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## 1982－83 CONGRESS

The NZCA＇s Annual Congress returns to Dunedin for the first time since 974／75．As I typed this first sen－ tence it occurred to me that I had already written，elsewhere in this already written，elsewhere in this it concerned the Bledisloe Cup for it concerned the Bledisloe Cup for which otago＇s last victory also coin－
cided with the last Otago Congress．I cided with the last Otago congress． guess this is an argument

The field for the 90th NEW ZEALAND HAMPIONSHIP is as follows：Bernard Carpinter，Tony Dowden，Paul Garbett David Gollogly，Graham Haase，Mark Levene，Adrian Lloyd，Bill Lynn，Paul Siller，Peter Stuart，Richard Sutton and Glen Turner．

As only two entries were received for the NZ Women＇s Championship，the Council decided that no tournament would be held this New Year and，conse－ quently，no title will be awarded．

There is one change to the playing schedule advertised in the Congress brochure produced by the Otago Chess lub．Adjourned game sessions will be rom 7：30pn to 11：30pm，i．e．four hours rather than the two hour sessions indi－ cated in the brochure．This change
brings the schedule into line with the remit adopted at the Association＇s last Annual General Meeting．

## ERRATUM

Mike White，our Wellington corres－ ondent，has asked me to point out that he mistakenly stated，in the August sine，that the Queen＇s Birthday Wee issue，that the Queen＇s Birthday We Wellington Chess League．This event wals， in fact，organised by the Wellington Chess CLUB．Apologies！

## December 1982

## COMMONWEALTH CH＇P

An inaugural Commonwealth Chess Championship，sponsored by Novag，will be held in Melbourne，15－28 January 1983.

New Zealand will be represented by three players：M Ortvin Sarapu，Vernon mall and Fwen Green．This of course mall and Ewen Green．This，of cours three from our own Championship．

GM Raymond Keene and IM Murr
Chandler are expected to represent Eng land．

The tournament sponsor，Novag Indus tries，is a Hong Kong based company producing chess computers．

嫦 富

## 点

## CANDIDATES PAIRINGS

The pairings for the 1983 Candidate series were made during the FIDE General Assembly meetings in Lucerne Perhaps unfortunately a final match vol out haspan the par could in one that Their could meet
n one semi－final．The pairings are
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Korchnoi．} \\ \text { Portisch }\end{array}\right\} \quad \cdots \quad$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Kasparov } \\ \text { Beljavsky }\end{array}\right\} \quad$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Bübner } \\ \text { Smyslov }\end{array}\right\} \cdots$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Ribli }\end{array}\right\} \cdots$

The top half of the draw seems to be acher stronger and some are already saying that Kasparov，who must rank as the favourite to win through to meet Karpov in 1984，will have his toughest fight in the quarter－finals．

While Torre＇s chances of making the semi－finals had to be rated as slim hatever opponent he received in the first round，his pairing with Ribli could lead to an interesting match．

The quarter－final pairings for the Women＇s Candidates are：Aleksandria vs lematchko，Ioseliani vs Liu Shilan， Muresan vs Semenova and Gaprindashvili vs Levitína．
B

## FIDE ELECTIONS

The General Assembly in Lucerne spent several days on the Cour－yearly elec tions．Most interest naturally centred on the ride presidency for which ther were three candidates．On the first ballot the voting was：Campomanes 52 ， Olatsson 37 and Kazic 19．Thus Canpo just missed an absolute majority on the forst ball allot first sian president when Campomanes gained 65 votes to Olafsson＇s 43.
gained 65 votes to Olafsson＇s 43.
Fridrik Olafsson had served as FIDE Fresident since 1978 and was clearly disappointed at not gaining a second
tern．Certainly FiDE＇s cause advanced during the last four years and Olafsson himself，despite some complex political problems，did little wrong．The winds of change，however，blew too strongly of change，however，blew too strongty．
Florencio Campomanes，of course，is already well known throughout the world，having been a FIDE Deputy Presi－ dent（for Asia）for some years．Campo， more than anyone else，has been respon－ sible for the emergence of the Philip－ pines as a chess power during the last 25 years．He has proved himself a dynamic worker for chess and FIDE could be in for some exciting times

The new Deputy Presidents are R．Bel kadi（Africa），R．Tudela Reverter （America），Dato Tan Chin Nati（Asia）and R．Toran（Europe）．Following the retire－ ment of Dr Lim Kok Ann from the posi－ tion，Leslie Collings becomes the new President of Zone 10 ．

## FM CRAIG LAIRD

Craig Laird＇s fide Master title was confirmed in Lucerne；Craig fulfilled the necessary norms during his several years playing in Europe and will doubt－ less be seeking the IM title in the coming years．

The following GM titles were con－ firmed or awarded：Djuric（YUG），Doima－ tov（USR），Henley（USA），Inkiov（BUL），

Karlsson（SWE），Lechtynski（CZ），Lobron （BRD），Mestel（ENG），Pinter（HUN）． Psakhis（USR），van der Wiel（NLD）and Veliko（BJL）．In addition klaric（YUG） was awarded the title conditional on his fulfilling the minimum racing re－ quirement in the January 1983 FIDE Rating List．Sanguinetti（ARG）and Prins（NiD）were awarded the CM title retroactively．

Some 80－odd IM titles were confirmed rogether with a similar number of FM titles．
E
E

管

## BEFORE THE OLYMPIAD

Unlike previous years our teams did not travel in one group－some of the players were able to take advantage of players were able to take advantage of Most of the team arrived in Prankfurt as Most of the team arrived in lrankfurt scheduled on the 24 th October．Only later－on the following day．Roger had later－on the following day．Roger had just come from playing in two tourna－
ments in flungary，the second finishing only a day or two earlier．
We had arranged two training matches against Bundesliga teams．The first of these was against Königsspringer Frank－ furt where the Men＇s team drew 3－3 while the Women lost $1-3$ ．Unfortunately the Königsspringer club was unable to field a fifth woman so Joanne missed out． Even more unfortunate was the fact that the club did not field anyone when it became time to settle the Women＇s team hotel bill，an account we clearly under－ stood was to be paid by Königsspringer．

The welcome we received（and contin－ ved receiving throughout our stay there） in Munich was almost unbelievable．The driving force behind the Münchener Schachclub is Herr Adolf Johann who turned out to be a perfect host．Most of the players were billetted in Munich， four by Grandmaster Wolfgang Unzicker and his attractive wife．The men again drew their match while the Women，now including Joanne Nokes，lost narrowly 2－3．Probably the Munich tean was not as strong as Königsspringer but most of the players were not fully over the effects of their travel so we did not read too much into the results．The
main thing was to give the teams a solid main thing was to give the teams a solid
workout and we succeeded in this aim．
亘
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Can You See the Combinations？
Solutions on page 149


No． 1 White to move


No． 3 White to move

No． 5 Black to move



No． 2 White to move


No． 4 White to move


No． 6 White to move

## The 25th Olympiad, Lucerne

## Report by the Editor

It was perhaps natural to expect that the Swiss organisation would be superior to that of the 1980 0lympiad and so it turned out. We could have no complaints about the hotel; the rooms and meals were very good. The only doubts were about transport to and from the playing room - our hotel was a considerable distance away. After a hiccup on the first day which saw us miss the brief opening ceremony, however, the transport arrangements
also came right
As usual the USSR was a clear favourite with an average rating (top four boards) of 2651 , about 70 ahead of their nearest rivals. Their team (all GMs) comprised Karpov, Kasparov, Polugaevsky, Beljavsky, Tal and Yusupov. Seeded second was the United States (2580, all GMs) with Browne, Seirawan, Alburt, Kavalek, Tarjan and Christiansen. Hungary (2578), first and second at the last two Olympiads, had GMs Portisch, Ribli and Sax on their top three boards.
Like the USA, England fielded its strongest-ever team: GM Miles, GM Nunn, GM Speelman, GM Stean, IM (soon to b sl) Mestel and Ma Chander. Yugoslavia (six GMs), the Netherlands (with Timman (headed by Hort) were all likely vakia (headed by Hort) were all likely The huge F
The huge Festehalle proved to be an excellent playing site. There were a 250 games being played each day, there was no more noise than could be expected. So, to the games

Kound 1: We did not discover the draw until we arrived in the playing room - we were drawn against the Soviet Union and they were fielding their top four.
USSR
4-0 NEW ZEALAND
] Karpov ( $\mathrm{G}, 2700$ )
1-0 Small
2 Kasparov ( $\mathrm{C}, 2675$
1-0 Sarapu
3 Polugaevsky ( $(G, 2610)$ 1-0 Nokes
4 Beljavsky ( $\mathrm{C}, 2620$ ) 1-0 Dowden
Our chances of gaining points never
aoked particuiarly bright in front of
a large audience - the biggest crowds
were always to be found around the matches involving the USSR and host nation Switzerland. Ortvin played passively with the white pieces and came closest to gaining a draw but he erred on move 36 and the position was lost at the adjournment. Nevertheless, surely a great thrill to meet the world's best over the board, although the chance to prepare for such opponents would have been nice. Most of the top teams also scored 4-0; in fact, 26 teams shared the lead after the first round.

Round 2: From one extreme almost to the other - our Algerian opponents were all unrated, although their board one did have the IM title.

## NEW REALAND 4-0 ALGERTA

1 Smal.
1-0 Benhadi
Nokes
3 Dowden
1-0 Slimani
Smith $\quad 1-0$ Bammoune
Small played very well and won con-$v$-ncingly, but the other three all got difficult positions. Nokes and Dowden however, came back strongly and there the end Smith sacrificed arnis for three paws but it looked quite or until his opponent kindly returned the piece.
the piece.
ited States were the only team to reach a possible 8 points.

Round 3: Another 4-0 sweep, this time against Puerto Rico. Smith won fairly quickly while Sarapu and Nokes adjourned in clearly won positions. Dowden's position was not as clear a the adjournment but his opponent failed to seal the best move and Tony soon made it 4-0.
PUERTO RICO
0-4 NEW ZEALAND
1 Torres (2230) 2 Martinez
3 Ochoa
0-1 Sarapu $\begin{array}{ll}0-1 & \text { Nokes } \\ 0-1 & \text { Dowden }\end{array}$
0-1 Smith
The match of the day was that betwee促 two seeds, USSR and USA. fully 124
the way to a 3-1 victory for the Soviet team.

Round 4: As to be expected, we got a tougher opponent this time, 18thseeded Iceland.

## ICELAND <br> 3-1 NEW ZEALAND

1 Sigurjonsson (G 2470) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Small
2 Arnason (I, 2455)
1-0 Sarapu
3 Olafsson H. (I, 2430) l-0 Nokes
4 This was a match of abruptly chang
This was a match of abruptly changing fortunes which we could have lost the top board ame pursued a course througheut its lued a logic draw was agreed in the second session. Sarapu agreed in the second sess Sarap a paw in a risgild but snatched a pawn in a forted attempt to wirently tipping on the apparen
Meanwhile Nokes had won the exchange for a pawn, but his opponent had plenty of compensation in his bishop pair and a dangerous passed pawn pair and a dangerous passed pawn for the passer but, in time trouble for the passer but, in time trouble, failed to find the correct way to do ily grabbed an exchange at the cost of a trapped queen which his opponent needlessly allowed to escape, leaving a probably drawn ending with knight and pawns versus pawns in which Robert missed a fleeting chance to force a win. The USSR beat Yugoslavia $2^{\frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}}$, while Switzerland and Argentina beat England and Hungary respectively by the same score. The USA and West Germany drew their match while Czechoslovakia overran Sweden $3^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}$.

Round 5: A slightly disappointing result, although our opponents were much higher rated. Small won very nicely with an exchange sacrifice to force a winning ending, but we missed better chances on boards two and three.
NEW ZEALAND $1 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ MEXICO
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { Small } & 1-0 \\ \text { 2rey (I, 2460) } \\ 2 & \text { Sarapu } & 0-1 \\ \text { Sisniega (I, 2420) } \\ 3 \text { Dowden } & 0-1 & \text { Campos (I, 2330) } \\ 4 \text { Smith } & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} & \text { Aldrete (2410) } \\ & \text { The USSR maintained its lead with a }\end{array}$
The USSR maintained its lead with a
$2^{\frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}}$ victory over Czechoslovakia while $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ victory over Czechoslovakia while
Hungary was held to a tie by Cuba and Hungary was held to a tie by Cuba and
be a serious threat to the Soviet team. Leading scores: USSR $15 \frac{1}{2}$, Netherlands 15, Czechoslovakia and
West Germany 14 $\frac{1}{2}$, and Argentina 14.

Round 6: A good fighting result, albeit against a team we could be expected to beat without too much difficulty; only Vernon was unable to convert a slight advantage into the rull pokes won quile convincingly with a kingside altack, and owden's interesting piece sacrifice fruit Smith appeared to have a most rupl. Snt position on the black side of a Morra Gabit, his piece sacrifice , faulty as Robert perged from the complications with rook and two minor pieces for his queen.
NEW ZEALAND $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ SRI LANKA
1 Small $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Aturupane D. 2 Nokes l-0 Goonetilleke (2255) 3 Dowden 1-0 Aturupane $G$. Smith 1-0 Peiris
The Netherlands maintained their second place by tying their match against the USSR, Ree beating Beljavsky on board three. Other top matches were CzechosIovakia 2-2 with West Germany, USA 3-1 over Argentina, England 3-1 over Iceland, and Switzerland 2-2 against Cuba. Scores: USSR $17 \frac{1}{2}$, Netherlands 17; England, Czechoslovakia, West Germany and Canada $16 \frac{1}{2}$; USA, Yugoslavia and Denmark 16.

Round 7: Again the team was a little isappointed with a loss by a narrow margin against a slightly higher rated team. In retrospect, though, the result was about what should de expected given the rating difference
Vernon, slightly indisposed, agreed a quick draw against his IM opponent. Ortvin had the better pawn formation but his opponent's active pieces precluded more than a draw, while Robert played a quiet game. Roger never looked happy although his position was not completely devoid of chances at the adjournment
GREECE $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ NEW ZEALAND
1 Skembris (I, 2355) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Small
2 Skalkotas (F, 2355) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Sarapu
3 Kourkounakis (2310) l-0 Nokes
Gavrilakis (2295) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Smith
The USSR, USA Canada Crechosiovakia
and Switzerland scored $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ wins over Nest Germany, Netherlands, England, Yugoslavia and Bulgaria respectively England's loss to Canada was the upset of the round, Ivanov crushing Miles on board one. Hungary came back into contention with a $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ win over Denmark. A little lower down Australia scored a Eine result in beating ArgenScores. USSR 20 ; USA
scores. USSk 20; USA, Hungary
Czechoslovakia and Canada 19; Netherlands 18\%; England, West Germany, witzerland, France and Australia 18

Round 8: Another tough opponent in 15 thi-seeded Poland. Small aborted a good position on the whiteside of a cheveningen Sicilian. Sarapu looked but adjourned with some chances in a rather unclear position; eyentually he reached the difficult ending of rook versus rook and bishop, but was unable find his way to draw - if, in fact, this was possible.
Dowden reached an ending similar to (but perhaps better than) the one he lost against Snall in the last Congress and should probably have drawn. Smith retained his umbeaten record when fortune mmiled on him; his exchange sacrifice was probably insufficient but his opponent retined it unnecessarily and the position was again unclear. Eventually Sygulski avoided a forced repetition in tavour of being mated
NEW ZEALAND $1-3$ POLAND
1 Small
0-1 Szuapik (I, 2480)
Sarapu 0-1 Kuligowski ( $G, 2450$ )
Dowden $\quad 0-1$ Bielczyk ( $\mathrm{I}, 2390$ )
Smith $1-0$ Sygulski (2410)

Hungery's challenge wilted slightly when they lost $1 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ to the Soviet Union while Czechoslovakia lost to the USA by the same margin. Canada and the Neth erlands fought out a $2-2 \mathrm{draw}$, and England came back with a $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ drubbing of trance. Australia scored another fine result with its $2-2$ tie against West Germany
Scores: USSR 221/2; USA and England $21 \frac{1}{2}$ Rumania and Canada 21; Hungary, Bgosiavia, Netheriands and Czechoslo and 20, 20 , Merna, Nand It was clear the Russians were now
starting to clear out from the field, having played most of the top teams.

Round 9: Small appeared to energe satisfactorily from the opening but passerotti played very well and Vernon's position gradually disintegrated. The other three boards all featured Eairly solid draws.

## NEN ZEALAND $1 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ ITALY

| 1 | Small | $0-1$ | Passerotti (2335) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nokes | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Messa (2330) |
| 3 | Dowden | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Arlandi (2330) |
| 4 | Smith | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Cocozza |

England, with its strongest-ever team, would have been disappointed with States kept in second place by virtue of its $2 \frac{1}{2}-1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ win over Canada, while Yugoslavia and West Germany beat Netherlands and Rumania respectively by the same score Hungary drew its match with Czechoslovakia. The scores now: USSR 26; USA 24; Yugoslavia and Switzerland 23; Hungary, Czechoslovakia, West Cermany, Rumania and Canada 22 .... New Zealand 18.

