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## OLYMPIAD APPEAL

We expect the olympiad Fund will receive a boost from several events， such as simuls or special tournaments， organised by member clubs or individu－ als during the winter months．
Meanwhile personal donations to the fund have been coming in disappoint－ ingly slowly and new acknowledgments are therefore held over until the next issue when，hopefully，we can make a bigger splash！

## 全 是 是

## KASPAROV No． 2 IN WORLD

Young Soviet star Garry Kasparov has reached second place on the latest FIDE Rating List，out on lst July．On the previous list he was fourth bind
Karpov，Timman and Korchnoi－now he is 40 points clear of Korchnoi while point clea 15
Timman
The top 91 players at 1 July are as follows：

1 Karpov（USR）
2 Kasparov（USR）
Korchnoi（SWI 2675

4 Hübner（BRD）
5 Portisch（HUN），
Beljavsky（USR）
2625
7－9 Ljubojevic（YUG），Mecking （ $B R Z$ ）\＆Psakhis（USR）
0－13 Andersson（SWE），Polugaev sky（USR），Tal（USR）\＆ Spassky（USR）

## 14

16 Hort（CZ）（USR）（NL）
5－16 Hort（CZ）\＆Timman（NL）
17－18 Larsen（DEN）\＆Seirawan （USA）

2595
20 Romanishin（USR） 2585
21 Ribli（HUN）
22－24 Czeshkovsky（USR），Sosonko

August 1982
$25 \begin{aligned} & \text {（NL）\＆Speelman（ENG）Kavalek（USA）}\end{aligned}$
26－31 Alburt（USA），Geller（USR）， Miles（ENG），Nunn（ENG）， Smejkal（CZ）\＆Smyslov （USR）
32－33 Kovacevic（YUG）\＆Sax（HUN） 2560
34－37 Balashov（USR），Dolmatov （ISSR），Tukmakov（OSR）\＆ （USR），Tukmakov（DSR）\＆
38－40 Krogius（USR），Pinter（IIIN Krogius
（HIN）\＆Vaganian（ISSR） （HON）\＆Vaganian（OSR）
41 Kurajica（YUG
42 Mestel（ENG） （RUM）\＆Torre（PHI）
46－52 Agzamov（USR），Dorfman （Ueichik（JSR），Nikolicu （YUC）Schmid（BRD）\＆ Stean（ENG）
53－55 Henley（USA），Rashkovsky （USR）\＆Suba＇（RUM）
56－58 Quinteros（ARG），Tarjan （USA）\＆vander wiel（NL）
59－63 Byrne（USA），Djuric（YUG）， Fvans（USA），Gulko（USR）\＆ Najclorf（ARG）
64－69 Adorian（huol，Ivanovic （YUG），Gurevich（USA）， gaard（NOR），＇Laimano
70－83 Christiansen（USA）Pron－ stein（USR），Chandler （ENG），Keene（ENG），Kochiev （USR），Ivanov（CAN），Karls－ son（SWE），Knaak（DDR）， Kuzmin（USR），Lombardy （USA），Makarichev（USR） Palatnik（USR），Pfleger （BRD）\＆Rogofe（USA）
84－91 Bagirov（USR），Guil．Garcia （CUB），Gurgenidze（DSR）， Murei（ISR），Lerner（USR） Pamo（ARG），Sunye（BRZ）\＆ Vladimirov（USR）

The top three women are Chiburdanida （USR） 2385 ，Aleksandria（USR） 2370 and Kushnix（ISR） 2330

## Western Building Society North Island Ch'p, May '82

Report by David Gollogly

The 1982 North LsLand Championships were held in Wanganui from May 17 th to 22nd. Many picked that top seed Mark Levene's recent overseas experience would give him the edge over a field which was slightly weaker and more even than last year's.
Championship players Jonathan Sarfati, Robert Smith, Nigel Metge, and Paul Spiller were also numbered among the pretournament favourites. Pat Kelly, although second seed, was an unknown quantity; the current value of his old rating, given the steady deflation of the rating list, and his lack of recent chess were question marks.
The early rounds saw two major upsets. Nigel Metge and Glemn Turner gave the first indjcations of having a bad tourna ment by losing, respectively, to Brad Walsh and Peter Cribbett.
Scores after three rounds: Levene, Kelly, Smith, Spiller, Lloyd 3.
Round 4: Spiller emerged with the sole lead by inflicting on Kelly his only loss. Lloyd somehow escaped with a draw from a hopeless-looking position after Levene had sacrificed an exchange for two pawns. Smith fought hard for a draw Metge confirmed his poor form with a loss to me in a drab French. Scores Spiller 4; Levene, Smith, Lloyd, Gollogly $3 \frac{1}{2}$.
Round 5: Board 1 saw Levene's Dragon net him a small plus against Spiller. An enterprising double pawn sacrifice et Pall secure enough play to draw2 I blundered against Smith in a balanced position, allowing tiin an exchange position, allowing tiin an exchange decisive attack. However, a well-timed decisive attack. However, a well-timed draw offer saw Robert use all but five minutes of his time seeking a non-exis Kent inmediate smash, and then accept. Kloy rejolod he leal Smith sove spiller Sarfat smilh,

Round 6: Levene maintained his lead by beating Coffin, whose recent
inactivity told in this tournament. Kelly led the chase, eventually prevailing over Bob Gibbons in a winning if complex, end game of bishop versus three pawns. Gollogly-Lloyd was soon over after Lloyd weakened his kingside Smith, Sarfati and Love joined the group in second place with wins over Spiller, Len Whitehouse, and Peter van Dijk. Scores: Levene $5 \frac{1}{2}$; Kelly, Smith, Love, Sarfati, Gollogly 5.
Round 7: Kelly outplayed Levene in the middle game to obtain a slight edge, but erred in time trouble; the game was agreed drawn at the adjournment after Levene missed a win. Smith joined Levene in the lead by beating sicilion Sarfatis fawouring position, buve hiu an lmposing poschange he collapsed ining an Tony lony Love and 1 drew after Love's arety ans Smith 6. Kelly

Round 8: Smith and Levene agreed, inevitably, to a draw, assuring themselves first equal. Kelly joined them by outplaying me in a middle game which looked satisfactory but turned out to be difficult to hold. Love gave himself a chance of first equal by obtaining a slight plus against Metge's l f4, but some inaccuracies allowed Nigel to gradually assume a strong initiative. After both players overlooked a clear win for Metge, he won a piece for a pawn. However, Love's accurately handled counterplay secured him the half-point necessary for a share of fourth equal Spiller and Peter van Dijk joined the prize-winners with wins over Len Whitehouse and Bill Lynn.
The Grade 1 prize was shared by locals Andrew Sangster (playing in his first tournanent in New Zealand), Gordon Hoskyn and Brad Walsh. Wanganui schoolpupil Paul Cooper stormed home with three consecutive wins to tak prize.
Ratings proved an accurate predicter of results, with ten of the top eleven
seeds filling ten of the top eleven places, and the three top seeds tying for first place. Mark Levene's succes was a result of sharp, direct play He was tournament leader in all but one round, and deserved to add to his fine record in North Island championships wo first equals and a second equal from three attampts.
Robert Smith impressed with his determination to extract the most from each position - witness a vifal draw with Love and a win versus Sarfati from difficult positions.
Notable was Kelly's victory after a long layoff from chess. Avoidance of theoretical openings allowed him to overcome a limited knowledge of theory and reach middle game positions where his accurate positional assessment and planning told.
Tony Love, in fourth equal, was one of three unbeateo players. Although in danger of losing only to Metge, more resolve - especially against Smith and myself - might have produced results. Paul Spiller, who alone played all three co-champions, had an excellent tournament, which served to confirm his recent chess renaissance. Peter van ijk took no points from the first eleven place-getters but aonetheless can be pleased with a high placing in this company.
Mention must be made of the efforts of Gordon Hoskyn in organising the tournament, of the firn control exerted by DOP Ken Austen, and of the many hours contributed by assistant DOP Howard Whitlock, probably at the
expense of bis own play.
The tournament ran smoothly in general. At the risk of quibbling, I would observe that some of the top players (especially when in bad positions!) seemed upset by noise manating from the vicinity of the adjacent DOP's desk, from players handing in scoresheets, consul.ting the DOP, discussing their game en route to the analysis room, etc. Perhaps DOPs of future tournaments could ensure tha the top boards are preferably well separated from the Dop's desk.
Considering their lack of experience in holding tournaments, however, the Wanganui Chess Club are to be congratulated on a remarkably well-run event.
A selection of games follows

LLOYE-LEVENE, Modern Benoni:
1 d4 Ne6 2 c. 4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc3 exd5 5 cxdy d6 $6 \quad \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 3 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 2 \quad 0-0$ 9 o-0 a6 10 a4 $\operatorname{Re} 8 \quad 11 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 12 \mathrm{Bf} 4$
 $16 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 17$ as $\mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 18$ Rfel Nf7 19 Nc4 Bb5 20 Ndl Qe7 21 Bd 2 Nff 22 Na 3 Ne4 23 Bcl Qe5 24 Ne 3 Nxg 325 fxg 3 Qxg3 26 Rfl Rxe3 $27 \mathrm{Nxb5}^{2} \mathrm{axb} 528$ Bxe3 Qxe $3+29 \mathrm{Khl} \mathrm{Ng} 530 \mathrm{Ra} 3$ Qd $4 \quad 31$ Qc 3 Re8 32 Qxd4 Bxd4 33 a6 bxab 34 Rxab Nf7 35 B.f 3 b4 36 e4 Bxb2 37 exf5 Kg 7 38 fxg6 hxg6 39 Bg 4 Re 740 Be6 Bes 41 Bxf7 RxE7 42 Rxf7+ Kxf7

(diagram)
$43 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Kf} 6 \quad 44 \mathrm{Kf} 3$
46 Rb8 5
46 Rbs k 4 $49 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Kxd5} 50 \mathrm{Rf}$ Be5 5l h6 b3 5 ? 12 $83 \quad 53 \mathrm{n7} 82$ 54 R48 a $4 \quad 55 \mathrm{Rxg}$ $3456 \mathrm{Kd3}$ R2 57 Rg 1 Kr 558 Rf
kb4 $59 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Ka} 360 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{6l} \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 62 Rh] d5 63 Rfl Ka3 64 Rg 1 Bb 265 Rhi Bh8 66 Ril d4 67 Rf8 Kb2 68 Rf Bg7 69 Rh 1 Bh 870 Rt 1 Ka 3 , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
GOLLOGLY-LLOYO, Sicilian Dragon:
l e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d' 4 cxd $44 \mathrm{Nad}_{4}$ Ne6 $5 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{O} 0$ 8 O-O Ne6 9 Nb3 a5 10 a4 Be6 11 Nd4 (e8 $8 \quad 12$ Nxe6 fxe6 13 f4 e5 14 i5 gxf5 15 Rxf5 d5 16 Nxd5 Nxe4 17 RhS Nat 6 21 Qe4 Qe8 22 Oh4 Of8 23 Bg5 Rf5 24 Rxh6t Bxh6 25 Bxh6, l- 0.

KELLY-LEVENE, Symmetrical English:
$1 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 5 c4 é 6 d 3 Nge $7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~N}^{-0} \quad 8 \mathrm{Rbl} \mathrm{d}_{5}$ 9 cxd5 Nxd5 10 Ne4 b6 1.1 Bg 5 fo 12
 $\mathrm{Kxg}^{7} 16$ Qd2 $2 \mathrm{~d} 7,17$ Rbcl Nde 718 b3 Nf $5 \quad 19$ g4 Ned4 20 Nxd4 Nxd4 21 e3 Nc6 22 g 5 fxg 523 Nxg 5 Bg 424 ES Beб 25 $0 \mathrm{bl} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 826 \mathrm{Qf} 2 \mathrm{h6} 27$ Nxe6 Qxe6 28 Rc 4
 32 Be4 Nf5 33 Rxg $5+$ Qxg6 34 (Qxg6t Kxg 35 Bxd3 Re8 36 e $4 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \quad 37 \quad \mathrm{f} 5+\mathrm{Kfg} 38$ Ke2 Ral $39 \mathrm{BC} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 40 \mathrm{Rg}$. Ne7 41 ke 3 Rd4 42 Bd 3 Rd 8 , $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.


Club abbreviations: $A=$ Auckland Centre, Air = Air New Zealand, AU = Auckland University, C = Canterbury, Civ = Civic, Ham = Hamilton, HP = Howick-Pakuranga, HV = Hutt Valley, Nel = Nelson, NP = New Plymouth, NS = North Shore, $0=$ Otago, OU $=$ Otago University, Pen = Pencarrow, PN = Palmerston North, Twa = Tawa, UH = Upper Hutt, $\mathrm{W}=$ Wellington, Wai $=$ Waitemata, Wan $=$ Wanganui, Wpa $=$ Waipa

## SPILLER-LEVENE, Sicilian Dragon:

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 g6 6 Be3 $\mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7$ f3 Nc6 8 Qd2 $00-0 \quad 9 \quad \mathrm{Bc} 4 \quad \mathrm{Bd} 710 \quad 0-0-0$ Ne5 $11 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 3$

 18 Nxe3 Bxc3 19 bxc3 Be6 20 Kb 2 b 5

> (diagram)


21 e5 dxe5 22 Bxe6 fxe6 $23 \mathrm{Rd7}$ Rxf3 $24 \mathrm{Ng}_{4} \mathrm{Rc} 8$ 25 Rhdl Rfxc 326 Rxe7 Rxc2+ 27 Kb 1 R2c 728 Rxe6 N 29 Rxe5 a6 30 NE6+ Ki8 31 Re4 Nh5 32 Nxh5 gxh5 Kxf7+ Kxf7 35 Kb Rc6 $36 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Rd6} 37 \mathrm{~Kb} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \quad 38 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{bxa} 4$ 39 Kxa4 Rg3 $40 \mathrm{Rf} 4+\mathrm{Kg} 7$ 4l Ka5??, $0-1$.

