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{Ra} 346 \mathrm{Bd} 8+\mathrm{Ka} 47 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{Ral} 48$
Bb6+ Kb8 $49 \mathrm{a} 7+\mathrm{Kb} 750 \mathrm{Bd} 7,1-0$ played somewhat more aggressively than usual. His second round win against Wayne Power was nicely played; Ewen's judgment of the queen's strength versus sundry pieces proved sound again (the writer was also recently the victim of a similar Green queen) although his natural pessimism shows through in his note to move 22.
E. GREEN--POWER, Nimzoindian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 d5 5 a3 [A Clayton's Saemisch] 5...Bxc3+ 6 bxc 3 c5 7 cxd5 exd5 the Botvinnik Bd3 b6 9 is tough gong B6 11 Bu6 [Better was 11 f3; the text gives Black
a useful option ....I 11...Nxa6 12 Qd3 Nc7 [.... which he takes] 13 f3 Re8 14 Ng3 h5!? 15 Ra 2 [Having allowed the $Q N$ to e6, 15 Bb2 is more accurate. If Black Na6, the plan a4, Ba3, Bxd6, 0c2, e4 is hard to stop] 15...g6? [This weakens the $f-f_{i l e} 15$...g6 is more tenacious the 16 Re 20.17 Bb2 Rad8 18 Reel Ng5 e. 9 . 46 Ne6 20 e 4 dxe 421 fxe4 cxd4 22 Ryf6 $\mathbf{x f 6} 23 \mathrm{Nxh5}$ Qe7 24 cxd41 16 Raft Rxf6 gxif 23 Nxh5 Qe7 24 cxa4l 16 Rat2 116...Re7 is the last chance, e.g. 17 e4?! h4 18 Ne2 dxe4 19 fxe4 Nxe4 20 Rf4 5521 Rxh4 Rh7 22 Rxh7 Kxh7 23 Bf4 and White still has the initiativel 17 e 4 $\mathrm{h} 4 \quad 18 \mathrm{Ne} 2$

18...dxe4?! /Interposing 18... cxd4 19 cxd4 is better; it leads to a better ending
for white after 19 for White after
...dxe4 20 Exe4 Nxe4 21 Rxf7 $2 x f 7$ 22 Rxf7 Kxf7 23 Qf3+ Nf6! 24 Bg5 Na5 25 Nc3! Re1t 26 Kf2 Raes! 27 Nxd5 R8e2+ 28 Qxe2 Rxe2+ 29 Kxe 2 Nxd5 30 Bxh4] 19 fxe4 Nxe4 20 Rxf 7 Qxf7 21 Rxf7 Kxf7 22 Qr3+ lonly now dia wayne (and I) realise how terrible Black's

 defencel 24 Qxg6+ Kh8 25 BI4 26 a4! [But not 26 Be5+? Rxe5!] 26...Na3

After a complicated Sicilian Najdorf against Garbett, which ended in a draw, Ewen played another nice middlegame against Bob Gibbons, eventually winning after a mutual time scramble. His last round draw with Sarapu was perfunctory, assuring him of a tie for second - to his good fortune no-one caught up with him!
Paul Garbett was the top seed but he started off on the wrong foot; after a tactical melee he was forced to take perpetual check against Gibbons:
GIBBONS - GARBETT, Nimzoindian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 Qc2 0-0
 c5 9 e 3 cxd4 10 exd4 Nc6 11 Bd3 Rc8 $12 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{~d} 513 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 14$ Bxf6 Qxf6 15 cxb6 axb6 $16 \mathrm{Rd} 10 \mathrm{Qg} 5 \quad 170-0 \quad \mathrm{Ne} 5 \quad 18$ Qb3 Nxd3 19 Qxd3 Rc6 20 f 4 Qe 721 Nc3 Ra8 [The start of a dubious plan which allows white a lot of scope on
the kingsidel 22 Rf3 Ba6 23 Qe3 Bc4 24 Rel Qd7 25 Rg 3 Qc7 26 Qf $3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 27$ h4 h5 28 Re5 II am sure Paul would be the first to agree that White's position is indeed mouth-watering!] 28...Kf8 29 Qe3 Qd8


30 f 5 ! exf5 [on $30 \ldots g x f 531$ Qh6t Ke7 white has 32 Rxf5!] 31 Qh $6+\mathrm{Kg} 8$ 32 Rxf5 Qf8? [Best was $32 \ldots$ Qxh 4 combining attack and defence; after 3 Rxy7! (33 Rxh5 Qxd4+ is better
for Black) $33 . . .0 x d 4+!34$ Rf2 (only move) $34 . . Q g 735 Q g 5$ Rd6 36 Re 3 the position is unclear. I imagine the clock was beginning to flex its muscles by this point] 33 Qxhs Re8 34 Qg4? [An unnecessary retreat which allows black some very welcome counterplay. Instead 34 Nxd5 Bxas 35 Rxd5 was very strong since $3 . .$. Relt 35 Rxfl Rxg6+ 34...Qh6 35 Rxfl: the d-pawn is now in 35...Relt [35...Kxf7 36 Qa7+ Re7 37 Qxc6 Re1+ transposes 36 Kh2 Kxi 37
 40 Rg 3 , $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ [Both sides must acqui-

Garbett's initiative against Freeman was probably not enough for winning purposes and he bad an inferior posi tion against Stuart but both opponents crumbled in time pressure. He completed a rather uninspired tournament with a rather lucky draw against Lindsay Cornford who fiddled away a superior endgame. You can't win them all, Paul! Cornford's murky and trappy style had previously helped him to three wins so he joined Garbett in third place. Lest it prove a bad example to our younger readers we will content ourselves with just one of Mr Cornford's wins, entertaining though they might have been
CORNFORD-ROBINSON, Pirc Defence:
$1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 7$ 5 Qd2 c6 $6 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Ngf6} 7$ Bh6 Bxh6 [Here 7...0-0 transposing into a line of the Byrne variation was probably better but John perhaps knew of Lindsay's predilection for opposite-side castling which would be invitedl 8 Qxh6 Qa5 9

...Qxb2 costs the queen after 15 Rfbll 15 Ng3!? [Now you can take it ....] 15 ...Qxb2?! 16 Rabl Qxc3 [The attack on the Bd3 saves Black from material loss but the black king seems doomed to permanent residence in the centrel 17 Rxb Qxd6 18 e5 Qa6 19 Rbb1 Nd5 20 exd6 choices were not at all pleasant - and Cornford gets his opposite-side castling after all!] 23 Neg5 Rdf8 24 dxc5 h6 25 25 Qb2! Qc7 [25...hxg5 26 Qb7+ Kd8 27 Qxd5 is winning for White. After the text, however, the black f-pawn falls to a trick - the Rh8 is hangingl 26 Nxf7 Rhg8 27 c6 N7b6 28 N7e5 Rf5 [28 ...Rf6 looks a better chance although the black position is probably beyond saving now] 29 Nd7 Nxd7 [This final error opens the floodgates] 30 cxd7t Kxd7 31 Rfcl Qd6 32 Qb5+ Kd8 33 Qb8+, 1-0.

Graeme Spain, a regular visitor at Auckland tournaments, has improved steadily over the last couple of years and his sharing of third place here suggests that his unheralded second months ago was no fluke. Rpain prefer sharp positions and proved more than handfu1 for some of his higher rated handonents. Probably his best rated oppoinst Cornford - which shows that "murk" does not always pay! SPAIN - CORNFORD, Sicilian Morra: 1 e4 c5 2 d4 cxd4 3 c3 dxc3 4 Nxe3 Ne6 5 Nf 3 e6 $6 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Bb4}[6 \ldots . . .26$ is the more popular treatmentl 7 0-0 Bxc3?! [This is premature, giving white an early option of Ba3. ECO gives 7...Nge7 8 Qe2 $0-09$ Rd1 Bxc3 10 bxc3 d5 with an edge for White] 8 bxc3 Qc7?! [8...Nge7 was to be preferred] 9 Qe2 Nge7 10 Rd1 0-0 11 Ba3 [White has a clear ad vantage; the black d-pawn will be permanently immobilisedj l1...Re8 12 Bd6 13 Rabl Ng6 14 Rb5 Od8 15 Rh5 a6 Bb3 Nxb3 20 axb3 f6 21 Nel!? the knight aims for c5 while opening up the possibility of Qh3. Black now traps the offside rook but Spain is preparea to sacrifice the exchangel 21...Nf4 22 Rf5 g6 23 Rxf4 exf4 24 Qxf4 Bb7 25 Rd4 Rac8 26 Nd3 Rxc3 27 Nc5 Bc6 28 h4 Qa5?! 29 Qxf6 Rcl+ 30 Kh2 Qd8 31 Qf4 Rel 32 h5 a5 33 f3 a4? [The final mistake; the Rel had to be moved] 34 hxg6 hxg6 35 Qg3 Qf6 36 e5 Qh8+ 37 Rh4 Qxh4+ 38 Qxh4 Re2 39 Qf6 Ra8 40

Qxg6+ Kh8 $41 \mathrm{Be} 7,1-0$
Michael Freeman completed the list of prize winners when he also scored $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points. The Premier Reserve winner had favourable draw, his loss to Garbett coming at the right time, and his rival in the next New Zealand Championship would not have gleaned much useful information here
Sarapu, incidentally, won $\$ 300$ and Ewen Green $\$ 200$ while the four players tied for third place each took home $\$ 75$ Bob Gibbons headed a group of five players sharing seventh place with 3 points, a fine effort as he met a particularly strong field and recorded a performance rating of well over 2200 his best result for a long time.
The clock spoiled things for Paul Spiller and the writer while Simon Fitz patrick almost had a moment of glory in his second round game against Sarapu. Graham Walden won both his Sunday games to also register three points.
Andrei Sharko was unable to repeat his earlier success, his last round blunder probably robbing him of a plus $50 \%$ finish whe 5io; he flished the tournament on WEBER - RAWNSLEY TW Knight's Defence 1 e4 5 Nf3 Nc 6 Rcy Nf 6 Defence: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bc4 Nf6 $4 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{d5}$ Be2 h6 9 Nf 3 e4 10 Ne 5 Bd6 11 f4

 d3 Qc7 19 h 3 Rad8


20 Bxh6! gxh6 21 Nh4 Bh2+ 22 Kh1 Bg3 23 Rxf6!? Rel 24 Qxel Bxel 25 Rxf7 Qg 3 ? [25... Qxf7 26 Bxf7+ Kxf7 27 Rxel Rxd3 would till give White some technical problems to solve]
26 Rf3+ Kh7 27 Rxg3 Bxg3 28 Nf5 Be5 29 Rel Bf6 30 Re6 Rf 831 Вxa6 Bxa6 32 Rxa6 Bg5 33 g4, 1 - 0 (48).

The last round game between Sharko and Spain featured an unusual ending with tripled pawns:
SHARKO-SPAIN, Sicilian Rauzer: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 Bd7 6 Bg5 Nc6 7 Qd2 Rc8 8
 Bh4 g5 15 fxg5 Be7 16 Bc4 h6 17 Rhfl

Be6 18 Bxe6 fxe6 19 Rde1 d5 20 b5 hxg5 21 Rxe4 dxe4 $22 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Ba} 3+23 \mathrm{Kdl}$ Rh7 24 c3 Rd7+ 25 Kc 2

25...e3 26 Rdl

R1 Naturally
Black must avoid swapping rooks] 27 Rel Bc5 28 a4 $[1$ 28 Ka3 Ra7+ 29 K d2 while 28 Re2 is met by $28 \ldots$... illustrating the best feature of doubled or tripled pawns, their ability to control many of the squares on adjacent files] 28...e4 29 KbJ b6 30 Kc4 Rd7 31 h4 gxh4 32 Bxh4 Rd3 33 Pxb 17 Rh4 385 40 Bel Rh2 41 Kb3 Kf6 42 Ra2 Bb6 43 Be Bel Nh2 41 Kb3 Kf 42 Ra2 Bb6 43 Bing's infiltration to f3 is aoomed to failure so white should aim for imedi failure somite by 43 KC4 eg medKg 544 Kd5 Kf4 45 G4 when the outcome is unclearl 43 . $\mathrm{Rg} 244 \mathrm{Bh} 4+\mathrm{Ke} 6$ ? Th right move was $44 \ldots 2$... Kg6 when white has nothing better than 45 Bel Kg 46 Kc4 Kxg4 and black, with a tempo more than Kxg4 and Black, with a tempo more than Kf3 48 c4 Bf2 49 Bb4 e3! (49...e1Q? 50 Exe1 Bxe1 51 Rxg2 Kxg2 52 Kxe 4 draws) 50 c5 ell 51 Bxel Bxel 52 Rxg 2 Kxg2 53 c6 Ba5] $45 \mathrm{Kc4}$ ?? [A sad oversight on the time control. Instead 45 Bel Kf6 46 Kc4 is similar to the note on White's 43rd movel 45...elQ 46 Bxel Rxa2, 0-1
Finally, a couple of nice finishes.
SPILLER - STEPHENSON, after 18...Bf8


Black's last
move (18....Be7-f8)
was a bad mistake
inowing White a Instead Black
should have played 18...g6 preventing White's Qh5. Play continued:
19 Qh5 h6 20 Bxh6! gxh6 [This loses very quickly; $20 . . . f 6$ or 20 ...g6 might have put up a stiffer resistance] 21 Rg3+ Kh7 [21...Kh8 is also met by 22 Qxf7 mating while 21...Bg7 loses after 22 Qxh6 Kf8 23 Rxg7] 22 Qxf7+, 1 - 0.
Peter Weir had a disappointing tournament, blundering a piece to Gibbons in round two but recovering with this
finish in the following round:
ROBINSON-WEIR, after 24 Rh1


Clearly Black is well on top with a strong kingside attack but Weir finds a deflection sacrifice to end matters quickly fxe3 $0 \mathrm{~g} 3+26 \mathrm{Kf} 1$ fre $8+27 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Qg} 2+$, $0-1$.