Round 10: In this round we reached our nadir in losing heavily to an admittedly higher rated team. Sarapu got into serious difficulties very quickly in a Ruy Lopez variation (with Black) similar to one he plays himself with the white pieces. Dowden put a piece en pris (with a faulty combination in mind) and soon resigned, while Smith had some pressure for a while and eventually was happy to accept his opponent's draw offer in a s.lightly inferior endgame.

The real tragedy occurred on board two, where Nokes played very well in the first session to adjourn the exchange up with a fairly easy win. Nerves undoubt edly played a role in his subsequent blunder of a rook and a reasonable result suddenly became a disaster.
COLOMBIA
3 $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{2}$ NEW ZEALAND
1 Zapata (I, 2420) 1-0 Sarapu 2 Gutierrez (I, 2350) 1-0 Nokes 3 Agudelo (2340) 1-0 Dowden
4 Mendoza (2295) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Smith
Soviet Switzerland due to play the
Soviet Union the big question was
previous round, would energe to tackle Korchnoi. In the event he did not and Korchnoi had white against Kasparov. It was soon obvious that both players were out for blood and the result, victory for the young Soviet board two, was described, with considerable justice, as the highlight of the Olympiad. Naturally a large crowd built up around this match - home team versus top seed - and Kasparov got a bout of applause at the conclusion of the game.
The USSR, a $4-0$ winner, had now opened up a four-point lead and a close finish, as at the last two Olympiads, seemed a remote prospect. In other matches the USA and Yugoslavi drew 2-2, while Hungary beat West Germany $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$, Czechoslovakia beat by the same margin. England bounced by the same margin. England bounced lands.
Leading scores: USSR 30; USA and England 26; Czechoslovakia 251/:; Hungary, Yugoslavia and Argetitina 25;
 ... New Z̈ealand 1812.

Round 11: Since we had dropped below 50\% (for the first time since round one) we received an easier opponent. Sarapu and Dowden both won comfortably but the other two had to settle for draws.

TRINIDAD \& TOBAGO $1-3$ NEW ZEALAND
1 Tavares $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Small
2 Lee C. $\quad 0-1$ Sarapu
3 Duchesne (2215) $\quad \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Nokes
The USSR moved further ahead with a $4-0$ win over Argentina while Czechoslovakia moved into second place by beating Poland 3-1. The USA and England drew their match, as did Yugoslavia and Hungary. Australia continued its successful run with a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ victory over Canada.
Scores: USSR 34; Czechoslovakia 28 $\frac{1}{2}$; USA and England 28 ; Hungary and Yugoslavia 27 .... New Zealand $21 \frac{1}{2}$.

Round 12: Another clear-cut win
with our top two boards scoring convincingly. Nokes was up against the currently leading scorer on board three and had to survive some dis comfort, while Smith had a cosmetic
advantage until his opponent's positio collapsed in tine trouble. So, we were back over $50 \%(+2)$, quite a handy situation with just two rounds to go.
NEW ZEALAND $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ECUADOR
1-0 Arrata (2245)
Sarapu 1-0 Vintimilla (2210)
Nokes - Matamoros
The Soviet Union kept up its momentur with a $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ victory against Rumania, while Czechoslovakia kept second place with its $2^{\frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}}$ win over England. The United States kept alive its challenge for second with a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ win against Hungary, and Switzerland drew with Yugoslavia. Scores: USSR 37 $\frac{1}{2}$, Czechoslovakia 31 , USA $30 \frac{1}{2}$, England $29 \frac{1}{2}$, Yugoslavia 29 .... New Zealand 25 .
Round 13: A blow to our hopes of a easonably high placing. Suall equalise ut no more in a variation sinitar to hi first-round game versus Karpov, gaining ufficient counterplay for a sacrifice pawn. This result gave Vernon a enbe aster nom, a fact wich mor or preclud
Sarapu was doing fine but a simple tactical oversight lost material and, ultimately, the game. The conclusion of mith's game provoked much argument. Sefore playing his 4lst move in a R \& P ending Robert asked me whether he should ffer a draw and I said "yes" fearing hat the consistent move might well ose. Robert actually played the best hove, however, and his draw offer was accepted. Vernon and I (and Robert obiously) thought the final position wa rawn but Sarapu and Jarieson thought was a win. Only after considerabl nalysis were we able to prove that the position was in fact drawn. omintcan repubtic 3-1 nek zealand
Abreu (2230) thets Small 2 Eu Liao (2280) 1-0 Sarapu 3 Mateo (I, 2430 )

1-0 Nokes
Conzalez (2260) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Smith
Sweden did better than most with its narrow $1^{1}-2^{\frac{1}{s}}$ loss to the USSR. Caecholovakia and the USA retained their relative positions with $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ wins over sracl and Canada respectively, while England appeared to lase their meda! chances when they lost $1-3$ to the Yugosíavian team.

Round 14: Two rather pathetic draws totalling 25 moves with the white Pieces made a good resuit against especially since Smith, on board three, had a difficult game which was almost certainly losing until his opponent went badly astray shortly before the time control. Nokes gained a slight advantage and reached an ending with two bishops versus bishop and knight but was unable to make further progress through níne hours of play.
NEW ZEALAND 2-2 ZURKEY

| 1 | Sarapu | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Onat $(1,2330)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nokes | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Sel $(2200)$ |
| 3 | Dowden | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Oney $(2290)$ |
| 4 | Smith | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | Suer (I, 2240) |

The Soviet Union rested its top boards and coasted to a $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ win over Denmark, a result which left the grandmasterless Danes in a fiae 8th place. The main interest centred on the fight for second place. For Czechoslovakia, Ftacnik beat Ree quite quickly but the other three ganies were drawn. Thus the USA needed to win 3-1 to take second place. This was not to be as, despite Browne's win over Andersson with the black pieces, they could only win $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 / 2$ over Sweder Meanwhile Yugoslavia made a spirited 10 to finish amongst the medals with a 3 - victory against West Germany The biggest surprise occurred when oland beat switzerland $3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{2}$, with znapik beating

As has becone normal in the Swiss tyle olymiads, there were aubs of relatively unknown names among the top individual scorers:

Pts Gms \%

Board 1
Franco (PAR)
Girault (MNC)
Ljubojevic (YUG) Thunjhnuwala K. (HK)

## Board 2

hascarinas (PHI) Sargos (SEN)
Kasparov (JSR)
Jasparov (USR)

## oarả 3

Matamoros (ECU)
Chaivichit (TAI)

## oard

Agdestein (NOR)
Ye Jiangchuan (PRC) Be1javsky (USR) Huss (SWI B) Gavrilakis (GRE)
$\begin{array}{ll}8^{\frac{1}{2}} & 12\end{array}$ 75.0 $7 \quad 10$ 70.0 Board 5 Roos D. (FRA) Tarjan (USA) Karkanaqe (ALB Sinith (NZD)

Board 6 Fancy (PNG) Mungyereza (UGA) usupov (USR) Kyriakides (ZIM) concerned, we can be moderately happy We were seeded 47 th and finished 46 th of the 92 teams. Of course final standings in a Swiss toumament do not tell the hole story; in fact, in a team event ike this they tell very little at al utside of the top few and bottom fev places.

Considering that we were without Murray Chandler this time and the team was therefore somewhat weaker than in defin, the improvenent of ten places is that the tean perforad beter thaty that the team performed better than talas we ded sevely wich those kept the points rolling in and generally the higher rated teams. The players sometimes disappointed at the results of indiviaual matches but, in retrospect had no real need to be except in one or two cases perhaps. cases perhaps
tands out like a sore thumb, His unbeaten record was achieved with copious help from Lady Luck but Robert's fighting riever-say-die attitude deserves muct of the credit for the way in which he was able to draw, or even win, from some very bad fositions.

Vernon Small's debut on top board was also successful and for some time he was in line for an $1 M$ norm. In the end he was a little shy both of points and average rating but he nevertheless came away with a FIDE Master norm and a solid $50 \%$ score.

Obviously Ortvin Sarapu did not play


AUCKLAND
109 Queen Street
Telephone 31528/31529
anywhere near as well as he is capable of although it can be pointed out that he actually met a slightly stronger

It is not so easy to assess the results of our boards three and four because of their horrendous colour fought very hard and, despite a 3 to 1 ratio of blacks to whites, managed to come fairly close to scoring $50 \%$ versus an average opponent of around 2300. Cony Dowden, with an even more extreme colour ration (but in favour of white) scored exactly $50 \%$. As team captain I must say how grateful I was that these unusual colour allocations were accepted so well, particularly by Roger who was most affected. The individual scores:

|  | Small | 11 | $(6-5)$ | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sarapu | 11 | $(6-5)$ | 3 | 6 | 2 | 36.4 |
| Nokes | 12 | $(3-9)$ | 3 | 5 | 4 | 41.7 |
| Nowden | 10 | $(8-2)$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 50.0 |
| Dmith | 12 | $(5-7)$ | 5 | - | 7 | 70.8 |

With over 2500 games played in the Men's Olymplad alone there was a wealth of interesting games. Some of the briefest will be presented in a separate article in the next issue. Here we will begin with some of our own games.
SMALL - BENHADI, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 Nc6 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4$ e5 4 Bb 5 exd4 5 Nxd4 Bd7 6 Bxc6 bxc6 7 Nc 3 g 68 $0-0 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 9$ Rel Ne7 10 Bf4 $0-0 \quad 11$ e5 15 12 Na4 Nf5 13 Nc5 Re8 14 c3 Bf8 15 Nab3 a5 16 a4 Bc8 17 Qd2 Qh4 18 C3 721
 Qb8 25 Qxd5 Qxb2 26 Ne4. Ne6 27 Ra 2 Kh7 $31 \mathrm{Nd} 7 \mathrm{c} 4+32 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{Qxf} 7+$ K77 $34 \mathrm{Bxg} 7 \mathrm{Nrg} 7 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{QxCl}^{2}$ gf 37 e7 Re8 38 Rae2 46 f5 40 Qf4 Qf6, 39 Qxc7

SLIMANI - NOKES, Irregular Opening:
1 e4 e6 2 d 4 a6 $3 \mathrm{Nf3} \mathrm{~b} 54 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 7$ $5 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 66 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \mathrm{c5} 8 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~g} 5$ $9 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 610 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 71110-0 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 12$
 $a 4 \mathrm{c} 416 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Nh} 7 \quad 17 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \quad 18 \mathrm{Nbd} 2$ Qb6 19 axb5 axb5 20 Rxa8+ Bxa8 21 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Ral } & \text { Bb7 } & 22 & \text { e5 } & \text { Qc6 } & 23 & \text { h4 } 4 \times f 3+ & 24 \\ \text { Nxf3 } & 0-0 & 25 & \text { Rel fy } & 26 & \text { Qd2 Ra8 } & 27 & \text { Bbl }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Nxf3 } & 0-0 & 25 \\ \text { Qc5 } & 28 & \text { Oxf4 }\end{array}$

28...b4 29 Nd 2 bxc3 30 bxc3 Qd5 $\begin{array}{llll}31 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Ra} 3 & 32 \\ \mathrm{Ob} 5 & 33 & \mathrm{Nd} 2 & \mathrm{Bd} 5\end{array}$ Kh2 Ral 35 Qe3 Qb2 36 f4 Ra3 37 Qd4 Rxc3 38 Qf2 Ra3 $39 \mathrm{kgl}, 0-1$

SMALL - FREY, Giuoco Piano
1 e4 e5 2 Bc4 Nc6 3 Nf3 Bc5 4 0-0 d6 $5 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{O}-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{~h} 6$ $9 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \mathrm{Qe} 710 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 11 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 12$

 19 Nef5 Qd8 20 Ne6 Bxe6 21 dxe6 Nxf5 22 exf5 Ne5 23 Qh5 Qe7 24 Qxh6+ Qh7 25 Qxh7+ Kxh7 26 Redl Rae8 27 Racl Re7 $28 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{R} 29 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 8 \mathrm{KO} \mathrm{Kh} 4$
 37 Rhl Kxgs 38 Kf3 Rf8 39 Rat Nes
 Rh6 K 5 - $445+1-0$
GOONETILLEKE - NOKES, QGD Tarrasch: 1 Nf3 d5 2 d4 e6 3 c4 c5 4 cxd5 exds 5 Ne3 Ne6 6 g3 Nf6 $7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Be} 780-0$ $0-0 \quad 9$ dxe5 Bxe5 10 Na 4 Be 711 Be 3 Bf5 $12 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Re} 813 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \mathrm{~b} 614 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Qd7}$ 15 Nbd4 Nxd4 16 Nxd4 Be4 17 Qb3 Bxg2 $18 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 19 \mathrm{Rfdl}$

19...h5 20 Rc 3 h4 $21 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~h} 3+22$ Kg1 Rac8 23 Bxc5 bxcs 24 Qc 2 Qg 4
25 Ra 3 Re 7 d4 27 Rc 4 Rce 8 28 Nd2 Rxe2 29 f3 Qe6, 0 - 1 .

DOWDEN - ATURUPANE, French Advance:
 0 Bd 6 a a $90-0$ Nge7 10 Nc 3 Rc 811 Be 3 Ng 612


18 Bxh6 (White could obtain a fine game after 18 Nxf8 but Tony was in a sacrificial mood at Lucerne!) 18...gxh6 19 Nf6 Ke7 20 Nc5 Bc8 b5 23 Nc 5 a 4 ?! 24


E4 Nxd4 25 Rad1 Nac6 26 f5 Nxf5 27 Bxf5 Nxe5 28 Qh4 Kd6 29 Be 4 Be 730 Bxd5 Bxf6 31 Rxf6 Kc7 32 Qf4 Qd6 33 Ne4, 1 - 0 .
PEIRIS - SMITH, Sicilian Morra:
1 e4 c5 2 c3 Nf6 3 e5 Nd5 4 d4 cxd4
 $420-0$ e6 13 Bg Qd 11 exd $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Bxa } \\ 15 & \text { Rac1 Bd7 } \\ 16 & \text { Nd6 }\end{array}$
 Kh8 21 Bxe6 fxe6 22 Rxe6 Rc7 23 Qh4 Nbc8 24 Bxe7 Nxe7 25 Ng6+ Nxg6 26
 $\mathrm{h} 5 \mathrm{Re} 4 \quad 30 \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{a5} 31 \mathrm{Qd} 6 \mathrm{Rel+} \quad 32 \mathrm{Kh} 2$ Rle6 33 Qc7 Nf8 $34 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Re} 2 \quad 35 \mathrm{Rd} 8$ Rxf2+ $36 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 3+37 \mathrm{Kh} 4 \mathrm{Rel} 38 \mathrm{Rd} 2$ h6 39 Rh2 Ree3 40 Oxa5 Ne6, $0-1$.
SARAPU - LEE, Dutch Defence:
1 d 4 f 52 Bg 5 Nf 63 Bxf6 exf6 4 e3
 bxc3 dxc4 9 Bxc4+ Kh8 10 Ne 2 Nc 6 Radl 65 Rfel 156 Radl c6 15 Rfe1 Be6 16 Qc2 Rad8 17 gg g6


18 e4 f4 19 $\mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \mathrm{D}^{20} \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Bg} 8$ 21 Nd4 Qe5 22 Nf3 Qc7 23 Qb2 Kg7 $24 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 25 \mathrm{Bc} 2$ Rd7 26 e5 cxd5 27 exf6+ Rxf6 28 Ne5 Rdd6 29 Ng 4 dxc4 30 Rxd6 Qxd6 31 Nxf6, 1 - 0.
Although GM Lombardy chose the preceding game for annotation in the Bulletin, the following game (played the next day) is an even better effort. VINTIMILLA - SARAPU, Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 d5 2 c4 Bf5 3 Nc3 e6 4 Nf3 c6 5 Bf4 Nd7 6 e3 Ngf6 7 cxd5 Nxd5 8 0 Nf6 12 Be2 B64 $10-13$ $0-0$ Nf6 12 BgS h6 13 Bxa Qxi6 14 fel 18 (1) Rfel Rae8 18 Bd3 Bg4 19 Nd2

| 3 | 19...Bxh2+! 20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| = 1 t | Kxh2 Qxf2 21 Nfl |
|  | Re 422 Qc 2 Be 2 |
|  | 23 Bxe4 Rxe4 24 |
|  | Qxe4 dxe4 25 Ng 3 |
| 交 | Bd3 26 b3 h5 27 |
|  | Kh3 g5 28 a4 h4 |
|  | $29 \mathrm{Nhl} \mathrm{Qf5+} 30$ |
| - $\square^{3}$ | Kh2 g4 $31 \mathrm{Rc} 5 \mathrm{~g} 3+$ |
|  | 32 Nxg3 hxg3+ 33 |

Kf4 Qd6+ $37 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 38 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 39 \mathrm{a} 5$ Kg6 40 Kh3 Qe $6+, 0-1$.