SARFATI-SMITH, Closed Sicilian
1 e $4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 63 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{lg} 7$ 5 d3 e6 6 Be3 d6 7 Qd2 Rb8 8 Nge2 Na4 O-0 Ne7 10 Rabl Nec6 11 Nf4 O-0 12 Ndl Ne5 13 f3 a5 14 c3 3 Nde6 15 b3 Ne7 16 d4 cxd4 17 cxd4 $55 c 6 \quad 18$ Nc3 b5 19 15 b4 20 Nce2 exd5 21 Nxd5 Nxd5 22 Qxd5 Ne7 23 Od2

(diagram)
d5 24 Bc 5 Bb 7 25 f4 Re8 26 Rfdl. Qc 727 Rbcl dxe Bxb8 0b6+ 30 Nd Rxb8 31 Of2 Nd5 32 Bxe 4 Nc 333 Rxc 3 bxc $3 \quad 34 \mathrm{Bxb} 7$ Rd8 35 Bg 2 Bxd 4 ,

KELLY-GOLLOGLY, Pirc Defence:
1 d4 g6 2 e4 Bg7 3 Nf3 d6 4 Be 2 NE6 $5 \mathrm{Nbd} 20-0 \quad 6 \quad 0-0 \quad \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{c} 3$ e6 $8 \quad \mathrm{Qc} 2$ b6 9 Bd3 Bb7 10 Rel Qe7 11 h3 e5 12 Nc4 c5 13 d5 Nh5 14 a4 Nf4 15 Bxf4 exf4 16 Qd2 Ne5 17 Ncxe5 Bxe5 18 a5 g5 19 Qe2 h6 $20 \mathrm{Nh} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 721 \mathrm{Ra} 3 \mathrm{Kg6}$ 22 Real hs 26 Q13 Q16 24 Be R 8 axb6 axb6 26 Rxa8 Bxa8 27 Ra 7 Qd8 $28 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 29 \mathrm{Qd} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 8 \quad 30 \mathrm{Qa} 6 \mathrm{Kf6} 31 \mathrm{Nf} 3$
 38 Qg8+ Kh6 $\quad 39$ Qf8t Kh7 40 bxc3 Qxc 3
4. Qxf7+ Kh8 42 Qh5+, 1 - 0.

METGE-LOVE, Bird's Opening:
1 f4 Nf6 2 Nf 3 g6 3 e3 c5 4 Be 2 b6 $50-0$ Bb7 6 d3 dh 7 e4 Bg7 8 Qel Nc6
 Qh4 e5 13 fxes dxe5 14 Be2 Nhs 15 Qxa8 Raxds 16 Nb3 Ba6 17 Rel Bd3 18 5 Nf6 $22 \mathrm{Ba}_{5} 723$ axbs axb 24 a5 Nf6 22 Bg 5 Kg 723 axb6 axb6 24 Re2 Rd7 28 Real Bd6 29 Nbd Ka7 30 Nc 4 Rc $7 \quad 31$ Ne3 Keg 32 b4 5533 Nd2 Rb7 34 RE1 Re7 35 Nd5 Nb8 36 Ra8 Rd7 37 c4 Be $38 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{fxe4} 39 \mathrm{~K} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7-40$ Kxe4 b5 41 Nxe 7 Kxe 7 42 Na5 bxch 43 Rxb8 Rxb8 $44 \mathrm{Nc} 6+\mathrm{Ke6} 45 \mathrm{Nxb} 8 \mathrm{Ra} 4+$ $46 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{c} 3-47 \mathrm{Na} 6 \mathrm{kd} 548 \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{Kc} 449$ Rb1 c2 $50 \mathrm{Rcl} \mathrm{Kc} 3 \quad 51 \mathrm{~b} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 3+52 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ Rd7 53 Ne5 Rd8 54 b7 Rb8 55 Ke 3 e 4 $56 \mathrm{Kxe} 4 \mathrm{Kd} 257 \mathrm{Rxc} 2+\mathrm{Kxc} 258 \mathrm{Kd5} \mathrm{Kd} 2$ $59 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \quad 60 \mathrm{Kc7} \mathrm{Rxb} 7+61 \mathrm{Kxb} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ $62 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 53 \mathrm{~K} 6 \mathrm{Kg} 264 \mathrm{Kd5} \mathrm{Kxh} 265$ Ne $4 \mathrm{Kh} 366 \mathrm{Kd}_{4} 1 \mathrm{LF}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

T VAN DIJK-SARFATI, Giuoco Piano:
1 e 4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bc4 Bc5 4 0-0
 Be3 $0-0 \quad 9$ a4 Bxe3 10 fxe3 d5 11 exd5 Nxd5 12 Qd2 Qd6 13 Na 3 Rad8 14 Ng 5 Qh6 15 h 4 Nee7 16 Qf2 Qh5 17 Rael h6 18 Ne4 Kh8 19 Nb 1 Ng 6 20 g 3 Bh 321 Qf3 Qxf3 22 Rxf3 Bg4 23 Rf2 Nge7 24 Rd2 c6 25 Nf2 Be6 26 e4 Nc7 27 Na3 Rd7 28 Bxe6 Nxe6 29 a 5 Rfd 8 , 步 $-\frac{1}{2}$.
SMITH-GOLLOGLY, Pirc Defence:
1 é4 g6 2 d4 Bg7 3 Nc3 d6 4 Nge2 Nf6 5 g3 0-0 6 Bg2 e5 7 0-0 exd 8 Nxd4 Ne6 9 Nxc6 bxc6 10 h3 Nd7 11 Bd2 Re8 12 Qc1 Ne5 13 b3 Be6 14 f4 Nd7 15 f5 gxf5 16 exf5 Bd5 17 Nxd5 Bxal 18 Qxal cxd5 19 Bxd5 c6 20 Bh6 Ne5 21 Bg 2 Qbbt 22 Khl f6 23 c 4 a 5 24 Qd1 Qc 725 Qd4 Rab8, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$

FRANKEL-METGE, French Winawer:
1 e4 ef 2 d4 d5 3 Ne3 Bb4 4 Bd2 dxe4 5 Qg4 Nif6 6 Qxg7 Rg8 7 Oh6 Nc6 8 $0-0-0$ Rg6 9 Qh4 Bxc3 10 Bxc 3 Ne7 1 45 Nexd5 12 Bxf6 Qxf6 13 Oxe4 Qxf2 14 Bb5+ Kf8 $15 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Qc5} 16 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6$ Qe2 Bd7 18 Ne 3 Bc $6 \quad 19$ Rhd 1 Re 820 Bd3 Rg7 21 Rel Kg8 22 h3 e5 23 Nh 4 e. $4 \quad 24$ Bc $4 \quad 0 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 25 \quad$ g3 b $5 \quad 26 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 3$ e $3 \quad 27$ Rd4 Ne4 28 Nff $\mathrm{Nrg}_{3} 29$ Bxf7+ Rxf7 $0-1$.

## 1982 DB 40~40

Solutions on page 96


No. 1 Black to move


No. 3 White to move


No. 5 White to move


No. 2 Black to move


No. 4 Black to move


No. 6 White to move

The 1982 Dominion Breweries sponsored 40-40 tournament was something of a milestone for the organisers - the tenth event of the series. As usual the tournament (actually five tournaments!) was superbly organised. There 170 last year) but this will doubtless 170 last year) but be easily the biggest turnout of the year.

This year saw the creation of a new grade - the "Premier" - for the highest rated entrants. Effectively it meant that the old A-grade was split in two, with consequent closer competition in both top grades. The 22-player Premier was not nearly as strong as the top half of the 1981 A -grade, but the lack of "tail" still meant that there wer no easy games for anyone. no easy games for anyone
seeds new to almost everyone, that of Arthr Pon the bat of pipe Arthur had been away from New pipe. Arthus had bea much of the time studying in the U.S.
Six and a half hours of chess produced two winners, one surprising and the other not so surprising. Lev Aptekar, a former winner seeded second, arrived 30 minutes late for his first round game versus Sarfati, a terrible handicap with only forty minutes for: the whole game. Perhaps surprisingly, Lev won on time
After two further wins Aptekar was able to coast home with two draws, including a rather brief one in the last round against co-winner Mark Noble, who had followed a round one draw with three wins 'against highly ranked opposition.

Their last round draw assured Noble and Aptekar of equal first, but three other players could have caught them. Vernon Small, who started with a shock loss to Carpinter after mutual blunders played provocatively against Peter Stuart, who had conceded two draws. The latter gained a winning position on the board while conceding a lost position on the clock - perhaps both players were lucky to draw.
David Beach also had three points going into the last round, having lost

Aptekar in round three. He built up a winning position against Bruce Watson only to overlook a devastating move hich forced his instant resignation Thus Small, Watson and Stuart shared posted an excellent three win / one loss os ment.
Most notable among the disappointmen was Jonathan Sarfati who has had some so. He followed up his round one disaster other fore consistently than he would have wished. Also Bernard Carpinter, have wished. Also Bernard Carpinter, beginning of the year, had a dream start but was unable to maintain the momentum anains the strongest field met by anyagainst the strongest field met by any-

PREMIER
Noble MF D19 W10 W3 W6 D2 4
Wtekar L W17 W16 W8 D4 D1

| 3 | Watson | B R | D12 | W11 | L1 | W14 | W8 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 4 | Stuart | P | W | W20 | D14 | W12 | D2 |
| D5 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Stan |  |  |  |  |  |  |


$\begin{array}{llllllll}5 & \text { Small V A } & \text { L14 } & \text { W18 } & \text { W7 } & \text { W15 } & \text { D4 } & 312 \\ 6 & \text { Pomeroy A } & \text { W22 } & \text { D7 } & \text { W14 } & \text { L1 } & \text { W10 } & 3 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
7 Whaley MG W18 D6 L5 D11 W15 3
8 Beach D H W21 W15 L2 W9 L5 3
Cl W21 W15 L2 W9 L5
10 Feneridis A D11 L1 W16 W12 L6 $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$
11 Ker A F D10 L3 W19 D7 D13 $\quad 2 \frac{1}{2}$
12 McLaren L D3 W19 L4 L10 W21 2
13 O'Ca11ahan R L16 W20 L15 W21 D11 $2 \frac{1}{2}$
14 Carpinter. BA W5 D4 16 L6 $317 \quad 2$ 15 Hawkes P D W9 L8 W13 L5 L7 16 Aldridge $G$ W13 L2 L10 W19 L9 17 Sarfati J D L2 W21 L9 D20 D14 18 Law B M L7 L5 L21 W22 W20
19 Lushkott $V$ D1 L12 L11 L16 W22 $1 \frac{1}{12}$ 20 Johnstone D G L4 L13 W22 D17 L18 $1 \frac{1}{2}$ 21 Frankel $2 \quad$ L8 L17 W18 L13 L12 22 Hurley A L6 L9 L20 L18 L19 0
In other grades Gavin Ion, a former North Shore player recently transplanted to the capital, obtained his best-ever tournament result with a picket fence in the A-grade. Other $100 \%$ scores were made by S. Brown in the B-grade and A.B.Mullan
in a C-grade section.
A-grade: l G.J.Ion 5/5; 2 K.M.Okey $4 ; \frac{3-4 \text { A }}{}$.Thompson \& P.Collins $3 \frac{1}{2} ; 5-9$ M. Brdjanovic, J.B.Kay, A.Price, I.R. Gordon \& P.Vetharaniam 3; 10 D.Dolejs 2六; 11-14 A.M.Borren, J.Hartley, R. Hart \& N.Mi1man 2; 15 W.Ramsay $1 \frac{1}{2}$; 16-17 S.Mancewicz \& H.Henkel 1; 18 S . Severinsen 0.
B-grade: 1 S.Brown 5/5; 2 C.Nguyen 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3-5 P.Connor, M.Sims \& D.Heremaia 4; 6 R.Dive $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 7-12 A.Aldridge,
S.Ivancic, T.Gilmartin, B.Brown, M

Earle \& P.Houpt 3; 13-19 A.Drake, D. Haak, A.Allsobrook, F.Cook, P.King, M. van der Hoorn \& C.M. Bell 2 $\frac{1}{2} ; 20-26$ P. Reid, A.Boughen, M.Hewson, C.B.W.Oldridge, B.Burns, N.Owens \& J.R.Stephen son 2; 27 A.Grkow $1 \frac{1}{2}$; $28-31$ J. Hofsteede, B.Jackson, B.Clay \& R.Schurr 1 32 M.Dunningham 0
C-grade, section I: I A.B.Mullan 5/5; 2-5 R.S.Mitche11, D.A.Gifford-Moore, T Boswell \& R.Curry 4; 6-9 G.Walker, T. Fink-Jensen, M.Small \& G.Glavin $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 10-17 I. Pronk, D. Paul, P.Cooper, P Skurr, C.M.Ker, W.McLean, K.Fink-Jensen \& A.Speer 3; 18-22 J.Herbert, S.Braunstein, B.Greally, W.Carrall \& T.Hughes 2 $\frac{1}{2}$; 23-29 M.McLaren, R.Healey, J. Simmons, E.Boekholt, S.Booth, P.Noble \& G. Simpson 2; 30-33 B.Newman, P.Harris A. Perry \& T. Weir $1 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 34-36 \mathrm{~J}$. Blaikie, R.Walker \& B, Irons 1; 37 Mrs M.Boyack 2; 38 P.Garity 0.
C-grade, section II: 1-2 D.Adams \& R Takhar $4 \frac{1}{2} / 5$; 3-6 J.Kirkpatrick, G McQuinlan, M.Miller \& P. Bingham 4; 7-8 P. Dunn \& Goghini $3 \frac{1}{2} ; ~ 9-16$ E.McBride, .Carlsson, P.Crowe, L.Andersen, M.Bryant, D.Kearse, C.McDermott \& B.Nguyen 7-18 G.Redit \& A.Ardidans $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 19-2 .Wilcox, T.Gillett, A.Lawrence, J.