Paul Cooper, formerly of Wanganui but now living in whangarei, scored a picke fence in winning the $\$ 150$ first prize n the Winstone B-grade. David Clinton and Barry Martin-Buss were only half a point behind going into the last round but both had their hopes dashed as the former lost to Cooper and the latter to Robert Baumgartner. This allowed Jim enderson and Pat Spencer-Smith, who both won their final games, to join baumgartner in a tie for second place, each winning $\$ 73$.
B-grade scores: 1 P.R.Cooper (Wan) 5; 2-4 R. Baumgartner (HP), A.J.Henderson (NS) \& P.A.Spencer-Smith (NS) $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 5-8 J.K.Boyd (NS), D.Clinton (NP), B.Martin Buss (Wai) \& B.K.Stewart (Air NZ) 3 $\frac{1}{2}$; 9-14 G.B.Banks (Pap), R.Beesley (Ham), T.J. Brumby (HP), R.J.Calder (Ham), L.P Grevers (NS) \& J.A.O'Connor (Wai) 3; 15-23 J. Borovskis (HP), B.D.Glass (Hun) G.M.Jones (NS), J. Shields (Wai), M.I Stewart (Air NZ), F.Stynman (Ham), R. Takhar (NS), P.F.van der Mey (NS) \& B.M. Winsor (NS) 2六; 24-28 C. Blaxall (Ppk) N. Blaxall ( $P p k$ ), A.J.Meader (Air NZ), G.Uszakiewicz (Ham) \& C.Walker (Wai) 2; 29-31 G. Cooling (HP), A.Duhs (NS) \& W.S edale (Ppk) 1/2; 32-34 D.J.Boyd (NS), .Meyer (Hun) \& J.smeed (Hun) 1; 35-36 -P.Haynes (NS) \& T.Urquhart (Hun) $\frac{1 / 2}{2}$. Abbreviations: Ham = Hamilton, HP Howick-Pakuranga, Hun = Runtly, NP = ew Plymourh, NS North Shore, Pap Papatoetoe, Ppk = Papakura, Wai = Waitemata, Wan = Wanganui

The prizes were presented by Mr Grahal Dawson, Marketing Manager for Winstone td, who reaffirmed his company's comitment to this tournament in future ears. The North Shore Chess Club thank instone Ltd for its support for chess ver many years, without which this event would scarcely be possible.

## Fletcher Timber Tawa Labour Weekend Tournament

by Gavin Ion

|  |  |  | R． 1 | R． 2 | R． 3 | R． 4 | R． 5 | T＇1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ker A．F． | HV | W6 | W3 | D2 | W4 | W7 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 2 | Noble M．F． | HV | W9 | W5 | D1 | D7 | D4 | 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 15 |
| 3 | Ion G．J． | Civ | Bye | L1 | W10 | D6 | W8 | 37 | 11雱 |
| 4 | Dive R．J． | Twa | W10 | D7 | W8 | L1 | D2 | 3 | 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5 | Yee S ． | Eas | W11 | L2 | L7 | W9 | W10 | 3 | 10\％$\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 6 | Monrad P．G． | HV | L1 | D10 | W11 | D3 | Bye | 3 | 1012 |
| 7 | Sarfati J．D． | W | D8 | D4 | W5 | D2 | L1 | 23／2 | 16\％$\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8 | Frankel Z． | W | D7 | W9 | L4 | W11 | L3 | 2雱 | 12 |
| 9 | Dunn $P$ ． | Eas | L2 | L8 | Bye | L5 | W11 | 2 |  |
| 10 | Ker C．M． | HV | L4 | D6 | L3 | Bye | L5 | 11／2 |  |
| 11 | Ramsay W． | HV | L5 | Bye | L6 | L8 | L9 | 1 |  |

Abbreviations：Civ＝Civic，Eas＝Eastbourne，HV＝Hutt Valley，Twa＝Tawa，W＝ Wellington．

Once again the Tawa Chess Club under－ took to organise the 1984 Fletcher Timber Labour Weekend Tournament and once again they did an excellent job． Greg Aldridge proved to be an efficient Director of Play in his debut in the
hot seat．
The tournament was divided into a 12－ player A－grade and a 9－player B－grade． The event promised much as it featured four past winners－Lev Aptekar，Russell Dive，Anthony Ker \＆Mark Noble－as well as Jonathan Sarfati who was out to win the only Wellington title that eludes im．
The first round saw a couple of hic－ cups for the top players．The non－ appearance of Lev Aptekar gave Gavin Ion a Clayton＇s win whilst Sarfati was held to a draw by Zyg Frankel．The lat－ ter employed the Evans Gambit and sur－ vived an inferior rook and pawn ending to secure the draw．All the other top seeds won comfortably．After this round ptekar was witharaw from the
ent，thus necessitating a bye
In round two Anthon Ker and Mar oble became the only players left with perfect score．Ker did not have angs all his own way a chance to blockade on e4 when Ker was btta Noble was impressive in posi tionally grinding Yee to a halt，winning
a pawn and then sacrificing the exchange to win the ending．Sarfati found the going hard as Dive defended resource－ fully and joined the queue of people to take half points off Jonathan．

Round three saw Noble and Ker fight out a draw when Anthony could not break through against some resolute defence． Ker＇s advantage in the opening trans－ lated into pressure along the e－file which Mark was able to absorb and the final position was one which Ker could not win but could lose if he over－ pressed．Sarfati scored a neat win，em－ ploying the Marshall Gambit to good effect against Stan Yee who never re－ covered from inaccuracies in the open－ ing．Meanwhile Dive was making progress at the expense of Frankel who seemed determined to sacrifice the exchange and eventually did－without compensa－ tion！Leading scores：Dive，Ker \＆Noble $2 \frac{1}{2}$ ；Sarfati \＆Ion 2.
While Noble and Sarfati were happy with a rest day in round four（draw agreed after 12 moves），Ker took the lead on his own with a good display against Dive；Lasker＇s defence to the Queen s Gambit proved efrective when coupled with a mice kingside pawn storm supported by queen，rook and bishop ton ict ictin to an
after 15 moves．Leading scores before the last round：Ker $3 \frac{1}{2}$ ；Noble 3；
In round five Ker made sure of winning the tournament outright by defeating Sarfati with his favourite Stonewall Jonathan traded pressure and a better position for an extra pawn and Ker won two pieces for a rook and nursed this advantage through to a winning endgame Noble looked to be winning against Dive in a complicated Dutch Defence；he
threw his kingside pawns forward but once again Dive defended tenaciously to reach an opposite－colour bishop ending which ensured the draw．Ion sneaked up for a share of second place（with Noble） when Zyg Frankel＇s king got restless and walked into trouble．
In the final analysis a winning mar－ in of one point is clearly decisive in five－round tournament but Anthony did have his usual share of luck along the way．Noble was the best of the rest， hever looking in any danger，whilst Ion can thank the Swiss pairing rules for his prize money．Sarfati was clearly out of form and never featured in the race for first place．
In the B－grade Mark Capie（Tawa）and Peter King（Civic）were tied going into the last round with $3 \frac{1}{2} / 4$ ．Capie was then an easy winner over Eddie Sapsford while King could only draw with John Gibb which earned the latter third place．
Scores： 1 M．Capie 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 2 P．King 4； 3 J．Gibb $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ； $4-5$ E．Sappsford \＆C．H．Webber ；6－9 A．Archer，M．Houlahan，A．Jackson \＆A．Richards 2.
DIVE－A．KER，Queen＇s Gambit
1 c4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Ne3 Nf6 4 Bg5 Be7 5 e3 0－0 6 Nf3 h6 7 Bh4 Ne4 8 Bxe7 Qxe7 9 cxd5 Nxc3 10 bxc3 exd5 11 Qb 3 Rd8 12 c4 dxc4 13 Bxc4 Nc6 $140-0$ $f 518$ Rfcl Rac8 19 Ne5 Kh7 20 Qa5 a6 21 Nd3 5 Rac8 22 Rc5 5 Rn 20 Qas a6 $21 \mathrm{Na3} \mathrm{~g} ~ 22$ Rc2 Rds 23 Qa4 Rd6 24 Rg7 28 Ob6 Rc 729 2c5 0f6 30 R 11 28 Qb Kch 29 Qc5 Q16 30 a4 5 135 32 35
 0 Qe6t

ION－A．KER，Réti Opening：
c4 e6 2 g3 d5 3 Bg2 Nf6 4 Nf3 Bd6 0－0 0－0 6 d3 Ne6 7 a3 Ne7 8 Ne3 c6 9 b4 e5 10 Bg5 Be6 11 c5 Bc $7 \quad 12$ Bxf
gxf6 13 Qd2 Kg7 14 Rabl Qc8 15 b5 Bh3 16 bxh3 Qxh3 17 bxc6 bxc6 18 Rb7
 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Rfc8 } \\ 22 \text { Na4？} & {[22} & \text { e4！}] & 22 \ldots \mathrm{e} 4 & 23 & \mathrm{Nd} 4 & \mathrm{Nh} 4\end{array}$
 Qf4＋ $27 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qg} 4+^{28} \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qxh} 4+29 \mathrm{Kg} 2$ $\mathrm{Kh} 8,0-\mathrm{Kg}$ ．
NOBLE－YEE，Queen＇s Pawn：
1 d4 Nf6 2 Nf3 c5 3 e3 e6 4 Bd3 Nc6 5 c3 Be7 6 Nbd2 0－0 7 0－0 a6 8 Rel b5 9 Nf1 Bb7 10 Ng 3 Qc 711 Qe 2 Rac8 12 Bd2 Qb6 13 dxc5 Qxc5 14 a4 bxa4 15 Rxa4 a5 16 Real Ra8 17 e4 Qb6 18 Be3 Qc7 19 h 3 Bd 620 NFI Br 4521 Nld2 Bxe3 22 Qxe3 d5 23 exds exds 24 Qc Rfc8 25 Bb 5 Qd 826 Qe3 Qc7 27 Nb 3 Na7 28 Nfa4 Nxb5 29 Nxb5 Qc6 30 N 5 d 4 Qc 14 Ruas Rxas 35 Nas Re8 35 Q15 Bc8 34 Nac6 Qb6 35 Nb Qc7 36 Nxd
 Qc8 40 Rel Ra8 41 Na 96 47 Rxe6 fxe 48 Qe 4 Q8 46 7＋K18 $50 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{Rll} 51 \mathrm{Kl2} 08852$ Ne6 h6？ 53 Qf6＋， 1 － 0.

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

1．Agzamov－Tringov，Stara Pazova 1983 1 Ra2！Qxa2 2 Ng5 Rfe8 3 Qh7＋Kf8 4 Qh8＋Ke7 $5 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Kd} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Qf} 6+\mathrm{Re} 6$ （6．．．Kd5 7 Qc6t Kd4 8 Nf3t Kc3 9 QxC5＋＋－） 7 Nxf7＋Kc 78 Rxe6＋－．

2．Lang－Haight，N．Z．Ch＇p 1950／5l： 1．．．Rf3！ 2 Rg1 hxg2＋ 3 Rxg2 Rfl＋ $4 \operatorname{Rg} 1 \mathrm{~g} 3!5 \mathrm{Qg} 2 \mathrm{Rxg} 1+, 0-1$.

3．Lynn－Haase，N．Z．Ch＇p 1971－72： 1 Nxf7！Kxf7 2 Bg6＋Kf8 3 Qxe6 Qd5 4 Qxc8 Qxd4 5 Be5！， 1 － 0 （5．．．Qxe5 6 Qxes mate）．

4．Morphy－de Riviere，Paris 1858： 1 Bxh6！Rxh6 2 Ng5，1－0

5．Tolush－Mititelu，Warsaw 1961： 1 Qxf6！gxf6 2 Nge4＋， 1 － 0 （2．．． Kh8 3 Nxf6）．

6．Inkiov－Bajovic，Plovdiv 1982： 1．．．Qxh2＋！， $0-1$（2 Kxh2 Ng4＋ 3 Khl Rh3＋ 4 Bxh3 Rh2 mate）．

日 昷 昷 且

## Auckland Centre's Centennial Tournament

by Colin Byford

|  |  |  | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | T'1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sarapu 0. | $N S$ | W12 | W5 | D2 | W4 | W3 | W7 | W6 | 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 2 | Fitzpatrick S.P. | A | W14 | W9 | D1 | D3 | W5 | W8 | D4 | 51/2 |  |
| 3 | Spiller P.S. | HP | W13 | W7 | D4 | D2 | L1 | W5 | W11 | 5 |  |
| 4 | Sharko A.V. | A | W15 | W8 | D3 | L1 | L7 | W14 | D2 | 4 | 30 |
| 5 | van Dam S. | A | W6 | L1 | W14 | W9 | L2 | L3 | W15 | 4 | 30 |
| 6 | Johnstone A. | Ham | L5 | W10 | L7 | W16 | W9 | W15 | L1 | 4 | 27 |
| 7 | Stephenson J.R. | A | Bye | L3 | W6 | D11 | W4 | L1 | D8 | 4 | 261 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8 | Bojtor J. | Rem | W16 | L4 | D13 | W12 | Wll | L2 | D7 | 4 | 24 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 9 | Beesley R. | Ham | W17 | L2 | W12 | L5 | L6 | W16 | W14 | 4 | 23 |
| 0 | Brett K.W. | A | D11 | L6 | D15 | L14 | Bye | W17 | W16 | 4 | 16 |
| 1 | Weber E. | NS | D10 | L12 | W17 | D7 | L8 | W13 | L3 | 3 | 25 |
| 2 | Mears G.W. | NS | L1 | Wll | L9 | L8 | L16 | Bye | W17 | 3 | $21 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 | Morrison M.K. | A | L3 | W16 | D8 | L15 | D17 | L11 | Bye | 3 | 181/2 |
| 4 | Martin Mrs L. | NS | L2 | Bye | L5 | W10 | D15 | L4 | L9 | 21 | 24 |
| 5 | Bradley N.A. | NS | L4 | D17 | D10 | W13 | D14 | L6 | L5 | 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2312 |
| 6 | Stynman F. | Ham | L8 | L13 | Bye | L6 | W12 | L9 | L10 | 2 | 22 |
| 7 | Borovskis J. | $H P$ | L9 | D15 | Lil | Bye | D13 | L10 | L12 | 2 | 1931 | Abbreviations: $A=A u$

Shore, Rem $=$ Remuera.

