

## NEW ZEALAND CHESS

Registered at Post Office Headquarters, Wellington as a magazine


Eugenio Torre - Asia's first Candidate!

NEN ZEALAND CHESS is published bi－monthly by the New Zealand Chess Association， P．O．Box 8802，Symonds Street，Auckland．
Months of issue are February，April，June，August，October and December．
Unless otherwise stated，the views expressed may not necessarily be those of the Association．

EDITOR：Peter W．Stuart， 24 Seacliffe Avenue，Takapuna，Auckland， 9. ASSOCIATE EDITORS：Tony Dowden（Otago），IM Ortvin Sarapu，Vernon Small（Canter－ bury）and Michael White（Wellington）．

All contributions should be sent to the Editor＇s address．Unused manuscripts will not be returned unless a stamped，addressed envelope is enclosea．

DEADLINES：The deadline for both copy and advertising is the 6 th of the month preceding the month of issue．

ADVERTISING RATES：$\$ 40$ per full page；$\$ 20$ per column or half－page；$\$ 10$ per

SUBSCRIPTION RATES：These are annual and are in New Zealand dollars
Surface mail：New Zealand $\$ 6.50$ ；other countries $\$ 8.00$ ．
Airmail：Australia \＆South Pacific $\$ 10.80$ ；North America \＆Asia（exc1．Middle East）$\$ 11.80$ ；Europe，South America，Africa \＆the Middle East $\$ 13.00$

NOIE：All enquiries regarding advertising or subscriptions should be sent to the Administration Officer，New Zealand Chess Association，P．O．Box 8802， Symonds Street，Auckland，

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT：Thanks are due to Ibm for cheir donation of the IBM Selectric typewriter used to produce this magazine．

## NEW ZEALAND CHESS

## Vol． 8 No． 5

## FIDE LEADER IN AUCKLAND

Grandmaster Fridrik Olafsson，in the course of a world tour accompanied by Mrs olafsson，spent three days in Auck－ land early in August．Unfortunately， the brief stay in New Zealand，together with the fairly short notice of his visit，precluded the FIDE President from any functions outside of Auckland．
Apart from a number of media inter－ views including a guest appearance on a Radio Pacific talk－back show，Grand－ master Olafsson gave a simultaneous exhibition at Auckland＇s Hotel Inter－ continental on 3rd August．Against 43 opponents he scored 33 wins， and just one loss a fine record＇ considering that some of Auckland leading players took part．The sole Aucklander to in was Jaroslav Tromik who also gained a draw against
Mr 0lafsson kindly agreed to play
Mr olafsson kindly agreed to play thus benefitted by the proceeds of the simul．
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## ANNUAL CONGRESS

The $1982 / 83$ NZCA Congress will be hosted by the Otago Chess Club in Dunedin from 28 December to 9 January． The probable site is in the city＇s North end－in close proximity to a number of motels．

The schedule will be the same as for the last Congress；thus there will be rest days after round four（1st January）and round eight（ 6 th January）．

The Otago Chess Club hopes to con－
clude a sponsorship agreement shortly when the arrangements can be finalised． Naturally entry forms giving full details will be circulated to all affiliated clubs as soon as possible； Entries for the NZ Ch＇p and NZ Women＇s Ch＇p close on 1.5 Novenber with NZCA Ch＇p close on 1.5 Novenber with

## OLYMPIAD TEAM CHANGE

For personal reasons Lev Aptekar has ror personal reasons Lev Aptekar Team；his current situation，which led to his decision to withdraw，was fully appreciated by the Council．
Lev＇s place on board five will be taken by the selected reserve Robert Smith．Robert has had a particularly fine tournament record over the four months since the original selection was made and his inclusion in the team should not weaken it in any way．
Most of the 0lymiad team members will depart from Auckland on 23 October －some going via London and others to －some going via London and others to Frankfurt direct．The teams will play training mer fay to Nok（and wife Joanne）will join the teams in Frankfurt．
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## NATIONAL RATINGS

Readers（and club secretaries）may be excused for wondering what is happening on the rating front！No national rating list has been published in these pages since the June 1981 issue．
Many will know that our rating list was produced for some years（from 1974） using an＂Auckland Star＂computer．Jim Cater and Ewen Green，who were employed in the Star＇s Computer Department，did all the＇donkey work＇and onated the computer time．
During 1981 Jim and Ewen left the Star to form their own firm，Sirius Syst ，lacr Mike Scott，happily保 on where the Star left off．
The first problem，however，was that new program was necessary and the file also needed transcribing． Regrettably，but probably unavoidably， backlog of tournaments built up．

## Letters

Dear Sir,
I cannot let Mr Dowden's comments pass unchallenged (letters, June issue) The most objectionable part of his letter is his hearsay quote of my 'grin and bear it' strategy towards smoking. I must state categorically that I have never advocated such an approach and do not appreciate my attitudes being misrepresented in such a manner; I am a rigorous opponent of smoking while playing chess, or any other such act which gives one party an unfair advantage over the other.
I might also add that the Cancer Society might be amused to hear its anti-smoking signs called 'psychedelic' (perhaps Mr Dowden is not aware of the exact meaning of the word). In any case, I will certainly continue to display non-smoking signs at the board if I so wish, just as insensitive smokers continue to puff away as they so wish.
Finally, during my term as Editor of New Zealand Chess I was quite happy to promote discussion (I think I used the word 'controversy') through the magazine, but only in the area of criticism of policies or opinions. Mr Dowden's letter, which must be close to libellous, is more in the nature of a personal attack, particularly in his parting shot in which he insinuates that nonsmoking opponents like myself do not play the game in a gentlemanly or sporting manner. As such I do not believe the Editor should have accepted his letter for publication.
Finally, on another matter, I would ask readers' opinions of a national council which does not even acknowledge by way of a letter of thanks hundreds of hours of voluntary work done to put out a national chess magazine after a 14 -month term as Editor. Instead, the outgoing editor is greeted with public criticism that the standard of production fell during his efforts (Editorial, June issue)

Yours faithfully,
Bob Smith, Henderson
Somewhat of an over-reaction we feel. The 'grin and bear it' quote actually appeared in Mr Smith's own article on the Congress in the February issue! We could perhaps agree that it was a 'last
resort' rather than an advocato strategy.
Among the definitions of 'psychedelic in the Chambers Twentieth Century dictionary are, "dazzling in pattern;
loosely, up to date" osely, up to date.
As far as Mr Smith's final sentence is concerned, it was not our aim to the standard of production but in to provide an production but rather to provide an explanation and, most Finally, a solution.
Finally, I might add that the Council has remedied its earliex omission to
give written thanks to Mr Smith and indeed, apprecianks to Mr Smith and, he devoted to the task. $\quad-$ Editor

Dear Sir,
The continuing clouding issue of smoking in tournaments will not clear up until such time that tournament organisers or NZCA take a firm stand rather than the sit on the cloud rather tha
I personally see the issue as one of conflicting interest; the smoker considers his rights infringed if he is not allowed to smoke and the if he smoker of course believes his right be infringed if the smoker smokes envy the organiser who ever has to mak ruling under FTDE if ever has to mak endeavour to push their rights under the article relating to disturbing behaviour.
Perhaps the most promising news for my fellow non-smokers is that recent entry forms actually concede smoking to be an issue! Examples are the 2nd Charles Belton Memorial Tournament ("Smokers are asked to keep smoking to a minimum at the board") and the 9th Winstone Chess Congress ("Smoking by spectators will not be permitted in the playing hall'). Perhaps the haze over the board will eventually clear.
One counter-attack I have employed against a smoker was to crunch mints throughout the game untill we both agreed to stop smoking and crunchling.

Yours faithfully, Nick Bridges.

Can You See the Combinations?
Solutions on page 120

$a b c d e f g h$
No. 1 Black to move

$a b c d e f g h$
No. 3 White to move


No. 5 White to move

a b c defgh
No, 4 White to move


## Western Building Society South Island Ch'p, August '82

Report: Tonv Dowden

|  |  |  | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | R. 8 | T'1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Small V A | NS | W16 | W7 | D11 | D5 | D2 | W10 | W13 | D3 | 6 |  |
| 2 | Sarfati J D | W | W17 | W9 | W8 | D3 | D1 | D4 | D5 | D7 | $5 \frac{1}{1}$ |  |
| 3 | Dowden R A | 0 | W14 | W26 | W6 | D2 | D10 | D5 | D7 | D1 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 41 |
| 4 | Freeman M R | $\bigcirc$ | D15 | W24 | W20 | D8 | W9 | D2 | D10 | D5 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 39 |
| 5 | Bates G T H | $c$ | W22 | W18 | D10 | D1 | W24 | D3 | D2 | D4 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 39 |
| 7 | Weegenaar D P | $o$ | W28 | W21 | L3 | D12 | WI4 | L7 | W15 | W13 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $36 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 7 | van Ginkel J P | Nel | W37 | L1 | W19 | D14 | W18 | W6 | D3 | D2 | $51 / 2$ | 361/2 |
| 8 | van Dijk P | $c$ | W12 | W29 | L2 | D4 | W28 | L13 | W16 | W14 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 351/2 |
| 9 | Ong R | $\bigcirc$ | W38 | L2 | W22 | W16 | L4 | W19 | W11 | D10 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 35 |
| 10 | Love A J | $\bigcirc$ | W23 | W13 | D5 | W11 | D3 | Ll | D4 |  |  | $41 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 11 | van Dijk T | Nel. | W32 | W25 | D1 | L10 | W12 | D24 | L9 | W19 | 5 | $35{ }^{2}$ |
| 12 | Martin B M | $o$ | L8 | W34 | W26 | D6 | L11 | W28 | W18 | D17 | 5 | $33 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 13 | Nijman A | c | W36 | L10 | W15 | D18 | W21 | W8 | L1 |  | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 14 | Turner M | c | L3 | W30 | W21 | D7 | L6 | W33 | W24 | L8 | 4 $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 35 |
| 15 | Alexander B | c | D4 | D20 | L13 | W27 | W25 | D16 | L6 | W26 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $34 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 16 | Gloistein B | c | L1 | W37 | W25 | L9 | W17 | D15 | L8 | W24 | 412 | $344 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 17 | Aandahl V | 0 | L2 | W38 | W29 | L24 | L16 | W21 | W30 | D12 | $4 \frac{12}{2}$ | 30 |
| 18 | Watts D W | 0 | W35 | L5 | W23 | D13 | L7 | W27 | L12 | D20 | 4 | 34 |
| 19 | Boyd K | 0 | L24 | W33 | L7 | W29 | W20 | L9 | W25 | L11 | 4 | $32 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 20 | Petch W H | 0 | D33 | D15 | L4 | W30 | 1.19 | D23 | W28 | D18 | 4 | $30 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 21 | Nijman B | C | W27 | L6 | L14 | W32 | L13 | L17 | W35 | W30 | 4 | $30^{2}$ |
| 22 | Jordan T | Tim | L. 5 | W35 | L9 | L25 | W38 | L26 | W31 | W29 | 4 | 2712 |
| 23 | Morrison MK | NS | L10 | W36 | 1.8 | L28 | W35 | D20 | W27 | D25 | 4 | 27 |
| 24 | Lynn K.W. | Ham | W19 | 14 | W32 | W17 | L5 | D11 | L14 | L16 | 312 | 36 |
| 25 | Boyce D A L | Nel | W31 | L11 | L16 | W22 | L 15 | W29 | L19 | D23 | 31/2 | 32 |
| 26 | Post M J | C | W30 | L3 | L12 | D37 | L27 | W22 | W33 | L15 | 31/2 | $29{ }^{2}$ |
| 27 | Sutherland J | - | L21 | D28 | W36 | L15 | W26 | L18 | L23 | W33 | $3{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 2712 |
| 28 | Schwass M P | Civ | L6 | D27 | W33 | W23 | L8 | L12 | L20 | D32 | 3 | $32 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 29 | Mathieson J S | c | W34 | L8 | 1.17 | L19 | W31 | L25 | W32 | L22 | 3 | 2912 |
| 30 | McIntosh A | $\bigcirc$ | L26 | L14 | W34 | L20 | W32 | W37 | $\underline{L} 17$ | L21 | 3 | 27 |
| 31 | Solomon A | $\bigcirc$ | 125 | L32 | L37 | W36 | L29 | W38 | L22 | W35 | 3 | 20 |
| 32 | Allen Mrs E | $\bigcirc$ | L11 | W31 | 124 | L21 | L30 | W34 | L29 | D28 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 27 |
| 33 | Chang AR | 0 | D20 | L19 | L28 | W38 | W37 | L14 | L26 | L27 | 21/2 | 2512 |
| 34 | Aimers C | 0 | L29 | L12 | L30 | L35 | W36 | L32 | W37 | D 38 | 21/2 | 202 |
| 35 | Hay V | 0 | L13 | L22 | 138 | W34 | L23 | W36 | L21 | L31 | 2 | 25 |
| 36 | Barry-Walsh J B | $\bigcirc$ | L. 13 | L23 | 127 | L31 | L34 | L35 | W38 | W37 | 2 | 2212 |
| 37 | Strickett R L | $\bigcirc$ | L. 7 | L16 | W31 | D26 | L33 | L30 | 134 | L36 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 26) |
| 38 | Cameron D | $\bigcirc$ | L9 | L17 | W35 | L33 | L22. | L31 | L36 | D34 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 26 |

Club abbreviations: C = Canterbury, Civ = Civic, Ham = Hamilton, Nel = Nelson, NS North Shore, $0=$ Otago, Tim $=$ Timaru, $\mathrm{W}=$ Wellington

The 1982 South Island Championship was held in Dunedin at the Otago Chess Club rooms from August 23rd to 28th. The tournament, sponsored by the Western Building Society, was run smoothly and ably by Director of Play Malcolm Foord.
Vernon Small, Tony Dowden, Jonathan Sarfati and Tony Love were expected, rating ise, to fill the top few places but the initial suspicion that several other players could do well was quickly confirmed when the first wave of draws came
The first few rounds saw a few upsets. Bill Petch was dreadfully out of form in
swiftly departed to the lower boards. Michael Freeman started his Swiss Gam bit from the word go but showed it to be just as effective as various other versions.
Sarfati and Dowden were the only ones to win their first three games and
hence did battle in round four. Dowden sacced a pawn and nearly lost but somehow survived to get to a level ending Giles Bates, in the same round, had Small's Scheveningen sicilian sponged but lacked technique in the rook and pawn ending. Love won in fine attacking style (no joke - back to his rains schoolboy days of yesteryear) against Tom van Dijk.
Scores after four round were: Dowden, Sarfati \& Love $3 \frac{1}{2}$; Small, Freeman, Ong \& Bates 3.

