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## EDITORIAL

First of all, many thanks for all the contributions received for this issue. I have been unable to use some of them because of pressure of time and space, but I have several which will be handy and won't date saved for $f_{\text {uture }}$ use, jncluding follow-ups to "Brain-Rot and other Forms of the Game" (April 1981 NZ Chess). However, more contributions are always welcome.

This issue is a little later than I would have liked because of several excuses: a/ some tournament organisers seel a little tardy in forwarding reports of tournaments;
b/ I took some time off to actually play chess by travelling to the North Island Championships and thereby delayed publication to include my report on that tournament (see page 66 ),
/ I have been busy moving house. as you will note from the inside front cover, the Editor's address is now 10 Lendic Ave, Henderson, to which all ma-
terial should be sent
On the question of contributions, I am personally amazed at the lack of response to Vernon Small's excellent suggestions on restructuring selection procedures ("Vernon Small - A Profile", April 1981 NZ Chess).
Perhaps everyone is happy with the way things are done at the moment, or is it just the 'apathetic New Zealand attitude' prevailing?

This issue includes the new column I announced in April: "Panel Posers".
Thanks to those who sent in queries; it is essential to have audience part icipation for this feature to get of the ground and be of value to chess
players around the country.
On a sadder note, we record the passing of one of the pioneers of organised chess in this country - Charles Belton. Ortvin Sarapu says it for us all with
a tribute to a man who remained an enthus iastic supporter of the game for nver 50 years.

In memory of Charles I am organising a special tournament next month (see comin events) which $I$ hope all will support

Bob Smith.
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## OBITUARY C.P. BELTON

A part of chess history ended on April 9, 1981, when Charles Patrick Belton died at the age of 76
Charles was a hundred percent chessplayer throughout his life and actively participated in many tournaments for well over 50 years.
His last tournament was the HowickPakuranga tourney in February this year in which he won a grade prize.
The first time I met Charles was in Napier at the New Zealand Championships of 1951/2, where he scored a respectable six points out of eleven in the champion-
In 1954 and 1957 he won the North Island Championship ahead of the then New Zealand champion, Rodney Phillips.
In 1959 Charles had his last real chance to win the New Zealand Championship in amilton.
After leading for most of the tournament he fell ill and the medicine he took had a bad effect on his play; he lost the last
Besides
Besides playing good chess even in his 1980 Waitemata beat Vernon Small in the the same year weekend tournament and in the same year beat me in the Auckland Charles was also a harles was also a generous financial ponsor of chess activities
Most younger players would be unaware hat the Auckland Chess
Whe sum of money:
When eventually the Auck1and Chess Club and the Dominion Rd Chess Club amalgaated to forn the Auckland Chess Centre this money was used to buy the present remises.
In 1953 when Charles was involved with the real estate business that bears his Club members (then renting Auckland Chess St) to pool their money and buy a large house in High St just off Queen St, for 10,000 pounds.
His idea was that the club would after a few years receive a lot of rent (the tennant was a bank) and free rooms for playing chess.
The income from rent would sponsor tournaments, coaching and trips to and
and from New Zealand, as well as helping financially other clubs and the national association.
Unfortunately the members of the club committee at the time disagreed with Charles' idea and the deal fell through. Some even mistakenly accused him of trying to get commission on the sale! An article in the August 1952 issue of "The New Zealand Chessplayer" (editor: A. L. Fletcher) helps to fill in a little more of Charles' chess background.
In the article it states that C.P. Belton began playing chess in Jerusalem in 1923 at the age of 18 when stationed there in a semi-military position under the British colonial office.
About 1928 he joined the Auckland Chess Club and a few years later was mainly responsible for re-establishing the club in its present (then Queen $S t$ ) fine rooms by giving a personal guarantee for expenses.
He supported the opening of suburban chess clubs in Auckland(at the time ther was great opposition to that; some had the idea that chessplayers would be split into small groups. It is clear now that chess actually gained far more players.). Charles helped to introduce chess into Gisborne schoo1s in 1937, as part of his general policy of encouraging young players.
In 1936 he was Hamilton champion and in 1950 won the championship of the Auckland Chess Club, after many near misses.
During World War II, he played chess in Cairo with other New Zealanders C.B. Newick and D.I. Lynch(from the "NZ Chessplay1952).

Charles Belton has been the President of the Auckland Chess Club, Secretary of the New Zealand Chess Association and President of NZCA.
He is a life member of the Auckland Chess Centre.
He initiated the North Island Championship tournament and donated the Champion ship trophy. Appropriately he won the first contest in 1954.
He also worked out swiss system rules (New Zealand style) to avoid any chance of the director of play pairing players at random.

As NZCA Secretary he devised the draw for the 12 New Zealand Championship playarranged draw by bly rector of play; Chanles' ideas are now
established fact in every New Zealand Championship (there has been some improvement in pairings, worked out by the present NZCA President, Peter Stuart Charles was a fighter all his life, not only in the chess arena.
He was just on the way to winning an argument with the government over his life insurance company when he died so suddenly.
In 1975 as the President of NZCA, he found himself involved in a fight with another group within the NZCA council.
This took a lot out of him and his health suffered badly, as did the health of his chief opponent.
For 30 years I have played chess with Charles in clubs and at home.
He was one of my best friends during that long period.
Charles Beltop lived an interesting and full life and those who knew him well will always remember him.
0. Sarapu


Charles Belton(seated at the right) in earlier years at the Auckland Chess

## Book ReviewThe Vienna Opening By Bob Gibbons

The Vienna is characterised by the moves 1 e4 e5 2 Nc 3 and this hundred-page monograph by Tim Harding is packed with a wealth of analysis and variations arising chiefly from the moves $3 \mathrm{f} 4,3 \mathrm{Bc} 4$ and the quieter 3 g 3 .
The book has been readily available for some time, having been published in 1976, and forms part of a series written by the author on the less fashionable openings. There is some degree of overlapping with Harding's 'Bishop's Opening' but although the themes are the same, many of the examples are new.
The Vienna Opening ceased to be fashionable in the early part of the century, and is frequently dismissed as being passive. But is an excellent weapon for enterprising club players, concealing as it does many sharp and aggressive variations. The most familiar is the FrankensteinDracula variation in which black gives up his queen's rook for counter-attacking chances against the white king. Then there is the notorious Steinitz gambit, yet to be proved unsound, in lises his king as a provocative target in the opening
There are however quieter channels for players unaccustomed to early violent surges of adrenalin in their systems. ion book uses English descriptive notation instead of the fank ligure notation, and although it is generally well set out it could have been lmproved by using bold type for the principal variations.
It does not delve very deeply into the theory behind the moves, being more concerned with extracts from actual games, and this will make 1t more appeallng to correspondence players, or players wish ing to add an extra opening weapon to their arn to of opening play

Vienna Opening' is

## THE AUSTRALIAN JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

It is usual for the two top scorers in the New Zealand Schoolpupils' Championship to be invited to play in the Australian Junior Championship.

Last year there was a three-way tie for first place, but the other two - Leonard McLaren(Wellington College) and Roy Mathias(Auckland Grammar) declined this invitation.
The Australian Junior was held in the Adelaide Chess Centre in January
Unfortunately, because of the lack of air conditioning, the atmosphere inside was rather hot and humid, with the temperature sometimes rising to over 40 degrees Celsius.
One round was played each day, with a rest day on Sunday.
I was fortunate in being billeted by the Peake family, which includes Andrew, a fellow competitor
There were 36 players taking part from all over Australia (except Northern Territory)
As could be expected, the majority were from South Australia, but there were several strong players from other states, including state junior champions.
Three girls, including the youngest competitor (nine years old) participated. The top seed was Steve Solomon of Melbourne, the defending champion.
Second seed was Ray Casse and sixth seed Mark Chapman, both from South Australia, who had placed highly last year.
I was seeded fourth.
Each player was given $\$ 2$ spending money each day.
The Director of Play was Mrs E. Koshnitsky, who organises all junior chess in South Australia.
The first round began at 12.30 pm on Monday, January 11.
It was uneventful; most of the higher rated players won. I beat Konrad Hornung after he mishandled the Tarrasch French, with a knight offer and queen sacrifice see later games).
The second round produced one notable (S.A.) 14-year-old tenth seed Sasa Zaric (S.A.) lost from a superior position in he closed English
Otherwise, everything went as expected.

I played a compicated defence to the and "checkmat " his que in (Tas.) Round three left the top four seeds with full points.
Mark Chapman played Bronstein's suggestion against Solomon's favourite Petroff Defence. Solomon defended inaccurately and won only after Chapman missed a crush

Ray Casse beat G. Bell(W.A.); third seed Alex Schwaiger of Sydney beat Paul Broekhuyse, also of Sydney, with a solid style reminiscent of Petrosian; I successfully attacked Bruce Burn's (Vic.) Pirc Defence, thus avenging Zaric
In round four and on board one at last
I played black against Solomon.
After the opening(Giuocco Pianissimo) both sides played inaccurately; then I exchanged pieces in time trouble to find myself with a lost endgame.
Casse found an improvement for black in Gufeld's analysis of the Tarrasch Queen's Gambit against Schwaiger, who soon blundred a piece.
Chapman beat the fifth seed, J. Willock (W.A.) with a complex line of the Dragon. Round five was crucial for the top board. In the battle for leadership, Solomon blundered a knight and resigned in 15

Schwaiger was given the bishop pair by Chapman in a Sicilian and won the endgame. Bell escaped punishment for a much inferior position as black in a closed Sicilian against me; the game was drawn.
In round six Casse blundered in a "Mod-
ern" to Broekhuyse.
Solomon was shooting at me with an empty gun and I lent him some bullets," said waiger.
Against me Tony Wirth copied Bell's opening of the previous round; unlike Bell he did not escape punishment

Be1l and Chapman had a hard-fought draw. Round seven was a disaster for me.
After refuting Casse's delayed exchange Lopez and winning a pawn safely, I blundered in time trouble.

Broekhuyse beat Solomon with black, thus assuming joint leadership with Casse, one and a half points ahead of me.

Schwaiger drew with Zaric
In round eight Casse won, while Broekhuyse drew with Bell.
Schwaiger and Wirth had a game of changing fortunes but Schwaiger eventually managed to swindle his opponent, whose rook was out of play.
I, demoted to board five, played a rare line of the Marshall Attack against Reitman's Ruy Lopez and won in 22 moves. In round nine Casse ( 7 points) lost to Zaric(5) in a delayed exchange Lopez while I( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) exploited Broekhuyse's ( $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ) Leucopenia(white square weaknesses) with the black pieces.
The same night, British Champion I.M.
Bill Harston gave a simul. to the juniors. I drew against his Kieseritzky Gambit; Casse, Zaric and Chapman won sharp games. Solomon was absent - he was busy winning an adjourned game against Be11.
Schwaiger lost, perhaps because he had blundered in round nine to $M$. Drummond (Vic., 5 pts.).
Round ten: Casse smashed Drummond's Modern Defence brilliantly, while Solomon's Petroff let him down against Pengelly (S.A., $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) as it had in round eipht gellinst Zaric; both games were drawn(but what can you expect from the Petroff?) Zaric (6) sacrificed the echange(deliberately) against me ( $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ) but overlooked an ingenious tactical resource which wo a piece.
Broekhuyse ( $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ) and Williams ( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) dre as did Schwaiger $\left(5 \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and Be11( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) . In the final round Solomon(7) won nicey against Williams' "poisoned pawn" ly against Williams poisoned pawn in a complex position.

Schwaiger had a promising looking build-up on the king-side(from a CaroKann) against me, but attacked prematurely and weakened himself.

Reitmans played a sharp Keres attack against the Sicilian; Casse won two pawns for the exchange, but could only draw. Chapman(5) beat Peake(5).
So the final placings were
lst equal - Casse(Australian Junior Champion) and Sarfati( $8 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ); 3rd equal - Solomon and Broekhuyse(8); 5th equal - Bell and Pengelly (7).
A curious sidelight is the fact that I was ineligible for the title of Australian Junior Champion, despite being born in Australia, while Casse took the title despite being born in England. Prizes were presented after the second
round of the lightning championships by Bill Harston.
The first place-getters each won $A \$ 125$, and there were trophies for the first six laces.
The only thing I have to complain about in this excellently-run tournament is the trophies - they failed to allow for ties, so the organisers used the (ugh:) Solkoff tie-break, which however failed to break the first-place tie.
It was suggested that the New Zealand Chess Association should invite one or two Australian juniors to the New Zealand Junior Championships.

## A few games from the tournament:

J. Sarfati - K. Hornung, French Defence 1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d5 3 Nd2 Nf6 4 e5 Nfd7 5 f4! c5 6 c3 Nc6 7 Ndf3 cxd4 8 cxd4 Be7? 9 Bd3 6? $10 \mathrm{Ne} 20-0 \quad 110-0$ fxe5 12 fxe5 Nb6 13 Nf4! Nb4? 14 Bbl Bd7 15 a3 Nc6 16 Qd3 617 Nxg6 Rf7 18 Nxe $7+$ Qxe7 19 Bg5 Qf 20 Bf6 a6? 21 Ng5 Nxe5 22 Qxh7: 1-0

P. Broekhuyse - J. Sarfati,2 b3

Notes by the winner.
1 Nf3 d5 2 b3 c5 3 Bb2?!
This allows black a strong centre better is 3 e3).
3...f6! 4 e3 e5 5 d4 cxd4 6 exd4 e4 7 Nfd2 f5 8 c4 Nf6 9 Nc3 Be6 10 cxd5?