On the weekends either side of Labour Weekend the Auckland Chess Centre held an experimental two-weekend tournament. This was partly to mark the club's centennial and partly to provide an alternative to a normally badly attended Labour Weekend tournament. The thinking was that people would preter to have a holiday and play chess on ordinary weekends; this turned out to be incorrect. The tournament attracted a field of 17 after two withdrawals. From the numbers point of view the event fell a little flat but $I$ don $L$ measure success purely in those terms. The atmosphere was congenial throughout and there was lots of enjoyable chess played. In these res-
pects the event was a great success.
Four rounds were played on the first weekend and three on the second. At this point it is normal to point out the terrific job done by the director of play, especially as this was the first a I was the direct man had run. forbids The prector, however, modesty was Ortvin Sarapu was Ortvin Sarapu who played as part of ds olymiad preparation. Other contenders were

Paul Spiller and two new arrivals on the N.Z. chess scene, Andrei Sharko and Emil Weber who had both turned in good performances in the Winstone's recently. A welcome addition to the line-up was three players from Hamilton, two of whom split the grade prize (for under 1600) with Kevin Brett.
Round 1: All went as expected except Brett v Weber. Emil was a little taken aback by Brett's Sicilian 4...e5, playing the passive 5 Nb 3 and eventually being held to a draw.
Round 2: This was another field-
sorting round with the bottom half providing target practice for the top. One interesting clash was Spiller-Stephenson which was won by Paul. Aiden Johnstone previewed his good form by despatching Brett
Round 3: With four on 2 points, the clashes of the titans were now looming. The two big encounters in this round were Sarapu-Fitzpatrick and SharkoSpiller. The first was Ortvin's only draw. Simon hurled an Alekhine Defence at Ortvin's 1 e4; this led to a quiet middlegame in which Sarapu passed up an exchange sac which would have provided attacking chances. They moved into
the endgame with Ortvin a pawn up but the position eventually locked up with nother ake through in sight, a draw. The was gan was a Tarrasch rench which half point result indicates. At thi point we realised that the guy who had defaulted the first two rounds was coming so the lower boards had the threat of a bye to spur then on
Round 4: This to spur them on.
evening, saw no-one left on full points The major struggles were Spiller-Fitz patrick, in which Paul avoided the Alekhine Defence by going into a drawish Four Knights, and Sharko-Sarapu. Where as most came to do battle with Sarapu with a shield in each hand, Andrei came bearing two swords. The Kieseritzky was produced from the opening hat. While Andrei developed according to the rules Ortvin's pieces watched idly from the back rank while two of their attendant pawns marched down the kingside to convincing victory.
Round 5: After a break of two weeks the Saturday morning saw the start of what was effectively the last round against van Dam; he let an advantageous position slip away so was forced to resort to swindles and piracy to win. Jon Stephenson showed Sharko the folly of playing the dark side of the Sicilian too passively; his attack was, to say the least, hard to stop. Spiller played a Pire against Sarapu and was left with a shaky queenside; Ortvin won a piece there and then pushed the advantage home.
Round 6: Most of the big games were over by now but one clash worthy of note was Stephenson-Sarapu in which the former played passively so as not to die quickly; he died slowly - in the adjournment in fact.
Round 7: Johnstone played Sarapu and came out of the opening rather cramped The game lasted a long time until an unwise queen swap denied Aiden an advantageous endgame. Johnstone played well above his estimated 1450 rating throughout the tournament.
The tournament was a worthwhile experiment but next year will see a return to a Labour Weekend tournament. As can be seen Sarapu won first prize, Fitzpatrick second, and Spiller third. The grade prize was split between Johnstone, Beesley and Brett.

SARAPU-FITZPATRICK, Al ekhine Defence 1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 Nc3 Nxc3 4 bxc3 c5 $5 \mathrm{f4}$ d6 $6 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Bd} 78$
 $0-0$ 0-0 12 d 4 dxe5 13 fxe5 Ne6 14 Be Kad8 15 Bf2 Qc7, 16 Rfel e6 17 Rad1 Rd7 18 Kh Reb: 16 Rtenaing 19...cxa4


21 Rxd8+ [21 Rd6: Bf8 22 bh4 ties Black upl 21...Qxd8 22 Bd4 Qa5 23 Qc4 Qc7 24 Qa4 Qa5 25 Qxa5 Nxa5 26 Kg 1 h6 27 Kf2 Kf8 28 Ke 3 Ke 729 Kd 3 Nc 6 $32 \mathrm{h3}$ a6 31 Ke 4 h 5 $\begin{array}{llll}32 & \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 4 & 33 \mathrm{hxg} 4\end{array}$ Kd7 $34 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Nas} 35 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 36 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Kd} 7$ 37 a4 Bf8 38 Ne 4 Be 739 c 4 Ne 640 Bc 3 Kc8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
SPILLER-STEPHENSON, Sicilian Defence: 1 e4 c5 2 Nc 3 g 63 f 4 [3 d4!?] $3 \ldots$ Bg7 4 Nf3 Nc6 5 Bc4 e6 6 e5 fIntending Ne4] 6...d5 7 exd6 Qxd6 8 Ne4 Qxf4?! 9 d3 Qc7 [9...Qg4? $10 \mathrm{h3}$ Qxg2 11 Rh2 winsl 10 0-0 [White's advantage is clear] 10...Nge7 11 Nfg5?! [Better is unclearl 12...0-0 13 Nxf7 Nf5 ...Na5 14 Bxd5 exd5 15 Nxh6+ Bxh6 16 Nf6+] 14 g 4 [The only move] 14...Nfd4? [14...Qxf7 leaves White slightly better] 15 Nxh6+! Bxh6 16 Nf6+ Kf7? [16...Kg7? 17 Bxh6t; comparatively best was 16. Rxf6 17 Qxf6] 17 Qh3 Ne2+ 18 Khl Ke7 19 Oxh6 Rxf6 20 Qg7+ Kd6 21 Qxc7+ Kxc7 22 Rxf6 \& White won. Notes by P.Spiller. FITZPATRICK - SHARKO, Richter-Veresov: 1 d 4 d 52 Nc 3 Nf 63 Bg 5 c 64 Bxf 6 exf6 5 e4 Bb4 6 exd5 Qxd5 7 Qd2 Bxe3 8 Qxc3 0-0 9 a3 Re8+ $10 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Nd7} 11$ $0-15$ HS Na 15 Bc4 Nxb4 16 Bxa2 Nxa2+ 17 5! Nc $3+21$ Ren 50 $53 \mathrm{Nb} 5+\mathrm{Kg} 64 \mathrm{Ne} 22 \mathrm{Nc} 8+\mathrm{Kg}$ 23 Rb6 24 Ne2 Rb6t 27 Na4 Ra6 28 Kb2 Rb6 29 Kc1 Ra6 $33 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{h6} 34 \mathrm{Kbl} 26+35 \mathrm{Ka}$ Kc1 16 6639 Kb4 f6 40 b6 39 43 Kb3 f5 $44 \mathrm{Kb2} 31$ f4 46 off oxf 47 Kcl 250 Kf6 $49 \mathrm{~K} 1 \mathrm{~K}_{5} 50 \mathrm{Kd2} 51 \mathrm{~K} 1$ 52 Ne 53 N4 54 Ke ke 55 Kd2 Kh4 56 Kel, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

## Local News

NORTH SHORE C.C. $\mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$

## 123456789012

1 Sarapu
2 Garbett F.A. $\quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array} 1119 \frac{1}{2}$

3 Smith R.W. $\quad \frac{1}{2} 0 \times 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} 1111118$
4 Weir P.B. $\quad 000 \times 100111116$
5 Green E.M. $\quad \frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} 0 \times 11101 \frac{1}{2} 0115 \frac{1}{2}$
6 Spencer-Smith G. $0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 10 \times 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} 115 \frac{1}{2}$
7 Poor R.L. $\quad 010100 \times 10110$
8 Stuart P.W. $\quad 0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 000 \frac{1}{2} 0 \times \frac{1}{2} 11115$


12 Atkinson I.E. $0 \frac{1}{2} 00000100000 \mathrm{x} 1 \frac{1}{2}$
The 1984 North Shore Chess Club Championship followed a strange course with numerous upsets, only Ortvin Sarapu and Robert Smith not being affected by the madness. Sarapu won comfortably, conceding only
three draws against top rivals.
Paul Garbett was probably to blame for the epidemic of unexpected results as he started off being extremely fortunate to draw with Greg Spencer-Smith and then he could only draw his second game against lan Atkinson. Only an outrageous stroke of luck in an adjourned eighth round game with Ron Feasey allowed Paul his chance of second in a queen ending a pawn up Feasey lost on time while trying to win!
Of the others only Peter Weir exceeded $50 \%$ although Ewen Green forfeited his last game (against Peter Snelson) through illness. Spencer-Smith scored 4 points from his last 6 games for a creditable result in this company.
The notes to the following game are by Ortvin Sarapu:
WEIR-SARAPU, Ruy Lopez
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 аб 4 Ba4 d6 5 c4 [The old Duras variation against the even older Steinitz Defence deferred. Even here new lines are possible] 5...Bg4 [5... Bd7 is usually recommended; the text is considered more positional than tactical 6 h3 bhs.. [The books give 6...bxf3 with very tends to play g4 then now is the time to do Nf6 9 d3 Na7l 7 ...Nf6 84 Nc 3 Be 76 nc3 10 g4! Nhe ....N. 8 Ne3 Be7 9 d3 $0-0$ thite g4, The pin is very unpleasant for nite and he gains no advantage aftex 10 Bxc6 bxc6 11 be3 c5. After the move played the positional variation becomes tactical!) after 11 hxg 4 Bxg 4 Black threatens f7-
followed by fxe 4 putting the Nf3 under further pressure. After f5 and Qe8 Black also has serious threats in Qg6 and QhS. Peter Weir unfortunately for him it ends with Black a pawn aheadl 11 Bxc6 bxc6 12 Nxe5? Nxe5! Better than Ne3 dxe5] 13 Qxh5 Nxd3 14 f4 Ifter xeSJ 13 QxhS Nxd3 14 14 After for white to gain attacking chances for his pawnl 14 Bf6 15 Pf3 6 16 Qg4 Nxcl! (Very tempting was 16 $\ldots h 517$ Qg 3 ( 17 Qg2? Ne1) $17 . .$. Bh4 18 Qh2 Ne1 19 Rf1 Qf6 but it seems that Black's attack is over and the weakening of his kingside would weakening of his kingside would Bxc3 18 Rcxc3 f5! IIn addition to his extra pawn Black is also winning positionally - the kingside is blocked and the white f-pawn is weak] 19 exf5 Rxf5 $20 \mathrm{Rg} 3 \mathrm{Qf6} 2$ Rcf3 Rf8, 0 - 1 [White must lose more materialj.

The B-grade was played in two diisions with Richard Stee1 ( $10 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ) and Jonathan Chandler (8) qualifying for the play-off from one section while Roger Fraser ( $8 / 10$ ) and Winsome Stretch ( $7 \frac{1}{2} / 10$ ) headed the other.
Ken Wong scored a fine $9 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ in the C-grade with Ian McIntyre second on $8 \frac{1}{2}$ and Clark Robbie third on 8 points.

HAWKES BAY RESIDENTS TOURN.
Lol Garnett (Havelock North) deservedly won the 5th H.B.Residents' Swiss tournament held over 6 rounds on two consecutive Sundays in July at the Hawkes Bay Community College at Taradale. In the decisive last round Lol beat Dave Lynch to win on tie-break from Craig Goodwillie (Napier) when both had scored 5/6. Mike Earle (Havelock North) drew his last round game with Jim Benson (Napier) to finish third on $4 \frac{1}{2}$ pts. Scores: 1-2 L.Garnett \& C.Goodwillie 5; 3 M. Earle $4 \frac{1}{2} ; ~ 4-6 ~ D . I . ~$ Lynch, J. Benson \& M. McFarlane 4; 7-10 R.Deverick, A.Flett, R.Gordon \& S. Severinsen $3 \frac{1}{2}$; $11-15$ J. Aitken, R. Brougham, M.Lancaster, A.Reid $\&$
C.Wilcox 3; 16 D.Porteous $2 \frac{1}{2}$; $17-$

18 M. Pomana \& C.Smith 2; 19-20 P.Crowe \& M.McKenzie $1 \frac{1}{2} ; 21$ M. Athea 1.

## OTAGO C.C. $\mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$

In round 3 of the Otago Chess C1ub Championship the A-grade was won by the in-form sixth former Kendall Boyd with a picket fence. Although he claimed not to have played any publishable games, his result was quite remarkable. This largely unheralded win has been the culmination of a lot of work and enthusiastic dedication which has not paid off until now.
The A-grade scores were: 1 K.M. Boyd 6/6; 2 G.G. Haase $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 3-5 B.M.Martin (1 unplayed), J.C.Sievey \& M.R.R.Foord $2 \frac{1}{2} ; 6$ W.Martin (l unplayed) 2; 7 A.D Intosh 1.
To really emphasise his recent ascendancy, Kendall beat both Ben Martin and Andrew McIntosh (the Otago representatives in the N.Z. Schoolpupil Ch'p) a second time in the South Island Cham-

$$
0
$$

The B.
The B-grade was won by P.J.Sinton, the C-grade by H. Singh, the D-grade by J.McIntosh and the E-grade by W.Jones.

## HASTINGS V NAPIER

The Hastings \& Havelock North Chess Club won the second leg of the "Pete's Bishop" trophy matches against Napier $7 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$. The match was played in September and gave Hastings \& Havelock North overall victory by $12 \frac{1}{2}$ to $11 \frac{1}{2}$, Napier having won the first leg 7-5 in April. Results of the second leg (Hastings names first): D.I.Lynch l, J.Aitken 0; L.Garnett I, R.von't Steen 0; M.Earle 0 .Lancaster 1; J.Locke 0, M.McFarlane 1; D.Christie 1, R.Lamont 0; W.McLean 1, A.Flett 0; R.Gordon 1, C.Wilcox 0; J. Bake 0, S.Severinsen 1; M. Dunningham , M. Collins 1; M.McKenzie $\frac{1}{2}$, R.Shirley $\frac{1}{2}$; J. Whitaker 1, P.MeIver 0; D. Gratton 1, A.N.Other 0 .