In round five Sarfati drew with Small in a Closed sicilian - with Vernon playing the dreaded ant ten. Dowden drew with itent involving after some initial excitent ino with a pawn sacrifice. Bates' technique which a rerined rope-a open Freeman ultately the exchenge against got suddenly given the excharge about to offer a draw
Scores after round 5: Dowden, Sarfati, Bates, Love, Freeman 4; Small, P. van Dijk, T. van Dijk, van Ginkel, A.Nijman \& Weegenaar $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

Bates drew with Dowden in their sixth round Pirc Defence; Bates attacked a little prematurely and was forced into wholesale exchanges - Dowden then went for an opposite coloured bishop ending thinking it was better than it really was, so .... draw. Small B.O.'ed* Love to death in masterly fashion and suddenly looked like a champion. Freeman Sarfati to a draw (or was it vice
versa?) while Arie Nijman caught the leaders by beating Peter van Dijk with wo enormous central knights. John van inkel crushed Weegenaar even round three - and looked a distinctly accomplished player in doing so.
Scores after round 6: Small, Dowden, Sarfati, Freeman, Bates, van Ginkel \& A.Nijman $4 \frac{1}{2}$.

With round seven the pairings were
now reverse and hence favoured the top

* Apparently a crude South Island euphemism for "Bishop's openinged".
layers. Small despatched Arie Nijman (screamin' and laughin' as usual) in a Queen's Gambit Declined. Dowden looked to be doing the same against van Glnke in a Ruy Lopez but, after neutralising lost his (which nearly mate , lost his winning pawn to the host ele and Freeman left all sorts of beasts en pris in a berserk effort to lose to pris in a berserk effort to lose to bits and luckily split the point in a knight and pawn ending. Peter van Dijk and Weegenaar both caught the same wave and Weegenaar both caug in this round and coasted in to a win in this round . Scores after round den, Sarf Ong 5 .
Round eight saw Dowden-Small $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ t a long game, but well over four moves! Michael Freeman tried out a new trick and threatened to die on his pponent - a drawing gambit that had iles baffled. Love-Ong and van Ginkelarfati both surrendered to last roundtis (the endgame play had to be seen o be believed) and after much tableurning and mutual blundering two more draws resulted.
The prize-giving was presided over Mr Patworth who was representing the Western Building Society and Vernon Small who was invited to present the grade prizes.
In retrospect Small was a deserving winner, although many expected several ther winners! Giles Bates was perhaps the unluckiest player not to do so as he had both Small and Sarfati in serious difficulties. Sarfati didn't ften look like losing but then also didn't look like winning either unless his opponent blundered; at only 17, he obviously is already vastly experience. and would do well to vary his game a bit. Love would have to be disappointed at his bad play in the last three rounds because he looked very good before that. Freeman and Dowden were both honest in their efforts but could be a bit more aggressive and tie their hands behind their backs perhaps.
Ben Martin did very well and had even 900+ players in all sorts of trouble at 12 he shouldn't be so good, but with his no holds barred tactical style along with an amazing positional awareness the road to the top must be open. Bruce Gloistein, Arie Nijman and Michael

Turner are part of the under-rated
South Island masses (and I'm glad I only had to play one of them!). Local junior high school players Kendall Boyd, Andrew McIntosh, John Sutherland and Allan Solomon all improved great past performances. Finally, it was good to see a newcomer, namely Justin BarryWalsh, lose his first six games but then have the fortitude to win his las two in fine style.
The grade-prize list was: B-grade R.Ong lst; A.Nijman, M.Turner, V.Aandahl \& B.Gloistein 2nd=. C-grade - B. Martin lst; B.Alexander 2nd. D-grade J.Sutherland 1st; A.McIntosh \& A.Solomon $2 n d=$.
A selection of games, with brief annotations by the writer, follows thanks are due to those who supplied (often unwittingly) their comments.
LOVE - A.NIJMAN, Pirc Defence:
1 e4 g6 -2 d4 d6 3 Nc 3 Bg 74 Nge 2 Nf 6 $5 \mathrm{~g} 30-0 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 70-0$ e5 8 h 3 Re8 9 Be 3 a6 10 a4 Nb6 11 b3 exd4 $12 \mathrm{Bxd} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 13 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Be} 614 \mathrm{Qd} 2 \mathrm{Qd} 715$
 Ne7 19 f5! gxf5 20 exf5 Nxf5 21 Rxf5 Bxf5 22 Bxf6 Bxf6 23 Nd5 Bxal 24 Nxc7 Re5?! 25 Qg5+, $1-0$.
SMALL - LOVE, Bishop's Opening:
1 e4 e5 2 Bc4 Nf6 3 d3 c6 4 Nf 3 Be 7
 $\begin{array}{lllll}5 & \text { Ne3 Qc7 } \\ 9 & 10 \mathrm{Ba} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 5 ?!\end{array}$ (Manoeuvring the knight is a bit pointless; Black should aim for ...Be6 to exchange White's good bishop on a2. Alternatively he could think about bolstering his king position. Hence $10 \ldots h 6$ with the idea of Re8, Nf\& \& Be 6 or Re8, Bf8 \& Bg7) 11 d4 Na6 $12 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bb} 3$ h6 14 Qd2 Re8


15 Radl (Vernon rejected the interesting 15 Bxh6 as unsound. Some sample lines: 15 …gxh6 16 Oxh6 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nh7 } 17 \quad \text { Bxf7 } \\ 18 & \text { Kxhf7 }\end{array}$ 18 Oxh7+ Kf6! 19 Ng5! or, in this, вxb3 18 Re31? Pf81 19 0xf6 0 20 20 Ng Bxb3 18 Re3!? Btb! 19 Qxf6 Bg7 20 Rg3 Sxf6 21 Nebt Kh7 22 Nxc7 Nxc2 23 Rcl Black) $15 \ldots \mathrm{Bf} 8$ with a big plus for fxe6 18 N...B 8 . 194 Be6 17 Bxe6 dxe5 dxe5 21 Qe2 Rxdl 22 Rxdl Rd8
 Nc5 27 Qc4 Nfd7 $28 \mathrm{Kfl} \mathrm{Kf7} 29 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{~b} 6$ 30 Nd3 (Premature?) 30...Qxd3+ 31 Qxd 3
 axb4 35 exb4 h5 $\quad 36 \mathrm{~g} 3$ g6 $\quad 37$ Bg5 Nb8? (Black has defended well till now - 37
...b5+! appears to draw) 38 Bd8! Nd7 $39 \mathrm{~h} 4,1-0$ appear
39

BATES - SMALL, Sicilian Scheveningen: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf 3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nrd4}$ Nc6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be2 d6 7 0-0 Nf6 8 $\mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Be} 79 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Bd} 710 \mathrm{Nb} 3 ?!(10 \mathrm{f4}$ would be normal .... but Giles didn't know any theory!) $10 . . . \mathrm{b} 5$ ! $11 \mathrm{g4}$ !? b4 12 g 5 bxc3 13 gxf6 gxf6 (Less aggressive, but possibly better, is $13 .$. Bxf6, e.g. 14 Qxd6 cxb2 15 Radl Be7 16 Qg3!? 0-0) 14 bxc3 Qc7 $15 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Na} 5!16$ f5 Nc4 17 Bh6 (If 17 Qd4 then Rc8 is good) 17...exf5?! (Perhaps Vernon was trying too hard to win; 17...eBc6 is the logical move) 18 Qd5! Nb6? (Again $18 .$. BC6 is better, e.g. 19 Qxc4? Bxe4+ 20 Kgl Rg8t $21 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Rg} 2+22 \mathrm{Kel}$ Oxc4 23 Bxc4 and Black will have many pawns for the knight, but simply 19 Qxf5 is roughly even)
 19 Qxf7+!! Kd8
(If Black accepts (If Black accepts fice, he gets fice, he gets
mated or else loses his queen with a very ex posed king lines are many, varied and ex-
citing; the keen reader may like to work them out. Here is one example: 19...KXf7 20 Bh5 5 Ke6 21 exf5 5 Kd5! 22 Bf3+ Kc4 23 Be2t Kxc3 24 Rf3+! Kb2 25 Bc1+ Kxc2 26 Nd4 mate) 20 Nd4 Oxc3! (About all that Black can dol) 21 Nxf5 Bxf5 22 exf5 Nd7 23 0d5 Rc8 Bxa6 Qc6 25 Oxc6 Rxe6 26 Bd3 (26 Bb5 Rxc2 27 a4 and on to a $8=0$ seems to win) 26...Kc7 27 Rg 1 Ne 528 Rg 7 Kd 829 Rbl (White was now in bad time troubl and that, coupled with Vernon's superior endgame technique makes the win difficult) $29 . . . \operatorname{Br} 830 \mathrm{Rb} 8+\mathrm{Rc} 8$ $31 \mathrm{Rxc} 8+\mathrm{Kxc} 832 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nxd} 3$ 34 cxd3 Bh6 $35 \mathrm{Bd} 4 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \quad 36 \mathrm{Rf} 7 \mathrm{Re} 8 \quad 37$ Rxh7 Rel+ 38 Kg 2 Be 539 Bxe5 Rxe5! 40 Rd 7 d 541 h 4 Rxf 542 Kg 3 Kc 843 Rf7? (Simplest is 43 Kg4!) $43 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 844$
 Rxa4 48 Ke6?! ( 48 h5! wins easily) 48 ...Kd8 $49 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Kc} 850 \mathrm{Rd} 7!$ (sealed) 50
..f5! (The only complicating chance which Giles missed!) 51 Rxd5 f4 52 Kf6? (Now Black can draw; 52 Rf5! still wins) $52 \ldots$ Ral! 53 h 6 Rhl 54 Kg 7 Rglt $555 \mathrm{Rg} 359 \mathrm{k} 7 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{Rg} 1+57 \mathrm{Kh} 8 \mathrm{Cf}$ LOVE - T.VAN DIJK, Sicilian:
1 e4 c5 2 c3 Nf6 3 e5 NdS 4 Nf3 Nc6 5 d4 cxd4 46 cxd4 d6 7 Bc4 e6 8 0-0 Be7 9 Qe2 $0-0 \quad 10$ Nc3 Nxc3 11 bxc3 dxe5 12 dxe5 (The game now becomes a delicate balance between Black's dark square weaknesses around his king and White's inferior queenside pawn struc ture) 12...Qc7 13 Bd3 g6? (This only helps White; it was only necessary to play ...g6 after Qe4) 14 Bh6 Rd8?! (e8 is a better square) 15 Rfel b6 16 Rad1 Bb7 17 Qe3 Na5 18 Ng , Qcb?! 19 Be4! (Black probably thought this move un playable, not noticing that his own back rank is also vulnerable) 19...Bxg5 20 Qxg 16 (what else? 21 Qxf Qe7 22 Dxg6! hxg6 26 Qxg Kh $727 \mathrm{Ra7}+\mathrm{Kg} 6$ 25 Qxe6! Qc6 26 Qubt $1-27$ Ra7 28 Qg4+ Kh6 29 Qh4+, 1 - 0
DOWDEN - LOVE, Petroff Defence
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4 5 d 4 d5 6 Bd3 Be7 $70-0$ Nc6 8 Re1 Bg4 9 c4 Nf6 10 cxd5 Nxd5 11 Nc3 0-0 12 h 3 Be6 13 Qc2!? (More usual is 13 a3) 13...Nf6!? (13...h6 is the safe move) 14 a3 (14 Be3?! Nb4 is hardly inspiring for white) 14...Nxd4 15 Nxd4 Qxd4 16 Be3?! ( 16 Bg5 h6 17 Radl Obe 18 Be3 Ob3 19 Qe2 seems to be correct play) 16...Qd7?! (Stronger is 16...Qh4! which probably gives Black a small plus) $17 \mathrm{Rad} \mathrm{Qc} 8 \quad 18 \mathrm{Nb} 5$ c6 19 Nd 4 Qc7 (19...Bd5!?) 20 Bg 5 ! h6 $21 \mathrm{Bxf6}$ Bxf6 22 Nxe6 fxe6 23 Bc4! Rad8! 24 Bxe6+ Kh8, $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ (e.g. 25 Og6 Rxdl! 26 Rxdl Rdg! 27 Rel Qa5 28 Re3 Qg5 =)
SARFATI - SMALL, Closed Sicilian:
1 e4 c5 2 Nc3 Nc6 3 g 3 d 64 Bg 2 g 6 5 d 3 Bg 76 Be 3 e6 7 Qd 2 Rb 88 Nge 2 NC4 9 O-0 Nge7 10 Nf4?! $0-0$ Il Kh
 Rxe2 0a5 19 f4 Be6 20 Ref2 f6 21 f5 $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
DOWDEN - SMALL, Bird's Opening:
1 b3 c5!? 2 bb2 Nf6 3 e3 e6 4 f4 Be7 5 Nf3 b6 6 c4 $0-0 \quad 7$ Bd3!? Nc6 8 a3 Bb7 9 Qc2 h6 10 Nc3 d5 11 Rdl (11 o-0-01? is consistent but dangerous) 11