White's position was already difficult, but this concedes black the strong square d5.
10...Nxd5 $11 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Nd} 712 \quad 0-0$

White's tenth move relied on 12 Ndxe4 dxe4 13 Qh5t, but with $13 \ldots$...Bf 714 Qe5+

Broekhuyse - Sarfati continued
Qe7: black would retain his material advantage.
12...Be7 13 Nc4 0-0 $14 \mathrm{Nxd5}$ Bxd5 15 Ne 3
Nb6 16 a4? Be6? Nb6 16 a4? Be6?

I became worried about my two bishops. But $16 \ldots \mathrm{f} 4$ would have begun a king-side attack that white would find difficult
to meet.
17 f4 Nd5 18 Qe2 Rc8 19 Bc4 Qd7 20 Bb5
Qc7 21 Rc1 Qb8 22 Nxd5
Better is 22. Bc4
22...Bxd5 23 Qe3 Qd6 24 Rc3 Bf6 25 g 3 ?

There was no need to weaken the white squares further. R1cl was logical and better, although white's game would still be unpleasant
25...Rxc3 26 Qxc3 Rd8 27 RdI Qe6.


28 Bc4 Rd6: 29 Bxd5
Black was threatening e3, with an attack on the a 8 -hl diagonal.
29...Qxd5 30 Qc8+Kf7 31 Qc7+Kg6 32 Qc3

If 32 Qc4 Qxc4 33 bxc4 Rb6: 34 Bc 3 Rb 3 35 Bal Rb 4 wins a pawn, and if 35 Rcl Rxc3!
wins.
32...Rb6! 33 b4 Rc6 34 Qe3 Rc2 35 Bc3

If 35 Bal or a3 Qa2! wins; or 35 Bcl Qc4!; or $35 \mathrm{Rb1}$ or d2 Rxb2:; finally, if 35 Qa3 e3! wins
35...Qc4 36 Bd2??

A blunder, but if 36 Bel Re2 37 Qc3 Bxd4+! 38 Qxd4 Rxel+ wins for black. 37...Bxd4 0-1

THE NZ JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

Report: Paul Spiller
The Howick-Pakuranga Chess Club, well known for its annual open weekend tournament, decided this year to host the national junior championship.
Plenty of advance notice was given and entry forms circulated to all affiliated clubs throughout New Zealand.
Considering the generous sponsorship
of $\$ 750$ in prizes by Borroughs Computers and the effort made to publicize the event, the organisers had every right to be disappointed with the entry right to
Auckland juniors did not seem to terested in the event, with the absence of several strong players.
However, this was more than compensated for by the strong entry from Wellington, including Jonathon Sarfati, Wellington, the recent Australian Junior Championship as well as Adrian lioyd from Christeh and David Weegenar of from Christchurch The only problem of Otago.
the tournament was the lack of concerning dation for out-of-town players. Help finally came from a local club member Bruce Foley, who put up six of the boys for four days, even though this meant a 12-mile drive in from Manurewa every mor ning.
The tournament was duly opened in the clubrooms by IM Ortvin Sarapu, who gave a brief but interesting talk on his exploits during the Estonian Junior Championships which he won some 40 years ago.

Round 1:
C. Lioyd I R. Takhar
C. Dowler
J. Sarfati
G. Sidnam

1 A. Langdon
S. Hart

0 L. McLaren
G. Spencer-Smith 1 J. Stephenson 0
D. Weegenaar 0 M . Noble
A. Parkinson 0 A. Hardman
A. Ker 1 C . Craig
D. McDonald 0 G. Aldridge
B. Walsh

1 P . Glen
Round one was the perfect result for a Swiss, with the top 11 players(all rated)
defeating the bottom 11 (mostly unrated) The only prolonged game was between David Weegenaar and Adam Hardman, a newcomer to tournament chess, who put up a good fight against a determined Weegenaar Lloyd looked in good form with a quick win against Rickey Takhar.

Lloyd - Takhar, Queen's Gambit
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 b6 4 Nf3 Bd6 5 cxd5 h6 5 e4 exd5 7 Nxd5 Bb7 8 Bc4 Ne7 9 Nxe7 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Bxe7 } \\ 10 & \mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Bb} 4+11 \mathrm{Kfl} & 0-0 \quad 12 \mathrm{Nxf} 7 & \mathrm{Qh} 4\end{array}$ $13 \mathrm{Ng} 5+\mathrm{Kh} 814 \mathrm{~g} 3 \quad 1-0$

Round 2:
D. Weegenaar
J. Sarfati 0 A. Lloyd
A. Ker $\quad 1$ G. Sidrad
L. McLaren ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ G. Aldridge
S. Hart 0 B. Walsh
M. Noble
A. Hardman
A. Langdon
. Craig
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { J. Stephenson } & 0 & \text { S. East } \\ 1 & \text { D. McDonald }\end{array}$
P. Glen
D. McDonald

Ron
produced a number of upsets hird seed Grant Sidaty the defeat of of Wellington.
Sidnam, not content with an obvious draw, played for the loss by sacrificing a piece for two pawns.
Ker demonstrated nicely how to win as he zugzwanged Sidnam's king and won back his pawns.
Weegenaar looked to have equal chances until some dubious maneouvring allowed a queen skewer winning material.
Sarfati sacrificed a pawn to double the pawns in front of Field's king and then launched a decisive attack
Walsh had a fairly easy win over his
higher-rated opponent, Sean Hart
McLaren and Aldridge adjourned in an unclear position but agreed a draw later on.
D. Weegenaar - A. L1oyd

1 c4 g6 2 Nf 3 Bg 7 g 3 e5 4 Nc 3 d 65 d 4 Nd7 6 Bg2 Ngf6 7 0-0 0-0 8 b3 Re8 9 e3 Qe7 10 Bb 2 Nf 811 Qc 2 c 612 dxe5 dxe5 13 Nat Bf5 14 Qe2 e4 15 Ne5 h5 16 c5 N8d7 17 Nc4 Bg4 18 Qc2 Red8 19 Nd6 Nxc5 20 Nxe5 Rxd6 21 Bxf6 Bxf6 22 Nxe4 Bxal 23 Nxd6•Bg7 24 Nc4 Rd8 25 e4 Qc5 26 Qcl Bd4 27 Qd2 Rd7 23 Rel b5 29 Na5 Qc3 0-1

Round 3:
A. Lloyd
J. Sarfati
L. McLaren
G. Aldridge
A. Parkinson
G. Spencer-Smith
D. Field
R. Takhar
D. McDonald
A. Langd
B. Walsh A. Ker
M. Notle
G. Sidnam
S. Hart
D. Weegenaar
A. Hardman
p. Glen
C. Dowler
C. Craig
J. Stepbenson

Lloyd played rather an unusual move(4 g4) against Walsh's Grunfeld and soon had him in all sorts of trouble. Black(Walsh could not find an adequate defence to the many threats and was mated in 30 moves.
Sarfati managed to beat Ker after several adjournments after he obtained a winning endgame.
Sidnam made up for the previous round
by beating Aldridge.
The surprise of the round was David Weegenaar's quick loss to Spencer-Smith. Perhaps be had not fully recovered from his
loss to Lloyd the frevious day. loss to Lloyd the frevious day
A. Lloyd - B. Walsh, Grunfeld

1 d 4 NfG 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 g4 Bxg4 5 Qt 3 dxc4 6 Oxb7 Nbd 77 Nb 5 Rc 83 Bf4 e5 9 dxe5 Bb4+ 10 Nc3 $\operatorname{Bxc} 3+11$ bxc 3 Nh5 12 Bg5 f6 13 exfo Kf7 14 Bh3 bxh 315 Nxh 3 Qe8 16 Rdl Nb6 17 Rgl Qe5 18 Rd4 Rhe 819 Qf3 Qb5 20 Bh6 Res 21 NgSt Rxg5 22 Rxg $\mathrm{Qbl+} 23$ Rdl Qxa2 24 Rxh5 gxh5 25 Qxh5+ Kxf6 26 Bg5+ Ke6 27 Qh3+ Kf 7

G. Aldridge - G. Sidnam, Pirc Defence 1 e 4 d6 2 d 4 Nff 63 Bd3 864 f 4 Bg 75 Nf 3 0-0 6 0-0 Na6 7 c3 c5 8 Rel cxd4 9 Bxa6 dxc 3 : 10 Bf 1 Ng 411 Qb3 Be6 12 Qb4 a5 13 Qb5 Bd7 3xb 218 1 $6+20$ axb $\quad$ Rxb 6 and black won.
G. Spencer-Smith - D. Veegenaar, Scotch 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d 4 exd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc 3 Bb 46 f3 $0-07$ Bc4 Re8• 8 0-0 Qe7 9 Kh 1 e5 10 Nxc6 Bxe3 l1 Qd3 Bxb2? 12 Bxb2 Qxc6?: 13 Qb3 Res 14 Radl Nh5 15 Rd5 Nf4 16 Rg 5 Ng6 17 h 4 d 618 h 5 Ne 519 f 4 h 620 Rg 3 Ng 4 21 Qc3 1-0

Round 4:
A. Lloyd
G. Sidnam
S. Hart
J. Sarfati L. McLaren L. McLaren

0
0
0
1
1
0
$1 / 2$
0
1
0
1

After winning a pawn early on, Lloyd perhaps relaxed too much and allowed arfati to develop a lot of counterplay, so that it was Lloyd who was fight ng for the draw at the end of the ses ion. The game was adjourned but a draw agreed overnight after some joint analysis.
Sidnam moved up to 3 points after a win against Mclaren, as did Hart, Noble and Walsh.
A. Lloyg - J. Sarfati

1 e4 e5 2 Nf 3 Nc6 3 Be $2 \mathrm{Nf6} 4$ d3 d5 5 $\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & \text { h } 6 & 10 & \mathrm{Bb} 2 & \mathrm{Rd} 8 & 11 & \text { Oc2 } 2 & \mathrm{~d} 4 \\ 12 & \mathrm{cxd} 4 & \mathrm{Bxd} 4\end{array}$ 13 Nxd4 Nxd4 14 Bxd4 Rxd4 15 Qc3 Rd8 16 Nc4 a4 17 bxa4 Nd7 18 a5 Nc5 19 Qxe 5 Qxe5 20 Nxe5 Nb 321 Ra2 Rxa5 22 Nf3 Be6 23 Rb1 R8a8 24 Rc2 c5 25 d 4 cxd 426 Bc 4 Rxa3 27 Bxe6 fxe6 28 h3 d3 29 R2b2 Nc5 30 Nd 2 Ra 231 f 3 Kf 732 Kf 2 Ke 733 Ke 3 Rxb2 24 Rxb2 Fal 35 Kd 4 Rc 136 Rb 5 Rc 2 37 Rxc5 Rxd2 38 Rc7+ Kf 639 g 4 Rc 240 Rd7 Rc7 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}$

## Round 5:

G. Sidnam
J. Sarfati

1 A. Lloyd
B. Walsh

1 S. Hart
B. Wals
G. Aldridge
G. Spencer-Smith
J. Stephenson
A. Earkinson
A. Langdon
A. Hardman
C. Craig
M. Noble
\% L. McLaren
R. Takhar
D. Field D. Weegenaar C. Dowler P. Glen S. East $\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { S. East } & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text { D. McDonald } & 0\end{array}$

Lloyd obtained a promising position against Sidnam when the latter castled kingside after playing the Samisch variation against Lloyd's Kings Indian. However Lloyd made the mistake or playing b5(instead of b6) which allowed Sidnam to open up the "a" file and penetrate with his rooks.
Hart lost a piece after some careless opening play while Mark Noble moved into contention for the first time after
beating Prad Walsh.
G. Sidnam - A. Lloyd, King's Indian 1 c4 g6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc 3 Bg 74 e 4 d6 5 f 3 $0-06$ Be3 e5 7 d5 Nh5 8 Qd2 $£ 59$ exf5 gxf5 10 Nh 3 Nd 711 Ee 2 a6 12 0-0?? f4 13 Bf2 Nc5 14 Bxc5 Bxh3 15 Ba3 Bf5 16 Bd3 Ng3: 17 Rfel Bxd3 18 Qxd3 Nf5 19 Ne h5 20 c5 R£7 21 Rac 1 Bf\& 22 c 6 b5? 23 Bb4 Rg7 24 Re 2 Be7 25 Bc 3 Nh 426 Bel Qe8 27 Bxh4 Bxh4 28 Khl Qg6 29 b4 Kh8 30 Qd2 Rag8 31 Ral Qf5 32 Qd1 Rg6 33 Qfl Qf7 34 Rd2 (2e8 35 a4 Qb8 36 axb5 Qxb5 37 Qxb5 axb5 38 Ra 7 R 6 g 739 Re 2 Rb 840 Rea 2 Be 1 41 Rb 7 Rbg8 42 Rxb 5 Bh 443 Rb 7 Bd8 44 b 5 Kh 745 h 3 Kg 646 Re 2 Bh 447 b 6 Kh 648 Ra7 Kb8 49 b7 Rgg8 50 Rca2 $1-0$

Round 6:
J. Sarfati
M. Noble
M. McLaren
G. Aldridge
D. Field
D. Weegenaar
R. Takhar
S. East
A. Hardman
C. Craig
D. McDonald
G. Sidnam
A. Lloyd
B. Walsh
S. Hart
C. Dowler
A. Ker
J. Stephenson
G. Spenicer-Smith
A. Langdon
D. Mcbonald 0 P. Glen

Lloyd lost his second game in a row after shifting all his pieces to the queenside and not leaving enough for the defence of his king. This put Noble in first equal position since the game between Sarfati and Sidnam petered out into a fairly tame draw.