And now a few games from the other natches, starting with that much talked bout K v K clash!
KORCHNOI - KASPAROV, Modern Benoni d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 g3 Bg7 4 Bg 2 c 5 d5 d6 $6 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{o}} 7 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ e6 8 0-0 exd5 9 cxd5 a6 10 a4 Re8 11 Nd 2 Nbd 7 $12 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{l3} \mathrm{Nc} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 514 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{Nh} 5 \mathrm{l} 5$ $4 \mathrm{Rf} 8 \quad 16 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 17 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{b5} \quad 18$ axbs axb5 19 Naxb5 fxe4 20 Bxe4 Bd7 21 Qe2 Qb6 22 Na3 Rbe8


23 Bd2? (Very likely the losing move. Instead 23 og2 leaves white with a clear advantage) 23 ...0xb2 24 fxe5 Bxe5 25 Nc4 Nxg3 26 Rxf8+ Rxf8 27 Qel Nxe4+ $28 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 2 \quad 29$
xe5 Rf2+ 30 Qxf2 Nxf2 31 Ra2 Qf5 32 Nxd7 Nd3 33 Bh6 Qxd7 $34 \mathrm{Ra}+\mathrm{Kf7} 35$ Rh8 Kf6 36 Kf3 Qxh3+, $0-1$.
POLUGAEVSKY - FTACNIK, Symm. English: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 Nc3 e6 4 g3 b6
 Bb $20-0 \quad 13 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Rd8} 14 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \mathrm{l} 5 \mathrm{Qe} 3$ 2 16 Qe2 Ne5 17 13 45 Qch 18
 xh4 0xf4 23 dxe 6 fxe6 24 5 $505+25$ Kh Nh5! 26 Qxh5 083 27 Nd5 Bed5 28 Rf1 Qxg2+ 29 Kxg2 Rd2+, $0-1$.
TREPP - RIBLI, Sicilian Najdorf: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nf6 5 Ne3 a6 6 Be3 e6 7 f4 b5 8 Qf3 Bb7 9 Bd3 Nbd7 10 g 4 b4 11 Nce2 Nc5 12 Ng 3 Qc7 $13 \quad 0-0$ Nfd7 $14 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \quad 15$ Qe2 exf5 16 Ndxf5 g6 17 Nh 6 Bg 718 Bc4 Rf8 19 Bd5 Bc6 20 Radl Bb5 21 Qf2 0-0-0 22 Rfe1 Bxh6 23 Bxh6 Nxg4 24 Qf4 Nxh6 25 Qxh6 f5 26 exf5 gxf5

 Rxd5 Qb6 34 Rbs Qa7 35 c5 Ka8 36 Re 7 Rb8 37 Qe4 dxe5 38 Kh1 Rfa 39 h 4 KARPOV PORTISCH, Petroff
KARPOV - PORTISCH, Petroff Defence: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf 3 Nf 63 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf Nxe4 5 d4 d5 $6 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \mathrm{Na}^{-0} \mathrm{Be} 7$ Rel bf5 9 c4 Nb4 10 bfl $0-0 \quad 11$ a3 Ne6 12 cxd5 Qxd5 13 Nc3 Nxc3 14 bxc3 Bg6 15 c4 Qd7 16 d5 Bf6 17 Ra 2 Na 5 18 Bf4 Rfe8 (Continued on page 134)

U．S．S．R．

| 2 | Czechoslovakia |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | United States |
| 4 | Yugoslavia |
| 5 | Hungary |
| 6 | Bulgaria |
| 7 | Poland |
| 8 | Denmark |
| 9 | Cuba |
| 10 | England |
| 11 | Argentina |
| 12 | Rumania |
| 13 | Israel |
| 14 | Austria |
| 15 | West Germany |
| 16 | Sweden |
| 17 | Netherlands |
| 18 | Canada |
| 19 | Philippines |
| 20 | Colombia |
| 21 | Chile |
| 22 | Australia |
| 23 | Iceland |
| 24 | Norway |
| 25 | Finland |
| 26 | Switzerland |
| 27 | Indonesia |
| 28 | Spain |
| 29 | France |
| 30 | Wales |
| 31 | Ireland |
| 32 | Switzerland B |
| 33 | Scotland |
| 34 | Greece |
| 35 | Mongolia |
|  |  |


|  |  | 3：3 | 212： 4 | 21 ${ }_{2}: 2$ | 2：17 |  | $2 \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 10$ | 4：26 |  |  |  |  |  | 452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 2：33 | 31： 52 | 3it 16 | 11 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2：15 | 212 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2：5 | ：12 | $3: 7$ | 21：10 |  | 21 117 | 36 | $56 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 35 | 4：2 |  | 2：15 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |  | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2： | 2：10 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
|  | 3：42 |  | 11／2：1 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |  | 3：9 | 212：17 | 2：3 |  | 2：26 |  |  | 35 |  |
| 4：48 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 14$ | 2古：13 | 12： 11 | 2：9 | 3：32 | 31： 8 | 112：1 | 2：2 | 21：15 | 2： | 11 1 ： 3 | 2it $: 26$ | 212：10 | $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 61 | $4: 37$ | 1：4 | 3：19 | 12：23 | 3：21 | 112：26 | $\frac{1}{2}: 12$ | 3：27 | 3：39 | 2：8 | 21：15 | 2：9 | 31： 22 |  | 4311 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3：63 | 21： 212 | 21： 51 | 2：33 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 22$ | 31： 42 | $\frac{1}{2}: 29$ | 3：46 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 36$ | 31：28 | 1：2 | 1：16 | 3：40 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 26$ | 33 | 416 |
| 31 2 ： 84 | 3：22 | 2：9 | 22： 18 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 3$ | 31 $: 51$ | －1 2 ： 5 | 21 $\frac{1}{2}: 27$ | 3：39 | 11： 16 | 2：6 | 21：24 | 3：19 | 112：1 | $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | $442 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：65 | 21 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}: 51}$ | 2：8 | 2 $\frac{1}{2}: 38$ | 2：5 | 2：26 | 22： 14 | 1：4 | 3：42 | 2：13 | 21： 15 | 2：22 | 2：6 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 18$ | $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 432 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：47 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 38$ | 2：16 | 12 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}: 26$ | 22： 213 | 3：23 | 112：18 | 31 $2: 29$ | $\frac{1}{2}: 1$ | 4：17 | 2：3 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ：2 | 1： | 11： 5 | 32 | $456 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3：60 | 21：${ }^{1}$ ：36 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 32$ | 212：5 | 21：${ }^{2}$ ： 4 | 1：3 | $\frac{1}{2}: 22$ | 3：34 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 29$ | 3：18 | 0：1 | 112：13 | 3：24 | 21 $\frac{1}{2}$ ： 25 | 32 | 447 |
| ：45 | 21 $2: 44$ | 2：14 | 3：41 | 1：38 | 31：30 | 21 $\frac{1}{2}: 27$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 6$ | 112：15 | 1：2 | 21： 16 | $\frac{1}{2}: 1$ | 2：22 | 31：33 | 32 | 442 |
| 4：73 | 21 $\frac{1}{2}$ ： 32 | 11／2：5 | 3：40 | 11／2：10 | 2：22 | 3：38 | 2：23 | 2：16 | 2：9 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 42$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 11$ | 11 ${ }^{1}: 3$ | 2：14 | 32 | 432 |
| 4：81 | 11： 5 | 2：12 | 4：54 | $\frac{1}{2}: 17$ | 3：39 | 11／2：9 | 12： 28 | 2：33 | 2：41 | 112：20 | 312：31 | 3：35 | 2 ： | 32 | $421 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：56 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 30$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 27$ | 2：3 | 21 $\frac{1}{2}: 26$ | 2：2 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 1$ | 2：22 | 21： 12 | 11：$: 5$ | 112：9 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 6$ | 312：42 | 1：4 | $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4561 |
| 4：5 | 312：34 | 2：10 | $\frac{1}{2}: 2$ | 3：42 | 112：27 | 3：21 | 2：26 | 2：13 | 21 ${ }_{2}$ ： 8 | 11／2：12 | 3：7 | 11／2：1 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 3$ | $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 451 |
| 4：54 | 2：52 | 21： 39 | 3：27 | 32： 14 | 2：1 | 112：3 | 2：18 | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ： 4 | 0：10 | 2：41 | 212：28 | 312：23 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 2$ | 311 | 448 |
| 31：50 | 31：20 | 112：26 | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ： 8 | 22： 33 | 4：36 | 21 2 ： 10 | 2：17 | 11／2：3 | 1：11 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 22$ | 31：27 | 12：2 | 11／2：9 | $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 441 |
| 3：64 | 2：28 | 3：55 | 1：6 | 121：36 | 2：35 | 2：41 | 21： $2: 44$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 47$ | 3：33 | 1：26 | 3：34 | 1：8 | $3: 37$ | $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 411六 |
| 312：76 | $\frac{1}{2}: 18$ | 312：77 | 21： 253 | 1：27 | $\frac{1}{2}: 52$ | 22： 31 | 3：48 | 112：38 | 32： 46 | 21： 14 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ： 35 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 30$ | 3：36 | $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 402 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：66 | $\frac{1}{2}: 1$ | 11：$: 34$ | 312：31 | 4：25 | ：6 | 1：16 | 1：24 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 30$ | 312：44 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 37$ | 112：33 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 47$ | 3：38 | 31 | 428 |
| 31 2 ： 5 | 1：8 | 3：63 | 21： 213 | 21： 27 | 2：13 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 11$ | 2：15 | 1：26 | 212：24 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 18$ | 2：9 | 2：12 | $\frac{1}{2}: 6$ | $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | $432 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：67 | 2：41 | 2：33 | 3：46 | 212： 6 | 1：10 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 52$ | 2：13 | 11：28 | 2：34 | 2：27 | 2：37 | $\frac{1}{2}: 17$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 51$ | $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 415 |
| 312：85 | 11／2：7 | 2：53 | 21： 51 | 12 ${ }^{1}$ ：29 | 3：40 | 112：28 | 3：21 | 21： 213 | 11 ${ }^{2}$ ： 22 | 21：25 | 11：${ }^{\text {：}} 8$ | 1：11 | 3：42 | $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 414 |
| 31： 218 | 0：27 | 31： 57 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 34$ | 0：21 | 1：41 | 2：63 | 4：80 | 21： 51 | 32：29 | 112：24 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 40$ | 312：44 | 112：11 | $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 398 |
| 4：77 | 3：53 | 22： 18 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 10$ | 112：15 | 2：9 | 23：${ }^{2}$ ： 6 | 2：16 | 3：22 | 0：1 | 3：19 | 2：4 | 11／2：5 | $\frac{1}{2}: 7$ | 30 | 451 |
| 31：75 | 4：25 | 11／2：15 | 1：17 | 3：20 | 21： 16 | 11： 54 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 52$ | 1：9 | 32： 36 | 2：23 | － 1218 | 2：28 | 212：40 | 30 | 420 |
| 21： 2 ：62 | 2：19 | 2：30 | 21： 4 ：48 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 41$ | 2 $\frac{1}{2}: 53$ | 212：24 | 212：14 | 21： 23 | $\frac{1}{2}: 7$ | 2：33 | 112：17 | 2：27 | 212：35 | 30 | $418 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：69 | 0：3 | 32：58 | 2：36 | 21： 24 | 22： 33 | 312：7 | $\frac{1}{2}: 10$ | $\frac{1}{2}: 11$ | $\frac{1}{2}: 25$ | 4：76 | 2：30 | 21： 41 | 2：32 | 30 | $418 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：72 | $\frac{1}{2}: 15$ | 2：28 | 2：52 | 312：49 | $\frac{1}{2}: 12$ | 112：40 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 56$ | 21： 21 | $\frac{1}{2}: 42$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 55$ | 2：29 | 112：20 | 212：41 | 30 | 4071 |
| 0：2 | 4：72 | 212：50 | $\frac{1}{2}: 21$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 67$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 34$ | 112：20 | 2：61 | 2：58 | 31 ${ }^{2}$ ： 81 | 212：32 | $\frac{1}{2}: 14$ | 3：63 | 3：52 | 30 | $398 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：91 | 112：13 | $\frac{1}{2}: 11$ | 31：67 | 3：45 | 1：5 | 3：37 | 1：39 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 41$ | 21： 53 | 112：31 | 1：38 | 4：56 | 2：29 | 30 | 397 |
| 4：90 | 2：2 | 2：23 | 2：7 | 1六：18 | 112：29 | 2：43 | 31：53 | 2：14 | 1：19 | 2：28 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 21$ | 3：34 | $\frac{1}{2}: 12$ | $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 422 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4：78 | $\frac{1}{2}: 16$ | 22： 21 | 112：25 | 21：48 | 2 $\frac{1}{2}: 31$ | 212：46 | 1：11 | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 52$ | 2：23 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 40$ | 1：19 | 1：33 | 212：43 | 291 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $412 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0：3 | 3：69 | $2 \frac{1}{2}: 47$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}: 60$ | 1：39 | 2：19 | $1 \frac{1}{2}: 45$ | 4：57 | 1：40 | 3：56 | 3：43 | 21： 20 | 1：14 | 11／2：28 | $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | $409 \frac{1}{2}$ |

71 Guernsey $\varepsilon$ Jersey $25 \frac{1}{2}$（352）， 72 Papua－New Guinea $25 \frac{1}{2}$（351 $\frac{1}{2}$ ）， 73 Malta $25 \frac{1}{2}$（ $347 \frac{1}{2}$ ），United Arab Emirates $25 \frac{1}{2}$（ $345 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 75 Monaco $25 \frac{1}{2}$（ $341 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 76 Nigeria 25 （ $367 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 77 Luxembourg 25 （ $346 \frac{1}{2}$ ），Uganda 25 （ $334 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 79 Zaire 25 （ $330 \frac{1}{2}$ ），Libya $24 \frac{1}{2}$（ $362 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 81 Jamaica 24 （ $364 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 82 Jordan 24 （ 348 ）， 83 Senegal 24 （ 334 ）， 84 Brit．Virgin $1 s l a n d s 23$（ 326 ）， 85 Honduras $22 \frac{1}{2}$（ $325 \frac{1}{2}$ ） 86 U．S．Virgin 1 slands $22 \frac{1}{2}$（ $320 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 87 Bahrain $22 \frac{1}{2}$（ 316 ）， 88 Angola 22 （ 319 ）， 89 Kenya 22 （ $289 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 90 Bermuda $19 \frac{1}{2}$（ $320 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 91 Botswana $14 \frac{1}{2}$（325）， 92 Zambia $14 \frac{1}{2}$（ $322 \frac{1}{2}$ ）， 93 Gambia 0 （failed to show）， 94 Mauretania 0 （failed to show）．

19 Rae2 Rec8 20 Ne5 Qf5 21 Bd 2 Nxc 22 g 4 Nxe5 $23 \mathrm{gxf} 5 \mathrm{Nf}+24 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Bh}$ 25 Qa4 Nh4+ 26 Kh3 Bxe2 27 Bxe2, 1-0.
SZNAPIK - HORT, Sicilian:
$1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$

 $15 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \quad 16 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 5$


17 Qh4 Bxf5 18 Rf1 h6 19 exf5 e4 20 Bb 5 e3 21 Bxe8 Rxe8 22 Ni3 Nxf5 ${ }^{2}$ Nb 5 exd2+ 24 Kxd2 Qa5+ 25 b4 27 Qel Bf4 28 27 Qel Bf4

KASPAROV - SUBA, Modern Benoni:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 c5 4 d5 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 $6 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 9 e4 Bg4 10 Be2 $0-0$ ll $0-0$ Qe7 12 Nd2 Bxe2 13 Qxe2 Nh5 14 Be3 Nd7 15 $a 5$ Bd4 16 Ra4 Qf6 17 Qd3 Ne5 18 Bxd4 Nxd3 19 Bxf6 Nxf6 20 Ne4 Rad8 21 Rd Nb4 22 Rd2 Ne8 23 Ral Nc7 24 Rel Kg 7

25 b 3 Rfe8 26 g 4 Rd 727 f 3 Nb 528 Ne2 f5 29 gxf5 gxf5 30 Ng 3 fxe4 31 fxe 4 Kh8 32 Rfl Nd4 33 Rg 2 Nxb 334 Nf5 Rf8 35 Nfxd6 Rxfl+ 36 Kxfl Nxa5 $37 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Rg} 7 \quad 38 \mathrm{Nef7} 7 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 39 \mathrm{Nh} 6+\mathrm{Kf} 8$ $40 \mathrm{Rf} 2+\mathrm{Ke} 741 \mathrm{Nhf5} 5 \mathrm{Kd} 742 \mathrm{Nxb} 7 \mathrm{Nd} 3$ 43 Nxa5 Nxf2 $44 \mathrm{Kxf2} \mathrm{Rg} 445 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 1$ 46 e5 Rflt $47 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Rel}+48 \mathrm{Kf4}, 1-0$
BROWNE - LJUBOJEVIC, Queen's Gambit:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc 3 Bb 4 5 cxd5 exd5 6 Bg5 Nbd7 7 Qb3 c5 8 as
 Ne4 $12 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 613 \mathrm{e} 13 \mathrm{c} 4 \quad 14 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Bf5}$ 15 Nxe4 Bxe4 16 f3 Bg6 17 h4 h5 18 g3 Re8 19 Rh 2 Na 420 Ra 2 Rc 621 Kd 2 Kd7 22 Rel Re8 $23 \mathrm{Bh} 3+\mathrm{Kd} 824 \mathrm{Bf} 1 \mathrm{a} 6$ $25 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 626 \mathrm{Bd} 1 \mathrm{Rb} 3 \quad 27 \mathrm{Ral} \mathrm{Kd} 728$ e4 dxe4 29 Bxb3 cxb3 30 Rabl b2 31 Kc2 exf3+ $32 \mathrm{~Kb} 3,0-1$.