Astin, J.Edwards, S.Ker, D.McDowe1, A Moonen, F.Hince, L.Moonen \& T.Ward 2; 30-31 G.Cenona \& J.Hillock $1 \frac{1}{2}$; 32-34 A.Oliver, C.Geldermans \& G.Low 1; 36 M. Connor 0

BEACH - WATSON, Closed Sicilian
 5 0-0 0-0 6 d3 Nc6 7 e4 d6 8 c 3 c4 9 e5 dxe5 10 fxe5 Nd5 11 d4 f6 12 exf6 exf6 13 Na 3 Na 514 Bd 2 Be 615 b4 Nc6 16 Rel Bf7 17 Qe2 Nb6 18 Nc 2 Re8 19 Qfl Ne7 20 Ne3 Ned5 21 Nxc4 Nxc4 22 Qxc4 Nf4 23 Qfl Nxg2 24 Kxg2 Qd7 25 a3 Bd5 26 Rxe8+ Rxe8 27 Rel Rxel 28 Qxel h5 29 Qe3 h4 30 Qd3 h3t 31 Kf2 Qc6


32 Qxg6!? Be4 (Obviously not 32 ..Bxf3 because of 33 Bh6 Qd7 34 Bxg7 and White obtains an easily won king \& pawn ending) 33 Qg4 f5 34 Qf4 Qa4 35 Ng 5 Qd1 36 Nxe4 fxe4 37 Ke3??
Bh6!!, 0-1.
STUART - McLAREN, Réti System
1 c4 e6 2 Nf3 d5 3 b3 c5 4 e3 Nc6 5 Bb 2 d 46 exd4 cxd4 7 d3 e5 8 g 3 f5 $9 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 100-0 \mathrm{Be} 711 \mathrm{Rel}$ Qc7 12
 a5 16 a3 Re8 17 Rbl Ra6 $18 \mathrm{Bal} \mathrm{b5}$ 19 cxb5 Oxb5 20 b4 axb4 21 Nxb4 Nxb4 22 axb4 Ra3 23 Qcl Ra4 24 Nxd4 exd4 25 Bc6 Qa6 26 Bxa4 Qxa4 27 Rxe7 Rxe7 28 Qxc8+Nf8 29 Qc4+ Kh8 30 Qxd4 Qc2 31 Rfl h6 32 Qc5 Qe2 33 b5 Nd7 34 Qd6 f4 35 Qxf4 Nc5 36 Qf8 8 Kh7 37 Qf5+ Kh8 38 Qxe5, $1-0$.

## BOOKS ON THE ENDGAME AVAILABLE FROM THE N.Z. CHESS ASSOCIATION

Pawn Endings
Averbakh \& Maizelis
Knight Endings
Averbakh \& Chekhover
Queen \& Pawn Endings
Averbakh
Queen vs Rook/Minor Piece Endings
Averbakh, Chekhover \& Henkin
 added to your first order. Please also add $5 \%$ postage \& handling charge with your order to N.Z. Chess Assn, P.O.Box 8802 , Symonds Street, Auckland

The Wellington Ghess League hosted the annual Queen's Birthday Weekende the ANZ Bank Building in Courtenay lace. Forty-three players conteste he three grades, with Lev Aptekar David Beach, Jonathan Sarfati, and contenders in the A-grade.
Round 1: Arcadios Feneridis mmitted the unthinkab1e - he lost to ruce Kay on time! Michael Schwass prug the other surprise, beating Peter Hawkes' Ruy Lopez Schliemann.

Round 2: Anthony Ker countered Sarfati's Closed Sicilian accurately and a draw was soon agreed, while Greg Aldridge caused a minor upset by
defeating McLaren. Feneridis cracked p in his opponent's time trouble an is winning position vanished. Beach and Aptekar both won comfortably Scores: Aptekar \& Beach 2; Sarfati \& Ker $1 \frac{1}{2}$.
Round 3: Beach and Aptekar played Catalan which was agreed drawn after long, evenly-fought battle. McLare Sicilian against Sarfati but would not have been happy with the result. Ker made some uncharacteristic errors in losing to Hawkes' Czech Benoni. Scores: Aptekar, Beach \& Sarfati $2 \frac{1}{2}$; Aldridge \& Hawkes 2.
Round 4: Sarfati left his king in the centre a shade too long and, after a tactical skirmish, Aptekar applied a atal pin. Beach beat Hawkes to ver with, Aptekar \& Beach McLaren. Scores: Aptek

Round 5: Beach disposed of Aldridge with an impressive kingside assault aptekar-Feneridis was a much longe game, with Lev able to exploit the weaknesses in Fenny's position and linch a share of first prize. Most interest centred on the HawkesSarfati clash. Dependent on colours the clashes between these two are invariably either a Four Knights or Ruy Lopez Schliemann, and generally
somewhat messy affairs. This one was no exception, although there was perhaps a higher quality about this mess than previous ones; Hawkes was the victor
The other point of interest was the heart-stopping finish to the Bell-Kay ame. Deciding that valour was the better part of discretion, Bell walked his king into open spaces rather than be subjected to a perpetual - all this in a desperate attempt to exploit Kay's ustomary time trouble. In the ensuing haos Kay missed a one-move mate, wou rook, but lost on time. Or did he? obody was too sure and a draw was agreed; both players heaved a sigh of elief and were left wondering what ight have been.
It was pleasing to see that both winners seemed to have overcome the lack of consistency which has dogged them in recent tournaments and were approaching top form. Hawkes and Aldridge would have been encouraged by their results, hile Ker gave another consistent perormance. Sarfati and McLaren suffered inor setbacks, temporary no doubt.
R. 1 R. 2 R. 3 R. 4 R. 5 Pts
$\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & \text { Beach D H } & \text { W8 } & \text { W9 } & 122 & \text { W4 } & \text { W3 } & 4 / 2 \\ 2\end{array}$
3 Aldridge G L. 2 W7 W14 W12 Ll
4 Hawkes P D L14 W13 W5 L1 W6
5 Ker A F W11 D6 L4 D7 W12
6 Sarfati J D W13 D5 w7 L2 L4 2
7 McLaren L W12 L3 L6 D5 W8 $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$
8 Hartley J L1 L12 W11 w9 L7 2
9 Kay J B W10 Ll Dl2 L8 Dl3 2
Fenerids A L9 Lil
12 Borren A M L7 W8 D9 L3 L5 l
13 Bell C M L6 L4 L10 W11 D9 1/2
14 Schwass MP W4 L2 L3 L10 L11 I
The B-grade was hotly contested and many of the competitors would have performed creditably in the $A$-grade. reached - P E Bennett, A B Mullan, D A Cifford-Moore \& R Stanton all scored 4
points. Then came 5-8 R.Wood, R.Dive .Connor \& A.Aldridge 3; 9-11 H.Dixon K.Blundell \& G.Barrow $2 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 12-14 \mathrm{P}$. King, J. Bigham \& R.Corry 2; 15 R.S Mitchell $1 \frac{1}{2}$; 16-17 D.Low \& S.Hill 1 18 J. Bingham 0.

The C-grade also featured a tie for first place - 1-2 M.McLaren \& J.Herbert 4/5: 3-7 J.Simmons, P.Dunn, K. Fink-Jensen, T.Fink-Jensen \& G.Simpson 3; 8-10 С.H.Webber, C.M.Ker \& J.
McQuinlan 2; 11 S.Patel 1.
John Preston was the capable director of play, perhaps grateful that nobody supplied any more four-move draws to torment him!
APTEKAR-SARFATI, Réti System:
1 Nf3 d5 2 g3 Nf6 3 Bg2 Bf5 4 c4 c6 5 b 3 Nbd 76 Bb 2 e6 7 0-0 Bd6 8 d3 Qe7 9 Nbd 2 e $5 \quad 10$ cxd5 cxd5 11 e 4
 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Bxe4 } 4 & 18 \mathrm{Rel} \mathrm{Bxg} 2 & 19 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \mathrm{Bxf2}+20\end{array}$
 23 gxf $50 \mathrm{Qg} 5+24$ Qg $4 \mathrm{Qxg} 4+25 \mathrm{hxg} 4$
 gxfs 26 gxt5 $0-0-0 \quad 27 \mathrm{Racl}+\mathrm{wbg}^{28}$ Kf4 b6 32 Rxd6, 1 - 0

BEACH-ALDRIDGE, Four Knights:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 Bb 5 Nd $4 \quad 5$ Nxe5 Qe7 6 f4 Nxb5 7 Nxb 5 d5 $8 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Qb} 4+\quad 9 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ Nxe4 $10 \quad 0-0$ Nxc3 11 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\mathrm{bxc} 3 & \mathrm{Qxc} 3 & 12 & \mathrm{Rb} 1 & \mathrm{Be} 7 & 13 & \mathrm{Rb} 3 & \mathrm{Qa} 5 & 14 \\ \mathrm{f} 5 & \mathrm{Qa} 6 & 15 & \mathrm{Qf} 3 & 0-0 & 16 & \mathrm{Qh} 5 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & 17 & \mathrm{Rg} 3\end{array}$ £5 Qa
Kh8

(diagram)
18 Rxg6! fxg6 $19 \mathrm{Nxg6t} \mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 20$ Kg8 22 Nxf8 Kxf8 $2^{33} \mathrm{Rel}$ Qf6 24 Bh6t, $1-0$.

HANKES-SARFATI, Scotch Four Knights 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 d 4 exd4 5 Nd. 5 Nxe 46 Be 4 Be 76 Nxd4 d6? 8 Nb5 0-0 9 Nbxc/ Rbs $100-0$
Bf6 11 Rel Nc5 12 Nxf6+ Oxf6 13 Ne8
 Qg6 14 Qxa6 OhS 15 Qg 3 Bg4 16 Nxg , Qge 17 Be3 Ne 418 Qi4 Nt6 19 IS Bd
 nxg5 Kg6 27 Bxf6 Rfe8 28 Kf2 Nb4 29

Rh1 Nd3+ $30 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Ne5} 31 \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{Re} 632$ Rael Kf5 $33 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Ne6} 34$ Rcl Be4 35

## FENERIDIS-APTEKAR, Queen's Gambit:

1 d4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3$ c4 e6 4 Nc 3 Bb 4 5 Qa4+ Ne6 6 cxd5 exd5 7 Ne 5 Bd7 8 Nxc6 Bxc3+ 9 bxc3 Bxc6 10 Qa3 Qe7 11 $f 3$ Qxa3 12 Bxa3 $\mathrm{Bb} 5 \quad 13 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Kd7} 14$ Rb1 a6 15 e3 Bxfl 16 Rhxfl b5 17 Kd 3 Rhe8 18 Rbel a5 19 Re 2 Rab8 20 Rb 1 c6 $21 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 22 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Nh} 7 \quad 23 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Re} 624$ h4 Rbe8 25 Rel Rg6 26 Regl Rb8 27 fe8 31 Re2 5 Rfe8 31 Re 2 c 532 dxc 5 Kc 6633 a 4 xay 34 Ral Re4 35 Ra3 Kxc5 36 Raa2 b8 2 Ral Rb3 38 Rea2 Rc4 39 Rcl a Kd Kc5 44 Kd2 4 Ka $42 \mathrm{Kd2} \mathrm{KbS} 43$ K14 47 K 45 Kam 46 exd $50 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{Rb3} 51 \mathrm{~K} 5 \mathrm{Fb} 52 \mathrm{f}$ 350 f5 $53 \mathrm{Kxf6} \mathrm{Rf} 3+54 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{Rxc} 2,0-1$

KER-BORREN, Vienna Game:
1 e4 e5 2 Ne3 Nff 3 f4 d5 4 fxe5 Nxe4 5 d3 Nxc3 6 bxc3 Be7 7 Nf3 0-0 5 d4 c5 9 h4 f6 10 Bd3 Bg4 11 Bxh7+ Kxh7 $12 \mathrm{Ng} 5+\mathrm{fxg} 513 \mathrm{hxg} 5+\mathrm{Kg} 814$ Qxg4 Qd7? 15 e6 Qd6 16 g6 Rf6 17 Rh8+! Kxh8 18 QhS $+1-0$.

SOME BOOK TITLES AVAILABLE FROM THE NEW ZEALAND CHESS ASSN:

The Batsford "How to $\qquad$ series
a series of books explaining various aspects of the game in a simple but thorough manner:
How to Play the Opening in Chess Raymond Keene \& David Levy List price $\$ 15.95$, Member's price $\$ 12.05$ How to Play the Middlegame in Chess John Littlewood
ist price $\$ 15.95$, Member's price $\$ 11.36$ How to Play the Endgame in Chess Leonard Barden
List price $\$ 13.95$, Member's price $\$ 9.40$
Member's prices refer to Book Club membership, the fee for which is just $30 \phi$ ! A postage \& handling charge of $5 \%$ order value should be added when ordering from NZCA, P.0.Box 8802, Symonds Street, Auckland.