McLaren, Aldridge, Field and Weegenaar were now in contention for the minor prizes.
M. Noble - A. Lloyd, King's Indian 1 d4 Nf6 2 Nf 3 g 63 c 4 Bg 74 Nc 3 d 65 Bg 5 Nbd 76 Qd2 h6 $7 \mathrm{Bh} 40-08 \mathrm{Rd1} \mathrm{c} 69$ e4 Qc7 10 Bd 3 e5 11 d 5 g 512 Bg 3 exd 513 cxd5 Nc5 14 Bc2 a5 15 a4 Nh5 16 Qe2 Bg4 17 h 3 Nxg 313 fxg 3 Bd 719 g 4 Na 620 Rb Nb4 21 Bal BfG $220-0$ Qc5+ Kf7 29 Ne 2 Re4 30 Ng 3 Rfc 831 Nh 5 Bd 832 Qe 2 Nc 233 QE1 f5 34 Rxf5+ Bxis 35 Qxf5 Ke 8 36 Qe6+ Kf8 3 Qxh6+Ke8 38 Qe6+ Kf8 39 Bxc2 Rxc2 $40 \mathrm{Rfl}+\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { l-0 }\end{aligned}$
L. Mclaren - E. Walsh, Caro-Karn - ed cf 2 d4 d 53 exd5 cxd5 4 Bd3 Nc6 5 C3 Nfo 6 Rf4 Bg4 7 Qb 3 Qc8 8 Nù 2 e6 9 $N_{g} \ddagger 3$ Bét 10 0-0 $0-011$ Rfel ab 12 á a5 13 Qc2 Bxf3 14 Nxf3 Nc4 15 b 3 Nd

16 c4 Kde 17 e5 Nde4 18 Ne5 g5 19 Be 3 g 4
 Bhet Ke8 24 Qg7 l-0

Round 7
M. Noble(5)
G. Sidnatn $\left(4 \frac{1}{2}\right)$
D. Field (4)
A. Lloyd (312)
S. Uart (3)
G. Epencer-Smith(3)
A. Farkirson(3)
A. Ker ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ )
P. GLen(2)
J. Stephen
S. East $\left(1 \frac{1}{2}\right)$

2 J. Sarfati(5) ${ }^{1}$
C. G. Aldridge(4)
L. McLaren (4)
A. Hardman(3)
B. Walsti (3)

0 R. Takhar (
1 A. Langdon(2)
0 C. Dowler(21)
1 D. Mcponald (0) 0
point and Field and Aldridge.
Lloyd broke through on the queen-side to score a good win against Mclaren whil David Weegenaar, riding a winning streak since round four, made sure that Sidnam did not share first. Sidnami failed to mak inake a luft for his king and was forces to retreat all his pieces to defend against Weegenaar's threats.
G. Sidnant - D. Weegenaar, Sicilian 1 c4 c5 2 Nf3 NEG 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 g6 5 Ne3 Bg7 6 e4 Ne6 7 Be3 0-0 8 Be 2 á 9 0-0 Bd7 10 Qd2 Rc8 11 b3 a6 12 Rad1 Qc7 13 Rdel Qbs 14 f3 Nxd4 15 Bxd4 b5 16 Bxf6 ext6 17 Na5 Efer 18 cxtes axt5 19 Rxe8 bxc8 20 Qa5 Ed7 21 Rel f5 22 Re7 Bd4+ 23 Wh1 (id8 24 Bxb5 Exb5 25 Qxb5 fxe4 26 fxe4 Rxe4 27 Qf1 Qe8 28 Rcl Qe5 29 Nb 4 Rh4 30 h3 Qg3 31 Nd 3 d5 32 Re2 g5 33 Qf5 Rf4: 34 Qc8+ Kg; 35 Nf2 Rxf2 36 Rxf2 Oxf2 37 RC1 Qe3 38 Qf1 Bes 39 b4 Qf4 40 Qxf4 Bxf4 41 Kgl Be3+ $42 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Bd} 243$ a3 Kf 6 and black wor.

Final scores: J. Sarfati and M. Noble( $5 \frac{1}{2} / 7$ ) $1=$; G. Aldridge and D. Weegenaar (5) 3=; . Lloyd, D. Field and G. Sidnam( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ) $5=$ . McLaren, B. Walsh, S. Hart and R. Tak$\operatorname{har}(4) 8=$; A. Ker and C. Dowler (31. ) $12=$; . Hardman, G. Spencer-Smith, J. Stephenon and A. Parkinson (3) $14=$; S. East ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ ) 18; A. Langaon and P. G1en(2) 19=; C. Craig(1)21; D. McDonald (0) 22 .

The prizes were presented by Dr W. FairKurst IM, many times Scottish champion who now lives in Howick.
In his opinion the standard of play had een quite high and playing conditions
good
The interest displayed by the media was encouraging; however the newspapers mentionning the sponsor' it comes to and
to space 1 given to photographs but to reporting full results and details. Tharks must go to Tony Booth, Bruce Folal work as Mclarthy for the organisationfor providing free sallage lakeaways
or providing free sandwiches.


Mark Noble and wohnathon Sarfati Co-New zealand Junior Champions.

## COMING EVENTS

Tune 27: Unper Hutt 40-40 Tournament 5725 prize-money. Fncuiries to C. carson, 1 Riverlea Way, Totara Park, Ipper iut

June 23: North Island vs South Island match at lpper Hutt the day after the 40 40 Tournament.

June 26 and 27 at the Pakuranga Town Centre, Auckland: A chessathon to raise funds for telethon(the Year of the Disab led.). Players needed. Contact Mike Watson AR 565-695 evenings.

Early July: Charles Beiton Memorial Cournament at the Auckland Chess Centre. A 7-round swiss with a one hour per game time limit. Enquiries to the Editor
August 15 and 16
August 15 and 16: 5th Waitakere Trust Open, organised by the Waitemata Chess Club. \$650 prize-money, 5 -round swiss. Enquiries to the Editor

Early September: Winstones Tournament

## North Island Championships

BY THE EDITOR.
Congratulations must once again go to paul Garbett on winning his fourth North Island Championship.

The cournament, an eight round swiss held at the New Plymouth Girls' High School from May 18 to 23, attracted a good field of 54 players.
Among the leading lights were Paul, last year's co-winner Mark Levene, New Zealand Premier Reserve Champion Tony Dowden, and Aucklanders Ewen Green, Robert Smith, Peter Stuart and Peter Weir.

Like most tournaments, there were plenty of hard luck (and good luck!) stories during the eight rounds, and an interesting facet was the influence of the swiss pairing system,
Peter Weir in particular made good(if unintentional) use of the "swiss gambit" drawing in ruunds one and three, and thus emerging as a definite threat only as late as round six.
In comparison, l lost games late in the tournament to finish on five points But two of the three people I beat i of me and $I$ played an average rating of 1968(!), compared for instance with Peter Stuart(5 $5^{\frac{1}{2}}$ points) who faced opponents with an average rating of 1830 .
The organisation of the tournament was, as usual in New Plymouth, quite efficient and there were no major problems during the week's play.

A rule that rankled with smokers at first was that fire regulations prohibited smoking in the hall, but after the initial shock (and applause by some) everyone seemed to adapt to this quite quickly.
Smokers were invited to step outside when they wanted a puff, so that small clouds of pollution could often be see in the Eoyer and around the doorways; wise non-smokers stayed indoors.
Another problem as far as 1 an con cerned is the North Island schedule and horror of horrors!) three morning


Paul Garbett -- 1981 N.I. Champion.
rounds on the final three days(who can play chess at 9 o'clock in the morning?). A definite highlight was a North island gave the South Island contingent the chance to salvage some pride after a $4-0$ pasting by the North in the game proper at the Christchurch Congress.
Considering the South made up the rules and were the sole referees, they did very well to hold the North Island to a 4-all draw.
There were no broken limbs but I'm sure some players(including myself) are still. suffering from bruises.

Round one: Results were almost exactly as predicted by the swiss system, except that Peter Weir was hard-pressed to draw with John Hartley despite a rating difference of over 500 points.

Round two: Only eight players remained on full points after this round. Garbett Smith and Dowden won comfortably; Levene beat Allan Hurley after a long and difficult struggle; top seed Ewen Green drifted into a drawn ending against Paul Spiller while Peter Stuart tried hard but unsuccessfully to wear down Alan Johnston in a multiple piece ending.

Round three: Four players emerged with full points - Garbett, Levene, Smith and Dowden.
Garbett had little trouble beating Forella Foster, Levene ground out a slightly superior knight and rook ending against Whitlock, Smith bored Sarfati so much in the opening that he eventually chose
the wrong middle-game plan and Dowden grabbed and successfully held onto everything that Bates threw at him. Just behind the pace were Green and Peter Hawkes on $2 \frac{1}{2}$.
Peter Weir had his second draw, a perpetual check against Johnston while several pieces down; Stuart could only draw with Norton.

Round four: Tony Dowden emerged as a clear leader after Garbett disdained a draw offer in the better position but followed up with some serious errors in time trouble. Smith and Levene drew after a hard-fought 40 moves and Green beat Hawkes after surviving a dubious middlegame position.
Leading scores - Dowden 4 ;Green, Levene Guith $3 \frac{1}{2}$; Garbett, Stuart, Weir, Sarfati, Bates, Spiller, Love, Foster, Hurley 3.
Round five: Green played the draw offer gambit against Dowden after the latter achieved easy equality against Creen's favourite anti-Pirc system; Garbett could do no more than draw against levene despite gaining an enduring positional advantage from the opening. Sinith chose the wrong plan against Stuart after gaining a clear advantage and could only draw with an extra doubled pawn; weir broke into the leadiog group by beating Foster did lony Love with a win over Foneroy, Scores - Dowden 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Green, Levene, Smith,
Weir, Love 4; Garbett, Stuart, Sarfati, Spiller, Bates $3 \frac{1}{2}$

Round six: This round proved crucial for the major placings in the tournament Dowden and Levene drew quickly; Smith, after being up with the leaders all the wy, began a downslide by choosing the rong plan in a superior position against Weir, activating his opponent s bad bistop
d allows a counter-atack.
Against Hawkes Garbett demonstrated how to win despite blundering one s queen for draw with Love, and Stuart his fourth draw with Love,
against Spiller
Scores - Dowden and Weir 5; Garbett, evene, Green, Love 4 !

Round seven: Weir beat Dowden quickly with the white pieces - perhaps the latter was till shell-shocked after facing Garbet reen and Levene in successive rounds
Garbett gradually outplayed Love and

Levene tried in vain to win a knight and pawn ending a pawn up against Green. Stuart, Sarfati and Gibbons made a late run for the money with wins; Lynn also joined this group with a win over Cooper Smith suffered a second loss at the hands of Spiller after deliberately ahieving a French Defence-type position Without his bad light-squared bishor, only o find that the bishop was needed t slow up a vicious white attack beginning ith f5.
Scores - Weir 6; Garbett $5 \frac{1}{2}$; Creen Levene, Stuart, Dowden, Sarfati, Spiller, Gibbons, Lynn 5.

Round 8: Garbett comprehensively beat Weir to take his fourth North Island title. Dowden survived a "wild Bill Lynn" seat successful tournament herthess had a most successful tournament, to join weir and owden on six points. Stuart uncharacter s whily played an enterprising early white but could do no more than draw fter bon , Sarfati held Green to a craw fter a ystem. Smich puled himself up to some in of rook and three pawns against a rook and wo por ivin. Fenella Foster surprised by eating Allan Hurley surishing east respectable 5 ants. ton respectable the exchange Buy Lopez wich ended in the exchange Ruy Lopez which ended in

Conclusion: Paul Garbett was a deserving winner. He had the necessary piece of luck winner. He had the necessary piece of luck hoss to Dowd from better position round four. Otherwise, paul played stead ily al pul out to ily and pulled out the stops when be had $t$ deserved his success and must be regarded as one of New Zealand's most promising young players. He played the most proming youn players. He played the toughest field in Levene also deserved his success and in fact was unlucky to be headed by Garbett. He played probably the steadiest chess anyone, going through undefeated.
Peter Weir was unlucky to be pipped the post by Garbett; he needed only a draw in the final round to take the title. Weir took his chances when they came and saved several difficult positions.

He benefitted from the swiss system $\mathrm{u}^{+}$when he came to play the leaders in later rounds he showed his mettle.