LYAN - - AANDAHL, Blackmar-Diemer Gambit 1 d4 Ne5 2 Nc3 d5 3 e4 dxe 44 f3 eb 5 Bg5 Re7 6 fxe4 $0-0 \quad 7$ Nf3 Nbd7 8 $0 d 2$ c $6 \quad 9$ ba3 Re8 $100-0$ e $5 \quad 11$ dxe5 Je5t. 12 Khl Nxe5 13 Nxe5 Rxe5 14 Qf4 Bd6 15 Qh 4 Be $7 \quad 16$ Qg 3 Qd6 $\quad 17$ Bc4 b5 18 Radl Qc7 19 Bf4 Ng 420 Bxf7+,
i-0.
WATTS - MARTIN, Nimzoindian Defence:
 Bxe3+ 5 Qxc3 Ne4 6 Qc2 557 Nf3 b6 8 e 3 Bb 79 b4 0-0 10 Bb 2 a5 11 e5?! axb4 12 cxbb cxh6 13 Bb5 Rfb 14 Nest The idea is to force ...d5 closing the long diagonalj $1.4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 615 \mathrm{Nd} 3$ Rg6 16 Nt 4 Rhb 17 Be4 d5 18 Be2 Na 19 Db3 Qe? 20 Rel Naf6 21 Na3 Re8 22 Rxc8+ Bxc8 23 Nes?! Nxf2!? 24 Kxf2 Ne4+ Eel Qntt 2.6 Kd ? Running away but Guving up a rook; interesting is 26 g 3 Nxg3 2f Nf. Qe4! with some altack) 26 Nc 6 Oc 30 Ra3 Rf6 31 Ne7+ Kh8 (Not 31 eb 32 Bu5t 33 Nag6t) 32 Bb5 1.. 33 Nuc8 Quc8 34 exf4 Pxf4 35 Kd3? 45 Nacs Qxc8 14 36 Ne4 37 Ke3 Re4t 38 Kd2 Qf 39 Kc 2 h 640 Oa8 4 Kh 7 4]. Bb2 Qg 442 43 K3 Qad 0 - 12 SCHWASS - MORRISON, King's India
Attack: 1 e4 e6 2 d3 c5 3 Nf3 Ne6 $\mathrm{g}^{3} \mathrm{~g} 65 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 760-0 \mathrm{Nge7} 7$ Rel d6 8 Nbd2 0-0 9 a4 b6 10 Nc4 Ba6 11 Nfd2 Re8 12 f. $45 \quad 13 \mathrm{Ne} 3$ Re8 14 Rfl h6?! 15 Bh3 Rc7 16 f5 exf5 17 exf5 Bd4 18 Nb3 Bc8 19 Nxd4 Nxd4 20 fxg6! fxg6 2i. Bxes Qxe8 22 Ng 4 h5 $23 \mathrm{Nf} 6+$ Kg7 24 Nxe84 Qxe8 25 c3 Ndf5 26 Qe2 Qc6 $27 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \quad 28$ a5 b5 29 Rael c 4 30 ne5t $\mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 31 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 8 \quad 32 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Ncd} 633$ Qe6 民b7 34 аб Qc6 35 Rxf5! gxf5 36 Qh6t Kg8 37 Re8t Kf7 38 Qe6t 138 Rfs mate) $38 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 739$ Qf6+ Kh 740 Rh 8 mate, $1-0$.
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## 9th Winstone Tournament

## Report: Peter Stuart

As in a number of other tournaments this year, the 9 th Winstone's suffered a drop in numbers although, when processing of late entries was completed a healthy 70 players were entered.
The tournament was opened by popular senting Winstone senting winstone Ltd, the sponsor of
Typically for Auckland opens volume of latecomers caused , the start, though this was not helped start, though this was not helped by an error discovered half way through making the B-grade draw - for which the The lost time was made up rhirough ility first day and the organisation the the guiding hand of Dick Roundill (Dop) generally went very smothly As last year (and several years before that too!) Mrs Roundill masterminded the too!) Mrs
The 26-player Open field was not as strong as last year but, with almost half the players having a realistic chance of a share in the major prizes there were hard battles from the word go. Nevertheless, the only upset in the first round was Bob Johnstone's draw with David Gollogly. There was almost another, however, since Robert Smith blundered his queen when winning easily; John Hartley later returned the favour but was still likely wioning until he gave away his pawn advantage and still drawing until he left an exchange en pris! Also Paul Garbett looked to be in difficulties on the black side of a Morra Gambit but he soon resolved the complications favourably
Ortvin Sarapu chalked up his second win quite comfortably and I'm sure that nobody could have guessed that he would not win another game. Vernon Small (against Len Whitehouse) and Peter Stuart (versus Peter Weir) each won their second closely fought endgame. Michael Hopewell's piece sacrifice versus Smith proved much too optimistic. The most interesting ganie was probably that between Mark Levene and Michael Freeman but the blunder of his queen on move 44 by the latter rather spoilt things. In another important game wolf

Jeonhardt gained two minor pieces for rook but Garbett's initiative ther carried the day. Those with a possible two points were: Sarapu, Sma11, Levene, Smith, Garbett and Stuart.
The highlight of the third round was undoubtedly the Sicilian Dragon fought out between Smith and Levene - each player went for the jugular in no uncertain fashion; Mark's win made him sole leader since the other two top boards featured draws. Sarapu more or less equalised in a King's Indian Benoni against Stuart and the players day a draw rather than risk Satur day night blunders. Small gained the space advantage typical for hite in sicilian but Carbett's laimano ounterplay Gablet queenside and the draw was Gollogly nor Was soon agreed. Neither ground hor what a draw The leaders fter three rod a draw. The leaders after three rounds Levene 3; Sarapu, Small, Garbett and tuart $2 \frac{1}{2}$.
Despite playing 2 b3 against Levene's Sicilian in round four, Sarapu achieved a winning position; a neat swindle in $\mathrm{N}+2 \mathrm{P}$ vs 4P however, saw Levene with 45). Neither player wished 45). Neither player wished to tempt mortem established that a draw was the mortem established that a draw was the line 'recommended' by Timman and Cvet kovic but all three appeared to be unaware of earlier published analysis which Stuart vaguely remembered seeing some years ago; the ending soon reached was drawn, if minutely in Black's favour. Garbett ended Smith's interest in the prize money with a fine combination after having had pressure throughout. Meanwhile Leonhardt, Freeman and Nigel Hopewe11 all came back into the picture with wins over Richard Taylor Weir and Gollogly respectively. Scores after 4 rounds: Levene \& Garbett $3 \frac{1}{2}$; Sarapu, Small, Stuart, Leonhardt, Free$\operatorname{man} \&$ N. Hopewell 3.
In the last round game between the co-leaders Levene appeared to me to play as though he expected an early
offer to split the point and Garbett rapidly gained the advantage which he exploited ruthlessly to take the $\$ 200$ first prize.
The fight for second was very intense and all three critical games had decisive results In one of his all-too-rare tournament appearances Leonhardt beat Sarapu in the biggest upset of the tournament. With the disappearance of the queenside a draw looked likely but Sarapu overpressed his slight initiative; Leonhardt, however, failed to take his winning chance and a draw again was likely until Ortvin blundered a pawn by simply putting it en pris.
Nigel Hopewell's kingside attack against Small came to nought after the sacrifice of a piece while Freeman, playing Stuart, reached what look ed to be a simply drawn rook \& pawn ending only to find that extremely accurate play was needed but, unfortunately, not forthcoming. Thus Small Leonhardt and Stuart tied for second place, sharing $\$ 270$. Despite his last round loss Nigel Hopewell was a clear winner of the $\$ 50$ under1900 prize


In the Winstone B-grade upsets were rife from the first round on. Richard Steel and Brett Mullan emerged from the pack with four wins from four games, thus meeting in the last round to decide the destination of the $\$ 120$ first prize. As in the Open it was pleasant to see a real fight rather than a safety-first draw; Steel withstood severe pressure before prevailing. Mullan was joined in second place by Paul Bourke, Vivian Burndred, Rowan Wood and John Robinson who all won in their last round garnes.
Scores: 1 R.G.Steel (Air) 5 ; 2-6 A.B.Mullan (Civ), P.D Bourke (AU), V.J.Burndred (NS) , R.Wood (Civ) \& J.P Robinson (Wai) 4; 7-10 R Hart. (NS), K.Metge ( $H F$ ), A.J. Henderson (MS) \& C.G.Robbie (NS) 31/2; 11-19 G.J.SpencerSmith (NS), B.K.Stewart (Air) C.W.Mears (NS), L.V.CarlinePowell (NS), J..P.Grevers (NS) P.A.Spencer-Smith (NS), D.A. Gifford-Moore (UH), J.A.O'Connor (Wai) \& T.E.Atkinson (NS) 3; 20-23 W.R.Stretch (NS), D.S.Moulin (NS), M.I.Stewart (Air) \& J.R.Stephenson (NS) ${ }^{2 \frac{1}{2}}$; 24-35 R.Takhar (Wpa), R.G.Wiliams (wai), T.R.Mc Carthy (NS), R.Levy (A), B.M. Winsor (NS), P.Futter (Pap), S.Berger (NS), D.J.Boyd (NS) G. Thorne (A), H.Mueller (A), (Wai) 2 . $36-39$ a M.shield (Waz) 2; 36-39 A.M.Saipe (NS), A.N.ward (Ns), J.K. Boyd (NO-4 40-43 J. A. Brown (AIr), P. (air) 1 ( 4 (N) C.R.Norman (Aic) 1; 44 J.G

A selection of ganes from the Open:
SARAPU - LYNN, Sicilian 1 e4 e5 2 Ne2 Nc6 3 Nbc3 g6 ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{4} \mathrm{cxd}^{4} \quad 5 \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \mathrm{Eg} 76 \mathrm{Nde} 2$



14 c3 g5 15 Nd3 Qb5 16 Nxe5 Qxe5 17 $\mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nf6} 18 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{Nxe4} 19$ Qxb7 d5 20

 30 Qxc8 Nxc8 $31 \mathrm{Rxf5}$, 1 - 0.
GARBETT - LEONHARDT, Owen's Defence: 1 e4 b6 2 d4 Bb7 3 Nd2 e6 $4 \mathrm{Ngf3}$ c5 5 c3 Na6 6 a3 Nf6 7 Bd3 Be7 $80-0$ 0-0 9 Qe2 Nc7 10 e5 Nfe8 11 Ne 4 f6 12 dxe5 bxc5 13 c4 fxe5 14 Nxe5 d6 15 Nf3 e5 $\quad 16$ Bg5 Ne6 17 Qe3

17...Rxf3?! (17 ..Nd4 looks fine after either 18 Exe7 Nxf3+ 19 gxff exe7 or 18 Nxd4 cxd4! 19 Qg 3 h6) 18 Qxf3 Bxg5 19 Qf5 Qe7 20 Nxg5 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Nxg5 } & 21 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \text { exf4 } \\ 22\end{array}$
Rxf4 Qxf5 24 Bxf5 Nf6 25 Re7 Bc8 26 h4 Nf7 27 Be4 Kf8 28 Rxf7+ Kxf7 29 Bxa8, 1 - 0.
SMITH - LEVENE, Sicilian Dragon: 1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4$ cxd4 4 Nxd 4
 b5 12 Bh6 Bxh6 $130 \mathrm{~K} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 140-0$ hS 12 Bh6 Bxh6 15 Qxis Re8 $140-0-$ $5 \times 18$ Rx5 419 hag6 a5 18 gxh5 a4 19 hxg axb3


20 cxb3 Nd3 21 Rh2 Rc8 22 Rg2 Bh3 23 Nf5 Bxf5 24 exf5 Ne5 25 g 7 Nh7 26 f6 Qxf3 27 Rel Rxcl+ 28 Qxcl Qf5+ 29 Kal Nxf6 $30 \mathrm{Rg} 5 \mathrm{Qd7}$ 31 Qdi Qc6 32 h 5 Qc3+ $33 \mathrm{Kbl} \mathrm{Qe3}$ $34 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 435 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 3+36 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 3+$ 37 Kxc3 Ne1+ $38 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Nxc} 2 \quad 39 \mathrm{~h} 6$ Qd4 + 40 Kcl Qal+ $41 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 1+42 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 4+$, $0-1$.
SMALL - GARBETT, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { e6 } & 5 & \mathrm{Nb} 5 & \text { d } 6 & 6 & \mathrm{c} 4 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 7 & \mathrm{NS} & \mathrm{c} 3 \\ \mathrm{Be} & \mathrm{Be} 7 & 8 \\ \mathrm{Be} & 0-0 & 9 & 0-0 & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 10 & \mathrm{Bf} 4 & \mathrm{Bb} 7 & 11 & \mathrm{Re}\end{array}$

 Be6 19 Qe2 b5 20 Khl bxe4 21 Bxe 4 a 5 22 f5 exf5 23 exf5 Ne5 24 Ваб ахด4 25 ахb 4 Вb $7, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
SARAPU - LEVENE, Sicilian 2 b3:
1 e4 c5 2 b3 e6 3 Bb2 Nf6 4 e5 Nd5

5 Nf3 Be7 6 c4 Ncy 7 o3 $0-0 \quad 8 \quad \mathrm{Bg}$ Ne6 $90-0$ f6 10 d 4 cxd4 11 exf6 Bxf6 12 Nxd4 Ne8 13 Qd2 Qb6 14 Nf3 Bxb2 $545019 \mathrm{Nc} \mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{ej} 17 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{Na} 6$ Nxf6+ Rxf6 22 Ne4 Bxd1 23 Nxf6 + Qxf6
 Rh2 Rf7 28 f4 Qe7 29 Rel Qc7 30 fyes 205 18 Re5 29 Re1 Qc7 30 fxe dive is 31 RfS (The passive alter point - Black cedes the exchange and hopes for active pieces exchange and passed pawn to give him some counter play) 33 Kg 2 h 534 Bxf5 Qxf5 35 Qf2 Qe6 36 Qc5 a5 $37 \mathrm{Rfl} \mathrm{Ne} 738 \mathrm{Oxa5}$ Ocft 39 Kh2 Oe4 40 Oel Od4 41 Of2 (Forcing the queen swap and winning easily) 41 ...Nf5 42 Oxd4 exd4 43 g 4 ? (Time trouble; 43 Rdl followed by 44 g 4 wins the d-pawn and the game) 43...hxg4 44 hxg 4 Ne 345 Rf4? (Allowing a neat resource snaring the rook; after 45 Rf3 Nrg4t 46 Kg 3 Ne 547 Rf4 9348 Rd4 White hould still win) 45 ...d3 46 Rd4 d2! $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ 。
GARBETT - SMITH, Pirc Defence
1 e4 g6 2 d4 Bg7 3 Nf3 d $6 \quad 4$ Nc 3 Nf 6 $5 \mathrm{Be} 20-0 \quad 60-0$ c6 7 a4 a5 8 h3 Na6 9 Rel Nb4 10 Bg5 Qc7 11 Qd2 e5 12 Bc4 Re8 13 Radl Be6 14 Вxe6 Rxe6 15 dxe5 dxe5 $16 \mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 7 \quad 17$ Qe3 Rd6 18 Rxd6 Qxd6 19 Rd 2 Qe7 20 Qb6 h6 21 Be3 Bf8 22 Nel $\mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{La} \mathrm{Kn} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 824 \mathrm{I4}$ Nd6 25 b3 exf4 26 Qd4+ Khy 27 BxI Qa8 31 Nf3 Qb6 32 Qc4 Qf2 33 Ne


$34 \mathrm{Nfg} 5+$ ! hxg 5 $35 \mathrm{Nxg} 5+\mathrm{Kh} 8(35$ -..Kh6 36 NXI7t 38 N4 $2 \times 4$ NxC2 (9) 36 Naf 37 N85 Qf7 Oc5 39 Oxg 6 Kg8 40 hh7 40 ..Kf8 41 Ne6t \& $42 \mathrm{NxC5}$ ), $1-0$
SMALL - STUART, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Nxd 4 Ne6 5 Ne3 Qc7 6 g3 a6 7 Bg2 Nf6 8 $0-0$ Be 79 Rel Nxa4 10 Qxd4 Bc5 11 Bf4 ("!" Cvetkovic annotating TimmanLangeweg, Amsterdam 1981, in Informant 3I) 11...e5! (The quoted game went Il $\ldots d 612$ Qd2 Ng4 13 Re 2 Ne , Tinman eventually winning) 12 Bxe 5 Qb 6 (Not 12 ...d6? 13 Bxd6!) 13 Qd2 Bxf2+ (13...