## 5th Waitakere Trust Open

Report: Bruce Watson

R. 1 R. 2 R. 3 R. 4 R. 5 T'1 W37 W21 W12 W6 D5 4 W28 W8 D3 D9 W13 4 W26 W19 D2 W11 D4 W27 W15 W22 D5 D3 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { W27 } & \text { W15 } & \text { W22 } & \text { D5 } & \text { D } \\ \text { W2 }\end{array}$ W38 W20 W11 L1 W18 W32 D22 D10 W16 W12 W23 L2 W28 W24 W10 W30 W18 L5 D2 D17 D29 W30 D7 W15 L8 W36 W13 L6 L3 W26 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { W42 W16 L1 } & \text { W20 } & \text { L7 } \\ \text { W14 L11 W36 }\end{array}$ W14 L11 W36 W22 L2 L13 W27 D17 D21 W25 W39 L4 W21 L10 W28 W35 L12 W23 L7 W31 W40 29 W29 W25 16 W40 L9 W29 W25 L6 W34 L3 L24 W36 W23 W41 L6 W26 L12 W29 W17 L1 L15 D14 W24 $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ W31 D7 L4 L13 W35 2 L8 W31 L16 W27 L19 L5 W41 W19 L8 L21 L5 W4 W19 L8 L21 L3 W39 L20 W30 L11 44 L14 W34 L23 W40 L4 L14 W34 L8 W37 L15 D10 D35 L18 W38 L20 10 D35 L18 W38 L20 L9 L10 W39 W4 W31 | L22 L23 W41 W40 L16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | L25 L17 L38 W39 W41 L25 L17 L38 W39 W41

L16 D29 W32 L17 L22 L11 W40 L13 L19 D32
t1 W34 L25 L28 L30 L6 L32 W33 L29 L34 L15 L26 L30 L33 W42 L18 L36 W42 L31 L27 L20 L24 L31 D32 L33 L12 L28 L40 L34 L39
the finish
There was one upset in round one when Michael Hopewell lost to Greg Spencer-Smith. Glenn Turner was the main casuality in round two when he couldn't make progress against Colquhoun and drew.
Turner was also in the wars in
round three when he lost a complicated game to Peter Stuart. Paul Garbett also fell from the lead in round three; he won a pawn early on against Bob Gibbons but was unable oo convert it in a knight and pawn ending.
Going into round four there were four players on full points
Ortvin Sarapu, Robert Smith, Stuart and I. Garbett and Gibbons had $2 \frac{1}{2}$.
In round four Sarapu beat Smith
after the lacter allowed a variation on the Bxa2 pawn snatch, not realising until too late that the bishop had an escape route. Against Stuart I. gained a big development advantage but the position wouldn' open up for me and the gane was drawn after various adventures. So Sarapu led with tour points going into the last round. Garbett and I Len whin points. Then came a group of on $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points. Then came a group eight players on points stincond equal.
In our last round game Sarapu pained a solid hold on the position and proposed a draw which I accepted Smith, Gibbons, Colquhoun and Mark Brimble all scored wins to also reach four points; along with the writer they then crowded around the table on which the Stuart y Garbett game was in progress to see if Stuart could defend against Garbett's threats and so secure them all second equal. This he did so wt had the unusual result of a clear winner and seven runners-up.
The prize sharing didn't end there as some of the grade prizes were spread thinly, particularl the second prize in each grade. All in all a most enjoyable tournament; congratulations to the

Waitemata Chess Club for their organisa ion and to Bruce Winslade for a good job of directing.
WATSON - STUART, Sicilian Taimanov: 1 e 4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf}^{2}$ e6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd}_{4}$


 $18 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 719 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 20$ Og $3 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 21 \mathrm{f} 5$ hxg5 22 fxg6 f5 23 Oxg 5 Od8 $240 \times \mathrm{Od}+$ Kxd8 25 Ne2 Re7 26 c 3 Be $727 \mathrm{Nd}^{2} 4 \mathrm{Kd7}$ 28 Re3 Rh6 29 Rg 3 Bc5 $30 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 31$
 Kf2 Rcc4 35 Rdd3 Rce 436 Rge 3 Rh 6 Rxe4 fxe4 38 Rg3 Kc6 39 b4 Rh5 40 Ke3 Rxe5 41 h 4 Rh 542 Rh 3 Kd6 438 Rh6 44 h5 Ke5 45 g 5 Rh 846 Rhl ,
$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
SMITH - SARAPU, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf 3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Bxe6 dxc6 5000 Qd6 6 d 4 exd4 $7 \mathrm{Nxd}^{2} \mathrm{c} 5$ 8 Ne2 Be6 9 Nbc3 0-0-0 10 Qxd6 Bxd6 11 Be3 Ne7 $12 \mathrm{f}_{4} \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 13$ Radl Rhe8 14 h3 Nc6 15 Kf2 Nb4 16 Rd2 Nxa2! 17 Nxa2 Bxa2 18 Rfd BF7 19 Rf3 b6 20
 24 gxf6 gxf6 25 c3 Rxd2 26 Rxd2 b4 27 cxb4 cxb4 28 Bd4 Re6 29 ej fxe5 30 Bxe5 a3 31 bxas bxa3 32 Ne 4 Rxe 33 fxe5 a2 34 Rxa2 Bxa2 35 Kf 4 Bbl 36 Nf6 Be7, $0-1$.

SARAPU -- WATSON, Bogo-Indian Defence:
 55 e3 0-0 6 a3 Be 7,7 Bd3 Nbd7 8 O-0 c5 9 Qe2 b6 10 e 4 dxe4 11 Nxe4 $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 12 & \text { Rd } & \text { Nxe4 } & 13 & \text { Bxe } 4 & \text { Bxe } \\ 15 & 14 & \text { Qxe } \\ \text { Bf } & \text { cxd4 } & 16 & \text { Bxd4 } & \text { Oc } 7 & 17 & \text { Rac } 1\end{array}$ Ne5 18 Qe3 Bxd4 19 Rxd'4 Rfd8 20 b4, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
TUART - GARBETT, Rét $i$
1 c4 e6 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 g 3 c5 4 Bg2 Nc6
 9 d 3 Bd 7 C 10 Bb 2 Rfd 811 Nc 3 a6 12 Rfdl Rac8 13 cxd5 exd5 14 d4 cxd4 15 Nxd4 Bg4 $16 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{Nxd4} 17$ exd4 Be6 18 Racl Qa7 19 Qd3 b5 20 Ne 2 Qd7 21 Rxc8 Rxc8 $22 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Rxcl}+23 \mathrm{Bxcl}$ Bf5 24 Oc3 b4 25 Qb2 Ne8 26 Kf2 Nc7 27 Bd2 Nb5 28 Bfl Bd3 29 Nc 1 Bxfl 30 Kxfl Of5 $31 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 32 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad \mathrm{Qg} 6 \quad 33 \mathrm{Be} 3$ 3534 h 3 Bd 835 Qd2 Odf 36 Kfl hxg 4 $37 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \mathrm{Qg} 3 \quad 38$ Og2 $2 \mathrm{Qh} 4 \quad 39 \mathrm{Ne} 2$ Qh7 40 Nel Bf6 41 Qd2 Qh1+ $42 \mathrm{Kt2}$ as 43 Ne Qh4t $44 \mathrm{~kg} 2 \mathrm{Be} \mathrm{Bl}^{43} \mathrm{BF} 2$ Oh6 46 Qe 3

M.HOPENELL - SPENCER-SMITH, Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 c3 e6 3 d4 d5 4 exd5 Qxd5 5 Nf3 Nfg 6 Bd3 Bd6 7 0-0 0-0 8 Bg5 Nbd7 9 Qe2 b6 10 c4 Qc6 11 Ne5 Qc7 12 Nxd7 Nxd7 13 २h5 g6 14 Qh4 cxd4 $15 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 16 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 17 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{e} 5 \quad 18$ Exe5 Nxe5 19 Nxe5 Bxe5 20 c 5 bxe5 21 Rac1 Be4 22 Bb5 Rab8 23 a 4 a6 24 Be7 axb5 25 Rxe5 Bxh2+ 26 Qxh2 0xh2+ 27 Kxh2 Rfe8 28 axb5 Rxc5 29 Bxc5 d3 30 Bd4 Rxb5 $31 \mathrm{Rdl} \mathrm{f}_{4} 32 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Rh} 5+33$ Kgl Rg5 34 Kf1 Rxg2 35 Rxg2 Bxg2+ 36 Kel, 0-1.

Editor's note: Brace was not overly impressed with the standard of the games in this tournament, mentioning only the game Watson-Stuart. Thus the selection of games is mine with priority being given to those games which most influenced the final results. The exception is the last game in which Greg spencerSmith took his chances very well versus his much higher rated opponent

## LOCAL NEWS

The WELLINGTON PROVINCIAL SCHOOLPUPIL CH'P, held in May, with 7 I/ $/ 8$. Anthony Ker was close second an 7 points and then came P Connor second . Boswell $5 \frac{1}{2}$ : R.Dive, M.van der Hoorn P. Cooper 5 .
P.Connor won the best gane prize. There were 24 competitors.

The AUCKLAND TEAM LIGHTNING CH'P was played on Queen's Birthday and had a surprise winner in the Auckland Centre's A-tean comprising Lindsay
Cornford, Martin Dreyer and the Hope Cornford, Martin Dreyer and the HopeFollowi.
Following the tctal dominance of its top two teams last year, North Shore fletded three teams of equal strength in the hope or a more exciting event North shareed ony too well. North shore (heir, Leonhardt, Kelly disastrous loss to Auckland in the penultimate round saw North Shore B (Stuart whey Gollogly Hart) ont fifth two rounds before bound into a $1 \frac{1}{2}$ point lead over the other two teams In a nail-biting finish the leaders
handed the strong Howick-Pakuranga tean its biggest defeat of the day (12-4) but this was not sufficient as Auckland a trounced North Shore D by 14-2 to win the event by a mere half point. Coinbetween this was the same margin (but with the positions reversed) in the inaugural 1980 tournament
Scores: 1 Auckland A 77/112; 2 North Shore 3 76 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3 North Shore C 75 ; 4 North Shore A Garbett, Sarapu, Feasey Pitts) 6912; 5 Howick-Pakuranga (Spiller, Taylor, Turner \& Field) $61 \frac{1}{2}$; Waitemata 46/2; 7 North Shore D 28 8 Auckland B 14.
Waitemata's Robert Smith was the top individual scorer with an excellent 26 28 while Paul Garbett scored 25 and Nigel Hopewell 2212, On $21^{\frac{1}{2}}$ were Ortvin Sarapu, Michael Hopewell \& Peter Stuart

The North Shore club retained the Auckland Chess Association's JENKINS ROPHY when it recently defeated occasion when Shore's top-board line-up a.ll clicked.

| NORTH SHORE |  | HWK-PAKURANGA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O.Sarapu | 1/2-1/2 | E.M.Green |
| P.A.Garbett | 1-0 | P.S.Spiller |
| P.B.Weir | 1-0 | G.M.Turner |
| M.G.Whaley | 1-0 | R.Taylor |
| W. Leonhardt | ].-0 | D.W.Field |
| R.L.Roundill | 1-0 | D.J. ${ }^{\text {bennell. }}$ |
| G.L.Pitts | 1-0 | J.Cullington |
| R.G.Steel | 0-1 | A.J.Booth |
| T.P.0'Connor | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | K.Metge |
| P.R.Snelson | 1-0 | R. Baumgartner |
| D.S.Moulin | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | T. Smith |
| D.B.Shead | 1-0 | W.Parkinson |
| V.J.Burndred | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | P. Baldwin |
| R.M.Lannie | 0-1 | A.Parkinson |
| R. B. Johnstone | 1-0 | P.D.McCarthy |
| P.R.Wilcock | 1-0 | B. Turner |
| I.E.Atkinson | 0-1 | B. Foley |
| R.Hart | 1-0 | D.C.Rawnsley |
| J.R.Stephenson | 1-0 | R.Spiller |
| G.Spencer-Smith | 1-0 | P. Turketo |

A match between North Island and South Island teams was scheduled for the day after the Upper Hutt's 40-40 tournament but the lack of mainlanders precluded such a meeting.
The indefatigable Anton Reid instead
masterminded a match between Wellington and "The Rest". The latter team actually included a couple of players presently living in Wellington but with roots elsewhere. It is interesting to note that while most of the top visitors turned out for the natch, the same unfortunately could not be claimed for the top locals from the previous day's tournament. The Rest team, stronger on paper, duly won by a large margin, 8-2.

## "THE REST"

WELLINGTON
1 V.A.Snall
3 P.W.Stuart
P.A.Clemance

5 M.G.Whaley
6 B.A.Carpinter
D.G.Johnstone

8 G.J.Ion
9 R.Hart
10 D.Dolejs
After the match the players were, as last year, treated to a magnificent this alone made the match most worthale for the players!

The Howick-Pakuranga and Remuera clubs fough out a 7-7 tie in a friendly interclub match on 13 July. The Remuera club, one of Auckland's oldest, has gone through a lean patch in recent years but has gained a new lease on life.