The Olympiad Bulletins produced by the Icelandic magazine SKAK were really superb with virtually all the games plus commentaries, articles, interviews and photographs. Each special issue" has 48 A4 pages using figurine notation. Price for the whole set is US $\$ 60$ (or bound in 3 volumes $\$ 70$ ) plus postage from SKAK P.O. Box 1179, 121 Reykjavik, ICELAND

## HOWICK-PAKURANGA OPEN

## SPONSORED BY PAPATOETOE GLASS CO.

## VENUE: Pakuranga Cultural Community Centre.

DATES: February 19th and 20th, 1983.
PRIZES: First $\$ 150$, second $\$ 125$, third $\$ 100$ PLUS five grades with prizes of $\$ 30$ and $\$ 15$ per grade

FORMAT: Seven-round Swiss (four rounds on the Saturday and three rounds on the Sunday) with each player having one hour per game.

ENTRIES: Entry Fee is $\$ 10$. Entries close on Friday, 18th February 1983, although late entries at $\$ 12$ may be accepted. Check-in time 8:45am.

SMOKING: Smoking will not be permitted in the playing area.
CONTACT: For further information contact P.D.McCarthy, 92 Ti Rakau Drive, Pakuranga, telephone 565-055.

## Adrian Lloyd at the World Junior Ch'p

This year's World Junior Championship was held in Copenhagen, Denmark, from 13th to 27 th August
There were 52 entries, an increase of seven over the previous year. Of these there were seven IMs , one FM and, altogether, nineteen players with FIDE ratings above 2300. The top seed, not surprisingly, was England's Nigel sm (IM, 2485) who thad just gained a GM hopes were Benjamin (IM, 2475 , USA) Morovic (TM, 2450, Chile), Sokolov (2435, USSR), Murshed (IM, 2410, Bangladesh), Hjartason (2400, Lceland) and Greenfeld (2385, Tsrael) to name a few
The tournament was played in Idraet tens Hus which was apparently designed for use by sports teams. The organisation was generally good although, with rounds from 4 pm to 9 pm , some days I 'sacced' breakfast for a good sleep.
After the drawing of lots and a few
brief speeches there was about an hour before play got under way. When it did the two !najor upsets were the defeats of Benjamin and Hjartason (both with White) by Grivas (Greece) and the writer respectively.

The next day I obtained a won ending against Nijboer (Netherlands) which I methodically turned into a draw. My round three opponent was Mark Condie (Scotland, 2320). With a slightly better position I could have made things very drawish but, since I'm not too keen on fractions, I created a weakness instead and was slowly techniqued to death

In round four I wasted a good opening position against Norgaard, one of the four players from the host nation (one normal representative, one as organ ising country, on lol bean to make
ment)
This was followed by my theoretically refuting a gambit, except that my Finnish opponent Ebeling tried a nove which had knocked him around in a correspondence game and my position deteriorated.

At this stage the Aussie, Hjorth, had the lead with $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$ followed by Sokolov, Murshed, Trifonov, Tempone \& Hansen
nesia), Doghri (Tunisia) and Allegro (Switzerland) to get back over $50 \%$. In round 9 I ran into the French player Luce and, as in round five, my

The was tokener, I mauled Ras mussen (Denmark) in 18 moves with the added bonus of knowing how he felt Also in this round Stohl-Sokolov, Benjamin-Hjartason, Tempone-Murshed and Hansen-Greenfeld were all $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ while Hjorth-Morovic, and Nijboer-Short were $0-1$. The leaders were Sokolov $8 \frac{1}{2} / 10$; Benjamin, Hansen \& Greenfeld 7; Stohl, Hjartason, Luce \& Morovic $6 \frac{1}{2}$.

In round 11 I had black against Tri fonov who somehow also had only $5 \frac{1}{2} / 10$. This guy gradually removed any squares which I might have used so that, having nowhere to go, I took a pawn which cost me the exchange and then sped things up by trying to get lucky on the kingside; one of my more instructive defeats.

With $5 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ I provided Winants (Belgium) with his eighth (but not last) draw.

Going into the last round Benjamin could get lst $=$ with Sokolov if he beat Stohl while the Russian lost to Short. Benjamin, however, lost while Sokolov drew to take the title. I achieved a won position against the West German, Graf, but severe time trouble meant chucked a piece on move 38. So I finished with
Of the top place getters the biggest surprise was Igor Stohl (Czechoslovakia 2305) although he had finished Sth= in the European Junior earlier this year Also, the Danish got to 8 points.

Scores: 1 Sokolov (USR) 10/13; 2 Soh1 (CZ) 3 ) Shor (DNO), Milos ( BRZ ) 8 , 11 sen (DEN) \& MIM Murz) (BAN)和 (DEN) \& Hjartasson (ICE) 8 ; 12 6 (FRA) Greenfeld (ISR) \& Horvath (HUN) 12, $17-24$ Wiedenkeller (SWE), Fernandes ( $\dot{O R}$ ) Condie (SCO), Graf (BRD), Garbarino (ARG), Klinger (OST), Tempone (ARG) \& Gil (SP) 7; 25-33 Conquest (ENG), Doghri (TUN), Arlandi (ITA), Utut (RIN), Saeed (UAE), Rasmussen (DEN), Grivas (GRE), Norgaard (DEN) Winants (BEL) 6年: 34-41 Nishimura

JAP), Nijboer (NL), James (WAL), Bar bulescu (RUM), Bator (POL), Hergott (CAN), Singh (IND) \& Lloyd (NZ) 6; 4247 Martinez (COL), Allegro (SWI), Wolff (LUX), Borg (MLT), Ziad (ALG) \& Ebelin (FIN) 5-12; 48 Manne (NOR) $3 \frac{1}{2}$; $49-50$ tephenson (JAM) \& Asmah (GHA) $22_{2}$, Thomsen (FI) 2; $1 \frac{13}{2}$.
I found the tournament a tremendous xperience and had a very enjoyable time (despite feeling short-changed in or two games). Much of this was due en friendly and shared a lot of elpful advice.
I would like to thank all those who this trip possible - particularly Jin Mathieson from the Canterbury Chess lub the Christchurch Boys High School Rotara Club, the Scandinavian Club in Christchurch, and Mr Brian Winsor for his administrative assistance.
First, three of my own games:
HJARTASSON - LLOYD, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 $50-0 \mathrm{Be} 76 \mathrm{Rel} \mathrm{b5} 7 \mathrm{Bb} 30-0 \quad 8$ a4 Bb 79 d 3 d 610 Ne 3 Na 511 Ba 2 b 4 l $\mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{c} 513 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 14 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 8 \quad 15 \mathrm{~h} 3$ -8e6 $18 \mathrm{Be} 30 \mathrm{c} 19{ }^{2} 30 \mathrm{bxa}$ fe6 18 Be3? 21 2) 22 Rcl? 22 1625 Qc2) 23 2 24 Ber 39 Real a5 30 Bxf6 Byf6 31 $32 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Nxd} 233 \mathrm{Og} 4 \mathrm{Qbl}+0-1$ LLOYD-SINGH, Queen's Gambit Declined: 1 Nf3 c5 $2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \mathrm{~N}^{3} \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Nf6} 40-0$ e6 5 c4 d5 6 cxd5 Nxd5 7 d4 Qb6 8 e4 Nf6 9 dxc5 Bxc5 10 Ne 3 Be 711 e5 Nd7 12 Qe2 a6?! $13 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Nc5} 14 \mathrm{Rfc} 1 \mathrm{Bd} 7$ 15 Nd2 $0-0 \quad 16$ Nde4 Nxe4 17 Nxe4 Rac8 $18 \mathrm{Qh} 5 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \quad 19 \mathrm{Rd} 1 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Rfd} 8$


21 Nf6+ Bxf6 22 Nxb5 24 Bxc6 bxc 25 fxg 7 Kxg 726 Rxd8 Rxd8 27 Rel $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Rc8 } & 28 & \text { a4 Nd6 } & 29\end{array}$ b4 Kf6 30 Rc 5 Nb 31 Rh5 Kg6 $32 \mathrm{Rg} 5+$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Kf} 6 & 33 & \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 8 & 34\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Rg} 4 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 35 \mathrm{Rc} 4$
Nd6 36 Bg5+ Kg6 37 Rd4 Nf5 38 Rd7 c5 39 dxc 5 Rxc 540 g 4 Ng 741 Be 3 Ra 542 h5+ Nxh5 43 gxh5+ Rxh5 44 Bb6 Rd5 45 Ra7 f5 46 Rxa6 f4 47 Kg 2 Rd3 48 Ra Kf5 $49 \mathrm{a}, 1-0$

LOYD-RASMUSSEN, King's Indian
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\mathrm{d} 4 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 2 & \mathrm{c} 4 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & 3 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 & \mathrm{Bg} 7 & 4 & \mathrm{e} \\ 4 & \mathrm{~d} 6\end{array}$ $5 \mathrm{f} 40-0 \quad 6 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 5$ !? (This was new to me) 8 cxb5 a6 9 bxa6 Qa5 10 Nd2 Nxa6? (10...Bxa6 should be better)
 Kh1 N4xd5 $18 \mathrm{Nb} 5,1-0$.

SOKOLOV - MURSHED, Sicilian Taimanov e4 c5 2 Nc 3 Nc6 3 Nge2 e6 4 d 4 cxd 4 Nxd4 Qc7 6 Be2 a6 7 0-0 Nf6 8 Kh1 Bb4 9 Nxc6 bxc6 10 f4 d5 11 e5 Nd7
 f5 exf5 16 Rxf5 g6


17 Rxf7 Kxf7 18 e6+ Ke8 19 Bf4 Qd8 20 exd7+ Qxd7 21 Qxd7+ Kxd7 22 Nb6+ Ke8 23 Nxa8 Bxa8 24 Bxc4 Rf8 25 Bg 3 Rf5 26 Rel Kf8 27 Bxa6 Rd5 $28 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \quad 29 \mathrm{Re} 2$ Rdl+ 30 Re 1 Rd 2 32 Rf1+ Ke8 33 Bf7+ Kd8 $34 \mathrm{Rdl}+\mathrm{Kc} 835 \mathrm{Be} 6+\mathrm{Kb} 736 \mathrm{Be} 5 \mathrm{Re} 2$ $37 \mathrm{Rbl}+$, 1 - 0

BENJAMIN-STOHL, Sicilian Scheveningen 1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} \quad 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nf6 5 Nc 3 e6 6 f4 a6 7 Qf 3 Qc 78 Sc5 $12 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Nf} \mathrm{d7} 13 \mathrm{h4} \mathrm{Bb} 714 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{h6}$ 15 f6 55 Nh3 Nf6 17 exf5 e5 18 10 Nrdat 19 cxd3 Bxhl 20 Oxh1 Rc8 21 |  | 23 | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Rxcet 225 e $4{ }^{23}$ Rcl Qd 24

 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Qxe4+ Kd8 } & 28 \\ \text { Qb } 6+\mathrm{Ke} 7 & 31 & \mathrm{Qa} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 6 & 32 \mathrm{Qd} 4+\mathrm{Kg} 5 & 33\end{array}$
 Qd3 Qg3+ $37 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Qxd} 3+38 \mathrm{Kxd} 3 \mathrm{Be5} 39$ Ne5 Bxg7 40 Nxa6 Bxb2 41 Nf4+ Kg4 42 Ne6 Be5 $43 \mathrm{Nxb4} \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 44 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \quad 45 \mathrm{Nc} 2$ Bf4 46 Kd 3 h 447 Ke 2 h 348 Kf 2 Rb 8 , 0-1.
SCHANDORFF - BENJAMIN, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Ne6 5 Nb 5 d 66 c 4 Nf 67 Nlc 3 a6 8 Na 3 Be 7
 Ned 16 Qd2 Bb 17 Rf2 Qch 18 Re2 Rfe 19 b4 20 Qa 21 abb 52 1964 Na 23 Bxb 56445 Bb 726 Rb5 Bc6 27 Ba6 Bb 728 Bb5 Bxe4 29 Bxe8 Bxc 30 Rd2 Bb 31 Rxc 8 Qxc8 32 Bb5 Nd5 33 Bd4 Nxb4 34 Bxg $7 \times \mathrm{Kx} 735$ 32 A+ Bf6 36 Qub4 Qc5 37 Oxc5 dxc5 38 Bd3 a3 39 Bbl c4 $40 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 2,0-1$.

8



## The 1982 N.Z. Schoolpupil Ch'p

Report by Owen Thomson

The Canterbury Chess Club was host for the New Zealand Schoolpupil Championship held in Christchurch over the week of 30 August to 3 September. As well as the Brooker trophy to the winner, prizes were donated for first and second by the Patron of the club, Mr P.J.Skelle rup. Director of Play was Mr Owen
Thomson, secretary of the club.
Brett Cameron (Canterbury), finishing very strongly, was the winner with the fine score of six out of seven. Second, on five points, was Leonard McLaren (Wellington) who had won the event in the preceding two years.
Cameron went through without a loss, notching five wins and two draws, an impressive performance in a field which included the schoolpupil champions of the regions of Auckland, Wellington, Canterbury and Otago.
Probably the unlucky players were Michael Hopewell and Ben Alezander. Hopewell scored well in the first five rounds but lost to the two prize wimers in the final two rounds. Alexander, the other hand, began falteringly but finished with a strong burst to pick up
$3 \frac{1}{2}$ points in the last four rounds
The player with the big reputation, belng the All foud the poing hard Anthony Ker, foun the golng hard and was strugling th toug thee polnte. he rised the difficulties he faced on resumption.

Inside the upper restriction of 19
Inside the upper wide
years, those competing. Ben Martin, aged 12 the the youngest competitor and started with ereat gusto, polishing off his fellow otago representative in the first round when representatives from the same region were paired together. He drew his next game with Auckland's Martin Dreyer before he met Ker in the third round. Ben's win against Ker was quite remarkable; it is given in the games below. Martin sacrificed his queen after a fine build-up of pressure and then sustained such a fierce attack that Ker was left with no answers. His scoring, however, stopped there. Martin made the headlines in the Christchurch Press newspaper for this win against

Ker and was subjected to fairly upsetting attention from a press photographer during the next round as a result; the price of fame! Still if anyone up and coming has a bright chess future surely it is Ben Martin.

Kendal Boyd, also from Otago, played a lot better than his score indicated and he remained bright and cheerful in spite of a big setback.

Publicity was good throughout and ended with Brett Cameron appearing on "The Mainland Touch" a TV feature. The Canterbury Chess Club has prepared a bulletin of all the games and cop are available

The scores:
12345678


2 | 2 | McLaren |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Al | $W$ | 0 | $x$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| 3 | Alexander B | $C$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\times 1 / 2$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Hopewell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}5 & \text { Ker AF } & W & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \times & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & \text { Dreyer M P } & A & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & x & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 7 & \text { Mat }\end{array}$
7 Martin B M $\quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 000011 \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \quad 21$
8 Boyd K $\quad$ MARTIN-KER, Sicilian Dragon:
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 78 \mathrm{Be} 3$ $0-0 \quad 9$ Qd2 Re8 10 Bh 6 Bh8 $11 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 12$ Bb3 Qb6 13 0-0-0 Nc6 14 Nxc6 Qxc6 15 h5 a5 16 hxg6 hxg6 17 Bf8! Nh5 (17... Rxf8 18 Rxh8+! Kxh8 19 Qh6t Kg8 20 Qxg6t Kh8 21 Rhlt \& mates next move) 18 g4 e6 19 gxh5 Kxf8 $20 \mathrm{hxg} 6 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 21 \mathrm{gxf} 7 \mathrm{Re} 7$ 22 Qg5 Rxf7 23 Nd5 a4 24 Rdg 1 Ke 825 Qxg7 Rxg7 26 Rxg7 Kf8 27 Rc7 Bd7 28 Rxc6 Bxe6 29 Nc 7 axb3 30 Nxa8 bxa2 Kd2 Ke7 $32 \mathrm{Nc} 7 \mathrm{Kd} 7 \quad 33 \mathrm{Na} 6 \mathrm{~d} 534$ e $4435 \mathrm{Ke} 2,1-0$.
McLAREN - HOPEWELL, Sicilian 2 f 4 :
1 e4 c5 2 f 4 d 53 exd5 Qxd5 4 Nc 3 Od8 5 Nf3 Nf6 6 Ne 5 e6 7 Qf 3 Be 78
 Bc6 12 Nxc6 Nxc6 13 g5 Nd5 14 Nxd5 exd5 15 f5 Nd4 16 Bxd4 cxd4 17 f6 Ba3+ 18 Kbl Rfe8 $19 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Re} 3 \quad 20 \mathrm{Qf5}$ g6 21 Qfl a5 22 h 4 a4 23 h 5 axb 324 cxb3 Qa5 25 dxe3 Bb2 26 a4 Qb4 27 Qe2. Bc3 28 Qa2 dxe3 29 hxg 6 fxg6 30 Rh2 Rc8 31 Rdhl Bd2 32 Rxh7 Rc1+ 33 Rxcl Kxh7 $34 \mathrm{Rc} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 35$ Bxg6 e2 36 Re8+, 1 - 0 .