Qxf2+ 15 Kxf2 Ng4+ $16 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Nxe5} 17$ Nd5 Rb8 18 Nb 6 d6 19 h3 Be6, $1 / 2-\frac{1}{2}$. GOLLOGLY - N. HOPEWELL, Ruy Lopez: $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & \text { e4 } & \text { Ne6 } & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \text { e5 } & 3 & \text { Bb5 a6 } & 4 \text { Bxc6 } \\ \text { dxc6 } & 5 & 0-0 & 0 d 6 & 6 & d 4 & \text { exd4 } & 7 & \text { Nxd4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { dxc6 } & 5 & 0-0 & \text { Qd6 } \\ 8 & \mathrm{~d} 4 & \mathrm{exd} 4 & 7 \mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{Bd} 7\end{array}$ 8 Be3 0-0-0 9 Na2 Qg6 10 Qe2 Nf6 11
 15 bxe5 Bxc5 16 Nf5 Nf4! 17 Ne $7+$ Bxe 7 18 Bxf4 Be6 19 Nc4 Qe6 $20 \mathrm{Na} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 5+$ 21 Be3 Bd4 22 Nxc6 Qxe6 23 Ra3 Qc5 24 Rab3 Bxe3+ 25 Qxe3 Qxe3+ 26 Rxe3 Rd2 $27 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Rxc} 2 \quad 28 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{Re} 8 \quad 29 \mathrm{Rbd} 1 \mathrm{~b} 5$ 30 e5 f6 31 axb 5 axb $5 \quad 32$ Re 3 fxe5 33 fxe5 Re6 $34 \mathrm{Rd5}$ c6 $35 \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 36$ Rxh4 c4 37 Rh7?! ( 37 Rg 4 Re !) $37 \ldots \mathrm{Re} 7 \quad 38$ 6 b4 39 Rh8+ Kc 740 Ra 8 b 341 Ra 4 d6 42 Rabt Kds 43 Ra5+ Kd4 44 Rel b2, 0-1.

EVENE - GARBETT, Queen's Indian
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 a3 Bb7 5 Nc3 d5 6 cxd5 Nxd5 7 e3 Be7 8 Bb5 c6 9 Bd3 0-0 10 0-0 c5 11 e 4 nxc 3 12 bxe3 cxd4 13 cxd4 Ne6 14 Bb 2 Bff 15 e5 Be7 16 Be4 Na5 17 Bxb7 Nxb7 18 Rel Qd7 19 Qd3 Ne5 20 Qe2 Na4 21 Rfd] Rac8 22 h3 Qd5 23 Rxc8 Rxe8 24 Qa6 Qc4 25 Qxe4 Rxc4 26 Bel Ne3 27 Rel Nb5 28 Rdl Kf8 29 Kh 2 h 630 Bb 2 Rc2 31 Bcl Rxf2 32 Rd3 Rc2 33 Bd2 Nxa3 34 d5 exd5 35 Rxd5 Nc4 36 Bf4 537 Nd4 Ra2 38 Bc1 Nxe5 39 Nf5 f6 40 Nxh6 Nf3+ 41 Kg 3 Nh 442 Bd 2 Kg 7

43 Ng 4 Kg 644 Ne 3 Bc 545 Nc 4 Rc 2 0-1.
LEONHARDT - SARAPU, after Black's 37th:

$38 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \quad 39$ Qc6! Nxfl+ 40 Kh 1 ? 40 Kgll Qf7 41 xd4 Nd2 42 Ra8 + or 40 ...Rg 71 Bxd4 Qdl 42 Bxg7 Ne3+ 43 Kh2 Nfl Qb7 41 Oxb 7 Rxb 7 42 Bxd4 fxg 4 $45 \mathrm{Rn} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 64{ }_{4}$ Ra8 g5 44 hxg 4 Rd 7 $55 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 6{ }^{2} 46$
48 Kg 2 Nd 2 Bc3 h5?? 47 gxh5+ Kf5 48 Kg 2 Nd2 49 $\mathrm{Rf} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 450 \mathrm{~h} 6 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{~K}_{4} 1 \mathrm{h7} \mathrm{Rxh} 752 \mathrm{Bxd}$ Rd7 53 Rh8+ Kg4 54 Re3 Rds 55 Ra $\mathrm{Rb} 5 \quad 59 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 6 \quad 60 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 5 \mathrm{~K}$ 61 Ke4 $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ \mathrm{Ra} 4+\mathrm{ta} & 62 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 5 & 63 \mathrm{Rg} 8+\mathrm{Kf6} & 64 \mathrm{Kg} 4\end{array}$ Ra4t $65 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 566 \mathrm{Bd} 4+\mathrm{Kf} 567 \mathrm{Rf} 8+$ Kg6 68 Ríbt Kh5 69 Ke4 Kg4, 1-0. N. HOPEWELL -- SMALL, Sicilian 1. é 4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d 4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Ne6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be2 d6 70 --0 Nf6 8 Be3 Be7 9 f4 Bd7 10 Qel b5 11 a3 0-0 15 es 16 Bxd4 Bc6 Radl Qc7 15 es dxes 16 Bxes Qa7+ 17 Khl g6 18 Bd3 Qb 19 f 5 NaS 20 Qg 4 exf5 21 Bxf5 Rad8 22 Ne4 Ng7 23 Rd3 Nxf5 24 Rh3 ff, 0 - 1

## 2nd Belton Memorial

## Report: Paul Garbett

The 2nd Charles Belton Memorial Tournament took place at the Auckland Chess Centre on August 14th and 15th. As in its inaugural year it took the form of seven two-hour games with each player having one hour for all his moves. Although there were only 23 entrants the field was quite strong with the favourites being Sarapu, Garbett, Smith, Metge, Stuart and M.Hopewe 11.
here were no upsets in the first round but in round two Ortvin Sarapu wo ta thth Nigel Hopewell and the other avourites won.
In the third round Peter Stuart appeared to be defending safely against Robert Smith's kingside initiative but oversight allowed Smith to break through. I had my best gane of the
tournament against Michael Hopewell, at first manoeuvring for control of the centre and then advancing on the kingside. Both these games are given below Sarapu beat N.Hopewell and Cornford beat Metge. This left Garbett and Smith with 3 points, Sarapu and Cornford with $2 \frac{1}{2}$ points.
In round four I lost to Smith after a simple oversight cost a vital pawn and ruined a good position, After having the initiative Sarapu reached a drawn queen and rook ending against Stuart; he refused a draw offer and went into a minimally inferior rook \& pawn ending hich Peter won with impressive tech nique at lightning speed. Michael Hope11 beat cornford. Smith now led by Hopewell and Louts Rawnsley
Ropewell and Louls Rawnsley.

Michael Hopewell's Ntuzowitsch Defence; this is very briefly amotated by Robert below. I won a drawish looking queen very short of time and a sensible looking pawn move proved disastrous as it permitted my king to march up the board and start gobbling pawns. Sarapu beat Rawnsley and Metge beat Brimble. Smith now had 5 points, Garbett 4, Sarapu and Metge $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

In round six Sarapu beat Smith in a time scramble following a complex Dragon Sicilian. Metge obtained a rook and two pawns for two bishops against me but eventually the bishops started to assert themselves; a mistake by Metge turned a bad position into a lost one. Stuart beat Marsick and Nigel Hopewell beat

## his brother.

At the start of the final round Smith and Garbett had 5 points; Sarapu $4 \frac{1}{2}$; Stuart, Cornford \& N.Hopewell 4.

Smith beat Cornford in a game I was unable to witness. Sarapu was clearly winning against me after successfully 'opening up' a Closed Sicilian; however the position remained complex and ortvin got into bad time trouble. After many ups and downs he offered a dxaw in a position where he still had winring chances but was very likely to lose on time - I was happy to accept. Stuart beat N. Hopewell to tie Sarapu for third while Metge beat Greg Spencer--Smith in a one-sided game to finish fifth.
This event ended in a unique treble for Robert Smith; he financed it, directed it, and won it! He financed it due to the disappointing number of entries - he had guaranteed the prize fund of around $\$ 400$ but entry fees only came to about $\$ 240$ - a rather unfortunate state of affairs and sone other means of financing the tournent must e found for next year, Robert win he first prize of $\$ 150$ averted plats or emergency relier! He is inaving a excellent year and seem partieularl

Results: 1 R.W.Smith (Wai) 6/7; P.A.Garbett (NS) 5年; 3-4 O.Sarapu (NS) P.W.Stuart (NS) 5; 5 J.N.Metge (A) 4늘; 6-11 L.H.Cornford (A), M.G.Hopewell (A), N.H.Hopewell (A), L.D. Rawnsley (A), J.R.Stephenson (NS) \& P.A. Spencer-Smith (NS) 4; 12-14 I.E.Atkinson (NS), M.T.Brimble (Wai) \& G.J. Spencer-Smith (NS) 312 ${ }^{2}$; 15-19 K.W.Brett
A), B.H.P.Marsick (NS), J.A.O'Connor Wai), J.P.Robinson (Wai) \& J.Shield (Wai) 3; 20-21 C.Byford (A) \& M.K. Morrison (NS) 2 $\frac{1}{2} ; 22$ R.G.Williams (Wai) 2; 23 J.McRae (Pap) 1\%.

MITH - M.HOPEWELL, Nimzowitsch Defence: e4 Nc6 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 Nf6 4 Nc 3 a a Be 2 Bg 46 Be 3 e6 $70-0 \mathrm{Be} 78 \mathrm{~d} 5$ Nb 89 dxe6 Bxe6 $10 \mathrm{Nd} 40-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~b} 5$ 12 Nxe6 fxe6 13 Bf3 c6 14 g4 d5 15 g 5 Ne 8


16 exd5 b4 (If 16 ..exd5 or $16 \ldots$ cxd5 then 17 Nxd5! $17 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Qa5}$ (If 17 ..exd5, 18 Nb6 followed by $N x d 5$ ) $18 . . . B C 5$ ) $18 .$. bxe 319 dxc6 cxb 2 $20 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \quad 21 \mathrm{Qb}$ 3 Nb6 Ra6 24 Nd5 522 Qxe6+ Kh8 23 Nb6 Ra6 24 Nas STUART - SMITH, Dutch Defence: 1 c 4 e6 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{f} 5$ 5 0-0 Nf6 6 Nc3 Bb4 7 Qc2 $0-08$ a3 Bxc3 9 Qxc3 d6 10 d4 Nbd7 11 b4 Qe8 12 Bb 2 Ne 413 Qc 2 Qh 514 Rael Rae8 15 Nd2 Nxd2 16 Qxd2 Bxg2 17 Kxg2 g5 18 f3 Rf6 19 e $4 \mathrm{Rh} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{Kgl} f 4 \quad 21 \mathrm{~g} 4$ Qf7 $22 \mathrm{Re} 2 ?(22 \mathrm{Kg} 2) 22 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 4 \quad 23 \mathrm{Qd} 3$ $5524 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \quad 25 \mathrm{Rxg} 4 \operatorname{Rxg} 4 \quad 26 \mathrm{fxg} 4$ Nf6 27 Qf3 e5 28 d5 Qh7 29 Rel Kf7? (29...Qh4) 30 Kg 2 ? (Overlooking the same point; best is $30 \mathrm{h3}$ although Black may well be able to break through decisively on the queenside) $30 \ldots$.. Qh4 31 Re2 Nxg4 32 h3 Nf6 33 Qf2 Qh5 34 ff g4 35 hxg4 Rg8 36 Kfl Rxg4 37 Rf2 Qg6 $38 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Rg} 3 \quad 39$ Qh1 Qxe4+, - 1 .

GARBETT -- M.HOPEWELL, King's Indian: I Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 d4 Bg7 4 gJ 0-0 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 660-0 \mathrm{Nbd} 77 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{c} 68 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ e5 9 Rdl Re8 10 e4 Qb6 11 c5 dxc5
 Be3 Rad8 16 Rd2 Bc8 17 Radl Ne6 18 b3 Rxd2 19 Nxd2 Bf8 20 Nc4 Rd8 21 Rxd8 Nxd8 22 Qd2 Né 23 b4 Be7 24 f4 exf4 25 gxf4 Nh5 26 Nd6 Nhg7 27 f5 gxf5 28 exf5 Nf8 29 Be4 Nd7 30 Bf4 Nf6 31 Be2 Nd5 32 Be5 Bf6 $\quad 33$ Bxf6 Nxf6 $34 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Qd8} \quad 35 \quad$ Qg5 Bd7 36 Nxf 7 Qe7 37 Ne5 Kf8 38 Qxf6+ Qxf6 39 Nxd7+, $1-0$.