## A selection of games:

P. Weir - T. Dowden, Pirc Defence 1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc3 g6 4 Be 2 Bg 75 h 4 c5 6 dxc5 Qa5 7 Qd3 Qxc5 8 Be3 Qc7 9 Rdl c6 10 f 4 a6 11 a3 $0-01216$
 Qh 18 Be2 22 ${ }_{1-0}$
P. Garbett - A. Love, Petroff Defence 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 d4 Nxe4 4 Bd3 d5 5 Nxe5 Nbd7 6 Bf4 Nxe5 7 dxe5 Nc5 8 Be2 Be7 $90-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 10$ Na2 Ne6 11 Bg3 f5 12 exf6 Bxf6 13 c3 d4 14 Ne4 dxc 315 bxc3 Qe7 16 Rel Kb8 17 Bf3 Rd8 18 Qe2 Rb8 19 Be4 Nf8 20 Nxf6 Oxe2 21. Bxe2 1-0
E. Green - P. Goffin, Pirc Defence 1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc 3 g 64 g 3 Bg 75 Bg 2 $0-06$ Nge2 c6 7 a4 Nbd7 $80-0$ e5 9 h3 Re8 10 Be3 exd4 11 Bxd4 Nf8 12 Qd2 d5 13 exd5 Nxd5 14 Nxd5 cxd5 15 Bxg7 Kxg7 Kg2 Rad8 20 c4 b6 21 Rad1 Ne6 22 h4 Oxa4 23 Qc3+ f6 24 Qf3 Qb4 25 h 5 Ng 526 h th Kxh6 27 Oxf6 1-0
W. Lynn - J. Sarfati, Queen's Pawn 1 d4 Nf6 2 Ne3 d5 3 e 4 Nxe4 4 Nxe4 dxe4 5 Bf 4 Bf 56 g 4 Bg 67 Bg 2 e6 8 Qe 2 Bd 6 $\begin{array}{lllllll}12 & \mathrm{Ne} 2 & 0-0 & 13 & \mathrm{Nf} 4 \mathrm{Nb4} & 14 & \mathrm{~h} 4 \\ \mathrm{f}\end{array} \mathrm{f} 15$ Nxe6 Rf6 16 d5 $5 \times \mathrm{fx} 417$ Nxc7 Rc8 18 d6 Rxf2 19 d7 Rd8 20 Ne6 Rxc2+ 21 Kb1 e3 22 h5 Rel+ 23 Kxcl Nxa24+ 0-1
G. Spain - R.W. Smith, French Defence 1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d5 3 Nd 2 c5 4 exd5 exd5 5 Ngf3 Nc6 6 dxc5 Bxc5 7 Nb3 Be7 8 Be3 Nf6 9 c3 0-0 10 Bd3 Bg4 11 h3 Bh5 12 g4 Bg6 13 Bxg6 hxg6 14 Qd3 Na5 15 0-0-0 Nxb3 16 axb3 Qa5 17 g5 Ne4 18 Qxd5 Qal+ 19 Kc2 Nxc3! 20 Qxb 7 ? Nxdl 21 Rxd1 Rac8+ 22 Kd2 Rfd8+ 23 Nd4 Qxb2+ $24 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Bc5} 25$ Qe4 Re8 26 Qd3 Bxd4 27 Qxd4 Qxb3 28 Qxa7 Rc2 29 Qd4 Qe6 30 Qa4 Ra2 31 Qd7 Qe4 32 Qd5 Qc2 33 Qd2 Qa4 34 Qd7 Rxe3+ 35 Kfl Qxd7 36 Rxd7 Ree2 37 Rd8+ Kh7 0-1

Qa4+ Bd7 10 Oc2 $0-011$ e3 Ne6 12 Ncb5 2613 Nxc6 Bxc6 14 Nd 4 Bb 715 Bd3 e5 16 No3 Bxg2 17 Rel Bf3 18 Be2 Bxe2 19 Kxe2 b5 20 Na 5 Rc 821 Rgdl bxc4 22 Bb 4 Ob6 23 a3 Ríd8 24 Racl 0 b 525 Kel e 4 26 2 37 Nc4 d5 28 Nd6 Rxd6 29 Bxd6 Na 70 b4 Be5 31 Bc5 Nxc5 32 bxc5 Rxc5 33 Rbl 2c6 $34 \mathrm{Rdc} 1 \mathrm{Bxh} 235 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 3+36$ Kfl Of6 37 Qg2 Bxf4 38 exf4 $0 x f 4+39$ Of 2 $0 x f 2+40$ Kxf2 d4 $0-1$
P. Garbett - P. Weir, Sicilian Pelikan 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Ne3 e5 6 Ndb 5 d 67 a4 a6 8 Na 3 Be6 9 Bg5 Be7 10 Bxf6 gxf6 11 Bc4 Rc8 1.2 0-0
 Kd7 17 Rfdl Rb 818 Rd 30 g 819 Nxe 7 Kxe 7 20 Bxe6 fxe6 21 Radl d5 22 exd5 e4 23 3d2 f3 24 g3 exd5 25 Rxd5 Qe6 26 Nc4 Rbd8 27 Qg5t $1-0$
F. Foster - A. Hurley, English 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 g3 d6 4 Bg 2 g 65 d 4 cxd4 $6 \mathrm{Nxd} 4 \mathrm{Nbd} 77 \mathrm{O}^{-0} \mathrm{Bg} 78$ b4 0-0 9 Bb2 аб 10 Nbd2 e5 11 N4b3 Re8 12 Qc2 Ra7 13 Na5 Oc7 14 Racl Bf8 15 a3 Nb8 16 Nab3 6617 Rfdl Qe7 18 e 4 Rc7 19 Qd3 Bh6 20 Rc2 Bb7 21 a4 Rdc8 22 Ba3 Qe6 23 c5 bxc 5 24 bxc5 d5 25 exd5 Bxd5 26 Bxd5 Qxd5 27 Qxd5 Nxd5 28 Ne4 Ne7 29 Rc3 f5 30 Nd6 Rd7 31 f 3 Rcd 832 Kf 2 Nbc 633 Ke 2 Bg 7 34 Rcd3 Nd4+ 35 Nxd4 exd4 36 R3b3 Na $37 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Re} 7+38 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 339 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 740$ Bxd4 Bxd4 41 Rxd4 f4 42 gxf4 Nc2 43 Re4 Nal 44 Rb6 Kf8 $45 \mathrm{Rc} 3 \mathrm{a} 546 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Re} 7+$ 47 Ne4 Rd4 48 c6 Rc7 49 Rb7 Rxb7 50 cxb 7 1-0
P. Weir - A. Johnston, French Defence 1 e4 e6 $2 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{~d} 53 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{~N} f 64$ exd5 exd5 5 Nf3 Be7 6 Be2 0-0 7 0-0 Re8 8 d4 Bd6 9 Nbd2 Bg4 10 c4 c6 11 Ne5 Bxe2 12 Qxe2 Nfd7 $13 \mathrm{I4}$ f6 14 QhS ixe5 15 fxe5 g6 16 Qh6 Be7 17 Rf7: Kxf7 18 Qxh7+ Ke6 19 Qh3Kf7 20 Qh $7+$ Ke6 $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$
M. Schwass - C. 01dridge, Closed Sicilian 1 e4 c5 2 Nc 3 Nc 63 g 3 g 64 Bg 2 Bg 75 f 4 e6 6 d3 d6 7 Nf3 Nge 78 Be3 Nd4 9 0-0 $0-010 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{~N} 7 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{ll}$ a3 a5 12 Ne 2 Rb 813 c3 Nxe2+ 14 Qxe2 b5 15 Nb 4 Bd 716 f5 b4 17 axb4 axb4 18 c4 3 u 419 Kh 1 Bxe3 20 Qxe 3 Nd 421 f6 Khy 22 Qhe Rg8 23 Nf3 Qf8 24 Ng 5 : 1-0

BURROUGHS COMPUTERS NORTH ISLAND CH' P

| New | Plymouth, 18-23 | May 1981 | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | R. 8 | T'1 | Sos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garbett P.A. | NS | W45 | W15 | W14 | L2 | D3 | W24 | W11 | W4 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 2 | Dowden R.A. | 0 | W37 | W26 | W13 | W1 | D5 | D3 | 1.4 | W17 | 6 | 41.5 |
| 3 | Levene M. | ${ }^{\text {AU }}$ | W33 | W25 | W20 | D9 | D1 | D2 | D5 | W10 | 6 | 40.5 |
| 4 | Weir P.B. | NS | D35 | W19 | D12 | W39 | W14 | w9 | W2 | L. 1 | 6 | 38.5 |
| 5 | Green E.M. | HP | W30 | D10 | W18 | W24 | D2 | D11 | D3 | D7 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 40 |
| 6 | Stuart P.W. | NS | W43 | D12 | D16 | W42 | D9 | D10 | W15 | D8 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 36.5 |
| 7 | Sarfati J. | w | W46 | W38 | L9 | W17 | D19 | D13 | W28 | D5 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 35 |
| 8 | Gibbons R.E. | A | W40 | L9 | L. 42 | W32 | W36 | W20 | W13 | D6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 33.5 |
| 9 | Smith R.W. | Wai | W28 | W8 | W7 | D3 | D6 | I. 4 | L10 | W24 | 5 | 41.5 |
| 10 | Spiller P.S. | $H^{\prime}$ | W52 | D5 | D11 | W26 | D13 | D6 | W9 | L 3 | 5 | 39 |
| 11 | Love A.J. | OU | W39 | D18 | D10 | W22 | W25 | D5 | L1 | D12 | 5 | 38 |
| 12 | Johnston A. | HP | W47 | D6 | D4 | D15 | D21 | D18 | W22 | D11 | 5 | 37.5 |
| 13 | Bates G. | CU | W50 | 1017 | 1.2 | W20 | D10 | D7 | L8 | W26 | 5 | 37.5 |
| 14 | Foster F. | Pen | W54 | W23 | L1 | W21 | 1.4 | L15 | W27 | W25 | 5 | 36 |
| 15 | Van Dijk P. | Nel | W41 | L1 | 1.51 | D12 | D18 | W14 | L6 | 428 | 5 | 35.5 |
| 16 | Norton W. | $c$ | 0.49 | D27 | D6 | D19 | L24 | W21 | D23 | W29 | 5 | 33.5 |
| 17 | Lynn K.W. | Ham | W55 | L13 | 1 W 4 | 17 | W39 | W25 | W19 | L2 | 5 | 31 |
| 18 | Goffin P.B. | A | W32 | D11 | L5 | W35 | D15 | D12 | D24 | D23 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 36.5 |
| 19 | Cooper D.J. | PN | W51 | L4 | W41 | D16 | D7 | W40 | L17 | D20 | 4 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 34 |
| 20 | Whitlock H.P. | Wan | W53 | [121 | L3 | L13 | W42 | L8 | W4 1 | D19 | 41/2 | 33.5 |
| 21 | Van Dijk T. | NeI | W44 | L20 | H29 | 1.14 | D12 | L16 | W46 | W35 | 4 $1 / 2$ | 32.5 |
| 22 | Pomeroy D.M. | $A U$ | L27 | W47 | W43 | L11 | W30 | D23 | L12 | W36 | 4 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 31.5 |
| 23 | Notley D. | $A U$ | W42 | L14 | D40 | D36 | W41 | D22 | D16 | D18 | 4 12 | 31 |
| 24 | Hawkes P.D. | Civ | D31 | W36 | W27 | L5 | W16 | L1 | D18 | L9 | , | 38 |
| 25 | Hurley A. | UH | W48 | 1.3 | W46 | V27 | L. 11 | L17 | W37 | L14 | 4 | 33.5 |
| 26 | Adams D.T. | NS | W34 | L2 | W50 | L10 | L40 | W32 | W39 | L13 | 4 | 32 |
| 27 | Lynch D.I. | Has | W22 | D16 | L24 | L25 | W50 | D31 | L14 | H39 | 4 | 31.5 |
| 28 | Spain G. | wpa | 19 | L41 | W53 | W48 | W43 | W29 | L7 | L15 | 4 | 30 |
| 29 | Frankel Z . | UH | D36 | W31 | L21 | D40 | W46 | L28 | W4 3 | L16 | 4 | 29.5 |
| 30 | Okey K.M. | $N P$ | L5 | W35 | L39 | W34 | L22 | L41 | W50 | W42 | 4 | 28.5 |
| 31 | Hames A. | $A U$ | D24 | L29 | W33 | D50 | D35 | D27 | D42 | D37 |  | 28 |
| 32 | Watson M.J. | A | L18 | L50 | W37 | L 8 | W49 | L26 | 048 | W4 3 | 4 | 27.5 |
| 33 | Stanton A. | $w$ | L3 | D52 | L31 | D38 | D47 | D50 | W45 | W41 | 4 | 26 |
| 34 | Calder R. | Ham | L26 | L 39 | W47 | L30 | W45 | L43 | 1244 | W49 | 4 | 25 |
| 35 | Hartley J. | UH | D4 | L30 | W52 | L18 | D31 | D36 | W38 | L21 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 32 |
| 36 | Moratti S.C. | $A U$ | D29 | L24* | W38 | D23 | L. 8 | D35 | W51 | L22 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 31.5 |
| 37 | Billing J. | NP | L2 | L40 | L32 | W54 | W48 | W44 | L25 | D31 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 28 |
| 38 | Schwass M. | Civ | Bye | L7 | L36 | D33 | W5 I | L39 | L35 | 1.46 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24 |
| 39 | Hull K.J. | $N P$ | L. 11 | W34 | W30 | 14 | L. 17 | W38 | L26 | L27 | 3 | 35.5 |
| 40 | Galu M. | $N P$ | L8 | W37 | D23 | D29 | W26 | L19 | - | - | 3 | 34.5 |
| 41 | Wood R. | PN | 1.15 | W28 | L. 19 | W45 | L23 | V30 | L20 | L33 | 3 | 33.5 |
| 42 | Bennett P.E. | UH | L23 | W53 | w8 | L6 | L20 | D46 | D31 | L30 | 3 | 32.5 |
| 43 | Boyce D.A.L. | Ne1 | I. 6 | W48 | L22 | W51 | L28 | W34 | L29 | L32 | 3 | 30.5 |
| 44 | Ferguson R. | UH | L2 1 | W49 | L17 | L46 | W52 | L37 | L34 | W51 | 3 | 26 |
| 45 | Mancewicz S. | $N P$ | L1 | L51 | W54 | L41 | 134 | W52 | L33 | W50 | 3 | 25 |

46-49 N.A. Bradley (NS), D. Walker (NP), W. Ramsay (HV), M. Dunwoody (Wpa) $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 50-53 M.K. Morrison(A), C.B. Oldridge(NP), R. Esau(NP), W. Stokell(NP) 2; 54 A. Crawford (NP) $1 \frac{1}{2}$.