## OTAGO V INVERCARGILL

Over the weekend of $14 / 15$ September the Invercargill Chess Club, responding th alacrity to a recent invitation, sent up five players for a bit of fres
opposition. On the Saturday afternoon a Dunedin "Chess for Fun" selection took the match seriously enough to score a win by four games to two.
The visitors then competed in an 8round Swiss lightning (bell \& buzzer) tournament of 26 players. This was won by D.O.P., draw-maker (all claims of biased pairings are totally groundless!) and adjudicator Tony Dowden who scored $6 \frac{1}{2}$ points - losing and then drawing in the last two rounds to provide some excitement. Equal second were Hank Chin (unlucky on one or two occasions for once!) and Peter Sinton on 6. Other than Ben Martin, who was fourth with $5 \frac{1}{2}$ points, the Otago highschool players left too many pieces en prise and it was left to the leading Invercargill players Chris Marshall, Tom Pine (Invercargill President) and John Hughes to come next
with 5 points.
On the Sunday a relatively friendly match saw a 2:2 draw between Otago c.C and Invercargill C.C. Danny Wihi and Alec Glover won for the visitors while Eric Puddle and Andrew McIntosh won for Otago. Report by R.A.Dowden \& M.Foord

## HAWKES BAY JUNIOR TOURNAMENT

This year's event (the fifth) attracted 535 entries from thirty-seven intermediate and primary schools, most from Hastings and Napier. The tournament was held at the Hastings Indoor Stadium on Saturday 22 September and was run on a knock-out system with a maximum of 70 boards at any one time. There were six grades from 7 years to open junior for both boys and girls.
Peterhead School, Flaxmere, was once again very prominent in winning five titles and taking eighteen awards inpetitors in the tournament - most competitors in the tournament ( 52 entries), greatest percentage of competitors per chool roll ( $12.7 \%$ ) and top school for ne hirth successive year. Much of the nthustasm for chess shown by pupils at the dedication the dedication or f the teachers there.
Results of the Open Junior, section one: 1 an Hawke (Flaxnere IntermediIntermediate), 3 Brett Robilock North lock North Intermediate) \& Bruce Clark
(Heretaunga Intermediate). Section 2: 1 Jason Morrell (Flaxmere Intermediate) 2 Andrew Dinwiddie (Hereworth), 3 Pete Daniels (Flaxmere Intermediate) \& Evan Bartlett (Hastings Intermediate).
Girls: 1 Linda Samuel (Flaxmere Inter Intermediate), 3 Rebecca (Flaxmer Intermediate), 3 Rebeca Davis (St mary's) \& Wik diate)
Steven Donnelly (St Mary's) became the first player to win titles in three year section. Thears when he won the eleven spectator int cised in the Daily melegraph (Napier) and the Hawkes Bay Herald Tribuse and the Hawkes Bay Herald Tribune.

NORTH SHORE $V$ AUCKLAND CENTRE The rivalry between these two Auckland clubs continued with a Jenkins Trophy challenge on 3rd October. The 12-8 scoreline in favour of the holder, North Shore, slightly belies a close struggle. On lower boards Ross Browrlee and Roger Fraser were queen and exchange down respectively with inadequate compensation - and both won! The Centre was not helped by the non-appearance of Lindsay Cornford and Michael Steadman although North shore, it may be noted, was also without several top players. the scores (Nor shite on odd-numbered boards)

## NORTH SHORE

1 P.A.Garbett D.A.Gollogly 3 P.W.Stuart 4 M.G.Whaley 6 R.A.Feasey 7 R.L.Power 8 G.L.Pitts 9 R.Hart
10 P.R.Sne1so
11 .R.Snelson
11 R.G.Stee 1
12 P. Spencer-Smit
13 D. B. Shead
14 J.Chandler
15 R.J.Fraser 16 S. J. Moore 18 L. R. Brownlee 19 G.W.Mears 20 L.M.Martin

## AUCKLAND

$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ A.R.Day
1-0 L.H.Cornford
1-0 R.E.Gibbons
1-0 M.V.R.Steadman

- -1 A.D. Rawnsley
- 1 A.V.Sharko

2- 2 J.K.stephenson

- 1 K. M. Mebliso

2-1/2 K.M. Metge
0-1 B.M.Williams
0-1 N.P.Bridges
1-0 C. Byford
1-0 M.K.Morrison
$\begin{array}{ll}1-0 & \text { M.K.Morris } \\ 1-0 & \text { P. Young }\end{array}$
$1-0 \quad$ P. Young
$\begin{array}{cc}12-\frac{1}{2} & \text { K.W. Brett } \\ 1-0 & \text { R.Jackson }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1-0 } & \text { R.Jackson } \\ 1-0 & \text { R. Levy }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}1-0 & \text { R. Levy } \\ 1-0 & \text { R. Weyers }\end{array}$ 1-0 R.Weyers

CHRISTCHURCH CENTRE $\mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$

## 12345678901

1 Anderson B.R. $\times 111111 \frac{1}{2} 11119 \frac{1}{2}$ 2 Nokes R.I. $\quad 0 \times 1 \begin{array}{lllllllll} \\ 3 & \text { van } & 1\end{array}$
 5 Wikinson E. $00 \frac{1}{2} \times 1011111112 \frac{1}{2}$

 8 Flening $M$. $\frac{1}{2} 00000 \times 11114 \frac{1}{2}$ 9 Kelly S. $0000000 \times 1113$ 9 MeAllister $s$. $0000 \frac{1}{2} 00 \times 112 \frac{1}{2}$ 10 McAllister S. $00000 \frac{1}{2} 000 \times 1 \quad 1 \frac{1}{2}$

The Christchurch Chess Centre's 1984 Championship proved to be a close race between two former New Zealand champions. Bruce Anderson and Roger Nokes were rawn to play in the last round prior to this, both had convincingly won most of their games. The exception which left him a half point in arrears nich left him a half point in arrears Nokes to take out the titl against with the prospect of a picket fence in sight, disdained to play for a draw and the result was an exciting game in which Bruce emerged from the time scramble with an extra pawn which he later converted to a full point.
NOKES-ANDERSON, Sicilian Sozin: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bc} 4$ e6 7 Be 3 Be 78 Qe2 a6 9 0-0-0 Qc7 10 Bb3 $0-0 \quad 11$ Rhg 1 Na 12 g4 Ne5 13 g5 b5 14 Qh5 b4 15 Na 4 [The recommended move is 15 Rg3 but it is not clear that Black must lose if he takes the Nc3. A 1975 game ent 15 Nxc6 Nxb3 16 axb3 Qxc6 17 Bd4 bxc3 18 Qh6 cxb2+ 19 Kxb2 e5 20 Bxe5 dxe5 21 Qxc6 winningl $15 \ldots$ Nxa4 16 Bxa4 Nxd4 17 Rxd4 e5 18 Bb

18...g6! [If 18 ...exd4 19 g6 wins in all variations] 19 Qh6 a5 [Calmly defending his bpawn and advancing his own attack. of course 19...exd4 20 Bxd4 forces mate] 20 Bd5 Be6 21 Rc4 [Nokes decides that 21 Bxa8 Rxa8 would leave him with no defence to the coming attack] 21...Qd7 22 Qh4 Rac8 23 Rxc8 Rxc8 24 Bxe6 Qxe6 [With White's attack over Black takes the initiativel

25 b3 Qd7 26 Rd1 Qc6 27 Rd2 a4 28 Qg4 axb3? [More forcing was 28...a3 intending QC3 and Qalj 29 axb3 Ra8 30 Kdl Ra2 31 h 4 Rb 232 Qf3 Qa6 33 Rd 3 Qc6 34 Rd2 Qc3 35 Qg4 Qc6 36 Qf3 Rbl+ [Had Nokes not been so short of time he may have worked out that 36 Qf3 was the third repetition of the position and hence he could claim a drawl 37 Ke 2 Rcl [At last Anderson gets on the right track, and wins a pawnl 38 Rd5 Rxc2+ 39 Bd2 Qa6+ 40 Qd3 Qc8 41 Kf1 Rb2 42 Qf3 Qc2 $43 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 1+44$ Kg2 Rd1 45 Qe2 Qbl 46 Rg 3 Rh1 [This penetration is decisive] 47 Qc4 Rxh4 48 Kf 3 Kg 749 Ke 3 Rf 450 f 3 Qdl 51 Qd5 Bxg5 52 Kf2 Bh4! 53 Bxf4 Qxd5 54 Bh6+ Kxh6 55 exd5 Kh5, 0-1.
NOKES - P.VAN DIJK, Bishop's Opening: 1 e4 e5 2 Bc4 Nf6 3 d3 Nc6 4 Nc 3 Na 5 5 Nge2 Nxc4 6 dxc4 4 Be7 7 f3 d6 8 Be3 Be6 9 Qd3 Qd7 10 0-0-0 a6 11 Nd5 b5 12 Nec3 $0-0 \quad 13$ c5 Nxd5 14 Nxd5 dxc5 15 Qc3 b4 16 Nxe7+ Qxe7 17 Qxe5 f6 18 Qxc5 Qf7 19 Qxb4 Bxa2 20 Rd3 Rfb8
 Re3 c5 25 Qa3 Qa7 26 Be3 Qc7 27 Rxc5
 Bxc6 $34 \mathrm{Rc} 7 \mathrm{Bb} 7,1-0$.
JORDAN-PETRIE, Pirc Defence:
1 e4 d6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 5 Be3 0-0 6 Qd2 Re8 7 h 4 Nbd 78 Bh6 $c 59$ Nge2 cxd4 10 Nxd4 Ne5 $110-0-0$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { a6 } & 12 & \mathrm{~h} 5 & \text { e6 } & 13 & \text { hxg6 } & \text { fxg6 } & 14 & \mathrm{Bxg} 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Kxg7 } & 15 & \text { Qh6+ Kf7 } & 16 & \text { Be2 Rh8 } & 17 & \mathrm{f} 4 \\ \text { Nfg4 } & 18 & \text { Qh4 } & \text { Oxh4 } & 19 & \text { Rxh4 } & \text { g5 } & 20 \\ \text { fxe }\end{array}$ Nfg4 18 Qh4 Qxh4 19 Rxh4 g5 20 fxe5 $\mathrm{gxh4}$
$\mathrm{Bxg} 4,1-\mathrm{Rfl}$
I

Report by Vernon Small
Bxg4, $1-0$.

## HOWICK-PAKURANGA C.C. $\mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$

Results of the Club's 1984 Ch 'p:

$$
12345678901
$$

1 Walden G.J. $\quad \times \frac{1}{2} 10101111 \frac{1}{2} 1118$ 2 Spiller P.S. $\frac{1}{2} \times 101 \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} 1111 \frac{1}{2}$
 4 Metge J.N. $\quad \begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 / 2 \\ 0 & 6 / 2 / 2\end{array}$

7 Booth A.J. $000101 \times 01115$
8 Baumgartner R. $0 \frac{1}{2} 000 \frac{1}{2} 1 \times 01114$
9 Brumby T. $\frac{1}{2} 0001001 \times 013 \frac{1}{2}$
10 Fairley D. $000000001 \times 12$

We have not received any report to go with the table but we can observe that top seed Nigel Metge would have felt "at home" in the upset-ridden North Shore Club Ch'p reported earlier in this section.
B-grade scores: 1 P. Baldwin 9/12; 2 4 G.Cooling, J.Finke \& T.Smith 8; 5-6 I. Reid \& S.Varga 7; 7-8 P.D.McCarthy \& C.Gentil 5; 9 O.Lundin $4 \frac{1}{2} ; 10 \mathrm{C}$. Stelco 3; 11 A. Parkinson 2 $\frac{1}{2}$; 12-13 S. Delowe \& R.Paxman 1.
C-grade: 1 H.McLeod 71/2/9; 2 K.Plows $6 \frac{1}{2}$; 3 B. Turner 5; 4-6 C.Muston, A. Nicholl \& B.Staples $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 7 K.Morton 4 8 B. Foley $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 9 J.Whiting 3; 10 R. Maher $1 \frac{1}{2}$.

## UPPER HUTT 40-40

We only recently received the results of the DB 40-40 played in June.
The A-grade saw a tie for first place, Paul Garbett conceding a draw to Leonar McLaren and Anthony Ker drawing with Jonathan Sarfati, both in round three of theird pland his took third place, his other draw being with Wanganui's Paul Cooper who might well have won this game.
3 Scores: 1-2 P.A.Garbett \& A.F.Ker 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3 J.D.Sarfati 4; 4-10 G.J.Aldridge, S.A. Brown, B.A.Carpinter, P.A.Clemance, 3i, 11-15 R. J. Corry A. L.J.Mctaren Gordon, P.S.Spiller \& S.Yee 3; 16-19 T.J. Boswell, D. ''Boyle, A.Pomeroy \& P.W. Stuart $2 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 20-26$ D.S.Capper, Z.Frankel, J. B. Kay, M. F.Noble, R.M.O'Callaha, K. M Okey \& , M. Marner \& M. Wong $1 \frac{1}{2}$; 29 N. Goodhue, G. Foster R. Thg 112, 30-33 J.Adams, F G.M. Turner (withdrew) 0 .

The B-grade also saw a tie - betwe Ralph Hart and C.McDermott who each scored $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$. Four players tied for third place on 4 points: A.Boughen, A.Drake, A.Grkow \& D.Heremaia. Next, on $3 \frac{1}{2}$, were A.Srkow \& D. Heremaitchell, M.T.Sims \& R.J.Wood.

The C-grade was played in two sections, G.Lezard winning section 1 with $5 / 5$ ahead of S.Aburn and N. Turner on $4 \frac{1}{2}$. The second section was won by D. Clinton and M.Dwight - who both scored 5/5! A mammoth 154 players took part in this popular one-day tournament.