HWK-PAKURANGA
REMUERA
P.S.Spiller

0-1 M.G.Hopewel
R.Taylor $\quad \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \quad$ N.H.Hopewe 1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { K.Metge } & 0-1 & \text { J.Bojtor }\end{array}$
D.W.Field l-0 R.Mathieson
D. Lark
A. J. Booth
J. Borovsk is
C.S.Dowler
G.Edmonds
A.Parkinson
T. Smith
T. Smith
P. Dowler
P.Baldwin
K.Williams
-1 J.Faulkner
0-1 D.Clarke

- O J. Bosley
-1 D.McDona1d -1 W.van Josterom -0 G.Pilkington 1-0 G.Keer
2- $\frac{1}{2}$ M.Parker 1-0 D.Wehrli

The first of the BLEDISLOE CUP semifinals for 1982 has been played ( 17 July) between Auckland $\&$ Wellington
using an open telephone line for transmission of the moves.
As usual Auckland had much the tronger team on paper but (also as sual!) they were unable to achieve a commensurate result.

On the night the score was $9 \frac{1}{2}-6 \frac{1}{2}$ in uckland's favour and there can be little doubt that Auckland will pick up another couple of points to win the match although not by the decisive margin they would have wished. These ten hour marathons certainly appear to be great levellers - at least where Auckland teams are concerned

In the results below "ADJ" indicates that the game has gone for adjudication.

|  | AUCKLAND |  | WELLINGTON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | o. Sarapu | 1-0 | J.D.Sarfati |
| 2 | E.M.Green | 0-1 | L.McLaren |
| 3 | M.Levene | 1-0 | D.H. Beach |
| 4 | P.A.Garbett | 1-0 | P.D.Hawkes |
| 5 | A.R.Day | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | A.F.Ker |
| 6 | J.N.Metge | 1-0 | R.Cockero |
| 7 | P.W.Stuart | ADJ | T.W.L.Spiller |
| 8 | P.B.Weir | $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | I.Reyn |
| 9 | W. Leonhardt | 0-1 | 2.Frankel |
| 10 | P.S.Spiller | 1-0 | B.M.Law |
| 11 | L.H.Cornford | 1-0 | A.M.Borren |
| 12 | R.E.Gibbons | ADJ | J.Hartley |
| 13 | R.Taylor | 0-1 | I.A.Dowman |
| 14 | M.P.Dreyer | ADJ | A.Stanton |
| 15 | B.Winslade | 1-0 | R.M. $0^{\prime}$ Callahan |
| 16 | R. Hart | 1/2-1/2 | W.Gnojek |
| 17 | N.P.Bridges | ADJ | R.S.Teece |
| 18 | M.T.Brimble | 1-0 | W.K.Ho1lis |
| 19 | G.Spencer-Smith | 0-i | E.G.A.Frost |
| 20 | J.R.Stephenson | 0-1 | A.B.Mullan |

At least from the Auckland end this one of the best Bledisloe Cup matches $I$ have been involved in as regards the spirit in which it was played. Unfortunately playing by tele It was a quicker a couple of games to reach the time control at move 40 before the closure. Perhaps some thought should be given to playing these matches on, say, 16 boards; this would surely cut down the transmission time on individual boards and avoid the worst features of the traffic jams which frequently arise when everyone happens to move at about the same time The other semi-final had not been played by the 31 July dead1ine and it is not clear, at the time of writing,
what the outcome of this will be. The match is, of course, between Otago and Canterbury.

The 1981/82 N.Z. CORRESPONDENCE CH'P has been won by Roger Chapman, the derending champion. In a repeat finished equal second, shared this time with M.Heasman

## CFHDVTCJSBTB

 Freeman MR $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} 111 \frac{1}{2} 111 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 1$

 De Groot P

 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Cooper } \\ \text { Jones } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 2 & 0 & x & 1 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$


 Borren AM $0000 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 00 \times$
The Reserve was won by S.Jones and A.J.Love with 7/10; in a close finish R.E.Gibbons, M.F.Noble \& M.Wigbout all scored $6 \frac{1}{2}$ in finishing equal third.
The field for the 49th N.Z. Correspondence Ch M Trimble, J. Cooper, A.M.Borren, M.T.Brimble, D. Cooper, P.J.de Groot, M.R.Freeman, P.A.Garbett, M.R.Heasman, A.J.Love, Smith, L.F.Talbot \& T.van Dijk.

D 气 $\hat{0}$

## PLASTIC

CHESS SETS

## staunton pattern

KINGS 91/2 CM HIGH
Available now from N.Z.C.A.
With wide base - $\$ 11.00$ per set or, for orders of 10 or more, $\$ 10.00$ per set.
With narrow base - $\$ 9.00$ per set.

## Overseas News

BUGOJNO, May 1982:
Shortly after the Phillips \& Drew tournament finished in London another equally strong event began in Bugojno (Yugoslavia) where an all GM cast a1so reached category 14 (average rating 2583). Four players competed in both tournaments - Andersson, Ljubojevic Spassky and Tímman

The final result was an outstanding success for 19 -year old USSR co-
champion Garri Kasparov who scored an unbeaten $9 \frac{1}{3} / 13$ in finishing $1 \frac{1}{2}$ points clear of the runners-up. Kasparov was in a class of his own in this tournament and had built up a two-point lead after ten rounds allowing him to coast hone with several brief draws. This result, combined with Timman's less than consistent form, boosts Kasparov into second place on the 1 July Fide rating list

Lev Polugaevsky more or less kept pace for seven rounds when he was just half a point behind Kasparov but Poly then drew with Petrosian and Spassky followed by his loss to Larsen. Meanwhile Ljubojevic, who went through undefeated, caught up to share second place. Spassky owed his high placing to a lucky twelfth round win over Larsen while Hubner, wh had his only loss in the first round
cored $3 / 2$ in the last four rounds.
hose hoping for a World Championship challenger from the West will be disapfar cry from his victory at Mar del Plata earlier in the year. Also London lata ourh a result Anderson could
of a result so soon afterward.
of teterans only former World ampion Tigran Petrosian made a plus ive one the hands a comprehen player he has had his share of good fortune against in previous meetings

| 1 | Kasparov | USR | G | 2640 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Polugaevsky | USR | $G$ | 2600 |
| 3 | Ljubojevic | $Y U G$ | $G$ | 2600 |
| 4 | Spassky | $U S R$ | $G$ | 2625 |
| 5 | Hübner | $B R D$ | $G$ | 2620 |
| 6 | Andersson | SWE | $G$ | 2605 |
| 7 | Larsen | $D E N$ | $G$ | 2605 |
| 8 | Petrosian | $U S R$ | $G$ | 2605 |
| 9 | Ivanovic | VUG | $G$ | 2485 |
| 10 | Timman | $N L$ | $G$ | 2655 |
| 11 | Kavalek | $U S A$ | $G$ | 2590 |
| 12 | Najdorf | ARG | $G$ | 2510 |
| 13 | G1igoric | $Y U G$ | $G$ | 2535 |
| 14 | Ivkov | $Y U G$ | $G$ | 2480 |

We give a selection of games, starting with three Kasparov wins.
KASPAROV - GLIGORIC, Queen's Indian 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 a3 c5 5 d5 Ba6 6 Qc2 exd5 7 cxd5 g6 8 Bf4 d6 12 Nras 10 13 0-0 0 Previously
12 has han tried with prelear play) 13 e4 has been tried with unclear play) 13 Ne. 3 Rd8 17 Nb 5 Rd7 18 Bf4 Rudl +19 Rxdl 0-0 20 Rd7 Ra8 21 Ba6 Na8 22 Nc 7 Rb 823 Na 6 Ra 824 BF 4 NBe 725 Bd6 Nc8 26 Bg 3 N8e7 27 Rh4 Bf8 28

 cxd4t 35 Kd3 Nc6 36 F4 Bd6 37 e5 Bf 8 38 b4 Nd8 39 Bxd8 Rxd8 40 Rxa7 h6 41

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1 / 2 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array} 1$
h14 h5 $42 \mathrm{Nc} 7,1-0$
KASPAROV - PETROSIAN, Bogo-Indian Def.: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c 4 e $63 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4+4 \mathrm{Bd} 2$ Qe7 5 g 3 Bxd2+ 6 Qxd2 $0-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5$ $80-0$ dxc4 $9 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{c5} 10 \mathrm{dxc} 5 \mathrm{Qxc5} \mathrm{l} 1$ Racl Na6 12 Nxc4 Qe7 13 Nfe5 Nxe5 14 Nxe5 Nas 15 Rfd1 Nb6 16 Qas g6 17 Rf8 21 a 7 22 19 kg 23 Ra Rf8 21 a3 $\mathrm{Kg} 722 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 23 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 8$ 24 Qc5, 1 - 0.
KASPAROV - LARSEN, 01d Indian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 d6 3 Nc3 e5 4 Nf 3 Nbd 5 e4 Be7 $6 \mathrm{Be} 20-0 \quad 70-0$ c6 8 d5 Nc5 9 Qc2 cxd5 10 cxd5 Qc7 11 Nfd2 Bd7
12 a4 Rac8 13 Ra3 Ne8 14 Qdl Bg5 15
b4 Na6 16 Qb3 f5 17 Nc 4 Bxc $1 \quad 18$ Rxcl
fxe4 19 Nxe4 Bf5 $20 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 7 \quad 21 \mathrm{~h} 3$
Nf6 22 Raal Bg6 23 Ne3 Rxcl＋ 24 Rxel Rc8


25 Rc6 bxc6 26 dxc6＋Qf7 $\quad 27 \mathrm{Bc} 4$ d5 28 Nxd5 Kh8 $\begin{array}{ll}29 \\ \text { Nxc8 } 8 & \text { Qxc8 } \\ 31 & \text { b5 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Nxc8 } & \text { Qxc8 } \\ \text { Nc5 } & 32 & \text { Qa3 } \\ \text { Nce4 }\end{array}$ 33 Qe7 Nxg3 34 c 7 $\begin{array}{lll}3 f 5 \quad 35 & \text { fxg } 3 & \text { h5 } \\ 36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}36 & \text { as } \\ \text { axb } 6 & 38 & \text { axb6 } 6 \mathrm{Kh} 7\end{array}$ 39 Qc5， 1 － 0.
LARSEN－ANDERSSON，Symmetrical English 1 c4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{~g} 3$ e $6 \mathrm{~N}^{4} \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~b} 6$ $5 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 6 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 8$ exd5 Nxd5 9 Qa4＋Nd7 10 e 4 Nxc3 11 bxc3
 $15 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 16 \mathrm{Racl} \operatorname{Re} 8 \quad 17 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Na} 418$ h5 Qa5 $19 \mathrm{~h} 6 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 20 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~b} 4$


21 a3！bxa3 22 Qc2 Bc6 23 Bd2 Qb6 24 Rbl Qa7 $25 \mathrm{Rb} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 6 \quad 26$ Qc3 f6 $\quad 27$ Bh3 $\operatorname{Bd} 8 \quad 28$ Rxa3 Nd7 29 Qal Bb7 30 g4 Rc6 31 g5 Bc8 32 d 4 cxd4 33 Nxd4 Rb6 34 Ba5 Nc5 35 Rf 3 Rf8 36 gxf6 Вxf6 37 Bxb6 Qxb6 38 Nxe6， 1 － 0.
HUBNER－IVANOVIC，Queen＇s Gambit： 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 Nc3 Be7 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bh} 40-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{Qb} 3$

 18 f4 b5 19 Bd $3 \quad 5020$ Nf3 gxf4 21 $18 \mathrm{f4} 5 \mathrm{Bd} \mathrm{g5} 20 \mathrm{~N} 3 \mathrm{gxr} 44 \mathrm{Rh}$ gxf4 f5 22 Kf2 Rf7 23 Ne 2 a6 24 Rhl c5


25 Rxh6 Bxf3 26 Rxe6 Qh4＋ 27 Kxf3 c4 28 Bxc4 bxc4 29 Qxc4 Kg7 30 Qxa6 Nf8 31 Rb 6 Ba7 32 Rb 7 R 8 d 7 33 Rxd7 Rxd7 34 Qa4 Qd8 35 Ng 3 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rf7 } & 36 & \mathrm{Nh} 5+ & \mathrm{Kh} 8 \\ 37 & \mathrm{Nf} & \mathrm{Rxf6} & 38\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}37 & \text { Nf6 } & \text { Rxf6 } & 38 \\ 4 & 40 & \text { Qc4 } 4 \mathrm{Qd} 8 & 41\end{array}$ exf6 Qd5＋ 39
Rh1＋， $1-0$.

WOMEN＇S INTERZONALS：The fields for
the two Women＇s Interzonals have been finalised as follows：

Bad Kissingen（BRD）， 9 July－2 August： Gaprindashvili（USR），Litinskaya（USR）， Ivanka（HUN），Lematchko（BUL），Klimo （CZ），Erenska－Radzewska（POL），Hund （BRD），Fatalibekova（USR），Pogorevici （RUM），Borisova（SWE），Maksimovic（YUG） Semenova（USR），Shterenberg（CAN）， Crotto（USA），Belle（NL）\＆Arbunic （CHI）．

Tbilisi（USR）， 14 September－10 Octo－ ber：Akhmilovskaya（USR），Veröci－Petro－ nic（HUN），Savereide（USA），Liu Shilan （PRC），Levitina（USR），Gurieli（USR）， Muresan（RUM），Fischdick（BRD），Tito renko（USR），Garcia（SP），P1ha


The annual Richard Rêti Memorial tournament at TRNAVA（March－April）was a low－scoring event of category 8. Dobrovolsky made his final IM norm． Scores： 1 GM Jansa（CZ）8／13；2－5 GM Vogt（DDR），GM Barczay（HUN），IM Meduna （CZ）\＆FM Dobrovolsky（CZ） $7 \frac{1}{2} ; 6$ GM Plachetka（CZ）7；7－9 MM Lechtynsky （CZ），FM Franzen（CZ）\＆IM Donchev （BUL）61 IM Ambroz（CZ），IM Hausner（CZ）\＆Dam－ ljanovic（YUG） $5 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 14 \mathrm{Kljako}$（YUG） 4.