## Olympiad Fund Tournament

## Report: Paul Spilder

This year there has been a much more concerted effort by NZCA to raise fund for our Mens' and Womens ' teams
travelling to the World Chess Olympiad. This has included a national raffle, a national appeal through the New Zeal Chess magazine, and more recently a imultaneous chess exhiblen by Grand FIDE, at the Hotel Intercontinental in Auckland
Following this initiative the Auckland Chess Association decided to get in on the act by sponsoring a oneay $30 / 30$ then going to the travel fund. Althoug entrles drifted in fay late entries otal to 24 , a con handle for the
This sort of tournament usually produces plenty of excitement and the inevitable furious time-scrambles. The tournament attracted a strong entry of local players and one or two dark horses. The youngest competitor was he 11-year-old American, Scott Berger ho proved himself a talented young layer and was unlucky not to score better.
The first round produced an upset on bard one when Sarapu was lucky to hold the draw after losing a piece against Sulius Bojtor. Perhaps Ortvin was playing the "Swiss Gambit."
after three rounds Glenn Turner, on the comeback trail in chess, emerged sole leader after defeating Peter Stuart in a drawish rook-and-pawn ending. Meanwhile Garbett drew with Michael Hopewell, leaving these two players and Sarapu (after a win over Whaley) with $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Sarapu took the lead in round 4 after inning against Turner. Garbett drew with Stuart while Hopewell drew with Whaley after both flags had fallen. Further down the field Simon Fitzpatrick and Greg Spencer-Smith moved up to 3 points.
After round 4 there was a short lunch break and hungry chess players readily devoured Alan Hignett's monstrous helpings of mashed potato, eggs, and baked beans. Those who did not have
ast-iron stomachs preferred to seek fuge at the local takeaway bar Round 5 was really the decider when Sarapu was lucky to beat Garbett after but then played incredibly badly. out tien played incred 1 y bady. However, the half-hour the cone Glenn Curner and unrated Simon Fitzpatrick furner and unated simp mo ad Michael Hopewell respectively and Michael Hopew urner mated young Spencer-Smith with neat queen to lose a piece or get mopewe.
Round 6 saw a remarkable finish to the tournament. Sarapu beat Simon Fitzpatrick on time, just as Fitzatrick seemed to be creating some This gave Sarapu a winning margin of 1 points, quite incredible in a sixround tournament.
Garbett joined Fitzpatrick and Turner in second place (after beating the latter), as did Hopewell, Stuart, and Katrine Metge - hence a six-way fie for second. This must be the first time for many years that a woman has won a major prize in an open Swiss tournament in New Zealand. Grade prizes were won by K. Metge, J. Bojtor, G. Spencer-Smith, and S. Fitzpatrick. All in all, the tournament proved to e a successfill experiment, with a total of $\$ 234$ going to the Olympic ravel fund. For Sarapu it was a double success, since his $\$ 66$ first rize cheque will also help to defray some of the travelling costs to Switzerland.

Scores: 1 0. Sarapu 5 $\frac{1}{2}$; 2-7 P.A. Garbett. P.W. Stuart, G.M. Turner, M.G. Hopewell, Mrs K. Metge \& S. Fitzpatrick 4; 8-11 M.G. Whaley, L.D. Rawnsley, M.T. Brimble \& G. J. Spencersinith $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 12-15 R. Wood, J. Bojtor, G. Thorne \& I.E. Atkinson 3; 16-18 A.J. Henderson, M.K. Morrison \& R. lampton $2 \frac{12}{2}$ 19-2i T. Smith, L.R. Rudkins \& S. Berger 2; 22-24 J. McRae is L.V. Carline-Powell \& B. Turner 1 .

## Canterbury Report

Early in the year the Handicap Swiss tournament was held. Two changes this time: real handicaps - odds of two
moves, a pawn, the exchange, a piece through to a queen - and at the end more to savour than mere success. Committee member and farmer Keith
Brannigan generously gave a half sheep a fore-quarter, a hind-quarter, and
some bags of potatoes as prizes.
It was DOP Peter Cribbett's agonizing job to sort the odds-givers and receivers into six grades and direct
raffic. As a result he had to suffer the abuse of the enforced givers, desplte at the tourney's end-giver aving a general plus over recelvers
Leading, and giving odes all the way was Michael Leese, guaranteed at least srian Nil "re luck" in lan Nuan got lucky in thi petising encour lu the countback, thereby dragging or his ler $(5 / 6)$ After Miohe xerciaed hi ap (5/6). Aft lin t the"chuck wago" were to line ) Ji Her llowed by Wilke ( H ) Hampl

The following shows that offering young (14-year-old) Hampl pawn and move auses him to salivate.
HA MPL - POST (without KBP)
1 e4 е́ $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 63 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nf6} 4$ e5 Nd5 $5 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 66 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bd} 3$ O-0 8 a3 Rf7 9 Qc2 g6? 10 h 4 ! a5?! 11 Bh6 Rxf3 12 gxfl Nxd4 13 Qdi Nc6 14 f d6 $\quad 15 \mathrm{Qg} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 16 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Qg} 8 \quad 17 \mathrm{llxg} 6+$ hxg6 18 Rgl Ke8 19 Bxg6+ Kd8 20 exd6 Bxd6 21 Bg5t Ne 722 Bxe7+ Bre7 23 0-0-0+ Bd7 24 Bf5 Qxg4 25 Rxg 4 Bf 26 Bxe6 Ke7 27 Bxd7 Nxd7 28 Nd5t. 1. -0

A Gambit tournament was held in April with five possible gambits (King's, Queen's, Morra, Evans, and Blackmar Diemer) and four Swiss rounds. A suit ably random selection method to decide which gambit members were to be favoured with on a particular night was used.

Next we had a match tournament with a tennis draws players advancing by winning matches progressively against their opponents. Eventual winner of
the A-grade was - you guessed - Michael
Interspersed amongst this mainline activity we have had some weekenders. In December a 7 -round $40 / 40$ was won by A. J. Lloyd 7/7; 2-3 G. Davies and O. N. Thomson $5 / 7 ; 4$ A. J. Nijman $4 \frac{1}{2} / 7$. In March a 5 -round Swiss ( 45 moves in 90 minutes) was won by A. J. Lloyd 5/5; 2 A. J. Nijman 4/5; 3 R. Wilson $3 \frac{1}{2} / 5$. Finally, in May, a 7 -round 40/40 was won by Peter van Dijk 51/2/7; $2-3$ Edward ilkinson and David Weegenar 5/7.
Currently in progress are the Canterbury Club Championships. In contrast to last year's not very well attended Champs, this year we have attracted an impressive (by our standards) 38 entries. Divided into three grades (with 16 in the A-grade), the competition so far has been intense.
After experimenting last year with the Otago three-1eg system, we have another, as yet untested, format fresh from the drawing boards of the match comittee - this one based on the Hong Kong Zonal. The top 16 are divided in two, each half playing a round-robin. The top four of each half then fill in the gaps of a round-robin of the top 8 the bottom group does the same. These final results (Well inal results (Well, those in the -grade apparently grasp the complex ities).

Fund Raising - almost too late to report, but as information has been carce of late from Canterbury
Last September Vernon Small gave a Chrisard shal at Merivale Mall, sition te conce Againse strong oppohad four losed to 12 draws and on, Michael Freeman Peter Cribbett n, and an Apple II microcomputer保
What made the simulat
What made the simul arguably the most es the $\$ 1,700$ et from No Zeala fter expenses. Demonstrisors lities most hadn't imagined cosmitte member Jim Mathieson organised a venue and media coverage; he also, and this was the largest part requiring weeks work, managed to convince businesses to sponsor individual boards at $\$ 50$ each
his simul shows what sort of funds can re raised with the right know-how and a lot of hard work.
Half of the $\$ 1700$ went towards badly needed club renovations and the other \$850 assisted Vernon in representing lew Zealand at the Zonal in Hong Kong last February.
Another fundraising venture recently completed for this year was the Potato bridge Rugby team has been putting into action for several years, the club has been growing and selling potatoes. Keith Bramnigan, who owns a farm at Southbridge, has generously given the club the use of three acres on whict to grow the potatoes. Not only has he done that, but he has been the main force in organising the project. Clut members readily supplied the labour for lifting the potatoes, bagging and selling. In the second year of the project's operation the club is looking forward to $\$ 2200$ nett. The club owes a debt of gratitude to Keith and other members who are willing to do so much.

## National Ratings contd

Naturally, too, Jim Cater and Ewen Green had a first responsibility to their new company - a fact which has made Ewen a rare tournament competitor this year - and the result was well, a traffic jam! An up-to-date list was produced prior to the last Congress but this only included players rated over 1900 or who were active during 1981 and was not published - although a 'top $50^{\prime}$ 1ist was omitted from last December's issue only through lack of space.
Over the last few months Councillors Bob Gibbons and Michae1 Whaley have taken over most of the 'donkey work' and the list is now updated to mid-1981, Since then there have been several more tournaments, including the South Island Championship. We sincerely hope (and pray!) that we will be back on schedule within another month or two.
It should be apprectated that rating a year's tournaments involves some hu dreds of man hours - I am sure that Mike when the list is finally published!

## Local News

The 2nd HAWKES BAY RESIDENTS OPEN attracted 22 entries and was a Swiss event of six rounds held at the Hawkes Bay Community College over tw consecutive Sundays in June
Hastings players dominated the event with Mike Earle retaining his ticle o tre-break from Dave Lynch anter both had scored four wins and two draws. The dark horses of the toun ${ }^{1}$ Mar Shane story ( ) for ) caster (Taradale) wo took
second B-grade prizes respectively:
Resulus: 1-2 M. Larle o Lancaster \& S.Severinsen 4; 7-9 J.Ait ken, $Q$. Severinsen ( 3 rd B -grade) \& M . Wiggins $33,10-14 \mathrm{C}$. Goodwillie, R.von't Steen, C.Wilcor, J.Locke \& S.Mitchell 3; $15 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Mi} 1 \mathrm{man}$ 2t: $16-20 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{Scott}, \mathrm{P}$ , 15 N, Mhare Thompson 2; 21 A. Were 1; 22 B.Short 0 The tournament was generously sponsored by the Daily Telerraph newspaper of Napier which provided $\$ 200$ in prize money as well as exceilent coverage. GARNETT -- EARLE, Queen's Pawn Opening: 1 d4 d5 2 e3 Nf6 3 Bd3 e6 4 Nd2 c5 5 c3 b6 6 f4 Ba6? (Black was under the illusion that after 7 Bxas Nxa6 8 Qa4+ Qd7 9 Qxa6 he is able to trap the queen; fortunately, his opponent misses it) 7 Bxa6 Nxa6 8 Nf3? (B Qa4+! wins material as above note) 8...Nb 89 Ne5 $\mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 10 \quad 0-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Ndf} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \quad 12 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Ne}$ 13 Nd2? (Wasting time; it was better t press ahead with a kingside pawn advance) 13...f6! 14 Nd3 Nc6 15 Rf3 e5 16 Rh3 cxd4 17 Nxe4 dxe4 18 Nf2 dxe 3 19 Rxe3 (If 19 Bxe3, exf4 leads to a parn advance in the centre) $19 . . . \mathrm{Bcs}$ 20 Rxe4 Bxf2+! 21 Kxf2 Rad8 22 Qb3+ Kh8 23 Be3 exf4 24 Bxi4 Nes 25 Ke 2 Qd7! (Striking simultaneously at 94 and a3) 26 c4 Qxg 42

The 1982 WELLINGTON INTERCLUB title was won by Civic 1 with $23 \frac{1}{2}$ out of a possitle $28-4 \frac{1}{2}$ points clear of Upper Hutt in second place. Then came Wellington 18, Tawa 17, Hutt Valley 13 , Wellington 18 , Tawa 17, Hutt $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cfyic } 2 \text { 91- } \\ & \text {, Pencarrow }\end{aligned}$

For the winning team Leonard McLaren (Board 1) scored 5/7 David Beach (2) 7/7, Bemard Carpinter (3) 5/5, and

Upper Hutt took the top two places in the B-grade event, their no. 2 team scoring $18 / 24$ and the no. 1 team $13 \frac{1}{2}$. Then came Tawa $12 \frac{1}{2}$, Pencarrow 12, Hutt Valley \& Civic $9 \frac{1}{2}$, Wellington 9 .

The Wanganui Chess Club recently held the WESTERN BUILDING SOCIETY 40-40 as a five-round Swiss with twenty-seven entrants.
Tied for first place were H.P. Whitlock, A.Sangster, G.Hoskyn, P.Cooper \& .I. Bell all with $4 / 5$. Next were T. Bos well \& H.Jones on $3 \frac{1}{2} / 5$.
Winners of the six grades in the Wanganui club's latest roundrobin series were, respectively: G.Hoskyn ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ /3), P.Cooper (3/3), M.Berry (2/3), R. Painter (3/3), D.Berry (5/5) and V.Hoskyn ( $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$ ).

The first leg of the BURNHAM CUP was played at Palmerston North and the result was a win for visiting team Wanganuí by 7 : 5 .

## WANGANUI

1 H.P.Whitlock
P.Vetharaniam 0-1 M.Chye
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { T. Boswell } & 0-1 & \text { D.J.Coope } \\ & 0-1 & \text { R.Shuker }\end{array}$
G.Hoskyn $\quad$ R.Shuker
P. Cooper $\frac{1-0}{1 / 2}$ I.Whitson
D.I.Bell
D. Burnham
D. Shalav
G. Redit
C. Ward
S. Booth

In another match, played ina Wanganui scored a close win against New Wanganui scored a clo
Plymouth by $6 \frac{1}{2}: 5 \frac{1}{2}$.
lymouth by $6 \frac{2}{2}$ : $5 \frac{1}{2}$.
Scores (Wanganui names first) in board order: H.P. Whitlock $\frac{1}{2}$, K.M.Oke $\frac{1}{2}$; T.Boswell 1, K.Hull 0; G.Hoskyn $\frac{1}{2}$ J. Billing $\frac{1}{2}$; A.Sangster 0, R.Bowler 1; .Cooper $\frac{1}{2}$, C.Bolton $\frac{1}{2}$; D.I.Bell 1, S . A.Crawford 0. M. Walker 0; S. Booth 1 .Grawford ; W.Ward 0, D.Walker M. Grey 1 .