ABBREVIATIOAS: A = Auckland Centre; AU = Auckland !!niversity; C = Canterbury; Civ = Civic; Ham = Hamilton; HP = Howick-Pakuranga; HV = Hutt Valley; NS = North Shore; $0=0$ tago; $0 U=$ Otago University; Nel = Nelson; Has = Hastincs; Pen = Pencarrow; CU = Canterbury University; PN = Palmerston North; NP = New Plymouth; W = Wellington; Wai = Waitemata; Wpa = Waipa; UH= Upper Hutt

## PANEL POSERS

In which readers questions are answered
THE MEMBERS OF THIS COLUMN AFE: C. Sarapu IM, MBE; V. Smalt, E. Green, R.W. Smith, $P$. Stuart and P. Weir. All have represented New Zealand at international level.

Gordon Hoskyn, of Wanganui writes: "The following zositicn(see below, left) occured in a game played between M. Chandler : white (the eventual winner of the tournament) and C. Hoskyn, black(the runner-up) in
 the New Zealand Correspondence Chess Association trophy tournament grade two, in the 1974 to 75 season.

After white's 39 th move, he offered a draw,
which was accepted.
"Instead of taking the draw, black could have
played $39 . . . Q c 1$ and thert, depending on white's reply, either ...Qf4 or ...Qg5.
"By then the end of the season would have come around and the game could have been sent in for adjudication. 'Questions - Does black have a small advantage? If so, would it be enough for a win by adjudication?

How might the game proceed?"
Ewen Green replies: "With adjudication only a move or two away, the game is probably a draw. At the moment it is just a winning draw for black. Your $39 .$. Qcl seems very drawish after 40 Rdl! e.g. $40 \ldots$ Qf4 41 Rf1 Qd4 42 Rdl etc.

Better seems $39 \ldots$...Qa8+(interposing 39 ... Qall 40 Rdl he1ps white get his rook behind his queen on the g-file) 40 Qg 2 (if $40 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{e}^{4}$ and black gets his queen to $e 5$ with very good chances) 40 ... 4 and now 41 Rdl : seems to hold for white, planning Rgl. I haven't found anything better than 41 ...Qc6 42 Rgl Nh 543 Qg 4 e3+ $44 \mathrm{Kh} 2(44 \mathrm{Rg} 2$ ? e2: -+ or $44 \mathrm{Qg} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 3+-+$ ) and black seems forced to take the perpetual on d 5 and $\mathrm{d} 6 . "$

Gabor Sareczky, of West Auckland, is interested in the following opening gambit: Alekhine's Defence - 1 e 4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d 44 Bc4 Nb 5 e6!? (see position below). He simply asks "Is this sound?"


Peter Weir replies: "Even in its best form this line is unsatisfactory for white. Black can coldbloodedly play 5... fxe6 followed by g 6 , Bg 7 and $0-0$, which is made easier by white having to play Bb 3 .
If black accepts the offer of the bishop by 5...Nxc4 white, by playing 6 exf $7+\mathrm{Kxf} 77$ Qf $3+\mathrm{Ke} 88$ Qh5+ 869 QibS Bd7 10 Qxc4, can recover the piece but at some cost to his develop-
ment.
Black has no problems here and can play Nc6, Bg 7 , e6, with the idea of Qe7-f6 and Kd7."

Peter Weir would like information on a German or Austrian chess-player called Ahues.
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## OVERSEAS NEWS

WOMEN'S CANDIDATES FINAL: Nana Aleksandria defeated Nana Ioseliani by $6 \frac{1}{2}: 2 \frac{1}{2}$ to earn her second crack at the Women's Worid Championship. Like Hubner in the Men's final against Korchnoi, Ioseliani blundered a rook - in this
the scores were tied $1: 1$.
The World Championship match is expected to be held in Tbilisi where both players are currently living - probably players are currently living - probab
in September-October. It would be a in September-October. It would be a
great surprise if Aleksandria can imgreat surprise if Aleksandria can vili in 1975.

MEN'S WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP: The venue for this match will be Merano in northern Italy (the site of the Candidates final) and the match is due to begin early in September.
of the three possible venues, World Champion Karpov chose on1y Las Palmas while Korchnoi picked Merano as his first choice and Reykjavik as his second. FIDE President Olafsson then visited both Las Palmas and Merano but was unable to find any decisive factor in either's favour so eventually lots were drawn.

The Chief Arbiter for the match will be Ecuador's Paul Klein and his deputies will be Gertrude Wagner (Austria) and Gudmundur Arnlaugsson (Iceland)

Apart from the recent Candidates
final, Merano has, in the past, staged grandmaster tournaments, the most
notable being in 1924 (1 Grunfeld 101/2/ 13; 2 Spielmann $8 \frac{1}{2}$; 3 Rubinstein 8) and 1926 (1 Colle 9/13, 2-4 Canal, Przep1orka \& piel 8; 7-8 Grinfeld \& Tartakower $7 \frac{1}{2}$ )

BULGARIAN CHAMPIONSHIP 1981: 1-2 GM Radulov \& IM Inkiov 11/16; 3 IM Georgiev, IM Velikov \& GM Spiridonov 10; 6-7 GM Spassov \& IM L.Popov 91/2 .... 17 players. The four-game play-off was played immediately afterward and Radulov won the only decisive game to take the title.

The BRITISH CHAMPIONSHIP PLAY-OFF was played early in the New Year and
saw GM John Nunn defeat IM Hartston by $3 \frac{1}{2}: 2 \frac{1}{2}$. Nunn won the fourth game and this proved to be decisive:
NUNN - HARTSTON, Taimanov Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nc6 5 Nc3 a6 6 Be2 Nge7 7 Bf4 Ng6 8 Nxc6 bxc6 9 Bd6 Bxd6 10 Qxd6 Qe7 11 0-0-0 Qxd6 12 Rxd6 Ke7 13 Rhdl $\mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 7 \quad 15 \mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 16 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 6$ 17 Bh5 d5 18 Rel Kf6 19 f4 gxf4 20 gxf4 Rd8 21 f5 Nf4 22 e5+ Kxf5 23 Rf2 f6 24 exf6 e5 25 Ne2 Kxf6 26 Nxf4 exf4 $27 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 28$ Rxf4 c5 29 Be8 Rd6 30 b3 Rc7 $31 \operatorname{Re5}$ c4 $32 \mathrm{Rg} 5+$ Kh6 33 Rf8 cxb3 34 axb3 Bd7 35 Rh5 + $\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 36 \mathrm{Rf} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 37 \mathrm{Rg} 5+, 1: 0$.

193 MOVE GAME! The game Stepak-Mashian in the 1980 Israeli Chamionship semifinals lasted 193 moves (a new world record) - six sessions, a total of just over 24 hours play. The decisive mistake by Mashian came on move 186 !

OBITUARIES: Three prominent players of the past died recently.

Dr Petar Trifunovic (1910-1980) was the strongest Yugoslav chessplayer for some years until Gligoric took over in the 1950s. Trifunovic was a very conservative player who was almost impossible to beat in his prime. His style, however, probably precluded his gaining greater success. Grandmaster Tri funovic died on 9 December 1980
Esteban Canal died on 14 February 1981 at the age of 84. Born in Peru, Canal moved to Italy during his twen ties and has lived there ever since. He gained his greatest successes in the 1920s and 1930s but received only the IM title when FIDE introduced the international titles in 1950. This situation was remedied in 1977 when Canal, Carlos Torre, Julio Bolbochan and Milic all gained the GM title retrospectively.

Edward Lasker died in New York on 25 March 1981 at the age of 95 . Like his namesake Dr Emanuel (no relation) Lasker, Edward was born in Germany but was orking in England when the First World War broke out and he later emigrated to America becoming a U.S. citizen in 1921 He was awarded the IM title in ig an continued to play chess until years. Probably most of our readers
are familiar with the combination in the game which follows but such a brilliancy bears repeating. This was Lasker's first game in England and his opponent, George Thomas, later became England's leading player
LASKER - THOMAS, Dutch Defence
$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f5} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 63$ Nf3 e6 4 Bg 5 $\mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 5$ Bxf6 Bxf6 6 e4 fxe4 7 Nxe4 b6 $8 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \quad 0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 10$ Qh5 Qe7


11 Qxh7+!! Kxh7 Neg4t Kg Kh $14 \mathrm{~h} 4+$ Kf4 $15 \mathrm{~g} 3+\mathrm{Kf} 3$ $16 \mathrm{Be} 2+\mathrm{Kg} 2 \quad 17$ $\mathrm{Rh} 2+\mathrm{Kg} 1 \quad 18 \mathrm{Kd} 2$ mate!

POLISH CHAMPIONSHIP, Jan-Feb: $1-2 \mathrm{GM}$ chmidt \& IM Sznapik 10/15; 3 IM Pokojowczyk 9; 4-6 IM Bielczyk, GM Kuligow ski \& Panczyk $8 \frac{1}{2} \ldots 16$ players

Schmidt and Sznapik will play a match to decide the title winner

YUGOSLAV CHAMPIONSHIP, Feb-Mar: 1. GM vanovic $12^{\frac{1}{2} / 19 ;} 2$ GM Velimirovic 12; 3-4 IM Cebalo \& GM Rajkovic $11 \frac{1}{2}$; 5-8 IM Rukavina, GM Ivkov, GM Hulak \& GM Bukic 11 .... 20 players.

The first seven qualified to represent Yugoslavia in the 1981 Zonals. The surprise, in this respect, was the abence of Yugoslavia's top player, GM jubojevic, together with Gligoric, atanovic, Matulovic, Kurajica and Maranovic. Ljubojevic is reportedly not ments

The new champion, Bozidar Ivanovic, also won the brilliancy prize for the following game

VUKIC - IVANOVIC, Benoni Defence: 1 d4 c5 2 d5 e5 3 e4 d6 4 Nc3 ab a4 Be7 6 f4 exf4 7 Bxf4 Bg5 8 Qd2 Bxf4 9 Qxf4 Ne7 10 Nf 3 Ng 611 Qg 3 0-0 12 h4 f6 13 h5 Ne5 14 Be2 Nf7 15 a5 Nd7 16 0-0 Nde5 17 Nd2 Bd7 18 b3 b5 19 axb6 ep a5 20 Ra2 Qxb6 21 Khl Kh8 22 Rfal f5 23 exf5 Nh6 24 f6 Rxf6 25 Nce 4 Rff8 26 Qc3 Qd8 27 Kg Nf5


Second-round matches in the 1981 EUROPEAN CLUB CUP saw the following results: Slavia Sofia $7 \frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{MTV}$-VMSK Budapest $4 \frac{1}{2}$; Tel Aviv University Sports Club beat Maraton Warsaw who withdrew; Sporting Club de Portugal Lisbon 6, KGSK Ruy Lopez Ghent 6 (Lisbon won on board count); Partizan Belgrade $8^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Nordre Skakklub Aarhus $3 \frac{1}{2}$; SSK Hel.sinki 7, Strasbourg 5; King's Head London $5 \frac{1}{2}$, Spartacus Budapest 61 $\frac{1}{2}$; SG Porz Cologne 6 $\frac{1}{2}$, Avangard Kiev 5 $\frac{1}{2}$; Burevestnik Moscow beat Banco di Roma who withdrew.

The quarter-final draw is: Burevest nik v Cologne, Spartacus Budapest v SSK Helsinki, Slavia Sofia v Partizan Belgrade, Tel Aviv University v Lisbon

SARAJEVO: 1 IM Psachis (USSR) 11/15 GM Uh1mann (DDR) 10 ; 3 GM Kurajica (YUG) $9 \frac{1}{2}$; 4-6 GM Jansa (CZ), GM Cson (YUG) 912; 4-6 GM Jansa (CZ), GM Cson players.

MOSCOW: For the first time Anatoly Karpov and Garry Kasparov met over the board in a tournament. The occasion was a training tournanent in Moscow, a double round event with four somewhat artificially selected teams. The "A" team comprised Karpov, Spassky, Polugaevsky, Petrosian, Tal, Belyavsky, Balashov \& Geller. In the "B" team were Romanishin, Tseshkovsky, Vaganian, Kuzmin, Kupreichik, Rashkovsky, Georgadze \& Makarichev while the veterans comprised Smyslov, Bronstein, Taimanov, Vasiukov, Averbakh, Bagirov, Gufeld \& Suetin. Finally, the youth tean had Kasparov, Jusupov, Psachis, Dolmatov, Kochiev, Mihailcishin, Lputyan $\&$ Chiburdanidze.