Soviet GM Vitaly Czeshkovsky and Bul－ garian IM Petar Velikov shared first garlan in the traditional Yugoslav event in VRNJACKA BANJA during April． Despite making the fine score of $10 \frac{1}{2} / 14$ ， two and a half points clear of third place，Velikov missed the GM norm by a half point．
Scores：1－2 GM Czeshkovsky（USR）\＆IM Velikov（BUL） $10 \frac{1}{2}$ ； 3 GM Tringov（BUL）8； 4－7 GM Sahovic（YUG），GM S．Nikolic（YUG） GM Jansa（CZ）\＆IM Skembris（GRE）7⿺𠃊 IM Rogulj（YUG）7；9－11 IM Simic（YUG）， GM Rajkovic（YUG）\＆Barlov（YUG） $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ； 12 GM Martinovic（yUG）6；13－14 Lazic （YUG）\＆Kostic（YUG）5； 15 Tosic（YUG） 31／2．

5 till in Yugoslavia during April，the tournament at VINKOVCI was a fine suc－ cess for Yugoslav GM Vlatko Kovacevic who won with 10／13－a great follow－up to his Sarajevo result earlier in the
year．Scores： 1 GM Kovacevic（YUG） 10 ； 2 GM Matulovic（YUG）8 $\frac{2}{2}$ ； $3-5 \mathrm{GM}$ Veli－ mirovic（YUG），GM Gufeld（USR）\＆GM Ivanovic（YUG）8； 6 GM Uhlmann（DDR） 7h；7－8 IM Chiburdanidze（USR）\＆GM Bilek（HUN）612 ；9－10 IM Klaric（YUG）\＆ GM Plachetka（CZ）6； 11 IM Cebalo（YUG） 51 $\frac{1}{2} ; 12$ GM Popovic（YUG）5； 13 Ledic （YUG）4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 14 Grigic（YUG） 1.
Eduard Gufeld won a very nice Dragon with a sacrificial attack：
VELIMIROVIC－GUFELD，Dicilian Dragon： 1 e $4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4$ cxd4 4 Nxd 4 Nf6 $5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{0}-0 \quad 8$ Q2 6 be6 12 Bad 50 exds Nxas 11
 Ne4 Rb8 15 g4 f5 16 gxf5 gxf5 17 Ng 5


18．．．Rxb2 19 Kxb2 Qa5 20 Bd4 e3 21 Qc2 Nxc3 22 Qxe3 Bxd4 23 Rxd4 Rb8＋ 24 Kc 2 Qxa2＋ $25 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{c5}$ $26 \mathrm{Rd} 6 \mathrm{f} 4 \quad 27 \mathrm{Rg} 1$
$0 \mathrm{Bl}+\quad 28 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 2+$ Qbl＋ 28 Ke 2
29 Qxb2 $\mathrm{Bc} 4+$ ， $0-1$ ．

A category 7 tournament in CARACAS saw the successful return to tournament lay of William Lombardy who tied for first place with Cuban GM Guillermo Garcia with $8 \frac{1}{2} / 12$ ．Colombian IM Gilderdo Garcia and Argentine GM Miguel Quinteros were next with 8 in the 13 player field．

April－May also saw several inter national tournaments in the Soviet Union，the first at EREVAN being won by Mikhail Tal with $10 / 15$ ，a point anead of three countrymen．Scores： 1 GM Tal（USR）10；2－4 GM Bagirov（USR），E． ladimirov（USR）\＆Lputjan（USR）9； 5 Abramovic（YUG）8\％${ }^{2}$ ；6－7 Eoljan（USR） Sturua（USR）${ }^{\text {；}} 8$ Grigorian（USR） $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ； －12 GM Savon（USR），IM A．Petrosian （HUN）7；13－15 IM Mnatsakanian（USR）， GM Robatsch（OST）\＆IM Espig（DDR）6； GM Robatsch（ $O S T$ ）\＆IM Espig（DDR） 6 IM Pribyl（Cz） 5.
ladimirov and Lputjan both made inal IM norms and will receive their itles at the Lucerne Congress．

Ta1 followed up his Erevan success by sharing first prize at MOSCOW in May； this was not unexpected since the tour－ nament only reached category 10 （average rating 2490）．The co－winner was Rafael Vaganian，the second highest rated player in the field，while Effim Geller， ranked third，shared third spot with East German Rainer Knaak．
Scores：1－2 GM Tal（USR）\＆GM Vaganian （USR）9／13；3－4 GM Geller（USR）\＆GM Knaak（DDR）8；5－6 IM Chekhov（USR）\＆ GM Razuvaev（USR）71 ${ }^{2}$ ；7－8 IM Anikaev （USR）\＆IM Meduna（CZ） $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ；9－10 IM Szekely（HUN）\＆GM Zaitsev（USR） $5 \frac{1}{2}$ 11－12 IM M．S．Tseitlin（USR）\＆GM Un－ zicker（BRD）5； 13 IM Abramovic（YUG） 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； 14 GM Westerinen（FIN） $3 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

The concurrent tournament at MINSK was a little stronger（category 11， 2523）．Vitaly Czeshkovsky was the early leader but dropped back in the middle of the tournament after losing to Psakhis and Balashov in consecutive games；he then scored 5 points from his last seven games，including a vital last round win over Kupreichik，to take first prize．Sergei Dolmatov was a close second，his last round draw wit Inkiov denying him a tie with Czesh kovsky．
The big surprise was the third place achieved by Leonid Yudasin，the bottom ranked player in the event．Murray Chandler，now playing under the Union Jack，finished equal fourth－he was actually in the lead after eleven rounds but was unable to manage more than another half point thus missing the GM norm by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ points．
Scores： 1 GM Czeshkovsky（USR） $10 \frac{1}{2}$ 2 GM Dolmatov（USR）10； 3 Yudasin（USR） ${ }^{9} \frac{1}{2}$ ； $4-6$ IM Psakhis（USR），GM Kuprei－ chik（USR）\＆IM Chandler（ENG）8를； GM Kuzmin（USR）8；8－9 GM Jusupov （USR）\＆Didishko（USR）71 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；10－12 IM Inkiov（BUL），GM Balashov（USR）\＆IM Groszpeter（HUN）7；13 GM A．Rodriguez （CUB）6⿺𠃊⿳亠丷厂彡2； 14 IM Rivas（SP）5；15－16 GM Marjanovic（YUG）\＆Mochalov（USR） $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

The ZONE ONE PLAY－OFF was held in Leiden during May．At the finish all four players had scored $3 / 6$ ．Going into the last round van der Wiel had +1 ，Nunn -1 ，and the other two were all square．

The final round saw van der Wiel obtain a completely won position against Nunn; observing this, Michael Stean, who had gained an edge versus Mestel, was happy to agree a draw. Wi a lost however, vander Wiel blunde stean who and it was the Interzonal place as missed out liebreak score from the had the lowest tiebreak original Zonal tournament!

KORCHNOI FAMILY SAGA: More than six months after the conclusion of the title match in Merano the Soviets have finally allowed Korchnoi's wife Bella and son Igor to emigrate. They arrived in Switzerland, Viktor's adopted country, in early July.
An earlier report stated that Igor had been released from the labour camp but that he had again been called up for the army, a fact which would have prevented him from emigrating. Later, however, it was announced that Bella and Igor had been summoned to the Leningrad visa office and told that they should depart from the Soviet Union by 27th June.

Blessed with the imposing, but 1argely meaningless, title " "World Master laf Chess" tournement was an immensely of Chess" tournament was an immensely strong double-rou
4 th to 22nd Jone. The rirs 16 had an average rating of category 16 had an 2627. The make-up of en players were dourited but had to withdraw for various reasons; among those who might have reasons; among played, but didn $t$, were Robert Hubner Korchor then had to withdraw because of illness.

The scores:
Ka An Lj Po Sp Ka Hu
Karpov USR xx $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 011 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}-6$
 Ljubojevic YUG $10 \begin{array}{llllllll}1 / 2 & \times x & 0 \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}- & 5 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$


 Hübner $B R D \quad \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-1-\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{XX} \quad 3 \frac{1}{2}$

The tournament opened with a big surprise, the defeat of the World Champion
by Ljubojevic, but Karpov scored a win over Portisch to come back to $50 \%$ for the first half. A second half win over Ljubojevic gained not only revenge for his earlier loss but also a share of first prize. Ulf Andersson won only one game (and that after having his draw offer refused!) and that was sufficient to tie for first. It can't be of ten that plus one is enough to win a tournament!
In all, only nine games had decisive results - the other $75 \%$ were drawn.
LJUB0JEVIC - KARPOV, Ruy Lopez:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 $50-0 \operatorname{Be} 7 \quad 6 \mathrm{Rel}$ b5 $7 \mathrm{Bb} 30-0 \quad 8$ c 3 d 6 9 h 3 Bb 710 d 4 Nd 711 Nbd 2 Bi 612 Nfl Re8 $5 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{~g} ~(14$ c5 $\quad 16 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \quad 17 \mathrm{Qcl} \mathrm{Bg} 7$


18 a4 Ncb6 19 a5 Nc8 20 c4 Bxh6 21 Qxh6 Qf6 22 Ne 2 Ne 723 Ne 3 b 4 24 Ba4 Bc8 25 Bc6 Nxc6 26 dxc6 bxc3 27 cxd7 Bxd7 28 bxc3 Qe7 (After the game Karpov pointed out that 28 ...Be6! would have given him the advantage; once White gains the b-file Black's position is on the skids) 29 Redl Red8 30 Rab 1 Ba4? 31 Rd2 Rab8 32 Rdb2 Rxb2 33 Rxb2 f6 34 Rb 6 Oc7 $35 \mathrm{Nh} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 36$ $\mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 8 \quad 37 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{f} 538$ exf5 Rxf5 39 Qd2 Kg 740 Rxa6 e4 41 fxe4 Rf7 42 e 5 Qc8 43 Qh6+ Kh8 44 e6 Rg7 45 Rb6, 1 - 0 。 KAVALEK - ANDERSSON, Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 e6 6 f4 Nc6 7 Nf3 Be7 8

 e5 15 Nf3 exf4 16 Qh6 Nh5 17 Bxf4 Nxf4 18 Qxf4 Rc8 19 Nd4 Bg5 20 Qf3 Od7 21 Qg3 Be7 22 Nd5 Bxd5 23 exd5 Rfe8 24 Nc6 Bf8 25 Rf2 Bg7 26 Raf1 Rf8 27 Re2 Rce8 28 Rfel Rxe2 29 Rxe2 Re8 30 Qel Rxe2 31 Qxe2 Bf6 32 g4 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\mathrm{Re} \\ \mathrm{Kg} 7 & 33 & \mathrm{~b} 4 & \mathrm{~b} 5 & 34 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{~h} 5 & 35 \mathrm{~h} 3 & \mathrm{hxg} 4\end{array}$ 36 hxg 4 a 637 Kf 3 Qc8 38 Qh2 Qe8 39 Ogl Qh8 40 Ke 2 Qh6 41 Qe3 Qh2+ 42 Qf2 Qh1 43 Qf 3 Qal, $0-1$.
SPASSKY - HUBNER, Nimzoindian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 a3 Bxc3+ 5 bxc 3 c5 6 f 3 Nc $6 \quad 7$ e4 d6 8 $\mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 9 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Na5} 10 \mathrm{f} 4 \quad 0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 8$ 2 ве3 ва6 13 вd3 Bxc4 14 0-0 f5 15 exf6 Nxf6 16 Qe2 Bxd3 17 Qxd3 Qd7 18

Rael Rae8 19 Bcl Nc6 20 f5 exf5 21 Nxf5 Rxel 22 Rel cxd4 23 cxd4 d5 24 Qh3 Kh8 $25 \mathrm{Rfl} \mathrm{Ne} 4 \quad 26 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Rf} 6 \quad 27 \mathrm{~g} 4$ Oxf6 31 85 Nxg5 32 Qd7 Ne4 33 KE Qx5 $34 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \mathrm{Ne} \quad 35 \mathrm{Qb}+\mathrm{Ng} \quad 36 \mathrm{Kg}$
 ANDERSSON - KARPOV, Queen's Indian: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c 4 e6 3 g 3 b 64 Bg 2 Bb 7 5 0-0 Be7 6 d 4 0-0 7 Ne3 Ne4 8 Qc2 Nxc3 9 Qxc3 c5 10 Rd1 d6 11 b3 Bf6 12 Bb 2 Qc7 13 Qd2 Rd8 14 dxc5 dxc5 15 Qf4 Na6 16 Qxe7 Nxc7 17 Bxf6 gxf6 18 Nel Bxg2 19 Kxg 2 Kf 820 Rd 3 Rxd 3

 Nb $728 \mathrm{Rg} 3 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 29 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Na} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6$

31 e3 Ne5 32 Nf2 h6 33 f4 Nc6 34 a3 Na5 $35 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Nb} 736 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 37 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 6$ 41 xxf5 exf5 42 Ke Ka 643 Kxal 6 41 gxf5 exf5 $42 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Kd} 643 \mathrm{Kd3} \mathrm{Ke}$ 44 Kc $3, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