In a first round BLACKBURN CUP match Tawa defeated Upper Hutt by $7-5$.

UPPER HUTT G.Aldridge 1-0 Z.Frankel D.M.Stracey C.Frase
R.Dive R.Wood R.S.Mitchell R.S.Mitchel
D. Bennett A. Aldri.dge
M.Small
M. Small
T. Powell
P.Skurr
P.Skurr
I.Pronk $1-0$
$0-1$ $0-1$
$1-0$ $1-0$
$1-0$ . Carter J. Hartley C.M.Be11 S. Brown R.T.Ferguson A. Urake A. Drake D. Heremaia
P.Reid

In first round BLEDISLOE CUP matches Auckland defeated Wellingtom by 12-8 and Otago won against Canterbury although we have not yet heard any deails of the South Island match. The Auckland-Wellington match was reported in the last issue with an on-the-night score of $99_{2}-6 \frac{1}{2}$; the final core, after adjudications, was 12-8 and the adjudicated games resulted (Auckland names first): Stuart 0, Spiller 1; -ibbons 1, Hartley 0, Dreyer 1, Stanto 0 ; Bridges $\frac{1}{2}$, Teece $\frac{1}{1}$.

## AUCKLAND INVITATION TOURNAMENT:

The forerumer of this event was held as long ago as 1975 and was generally reckoned to have been a success. It was played on Sunday evenings, however, and the re-introduction of the Interclub competition in 1977 probably dampened enthusiasm for a repeat.
Finally, this year, Nigel Metge and the Auckland Centre rekindled the flame with a couple of changes. First, the tournament was played on Sunday aftertoons; secondly (and naturally enough) the organisers gave preference to their own members although the event was
nevertheless very strong.
The organisation was very good but it was a pity that some players did not match Nigel's effores. It was not unusual for only three of the six games to be played on the scheduled day; one player even accepted his invitation to play knowing he would be unable to play more than a handful of his games on Sarapu turned up twice for a particula game only to have no opponent -- it was to his great credit that he turned up
three times!
Due to these factors it is difficult to discuss the progress of the tournaent but the crosstable below tells much of the story:

## S S GHWCSSBMTC

Sarapu $0 \quad \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 001 \frac{1}{2} 111111118 \frac{1}{2}$
 Garbett $\mathrm{FA} A \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times \mathrm{x}$ Hopewell MG $101 \times 10 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111 \frac{1}{2} 1$ Watson $B R \quad 0 \frac{1}{2} 10 \begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 6\end{array}$ Green EM $\quad \frac{1}{2}$



 Comford L 0100001000000 x
Despite a round one loss to Hopewell, Ortvin Sarapu won the tournament by a close margin; he also had a close shave in a postponed seventh round game when he blundered a pawn to Smith but still drew. Otherwise his play was mostly
convincing and his results fully earned. Paul Garbett was a mixture of very good and very bad (a horrible loss Hopewell and what should have been a orrile loss to stuart but good revailed and Paul was in lre for a Share of first place ight the bly hiseco, Robert bly his es I sault; ar Cornd gam sath lost ornford, a gall
ell have won.
Perhaps the biggest success story of the tournament was Michael Hopewell's lear fourth including the scalps of in the $1978 / 79$ Prem Peserve to this is fore tic
There was lites over three years.
There was our, none of whom was at ery badly in several instances while Greer's play was not rearly as solid as usual: of course it is never easy to play consistent 1 y well over a perio of three months or more
Paul Beach, after a long lay-off,
ook a long time to get into gear but is coffeehouse style eventually nette him four wins.
One game from the event was published in last month's Games section; two more appear in this month's Games section

OLYMPIAD APPEAL
With less than six weeks to go before the 0lympiad teams leave for Lucerne the Appeal total is nearing $\$ 1000-$ a little disappointing when the total cost for the teams is around $\$ 20,000$. Nevertheless, our thanks go to those who have contributed. The Appeal will remain open until the end of November so there is still time to do your bil Donations should be sent to: The Adninistration Officer, New Zealand Chess Association, P.O. Box 8802,
Symonds Street, Auckland.
Donations received since the last acknowledgements:
AUCKLAND:
I. McIntyre
I. McIntyre
W.J. Palmer
G.L. Pitts
B.R. Watson

Auckland Chess Assn (Tourn.)
Simul by 0lafsson
Previously acknowledged

## Total

WELLINGTON:
B.A. Carpinter
20.00
E. Boekholt
1.00
10.00
5. Bridger
E. Brown
A. Drake
J. Hartley
J.M. Hillock
3. Hofsteede
A. Perry
A. Reid
P. Reid

Mrs G. Sims
M. Sinclair

Total
REST NORTH T.SLAND:
Previous.ly acknowledged
Total
SOUTH ISLAND:
R.A. Dowden (Simul)

Previously acknowledged

## Interzonals - Las Palmas \& Toluca

LAS PALMAS $\quad 1 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \text { Pts }\end{array}$

| 1 | Ribli | HUN | G | 2580 | x | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Smyslov | USR | G | 2565 | 0 | x |  | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 | Suba | RUM | G | 2525 | 1/2 | 0 | x | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | /2 | 0 | 8 |
| 4 | Tukmakov | USR | G | 2555 | 0 | 1 | 1 | x | 1 | 3/2 | 1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 0 | 1 | 1/2 | 告 | 1 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5 | Petrosian | USR | G | 2605 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 0 | x | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1 | 1/2 | /2 | L/2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 6 | Timman | NL | G | 2600 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 0 | $x$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | \% | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 7 | Larsen | EN | G | 2595 | 0 | 1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | $x$ | 0 | 1/2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8 | Pinter | HUN | I | 2550 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 1 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | /2 | 1/2 | 6 |
| 9 | Psakhis | USR | I | 2615 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | , | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1 | 6 |
| 10 | Kar1sson | SWE | I | 2505 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 11 | Mestel | ENG | I | 2540 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $x$ | 0 | $2 / 2$ | 1/2 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 12 | Sunye | BRZ | I | 2500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1/2 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | x | 1/2 | 1 | 51/2 |
| 13 | Bouaziz | TUN | I | 2360 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | x | 0 | 412 |
| 4 | Browne | USA | G | 2590 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | x | 3 |

When the Interzonal selections were made (see June, page 54) Jan Timman was easily the top-rated player in the fieln, but the new ratings out hirl July saw Lev Psaknis with the ronal. ating in the Las Palmas Ratings, the , proved, guide to and Iarsen (originally seeded second) and Larsen (oxiginally seeced second sixth, while top-seed Psakhis, backinth, Soviet Championship winner fared even worse
Instead, the qualifiers for the Candidate series were Hungary's Zoltan Ribli and veteran Russian ex-World Champion Vassily Smyslov, now 61. Th early leader was Tukmakov, who beat Smyslov in round 3. However, Tuknakov suffered consecutive defeats in round 8 and 9 against the two Hungarians, thus allowing first Smyslov and then Ribli to slip ahead. Ribli took the lead for himself by beating Smyslov in the penultimate round and both were virtually assured of qualifying before the last round.
Another surprise was the third placing of Mihai Suba, who defeated Larsen in his last game. If either Ribli or Smyslov declines to play in the Candidates then Suba will take his place. Tukmakov tried for many hours to win his last-round game against Timman (and thus force a play-off for the reserve spot) but to no avail.
Petrosian lost only once but drew too many games to finish equal with

Tukmakov, while Timman and Larsen, the two pre-tournament favourites, were not at their best
In the drawing of lots Walter Browne drew no. 1 but there his luck ended he scored but half a point in his last seven games:

TUKMAKOV - RIBLI, Symmetrical English 1 Nf3 c5 2 c4 Nf6 3 g3 b6 4 Bg 2 Bb 5 o-0 e6 $6 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Be} 77 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} 8 \mathrm{Qxd}$ d6 9 Rdl a6 10 Ng 5 Bxg 211 Kxg 2 Nc $12 \mathrm{Qf} 4 \mathrm{O}-0 \quad 13 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \quad 15$ Nee4 Ne8 16 Racl Qa8 17 Nf 3 Qb 718 Kgl h 619 Rd 2 IS 20 Ne 3 es 21 Qe3 e4 22 Nxe4 fxe4 23 Qxe4 Nd8 24 Qg6 Bf6 25 Nd 4 Kh 826 Rcdl Rdf7 27 f 3 Qd7 $28 \mathrm{Ba} 3 \mathrm{~b}-29 \mathrm{Cxb5}$ axb 30 Kg Qb7 31 Nc 2 bes 32 Rus Nc 33 Ra3

BROWNE - SMYSLOV, Bogo-Indian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 Bb4+ 4 Bd2 a5 5 g3 d5 6 Bg2 dxc4 Qc2 Nc6 Qxc4 Qd5 9 Qxd5 exd5 10 Nc3 Вe6 11 Rcl a4 12 Nb5 Bxd2+ 13 Kxd2 Kd8 14 Ne5 Ra5 15 Nxc6+ bxc6 16 Nc3 Ke7 17 Nd1 Rd6 18 f3 c5 19 dxc5+ Rxc5 20 Rxc5 Kxc5 21 Nc3 Kb4 22 Rcl c5 23 e3 d4 24 exd4 cxd4 25 a3+ Kb3 26 Ndl Bc4 2.7 Nf2 Nd5 28 Ne4 Ne3 29 Nc5+ Ka2 30 Bh3 Bb3 31 Bd7 Nc4+ 32 Kd3, $0-1$.

SUBA - SMYSLOV, English
1 c4 e5 2 Nc 3 Nf6 3 Nf3 Nc6 4 e3
$\overline{\mathrm{Bb}} 45$ Qc2 Bxc3 6 bxc3 $0-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6$ $80-0$ e $4 \quad 9$ Nel Bf5 10 Qb2 b6 11 f Od7 12 fxe4 Nxe4 13 d3 Nc5 14 Rf4 Bg6 15 Qd2 Rae8 16 Nc2 Ne6 17 Rf1 f5 $18 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \mathrm{Ncd} 819 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \quad 20 \mathrm{Ba} 3$ Nde6 21 Rael c6 22 Nf4 Nxf4 23 exf4 Nb7 24 Rf3 Rxel 25 Rxel Re8 26 Kf 2 Rxel 27 Qxe1 Bf7 28 Qe3 Nd8 29 Bdl c5 30 $\mathrm{Bc} 1 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 31 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 6 \quad 32 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{Bf} 3$ Qd7 $34 \mathrm{cxd5}$ Bxd5 35 Be 2 Ne6 36 Be 3
 $40 \mathrm{Qb} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 741 \mathrm{Bc} 1 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \quad 42 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \mathrm{Kf} 643$ Kgl Be4 44 Qf2 Re7 45 Bd 2 Nd 646 gh4 h6 47 Qhy Kg8 48 Qg6 Bds 49 Bel Bt. 50 Qg 3 Qe4 51 Qh4 Kh7 52 Bf2 Bd5 53 Qd8 Nb5 54 Qxb6 Nxc3 55 Qxa5 Ne2t 56 Bxe2? Qxg2 mate, 0 -- 1.

Smyslov's consumate skill in the endgame brought him many points at las Palmas. After this first round loss to Smyslov, however, the Rumanian played the following exciting game the next day:
PSAKHIS - SUBA, Modern Benoni:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 c5 4 d5 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 $6 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Bg} 78 \mathrm{e} 3$ $0-0$ Nar Nab 13 e4 Re8 He 2 Nc 7 Nb5 16 B13 16 17 Nas 18 Na
 Bxf6 Bxf6 23 d6 Od8 24 Og $4 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 25$ f5 h5 26 oh3 gxf5
(Diagram) 32 Racl $\mathrm{Ba} 6 \quad 33$
Bxb5 34 Rxc8+ $\mathrm{Bxb5}$
$\mathrm{Kh} 7,1-0$.

## TOLUCA



27 Ne 4 fxe4 28 Qxh5 Ne6 29 Rae Rh8 $30 \mathrm{Qg} 4+\mathrm{Ng} 5$ 31 Rf5 Rh4 32 Qg Ref 33 Rfl Rxf5 34 Rxf5 Kg6 35 Qg4 Qxd6 36 h 4 Qd4+ 37 Kh 2 Qe3 38 Bxf7+ Kxf7 39 Qxg5 Qxg5 40 mge5 Kg6 41 Rxf6+ Kxg5 42 Rf7 b6 Ra7 bxa5 $44 \mathrm{Rxa6} \mathrm{Rb} 545 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Kf5}$, - 1 .

PETROSIAN - PSAKHIS, Symmetrical English: 1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 e6 3 Nf3 c5
 8 Nxd4 g6 $9 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 2$ a6 $10 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \mathrm{I} 11$ Rdl $\mathrm{Qb} 8 \quad 12$ a4 $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 12 \\ 7 & 13 & a 5 & 0-0 & 14 & \text { axb } 6\end{array}$ Nxb6 $15 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \quad 16 \mathrm{Bf} 4$ e5 17 Be 3 Bc 8 18 Na5 Ra8 19 Qd3 Be6 20 b3 Nc8 21 h3 h5 22 b4 Qc7 23 Nd5 Nxd5 24 cxd5 Bd7 25 Rdcl Qb8 26 Nc6 Qb7 27 Bf1 f5
$\qquad$

28 Qxa6! Rxa6 29 Bxa6 Bxc6 (else the knight is prone to give a devasta ting check on e7, e.g. 29....Qab 30 Bxc8, etc) 31 Rc 7 Rf 7

| 1 | Portisch | RuN | G | 2625 | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Torre | PHI | G | 2535 | 1/2 |
| 3 | Spassky | USR | G | 2610 | 0 |
| 4 | Ivanov | CAN | I | 2505 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5 | Yusupoy | USR | G | 2555 | 1 |
| 6 | Polugaevsky | USR | G | 2610 | $1 / 2$ |
| 7 | Selrawan | USA | G | 2595 | 1 |
| 8 | Numis | ENG | G | 2565 | 0 |
| 9 | Balashor | USR | G | 2555 | 0 |
| 10 | Adorian | HUN | G | 2510 | 0 |
| 11 | Hulak | Yug | G | 2495 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 12 | Rubinetti | ARG | I | 2415 | 0 |
| 13 | Rodriguez A | CUB | G | 2480 | 0 |
| 14 | Kouatly | LEB | I. | 2440 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Category 12 | (2535) | $\mathrm{G}=8$ |  |  |

While Portisch not surprisingly took one of the top two places, few would have picked Torre to join him ahead of such players as Spassky, Polugaevsky and Seirawan. The Philippino GM will be the first player from the Asian zones
to participate in the Candidates The Toluca Interzonal had a longer tail with four players rated under 2500 (compared to just one at Las Palmas), a factor leading to slightly higher score at the top.