## LOCAL NEWS

## ALL-WELLINGTON CHAMPIONSHIP

With several of Wellington's top players absent, this year's championships were characterised more by quantity than quality. Top seed was Leonard McLaren, last year's winner. The ANZ Bank in Courtenay Place was the venue with two rounds on each of three Sundays in August. The event was organised by the Wellington Chess League with Gavin Flower as D.O.P.

Most of the higher rated players won easily enough in the first round although Feneridis, at the end of the session, was struggiing the exchange dow perhaps not so suring ence " since 5 2 plays aro in the Crimea!" He sas was sealed a blunder which rapidly lost

The top seeds continued winning through the next couple of rounds. In position against McLaren but went astray, eventually reaching a drawn $\mathrm{K} v$ $K+B+R P$ ending. Ker now had 4 points while McLaren and Frankel had $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Round five was dominated by the 89
over between McLaren and Ker; the former gradually assumed the initia tive but, in searching for a win in a tricky endgame, he allowed Ker counterplay which completely turned the tables Within an hour of completing this 8 hour marathon, both players were back for the final round. Ker beat Hawkes after the latter exchanged down to a lost ending while a somewhat dispirited McLaren managed to beat Dive.

At 15 years Anthony Ker becomes the youngest player to win the All-Wellington Championship. If his actual chess wasn't always impressive, his approach to the game certainly was. He displayed great fighting abillty and remarkable levels of concentration. Arcadii Feneridis was the other star of the tournament; you would have to go a long way to find another 75 -year old playing chess of such a high standard. In coming equal third Leonard McLaren and Zyg Frankel played well at times but on this occasion their mistakes told on them whereas Ker's errors were left un punished

Scores: 1 A.F.Ker (Pen) 6/6; 2 A.

Feneridis (W) 5; 3-4 L.McLaren (Civ) \& Z.Frankel (W) $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 5-14 P.D.Hawkes (Civ), M.White (Civ), R.Dive (Twa), D. Low (Pen), A.B.Mullan (Civ), P.E.Bennett (UH), W. Ramsay (HV), R.S.Mitchell (Twa), J.B.Kay (Civ) \& S. Hill (Pen) 4: 15 I.Reyn (W) $3 \frac{1}{2}$; $16-25$ Z. Shardy (W), J.Hartley (UH), P. Connor (HV), P.Dunn (Pen), G.Barrow (W), P.Monrad (HV), W Jones (W), N.Owens ( F ), G.Alexander (W) \& T. Pledger (W) 3; 26-28 G.Simpson (Twa), P.King (Civ) \& R. Houpt (HV) 2立; 29-3J C.M.Ker (Pen), L.Abbey (AV), Naylor (Civ), K. 34-37 T Fink-Jensen (Civ) J.Chandler (Pen), B.Thorns (Civ) (CIV), J.Chander (P) 38-40 D.McDonald (Civ) S Ker (Pen) \& D Adams (UH) 1

The B-grade prize was shared among White, Mullan, Low, Ramsay, Mitchell Hill while Dunn, Barrow, Alexander, Pledger and veteran Wally Jones shared the C-grade prize.
of the 120 games played, only 13 ended in draws. Ah yes, here in Wellington we play entertaining chess! MULLAN - A. KER, Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc 3 d 54 Bg 5 Be 7
 Qxe7 9 Qc2 Nxc3 10 Qxc3 dxc4 11 Bxc4
 15 a3 axb4 16 axb4 Nc6 17 Rxa8 Rxa8 18 b5 Nb4 19 Rc 1 Nd5 20 Qc4 Qa3 21 Ne5 Qb2 22 Qc2 Ra2 23 Qxb2 Rxb2 24 Bfl f5 25 h3 Kh7 26 Nd3 Rb3 27 Nf4 Nxf4 28 exf4 Rb4 29 Rxc7 Bd5 30 g 3 Rxd4 31 Rc 3 Rd 132 Rd 3 Rbl 33 Rc 3
 37 Re 2 ? Rxe2 38 Bxe2 Ke 739 Kf 2 Kd 6 40 g 4 Kc 541 Ke 3 h 542 h 4 Bc 443 gxh5 gxh5 $44 \mathrm{Bd} 1 \mathrm{Bxb} 545 \mathrm{Bb} 3,0-1$. CONNOR - HAWKES, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 f5 4 d3 fxe4
 5 dxe4 Nf6 6 BgS d6 7 Nc3 Be7 8 O-0 12 Ng 5 Nd 413 Nxe6 Qxe6 14 Be 2 Qg 6 15 Bc4+ Kh8 16 Kh2 Rf3! $17 \mathrm{Ne} 2(17$ Rg1 Rxh3+ 18 gxh3 Oxglt; $17 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Nf4}$; 17 Kh1 may be best) $17 .$. Rxh3+! 18 Kxh3 Nxe2 19 Bxe2 (If 19 Kh 4, Qxg2 should win) $19 .$. Nff 420 Qxf4 exf4 21 Kh 2 Re8 22 Bf 3 Qh6t 23 Kgl Qh 424 Rfel Re6 25 Kfl g5 26 e5 c6 27 Be4 Rxe5 28 f3 g4 29 Rad1 d5 30 Bxd5 Qh1+, $0-1$.
WHITE - FENERIDIS, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb 5 Nd4 4 Nxd4 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & \text { e4 } & \text { e5 } & 2 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Nc} 6 & 3 & \mathrm{Bb} 5 & \mathrm{Na} 4 & 4 & \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \\ \text { exd4 } & 5 & 0-0 & \mathrm{c} 6 & 6 & \mathrm{Ba} 4 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 7 & \mathrm{~d} 3 & \mathrm{Be} 7 & 8\end{array}$

Nc2 d6 9 Re1 $0-0 \quad 10 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{a} 5 \quad 11 \mathrm{c3}$ dxc3 12 bxc3 Ng 413 h 3 Ne 514 Nfl
 18 Bc 2 Ra 719 Qh 5 Rae 720 Ng 4 Bxg 4 21 hxg 4 Nf8 22 g 5 g 623 Qh4 Bg7 24 g3 a4! 25 Kg 2 Qa5 26 Rac 1 Rc 827 Bf $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\mathrm{Rd} 8 & 28 \mathrm{Bb} & \mathrm{Qb} 6 & 29 & \text { Redl } \mathrm{Qb} 7 & 30 \mathrm{Qg} 4\end{array}$ Qd7 31 Qh4 Rde8 32 Be 3 Qb 733 Rel c 5 $34 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 35 \mathrm{cxb} 4 \quad \mathrm{Qxb4} 46 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Be} 5 \quad 37$ $\mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Qa} 3 \quad 38 \mathrm{Bbl} \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rcd} 1 \mathrm{c} 4 \quad 40$ Bxe5 dxe5 41 Qg 4 Rb 242 Qc8 Qb4 43 Rcl Qb6 $44 \mathrm{Rf1} \mathrm{Rc} 745 \mathrm{Qe} 8 \mathrm{Qd} 446$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Qxa4 Nd7 } & 47 & \text { Redl } & \text { Qc5 } & 48 \text { d6?? Ra7 } 49 \\ \text { Rd5 Rxa4 } & 50 & \text { Rxc5 Nxc5 } & 51 & \text { Rdl Rab4 }\end{array}$ Ra5 Rxa4 50 Rxc5 Nxc5 51 Rdl Rab4 52 Rd5 Nd7 53 a3 $\mathrm{Rb} 5,0-1$.

Report: Mike White

## AUCKLAND LABOUR WEEKEND TOURN.

The traditional Labour Weekend Tournament saw Paul Garbett powering through the field with five straight wins. Metge (male) dispatched lower rated players efficiently, dropping only one point to Garbett to finish a clear second.

Bruce Watson, on the other hand, ran up against some determined opposition and drew (luckily) against Metge (female) and lost to Louis Rawnsley in a long game.

Mark Brimble had a good result to share third place but was favoured by a mistake in the final pairings when he should have played Watson. The sometimes bumptious Jon Stephenson played well and shared the grade prize with Galph Spencer-Smith. In Dreyer, form what may be called the pride and sorrow of Auckland Junior chess.

Scores: 1 P.A.Garbett (NS) 5/5; 2 J.N.Metge (A) 4; 3-4 M.T.Brimble (Wai) \& Mrs K. Metge (HP) $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 5-8 M.K.Morrison (A), J.R.Stephenson (NS), L.D Rawnsley (A) \& G.J.Spencer-Smith (NS) 3; 9-10 B.R.Watson (A) \& R.Tay1or (HP) $2^{\frac{1}{2} ;}$; R Hart (NS), R. Hart (NS) 2 (A) 17 K Brett (A) $18 \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{Sh}^{2} \mathrm{l}$ lds (Wai) 0 .

GARBETT - WATSON, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nc6 5 Nc3 Qc7 6 g3 Nf6? (a6) $7 \mathrm{Ndb5}$ Qb8 8 Bf4 e5 9 Bg5 a6 10 Bxf6 gxf6 11 Na 3 Bxa3 12 bxa3 Ne7 13 Qd2 Qc7 $14 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 150-0$ Be6 16 Nd5 Nxd5 17 exd5 Bd7 $18 \mathrm{Rbl}(18$ Qh6!) h5! 19 Qb 4 Re8 20 Rfel b5 21 a4 h4 22 axb5 axb5
$23 \mathrm{Rb} 3 \mathrm{f} 524 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{f} 4!? 25 \mathrm{Rbc} 3 \mathrm{hxg} 326$ hxg 3 fxg3 27 cxb5 gxf2+ 28 Kf1 Qd8 29 Rxc8 Bxc8 30 Rc 3 Rh 431 Rc 4 Rh 2 $32 \mathrm{~b} 6 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rg} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 34 \mathrm{Kxf} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 8$ ? (after 34...Bxd5! the position is unclear) 35 Qxd6+, 1 - 0.
METGE - WATSON, Sicilian 2 f4:
 5 Bc4 e6 $6 \quad 0-0$ Nge7 7 Qel $0-0 \quad 8$ d3 b6 (8...d5!) 9 f5 exf5?! 10 Qh4 d6 11 Bh6! Ne5 12 Ng 5 Nxc 413 Bxg 7 Kxg 714 Qxh7+ Kf6 15 e5+! Kxg5 16 h4+ Kg4 17 Qh6 Nd5 (forced) 18 Nxd5 dxe5 19 dxc4 f 4 (Otherwise $20 \mathrm{Ne} 3+$ ) 20 Rxf4+! exf4 21 Qxf4+ Kh5 22 Nf6+ Qxf6 23 Qxf6 Kh 24 Rdl (Better was 24 Kf2 with the ide h1 and h5 winning) 24...Be6 25 Rd6 Rae8 $26 \mathrm{Kf} 2, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
STEPHENSON - HART, King's Gambit: 1 e4 e5 2 f4 exf4 $3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 4$ exd5 $5 f 6$ Nc3 bd6 6 Bb5+ c6 7 dxe6 Nxc6 0-0 0-0 9 Bxc6 bxc6 $10 \mathrm{~d} 4 \operatorname{Re} 811$ Ne5 Bxe5 12 dxe5 Qb6+ 13 Khl Bg 414 Qel g5 15 Bxf4! gxf4 16 Qh4 Rxe5 17 Pxf6 Rf5 18 Qh4 Bh5 19 g4 Qe3 20 exh5 f3 21 Qg4+, $1-0$.

Report: Nigel Matge

## TAWA LABOUR WEEKEND TOURNAMENT

The FLETCHER TIMBER LABOUR WEEKENDER organised by the Tawa club attracted about the same number of entries (in 3 grades) as last year, althour
有 end was a
Round 1: Russe, sacrificing knight and queng game, sacreretual against and queen to secure a perpul agains ove good display before poing down to Feneridis.

Round 2: Noble, Hawkes and Feneridi 11 won to have full points. Ker and Stracy had a topsy-turvy battle before halving the point. Sarfati looked to be back on target as he gradually over-
powered Connor
Round 3: On achieving a won position against Feneridis, Sarfati relaxed too soon and Fenny found a winning resource his own. Thereafter Sarfati, annoye t again being unable to win a Welling est. Hawkes gained a superior position versus Noble but erred on the last move before the time control and lost. Scores: Noble \& Feneridis 3; Ker $2 \frac{1}{2}$;

Hawkes, Stracy \& Ramsay 2
Round 4: Noble drew with Fenny so ker, who sacrificed rook and queen to force mate against Ramsay, joined these two in the lead. Aldridge's open Ruy Lopez overcame Sarfati while Hawkes beat Stracy to be half a point back. Round 5: Noble comfortably overcame stracy's dubious attack to get his name on the cup for the second year rumning The Vienna Game again brought success in to Ker - he bear renny to join Noble first place. hawkes could his luck when Afth move and poid the pawn on the flat ded third place Hawkes

Fenny took fourth place while, elsewhere, Connor and Mullan gave promising displays. John Hartley gave a disgustingly ur-Wellington-iike display with four draws.

Mark van der Hoorn won the E-grade, beating top seed Paul Bennett on the way. pall Dunn was a confortable winner of the Junior Tournament

Overall the standard of play was a little down on recent competitions sufficient for victory. Einally, thanks to Bob Mitchell and his able assistants for again organising a well run tournament.
Scores: 1-2 M.F.Noble \& A.F.Ker $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$; 3 P.D. Hawkes 4; 4 A.Feneridis $3 \frac{1}{2} ; 5$ P. Connor 3; 6-8 G.Aldridge, A.B.Mullan \& M. White $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 9-12 D.M.Stracy, W.Ransay, 1. Hartley \& R.Dive 2; 13-14 Z.Frankel J.D.Sarfati 1/2; 15-16 C.M.Bell \& M. P. Schwass 1.

B-grade: 1 M.van der Hoorn $4 \frac{1}{2} ; 2$ P.E Bennett 4; 3-8 R.houpt, D.Low, P.Cooper, J. Gilberd, P.King \& D.A.CiffordMoore 3; 9 R.Edwards $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 10-14 T. Boswell, M. Thomas, J.N.Freston, K. Blundell \& S.Booth 2; 15 I.Macri 1; 16 F.Totton 0 .
Juniors: 1 P.Dunn 7/8; 2-3 C.M.Ker \& G. Alexander 6; 4 M. Hobbs $5 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 5-6$ G. Simpson \& B. Taylor 5; 7 E.Stephen-Smith $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 8-13 G.McOuinlan, S.Knox, S.Ker, R.Daniel, K.Mowat \& C.Small 4; 14-15 P. Walkin M. 17 A. Maclachlan 2; 19 R. Newdick 11/2

SARFATI - DIVE, Ruy Lopez:
1 é Ric6 2 Ni3 d6 3 d4 e5 4 Bb5 Bd7 5 Ne3 a6 6 Pxc6 Bxc6 7 dxes Qd7 8


Bxe7 Nxe7 12 Oa7 Ng6 $13 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Qh} 5 \quad 14$ exd6 Rxd6 15 Nd 4 Nf $4 \quad 16$ Nf5 Nxh3+! $17 \mathrm{gxh} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 6+18 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qxf} 3 \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{Rg} 2+20 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Rxf} 2+21 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Rg} 2+22 \mathrm{khl}$ Rf2+ 23 Kgl $\mathrm{Rg} 2+\mathrm{R}_{2}$.
HAWKES - NOBLE, Pirc Defence:
$1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ $5 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 6 \quad \mathrm{b3}$ Bxf $3 \quad 7$ Qxf3 c6 8 e5 dxes 9 dxe5 NdS 10 Ne4 Na6 11 Bxa6 Qast 12 bd 2 Qxa6 13 b3 $0-0-0 \quad 14 \mathrm{c}_{4}$ Nc7 $150-0$ Ne6 16 Be3 Rd3 17 Rfdl Rhd8 18 Ni6t! R3xd6 19 exd6 Bxal 20 dxe7 Re8 21 Rxal Rxe7 22 f5 Nc 723 Eg5 5 Rd7 24 Qc 3 b $5 \quad 25$ a4 bxc $4 \quad 26$ bxc 4 f6 27 Qxf6 Qb6t 28 Khl c5 29 Qf8+ Kb7 30 fxg6 hxg 631 Qf3+ Ka6 32 Rf1 Ne6 33 Q84 Edi4 34 Q83 Nxg5 35 Qxg $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Qd6 } & 36 \text { Qcl Qeb } 37 \text { Qb2 Qb6 } 38 \text { Qc2 } \\ \text { Qes } & 39 \mathrm{Rb} & \text { Rxc4 } 40 \text { Qb3?? Re1+ } 41 \mathrm{Kh} 2\end{array}$ Qeb 39 Rbl
Qe5+, $0-1$.

Report: Mike White

## BLEDISLOE CUP FINAL

The 1981 Bledisloe Cup final was played by telephone on 2nd October between Auckland and Otago. Although the former was rather stronger on paper, the otago lower boards carried the day in a narrow $10 \frac{1}{2}-9 \frac{1}{2}$ victory.
This was Otago's first success since 1974. The results:

## OTAGO

R.J.Sutton
R.A. Dowden A. J. Love R.Wansink C.T.H.Bates M.R. Freeman G.G.Haase D. Lichter .Lichter R.ong B.M.Martin M.R.R.Foord M.R.R.Foord
W. Perch W. Petch J. Sievey
V.Aandah1 V. Aandah1
A. Kwok
P.J.Sinton

AUCKLAND

Auckland gor ava when bruce Watson failed to show - and
this emphasised one of the drawbacks of playing the matches by telephone; we were unable to ring Bruce (because the line was otherwise engaged!) and Bob Gibbons became a one-man search party thus losing some time on his own clock. by saying that Otago had plenty of by saying that Otago had plenty of hart

Perhaps Auckland might have been purred on had they realised the overall situation in the 55 years of Bled isloe Cup competition. Before this year's match Auckland and Otago had each won the trophy 17 times. Otago had a golden period from 1953 to 1960 when they won the trophy eight times in a row. Wellington has won the cup 14 times while Canterbury has 6 victories.