The second of the three Interzonals has been subjected to a variant of musical chairs. First it was scheduled for Mexico City in July/August; then it was transferred to Reykjavik in September. Later still, we learn that it will now be played at Tuluco (Mexico) start ing on 11 August. We are holding our breath!

| COUNTRY ABBREVATIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALB Albania | FI Faroe Islands | MEX Mexico | SEN Senegal |
| ALG Algeria | FIN Finland | MLI Mali | SEY Seychelles |
| AND Andorra | FRA France | MLT Malta | SIN Singapore |
| ANG Angola |  | MNC Monaco | SP Spain |
| ANT Antigua \& | GAM Gambia | MON Mongolia | SRI Sri Lanka |
| Barbuda | GCI Guernsey \& | MOR Morocco | SUR Surinam |
| ARG Argentina | Jersey | MRT Mauritius | SWE Sweden |
| AUS Australia | GHA Ghana |  | SWI Switzerland |
|  | GRE Greece | NIC Nicaragua | SYR Syria |
| BAH Bahamas | GUA Guatemala | NIG Nigeria |  |
| BAN Bangladesh | GUY Guyana | NL Netherlands | TAI Thailand |
| BAR Bahrain BEL Belgium | HK Hong Kon | NLA Neth. Antilles |  |
| BER Bermuda | HON Honduras | NOR Norway | Tobago |
| BoL Bolivia | HUN Hungary | NZ New Zealand | TUN Tunisia |
| BOT Botswana |  | OST Austria | TUR Turkey |
| ERD West Germany | ICE Iceland |  | UAE United Arab |
| BRU Brunei | IND India | PAK Pakistan | Emirates |
| BRZ Brazil | IRE Ireland | Pan Panama | UGA Uganda |
| BUL Bulgaria | IRN Iran | PAR Paraguay | URU Uruguay |
|  | IRQ Iraq | PER Peru | USA United States |
| CAN Canada | ISR Israel | PHI Philippines | USR U.S.S.R. |
| CHI Chile | ITA Italy | PLO Palestine CF |  |
| COL Colombia |  | PNG Papua - New | VEN Venezuela |
| CR Costa Rica | JAM Jamaica | Guinea | VGB Brit. Virgin Is. |
| cub Cuba | JAP Japan | POL Poland | vUS US Virgin Is. |
| CYP Cyprus | JOR Jordan | POR Portugal |  |
| CZ Czechoslovakia | KEN Kenya | PRC People's Rep. of China | WAL Wales |
| DDR East Germany | KUW Kuwait | PR Puerto Rico | YaR Yemen Arab Rep. |
| DEN Denmark | LEB Lebanon | RIN Indonesia | YPR People's Democratic |
| DOM Dominican Rep. | LIB Libya <br> LUX Luxembourg | RUM Kumania | YUG Yugoslavia |
| ECU Ecuador |  | SAF South Africa | ZAI Zaire |
| EGY Egypt | Mal Malaysia | SAL El Salvador | ZAM Zambia |
| ENG England | MAU Mauretania | Sco Scotland | ZIM Zimbabwe |

## GAMES

The first game was played in the fourth round of an invitational event played at the Auckland Chess Centre from March through July. Notes are by Paul Garbett:
P. A. GARBETT - P. S. SPILLER

## Sicilian, Lowenthal

1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd} 4$
4 Nxd4 e5
An interesting line in which Black weakens his dark squares badly, but does get active piece play.

5 Nb 5 a6 $6 \mathrm{Nd} 6+$ Bxd6 7 Qxd6 Qf6
Now white must choose where to place his queen, as swapping by 8 Qxf6 Nxf6 9 Nc3 Nb4: is fine for Black. The usual move is 8 Qdl, but 8 Qc7 may be lasting positional advantage, as this game shows.

8 Qc7 Nge7
If 8 .. Qg6? 9 Nc3 Nge 7 lo Be3 o-O 11 o-o-o gives white the advantage.
$9 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 10 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 11 \mathrm{O}-0 \mathrm{~d} 4$ 12 Ne 2
12 Na4 Qc6 13 Qxc6 Nexc6 14 Nb is also considered slightly better for White by the Encyclopedia of Chess openings.

12 ..Nxd3 13 cxd3 Nc6 14 Qb6:
Very important. If 14 f4 Qds: Black forces the exchange of queens and equalises.
14 ...Qe7
An interesting innovation. Theory gives 14 . Qd8 15 Qc5 Be6 16 f 4 with advantage to white.

15 Bd2
Stops Qb4 by Black.
15 ..0-0 $\quad 16 \quad \mathrm{f}_{4} \quad \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 17 \mathrm{Ng} 3$ exf4 18 Rxf4 Be6 19 Nf5 Bxf5 20 Rxf5 Qe6
The alternative plan of Rad8 followed by Ra 7 is very passive. White would still prepare to dislodge Black's knight with a4, b4, and b5. (see diagram)
21 Rcl


But now it is clear that Black canno hinder a $Q$-siay
advance anyway. If 21 ..Qxa2 22 Qxb7 21 ..Rad8: Still playable, as if 22 Qxb7 Ne5 and the d-pawn.
$22 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \quad 23 \mathrm{Rc} 4$
Preparing b4, by preventing Black's .Qaz.
23 ..Ne7
Inevitable sooner or later.
24 Охеб fxe6 25 Rxf8+ Kxf8 26 Bf4 Ng6

Better than 26 ..Nc6 $27 \mathrm{b4}$.
$27 \operatorname{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 7$ ?
Black was now in severe time
trouble. White's aim is to force the knight off the squares $c 6$ and $g 6$ so as to win the d-pawn with Be5. Black's only hope seems to be 27 ..e5 28 Rc5 Re7, and if 29 h 4 h 5 . Buc the postmortem suggested white may be winning if he places his king on c4 or a 5 and pushes his b-pawn. Black remains tied to the e-pawn.
28 h4 kf7 29 b4 Kf6 30 Rc5 Ne7 31 b5 axb5 32 axb5 g6 33 Be5+ Kf7 34 Rc 4 g5 35 h 5 !
Still keeping Black's knight out of the game.

35 . . Rd 8
Time trouble, but Black is lost anyway $36 \mathrm{Rc} 7 \mathrm{t} 6 \quad 37 \mathrm{Rb} 7$ zugzwang almost.
1 - 0 . Black lost. on time.
P. B. WEIR - F. A. FEASEY

French Defence
The top group in the most recent North Shore club tournament saw Peter Stuart winning with $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6$, closely followed by Peter Weir on 5. Then came Ron Feasey 4 Brian Mclvor 3, Kevin Kinchant 2, Bavid Shead 1, and Graham icts 2 . Hert the game between the gece by peter Weir place-getters. Notes are by Peter Weir:

1 e4 e6 2 b3 d5 3 Bb2

Temporarily offering the e-pawn for more rapid development.

3 ..dxe4 4 Nc3 Nf6 5 Qe2 Be7
With the idea 6 Nxe4 Nxe4 7 oxe4 Bf6.
6 0-0-0 0-0 7 h 4 !?
Looking for early complications.
Also interesting was 7 g4.
7 ..Bb4 8 Nxe4 Nxe4 9 Qxe4 Qe7
Intending to exchange the bishops with ..Ba3.

10 Kbl Bd 7
Now after 10 .. Ba3 white retains the strong black-square bishop with 11 Bal.

## 11 Bd3

But not 11 Qxb7, when 11 .. Bc6 traps the queen.
11 ..f5 12 Qe2 Nc6
A better defence was offered by

## 12 .. Bc6, followed by Nbs-d7-f6.

## 13 Nf3 Rae8?

It was necessary to play 13 ..Rad8 as the es square must be kept clear in order to be able to play Be8 to mee White's Qh5.

## 14 Ng 5 g 6

If 14 ..h6, then 15 Qh5! is strong as the knight is not takeable and White is threatening 16 Qg6!, winning at once.

## 15 Bc4

Putting pressure on the e-pawn, as if 16 ..Nd8 then 17 Qe5 wins

15 ..a6 16 Rdel b5 17 Вxe6t Вxe6 18 Qxe6+ Qxe6 19 Rxe6 Rxe6 20 Nxe6 Rf7 Necessary to protect the c-pawn.

21 h5: Re7
Now 22 ..gxh5 had to be played, but even then the ending is won for white after 23 Ng 5 followed by c 3 and Rxh5.
22 hxg6 hxg6 23 Rh8 8 Kf7 24 Ng 5 mate, $1-0$.
J. N. METGE - B. R. WATSON

## Modern Benoni

Played at the Auckland Chess Centre
in May. Notes are by Bruce Watson:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 d6 4 Nc 3 g 6 5 e4 Bg7 6 f4 $0-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ e6 8 Be 2 exd5

9 cxd5 Re8 10000 Bg 4 ll h3?
This move forces Black to make a desirable exchange. Better would be II Nd2, retaining the knight to support an eventual e4-e5 by white.

## 11 ..Bxf3 12 Bxf3 Nbd7 13 Rel

White has a space advantage along with a central superiority. He should be trying to limit the activity of lack's pieces while he consolidate his extra space. Eventually he could launch an attack, perhaps on the kingside with $94-g 5$ or in the centre with e4-e5.
Black, on the other hand, will want to get some counterplay before white is ready to attack. This will have to come on the queen-side, starting with b7-b5. He is helped by the fact that his control of e5 immobilises white's centre.
$13 \ldots \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 14$ a4 a6 $\quad 15$ a5 Qc7 16 Be3?
This bishop should stay on cl to guard the b-pawn. 16 QcZ or 16 Khl are more solid.
16 ..b5 $\quad 17$ axb6 Rxb6 18 Qe2 Qb7 19 Ra2 a5 20 Rxa5?

Black has made some progress in his efforts to gain counterplay on the queen-side, but this move makes things easy for him. A solid move like 20 Kh or 20 BCl should have been played.


20 ..Rxb2 21 Qa6
(diagram)
21 ..Ng4! 22 Nd 1 This loses material. 22 Qxbl Rxb7 23 Bd2 Bd4+ 24 Kf $\mathrm{Nh} 2+25 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 2$ leaves Black with an active game but he isn't clearly
winning.
22 ..Nxe3 23 Nxb 2
Or 23 Nxe3 Bc3, winning the exchange. 23..Qxb2 24 Rxe3 Bd4 25 Ra3 Qcl+ 26 Kf2 Nf6 27 Qd3 Nxd5 28 exd5 Rxe3 29 Qxe3 Qxa3, $0-1$.

Played in the fourth round of the North Island Championship, the next
game was Pat Kelly's only loss in the tournament. Notes are by Paul Spiller.

## P.A.KELLY - P.S.SPILLER

## Queen's Pawn Opening

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & \mathrm{~g} 3 & \mathrm{~d} 5 & 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 3 \mathrm{Bg} ? ~ \mathrm{c} 6 & 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3\end{array}$ Bf5 $\quad 5$ 0-0 h6 6 c4 e6 7 Nc 3 Be ? 8 Qb3
This is the position that Nigel Metge and I had looked at the previous night when we were looking for something to play against Kelly's expected 1 g 3 . Here we thought Black had equality after 8...ob 6 .
8...Qb5 9 Qxb6?!

This doesn't look right here since Black's doubled pawns are not weak and the open a-file gives good play.
9...axb6 10 cxd5 exd5

Obviously not 10...cxd5?
 Ne5 b5 15 Rfcl?! Nxe5! 16 Bxe5 Nd7 17 Bg 3 Nb 6

Intending ...Nc4.
18 Nd 1 Bb 4 !
Threatening ...Bd2.
19 Bf4
More or less forced, but now Black can force a favourable series of exchanges.
19...g5 20 a3

If 20 Be 3 then 20 ...Nc4 is strong
20...gxf4 21 axb4 Ra4 22 e3?!

22 Rxa4 looks better.
22...fxe3 23 fxe3 Rfa8
23...Rxb4 24 Ra2 may give White counterplay.
24 Rxa4 Rxa4 25 b3 Ra2?!
After $25 \ldots$...Rxb4 26 Rc 3 Bbl and ...Ba2 Black should win.

26 Bf3 Nd7 27 Nc3 Rb2 28 Nxd5!?!
An attempt to complicate the issue in time trouble.
28...cxd5 $29 \mathrm{Bxd5} \mathrm{Nf6} 30 \mathrm{Bxb} 7 \mathrm{Rb} 1$ 31 Rxb1 Bxbl 32 Kf 2 Bd 333 Bc 6 Kf 8 $34 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 735 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{Kd} 636 \mathrm{Bh} 1 \mathrm{Nd5+} 37$ Bxd5 Kxd5 38 h 4 Bg 639 g 5

After the game Kelly said he had over-
looked 39...h5 and suggested that 39 h 5 would make things much more difficult for Black.
39...h5 $40 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \quad 41 \mathrm{Kf4} \quad \mathrm{Bxb} 3 \quad 42$ $\mathrm{Kff} \mathrm{Bc} 2+43 \mathrm{Kf} 6 \mathrm{Bg} 6 \quad 44 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Kc} 4 \quad 45$ Kf6 Kxb4 46 e4 Bxe4 47 Kxf7 Kc4 48 g6 b4, 0-1.

Next Vernon Small examines in some detail his game with Lev Aptekar on board one of the recent Wellington vs The Rest match reported on page 85.