The scores: "A" team $28 \frac{1}{2}$, Youth $23 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ Veterans 23, "B" team 22. Only a heavy
$1 \frac{1}{2}: 6 \frac{1}{2}$ defeat by the Youth team prevented the Veterans from taking a convincing second place.

On top board Kasparov scored $4 / 6$ while Karpov could manage 'only' $3 \frac{1}{2} / 6$ The World Champion beat Romanishin and drew the rest; Kasparov defeated Smyslov (twice) and Romanishin but lost the return against the latter. Most eagerly awaited were the two Karpov v Kasparov clashes. Here is one of them:
KASPAROV - KARPOV, Petroff Defence: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4 5 d4 Be7 6 Bd3 d5 7 0-0 Nc6 8 Rel Bf5 9 Nbd2 Nxd2 10 Qxd2 Bxd3 11 Qxd3 0-0 12 c3 od7 13 Bf4 a6 14 Re Rae8 15 Rael Bd8 16 h 3 Rxe3 17 Rxe3 f6 18 Re2 Rf7 $19 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nf} 1 \mathrm{Bf} 8$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrr}\mathrm{f6} & 18 & \mathrm{Re} 2 & \mathrm{Rf} 7 & 19 & \mathrm{Nd} 2 \\ 21 & \mathrm{Qf} 3 & \mathrm{Re} 7 & 22 & \mathrm{Ne} 3 & \mathrm{Nd} 8\end{array}$


23 Bxc7 Qxc7 24 Nxd5 Qd6 25 Nxe7+ Bxe7 26 Qe4 Bf8 27 Qe8 g6 28 a4 Kg7 29 b4 Qc7 30 Re3 Nf7 31 Qe6 Qd8 $\quad 32$ a5 h5 $\quad 33$ Qe4 Qd7 34 Qe6 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Qd8 } & 35 \mathrm{Kfl} & \mathrm{Nh} 6 \\ 36 & \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 4 & 37 \mathrm{hxg} 4\end{array}$ Nf7 $38 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \quad 39 \mathrm{Qb} 6$ Qd7 40 Kd 3 Bd 6 $41 \mathrm{Kc} 2, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

New Soviet champion Psachis won brilliantly against Taimanov:
TAIMANOV - PSACHIS, Nimzowitsch Attack: 1 Nf3 c5 2 b3 b6 3 Bb2 Bb7 4 e3 Nf6 $5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \quad 0-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{c} 4$ e6 9 dxc5 bxc5 10 Nc3 Qe7 11 Qd2 Rd8 12

 dxe3 19 c6 exf2+ 20 Kfl Bxc6 21 Qxc6 Bxcl 22 Oxc1 Ndf6 23 Nc3 Rxd $1+24$ Nxdl Ng4 25 Qf4 Re8 26 Ne5 Qf6 27 g3 Nxh2+ 28 Kg 2 Qxf4 29 gxf4 Rd8 30 Nxf2 Rd2 31 Nxe4 Rxe2+ 32 Nf2 Rxb2 33 Ned3 Rxa2 34 Kxh2 a5 35 Kg 3 a 4, 0 : 1 .

In BRASILIA, 14-25 March, three GMs from overseas were matched against three local players. Understandably the three GMs took the top three places, their actual placings being decided by the number of times they drew with a Brazilian opponent - Ljubojevic conceded one such draw, Browne two and Andersson three. Browne and Andersson each won one of their two mutual encounters, otherwise all the GM games were drawn.

The final scores: 1 GM Ljubojevic (YUG) $7 \frac{1}{2} ; 2$ GM Browne (USA) 7; 3 GM Andersson (SWE) 6 $\frac{1}{2}$; 4-5 IM Sunye \& Filguth 4; 6 Lucena 1
BROWNE - SUNYE, Queen's Indian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 a3 c5 5 d5 Ba6 6 Qc2 Qe7 7 Bg5 h6 8 Bxf6 Qxf6 9 e4 Bd6 10 Bd3 Be5 11 Nxe5 Qxe5 12 0-0 $0-0 \quad 13 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 14$ Rael
 18 cxd5 Nd7 19 Bc4 a6 20 a4 Re8 21
b3 Re7


22 e5 Nf8 23 f5 dxe5 24 f 6 gxf6 25 Qxh6 b5
$26 \mathrm{Nh} 4 \mathrm{Bc} 8 \quad 27 \mathrm{Re} 3$ 26 Nh4 Bc8 27 Re Ng6 28 Nxg6 fxg6 dxe7 Qxe7 31 Rg 3 dxe 7 Qx
$1: 0$.

SUNYE - FILGUTH, Nimzoindian Defence: c4 Nf6 2 d 4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 Bg 5 Bb 7 5 Nc 3 Bb 46 e 3 h 67 Bh 4 g 58 Bg 3 Ne Qc2 Bxc3+ 10 bxc3 d6 11 Bd3 f5 12 d5 exd5 13 Nd4 Qf6 14 cxd5 Bxd5 15 $f 3$ Nxg3 16 hxg3 Nd7 17 Bxf5 0-0-0 18 Qa4 Rhe8 19 Kf2 Re7 20 Qxa7 Re5 21 4 Bxf3 22 Ne6 Bb7 23 Nxd8 Qxd8 24 Qa4 b5 25 Qa7 Qf6 26 Kg1 Rxf5 27 gxf5 Nc5 28 Qa5 Qe5 29 Rxh6 Qxe3+ 30 Kh1 Bxg2+ $31 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 2+32 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Qg} 4+$ 33 KfI Qf3+ $34 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Qg} 3+35 \mathrm{Kdl}$ Qd3 $36 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Qg} 3+37 \mathrm{Kdl} \mathrm{Qd} 3+38 \mathrm{Kcl} \mathrm{Nb} 3+$ 39 axb3 Qe3+ $40 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Qf} 2+$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

LUGANO, 6-15 March (6th International Open): 1 GM Kurajica (YUG) 71/2/9;
 (ISR) 7零; 4-11 Bartelborth (BRD), GM Bellon (SP), IM Ilic (YUG), Martin (SP) M Soos ( $B R D$ ), GM Alburt (USA), IM Joksic (YUG) \& IM Birnboim (ISR) 7.

HELSINKI, March: 1-2 GM Matulovic (YUG) \& GM Nunn (ENG) 8/11; 3 GM Rantanen (FIN) 7 $\frac{1}{2}$; 4 GM Farago (HUN) 7; 5 IM Sznapik (POL) 6年; 6 GM Schmidt (POL) 6; 7 IM Karlsson (SWE) 5; 8istoja (FIN) \& Raaste (FIN) 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 10 1 Hurme (FIN) $3 \frac{1}{2}$, 11 BINham (FIN) 3 2 Turunen (FIN) 2 $\frac{1}{2}$.

No new GM or IM norms were gained ut both Ristoja and Raaste made th necessary $4 \frac{1}{2}$ points for an FM norm

## EASTER ROUND the COUNTRY

In Auckland the annual Easter Open was held as usual at the Auckland Chess Centre Nigel Metge reports:

The tournament attracted a small but strong field.

It was pleasing to see Paul Garbett return to the chess scene after two years study overseas. Pat Kelly too, was making a comeback.
Unfortunately Geoff Edmonds had to withdraw after the second round due to a car accident, but he has made a good recovery
The administration of the tournament was not up to scratch, largely because the D. 0 (yours truly) was trying to play as well as direct.
As to the play, Peter Green clearly deserved his first place, ahead of Kess Dekker and Bruce Watson
He played confidently and strongly throughout, often winning by persistent endgame play; to be sure Peter had some luck, especially against Dekker and Metge Paul Garbett ( $4 \frac{1}{2} / 7$ ) and Pat Kelly ( $4^{\frac{1}{2}}$ were obviously rusty and Jon Jackson failed to find form.
Peter Weir ( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ) and Lindsay Cornford ( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ) played their usual exciting type of chess, sometimes with notable success.

Major placings: P. Green( $6_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2} / 7$ ) $1, K$. Dekker(6) 2, B. Watson(5) 3
Grade prizes: Grade one - R. Taylor and D. Pomeroy $1=$, Grade two - K. Burgess 1 .

## Selected games:

P. Green - P. Garbett, Queen's Indian 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 b6 4 Nc3 Bb7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bxf6 Qxf6 7 e4 Bb4 8 Bd3 Bxe $3+9$ bxe 3
 Nc6 14 d5 Na5 15 Nd 2 Ba 616 f 4 Rfe 817 Rfel Rab8 18 Rabl Rb7 19 Kf2 Kf8 20 Re3 Rbe7 21 Rbel g6 $22 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 723 \mathrm{Kf3}$ e $5 \quad 24 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Rb} 725$ Rbl Reb8 26 R3el Kf6 27 h 4 gxf5 28 exf5 b5 $29 \mathrm{~g} 5+\mathrm{hxg} 530 \mathrm{hxg} 5+\mathrm{Ke} 731 \mathrm{f} 6+\mathrm{Kd7} 32 \mathrm{cxb} 5$
 Rbh 1 Nc4 $37 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Ba} 438 \mathrm{Rh} 8 \mathrm{Bd} \mathbf{1}+39 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Rxh} 8$ Rxh8+ Kc7 41 Rc8+ Kb6 42 Rc6 6 Kb 43 Nxd6 +

Nxd6 44 Rxd6 Rb8 45 g6 fxg6 46 Bxg6 Kc4 47 f7 Bat 48 Kf 3 Rf 349 Ke3 a5 50 Ref Kxd5 51 Re8 Bxe8 52 fxe8/Q Rxe8 53 Bxe8 Kc4 54 Kd2 Kd5 55 Bg6 e4 56 Rf7+ Ke5 57 Ke3 a4 58 a3 I-0
S. Van Dam - B. Watson, Sicilian Defence 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Ne6 5 Be2 2666000 O 77 Be 3 Nf6 8 Ne 3 Bb4 9 f3 0-0 10 Od2 d5 11 Nxe6 bxc6 12 Bg5 Qa7t 13 Kh1 d4 14 Bxf6 gxfe 15 Qh6 dxc 316 e5! Exe5 $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$
P. Kelly - R. Taylor, Queen's Pawn. 1 d4 d5 2 Nf 3 g6 3 g3 Bg7 4 Bg2 Nf6 5 0-0
 f6 10 Nxg4 Bxg 4 Il h3 BxF3 12 Bxf3 cxd4 f5 17 Bu8 0 ab 18 Racl Ne5 19 Qc2 Qe5 20 Qc4 Kh8 21 Qd5 Qf6 22 b4 Nat 23 Qd7 200
$1-0$

In Wellington David Beach won the Civic Easter 'Tournament with a perfect score of even straight wins
He was followed by A. Feneridis ( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) 2 Carpinter (4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ) 3
The B grade was won by Andrew Boughen and Russell Dive with 6/7, followed by S. Brown on $4^{\frac{1}{2}}$

C grade winner was Trevor Hughes with 5/7. Then came P. Skurr, M. Schwass, M. Small all on $4 \frac{1}{2}$

Two games from the tournament winner
D. Beach - B. Carpinter, Pirc Defence e4 d6 2 d4 Nf. 63 Nc3 g6 4 Bg5 c6 5 f4 b5 6 e5 dxe5 7 fxe5 Nd5 8 Nf3 Na3 9 bxc 3 Be6 10 a 4 bxa4 11 Rxa4 Nd7 12 Bd3 Bg7 13 0-0 0-9 14 Qal f6(If $14 \ldots$...h6 15 Bh4 g 516 Bxg5 Tith a winning attack) 15 exf6 exf6 16 Bf4 Rf7 17 Rxa7 Rxa7 18 Oxa7 Ne5 19 Qab Nxd3 20 cxd3 Bd5 21 Rb 1 Od7 $22 \mathrm{Rb} 8+\mathrm{Bf} 8$ (If 22 ff 823 Rb7 and 24 Qa 7 wins) 23 Bh6 Bf 3 (If 3...Oe7 24 Qa8 Bxf3 25 Res wins) 24 Qa3 1-0
D. Beach - F. Foster, Sicilian

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 e6 4 0-0 Nf6 5 e5 Nd5 6 Nc3 Be7 7 Bxc6 dxc6 8 d3 $0-09$ Ne4 b6 10 Rel Ba6 11 b 3 b5 12 a4 Qb6 13 c4! Nb4 14 Nfg5 h6 15 Nf6t! gxf6(If 15...Bxf6 16 exf6 hxgs 17 fxg 7 Kxg 718 Qh5 wins) 16 Ne4 fxe5(If i6...f5 17 Bh6 followed by 18 Qh5, 19 Re 3 etc) 17 Bh6 f́ 18 Qg4+ Kf7 19 Qh5+ 1-0

Light notes to these games by D. Beach

In Otago the annual Easter tournament was wofl by Richard Sutton.
Tony Dowden reports:
The Otago Chess Club held its annual Easter Tournament at Easter(?) this year (last year it was held curing Anzac Weekend to avoid clashing with the National
Junior Championship in Christchurch)
Although only 26 players took part it was a very interesting and successful
tournament.
This can be attributed to a Ganterbury student contingent and the presence of all the top players in Otago.
An outstanding feature of the tournament was that there were No short draws (at al - all you disbelievers from North of the Waitaki)
Sponsorship from Whitcoulls Ltd meant the difference between a healthy prize fund and a meagre one. Thanks must go to Gerald Williams who ably directed play although he didn't have a lot to do, such was the fine spirit in which the games were played.