## NATIONAL RATING LIST, 1 November

Events rated since the last published list ( $1 / 5 / 84$ ) are: Auckland Easter (A, B, C, D), Civic Easter, Otago Easter, N.Z. Junior Ch'p, North Island Ch'p, Wellington Queen's Birthday Weekend Tournament (A, B, C), North Shore C.C. Rated Tournament (A, (A, B), Christchurch C.C. Ch'p Tournament (A) and Tawa Labour Weekend Tournament (A).
All players active within the last two years are included on the list. Asterisks denote provisional ratings based on fewer than 25 games.
This Rating List was produced with the valued assistance of Sirius Systems Ltd, whose computer and software were used.

| 1 | Garbett P.A. | 2386 | 48 | Alexander B.J | J. 1964 | 95 | Cribbett P.F. | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Sarapu 0. | 2344 | 49 | Power P.W. | 1962 | 96 | Stephenson J.R. | 1823 |
| 3 | Sutton R.J. | 2343 | 50 | Spiller T.W.L. | 1958 | 97 | Ong R. | 1804 |
| 4 | Small V.A. | 2338 | 51 | Turner G.m. | 1958 | 98 | Knightbridge W . | 1800 |
| 5 | Smith R.W. | 2311 | 52 | van Dyk T. | 1957 | 99 | Drake A.S. | 1797 |
| 6 | Nokes R.I. | 2294 | 53 | Hawkes P.D. | 1956 | 100 | Forbes G. | 1793* |
| 7 | Watson B.R. | 2272 | 54 | Marshall C.J. | 1952 | 101 | Adams J. | 1786 |
| 8 | Green E.M. | 2263 | 55 | Walden G.J. | 1948 | 102 | Hart R . | 1785 |
| 9 | Gollogly D.A. | 2261 | 56 | Scott M.J. | 1947* | 103 | van der Hoorn M. | 1785* |
| 10 | Levene M. | 2246 | 57 | Hopewell $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$. | 1945 | 104 | Boswell T.J. | 1784 |
| 11 | Ker A.F. | 2224 | 58 | Weber E. | 1932* | 105 | Robinson J.P. | 1778 |
| 12 | Green P.R. | 2213 | 59 | van Ginkel J.P. | 1930 | 106 | Reid Andrew V. | 1775 |
| 13 | Sarfati J.D. | 2204 | 60 | Cooper D.J. | 1930 | 107 | Carter G. | 1774 |
| 14 | Sharko A.V. | 2186* | 61 | Vetharaniam P. | 1930 | 108 | Roundill R.L | 1773 |
| 15 | Stuart P.W. | 2181 | 62 | Cater J.E. | 1922 | 109 | Frankel $Z$. | 1772 |
| 16 | Hopewell M.G. | 2181 | 63 | Hampl M. | 1921 | 110 | Notley D.G | 1771 |
| 17 | Clemance P.A. | 2177 | 64 | Nijman A.J. | 1920 | 111 | Nijman B. | 1770 |
| 18 | Dowden R.A. | 2172 | 65 | Foster F. | 1913 | 112 | Lukey S. | 1765* |
| 19 | Chye M. | 2165* | 66 | Wilson M.C | 1911 | 113 | Borren A.M. | 1757 |
| 20 | Lloyd A.J. | 2163 | 67 | Kaspar W. | 1911* | 114 | Poor R.L. | 1755 |
| 21 | Metge J.N. | 2162 | 68 | Norton W. | 1907 | 115 | Vetharaniam K. | 1751* |
| 22 | Love A.J. | 2157 | 69 | Wheeler B. | 1902 | 116 | Boyd K.M. | 750 |
| 23 | Anderson B.R. | 2155 | 70 | Haase G.G. | 1895 | 117 | Wilkinson E. | 1750 |
| 24 | Leonhardt W. | 2149 | 71 | Yee S . | 1893 | 118 | Stracy D.M. | 1746 |
| 25 | Weir P.B. | 2147 | 72 | Field D.w. | 1892 | 119 | Sims I.M. | 1743 |
| 26 | Bates G.T.H. | 2140 | 73 | Spencer-Smith G | G. 1892 | 120 | Booth A.J. | 1743 |
| 27 | Freeman M.R. | 2128 | 74 | Leese M. | 1891 | 121 | Goodhue N. | 1741 |
| 28 | Cornford L.H. | 2121 | 75 | Lynn K.W. | 1889 | 122 | Mitchell R.S. | 1737 |
| 29 | McLaren L.J. | 2096 | 76 | Corry R.J. | 1885 | 23 | Metge K.M. | 1734 |
| 30 | Jackson J.R. | 2076 | 77 | Feasey R.A. | 1883* | 124 | Thomson O.N | 1732 |
| 31 | Noble M.F. | 2067 | 78 | Whitehouse L.E. | 1882 | 125 | Fleming $M$. | 1730 |
| 32 | Spain G.A. | 2050 | 79 | Baldwin Paul A. | A. $1881^{*}$ | 126 | Hall M. | 1727** |
| 33 | Spiller P.S. | 2048 | 80 | Downan I.A. | 1872 | 127 | White M. | 1726 |
| 34 | Dive R.J. | 2048 | 81 | Wigbout M. | 1871 | 128 | Okey K.M. | 1723 |
| 35 | Gibbons R.E. | 2045 | 82 | Goffin P.B. | 1867 | 129 | van Dam S. | 1722 |
| 36 | Jackson R.E. | 2037* | 83 | Roberts M.H. | 1864 | 130 | Wadde M.H. | 1722 |
| 37 | Feneridis A. | 2034 | 84 | Rawnsley L.D. | 1861 | 131 | Brown S.A. | 1722 |
| 38 | Steadman M.V.R. | 2034 | 85 | Martin B.M. | 1853 | 132 | Monrad P.G. | 1722 |
| 39 | Carpinter B.A. | 2028 | 86 | Jackson I. | 1851* | 133 | Whitlock H.P. | 1721 |
| 0 | van Dijk P. | 2027 | 87 | Taylor R. | 1850 | 134 | Lake C. | 1721* |
| 1 | Aldridge G.J. | 2027 | 88 | Marsick B.H.P. | 1842 | 135 | Bridges N.P. | 1717 |
| 2 | Fitzpatrick S.P. | 2025 | 89 | Marner G. | 1839* | 136 | Strevens C.M. | 1716 |
| 3 | Hensman P.J. | 2013 | 90 | Ion G.J. | 1835 | 137 | Ker C.M. | 1714 |
| 4 | O'Boyle D. | 2005* | 91 | Cooper P.R. | 1834 | 138 | Hoskyn G.A. | 1713 |
| 5 | Walsh B.G. | 2003 | 92 | Brimble M.T. | 1831 | 139 | Wilson R.T. | 1713 |
| 6 | White P. | 1989* | 93 | Foord M.R.R. | 1830 | 140 | Cornelissen R. | 1712 |
| 4 | Weegenaar D.P. | 1980 | 94 | Dreyer M.P. | 1830 | 141 | Jordan A.W. | 1712 |

                        Stretch W.R. 1705
    Sne1son P.R. 1701
    \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Thompson A. } & 1701 \\ \end{array}\)
    Jones C. 16. 1701
    Wood R.J. 1695
    Kay J.B. 1694
    Whitehouse C. 1692*
    Tangiav J. 1691*
    Grevers L.P. 1687
    Johnstone R. B. 1685
    Collins P.W. 1685
    Gloistein B. 1682
    Hartley J. 1676
    \begin{tabular}{lll} 
    Shuker R. \& 1675 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

    \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Smith V.J. } & 1675\end{array}\)
    Capper D.S. 1667
    Williams B.M. 1661
    Weston R.M. 1661*
    Clements T.C. 1659
    Bojtor J. 1655
    Bell C.M. 1653
    Aandahl V. 1650*
    Mullan A.B. 1648
    Connor B.P. 1648
    Boughen A. 1646
    Baumgartner R. 1646
    Cunningham P.D. 1644
    Martin-Buss B. 1638
    Boswell W.J. 1638*
    Clinton D. 1637*
    Davies G. 1636
    Goodwillie C. 1620*
    Turner M.G. 1617
    Gifford-Moore D. 1613
    Morrison M.K. 1612
    Lannie R.M. 1611
    Dunn P. 1611
    \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Trundle G.E. } & 1610 \\ \end{array}\)
    Kelly Stephen \(1610^{*}\)
    Mathieson J.S. 1608
    Grkow A. 1608
    Sims M. T. 1607
    Bennett P.E. 1606
    Powell J.R. 1601*
    McIntosh A.D. 1600
    McKenzie P. 1600*
    Mears G.W. 1597
    Bennell D.J. 1597
Ferguson R.T 1596
Ferguson R.T. 1595
Scott D. 1594*
Brumby T.J. 1591*
Jackson Ross 1589*
Sareczky G. 1587
Spencer-Smith P 1581
Morgan B. ${ }^{1581^{*}}$
Houpt R ${ }^{1581^{*}}$
Petch W.H. $\quad 1577$
 Byford C.
Stanton R.A 1577

1573 Low D. $1572^{\text {1 }}$ Herbert J. 1570* Steel R.G. 1565 Banks G.B. 1564 Banks G.B. 1564 Rowland N. 1562* 211 Aldridge A.L. 1559 212 Morse D.E. 1557* 213 Beesley R. 1556 214 Sinton P.J. 1555 215 King P.C. 1553 216 Henderson A.J. 1551 217 Stewart M.I. 1551 218 Craigie A.B. 1546* 219 Raines T. 1544 220 Finke J. 221 Billing J. 1540* | McCormick | R. | $1536^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llll}223 & \text { Bell D.I. } & 1529 \\ & 1529\end{array}$ 224 Price A.J. $1529^{\star}$ 225 Sangster A. 1527* 226 Kelly Simon 1524* 227 Bradley N.A. 1522 228 O'Connor J.A. 1516 229 Young P. 1515* $\begin{array}{lll}230 & \text { Hampton R. } & 1514 \\ 231 & \text { Jones L. R. } & 1512^{*}\end{array}$ 232 Jamsay W. 233 Nokes J. 234 Lamb D.I. Blundell Jones H. Talaic $L$. Wilcock P. $150{ }^{\text {* }}$ Petrie B. 1492 Petrie $\quad$ B

Smith $T$. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Simpson } \\ \text { Galdwin } & \text { Pat }\end{array}$ Baldwin Pat Turner G. Owens $\qquad$ 1482* Owens N 1478* Brownlee L.R. 1477* $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Calder R.J. } & 1469 \\ \text { Atkinson I. } & 1469\end{array}$ Atkinson I.E. 1469
Par1ane L.N. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Parlane L.N. } & 1468 \\ \text { Glass B.D. } & 1468 *\end{array}$ Glass B.D. Blatchford J. Sievey J.C. 1468* Levy R Brett K.W. Gales A.E. Wang S . Capie M. Allen E.G. Hipkins B. Oldridge 262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321 Chandler er J.
der
d.
$1438^{*}$
$1437 *$ 264 Alexander G. 1437** $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Alexander G. } & 1436 \text { * } \\ \text { Bennett D. } & 1435\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Bennett D. } & 1435 \\ \text { Schwass } & \text { M.P. } & 1429\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Schwass M.P. } & 1429 \\ \text { Dowler J. } & 1429^{\star}\end{array}$ 267 Dowler J. 1429*
1428 269 Edwards D.W. ${ }_{1422^{*}}^{1428}$ 270 Ward C.
C.
M.
M. 1422**
271 Buis M.o. $1421^{*}$
272 Stiles A.D. $1420^{*}$
273 Brown B.
$274 \begin{array}{lll}\text { Brown B. } & 1417\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}75 & \text { McCarthy P.D. } 1417^{*} \\ \text { Martin S.C. } & 1416\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}276 & \text { McCarthy T.R. } & 1414\end{array}$
277 Schofield G.S. 1413
278 Uszakiewicz G. 1413*
$\begin{array}{lll}279 & \text { Martin L.M. } & { }^{2} 1412\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}280 & \text { Martin L.M. } & 1412 \\ \text { Takhar R. } & 1411\end{array}$
281 Phillips J. 1410
282 Stewart B.K. 1410
283 Rudkins L.R. 1409
$\begin{array}{llll}284 & \text { Rudkins } & \text { L.R. } & 1409 \\ & \text { Jreston } & 1406\end{array}$
285 McAllister S. 1406*
$\begin{array}{llll}285 & \text { McAllister S. } & 1406^{*} \\ 286 & \text { Savage B. } & & 1403^{*}\end{array}$
287 Parkinson A. 140 B $^{*}$
288 Winsor B.M. 1400
289 Cole G. 1300*
290 Freeman B.W. 1396*
291 Bartocci K.D. 1393
292 Dunwoody M.L. 1384
293 Mueller H. ${ }^{2}$ 1384*
$\begin{array}{lll} & \text { Mueller H. } & 1384^{*} \\ \text { Booth S.L. } & 1383\end{array}$
295 Sutherland J.L. 1383
296 Varga S. J.L. 1382
$\begin{array}{lll}297 & \text { Grace K. } & 1382^{*} \\ \text { K. }\end{array}$
298 Edwards R. 1381 1379*
299 Stynman F. $\quad 1379$ *
300 Healey R.
301 Cook F
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Cook F. } & 1371 \\ \text { MacLean G.D. } & 1368 * \\ \text { 136 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Turnean A.D. } & 1363^{*} \\ \text { Ther } \\ \text { 1361* }\end{array}$
Thorby A. 1361*
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Thorby B. } & 1356 \\ \text { Bird C }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Bird C. } & 1355^{*} \\ \text { Duhs A. } & 1353^{*}\end{array}$
Watson M.J. 1353*
Winso
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Winter W. } & 1350 \\ \text { Gilberd } & 1348\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gilberd J. } & 1348 \\ \text { Simnens } \\ \text { J. } & 1348^{*}\end{array}$
Simmons J.R. 1347
Lang1ey D.B. 1347*
Byfield C. 1343*
13 Hofsteede J. 1341
314 Edmonds L.G. 1340
315 Borovskis J. 1340
316 McClory J.J.C. 1336*
317 Johnstone A.J. 1333*
$\begin{array}{ll}319 \text { McNally I. } 1331 * \\ \text { Harris K. } \\ & 1324\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}320 & \text { Stelco C. } & 1324 \\ 321 & \text { C. } & \text { 1322* }\end{array}$