Seirawan was the early leader with $3 \frac{1}{2} / 4$ including a win over Portisch in round three but a loss to Numn in round five allowed the field to close up． Portisch had started slowly wich $2 \frac{1}{2} / 5$ but then won four in a row including a cound rine win on the black side of a with an even slower start of $2 / 5$ ，cane back with a five－game winning streak， Polugaevsky and Seirawan among his vic－ tims．Thus，after ten rounds，Torre led with 7 points with Fortisch a half point in arrears；then came Seirawan Spassky and Yusupov（who had just brought Portisch＇s run of wins to an end）all on 6 points．
In the eleventh round Torre drew a long uphill struggle against Portisch while Spassky drew with Nunn．Yusupov sky）both lost
Portisch caught up with Torre in the penultimate round by beating Balashov while the Philippino drew with Adorian Spassky beat Rubinetti to be a half－ point back while Seirawan，Polugaevsky and Ivanov all won to be one point off the pace
In the last round Torre（white）drew with Nunn very quickly while Portisch （black）drew with Polugaevsky using a new move in the Queen＇s Indian．Ivanov held the draw againet Spassky thus de－ priving the ex－World Champion of a chance at a play－off with Portisch and Torre．
PORTISCH－SEIRAWAN，Nimzoindian Defence： 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Ne3 bb4 4 e 3 c 5 5 Bd3 Nc6 6 Nf3 Bxc3 7 bxc3 d6 $80-0$

 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{Nf} 8 & 19 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 6 & 20 & \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{Nh} 4 & 21 \mathrm{Rf} 2 \mathrm{cxd} 4\end{array}$ 22 Nxd4 Bxc4 23 e4 Qe5 24 Rg 1 Bxe2 25 Rxe2 $\mathrm{Ng} 5 \quad 26$ a6 d5 27 Rg 5 QF4 428 exd5 Rxc3 29 Qxc3 Qxg5 30 d6 h5 31 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Qc6 Rd8 } & 32 & \text { Qb5 } 5 & \text { Qf4 } 43 & 33 & \text { Qd } 3 & \text { Re } 8 & 34 & \text { Qe3 }\end{array}$
 Ne 4 3 d 7 Nxe $3139 \mathrm{~d} 80+\mathrm{Kh} 7,0-1$. NUNN－SEIRAWAN，Caro－Kann Defence：
 Be 2 e6 6 Bxh5 c5 7 Be2．cxd4 8 Nb
 12 Nge 2 a6 $13 \mathrm{Ba}_{4} \mathrm{~b} 514 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Na}$ is $\mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Oc} 16 \mathrm{~F} 4 \mathrm{Ne}, 17$ owb Bh 10 cy g5 19 Qel bxe2 23 Qxe2 gxe4 21 Bxf Qxc6 25 Bxe5 Nxe5 26 Oxe5 Od6 27

Rxf7＋， 1 － 0 ．
SPASSKY－PORTISCH，Closed Sicilian 1 e4 c5 2 Nc3 d6 3 g3 Nc6 4 Bg 2 g 6 5 d 3 Bg 76 f 4 e6 $7 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nge7} 8 \mathrm{O}-0$ $0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 4410 \mathrm{Rbl}$ Rb8 $11 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Nxf} 3+$ 12 Bxf3 b6 $13 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 15$ gxf5 exf5 16 c4 Qd7 17 Qd2 Rbe8 18 Rbel Nc6 19 Bg 2 Na 420 Kh 1 fxe 421 dxe4 h5 22 Qd3 h4 23 Bxd4 cxd4 24 Ne 2 h 325 Bf 3 Qe 26 Qd2 g5 27 Kgl gxf4 28 Nxd4 Qt6 29 Nb5 Rd8 30 Nxal Ra8 31 Nb5 Rxa2 32 Qxa6 Rxb2 33 Qxf6 Rxf6 37 R Rgbr 38 Rfl Ry 39 Rd1 Bxes 07 Rxa Bf 440 Rf $43 \operatorname{Rd} 7+\mathrm{Kf6}, 0-1$.

ADORIAN－HULAK，Symmetrical English 1 c4 c5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Ne3 Neb 4 d4 cxd4 5 Nxd4 e6 6 g3 Bc5 7 Nb 3 Be 78 Bg2 0－0 9 0－0 d6 10 Bf4 Nh5 11 Be3
 $15 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 16$ c5 d5 17 e4 d4 $18 \mathrm{Nd6}$ Bxd6 19 cxd6 f6 20 e5 Ngxe5 21 Nc 5 Qxd6 22 f4 Nd7 23 fug 5 Nxe5 24 gxf6 e5 25 Qh5 Rf7 26 Bh6 Ne6 27 Be4 Nf8 28 Qg $5+\mathrm{Kh} 829 \mathrm{Qg} 7+, 1-0$.

Internationally，the Interzonals hold centre stage at the present－here we give brief news of some other events．

BUDAPEST（Dr Elekes memorial）： 1 GM Adorian（HUN） $8 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ；2－3 Yudasin（USR） \＆GM Taimanov（USR） $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ； $4-5$ IM Petran （HUN）\＆GM Uh1mann（DDR）7； 6 IM Sze－ kely（HUN）6； 7 IM Burger（USA）5 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 8 IM Frey（MEX）4 $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ；9－10 IM Rajna（HUN） \＆Zsinka（HUN）4； 11 Marosi（HUN）2 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$
12 Glatt（HUN） 2 ．
Adorian also won the brilliancy prize for this game：
ADORIAN－GLATT，English
1 c4 e5 2 Nc3 Nf6 3 Nf3 Nć 4 g3 Bb4 5 Nd5 Bd6 6 Bg2 Nxd5 7 cxd5 Ne 78 e4 c6 9 0－0 cxd5 10 d4 dxe4 11 Nxe5 Qc7 12 Bf4 f6 13 Rcl Qb8 14 Bxe 4 fxe5 15 dxe5 Be7 16 Qh5＋g6 17 Qh6 Bxe5 18 Rxc8＋Nxc8 19 Bxg6＋hxg6 20 Qxg6t Kd8 21 Qg5＋Ne7 22 Bxe5 Rg8 23 Qf4 Qc8 24 Rc1，1－0．

TITOGRAD：1－4 GM Forintos（HUN），GM Matulovic（YUG），GM Plachetka（CZ）\＆GM Tukmakov（USR）91／2／14；5－6 GM Jansa（CZ） GM Tringov（BUL）8 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 7 Vucenic（YUG）

caltea（RUM）\＆Perovic（YIG）7； 11 Brajovic（YUG）6； 12 IM Skembris（GRE） novic（YUG）\＆Milonjic（YUG） 3

ESBJERG：The 7th North Sea Cup tournament in July was a triumph for Ljubomir Ftacnik who built up a big lead and was able to coast home with 81 8－2／11； 2 IM Helmers（NOR）7\％；3－4 IM ．Hansen（DEN）$\&$ GM Jansa 7 CZ） 7 ； 5 Mortens（D） 12 play （USA） 6 ．． 12 players

KISLOVODSK：Untitled Soviet player Vorotnikov made a GM norm at the Kotov Memorial in July，He took first place with $8 / 11$ and was followed by GM Dorfman（USR）7；IM Lerner（USR），Stu－ rua（USR）\＆Donchev（BUL）6 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；GM Khol－ mov（USR） 6 ．．．． 12 players．

BIEL：The GM event at the annual chess festival in July saw a first place tie between Florin Gheorghiu（RUM） and John Nunn（ENG）each with $7 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ． The tournament was robbed of much ex－ pected interest when Viktor Korchnoi ithdrew just a few days before the start to attend to matters arising from his family＇s arrival in the West．
Scores：1－2 GM Gheorghiu \＆GM Nunn 7 7 年； 3 GM Hort（CZ）612 ${ }^{2}$ ；4－6 Gobel（SWI），IM Meduna（CZ）\＆IM Birnboim（ISR）6； IM Lobron（BRD） $5 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 8-9 \mathrm{GM}$ Mariotti （ITA）\＆IM Toth（ITA）5； 10 IM Wir－ thensohn（SWI） $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ； 11 FM R．Szmetan （ARG）4； 12 IM Cuartas（COL） $2 \frac{1}{5}$ ．
MARIOTTI－HORT，Caro－Kann Defence： 1 e 4 c6 2 Nc3 d5 3 Nf3 dxe4 4 Nxe4 Nf6 5 Qe2 Bg4 6 h 3 Bh 57 Ng 3 Bg 68 Ne5 Nbd7 9 Nxg6 hxg6 10 b3 e6 11 Bb 2 $\mathrm{Qa5} \quad 12$ a3 $\mathrm{Rh} 4 \quad 13 \mathrm{Qf} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \quad 14 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 4$ 5 Qd3 Qc7 16 Bf3 Be5 17 c 3 Bd6 18 Qc 2
 18．．．Rxf3 19 gxf3 Bxg 30 fxg3 Qxg3＋ 21 Kf1 Qxf3＋ $22 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Qg} 3+23 \mathrm{Kfl}$ Qf3＋ 24 Kgl Ne 4 $25 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Qe} 3+26 \mathrm{Kg} 2$ Qg3＋ 27 Kfl Qf $3+$ 28 Kgl Qe3＋ 29 $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Kg} 2 & \mathrm{Qg} 5+ & 30 & \mathrm{Kf} 1 \\ \mathrm{Ng} 3+ & 31 & \mathrm{Kg} 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 5+\end{array}$

## 32 Kh 2 Og 3 mate， $0-1$

AMSTERDAM：Played in July the OHRA tournament，sponsored by an insurance company，was a $32-\mathrm{player}$ Swiss with nine rounds．GM Hort took the lead with his fifth round victory over Nigel Short but the English juntor won all his remaining garaes to catch the Czech．
Scores：1－2 GM Hort（CZ）\＆IM Short （ENG）7； 3 GM Sosonko（NL）6 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 4 GM Ree（NL）6；5－9 Borm（NL），GM Yusupov （USR），GM M1les（ENG），FM Pleister（NL） IM van Wijgerden（NL） $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ．
Short＇s score was enough for a GM norm but it may not count as seven of his nine opponents were from the same coun－ try，the Netherlands．

BAD KISSINGEN（Women＇s Interzonal）： The first of the two Women＇s Interzonals （there are two，three qualifiers from each）was won by former World Champion Nona Gaprindashvili who won her last six games．Scores：Gaprindashvili（USR）12； Semenova（USR）11 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 3 Lematchko（ $B U L$ ） 1 ；4－5 Hund（BRD）\＆Klimova（CZ）10푼． 6 Litinskaya（USR）10； 7 Pogorevic RUM）91 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；8－10 Fatalibekova（USR）， Maksimovic（YUG）\＆Ivanka（HUN）7；＇ 11 Belle（NL）5；12－13 Borisova（SWE）\＆ Shterenberg（CAN）4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 14 Erenska－ Radzewska（POL）4；15－16 Arbunic（CHI） \＆Crotto（USA） 3.

## BRITISH CHAMPIONSHIP（August）：

 With an unbeaten 9／II Tony Miles won his first British Championship．Also unbeaten，in second place，was Jon peelman．Leading scorers in the $56-1$ GM Miles 9； 2 GM Speel－ nan 8；3－5 GM Keene，Kosten \＆IM Ravi－ kumar（IND） $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ；6－11 IM Bellin，IM Hartston，Hebden，IM P．Littlewood，Mc Nab （SCO）\＆Strauss 7；12－15 Hawksworth， Hodgson，Martin \＆IM Pritchett（SCO）61 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 16－24 Johansen（AUS），IM Parameswaran （IND），IM Plaskett，IM Taulbut ．．．． 6LONDON（Lloyds Bank Masters）．August－ September： 1 GM Miles $7 / 9$ ；2－5 GM Hort， IM Gutman（ISR），Hebden $\&$ Johansen（AUS） 7．6－7 GM Ftacnik（CZ）\＆Strauss（USA） 7i 6－7 GM Ftacnik（CZ）\＆Strauss（USA）
continued on page 120

## GAMES

Played on board three in the recent Bledisloe Cup match between Auckland and Wellington, the following game is an excellent illustration of Mark Levene's sharp style. Notes are by Mark.

## D.H.BEACH - M.LEVENE

## French Defence

1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc 3 c 5 ?!
An old move of Marshall's which is of doubtful value but, I think, underestinated by theory
4 Nf3
4 exd5 exd5 5 dxc5 is recommended by the books as good for White.
4...Nf6 5 Bg5 cxd4

More solid is 5... Be 7 .
6 Qxd4
If 6 Nxd4 then 6...dxe4 is quite sharp with dynamic equality.
$6 . . . \mathrm{Be} 77$ exd5 exd5 $80-0-0$ Be6 9 Bb5+
After 9 Bxf6 Bxf6 10 Od2 Bxc3 11 Qxc3 0-0 Black has an isolated d-pawn but attacking chances on the queenside.
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9 . . . N c 6 & 10 & \mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Qc} 7 & 11 & \text { f4 } & 0-0 & 12\end{array}$ Bxc6 bxc6 13 Rhe1 Rab8?!
Much better was 13...c5 with ...d4 to follow when Black's attacking chances on the queenside are real!
14 f5! Bxf5 15 Nxc6 Qxc6 16 Rxe7 Ne 4 !
Else Black dies!
17 Qxd5
Obviously 17 Nxe4 Bxe4 is not bad for Black.
17...Qb6!

Again the only chance (dfagram).