## V.A.SMALL - L.APTEKAR

Sicilian, Pin Variation
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$ Nfb 5 Nc3 Bb4

Only now did the rusty cogs turn over. Lev had been analysing this at the Malta olympiad - the last time I had looked at it.

## 6 e5 Nd5 7 Bd 2

The alternative is Qg4 immediately since $7 \ldots$ Nxc 38 Qxg 7 is great.
7...Nxc3 8 bxc3 Ba5 9 Qg4 0-0 10 Bd3 Nc6?
Allowing White to exchange on ć to close the c-file must be wrong since it forfeits any hope of successfully attacking the doubled pawns. If instead 10... Qc7 then 11 Nf3 threatens an unsuccessful 'Greek gift on h7, e.g. 11 ...Bxc3 12 Bxh7+ Kxh7 13 Qh5+ Kg8 14 Ng5 Qxes be fine. White $s$ best after 10...Qc/ is probald 11 en 10 Ne3 1eads should play $10 . .$. d back into theory Informators can tell you the rest.
11 N×c6
It was tempting to try 11 Nf3 but I thought $11 . \ldots \mathrm{f} 5$ would be enough.
11...bxc6 12 0-0 Bc7

Not $12 \ldots$...f5 13 exf6 when $16 \ldots$. Qxf6 allows 17 Qh5 picking up the Ba5 and 16 ... Rxf6 17 Bg 5 is winning. Black is in real trouble and perhaps 12...Qe8, prereal trouble and perhaps $12 .$. es paring ...f5 by covering h, is the
best defence. Of course, then 13 Bh6 would 'win' the exchange but Black is
always ready to give it in this variation to blunt the attack and then counter-attack on c3 and in the centre.

More forceful would be the uncompromis ing 13 Bht g6 14 Bg5! Bxc3 15 Bí 6 h 6 (15...Bxal 16 Qg5 Bxe5 土) 16 ©h 4 Bd 217 17 f4!
13 Bg5 f6 14 exf6 Rxf6
No real surprise in the win of the exchange, but how to go about it? I toyed with the variations with 14 Qht (instead of 14 exfe) for a while but could find nothing decisive, e.g. 14 Qxff6 15 Bxg6 hxg6 16 ( Qxg6t Kh8 17 Qh5 Qh7 20 Qe8 Qg8 $21 \mathrm{f7)} 19$ Rael in tending Re3-h3 is decisive, but 14 Ohs h6! 15 Qg6 ( 15 Bxh6 $\mathrm{f5}$ is only a pawn)
 Qxg7+ Kd6 and the king runs to safety on c7 and Black comes hissing down the kingside.

15 Rael?
No better is 15 Qh5 g6 15 oh4 Be5 with counterplay; best is probably 15 Rabl or 15 Rfei.
15...d5 16 Qh4 h6 17 Bxf6 Qxf6 18 Qxf6 gxf6

White is the 'change up but rather flat footed. He can clain only a slight advantage in my pessimistic hindsight.

19 c4 e5 20 cxd5 cxd5 21 Rb 1 Вbб


22 Rb4! e4 23 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Bb5 } & \mathrm{Be} 6 & 24 & \mathrm{Rd} 1 \\ \text { Rc8 } & 25 & \mathrm{Ba} 4 & \mathrm{Kff}\end{array}$
If $25 \ldots$ d , then 26 Rxb6 axb6 27 Rxd4 looks promising if there 26 Bb3 d4
$26 \ldots$ Re5 holding things as they are is the only altamative. Then White should try ais foilowed by kb 5 to make progress.

27 g 3 ?
More accurate is immediately 27 Rxb6 axb6 28 Rxd4 Bxb $3 \quad 29$ axb 3 Rxc2 30 g 3 (g4!?) and White wins a pawn for a winwing $R+P$ ending.
27...Bxb3 28 exb3 Rc2?

The last real fight was in the nove 28...e3 exposing the second rank and preventing the exchange sacrifice since
29 Rxb 6 axb 60 Rxd4 Rc $1+$ wins, 29 Rxb 6 axb6 $30 \mathrm{Rxd} 4 \mathrm{Rc} 1+$ wins, and
any exchange on e3 would give a drawn $R+P$ ending. To win White must keep the exchange and try 29 fxe 3 dxe 3 but it looks level to me.

29 R×b6! axb6 30 Rxd4 f5 31 Rd6 h5 32 h 4
To prevent $\mathrm{h} 4-\mathrm{h} 3$ by Black or, if gxh 4 f4-f 3 .
32...Rxa2

Disheartened, Lev does not put up the sternest resistance which resides in 32 K..Rcl+ $33 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 234 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Rcl+} 35$ Khe2 Re, 36 Kel white king.
$33 \mathrm{Rxb6} \mathrm{Rb} 2 \quad 34 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 35 \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{Kd} 7$
I was still sure checking on the back rank and nipping back onto White's second rank was stronger.
$36 \mathrm{Rb} 7+\mathrm{Kd} 6$
If 36 ...Kc8 then 37 Rh7 Rxb5 38 Rxh5 Kd7 39 Kg 2 followed by g 4 wins.

37 b6 e3!
An active king is the last chance.
$38 \mathrm{fxe} 3 \mathrm{Kd5} 39 \mathrm{Re} 7 \mathrm{Rxb} 640 \mathrm{Kf2} 2 \mathrm{Rbl}$ $41 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Rfl}+42 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Ra} 143 \mathrm{Rh} 7 \mathrm{Ke} 444$ Rxh5 Ra2+ 45 Kh 3 Rb 246 Rh8 Kxe3 47 Re8+! Kf3
Moving to the d-file allows the plan of 1.5 and Kh4-g5.
48 Re5 f4 49 Rff Rb4 50 h5 Ke3 51 Rxf4, $1-0$.
An easy win with the king so cut off.

Played on board one in the Auckland $v$ Wellington Bledisloe Gup match, the following game is annotated by Ortvin Sarapu.
J.D.SARFATI - 0.SARAPU

Ruy Lopez
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 $\quad \mathrm{b} 5 \quad 6 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 7$
The Archangel variation. White has many possible replies.

7 c 3 Be 7
In the 1981/82 New Zealand Championship against Sarfati I played 7...h6 8 d4 d6 9 Qe2 Qe7 $10 \mathrm{Nbd2}$ g6 ll a4 bg7?! but the positional pawn sacrifice did not give me the amount of play that

I had hoped for and a draw resulted. Besides the text Black has the sharp 7 ..Nxe4 or even a gambit line a lá Marshall variation $7 \ldots$...d5!?

## 8 d4 d6 $9 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{0}-0 \quad 10 \mathrm{Re}$

Now the game has more or less transNow the game has more or less trans-
posed into a normal closed variation if posed into a normal Closed variation if Bb 7 on c 8 .

## 10...exd4

Also good is Keres' manoeuvre $10 .$. . Nd7 to play ...Bf6 with pressure on d4. After d5 Black counters later with c6

11 cxd4 Na5 12 Bc2 c5 13 dxc5 dxc5 14 e5 Nd5 15 Qe2?
Kingside attacking chances are difficult to organise. On 15 Ne 4 follows 15 ..Nb4! and White is compelled to exchange his white square bishop or else queens - either exch iminish any attack. B1ack has a clear endgame advantage with his 3 v 2 pawn majority on the queenside

## 15...Nf4 16 Qe3 Ne6

This knight is very well placed in defence of the kingside. White's development is behind.

17 Rd1 Qc7 18 Qd3 g6 19 Qe3
White has forced a weakening of the black king's position but has wasted a lot of time with his queen. He must avoid exchanges to have any hope of attacking and, at the same time, complete his development.
19...Rfd8 20 b3 c4! 21 bxc4 Nxc4 22 Nxc4 bxc4 23 Bb2 Bc5!

Black now has the initiative and stands better.
24 Qc1
Also on 24 Qc3 Black will weaken the white kingside by 24...Qb6 25 Rf1 Bb4 or $25 .$. Bxf3! $26 \operatorname{gxf} 3$ Bd4 winning a piece.
24...Qb6 25 Rf1 Bxf3 26 gxf 3 Bd 4 27 Bxd4 Qxd4 28 Qe3 Rab8 29 Rabl Rxbl 30 Rxbl Qxe3!

Nimzowitsch used to say, "If I get my rook to the seventh, I can go to sleep."

31 fxe3 Rd2 32 Be 4 Ng 5
Better than just winning a pawn by 32 Reaz

33 Bc6
More resistance was given by 33 Rel Nxe4 34 fxe4 Rxa2 34 Rxc4 but who likes tripled pawns?!
33...c3!

Now this passed pawn forces the win. 34 Rc1 c2 35 Ba4

The only way to stop the pawn queening.
35...Nxf3+ $36 \mathrm{Kf1}$ Nxh2+ $37 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Nf3+}$ 38 Kf1 Nxe5 39 Rxc2

The dangerous pawn is captured but Black now has two extra pawns. Ther followed few more moves
39...Rxc2 40 Bxc2 f5 41 Ke2 Kf7 42 Kd2 Ke6 43 Kc3 Kd5 $44 \mathrm{~Kb} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 6+$, 1-0.

COMBINATIONS SOLUTIONS

1. Johansson-Ekenberg, Sweden 1974 1...Qxf3! 2 gxf3 Rdg8+ 3 Bg3 Rxg3+! 4 hxg 3 Bxf3, $0-1$.
2. Stahlberg-Schröder, Santiago 1946: 1...Nf4! 2 h 4 ( 2 gxf4 Oh4 -+) $2 \ldots$ Qxh4! 3 gxh 4 Nh 3 mate.
3. Mosionchik-Dementiev, U.S.S.R. 1976: 1 Og $1+$ !! Bxgl (1...Ng6 2 Rxh7+! Kf8 3 RxC5 dxc5 4 QxC5 +-) 2 Rxh7+ Kg 8 3 Rc8 mate.
4. Cherepkov-Sazonov, U.S.S.R. 1968: 1...Rxd4! 2 Nf 3 Rexe4!, $0-1$.
5. Horvath-Eperjesi, Magyarorszag 1971 1 Rd7! Bxd7 2 Qxg7+! Rxg7 3 3 Rxg7+ Nxg7 4 Nf $6+$ Kh8 5 Nxf7 mate.
6. Kolarov-Franz, Erfurt 1955: 1 Rg4+ Kh5 2 Rxf6! Rxf6 3 Rh4+ Kg5 4 Rxh8, $1-0$
$\Delta$
1
0

宣 吾

## CLUB DIRECTORY

The annual fee (six istings) for New Zealand Chess Association, P.O. Box 8802, Symonds Street, Auckiand, I
AUCkiand GNTRE meets Mondays \& Thursdays at clubrooms, 17 Cromwell St, Mt fden phone 602-042. Contact: Nigel Metge, ph 278-0807. Schoolpupil coaching Friday evenings. Full recreational facilities - TV, pool room, library.

HOWICK-PAKURANGA C.C. meets Tuestays 7.30 pmi (children $6.30-7.30$ ) at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Communty Complex, Howick. Contact: Peter Micarthy, phon 565-055, 92 Ti Rakau Drive, Pakuranga, Aucklatid.

REMUEPA C.C. meets 7.30 Wednesdays at the Auckland Bridge Clut, 273 Remuera ad Remuera. Contact: R. Williams, phone 543-762 (corerings).

NORTE SHORL C.C. meets Wednesdays 7.30 pm (tournament and casual play) in 9 t Joseph's Hall, cnr Anzac St \& Tahareto Rd, Takapuna. Postal address: P.O. Box 33587, Takapunā. Contact: Peter Stuart, phone 456-377 (evenings)

WAITEMATA C.C. rieets 8 pa Thuredays at Kelston West Community Certre, cnr et North \& Awarea Eds. Fcstal address: P.o. Box 69005 Clendene, Auckland 8. Contact: George Williams, phone $834-6616$ or Nick Bridges $836 \sim 5146$

GASTINCE \& HAVELOCK NORTH C.C. meets 7 pm Wednesdays at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Rd, Havelock North, Fiastings. Contact: Mike Earle photie :- $16-027$.

Palmekston North c.c. meets 7.30 pmi Tuesdays at the lHC Workshop, Cook St, Palmerston North. Contact: J. Blatchford, 64 Appollo Pde, Pelmerston North, phove 69-575.

CIVIC C.C. meets 7.45 pm Fridays at the Aro St Cormunity Centre, Aro st Wellington. Contact: Grant Robinson, phone 726-348.

HuTT VALLEY C.C. meets 7.30 pro Tuesdays at the Rutt Bridge Ciub, 17 Queens Rd, Lower Hutt. Contact: Mrs Mary Boyack, phore 678-542.

PENCARROW C.C. meets 7.30 pri Thursdays (for setiors) at Lotise Pilderbeck hall, Main Rd, Wainuiomata. Contact: Brian Foster, phone 648-578.

UPFER HUTT C.C. meets 7.45 pm Thursdays in the Supper Room, Civic Hall,
Fergusson Drive, Upper Hutt. Contact: Anton Reid, 16 Hildreth St, Upper Hutt, phone 288-756.

NELCON C.C. meets 7.30 pin Trursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact: Tom ven Lyk, phone Richnond 8178 or 7140 . Visitors welcome.

OTACO C.C. meets 7.30 pm Weánesdays \& Saturciays at 7 Maitland $S t$, Eunedin, phone (clubroons) 776-919. Contact: Malcolm Foord, 39 Park St, Dunedin, phone $776-213$

AUCklan Chess assocthillon. Contacts: Presicient, Peter Stuart, phone 456-377. Secretary, Paul Spiller, phone 534-5579.