| 322 | Robbie C.G. | 1320 | 351 | Skurr P. | 1245* | 380 | Keith J.G. | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 323 | Copp J. | 1318* | 352 | Clinton J. | 1239* | 381 | Carthew B. | $1088{ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| 324 | Hansen L. | 1317* | 353 | Dah1 G. | 1236* | 382 | Newman D.A. | 1087* |
| 325 | Rubini B. | 1316* | 354 | Pengelly R.A. | 1235* | 383 | Sorel M. | 1079* |
| 326 | Chang A.R. | 1310 | 355 | Astin J. | 1230* | 384 | Hay V. | 1072 |
| 327 | Shields P. | 1306* | 356 | Newman B. | 1228 | 385 | Weston R. | 1070* |
| 328 | van der Mey P . | 1300 | 357 | Hill S.D. | 1227 | 386 | Blaxall N | 69* |
| 329 | Scott R.J.L. | 1299* | 358 | Lancaster C. | 1227* | 387 | Walker C. | 1065* |
| 330 | Gibb J.L. | 1298* | 359 | Hemela J. | 1223 | 388 | Cooling G. | 1059* |
| 331 | Aburn S. | 1295 | 360 | Archer A. | 1223* | 389 | Haase P. | 1041* |
| 332 | Anderson G. | 1294 | 361 | Treanor S.R. | 1203* | 390 | Blaxall C. | 1005* |
| 333 | Watson Denise | 1294* | 362 | Jones G.M. | 1202 | 391 | Stevenson | 1000* |
| 334 | Meader A.J. | 1294* | 363 | Pacitto D. | 1199* | 2 | Jenk inson K. | 962* |
| 335 | McRae J. | 1294* | 364 | Cameron D. | 1197 | 393 | Haynes S.P. | 945* |
| 336 | Thomas M. | 1293* | 365 | Rawnsley D.C. | 1186 | 394 | Smeed J. | 41* |
| 337 | Gordon M.A. | 1288 | 366 | Macri I. | 1172* | 395 | McDonald D. | 40* |
| 338 | Johnson Q. | 1285* | 367 | Choat S.A. | 1162* | 396 | Malcouronne A. | 05* |
| 339 | Ware M. | 1282* | 368 | Peddie W.S. | 1161* | 97 | Totton F. | $898 *$ |
| 340 | Morris I. | 1273* | 369 | Saipe A.M. | 1158* | 398 | McQuinlan G.M. | 884* |
| 341 | Gonin R.C.E. | 1271*** | 370 | Raynes $P$. | 1154* | 399 | Urquhart T. | 855* |
| 342 343 | Foley B. Strickett R, | 1270* | 371 372 | MacKay M. | 1152* | 400 | Freeman J. | $831 *$ |
| 343 344 | Strickett R.L. | 1262 | 372 373 | Stubberfield W. | W. 1149* | 401 | Turner B . | 769* |
| 345 | Williams R.G. Shields J.A. | 1262 | 373 374 | Webber C.H. | 1147 | 402 | Boyd D.J. | 743* |
| 346 | Aimers C. | 1257* | 375 | Mowat R.J. | 1144* | 403 404 | Candy W. | 723* |
| 347 | Thorne G. | 1255 | 376 | Harron G. | 1134* | 404 | Ker S. ${ }_{\text {Savage }}$ D. | 711** |
| 348 | Hoolihan N . | 1254* | 377 | Tomlin A. | 1127* | 406 | Meyer D. | 541* |
| 349 | Scott H.M. | 1249* | 378 | Cole N . | 1126* | 407 | Walklin P.D. | 528* |
| 350 | Jones S. | 1245* | 379 | Cooper | 1116* |  |  |  |

## HOWICK-PAKURANGA OPEN

SPONSORED BY PAPATOETOE GLASS CO.
VENUE - Pakuranga Cultural Community Centre.

DATES - 23rd and 24th February 1985.

PRIZES - First $\$ 150$, second $\$ 125$, third $\$ 100$ PLUS five grades with prizes of $\$ 30$ and $\$ 15$ in each grade.

FORMAT - Seven-round Swiss (four rounds on the Saturday and three rounds on the Sunday) with each player having one hour to complete the game.

ENTRIES - Entry fee is \$12. Entries close on Thursday 21st February 1985 although late entries at $\$ 14$ will be accepted. Check-in time 8:45am.

CONTACT - For further information - Tony Booth, 14 Nelson Street, Howick, phone 534-6392 (evenings).

NOTE: It is hoped to increase sponsorship and therefore prize money to a higher level than advertised, so intending participants may take the above figures to be a minimum.

## GAMES

The sixth game of the World Championship match in Moscow saw Anatoly Karpoy take a 2:0 lead in the race to be first to win six games. Notes are by the Editor.

## G. KASPAROV - A. KARPOV

Queen's Indian Defence
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 g 3
Over the last few years Kasparov has had a string of impressive wins on the white side of the variation with 4 a3 but he came to grief with it in the first match game against Viktor Korchnoi in their Candidates semi-final. As Karpov frequently plays the black side of the Queen's Indian, both camps have doubtless spent much time on this opening. In the first 18 games of the match Kasparov only once played 4 a3 - in game 10 which was drawn in 15 moves.
4...Ва6 5 b3 Bb4+ 6 Bd 2 Be 7

A frequent motif in this opening. It may appear that Black is losing time by moving his bishop twice but this is not so as White's bishop cannot be regarded as developed on d2. There is the further point that the white queen's action on the d-file is temporarily blocked so that the thematic thrust $\mathrm{d} 4-\mathrm{d} 5$ is ruled out for a while.
7 Bg2 0-0!?
Usual here is $7 \ldots \mathrm{Bb} 7$ but Karpov's pre-game analysis must have convinced joinder to moves of the Nf3. Black, in this game at least, thus saves a tempo in his development compared to the normal line.

8 0-0 d5 9 Ne5 c6 10 Bc3 Nfd7 11 Nxd7 Nxd7 12 Nd2 Rc8 13 e4 b5 14 Rel dxc4 15 bxc4 Nb6 16 cxb5 cxb5 17 Rc 1 Ba3

With this move the black pieces begin a migration to the a -file.

18 Rc2 Na4 19 Bal Rxc2 20 Qxc2 Qa5
Such an unusual position deserves a diagram (top, next column).
with his queenside pawn majority,
Black's chances lie in the endgame. White, on the other hand, will play for d4-d5 driving a wedge into the black
position and gaining counterplay. The black pieces do not look well placed to counter White's designs but the Worl Champion assesses the possibilities accurately.


21 Qd1 Rc8 22 Nb3 Qb4 23 d5 exd5 24 exd5 Nc3
Due to the veiled attack on the Rel, this threatens to win the pawn on a2 and it would seem that White is forced part with one of his bishops when black's endgame prospects would be further enhanced. Kasparov, however, decides to jettison the pawn in return for counterplay based on the advance of the d-pawn.
25 Qd4!? Qxd4 26 Nxd4 Nxa2 27 Nc6 Bc5 28 Bh3 Ra8 29 Bd 4 ! Bxd4 30 Nxd4 Kf8 31 d6?
But here Kasparov overplays his hand Instead he should have settled for a draw by 31 Ral!, e.g. 31...Nb4 32 Nc6 Nxc6 33 dxc6 Bc8 34 Bxc8 Rxc8 35 Rxa7 Rxc6 36 Rb 7 and, with his rook ideally placed behind Black's passed pawn, White should be able to hold the position.
31...Nc3 32 Nc6 Bb7 33 Bg2 Re8 34 e5 f6

The threat was Nd7+ followed by mate Now, after 35 Nd7+ Kf7 36 Rxe8 Kxe8 37 Nxf6+ gxf6 $38 \mathrm{Bxb} 7 \mathrm{Kd7}$, the queenside pawns force the win while 35 Bxb7 Rxe5 is also hopeless for White.
$35 \mathrm{~d} 7 \mathrm{Rd} 836 \mathrm{Bxb} 7 \mathrm{fxe} 5 \quad 37 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \mathrm{Ke} 7 ?$
Vernon Small points out that 37...e4 is much stronger, giving the variation 38 f3 Ke7 39 fxe4 Kd6 40 e5+ Kc6 41 e6 Nd5 42 e7 Nxe7 43 Rxe7 Rxd7 winning easily. Here 38 Rcl fails to $\mathrm{Ne} 2+$ while 38 Kg 2 is too slow: $38 . . \mathrm{Ke} 739$ Rcl b4 40 Ral Kd6 and the d-pawn falls.

## 38 Bxb5!

Thus White regains his pawn by a simple tactical stroke and has good
38...Nxb5 39 Rxe5+ Kxd7 40 Rxb5 Kc6 41 Rh5 h6 42 Re5 Ra8!

The sealed move with the logical aim of ramming the a-pawn as far forward as possible to immobilise the white rook.
$43 \mathrm{Ra} 5 \mathrm{~Kb} 644 \mathrm{Ra} 2 \mathrm{a} 5 \quad 45 \mathrm{Kfl}$ a4 46 Ke2 Kc5 47 Kd 2 a 3 Kl

The natural 48 Kc 3 actually loses quickly: 48...Rf8 49 f4 (49 Rxa3 Rf3+ \& 50...Rxa3 wins easily) 49...Re8 50 $\mathrm{kd} 3 \mathrm{Kb4}$. The text aims to "change the guard" on a2, releasing the rook for kingside counterplay.

## 48...Kd4 49 f4 Ke4 $50 \mathrm{~kb} 1 \mathrm{Rb} 8+!?$

The alternative $50 \ldots$...Kf3 would allow the rook to escape its confinement by 51 Rd2
$51 \mathrm{Ka1} \mathrm{Rb} 252$ Rxa3 Rxh2 53 Kbl Rd2 54 Ra6
Or 54 Ra 7 g 5 !
54...Kf5 $55 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 56 \mathrm{Ra} 6 \mathrm{~g} 4$ !


57 Rxh6?
Kasparov errs gravely on the first move after the second time control. As Averbakh points out, this is one time where passive defence is the right course of action - the move to hold the raw is 57 Ra3! As the manoeuvre Rf2-f3 is now too slow (the white king gets back) Black has to try h5-h4, e.g. 57 Ra3! h5 58 Kc 1 ! Rf2 $59 \mathrm{Kdl} \mathrm{h} 4 \quad 60$ gxh4 Kxf4 61 Kel g 362 h 5 ! Rh2 63 Kfl drawing. After the move played precise technique garners the whole point for Karpov.
57...Rg2 58 Rh5 +

Or $58 \mathrm{Kc} 1 \mathrm{Rxg} 359 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Rh} 3!60$ Ra 6 Kxf4 $61 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Ra} 4 \mathrm{Rh}$ l winning.
58...Ke4 59 f5 Rf2! 60 Kcl Kf3 61


68 Rh3+ Kg4 69 Rh8 Rf4 70 Ke2 Rxf5, $0-1$.

The following game, from Lvov 1984 was annotated by International Master J.Klovans specially for New Zealand Chess. His notes include extensive coverage of theory in an interesting line of the Ruy Lopez.
J.J. KLOVANS - V.P. MALANIUK Ruy Lopez
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4

The logical follow-up to Black's 5th move. Other moves are in White's favour: (a) $6 \ldots$ Bc5 7 Nxe5!; (b) $6 \ldots$ Nxe4 7 Nf6 8 Bxc6 dxc6 9 Nxe5; (c) 6. Be7 7 a4!; (d) 6...d6 7 c3 but not 7 Ng 5 because of $7 \ldots . . \mathrm{d} 58$ exd5 Nd4

7 Rel
One of many continuations in this position. Alternatives are: (a) 7 d 4 Nxd4 8 Nxd4 exd4 9 e5 (9 c3 Nxe4!?] Ne4 10 Qh5!? Of course $10 \mathrm{c} 3,10$ Qf3 or even 10 Rel secure White a slight adeven vantage; (b) 7 c3 Nxe4 for 7 ...h6!? 8 d4 d6 9 Rel g6!? as in Kinnmark-Zinn, d4 d6 9 Rel g6l? as in Kinnmark-Zinn, dxe5 Na5 11 Bc2 $0-012$ Nbd2 Nc4 13 Qe2!; (c) 7 d3 Be7 [Also possible is $7 \ldots$...Bc5 8 Nc3 d6] and now either 8 c 4 or 8 a 4 8 NC3 d6] and now either 8 c4 or 8 a4
secures White a better game; (d) 7 Nc 3 secures White a better game; (d) 7 Nc 3 8 Nxe5 Nxb3 9 axb3 b4 10 Na5 Nxe4 11 23!] 8 d3 0-0 9 Bd2 d6 10 Nd5 Nd7 11 a4.
7...Bc5

This is better than 7... Be7 when White can play 8 d4! Nxd4 9 Nxd4 exd4 10 e5 Ne4 11 Qg4 etc.
8 c 3
But not 8 Nxe5 because of Nxe5 9 d4 Ny 4 ! 10 Re2 Bb6 11 h3 Qf6 12 hxg4 Bxd4 $13 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Qb6}$ as in the game PavlenkoBelokurov 1961.
8...Bb6

A tricky position. If, instead of 8 . Bb6, Black plays 8...Ng4 then 9 d4 exd4 10 h 3 ! and $10 \ldots \mathrm{Nxf} 2$ leads to nothing: 11 Kxf2 dxe3+ 12 Kg 3 Bd6+ 13 e5 etc. Black can try 8...0-0 9 d4 Bb6 while 8...Qe7 allows White a strong
initiative after 9 d4 Bb6 10 a4 b4 11 Bd5!

## $9 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 10 \mathrm{Bg} 5$

10 Nh4?! has also been tried here: 10 ..Ne7 11 Q£3 h6 12 Nd 2 and White has a nice attack. 10 Be3 does not give White anything after either $10 \ldots 0-0$ or 10 ... exd4.
10...h6 11 Bh4 Qd7

After 11...0-0 12 a4 [or 12 Qd3 Qe7 13 Nbd2 Kh8 14 Nfl Rg8 with complications. Of course, Black could play 12 ...Na5 13 Bc2 c5 14 d5 forcing the game into a more positional spherel $12 \ldots \mathrm{e} 7$ or $12 . . . \mathrm{Re} 8$ or $12 \ldots$...exd 4 or even $12 .$. g5 Black has some counterplay. Maybe the right way for Black is to proceed with 11...Qe7 12 a4 0-0-0!?