## BLACKBURN CUP FINAL

Chess by telephone adds a further dimension to the complexity of the game with oral transmission of moves providing great opportunity for error. Confusion created by transmission misunderstandings caused anguish at both ends of this year's Blackburn Cup final between Tawa and Remuera.

The match was closer than the final score of $7 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$ in Tawa's favour indicates, the winner being assisted by a couple of self-destructs at the Remuera end late in the evening. Scores:

## TAWA

1 G.Aldridge
2 C.Fraser
3 D.M.Stracy
4 R.J.Dive
5 A.Aldridge
6 R.S.Mitchell
7 R.Wood
8 M. Small
9 P.Skurr
10 I. Pronk
11 G.Simpson
12 R.Black

REMUERA
0-1 M.G.Hopewe1
1-0 N.H.Hopewe11
J. Bojtor
R.Mathieson
S. Van Dam
Z. Spiro

1-0 R.Flater
1-0 D.MacDonald
0-1 J.Storey
1-0 J.Bosley
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 0-1 } & \text { W.van Oosterom } \\ 1-0 & \text { G. Keerkeer }\end{array}$
G.Keerkeer

NORTH SHORE C.C. CHAMPIONSHIPS
The North Shore club's 1982 A-grade Championship may not have been quite as strong as in some previous years but extra interest was added by the presence
of Ortvin Sarapu - competing for the of Ortvin Sarapu - competing for the
first time in this event. Club tournafirst time in this event. Club tournaments have been a no-no hears because was working shifts.
Sarapu won a close race ahead of two former club champions; although undcubr edly a deserving winner, Ortvin's play was not entirely convincing in that he built up much superior, if not winnting, positions against his three main rivals pet had to settle eventually for three draws.
David Gollogly could be said to have been the "key" to the final placings. After two early setbacks, which left him out of the running, he beat Michael Whaley and Peter Stuart but "only" drew with Sarapu, thus providing the final margin. The scores:

## 123456789012

1 Sarapu $0 \quad \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 11111111$
2 Stuart PW $\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} 011111111$
3 Whaley MG $\frac{1}{2} \times 011111111$
4 Gollogly DA $\frac{1}{2} 11 \times 0011111188 \frac{1}{2}$
5 Pitts GL $0001 \times 11 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 111$
6 Weir P B $\quad 00010 \times 111111$
7 Moulin D S $000000 \times 01111$
$80^{\prime}$ Connor TP $0000 \frac{1}{2} 01 \times 1 \frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2}$
9 Snelson PR $0000 \frac{1}{2} 000 \times 110$
10 Feasey RA 0000000 a $0 \times 11$
11 Roundill R L $0000000100 \times 1$
12 McIvor BW $0000000 \frac{1}{2} 100 \mathrm{x}$ 1g
In the B-grade Greg Spencer-Smith built up a healthy lead but two losses late in the tournament allowed Ray Lannie to catch up in the penultimate round only to lose his last game. Scores: 1 G.J. Spencer-Smith 11/13; 2 R.M.Lannie 10; 3 D.B.Shead $9 \frac{1}{2} ; 4-5 \mathrm{M}$. K.Morrison \& Mrs V.J. Burndred $7 \frac{1}{2}$; 6 R. Hart 7; 7-8 S.C.Martin \& R.B.Johnstone 6 $\frac{1}{2}$; 9 R.G.Steel 6; 10 R.G.Watt 5; 11 Atkinson $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 14 Mrs L.Martin 3.

Jon Stephenson won his division of the C-grade with $12 / 12$, two points ahead (12 Roble (12 qualler er for first game of the play-off to Langley and then withdrew leaving langley wisie 14 . Then cale lala Hlogether, 53 players competed in

Altogether, 53 players competed in the three grades.

Paul Spiller again won the HowickPakuranga club's championship undefeated but only held off a surprisingly strong challenge from David Field by a half point. Glen Turner also put in a good performance in finishing third.

Scores: 1 P.S.Spiller 18/19; 2 D. W. Field $17 \frac{1}{2} ; 3$ G.M.Turner $16 \frac{1}{2} ; ~ 4-5$
A.J. Booth $\&$ R. Taylor $14 ; 6-7$ C.M. A.J. Booth \& R.Taylor 14; 6-7 C.M. Strevens \& Mrs K.Metge 12t:2; 8 R. Baum gartner 12; 9 D. Bennell $10 \frac{1}{2}$; 10 P . Baldwin ; J. Smith A. An 6: 16 Bird 51. 17 . P.D.Mcearthy 6; DC.Bird 19 , Dawle 1; 20 M (withde. Rawnsley 1; 20 M. Buls (withdrew after round ten).

This turned out to be the deciding game:
FIELD - SPILLER, Sicilian Defence: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 e5 Nd5 4 d4 e6 5 Nc3 Nc6 6 Nxd5 exd5 7 dxc5 Bxc5 8 c3!? d6!? 9 b4 Eb6 10 Qxd5 dxe5 11 fxe3 Ne5 15 Be 2 ? Be6 16 0-0 Rc8 17 $\mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 6 \quad 18 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{O} 0 \quad 19 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Rfd} 8 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ Nc4 $21 \mathrm{Bc} 1 \mathrm{Nd} 6122 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 4+23 \mathrm{Nfl}$
 Kxe2 Rc2+, 0 - 1.

## CANTERBURY C.C. CHAMPIONSHIP

## This year the Canterbury Club

 Championship was played with two preliminary groups of eight players, four carrying forward their results against qualifiers from the same preliminary group.Michael Leese became the 1982 Canterbury Club Champion, conceding but one draw throughout.

Scores from the final: M.Leese $6 \frac{1}{2}$; P.Van Dijk \& W.Norton 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; O.N.Thomson

3浆; M. Hampl \& G.Davies 3; C.Marshall \& A.J. Nijman 1.

Brian Nijman won the Reserve Ch'p with B.Alexander, J.Mathieson \& D Schuster sharing second place.

## WANGANUI C.C. NEWS

Wanganui retained the Burnham Cup with a 6-6 tie in the second leg played
in Wanganui. They had earlier won the first leg 7-5 in Palmerston North.

Results (Wanganui names first) in board order: G.Hoskyn 0-1 M.Chye, T. Boswe11 1-0 D.J.Cooper, H.P. Whitlock 1-0 E.Gibbons, P.Vetharaniam 1-0 I. Whitson, D.I.Bell 0-1 M.Hall, P.Cooper 1-0 J. Blatchford, D. Burnham 1-0 S.Gazjago, H.Jones 0-1 M.Kopytko, D.Shalav 0-1 W.Artner, S.Booth 0-1 Y.Lee, G.Redit 0-1 D.Kelly, C.Ward 1-0 D.Roberts

The Wanganui Schoolpupil Championship, sponsored by David Burnham Motors, was conducted by Wanganui Boys College. Joint winners were Tony Boswell and Khoa Tiet with $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$ and next came Paul Cooper 4 and Michael Shaw 312

Twenty-four competed in the Wanganui club's third annual Lightning Tournament which was won again by Paul Cooper with $5 / 5$. Second equal were T . Boswell, G. Hoskyn \& D.I.Bell on 4.

## HASTINGS NEWS

A remarkable 346 primary and Intermediate schoolpupils from 21 schools entered the third HASTINGS JUNIOR CHESS TOURNAMENT and competed in age grades for both boys and girls from 7 years to open junior on a knock-out system. Ten members of the Hastings $\&$ Havelock North Chess Club assisted the Hastings City Council Recreation Officer in running this successful event. The tournament was opened by the Mayor of Hastings, Mr Jim $0^{\prime}$ Connor and must surely rank as one of the largest chess events in Australasia.

Peterhead School, Flaxmere, with 49 entries, many coached by teacher Kim Whittington, took 19 awards and the best game played by a boy in the finals - this was by 10-year old Tuan Hawke.

The HASTINGS \& HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. Championships were run in three grades each with six players, double roundrobin. Dave Lynch won the A-grade event with $8 \frac{1}{2} / 10$ followed by M. Earle \& L. Garnett $6 \frac{1}{2}$, D.Christie 5, N.Milman $3 \&$ M.McKenzie l. The Club's Lightning Ch'p was won by L. Garnett.

Dave Lynch won the club's P.D.Lee Swiss Open cup while D.Christle was the Most Improved Player.

## AUCKLAND INTERCLUB TOURNAMENT

North Shore A again won the Auckland Interclub title, with a record margin of of 11 points. Shore won all seven matches with David Gollogly scoring a record 7/7. The other main contributors to North Shore's total were Ortvin Sarapu $3 \frac{1}{2} / 5$, Paul Garbett $4 / 4$ and Peter Stuart 6/7.

Howick-Pakuranga A suffered a first round mishap when they had to default three games against Auckland A and this eventually cost them any chance of second place

Scores: 1 North Shore A 36 $\frac{1}{2}$; 2 Auckland A 25 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3 Howick-Pakuranga A 231/2 (5); 4 Waitemata 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ (31/2); 5 North Shore B 22 $\frac{1}{2}$; 6 Papatoetoe $16 \frac{1}{2}$; 7 Auckland B 12㘶; 8 Howick-Pakuranga B $7 \frac{1}{2}$.

Other high scorers were S.Fitzpatrick (Auckland B) 6/7, G.M.Turner (Howick-Pakuranga A) $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6$, J.R.Stephenson (North Shore B) 5/7, V.J.Burndred (Waitemata) $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$, E.M.Green (Howick Pakuranga A) \& G.L.Pitts (North Shore
B) both $4 \frac{1}{2} / 6$.

## WELLINGTON LEAGUE RATINGS

Anton Reid, Wellington Chess League Rating Officer sends us the latest League rating list.

The top ten are: L.Aptekar 862, D.H Beach 773, L.McLaren 770, B.A.Carpinter 74 , A.F.Ker 718, A.Feneris 717 , M. 710 and M. Evans 708.

## OTAGO C.C. CHAMPIONSHIP

The second round of the Otago Club Championships saw Richard Sutton score a convincing victory. The scores:

Sutron $\quad \underline{234567}$ Sutton R J $\quad \times \frac{1}{2} 1111 \frac{1}{2} 111$ Wansink $R$ R $\begin{array}{lllllll}\frac{1}{2} & x & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Dowden } R A & 0 & 0 \frac{1}{2} & \times \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1\end{array}$
 Haase GG $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \frac{1}{2} \times 1$

Ben Martin won the B-grade with $5 / 6$.

The following game, won by 12 -year old Martin was actually played earlier in the year at the Otago Club. Tony Dowden comments, "I hesitate to analyse such a conception as in this game, in the worry that it might in some way detract from the game. I'm impressed!"
P.SINTON - B.MARTIN, Four Knights:

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 d3 Bc5 Na4 Bb6 8 c3 Qd7 9 Bg5 h6 10 Bxf6 gxf6 11 d4 Rg8!? 12 d5 Bh3


13 Nh4 (After 13 dxc6! Rxg2+ 14 Kh1 Qg4 15 Nel! White seems to defend) 13 ...Ke7! 14 dxc6 (Black is winning after this; 14 Nxb6 just might defend with the idea f4) 14...Bxg2! 15 exd7 (Or 15 Nxg 2 Qh 316 Bf3 Rxg2+ 17 Bxg 2 Rg 8 $\&$ wins) $15 \ldots$...bf3+ $16 \mathrm{Ng} 2 \mathrm{Rxg} 2+17 \mathrm{Khl}$ Rg1+! 18 Kxgl Rg 8 mate, $0-1$.

## From NZCA:

BOOKS
Widest range of chess literature in New Zealand.

CLOCKS
Three to choose from: German B.H.B. \$39 - Swiss LOOPING \$89 - Dutch BASCH $\$ 29$.

## SETS

Full size plastic Staunton: wide base $\$ 11$, narrow base $\$ 9$ each. Also wooden, large Staunton style, only \$16.

## BADGES

Newly designed, attractive metal Newly dested, matractive metal stylized chess board. Black/white/ styllzed chess/white/silver; clasp or stick-pin - $\$ 2.50$ each.

NZCA, Box 8802, SYMONDS ST, AUCKLAND
Please add postage 5\% (clocks \$1)

## PANEL POSER

In the game Small-Aptekar (August, page $94-5$ ) could the following be conpage 94-5) could the following be
sidered decisive from the diagram?


14 Qh5 (instead of exf6 in the game) h6 15 exf6 Rxf6 16 Bxf6 gxf6 (16 ...Qxf6 17 Qe8+ Qf8 18 Bh7+) 17 Qxh6 answering 17 ...f5 or 17 ....Qe7 with 18 f 4.
$17 \ldots$...e8 is also
bad after 16 Bg6 Rf7 17 ixg etc. suppose you don't look for the fancy Ines in 40-40 chess! - Adrian Lloyd

Alas, yes! The pretty finishes are finds in the privacy and quiet of one's own home. The trick I missed over the board (and it was not 40-40 by the way, ing myself for missing the line you ing myself for missing the line you more spectacular 15 Bf6 which transposes unless black chooses to don the poses 17 Bh4.

A pity to miss such a simple win, but the rook and pawn endgame practice was probably more use in the long run!

- Vernon Small

Readers' questions should be sent to the Editor; they may concern games, analysis, openings, endings, chess history or, in fact, anything relevant to the game. Personal replies are not Green, iM Ortvin Sarapu, Vernon Small, Robert Smith, Peter Stuart \& Peter Weir

## monnown

## FOR SALE

Applied Concepts Inc (U.S.A.) Upgradable Modular game system with Boris 2.5 module - seven levels of play - power and battery operated hardly used - cost $\$ 850-w i 11$ sell
$\$ 500$.

Has memory hold and will also take modules for other games such as
draughts, backgammon, etc
Fhone Auckland 688137 or write 36A Kingsview Rd, Mt Eden, Auckland, 3.

## OLYMPIAD APPEAL

A further $\$ 300+$ came in during the last six weeks of this appeal boosting the total to nearly $\$ 1300$. I am sure $I$ speak for all the Olympiad team members when I say a very big thank you to all those who have contributed!

Donations received since the October acknowledgements:
AUCKLAND:
.S.Taylor
50.00

Previously acknowledged $\quad \underline{865.00}$ Total $\$ 915.00$

## WELLINGTON:

Upper Hutt Chess Club 76.05
REST NORTH ISLAND
R.Deverick (Onekawa)
5.00

| R.Deverick (Onekawa) | 5.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Andrew Reid (Tuai) | 10.00 | Wanganul Chess club Previously acknowledged $\quad \$ \frac{10.00}{35.00}$ Total

## SOUTH ISLAND

Nelson C.C. (V.Sma11, simul) $\quad 50.00$ C.C. (V.Small, sımul) Previously acknowledged Total

NATIONAL TOTAL
$\$ 1279.75$

## NZCA RAFFLE

The national raffle made a profit of a little over $\$ 1600$, this money going towards the costs of the Olympiad. A total of nearly 600 books were sold, some clubs making an excellent effort (and thereby benefitting greatly themselves). Sales by club were: HowickPakuranga 117, North Shore 99, Otago 70, Auckland Centre 66, Upper Hutt 60, Wanganui 25, Wellington 22, Tawa 21, Air New Zealand 19, Glen Eden InterAir New Zealand 19, Glen Eden Inter-
mediate 13, Hutt Valley 11, Canterbury mediate 13, Hutt Valley 11, Canterbury 8, Remuera 8, Invercargill 7, Hamilton 6, Nelson 6, New Plymouth 6, Polonia 6 3 and Palmerston North 3. Oh, and Pen 3 and Palmerston North 3 . Oh, and
carrow $1.5(!) \&$ Waitemata 1.2 (!). carrow $1.5(!) \&$ Waitemata 1

## LETTERS

## Dear Sir,

Interested readers may care to reread Mr Ramsay's letter in the June issue as I wish to examine suggestions contained therein.
In paragraph 4 Mr Ramsay suggests that a draw was pre-arranged. His argument is based on four premises: (1) the score-sheet was handed to the DOP with simply the result and both players' signatures; (2) only four moves were played; (3) to record four moves is simple; and (4) it is customary to record moves during play. In fact both players did record the moves as they were played but dit transcribe them onto another sheet for the DOP's copy Carbon paper was not supplied and the. the was accepting scoresheets with just the result and signatures, a feature score-sheet Mr Hawkes handed in
In paragraph 5: the length of Mr Ramsay s (or any other competitor's) game nat wether complained is quite irrelevant to the issue of whether or not the DOP's ruling was acorrect.
Paragraph 6: Had Mr Ramsay the slightest evidence of bribery the responsible course was to submit that evidence to he Council.
Mr Ramsay's second paragraph begins, for a while $I$ let the dispute concern the WCL Director of Play run its course - this despite several approaches

I note in passing that the appeal was not against the DOP but against his ruling.
At the time the DOP indicated to Leonard McLaren and myself that he was willing to hear discussion on his uling. We consulted the rulebook and were pointing out that the circumstances fell within the ambit of legitimate draws as defined in the interpretations to Article 17A when the discussion was joined by fellow competitor Mr Ramsay who urged that the disqualification stand. Mr Ramsay repeated several times that his own game in the penultimate round had lasted longer than that of Mr Hawkes. The disqualification stood and Mr Ramsay gained 2nd= rather than $3 \mathrm{rd}=$.