Round one: No upsets, although Jackie Sievey apparently resigned in a level position against Kai Jensen.
Round two: The top few seeds won. Norton indulged in a double rook sacrifice against Thase but departed from theory by securing only the half-point. Dowden got bored playIng against Freeman and ripped open the centre - allowing Michael's bishops in.
Round three: Sutton easily beat Richard Ong but Freeman hung on right into the lost ending against Jensen - and drew. Love bea Bates in very convincing manner, throwing out a warning to future opponents
Round four: Love won a pawn against Sutton in an exchange Ruy Lopez, but rapid liqui dation led to an early draw. Kai Jensen beat Warwick Norton before most players ha castled. Bates-Freeman fought it out to a draw in a game which just fell outside the "short draw" category.
Leaders - Love, Jensen, Sutton $3 \frac{3}{2}$; Freeman, Haase 3.

Round Eive: Sutton beat Jensen in a complicated Marshall Gambit - as they always seem to be. Love dug in his heels agains Haase and managed to score half a point

Duncan Watts drew with Giles Bates as did Jackie Sievey with Malcolm Foord. Leaders - Sution 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Love, Freeman 4; Haase, Jensen, Dowden, Bates $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

Round six: A round of decisive results. Ereeman blundered a piece in a Bishop's Opening against Sutton after he cramped his pieces and was harrassed by an energetic pawn push. Love beat Jensen after surviving early pressure after Kai sacced a pawn in a Grunfeld Defence. Dowden played his first game of chess and positionally sponged Norton - while the other hopefuls didn t manage to join hita in third place (helpful tournament hint for young players - the Swiss Gambit is at its devestating best when you drop points in more than one game:)

Selected games
W. Norton - G. Hase, Latvian Gambit 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 f 53 Bct fxe 44 Nxe5 Qg5 5 d4 Qxg2 6 Qh5t g6 7 Bf7+ Kd8 8 Bxg6 Qxhl+ 9 Ke2 c6 10 Nc3 e3 11 Bxe3.? Qxal 12 Nf7+ Kc7 73 Qg5 bs 14 Qd8+ Kb 15 (xis Ne7. 16 Qxe7 hxg6 17 Qc5 Qxb2 18 Nabt Kab: 1.9 Nd cxd5 20 Bcl Qbl 21 Qa3+ Kbb 22 Qcj+
R. Sutton - K. Jensen, Marshall Gambit 1 e4 e5 2 Nff 3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 10 Nxe Nxe 511 . 10 Nxe5 Nxe5 11 Rxe5 6 12 d4 Bab 13 Re Qu4 14 g 3 ( N 3 15 11 Rxat 822 Rxaf 23 Rxe3 Rue 324 21 Rxa4 Rfes 22 Rxab Rxe3 23 , Res Rxe3 24 Rxc6 Qe8 25 Bxar Kis 26 Ne Rxe4 27 Bxe4 Qxe 28 Rxat Qc1 $31 \mathrm{Ke} 2+35 \mathrm{Ka4}$ Qc2+ $36 \mathrm{kb5} 1-0$
K. Jensen - W. Norton, Queen's Pawn 1 d4 d6 2 c4 Nd7 3 Nc 3 e5 4 Nf 3 f5? 5 Ng 5
 c6 6 Ne6 Qas 7 Bd2 Kf7 8 Nas
T. Love - R. Sutton, Ruy Lopez Excharige 1 e4 e5 2 Nf 3 Nć 3 Bb 5 a6 4 Bxc6 dxc6 5 $0-0$ Qd6 6 d3 Ne7 7 Be3 Ng 68 Nb 2 c 29 Nc 4 Qe6 10 Ng 5 Qd7 11 a4 fh 12 Nh 3 Bd6 13 f 4 exf4 14 Nxd6 Qxd6 15 Nxf4 Bd7 16 Qh5 0-0-0 17 Qxc5 0xc5 18 Bxe5 Nxf4 19 Rxf4 Rhe8 20 Rf2 b6 21 Be 3 a5 22 b 3 Re6 23 Bf4 $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$
K. Jensen - T. Love, Grunfeld Defence 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc 3 d 54 Nf 3 Bg 75 Bf 4 066 h3 dxe4 7 a4 Nd5 8 Qd2 Nxf4 9 Oxf4
$0-010$ e 4 Bxd4 11 Bxc4 Bg7 12 Rdl Qas 13 O-0 Nd7 14 Ba2 Ne5 15 Nd4 Qb4! 16 Rd Nc4 17 Bxc4 Qxc4 18 Qe3 e5 19 Nf3 Be6 20 fdl h6 21 Rd6 Qb4 22 Rld2 Qb6 23 Ndl Rfe8! 24 Oc3 Bf8 25 a 5 b5

Final scores ( $0=0$ tago, $C=$ Canterbury U $=$ Otago University)
R. Sutton(0) $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6,1$; T. Love(OU) 5, 2; T. Dowden(OU) $4 \frac{1}{2}, 3$; G. Haase (0), M. Freeman(0), D. Watts(0U) 4, 4=; G.Bates (0), K. Jensen(0), R. Ong(OU), A. Knowles Grade prizes: Under 1500
Grade prizes: Under $1500-\mathrm{A}$. Knowles

## Spassky draws

 with Chandler!Overseas Murray Chandler is continuing a run of fine results.
He recently finished third in the West German Open Championships behind Kavalek and Hort(see crosstable below) and the day after the tournament ended faced former World Champion Boris Spassky in a
club match between Hamburg and Solingen
It was Murray's 2lst birthday, and he
elebrated it in fine style.
His clubmates also presented him with
a large cake in the midst of his post-
mortem with Spassky
Continued at the top of opposite column

Murray remarks that he found Spassky very pleasant to play against, and cer tainly the gentleman he has always been considered to be (with breaks for Korchnoi matches!).
The game against Spassky is given in the Ganes Section, with notes by Murray

Murray has also recorded a success in the the Third Zala Cup, played in Keszthethy, Hungary.
He finished first equal (first on tie break) with CM Razuvaev (ELO 2525), of the USSR, ahead of three other $\mathrm{CM}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and mumerous IM's.
In scoring $9 / 13$, Murray was one point short of the GM norm, as in the West German Championship.
He beat Razuvaev in their individual game, which will be given with Murray's notes in the next issue.
Meanwhile, here is a sharp win over K. Szeles of Hungary.
M. Chandler - K. Szeles, Henning-Shara Gambit.
1 d4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 c5 4 exd5 cxd4 5 Qa4+ Bd7 6 Qxd4 exd5 7 Qxd5 Nc6 8 Qd1 Nf6 9 Nf 3 Bc 510 e3 Qe7 $11 \mathrm{Be} 20-0-012$ 0-0 $\mathrm{g} 5 \quad 13 \mathrm{b4}$ : $\mathrm{Bxb} 4 \quad 14 \mathrm{Bb} 2$ Rhg $8 \quad 15 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ 16 Neb 5 Ne5 17 Qb 38418 Rfcl Nf $3+19$ Nxf3: gxf3 20 Bxf 3 Bxb5 21 a3 Rd2 22 Bd4: Ne 423 Qxb4 $\mathrm{Ng} 524 \mathrm{Rc} 8+\mathrm{Rxc} 8$ (If $24 \ldots$ Kxc8 $25 \mathrm{Bg} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 8$
game) 25 Qxe $7 \quad \mathrm{Qxd}^{26}$

West German Open Championship, Bochum, March 16 to April 3, 1981.

1 Kavalek
2 Hort
3 Chandler 4 Schussler 5 Borik 6 G. Garcia 7 Ciocalt
8 Keene 8 Keene 9 Westerinen
10 Lobron 11 Torre 1 Torre 12 Van der Wie 13 Kunstowi 14 Feustal 15 Ghinda

Category 9


## GAMES SECTION

As promised, an annotated game from New ealand's latest national master, Vernon Small.
V.A. Small - M. Chandler, Sicilian Pelikan From the 1977/8 NZ Championships.

1 e4 c5 2 IIf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 e5 6 Ndb5 d6 7 Bg5 a6 3 Na3 b5 9 Bf6 gxf6 10 Nd5 f5 11 g3

Murray and I had been checking out this ine in the latest Informator not a fortnight before this game. The original was a Gaprindashvili game which resulted in tuluch wild play and a win to white

## 11... fxe $412 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Bf5}$

Gaprindashvili's opponent played 12. Beb but informator had reconmended this and I remembered "Muzza" was j.mpressed. However, the night before this game I was casing some of Ted Stalknecht's magazines when I came across a discussion of the 14 f3 pawn sacrifice in "Europe Echecs"
$130-03 \mathrm{~g} 714 \mathrm{f} 3$


Nowadays the $13 \mathrm{f3}$ sacrifice is known to be stronger, but in those days... Black can hardly not take the pawn unless he wants to play $14 \ldots$ e 315 i4 and white looks much better e.g. 15... e4 16 c 3 b4 17 Ne4 or $15 .$. exf4 $16 \mathrm{Rf} 4 \pm$

## 14... exf3 15 Qxf3 Be6 16 Nf6+

There are several ways to 'win' the exchange but the strong black centre, two bishops, extra pawn and off-side white knight would add up to an advantage to black e.g. $15 \mathrm{Nc} 7+$ Qe7 17 Qxe6t Qxe6 18 Bxc6+ Ke7 19 Вxa8 Rxa8 -+

## 16... Bxf6 17 Qxc6+ Ke7 13 RadI!?

An innovation. Klovan-Timoshenko, Kishinev 1975, went 18 Qb7+ Qd7 19 Qxd7+ Bxd7 20 Bxa8 Rxa8 and again the exchange is won but black is probably better. This line seemed illogical to me and I looked round for a way to keep the queens on and take advantage of the black king in the centre. If white can restrain the black centre long enough to get the queen knigh back on-side he will be fine. White has good practical chances based on control of d5, although in theory he perhaps has no real advantage.
18... Ra7

The good thing about not accepting a sacrifice is that the opposition never knows if you will next move!

19 Qf3 Qbet 20 Kh 1 Bg7 21 Qh5! b4?
21... Rd7 22 Nb 1 d5 23 Nc 3 is still very unclear, although perbaps white can do a little better with 22 Bd5 Bxd5 23 xas ke8 $+=$. The text is just an oversight. But white s pressure on the king side ties up the king rook and provides compensation for the pawn

22 Nc4 Bxc4 23 0h4t f6 24 0xc4 Rd8 25 Qg4 Kf8 26 Bd5 Ke8


The threat was Qe6 and Qg8t. Of course white is strategically won and can probably win the king rook pawn anytime. But I had visions of getting the rooks in via h5 or c6 so I kept on building up. Anyway, 27 Oh5+ Kd7 28 Qxh7 Kc8 is not decisive.

## 27 Rf3 Rdd7 28 c3 b3

So the pawn comes back anyway. Black could hardly relish $28 .$. bxc 329 Rxc Rac7 30 Rb 3 Qa5 $31 \mathrm{Rb} 8+\mathrm{Rd} 332 \mathrm{Rxd} 8+\mathrm{Kxd} 8$ 33 Qe6

A half-trap. 33 Bc6 would allow ... d5 and some activity at minimal cost.

33 Kg 2 Rd 834 Rf 2 Kb 8
The natural mercenary in me had trouble resisting the h 7 pawn all this time I can tell you!

35 Rc4 Rf8 36 Rf5 Rd3 37 Qd3 Qa6 38 b4 Rc7 39 bxa5 Rxc4 40 Bxc4 Qxa5 41 Bd5 Qb6

Ever since move 22 there has been no safe place for the black king, and now it must run again before the rook swings around.

42 Rf2 h6 43 b4 Rd7 44 Qg6 Kc7 45 Ra2 Qe3 46 Qf5 h5 47 c4 Re7
47... Qd4 was the last chance to make things awkward, but the advance of the c-pawn will still be decisive in the long run.

## 48 c 5

The sealed move. Chandler resigned without resuming since $48 .$. dxc5 49 bxc5 and there is no way to stop $50 \mathrm{Ra} 7+\mathrm{K}$ moves 51 Ra8t and Qc8.


The final position.

From the Auckland Easter Tournament, two games featuring Lindsay Cornford.
P. Weir - L. Cornford, Sicilian Annotations by P. Weir 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 Bb5+Nc6

Black can also opt for $3 .$. Bd7 or $3 .$. Nd7
$40-0$ e5

Black has decided to play the position as if it has come from a Ruy Lopez.
$5 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Nf6} 6 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bd} 7$
6...Nxe4 fails to 7 dxe5 dxe5 8 Qxd8+ Kxd8 9 Bxc6 bxc6 10 Nxe5

7 Rel Qc7 8 Na3
With the idea of placing the knight on
c4 to put pressure on e5 and later to tr transfer the knight through e3 to f5. Also good was 8 Nbd2.
8...Be7 9 Bg5 0-0 10 d5

Blocking the centre with a view to exchanging all the minor pieces except for the Na 3 and the Be 7 . In the resulting endthe bishon whic would be more active than the bishop, whe pawn structure c5, d6, e5.
10...Nb9 11 b4!? cxb4 (Perhaps better is 11...Bxb5 12 Nxb 5 Qb6, followed by Nbd7 to capture on c5 with a piece should white exchange. Ed) 12 cxb4 Rc8 $13 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Qd8} 14$ B×f6 Bxf6


White has advantages in space and development and black cannot attempt to attack the base of white's pawn chain by tack the base of white's pawn chain by playing $f 5$ as this would only create weakof most of his pieces on the queen's wing.