12 a4 0-0-0 13 axb5 axb5


14 Bxff
Malaniuk and J. are of different opinions about this variation and we have contested this position before. In that game (Moscow, 1981) I played 14 Na 3 g 5 15 Bg 3 h 5 for 15...exd4 16 Nxb5 Rde8 17 Nbxd4 Nxd4 18 Nxd4 Nxe4 19 Ba4 \& White has an edge] 16 dxe5 [A game YudasinMalaniuk went 16 h4 gxh4 17 Bxh4 Rh6 18 dxe5 Nxe5 19 Nxe5 dxe5 20 Qxd7 + Rxd7 and White did not achieve anythingl 16
..h4 17 exf hxg 18 hxg 3 Rh6 19 Bd5 TThe pawn capture 19 Nxb 5 is not advisable because of 19...Ne5 20 Nbd4 Nxf3+ 21 Nxf3 Rdh8 $22 \mathrm{Kf1}$ Qb5t 23 C4 Qc5 24 Re3 Rxff or 20 Nxe5 Rdh8 21 Kfl Qxb5t 22 Nd3 Rhlt 23 Ke2 Rxelt 24 Qxe1 Qxb3 19...Rdh8 $20 \mathrm{Kfl} \operatorname{Rxf} 621 \mathrm{Nxb5} \mathrm{Qg} 4!22$ Nbd4 lother moves give Black good chances for success: 22 Ke 2 Rh 2 ; if 22 Qd3 then Ne5; if 22 Ra4 then Qh5] 22.. Nxd4 23 cxd4 Rhl+ 24 Ke2 Bxd5 25 exd5 [25 Rxhl? Qxe4t 26 Kfl g $427 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Kd7}$ 28 Nh4 Rxflat $25 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{e}_{4}+26 \mathrm{Kd} 2$ Rxel 27 Qxel Qxelt 28 Kxel g 429 Nh2 Rh6 30 Nf1 Rh5 and after a few more moves a draw was agreed.
14...gxf6 15 Bd5

This move was suggested by Karpov but not previously tried in an actual game. 15...Rhg8

In the game Klovans-Kozlov, Riga
1982, Black played 15...Rdg8 16 Khl Rh $17 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Rhg} 718 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Na} 719 \mathrm{Bxb} 7+\mathrm{Kxb} 720$ Nc2 Qg4 21 Qd3 f5 22 Nd2 fxe4 23 Nxe4 Qe6 24 Ne3 and White won.

16 Kh1 Ne7
In the game Klovans-Malaniuk, Nikolaev 1983, play continued $16 .$. f5 17 Na 3 fxe4 18 Rxe4 Qf5 19 Nxb5 exd4 20 c4 Qg6 (Diagram)


21 Nh4? Qf6 22 Qa4 d3 23 Bxc6? [Correct was 23 Nd4 Nxd4 24 Bxb7+ Kxb7 25 Qa6t Kc6 26 Qa4+ Kb7 but not 26...Kc5? because of $27 \mathrm{b4}+$ Kxc4 28 Qc6t Kb3 29 Rb1+ Ka2 30 Qc3] 23...Bxc6 $24 \mathrm{Na} 7+\mathrm{Bxa} 7$ 25 Qxe6 Qxb2 26 Reel and Black won. If instead of 26 Reel, White plays 26 Qa8+ Black wins after 26...Kd7 27 Qxa7 Ra8 28 Re7+ Kxe7 29 Qxc7+ Ke6 30 Rel+ Qe2.

Instead of 21 Nh4? White should play
21 g 3 . In my opinion Black has two
tries but in both instances White keeps the advantage: 21...Ne5 $22 \mathrm{Bxb} 7+\mathrm{Kxb} 7$ 23 Rxd4 Ra8 24 Rxa8 Rxa8 25 Nxe5 dxe5 26 Rd7, or $21 . . . \mathrm{Nb} 422$ Bxb7+ Kxb7 23 Rxd4 Ra8 24 Rxa8 Rxa8 25 Rd2 Qe4 26 Na3.

## $17 \mathrm{Bxb7}+\mathrm{Kxb} 718 \mathrm{Nbd} 2$

With the idea of protecting the weak g2 square, then occupying f5 and only then initiating the attack against the black king.

## 18...Ng6 $19 \mathrm{Nf} 1 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 20 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{f} 5$

Played to prevent White's occupation of $f 5$ with a knight.

21 exf5 e4 22 Nd2 Qc6 23 Qc2 Nd3 24 Rf1 d5 25 f3 h5 26 fxe4 dxe4 27 Nb3

Not 27 Radl on account of $27 \ldots$...Rge8 28 Nxe4 Nb4.
27...Qh6 28 Qe2 c6 29 c4! b4

Not 29...Bxd4 because of 30 Nxd4 Rxd4


32．．．Kb8
After 32．．．Kc8 White wins with 33 Nb6＋Bxb6［33．．．Kb8 34 f6 Bxh2 $35 \mathrm{Nxc6+}$ Kc7 36 a5 Nxc5 37 Qb5 Rh4 $38 \mathrm{Ra7+} \mathrm{Kd6}$ 39 Nc4＋Kxd5 40 Nxb4＋Kd4 41 Rd1＋Nd3 42 Nc2 mate］ 34 cxb6 Rdg8［34．．．Rxd4 35 f6 Rd6 36 Qc2 Rxf6 37 Qc4 Rxf1＋ 38 Rxf1 Rf4 39 Ral］ 35 Nc4！Rxg2 36 Ra8＋Kd7 37 ［36．．．Kb7 $37 \mathrm{Ra7}+\mathrm{Kb} 838 \mathrm{Rfal} \mathrm{Rg} 1+39$ Rxgl Rxgl＋ 40 Kxg1 Qc1＋ 41 Qf1 Qxc4 42 Rd7］ 37 Ne5＋！Nxe5 38 Ra7＋Kd8 39 Qxe4 etc．
33 f6 Bxh2
Black has no defence：33．．．Rdg8 34 Nxc6＋Kb7 35 d5 Rxg2［35．．．Nxc5 36 Na6＋］ 36 Ra7＋［Not 36 Qxe4？Rg1＋！ 37 Rxg1 Nf2 hate］ $36 . . \mathrm{Kc} 837 \mathrm{Nb} 6+$ Bxb6 38 cxb6 etc．
34 Nxc6＋Kc7 35 Kxh2 Rdg8 36 Ra7＋ Kxc6 $37 \mathrm{Na} 5+$ ， 1 － 0.
It is mate in a few moves，e．g． $37 .$. Kd5 38 Rd7＋Ke6 39 Rd6 mate，or $37 \ldots$ Kb5 $38 \mathrm{Rb} 7+$ Ka6 $39 \mathrm{Rb} 6+\mathrm{Ka} 740 \mathrm{Nc} 6+\mathrm{Ka} 8$ 41 Ral mate．

Played in the Auckland Chess Centre＇s recent Centennial Tournament，this game featured a 19 th century opening－as rtvin ticism！The notes are by Sarapu

A．SHARKO－0．SARAPU

## King＇s Gambit

1 e4 e5 2 f4 exf4 3 Nf 3 g 5
Surprised with the King＇s Gambit，I suddenly got the idea of continuing as in old times．
$4 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad 5 \mathrm{Ne} 5$
So we have the Kieseritzky Gambit
5．．．h5？！

And now，to my surprise，I found in the books that this line of play also has a name．It is the＂Long Whip＂vari－ ation！Best for Black here is 5．．．Nf6 （Keres）or 5．．．Bg7！（Kasparov／Keene）．

## 6 Bc4 Nh6

The alternative 6．．．Rh7 is mentioned only by Kasparov．The text variation is considered favourable for White by both Keres and Kasparov／Keene．

## 7 d4 d6 8 Nd3

The sacrifice 8 Nxf7 is not clear，and weaker than in the $6 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 7$ line．
8．．．f3 9 gxf 3
Keres mentions 9 g3 as an alternative but considers gxf3 stronger．
9．．．Be7
This also is not new．Keres gives 9 ．．．gxf3 as the main line although he considers it risky，mentioning 10 Qxf3 Bg4 11 Qf2 Qe7 and Bilguer（！）continues ere 12 etc with a winning position for White
10 Bg 5 ？！
Only here does the game depart from old book lines．Keres gives 10 Be 3 as best，meeting $10 . .$. Bxh4 + with 11 Kd 2 with advantage．After the text the posi－ tion becomes unclear but Black is still a pawn up．
10．．．Bxg5 11 hxg 5 Qxg5 12 f 4 Qg 7 13 c 3 Ng 8 ！？
The best chance for Black is to bring the knight back to defend the king and prepare the advance of the two passed prepars；remember the＂long whip＂？

## $14 \mathrm{Na3}$

White has a big lead in development and a beautiful centre for a pawn－but he must surely be worried about those two fast－running connected pawns．

## 14．．．h4！

And here they come！Black seems to be left－handed；all his right flank pieces are home resting．
15 Nb 5 Kd 816 Kd 2
On 16 Qa4 I hoped to be allowed to play 16．．．a6 17 Qa5？axb5 18 Qxa8 bxc4號 veloped pieces with the humble a－pawn．
16．．．a6 17 Na 3

Now that White is not threatening anything in particular，there is time to push the pawns again．
17．．．g3 $\quad 18$ f5 g2
On 18．．．h3 White could sacrifice his knight as the lesser evil by 19 Nf4 g2 20 Nxg2．
19 Rh3 Nf6 20 Nf2 Qg5＋ 21 Kc 2 d 5 ！
This breaks up the centre and allows the Bc8 into action．Black is still left－handed＂after 21 moves and the strange position attracted much comment from the other players．
［DIAGRAM］
22 Bd3 dxe4 23 Nxe4 Nxe4 24 Bxe4 Rg8！
Stronger than $24 \ldots$ ．．．Bxf5 as it threat－ ens to win a whole rook．

皿

## WORLD CH＇P continued

In a Tartakower Queen＇s Gambit，which Kasparov played just twice against Mage－ ramov in 1977，Karpov varied from the twelfth game on move 9 and rapid simpli－ fication led to an early draw．
KARPOV－KASPAROV，QGD Tartakower：
1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 Nf6 3 c4 e6 4 Nc3 Be7 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bh} 40-0 \quad 7$ e3 b6 8 Be 2 Bb 7
 cxd5 Nxd5 13 Nxd5 Bxd5 14 dxc5 Nxe5
 axb4 19 axb4 Bxb4 20 Bxe4 Bxe4 21 Qd4 Bxf3 22 Qxb4 Be2，$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ．

## GAME EIGHTEEN

26 October
Another Queen＇s Indian followed the sixteenth game until Karpov varied the development of his QN on move nine． After rapid simplification Kasparov forced a draw．At the end Black must capture gxf6 with perpetual check to follow as 22．．．Kh8 loses to 23 Nh5 f6 24 Rxd8 Rxd8 25 Nxf6．This was the 9th successive draw，a World Championship record previously held by Alekhine Capablanca 1927.
KASPAROV－KARPOV，Queen＇s Indian：
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 g3 Ba6 $5 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4+6 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{c} 688 \mathrm{Bc} 3$ d5 $9 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 10 \quad 0-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Rel}$ c5 12 e4 dxe4 13 Nxe4 Bb7 14 Nfg5 cxd4 18 Bxa Qc7 19 Nxf6＋Bxy6 17 Bxb 1 Ne4 Nb8 12 Qx6t Rad 20 Radl Qa8


Position after 21．．．d5
25 Qg1 Bxf5 26 Bxf5 Qxf5＋ 27 Rd3 Rg3 28 Radl Nc6 29 Nc4 Rxd3 30 Rxd3 Qfl 31 Rdl
Here 31 Qh2 glQ also wins for Black－ the checks soon run out
31．．．Qxg1 32 Rxgl h3 $33 \mathrm{Ne} 3,0-1$. White resigned in view of $33 \ldots \mathrm{H} 2$ ．

昷

## GAME NINETEEN

29 October
Karpov avoided the Tartakower by ex－ changing on $\mathrm{f} 6-$ just as occurred in the game Kasparov－Karpov，Moscow 1981．The ending was better for White but Kasparov drew with active play．
KARPOV－KASPAROV，Queen＇s Gambit：
$1 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3$ c4 e6 $4 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Be7}$ 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6 Bxf6 7 Qd2 Nc 68 e3 $0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 10 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{dxc} 411 \mathrm{Bxc} 4$ e5 $12 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Na} 7 \quad 13 \quad \mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Nxb} 5 \mathrm{axb} 5 \quad 15$ Bb 3 e $4 \quad 16$ Nd4 Bxd4 17 exd4 c $6 \quad 18$ dxc6 Oxd4 19 0－0 bxe6 20 0xc6 Bd7 21 Od5 Qxd4 19 0－0 bxc6 20 Qxc6 Bd7 21 Qd 5 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Qxd5 } & 22 & \text { Bxd5 } & \text { Ra6 } & 23 & \text { Rfdl Be6 } & 24 \text { a3 } \\ \text { Bxd5 } & 25 & \text { Rxd5 } \mathrm{Rb} 8 & 26 & \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{Ra} 4 & 27 & \mathrm{Rcd}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Re8 } & 28 & \mathrm{Kfl} 1 \mathrm{Rc} 2 & 29 & \mathrm{Rld} 2 \mathrm{Rxd} 2 \\ 30 & \text { Rxd2 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Re8 } \\ \text { Rc4 } & 31 & \mathrm{Kf} & \mathrm{Rc} 2 & \mathrm{~b} 4 \\ 32 \mathrm{Kdl} \text { bxa3 } 33 \mathrm{bxa3}\end{array}$ Re4 $\quad 31 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Ba}^{22} \mathrm{Kdl}$ bxa3 $33 \mathrm{bxa3}$
 $37 \mathrm{Ral} \mathrm{Kf7} 38$ a4 e3 39 Kc 3 Rd 840 fxe3 fxe3
$\mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Ra} 8 \quad 4 \mathrm{Rfl}+, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

GAME TWENTY
31 0ctober
The opening mimicry continued with today＇s game following game 13 for all but the last two moves．
KASPAROV－KARPOV，Symmetrical Engl ish：

 Bxg2 9 Kxg2 0－0 10 e4 Qc7 11 b3 Nxe4 12 Nxe4 Qe5 13 Qf3 Qxd4 14 Rbl ［14 Ba3 is game 137 $14 \ldots$ Qe 515 Bf4，$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ．
After 15．．．Qfs the exchange grab 100k Nc6 18 Ob7 G5！ 16 NC6 BxL6 17
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## INDIVIDUAL MATCHES