We then appealed in writing to the Wellington Chess League of which Mr Ramsay is secretary and a voting delegate. Voting on the appeal was 2-2 with 2 abstentions and the chairman exercised his casting vote in favour of the status quo. One of the votes against the appeal was that of Mr Ransay.
Thus, far from 'letting the dispute run its course', Mr Ransay has done his best to influence its course from the beginning.

Tours sincerely, Peter Hawkes, Wellington

Dear Sir,
Although the Editor answered many of Mr Mr Smith's points (Letters, October issue), I would like to add some comments.
In my June letter I was primarily concerned with the 1981/82 Congress. did, however, make some rather flippant and suggestive remarks. I regret that Mr Smith construed this to be a personal attack and would therefore like to retract the damaging remarks and apologise.
rours sincerely,
Tony Dowden, Dunedin

## Book Review

AUSTRALIAN CHESS LORE (Volume II)
A potpourri of articles, including letters and games, on the hiscory of Australian chess since the 1840 s . This second volune continues a biography of W.S: Viner and gives a fairly complete record of C.J.S. Purdy's chess career that is to say a more complete record than exists elsewhere in print.

Apart from the fact that Cecil. Purdy features prominently in New Zealand chess lore, the nain feature of interest to New Zealand readers would be the tenpage account (from as Australian point Of view it is true) of the 1906/07 New lalan Chanpionship, the player eveat coinciding with the
Sistiback, 80 pp, prai Exheition.
artnership, 3 Roger Pitt St by A.c. Partnershe, SA 5092 , Modbury

## Interview with Fridrik Olafsson

by Jon Stephenson

Q: What prompted you to accept the nomination for President of FIDE? A: Several things - first of all I was approached by the former President Dr Max Euwe in 1978; he was about to retire and was looking around for a feasible candidate. It was rather a surprise to me! Also for many years I had been thinking about the condition for chess players and how one could improve them so I thought, well, we'll see what happens

Q: The job of President must be a most demanding one; as well as the complaints or problems of the players themselves, there are many instances of political crisis, for example the recent Karpov v Korchnoi match. Has your background as one of the world's strongest chess players assisted you in your work?
A: Yes, I'm sure it has. Coming from their group I'ni in a good position to understand their feelings - how they 'tick'. I might say that, although I. have experience of things that divide our organisation once in a while (like the Karpor $v$ Korchnoi match), generally speaking it is not usual in chess competitions that these things happen; but I think people may get the wrong impression because they concentrate on a few very important matches, thus getting the idea that every time there is a chess tournament there are some prob lems or troub

Q: What have been the most difficult problems you have had to deal with as President of FIDE?
A: There have been seweral problems of a technical nature with which I've had to deal but most of the difficult ones are outside the field of chess. I could mention that the matter of Korchnoi's family has taken a lot of time and I am very glad that it has Finally been resolved; it has taken a Lot of tine and energy which should have been concentrated on chess
Q: FIDE is now 58 years old. What do ments?

A: The first accomplishment was achieved by the founding of FIDE. Up to that time the World Championship title was a private affair and the World Champion could select whom he was going to play. This was an unsatisfactory state of affairs; so I think the first achievement was to establish rules for organised competition. Over the years FIDE has been built into quite a large organisation. We have been able to spread the game all over the world; now we have almost 120 member countries. There will be 120 before the year is over and if you compare that with the United Nations we have almost as many sports organisation in the world

Q: Former World Champion Bobby Fischer was frequently critical of FIDE and clained that the Soviets had too much influence. Do you think this is true?
A: It's difficult to say. It stands to reason that the country which has produced most of the best players in the world, and still has most of them, is bound to be criticised in this way. It is always possible, of course, that when there are many players from the same nation competing they may cooperate in some way, whether or not intentionally. In order to prevent coopera tion like this many things have been done. Fischer is a very unusual person and had a great impact on chess. It is quere changed in such a were chatged in such a way that he coul could play so the condidates touries occu changed to the Candidates matches.

Q: Has FIDE the support of most grandmasters and international masters? A: I think they realise that it is very difficult to do without FIDE since there must be rules to administer competition and there must be some kind of organisation which can serve as a basis. Naturally players have been critical of many things and I might add that in many cases they are right. We might however, be able to bridge the gap between grandmasters and FIDE with new
proposals and I am doing this at the moment. I would like to see some sort of body of professional (or outstanding) players to make suggestions, look at mend them. and respect is to get players to become in volved because many of them, understand ably, just want to play chess and to concentrate their efforts on studying
Q: What have been the high1ights of your term as President?
A: Many things have been coming along positively. We have introduced many new ideas that may have gone unnoticed but in the future will become the basis for greater things. In this respect I could mention as an example the Commission for the Developing Countries which, since 1978, has been very active in organising a programe which is just tarting to be implemented. We have een sendin chess boards and sets and or o then. To efforts to strengthen chess.
Q: Are there any tasks which you still wish to carry out?
A: The short time I've been in FIDE has not been enough to accomplish all i had in mind. First $I$ was a newcomer to the administrative field of FIDE; of course I knew a lot about technical matters and about the players themselves, but I had to adjust myself to the 'other side of the coin'. This has been a time of readjustment, of getting used to and acquainted with the other functions of FIDE. In the future, if I was reelected, I would concentrate my time on building up an organisation that is more stable financially. At the moment we cannot really provide the sorts of services we ought to. Although the membership of FIDE is large, the amount of money is small and we cannot really base our services on what we receive membership fees. We must find other oust turn our attention to bilities such as anction inies and private enterpise to give pore money to FIDE.

Q: Turning now to your life as a chess player, you've had a very distinguished career. What have been the higl?highlights?

A: I think the highlight of my career
was when I played in the Candidates. I didn't succeed in becoming the Challen ger but I think around 1959-60 was the period when I could have gone much further .... but I decided then that I should broaden my horizon so 1 studied law, becane a lawer and practiced law. lh Chess ways been a great part y life; alon during ay great part of yer I was always much attracted by er I was alwar the meth in by 1972 I couldn't resist it any I had to come back! I had a very good career also around 1974-79; I was playng very well, and during this time I won some strong tournaments.

Q: In common with most grandmaster you learnt chess at an early age. How important was this to your later deveopment?
A: Very important. The earlier you start, the quicker you get the grasp of the game. It becomes natural, like an instinct - you don thave to thi

Q: Do you have any hobbies or interests apart from chess?
A: Yes, I very much like music, literature, especially history; also philosophy.
Q: You are in New Zealand as part of a tour of a number of nations; what are the main reasons behind your visit
A: This is really a mixture of pleasure and just having an opportunity to meet my colleagues from the Federation

Q: How important is it to teach chess to young people?
A: There has been research on this question in several countries and it has been revealed that chess is very useful. It is not the intention to make every child a grandmaster but to use chess as a tool to make them think, to develop patience, originality and creativity. As one example, I recently isited Venezuela where they have a very ambitious programme for developing the intelligence of people and chess is considered a very useful component in renc. I think chess should ne of the subjects included. in the arriculu because physical and mental sports complent each other wo well

Interzonal - Moscow

|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kasparov | USR | G 2675 | $x$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 10 |
| 2 | Beljavsky | USR | G 2620 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 3 | Andersson | SWE | G 2610 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | L/2 | 1 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 8 |
| 4 | Tal | USR | G 2610 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 茬 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | 1 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1. | 1/2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 8 |
| 5 | Geller | USR | G 2565 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1 | ${ }^{\text {3/3 }}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 6 | Garcia G. | Cub | G 2500 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1. | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 |  | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 7 | Murey | ISR | I 2500 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | x | 1 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8 | Sax | HUN | G 2560 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3/2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1 | 6 |
| 9 | Christiansen | USA | G 2505 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | , | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | 0 | $3{ }^{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 10 | Velimirovic | YUG | G 2495 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $x$ | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 11 | van der Wiel | NLD | I 2520 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | 1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 5 |
| 12 | Gheorghiu | RUM | G 2520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | x | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |
| 13 | Rodriguez R . | PHI | I 2415 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | x | 1 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 14 | Quinteros | ARG | G 2520 | 1/2 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | x | . |

The cross-table shows that the five highest rated players filled the top five places, and in the correct order, but this does not tell the story at all. In the early stages it was Guillermo Garcia who led the way with $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6$ and a big upset looked likely. However, he dropped back a little after this although he still had a chance in the last round.

Beljavsky had then looked likely to join his countryman Kasparov as a qualifier for the Candidates but he did not fare so well with his string of four adjourned games on the day before the there was suddery a found to go econd - Celler, Garcia, Beljavsky, Ta and Andersson. nd Andersson
In the last round Geller and Garcia lost their chances when they weat down most strange result was the draw by Tal and Andersson; the Swede first offered a draw after onily 12 moves; Tal declined but the draw was agreed after just five more moves anyway!

Thus Beljavsky could take clear
second and avoid the need for a playoff if he could beat Gheorghiu. This he managed to do, having played the Benko Gambit for perhaps the first time in his life. By the adjournment he had gained the advantage and he later won nicely by trapping Gheorghiu's knight.

We'll start with that last-round game to decide second place:
GHEORGHIU - BELJAVSKY, Benko Gambit: 1. d4 nef 2 c4 c5 3 d5 b5 4 cxb5 a6
 d6 $9 \operatorname{Bg} 20-0 \quad 10$ Nh3 Nbd7 11 0-0 Ra7 12 Rel Qa8 13 e4 Rbs 14 Bc3 Ne8 15 Bxg7 Nxg7 16 Nd2 Ne5 17 Nf4 4 Bb 518 $a 4$ Ba6 19 Nf3 Nxf3+ 20 Qxf3 Rb4 21 h4 Rab7 22 Re3 Qb8 23 Ra3 Ne8 24 bfl Bxfl 25 Kxfl Nf6 $26 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \quad$ h5 $\quad 27$ a 5 Ng 4 28 Rc3 Ra7 29 Qe2 Qa8 30 Nd3 Ru8 31 f 3 Exa5 32 Rxa5 Qxa5 33 Re 4 Nf6 34 64 exbé 35 Qd $2 \mathrm{Nd} 736 \mathrm{Rxb} 4 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 37$ $\mathrm{Rb} 2 \mathrm{Qa} 4 \quad 38 \mathrm{Ra} 2 \mathrm{Q} 4 \quad 39 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 40 \mathrm{Rb} 2$ Ne5 41 Na 2 (S) Rxb2 42 Qxb2 Qd3 43 Qf2 Qa3 $44 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \quad 45 \mathrm{Qd} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 3+46 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ Nc4 47 Qe2 Nb2, $0-1$.
KASPAROV - SAX, Grünfeld Defence:
d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxd5 5 e4 Nxc3 6 bxc3 Bg7 7 Be4 0-0 8 Be 3 b 69 h 4 Bb 710 Qf3 Qd7 11 Ne 2 h5 12 Bg 5 Nc 613 Nf 4 e6 $14 \mathrm{Rd} 1 \mathrm{Na5}$ 15 Bd3 e5 16 dxe5 Bxe5 $170-0$ Qg't 18 Qe3 Rfe8 19 Be2 Bxf4 20 Bxf4 Nc4 21 Bxc4 Rxe4 22 f3 Qxf4 23 Bxf74 Kg7 24 Qd3 Qe3+ 25 Qxe3 Rxe3 26 Ra7 Kh6 27 Rxc7 Ba6 28 Rdl Bd3 29 Rd2 By 50 Kf. 2 Re5 31 Rd5 Rxd5 32 Exd5 Rd8 33
 nxg4 37 fxg4, 1 - 0.
KASPAROV - GHEORGHIU, Queen's Indian: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 a3 Bb7
 Nax 39 bxc 3 Be7 10 Bb $5+$ Bc $6 \quad 11$ Bd3
 15 exds Bxd5 16 Bbs a6 17 Bf4 Oxf4 18 Bxd7+ Kxd7 19 Rxd5 $+\mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 20$ Rel Bd 6 21 Rf5 Qc4 22 Re4 Qb5 23 Rxf7+ Kb8 24 Re6 Rd8 25 c4 Qc6 26 Ne5 Qc8 27 Qbl, 1 - 0 .
GELIFR - ANDERSSON, Sicilian Scheven.:

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 exd4 4 Nxd4
 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{Be} 3 & 0-0 & 9 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{e} 5 & 10 & \text { fxe5 dxe5 } 11 & \mathrm{Nr} 5\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Bxf5 } & 12 & \text { Rxf5 } & \text { Rc8 } & 13 & \mathrm{Khl} & \mathrm{g} 6 & 14 \\ \text { Qfl } \\ \text { Qxd } & 15 & \text { Raxal } & \mathrm{Kg} 7 & 16 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{~h} 6 & 17 \\ \mathrm{Na} 5\end{array}$
 Ngf6 21 c 4 Nd6 22 Rb4 $\mathrm{Kb} 8 \quad 23$ Rxb8 Rxb8 24 b3 Ndé 125 Bf3 a $5 \quad 26 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ 27 Ba7 Rd8 28 Rxe5 Nxa2 29 Re3 Rd6 $30 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{Rd} 231 \mathrm{c} 6,1-0$.
TAL - VAN DER WIEL, English Opening: 1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 e4 Bb7
 Nc6 $12 \mathrm{F5} 5613 \mathrm{Bo} 5$ oxf5 14 Bxf5 Be7 Ne6 $12 \mathrm{f5}$ g6 $13 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{gxf} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bxf5} \mathrm{Be}$
 21 Uxe7 Ref 22 Rflol - 0.0 0f6+ Kg8

SAX - GARCIA, Sicilian Pelikam:
de4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Ne3 Ne6 6 Nab5 d6 7 Bf4 e5 8 Bg5 a6 9 Na3 b5 10 Nd5 Qa5t 11 Bd 2 Qd8 12 Be3 Rb8 13 g 3 Nxé $\quad 14 \mathrm{Bg} 2$ f 5 15 0-0 Be7 16 Nc3 Bé 17 Nxe 4 fxe4
 Ne2 Red8 22 Rel Bh3 23 Bhl bf6 2454 e4 25 Nd' 4426 Qe2 bxe3 27 bxe3 Nxd4 28 cxd4 Rb4 29 Qf2 Qa4 30 Rad Re8 $31 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 3 \quad 32 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bxg} 2 \quad 33 \mathrm{Kxg} 2$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Rbc} 4 & 34 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{Rc} 2 & 35 & \text { Ree } 2 \text { Rod2 } \\ 36 & \mathrm{Rxd} 2\end{array}$ Be7 $37 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 38 \mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Qdl} 39 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 3$ $40 \mathrm{Rc} 2 \mathrm{Bel} 41 \mathrm{Rxc} 4 \mathrm{Bxf} 242 \mathrm{Rc} 8+\mathrm{Kf}$ $43 \operatorname{Rc} 7+\mathrm{Ke} 8 \quad 44 \mathrm{Bxf} 2 \mathrm{Qf} 3+45 \mathrm{Kgl}$,
$0-1$.

## 1 t

The second of the WOMEN'S INTERZONALS at TBILISI during September/ the Topen's Shilan of Chin qualified for the Condidates in thind Candidates in mird place behind tour nament winner Margareta Muresan of Rumania and the Soviet Union's Levina
Scores: 1 Muresan (RUM) 101 $\frac{1}{2} ; 2$ Levitina (USR) 912 ; 3 Liu (PRC) 9; 4-6 Gurieli (USR), Akhmilovskaya (USR) (s N.Garcia (SP) 8; 7-8 Titorenko (USR) \& Minogina (USR) $7^{\frac{1}{2}} ; 9$ Fischdick (BRD) 7; 10-11 Veröci-Petronic (HUN) \& Nikolin (YUG) $6 \frac{1}{2}$; 12 Pihailic (YUG) $5 \frac{1}{2}$ (IND) 5: 15 Guggenberger (COT) $1 \frac{1}{2}$

## few results in brief:

BELGRADE: 1 GM Farago (HUN) 91/2/13; 2

IM Lukov (BUL) 9; 3-5 GM Damjanovic (YUG), IM Govedarica (YUG) \& IM Simic (YUG) 8; 6-7 GM Kirov (BUL) \& Rasidovic (YUG) 7 .... 14 players.

PLOVDIV (August): 1 IM Groszpeter (HUN) 8/13; 2 GM Panchenko (USR) 7놀; 3-5 IM L.Popoy (BUL): IM Velikov (BUL) \& IM Meduna (Cz) 7 .... 14 players. A cournament remarkable only for the fac that fully $71 \%$ of the games were drawn

POLANICA ZDROJ: $1-2$ GM Vogt (DDR) \& GM Popovic (YUG) 9 $\frac{1}{2} / 14$; 3-4 IM Pytel ( $P O L$ ) \& GM Radulov (BUL) 8 $\frac{1}{2}$; 5-7 IM Sznapik (POL), IM Bielczyk (POL) \& FM Foisor (RUM) 71/2; 8 GM Schmidt (POL) 7 ... 15 players.