## 18 Nxe4?

This loses! White had two other interesting moves: (1) 18 Rxe4 Qxb2+ 19 Kd2 Bxe4 with ... Rbc8 to follow and White comes under strong pressure although I evaluate the position as unclear; (2) 18 Na 4 Qg6! with plenty o compensation for the sacrificed pawn.
18...Qxb2+ 19 Kd2 Rbd8

Not $19 . .$. Rfd8 as f 7 is left unprotected.
20 Nd6 Bxc2!
Not 20...Qxe2+ 21 Kel and White survives.
21 Qe5
Both $21 \mathrm{Rb} 7 \mathrm{Bb} 3+22 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Rfe8+!}$ and 21 Ke1 Bxdl 22 Kxdl Qb4+ are winning for Black.
21...Qxe5 22 Rxe5 Rxd6+, 0-1.

White resigns since $23 \mathrm{Kxc} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 8+24$ K-any Rxdl leaves Black the exchange and a pawn up.

The next three games, with light notes by Ortvin Sarapu, were played in the Auckland Centre's Invitational earlier this year.
0.SARAPU - P.S.SPILLER

Latvian Gambit
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 f5?!
It is dangerous to play the Latvian Gambit against an Estonian-born playe - neighbours know each other too well!

3 Nxe5 Qf6 4 d4 d6 5 Nc4 fxe4 6 Nc3 Qe6
This is supposed to be an improvement on 6...Og6
7 Ne3 c6 8 d5!
Stopping ...d5 and making it difficult for Black to form a plan of development.
$8 .$. Qg6 9 Be 2
Nff 6
$\mathrm{Bxf3} \mathrm{c} 5$ $10 \mathrm{f3}$ ! exf3 11 Bxf3 c5 12 Nb 5 ! Kd8 13 0-0

Better than 13 Nc 4 as then Black can gain counter-play by 13...Bf5.
13...a6 14 Nc 3 Nbd7 15 Nc4 b5 16
 Qd2 Bd3 20 Rdl Bxb5 21 Nxb5 axb5 22

Ǹc6+ Kd7 23 Rxa8 Nxa8 24 Qa5 Nc7 25 Rel
Preventing Black's 25...Be7 and
building a mating net around the black king.
25...Oc2 $26 \mathrm{Nb} 8+\mathrm{Kc} 827 \mathrm{Na} 6 \mathrm{Ncxd5}$ 28 Bxd5, 1 - 0.

The black king will be mated after 28 ..Nxd5 29 Re8+ Kb7 30 Qxb5+ Ka7 31 Ra8+ Kxa8 32 ̣Ъ8.
L.H.CORNFORD - O.SARAPU
Ruy Lopez

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Be7 6 Qe2
Cornford's favourite variation,
6...b5 7 Bb3 0-0 $8 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ ?!

Better here is 8...d6 with the idea 9 Rdl Bg4.
9 Nxd4 exd4 10 e5 Ne8 11 c3!?
With this pawn sacrifice Cornford gets a free and open game
11...dxc3

On 11...c5 12 cxd4 cxd4 13 Qe4
White would have a clear advantage.
12 Nxc3 Bb7 13 Be3 d5 14 Radl c6 15 f 4
An alternative is 15 Ne4 to take full control of c5 and White has more than enough play for the pawn.

$$
15 \ldots f 5 \quad 16 \text { exf6?! }
$$

This frees Black's game somewhat as his pieces become alive. 16 g 4 was a better try.
16...Nxf6 17 g 4 Qd7 18 f5 Rae8!

Black completes his development and now, on 19 g 5 , follows ... Bc5 and the Nf6 has squares available.

19 Qg2 h6 20 h4 Bd6 21 Bd4 Rf7
Black's position is still difficultthe pawn storm is dangerous.
22 g 5 hxg 523 hxg 5 Ne 4
(DIAGRAM)
24 g6?!
Much better was 24 Nxe4 Rxe4 25 Qxe4! dxe4 26 g6 etc and White would

probably win.
24...Rfe7 25 Nxe4 Rxe4 26 Qh3

White placed his hopes on this move penetration via $h 7$ and a king chase.
26...c5! 27 Qh7+ Kf8 28 Qh8+ Ke7 29 Qxg7+ Kd8 30 Bf6+ Be7 $31 \mathrm{Bxe} 7+$ R8xe7 32 Qh8 $8+\mathrm{Kc} 733 \mathrm{Qh} 2+\mathrm{Kb} 6$

The black king is now safe while the white king is in the open; the two passed pawns are just too slow.

34 Rf4 d4 35 f6 R7e5! 36 f7 Rxf4 37 Qxf4 Qh3!! 38 Qf6+ Ka7, $0-1$.

## O.SARAPU - B.R.WATSON <br> King's Indian Attack

1 Nf3 Nf6 2 g3 g6 3 Bg2 Bg7 4 0-0 0-0 5 d3
This 'no contact' opening usually does not give any advantage to White nor real equality to Black.
5...c5 6 e4 Nc6 7 Nc 3 d 6

King's Indian against Sicilian Dragon by transposition.
8 Bg5 h6 9 Be3 e5 10 Nh4 Be6 11 N(5 Kn7 12 c 3 Qd7 $13 \mathrm{f4}$

White now has somewhat better chances - the strong square d 5 , thanks to $9 .$. e5 by Black.
13...exf4 14 gxf4 Bg4 15 Nxf6+ Bxf6 16 Qe1 Bh3 $17 \mathrm{Qg} 3 \mathrm{Bxg} 218 \mathrm{Nxg} 2 \mathrm{Rae8}$ 19 f5 g5 20 Rad1 b6

Black has prepared the counter-thrust d5 but White has a strong manoeuvre which will bring him advantage.
$21 \mathrm{Bc} 1!\mathrm{d} 522 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~d} 423 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ 24 Nxh6!

Black's king position is now crumbling.
24. . Rh8

On 24．．．Kxh6 follows 25 Qh4t and 26 Bxg5 with mate threats．
25 Bxg5 Rxh6 26 Bxh6＋Kxh6 27 Kh1 Qd8 28 Rgl Ne5 29 cxd4 cxd4 30 Rdf1！ The winning move．
30．．．Kh5 31 Qh3 + Bh4 32 f6 Ng6 33 Rf5＋Kh6 34 Rxg6＋！，1－0．
White has mate in three moves．

OVERSEAS NEWS continued from page 117
Among those on 6 was GM Keene．Those on $5 \frac{1}{2}$ included IM Mestel，IM Plaskett， GM Flesch \＆IM Parameswaran．
The biggest surprise，however，was in Viktor Korchnoi＇s miserable score of 5 out of 9！The 151 Challenger lost ehre games－to 15－year old Barua of India， merican IM Kudrin， tudent Hawksworth
Another fine achievement was gained by 19－year old Swede Pia Cramling who reached the WGM norm after just six rounds and went on to record an IM norm ene ans Keen oly loss was to Gutman．She ccored mit poin the 1982 $5 \frac{1}{2}$ points and easily took out the 1982 loyds Bank Ladies title．
BARUA－KORCHNOI，Giuoco Piano：
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bc4 Bc5 4 0－0 Nf6 5 d3 d6 6 c 3 Bb 67 Bg 5 h 68 Bh 4 Qe7 9 Nbd2 g5 $10 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 11 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 7$ $12 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Nh} 5 \quad 13 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 14 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 0-0-0 \quad 15$
 Rdg8 19 Nc4 Kc7 20 f3 h5 21 Qd2 b5 22 Ne3 g4 23 h 4 gxf3 24 Rxf3 Rxg3！ 25 Rxg3 Qxh4 26 Nfl bxa4 27 Bxa4 Bg 4 $28 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Qg} 5 \quad 29 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 30 \mathrm{c} 5$ ！dxc5 31 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}16+\mathrm{Kb} 6 & 32 & \mathrm{Rb} 3+\mathrm{Ka} 7 & 33 & \mathrm{Qa} 5 & \mathrm{Bc} 8 & 34\end{array}$ Ne3 c4 35 d7！cxb3 36 Qc5＋Ka8 37 dxc8Q Rxc8 38 Qxc8 Qg3 $39 \mathrm{Kfl} \mathrm{h3} 40$ gxh3 Nd3？（Black would have at least a perpetual check after $Q f 3+$ ） 41 Ke 2 ！ Nxel 42 Qg4 Qxg4＋ 43 Nxg 4 Nc2？（Both sides were now playing blitz and Korch－ noi sets a simple trap；correct，how－ ever，was $43 \ldots \mathrm{Ng} 2) 44 \mathrm{Kd3} \mathrm{Na} 1 ? 45$ Be8 Nc6 46 Bxc6 bxc6 47 h 4 Nc 248 $\mathrm{h} 5 \mathrm{Nd} 449 \mathrm{~h} 6 \mathrm{Ne} 650 \mathrm{~h} 7 \mathrm{Nf} 4+51 \mathrm{Ke} 3$ Ng6（Phew！The knight returns from al in the nick of time and Black is two pawns up，but ．．．．） 52 Nxe5！（still playing blitz well past the time con
instantly）52．．．Nh8 53 Nxf7！Nxf7 54 e5， $1-0$ ．

COMBINATION SOLUTIONS
1．Heinicke－pfeiffer，1957：
1．．．b5！ 2 axb5 Ba7！，0－1．
2．Sikorski－Anon，Gleiwitz 1934 1 Nf5 Bxel 2 Nh6t Kh8 3 Qf6！and wins．
3．Tjetz－May，Vienna 1912
1 Nxe4！Nerse 42 Rxe4 Nxe 43 Rxe4 Qxe4 4 Ng 5 Og6 5 Qxh7＋！！Qxh7 6 Nf 7 mate．
4．Saunina－Chekova，Sochi 1980： i Rxe4！Qxe4 2 Ng5 Qg6 3 Qxh7＋！， 1 Rxe4．
1
5．Lerner－Sideif Zaid，USSR 1979： 1 Bb2！Qxb2 2 RA8 + ！Bxd8 3 Qxb2， 1－0．
6．Wagner－Schönmann，corres．1919： 1 Qxb7＋！！Kxb7 2 Rfb1＋Kce8 3 Bxce Qa3 $4 \mathrm{Rb} 8+$ ！Kxb8 5 Rxa3 \＆ 6 Ra8 mate．

## 点 室 寧

## CLOCKS：

NZCA HAS NOW RECETVED SHIPMENTS OF NEW CLOCKS！

Popular BHB model in plastic case：

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1-3 & \$ 39.00 \text { each } \\
4-9 & \$ 36.00 \text { each } \\
10 \text { ol more } & \$ 33.00 \text { each }
\end{array}
$$

The superb LOOPING in metal／wood case：
$1-3$
$4-9$
$\$ 89.00$ each
10 or more
$\$ 80.00$ each
会 合 会

LATE NEWS
Canterbury＇s Brett Cameron won the NZ SChool Pupll CE＇P with Leonard McLaren second．Anthony Ker took first place in the ALL－WELLINGTON CH＇P ahead of Arca－ dios Feneridis．Sokolov（USR）won the WORLD JUNIOR CH＇P；New Zealand＇s Adrian Lloyd scored a respectable 6／13． Reports on all three events next issue！

## CLUB DIRECTORY

The annual fee（six listings）for this column is $\$ 6.00$ payable with order to the New Zealand Chess Association，P．O．Box 8802，Symonds Street，Auckland， 1.

AUCKLAND CFNTRE meets Monaays \＆Thursdays at clubrooms， 17 cromwell st，Mt Eden， phone 602－042．Coritact：Nigel Metge，ph 278－9807．Schoolpupil coaching Friday evenings．Full recreational facilities－TV，pool room，library

HOWICK－PAKURANGA C．C．meets Tuesdays 7.30 pm （chfldren 6．30－7．30）at Howick Bridge Club，Howick Communty Complex，Howick．Contact：Peter McCarthy，phone 565－055， 92 Ti Rakau Drive，Pakuranga，Auckland

REMUERA C．C．meets 7．30 Wednesaays at che Auckland Bridge Club， 273 Remuera Kd Remuera．Contact：K．Williams，phone 543－762（cyrrings）

NORTH SHORE C．C．meets Wednesdays 7.30 pm（tournament and casual play）in St Joseph＇s Hall，cnr Anzac St \＆Taharoto Rd，Takapuna．Postal address：P．0．Box 33587，Takapuna．Contact：Peter Stuart，phone 456－377（evenfngs）．

WaItemata C．C．meets y pm Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre，enr tet North \＆Awaroa kds．Hestal address：P．0．Box 69005 Clendene，Auckland 8. Contact：George Williams，phone 834－6618 or Nick Bridges 836－9146．
hastincs \＆HAVELOCK NORTH C．C．meets 7 pm Wednesdays at the Lfbrary，Havelock North High School，Te Mata Rd，Havelock North，Hastings．Contact：Mike Earle， phone 776－027．

PALMERSTON NORTH C．C．meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays at the IHC Workshop，Cook St， Palmerston North．Contact：J．Blatchford， 64 Appollo Pde，Palmerston North， phone 69－575．

CIVIC C．C．meets 7.45 fil Fridays at the Aro St Commity Centre，Aro St， Wellington．Ccntact：Grant Robinson，phone 726－348

HUTT VALLEY C．C．meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays at the Hutt Bridge Club， 17 Queens．Rd， Lower Hutt．Coritact：Mrs Mary Boyack，phone 678－542
PENCARROW C．C．meets 7.30 pm Thursdays（for senfors）at Louise Bilderbeck Hall Main Rd，Wainuiomata．Contact：Brian Foster，phone 648－578
UPFER HUTT C．C．meets 7.45 pm Thursdays in the Supper Roum，Civic Hall， Fergusson Drive，Upper Hutt．Contact：Anton Reid， 16 Hildreth St，Upper Hutt， phone 288－756．
NELSON C．C．meets 7.30 pm Thursdays at the Kemorial Hall，Stoke．concact：Tom van Lyk，phone Richmond 8178 or 7140 ．Visitors welcome．
otago C．C．meets 7.30 pm Wednesdays \＆Saturdays at 7 Maitland St，Dunedin，phone

aucklani chess assocthtion．Contacts：President，Peter Stuart，phone 456－377． Secretary，Paul Spiller，phone 534－5579．