## Benjamin $v$ Short <br> Chandler v Sarapu

Chandler v Star readers
Christiansen v Reshevsky Hort v Miles
Karpov v Kasparov
Kasparov v Korchnoi
Kasparov v Sinyslov
evitina v Aleksandria

```
Levitina v Semenova Semenova v Ioselian

Spassky v Timman

\section*{TEAM MATCHES}

North Shore v Auckland

North Shore v Howick-Pakuranga
U. S.S.R v Rest of th

解 Waitemata v New Plymouth

\section*{TOURNAMENTS - LOCAL}

Al1-Wellington Ch'p
Auckland Chess Centre
Auckland C.C. Centennial
121
\(24^{*}\)
Auckland C.C. Centennial
Auckland Easter
Auckland Provincial Schoolpupil Ch' 96 Auckland Team Lightning Tournament Belton Memorial
Canterbury Schoolpupil Ch'p
Christchurch Chess Centre
Civic Chess Club
Civic Easter

Wellington Interclub
Wellington Queen's
Birthday Weekend
Winstone Tournament

TOURNAMENTS - OVERSEAS
Asian Cities Ch'p
Australian Ch'p 1983/84 57
Australian Junior Ch'p 5
Bath (BBC)
Bayamo
Beersheba
Biel
Bugojno
Cienfuegos
Dubai
Hastings 1983/8
Hong Kong
Hungarian Ch'p
Indonesia
Kuala Lumpur
Leningrad
London
Lugano
Neskaupstad
New York
Oakham
Oslo
Pernik
Reggio Emilia 1983/84
Reykjavik
Rome
Sarajevo
Stara Pazova
Tbilisi
Tunja
U.S. Ch'p
U.S.S.R. Ch'p

Wijk aan Zee
World Corre. Ch'p
Yugoslav Ch'p

GAMES - LOCAL
ANDERSON - M. Hopewell 120, Love 143, Sarfati 143 small 143
BURNDRED - Clinton 87
CHANDTER - Sarapu 27, star readers 53
Clemance - McLaren 46 Small 4, Stuart 12,
couling
COLLINS - A.Ker 80
Conn. - Martin 145
CORNFORD - A.Ker 5, Robin-

DIVE - Clemance (120), A. Ker 151, McLaren 122, M.Wilson 51

FITZPATRICK - Sharko 153 FORBES - P.Green 120 FREEMAN - P.van Dijk 1
GARBETT - Clemance 8 , Cornford 6, Gollogly 13, Weir 86
GIBBONS - Garbett 14
GOLLOGLY - Clemance 6, A. Ker 12, Lloyd 10
GREEN E. - Jackson 87 Power 146, Sarfati 12, Stuart 56
GREEN P. - Al exander 119 HAASE - Boyd 50, Love 50 HART - Banks 87, Dreyer 4
HOPEWELL M. - Garbett 82, P.Green 120, Whitehouse 86
HOPEWELL N. - Dreyer 91, McLaren 19, Sarapu 44
HUNTER - Norton 32
ION - Clemance 52, A.Ker 151
JACKSON I. - Hamp 151
JORDAN - Petrie 157
KER A. - Sarfati 120,
Small 11, Smith 107, Stuart 8
LLOYD - Nokes 143, Sarfati 142, Smal1 9
LOVE - Jordan 143
MARNER - A. Ker 122
Sarfati 122
MARTIN B. - A.Ker 144, Love 50, McIntosh 144, Watts 50
Mctaren - Clemance 80, Hawkes 52, Wheeler 20 MITCHELL - Dunn 81
NOBLE - Clemance 46, 48, Grkow 80, Yee 151
NOKES - Anderson 156, P. van Dijk 157, M.Wilson 143
novag constellation Bennett 81
OBINSON - Weir (149)
SARAPU - Chandler 27, Gollogly 11 , Kalogry 11, A.Ker
Caspar 86, Llayd
SARFAT - Clemance 45,
Trankel 121, Gal

Lloyd 13, Smith 11 SHARKO - Sarapu 164 Spain 148
SMALL - Gollogly 11, E.
Green 7, Nokes 143
SMITH - Cornford 11, Garbett 86, A.Ker 9, Small 6, Turner 120, Walden 85 SPAIN - Cornford 148 SPENCER-SMITH - Garbett 46 SPILLER P. - Baumgartner 44, Stephenson (149), 153
STEADMAN - Aldridge 20 STEPHENSON - P.Spiller 82 STUART - Garbett 9, L1oyd 5, Poor 86, Smith 13
VAN DYK T. - Gibb 49,
Steadman 19
WEBER - Rawnsley 148
WEIR - M. Hopewell 82,
Poor 85, Sarapu 154
WHEELER - Hensman 20
WHITEHOUSE - E.Green 85
WILKINSON - A.Ker 68
WILSON M. - Aldridge 20,
P. Cooper 145, Freeman

19, Small 143

\section*{GAMES - OVERSEAS}

AARON - Hon 40, Keene 35 ALburt - De Firmian 59 ANDERSSON - Chandler 73 APSENIEKS - Euwe 102 ARDIANSYAH - Fuller 40, Gunawan 40
BENJAMIN - Alburt 125
BELYAVSKY - Larsen 132, Seirawan 131
BYRNE - Christiansen 126
CHANDLER - Muir 35, Ribli
(74), Spee1man 75,
(74) (74), Vaganian (74)

CHRISTIANSEN - Reshevsky 95, Seirawan 126
CRAMLING P. - Arnason 59, Korchnoi 127
DE FIRMLAN - Alburt 125 DIAZ - Alzate 92
GARBETT - Benitez 35
GRUSHEVSKY - Shevelev 63 GRUSHEVSKY - Shevelev GUREVTCH Ching 41 HANDOKO - Shirazi 125
Zunian 40
n 40

HORT - Lobron 127 HROMADKA - Mattison 102 HUBNER - Ligterink 59 IVANOV I. - Aaron 35 JANOWSKI - Maroczy 100 JOHANSEN - Keene 35 KARPOV - Andersson 132, Chandler 73, Kasparov 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 165, Korchnoi 104, Miles 74 KASPAROV - Karpov 136,137, 138, 139, 161, 165, Korchnoi 1, 2, Smyslov 31,76 , Timman 132
KEENE - Murshed 36
KLOVANS - Malaniuk 162
Kurai - Kasparov 1, Kurajica 93, Miles 58, Nunn 74, Polugaevsky 131 KVEACEVIC - Timman 11 KVEINIS - Ivanovs 62 MFYER - Sprenkle 63 MTIES - Sprenkle 63 Nikolic 58, Polugae 75 Nikolic 58, Polugaevsky ThS
MURSHED - Rogers 36
NIKOLIC P. - Andersson 58, Korchnoi 58
PETROSIAN - Botvinnik 114, Guimard 113
POLUGAEVSKY - Seirawan 73, Speelman 105, Torre 103 PORTISCH - Pinter 93 RAVI SEKHAR - Chandler 36 RIBLI - Tal 117, Vaganian 132
SARAPU - Laird 41, Li Zunian 40 , Yoshiaki 40 SAX - Kestler 129 Shtrazi - Pet SMYSLOV - Kasparov 31, 76, Ribli 2, Torre 117 SOLOMON - Keene 36 SPEELMAN - Chandler 37 Fuller 35, Mirza 37 SPRAGGETT - Murshed 37,

Rogers 36
STEAN - Browne 128
THIPSAY - Ivanov 37
TIMMAN - Djuric 93, Karpov
73, Romanishin 93, Smys
lov 117
TORRE - Karpov 106
UMER KHAN - Solomon 36 VAGANIAN - Timman 74 VAN DER WIEL - van der
Sterren 59
VELIMIROVIC - Timman 93
UUJIC - Rojak 63

\section*{OPENINGS}

ALBIN COUNTER GAMBIT: 100 ALEKHINE DEF: \(85,146,153\) BENKO GAMBIT: 19,125,132, 143
BENONI: 36,59,74,81,143
BISHOP'S OPENING: 157
BOGO-INDIAN: 58,132
CARO-KANN DEF: 82,86,124, 127,132
CATALAN: 137
DUTCH DEF: 63,119
ENGLISH OPENING: 7,9,37 FOUR KNIGUTS:
FOUR KNIGHTS' GAME: 102
FRENCH DEF: 19,75,82,86 -
IUOCO PIANO: 62,122
GRUNFELD DEF: 31,50,104,
114,117
KING'S GAMBIT: 20,86,120 164
ING'S INDIAN DEF: 5,13, 35,40,41,120,125 MODERN DEF: 11,13,35,87, 107
IMZOINDIAN DEF: 6,44,58 73, 105, 106, 146, 147 NIMZOWITSCH DEF: 35 PIRC DEF: 5,9,11,13,35,36,

80,120,125, 126, 129, 147, 157
QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACC: 12, 13 QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED Cambridge Springs: 31,76 Exchange: 87; Lasker: 76, 120; Orthodox: 132, 165; Semi-Slav: 31,117, 144; Semi-Tarrasch: 93, 95; Tarrasch: 27,31,36, 37,45,52,73,76,122,137, 138; Tartakower: 138, 165; Other: 40,58,76, 113 'S
QUEEN'S INDIAN DEF: 50 , 56,58,74,126,136,138, 143,161,165
QUEEN'S PAWN: 20, 36,48, \(50,52,80,116,117,120,151\) RETI SYSTEM: 93,131,15 RICHTER-VERESOV: 8,36 143,153
RUY LOPEZ: 3,6,8,20,27, \(40,44,51,53,74,86,122\), 142,154,162
SCOTCH GAME: 73,117,145
SICILIAN DEF - Closed: 9, 41,44,46; Dragon: 12,62, 68,80,81,122; Kan: 49, 32,59 92, 128: Pelikan 32,59,92,128; Pelikan. 63, Rauzer: 6,11,27,37, 63, 85 135 137. Sozi 63,85,135, 137, Sozin. 144, 156; Taimanov: 12, 11,40: \(\mathrm{f} 4: 68\), 2 c 0ther: 10 40 \(82,126,143\) Other: 10, IV DEF:
SLAV DEF: 35,36,58,10 SOKOLSKY OPENING: 7 TROMPOVSKY ATTACK: 51 TWO KNIGHTS' DEF: 67,148 VIENNA GAME: 102

\section*{CLUB DIRECTORY}

Details of the advertising rates for this column can be found on the inside front cover.

AUCKLAND CHESS ASSOCIATION: Contacts - President, Robert Gibbons, phone 864-324; Secretary, Robert Smith, 10 Lendic Ave, Henderson, Auckland 8, phone 836-8555. AUCKLAND CHESS CENTRE: Meets Mondays \& Thursdays at clabroons, 17 Cromwell Street, Mt Eden, phone 602-042. Contacts - Simon Fitzpatrick, phone 601-515; Lindsay Cornford, phone 674-705 (Res) or \(276-7154\) (Bus). Visitors welcome.

HOWICK-PAKURANGA C.C. meets Tuesdays 7:30 pm (children 6:30 to 7:30) at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Commity Complex, Howick. Contact - Claude Stelco, 9 Tangelo Flace, Bucklands Beach, Auckland, phone 534-1503.
NORTH SHORE C.C. meets Wednesdays \(7: 30\) pm (tournament \& casual play) in St Josephs Old Church Hall, cnr Anzac St/Taharoto Rd, T'puna. Postal address: P.O.Box
Takapuna. Contact - Peter Stuart, phone \(456-377\) (home). Visitors weicome.

REMUERA C.C. meets \(7: 30 \mathrm{pm}\) on Wednesdays at the Auckland iridge Club, 273 Remuera Road, Remuera. Contact - K.Williams, phone 543-762 (evenings)
WAITEMATA C.C. meets 8:00 pm Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre, car Great North \& Awaroa Roads. Postal address: P.0. Box 69-005, Glendene, Auckland 8 Contac: - George Williams, phone \(834-6618\) or Bob Smith, phone 836-8555.

HASTINGS \& HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. meets 7:00 pm Wednesdays at the Library, lavelock North High School, Te Mata Road, Havelock North, Hastings. Contact - Mike Earle, phone 776-027
PALMERSTON NORTH C.C. meets \(7: 30\) pm Tuesdays at the Paimerston North intermediate Normal School, Fergusson Street, Palmerston North. Contact - J. Blatchford, 64 Apollo Parade, Palmerston North, phone 69-575.

CIVIC C.C. meets \(7: 45\) pm Tuesdays at St Peter's Church Hall, Willis Street wellington. Contact - Brent Southgate, phone 757-604.
HUTT VALLEY C.C. meets 7:30 pm Tuesdays at the Hutt Bridge Club, 17 Queen's Road, Lower Hutt. Contact - Mrs Mary Boyack, phone 678-542.
UPPER HUTT C.C. meets \(7: 45\) pm Thursdays in the Supper Room, Civic Hall, Fergusson Drive, Upper Hutt. Contact - Anton Reid, 16 Hildreth Street, Upper Hutt, phone 288-756.
WAINUIOMATA C.C. meets in Bilderbeck Hall, Main Road, Wainuiomata at 7:30 pm on Thursdays (seniors) \& 7:00 pm Fridays (juniors). Contact - Joe Phillips, 646-171.

CANTERBURY C.C. meets every Tuesday and Wednesday at \(7: 30 \mathrm{pm}\) at the Clubroons, 227 Bealey Ave. President Geoff Davies, phone 524-518. Correspondence to P.O. Box 8014, Riccarton, Christchurch 4
CHRISTCHURCH CHESS CENTRE meets Tuesdays at 8:00 pm at 314 Worcester Street Annual Subscription \(\$ 8\). Contacts - Vernon Small, phone \(558-696\) or Roger \(\&\) Joanne Nokes, phone 583-027.

NELSON C.C. meets 7:30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact - Tom van Dyk, phone Richmond 8178 or 7140 . Visitors welcome.
OTAGO C.C. meets 7:30 pm Wednesdays \& Saturdays at 7 Maitland Street, Dunedin. Phone (clubrooms) 776-919. Contact - Arthur J.Patton, 26 College Street, Dunedin, phone 877-414```