EKSJUO (August): l-2 IM Karlsson (GM title) \& IM Schneider (both SWE) 8/11; 3 GM Suba (RUM) 7; 4-5 IM Ornstein \& IM Wedberg (both SWE) 6 .... 12 players.
$\mathbf{t}$
WOMEN'S OLYMPIAD, LUCERNE
The New Zealand team of Winsome Stretch, Vivian Burndred, Lynne Martin and Jackie Sievey finished in 28th place in the field of 45 teams with exactly $50 \%$. Hopefully, Winsome's report will appear in the February issue.

The USSR won comfortably with 33 points, having cleared out from the field several rounds before the end Rumania (30) was second and Hungary (26 took the bronze with Poland (251 $\frac{1}{2}$ ) 4 th.

## 营

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

1. Janny-Karhodo, Timisoara 1922: 1 Qxa7+! Kxa7 2 Ra3+ Kb7 3 Ba6+ Ka8 4 Bc8 mate.
2. Mackenzie-Mason, Paris 1878: 1 Oh6+! Kxh6 2 Nhf5 $5+$ Bxf5 3 Nxf5 + Kh5 4 Rh3+ Kg4 5 Ne 3 mate.
3. Palatnik-Geller, USSR 1980: 1 Rxg7+! 1-0 (1...Kxg7 2 Qg4+ Kh8 3 Qf5 or 2...Kh6 3 Qh4+ \& 4 Qxh7 mate)
4. Blanc-Bar On, France 1979: I Bxh6! gxh6 2 Ne7+ Kh8 3 Rf8+! Oxf8 4 Ng6+ Kg7 5 Nxf8 Kxf8 6 Og6!, 1 - 0.
5. Robinson-Chatard, Paris 1920 : H...Qh5 2 Be2 (else ...Qh6) Ng4 3 h4 Qxh4! 4 gxh4 Bh2 mate.
6. Tartakower-A.Steiner, Budapest 1921: 1 Qe4+ c6 $2 \mathrm{Rb} 8+$ !, $1-0$.

## ANNUAL INDEX, VOLUME 8
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## TOURNAMENTS - LOCAL

A11-Wellington Ch'p*
All-Wellington $\mathrm{Ch}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$
Auckland C.C.
Auckland Easter
Auckland Interclub
Auckland Invitational
Auckland Labour Weekend
Auckland Provincial Schoolpupils Auckland Team Lightning Ch'p Belton Memorial
Canterbury C.C.
Civic C.C.*
Civic Easter
Hastings \& Havelock North C.C. 22,142
Hawkes Bay Open

Wanganui C.C.
Wellington Interclub
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Wellington Interclub } & 23,142 \\ & 111\end{array}$
Wellington Provincial Schoolpupils 84
Wellington Queen's Birthday Wkend 81 Western Building Society 40-40 Winstone Open

TOURNAMENTS - OVERSEAS
American Open*
Amsterdam (OHRA)

| Australian Junior Ch'p | 117 |
| :--- | ---: |

Bad Kissingen (W. Interzonal)
Baguio City (W. Zonal)*
Beersheba
Biel
Brighton*
British Ch'p
Budapest
Bugojno
Caracas
Chicago
Cologne
Dortmund
Eksjö
Erevan
Esbjerg
European Club Cup
European Junior Ch'p
Plovdiv

Polanica Zdroj
Ramsgate*
Reggio Emilia
Rome
Rome
Sarajevo
Tbilisi (W. I'zona1) 65
Tilburg*
Toluca (Interzonal)
Trnava
Turin
US Ch'p Play-off*
USSR Ch'p*
Vinkovei
Vrnjacka Banja
Vrshac*
Wijk-aan-Zee
World Junior Ch'p
Yegoslav Ch'p

## MATCHES

Auckland $v$ Wellington 86 Chiburdanidze v

Aleksandria*
Howick-Pakuranga v
North Shore Remuera
Sick P
Howick-Pakuranga
Otago v Auckland
"Rest V Wellington
Spartacus
Tawa v Upper Hute
Upper Hutt v Hutt V .
Wanganui v N Plymouth 112
Wanganui v Palnerston
North 112, 142
GAMES - LOCAL

ADAMS - Wearing 67
ALDRIDGE - McLaren 61

APTEKAR - Sarfati 82
bates - Small 102
BEACH D. - Levene 118 BILLING - Austin (22) BURNDRED - Stretch 7 CARPINTER B. - A.Ker 19,
T.van Dijk 10

CLEMANCE - D. Beach 59 CONNOR - Hawkes 138
CORNFORD - Sarapu 119 CORNFORD - Sarapu 119
DIVE - Lloyd 61
DOWDEN - Love 103, Petch
58, Smal1 4, 103
DRIN - Noble 61
DUNN - A.Ker 62
FAIRHURST - Chandler 27
FENERIDIS - Aptekar
FIELD - Spilier 142
FOORD - Dowden 71, Love 58
RANKEL - Metge 77
S. Hart B. Carpinter 10,

GARBETT - P Green 3,
Hopewe 11 108, Leonhardt
106 K. Metge Leonhardt
55, Smith 37, 106, Sp11-
1er 92, Watson 139
GARNETT - Earle 111
GOLLOGLY - B.Carpinter 10,
Freeman 10, Lloyd 75, N
Hopewell 107
GREEN E. - Sarapu 47, Sar fati 5, Stuart 4
GREEN P. - Dowden 4
HAMPL - Post 110
HARTLEY - Sarfati 59 HAWKES - Noble 140, Sarfati 82
HOPEWELL M. - Scott 10, Smith 23, Spencer-Smith 84
HOPEWELL N. - Smal1 107
HURLEY - Carter 48
KELLY - Gollog1y 77, Levene 75 , Spiller 94
KER A. - Borren 82, Foster 62, P.van Dijk 9
LEONHARDT - Sarapu 107 LEVENE - Garbett 107 LLOYD - Levene 75
LOVE - Nijman 102, Petch
58, T.van Dijk 103, Wan sink 58
LYNN - Aandah1 103 MARSICK - Cornford 9, Stuart 55
Martin B. - Boyd 69, A. Ker 137
MARTIN L. - Burndred 7

McLAREN - Foster 61, Gifford-Moore 22, M Hopewell 137
METGE K. - L.Martin 7, Watson 139
METGE N. - Gärbett 55, P Green 5, Love 77, Smith 5, Watson 93
MULLAN - A. Ker 138
NOBLE - Gollogly 9, M. Hopewe11 10, Lloyd 61, McLaren 61
PETCH - Wansink 58
ROBERTS - M. Hopewell 10
SARAPU - Levene 106, Lynn
105, Metge 55, Spiller
SARFATI - Clemance 59
SARFAII - Clemance 59, Smith 77, Small 103 SCHWASS - Morrison 103 SCOTT - Gollogly 19
SINTON - B Mary 14
SMALL - BAMAR 143
bett 3,106, Love 102 Smith 4, Spiller 5 Smith 4, Sp
Stuart 106
SMITH - Bridges 48, Gol log1y 77, M. Hopewe 11 108 , Levene 106 , Sarapu 84, Spiller 37
SPILLER - E.Green 5, Levene 77, Sarapu 3, Sarfati 4
STEPHENSON - R.Hart 139 STUART - Garbett 84, Mc Laren 80 , Smith 108, Weir 47
VAN DIJK T. - Sarfati 77 WANSINK - Dowden 71
Watts 58
WATSON - Stuart 84, Weir 21
WATTS - Foord 58, Martin 103
WEEGENAAR - B.Carpinter 9, Dowden 62
WEIR - Feasey 92, Garbett 55
WHITE - Feneridis 138
GAMES - OVERSEAS
AdORIAN - Glatt 116, Hulak 116
ALEKSANDRIA - Chiburdanidze 15 ANDERSSON - Karpov 90, Mestel (64)

BALASHOV - Sunye 43
balinas - Small 33
BARUA - Korchnoi 120
Popovic (65), Timman BENJAMIN - Stohi 136 BROWNE - Ljubojevic 134,
Smyslov 114
CAPABLANCA - Fairhurst 26 CHANDLER - Christiansen

29 , Gheorghiu 29, Hen-
ley 28, Hulak 28, Keene 29, Short 16
CHIbURDANIDZE - Aleksandria 15
DOWDEN - Aturupane 130
EUWE - Alekhine 1
FULLER - Small 32 [45
garcia palermo - Karpov
GELLER - Andersson 148
GHEORGHIU - Beljavsky 148
GOONETILLEKE - Nokes 130
HINDLE -Nokes 24
HJARTARSON - Lloyd 136
HOPEWELL N. - Davis 39, Robertson 39
ORT - Marjanovic 66
UBNER - Browne 67
Ivanovic 88
JHUNJHNUWALA - Fuller 33
ARPOV - Portisch 64, 131 Timman 45
KASPAROV - Andersson 17,
87, Larsen 87 , Gligoric
7, Larsen 87, Petrosia
AVALEK - Andersson 90
Christiansen 15
KELECEVIC - Kovacevic (65)
KORCHNOT - Hïbner 67, K
parov 131 spassky 66
Tatav 44 , Spassky 66,
Tatai 44
KUPREICHIK - Yusupov 66
LARSEN - Andersson 88,
Fai.rhurst 27, Seirawan 45
Levene - Lahau 46
LIEW - Fuller 33
LIU WENZHE - Oda 33
LuUbojevic - Karpov 90
LOYD - Rasmussen 136,
Singh 136
MARIOTII - Hort 117,
Korchnoi 44
MASCARINAS - De Guzman 33
B.Watson 32

MIKHALLCISIN - Agzamov 16 MILES - Georgadze 42 NOKES - Teichmani 24 NUNN - Seirawan 116

PEIRIS - Smith 131 PETROSIAN - Psakhis 115 PINTER - Marovic 44 polugaevsky - Ftacnik 131 PORTISCH - Andersson 64, Seirawan 116
PSAKHIS - Ge1ler 66, Ostermeyer 67, Suba 115
RODRIGUEZ R.- Chaivichit
32, Hjorth 33, Torre 32, B, Watson 31
ROGERS - Luk 33, Torre 33 SA-NGADSUP - B.Watson 21 SARAPU - Lee 131
SAX - G.Garcia 149
SCHANDORFF - Benjamin 136 SEIRAWAN - Karpov 70,
Miles (64)
SHIRAZI - J.Watson 16
SLIMANI - Nokes 130
SMALL - Benhadi 130, Frey
130, Liu Wenzhe 32,
Sitanggang 32
SOKOLOV - Murshed 136
SPASSKY - Hübner 90, Karpoy (64), Portisch 116, Seirawan 64
SUBA - Smyslov 114
SZNAPIK - Hort 134
TAL - Miles 42 , van der Wiel 43,149
TMMAN 33 , 4 . 64
Mil - Ljubojevic 64,
Portisch 17, 69, Quinte-
$\operatorname{ros} 45$
TORRE - Aritonang 33 TREPP - Ribli 131 TUKMAKOV - Ribli 114 VAN DER WIEL - Timman 43 VELIMTROVIC - Gufeld 89 VINTIMILLA - Sarapu 131 YUDASIN - Kasparov 16

## OPENINGS

ALEKHINE DEF: 4,16,37,59 BENKO GAMBIT: 62,148 BENONI: $4,5,10,33,75,93$, 115,131,134 BIRD'S OPENING: 66,77,102 BISHOP'S OPENING: 10,103 BLACKMAR-DIEMER: 103 SOGO-INDIAN: 7,31,64,84 87,114
(ANN: 3,15,17,42,43 64,116,117 DUTCH: $1,47,108,131$ ENGLISH, $1 . . . \mathrm{c} 5: 4,32,75$,

88,114,115,116,131; 1.
e5: 21,66,114,116; 1...~ e5: 21,66,
$32,43,149$
FOUR KNIGHTS: $32,82,143$ FRENCH, Winawer: 58,77 ;

Tarrasch: $16,28,45,55$;
Other: $48,92,118,130$ GIUOCO PIANO: 3,77,130 GRUNFELD: 58,148 king'scambit: 139
King"S indian attack: 27, 33, 46,48,103,119
KING'S INOIAN DEE: 10,19 , 33,58, 108, 136 LATVIAN GAMBIT: 118 MODERN DEF: 29,33 NIMZOTNDIAN: $9,21,26,56$ 90,103,116 NIMZOWITSCH ATTACK: 15 NMMZOWITSCH DEF: 108,110 HIMZOWITSCH-TARSEN: 48,61 old indian: 87
OWEN'S DEF: 106
PETROFF DEF: 103,131
PHILIDOR DEF: 61
PIRC DEF: 9,61,62,71,77, 102,106,140 POLISH DEF: 64
Queen 's gambit: 7,19,23,27,
$33,42,44,61,62,66,70,82$ 88,130,131,134,136,138 UUEEN'S INDIAN: $3,15,17$, $32,45,67,69,87,91,107$, 148
QUEEN'S PAWN: 3,9,10,24, 33,58,61,94,111 RETI SYSTEM: 80,82,84 RUY LOPEZ: 4, 39,45,55,58, $59,84,90,95,107,119,130$, 136,138,140 SCOTCH OPENING: 5,82 SICILIAN, Dragon: 10, 33, 75 77,89,105,106,137; Naj77, 89, 105, 106, 137; Naj-
dorf: $10,15,17,24,28,39$, dorf: $10,15,17,24,28,39$,
$43,64,67,131$; Richter43,64,67,131; Richter-
Rauzer: 32 ; Sozin: 5,10; Rauzer: 32 ; Sozin: 5,10;
Pelikan: 149; Taimanov: 32,33,56,84,106,136,139; $32,35,56,84,106,136,139$
Kan: 29; Scheveningen: Kan: 29; Scheveningen
29, 4.5,90,102,107,136, 148; Closed: 7,59,77,80, 148; C16; 2 c $3: 4,23,45$, 58,84,103; 0ther: 5,9, $22,37,58,92,94,106,131$, 134,137,139,142
SLAV DEF: $44,66,67$
VIENNA GAME: 44,82

## CLUB DIRECTORY

he annual fee (six listings) for this column is $\$ 6.00$ payable with order to the New Zealand Chess Association, P.O. Box 8802, Symonds Street, Auckland, 1.

AUCKLAND CENTRE meets Mondays \& Thursdays at clubrooms, 17 Cromwell St, Mt Eden, phone 602-042. Contact: Nigel Metge, ph 278-9807. Schoolpupil coaching Friday evenings. Full recreational facilities - TV, pool room, library.

HOWICK-PAKURANGA C.C. meets Tuesdays 7.30 pm (children 6.30-7.30) at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Community Complex, Howick. Contact: Peter MeCarthy, phone 565-055, 92 Ti Rakau Drive, Pakuranga, Aucklard.
REMUERA C.C. meets 7.30 Wednesdays at the Auckland Bridge Club, 273 Remuera Rd, Remuera. Contact: K. Williams, phone 543-762 (evarings).
NORTH SHORE C.C. meets Wednesdays 7.30 pm (tournament and casual play) in St Joseph's Hall, cnr Anzac St \& Taharoto Rd, Takapuna. Postal address: P.O. Box 33587, Takapuna. Contact: Peter Stuart, phone 456-377 (evenings)

WAITEMATA C.C. meets 8 pm Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre, enr Ct North \& Awaroa Rds. Festal address: P.0. Box 69005 Glendene, Auckland 8 . North \& Awaroa Rds. Fostal address: P. 0 . Box 69005 Glendene, Auck
Contact: George Williams, phone $834-6618$ or Nick Bridges $836-9146$.

HiASTINCS \& HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. meets 7 pm Wednesdays at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata B.d, Havelock North, Hastings. Cortact: Mike Earle, phone 776-027.

PALMERSTON NORTH C.C. meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays at the IHC Workshop, Cook St, Palmerston North. Contact: J. Blatchford, 64 Appollo Pde, Palmerston North phone 69-575.

CIVIC C.C. meets 7.45 FII Fridays at the Aro St Community Centre, Aro St, Wellington. Centact: Grant Robinson, phone 726-348.

HUTT VALLEY C.C. meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays at the Hutt Bridge Club, 17 Queens Rd, Lower Hutt. Contact: Mrs Mary Boyack, phone 678-542.

PENCARROW C.C. meets 7.30 pm Thursdays (for seniors) at Louise Bilderbeck hall, Main Rd, Wainuiomata. Contact: Brian Foster, phone 648-578.

UPPER HUTT C.C. meets 7.45 pm Thursdays in the Supper Room, Civic Hall,
Fergusson Drive, Upper Hutt. Contact: Anton Reid, 16 Hildreth St, Upper Hutt, phone 288-756.

NELSON C.C. meets 7.30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact: Tom van Dyk, phone Richmond 8178 or 7140 . Visitors welcome.
otaco C.C. meets 7.30 pm Wednesdays \& Saturdays at 7 Maitland St, Dunedin, phone (clubrooms) 776-919. Contact: Malcolm Foord, 39 Park St, Dunedin, phone 776-213.
AUCKLAND CHESS ASSOCIATION. Contacts: President, Peter Sthart, phone 456-377. Secretary, Paul Spiller, phone 534-5579.