15 Qd3 аб 16 Bxd7 Nxd7 17 Nc4 Nf8 18 Rc3 Ng6!

Black aims to control his f5 square from e7, while at the same time threatening Nf4.

19 g3 Rab8
It was necessary to prepare ....Qd7 to reactivate the bishop through d8 without allowing Nb 6 .

20 Rec1 Qd7 $21 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Bd} 8: 22 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{~h} 5!?$
An attempt to create counterplay on the king-side. Passive moves allow white to play Ne3 followed by Nf5 and h4-h5, with a crushing position.

23 Qc2 Qe7 24 Ne 3 Rxc 325 Qxc3 Qd7 26 Nf5 Ne7!? 27 Nxe7+

Played after long thought. Both Lindsay and I had analysed the position arising after 27 Nxe5(!) dxe5 28 Qxe5 Nxf5 29 Qxb8 Ne7 and decided that the position offered nothing for white as neither of the the centre pawns can move forward. In fact there is a strong resource for white in this position as Bob Gibbons pointe out later in 30 b ! ab 31 Rb 1 and 32 a and white is winning.

## 27...Oxe7 28 g4:?

Betcer is 28 a 4 followed by a5 to increase white's space advantage on the queen-side and limit the scope of the Bd8.
28...hxg4 $29 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \mathrm{Qd7}$ ! 30 Kg 3 Qa4 31 a 3 a5 $32 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{axb4} 33 \mathrm{axb4}$ Bb6! 34 g 6 !

Threatening 35 Qc8+ followed by mate. 34...fxg6 35 Ng 5

Once again threatening mate.
35...Rf8 36 f3 Qa2:

If $36 \ldots$...Bd4? 37 Qc 7 Be3 38 Ne6 Re7 39 Qd8+ Kh $740 \mathrm{Rh} 1+\mathrm{Bh} 641 \mathrm{Ng} 5$ is mate.
After the move played the position is dynamically equal and after the moves:
37 Rc2 Qbl 38 Rc1 Qa2 39 Rc2 Qbl
a draw was agreed.

L.H. Cornford - J. Jackson, Sicilian Notes by the winner.
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { e4 c5 } \\ 5 \\ \mathrm{~g} 3(?!)\end{array} \mathrm{Nf}^{2}$ e6 3 d 4 cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{Nc} 6$ $5 \mathrm{~g} 3(?!)$
An innovation that started as a blunder Better is Nb5.
5...d5!

## Polugayevsky now gives 6 Bg2 dxe4 $=$

## 6 Nxc6 bxc6 7 Bg2 Ba6 8 Nd2! Qb6

If black can improve, we thought it here. Ideas were Qa5 and Nf6. However and $c 6$ and allows threatens f2, guards $a 6$ ibl c 6 and allows Rd8, all highly. plaustble schemes. What surprised both players was the adequacy of white's plan. From lack's 8th move we both began to take a lot of 1 . I was convinced had a dis lo game, while Jackson could not see a self! parn to an equal position for himself. (8...Qas could prove awkward for white. e.g. 9 b3 Bb4 9 c4 BC3 10 Rbl dxc4 9 ofo Oxa2 -t. White's best could be 9 be2+ 136 exe2 better endgame for black because of his
good centre and queen-side pressure, Ed)

9 exd5 cxd5 10 c 4 ! Nf6 11 Qa4+ Nd7 12 0-0! 9 exd5 cxd5 $10 \mathrm{c4}$ ! Nf6 11 Qa4+ Nd7 12 0-0! Rc8 13 b3 Bb4 14 Rd1 Qd4?

Black has a very difficult game befor this move. $0-0$ gives the $N d 7$, and the white queen escapes

15 Ba3+- Bxa3 16 Qxa6 Bb2 (Oc5 holds longer, although white is still clearly winning.Ed)

17 Qxc8+ Ke7 18 Qxh8 Bxal 19 Qxh7 1-0
Our post-mortem suggests that 5 g 3 is not as serious a blunder as we both initially believed, and that 8 Nd 2 is an idea that holds some promise.

## M. Chandler - B. Spassky, Queen's Gambit 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 d5

I was expecting a Queen's Indian Defence, against which I had planned to play, after 3...b6, a line with 4 Ne 3 Bb 75 Bf4!? that had been experimented with by Kavalek and myself at Bochum.
4 Nc3 Be7 5 Bg5 h6 6 Bh4 0-0 7 e3 b6 8 Be2
Black has chosen the fashionable Tartakower variation of the Queen's Gambit declined, a system with which Spassky has considerable experience. I choose here a line that received some attention in the latest Soviet Championship.
8...Bb7

Perhaps more accurate is $8 . . . \mathrm{Nbd} 7$ to discourage white from capturing on $f 6$ so early, as black can then recapture with the knight.
9 Bxf6. Bxf6 10 cxd5 exd5 11 0-0 Nd7 12 Qb3 c6 13 Rfe1 Re8 14 Rad1

On 14 e 4 , planning $14 \ldots$...dxe4 $15 \mathrm{Bc} 4, \mathrm{I}$ could not see anything against $14 \ldots c 5$ ? After the text, however, white has quite a promising position.

14...Nf8 15 Bf1?!

I rejected 15 e 4 because of $15 \ldots$ dxe4 16 Bc4 exf3 17 Bxf7+ Kh8 18 Rxe 8 Qd7 withnthreats of $19 \ldots \mathrm{Qg}^{4}$ and generally good compensation for the exchange. But afterwards I found that with 18 bxe8. white can penetrate next move with 19 Qf7 with great advantage. So black would have to adopt something more passive,
15...Ne6 16 e4 dxe4

Spassky thought for 45 minutes here,
and said he had been extremely worried about his position. But it seems to hold together, for on my intended 17 Nxe4!? bxd4 18 Nxd4 Nxd4 19 Qg3! black has the amazing resource 19...Re6!! 20 Bc4 c5. as now 21 Bxe6? Ne2+ would win for black. Hence I chose the alternative capture.
17 Rxe4 c5:
A real 2635 -rated move. Black now seizes a slight plus.


18 dxc5 Qb8! 19 Nd5 Bxd5 20 Rxd5 Nxc5 21 Rxe8+ Qxe8 22 Qb4?

A dubious move played quickly. With the time control at 50 moves in $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hours $I$ already had visions of a dual time scramble. Instead 22 Qc2 Rc8 is only slightly better for black.
22. . Qe6

Now if the rook moves the a 2 pawn is hanging, while on the intended 23 Bc 4 comes 23...Qe4. I decided to sacrifice a pawn rather than lose one.

23 Qd2 Ne4 24 Qd1 Rc8 25 Ba6 Rc5 26 Bb7 Bxb2 27 Rxc5 Nxc5 28 Qd8+ Kh7 29 Bd5 Qf5 30 g 3 g 5 !

This move dispelled any attacking intentions I had against the black king.
31 Kg 2 Kg 732 h 3 Bf 633 Qa 8 h 534 Nd 2 Bd4 35 Nf 3 Bf6 36 Nd 2 Nd 337 Ne 4 g 438 hxg 4 hxg 4
Spassky is well-known as a player who much prefers to attack, but perhaps his ingside assault was a little hasty, paricularly in view of the serious time rouble we were both running inta. My queen can now get back into the game.

39 Qc6 Bd4 40 Qc4! Qf3+ 41 Kh 2 Bxf2?
Overlooking my reply. On 41...Be5 white's birthday stocking would probably have
stayed empty.
42 Qc3+ f6 43 .Qc7+ Kh6 44 Qf7.
I had about 20 seconds to go, Spassky a minute. Black really has to force a draw now.
44...Bg1+!. $45 \mathrm{KxglQe}+46 \mathrm{Kfl}$ Qe1+ 47 $\mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 2+\quad \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$

## $\theta$ 合 150

## LOCAL NEWS

The Howick-Pakuranga 1981 Surmer Swiss has been won by Paul Spiller with 7/8 $(+6,=2)$. The last few rounds saw an exciting race for first between Spiller and Strevens with Spiller emerging the winner after beating Katrine Metge in the final round, while Colin Strevens tried too hard and lost to Derek Lark. The club President, Tony Booth, put in a good performance to finish 2nd= with a last-round win against the joint club champion, John Fekete

Detailed results: P. Spiller(7/8)1; C. Strevens, D. Lark and A. Booth(6) 2-4; D. Notley ( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) 5; K. Metge, J. Fekete, R. Taylor, J. Bojtor and S. Varga(5) 6-10; C. Stelco, A. Baldwin and K. Morton(4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ) 11-13;...

Games from the tournament
P. Spiller - R. Baumgartner, l g3 l g3 d5 2 Bg2 c5 3 Nf3 Nf6 4 0-0 e6 5 c4 Be7 6 cxds exd5 7 d $0-0 \quad \mathrm{Nc} 3$ Ne4? 9 Qc2 Bf5? 10 Qb3 Nxc3 11 bxc3 b6 12 dxc5 bxc5 13 Qb7 Nd7 14 Qxd5 Be6 15 Qd3 Rb8 16 h4 Bf6 $17 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{Bxg} 518 \mathrm{hxg} 5!? \mathrm{Re} 819 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6$ 20 Kg 2 Kg 721 c 4 . Ne5 22 Qc2 Nxc4 23 Rd Qe7 24 Qc3+ Kg8 25 Bf3 Red8? 26 Rhl Qf8 27 Bf4 Rb5? 28 Rxh7! 1-0
D. Field - P. Spiller, French Defence 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 Nf6 4 e5 Nfd7 5 f4 c5 6 c3 Nc6 7 Ndf3 cxd4 8 cxd4 Qb6 9 Ne2? f6: 10 g 3 fxe5 11 fxe5 bb4+ 12 Bd 2 0-0!

13 Bg2 Ndxe5: 14 dxe5 Nxe5 15 Nf4 Rxf4: 16 gxf4 Nd3+ 17 Ke2 Nxb2 18 Qb3 Bd7! 19 Bfl? Bb5+ 20 Kel Nd3+! 21 Bxd3 Qe3+ 22 $\mathrm{Kd1}$ Qxf3+ $23 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Bxd} 3+24 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Bxd} 2 \mathrm{j}$ Rhdl Qe2 26 Ka 3 a 5 0-1

A friendly inter-club match between Howick-Pakuranga and Remuera in April was won 8-6 by Howick.

From Otago Tony Dowden reports that the Otago Chess Club championship has been won by Graham Haase and David Weegenaar on $5 / 6$, followed by T. Dowden ( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ), M. Foord 3, J. Sievey $1 \frac{1}{2}$, A. Kwok and I. Dalsiel 1 .

The B grade was won by P. Cullen, E Puddle and J. Gibb, all on $4 / 6$.

A game from the tournament:
G. Haase - T. Dowden, Modern Benoni. 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc 3 exd5 cxd5 d6 6 Nff g6 7 Nd2 $\operatorname{Bg} 78$ Nc4 $0-0 \quad 9$ Bf4 b6!? 10 Bxd6 Re8 11 e3 Ba6?:(11... Ne 4 with the idea of b5) 12 Bg 3 Ne 413 Nxe4 Rxe4 14 Nd6 Rb4(14...Bxfl 15 Kxf1; not 15 Nxe4 Bxg2) 15 Bxa6 Nxa6 16 b3?! Bxal?!(16...f5! with the idea of 17 . f4 18 Bxf4 Rxf4) 17 Qxal Rg4 18 Qe5 Nc 7?: 19 Nb 7 Qxd5 20 Qxc7 Qxg2 21 Rfl a5? (Qf3! is unclear) 22 Ke 2 Rb 423 Rdl a4??(23... Qe4) 24 Nd8: Rxd8 25 Rxd8+ Kg7 26 Qe5+ Kh6 27 Bf4+ g5 28 Rd6+ Kh5 29 h3! Re4 30 Qf5 1-0
Light notes by T. Dowden.
The 1981 North Shore Chess Club Summer Cup has been won by Peter Weir with a 7-1 tally (+7, -1).
Sixty players took part, and Weir los only once - to Matthew Barlow in round three - and finished with a fighting win over Wayne Power in the last round.

The biggest surprise was a fine second placing by Richard Steel, who conceded only a loss to Weir and a draw with top seed Peter Stuart.

Making a comeback after several years way from chess, Matthew Barlow looked set for a good result after his win over Weir, but could not maintain his consistency in the latter rounds after such a long break.
Peter Stuart dropped the whole point to David Evans in round four when he tried too hard to win a hopelessly drawn ending and was later lucky to gain draws against David Shead and Steel when immediate

Continued on page $४ 4$

## New Zealand Grading List.

This list includes only players active since January 1, 1979. Events up to and including the NZ Congress of 1980/81 have been rated, apart from the NZ Women's Champs 1 Chandler M 2 Sarapu 0. 3 Sutton R.J 4 Small v. 5 Nokes R. 6 Laird C. 8 Garbett P.A. 9 Levene M. 10 Aptekar L. 11 Dekker K. 13 Watson B. R. 14 Jensen K. 15 Metge N. 16 Smith R.W. 18 Stuart P. P. 19 Carpinter A.L. 20 Anderson $B$. 21 Paris P. 22 Cordue P. 23 Green P. 25 Beach P. 26 Jackson J. 27 Dowden R. A. 28 Evans M. 29 Lloyd A. 30 Love A. J. 31 Gollogly D.A. 32 Feneredis A 33 Van Dijk T. 35 Goodhall D.N.A. 36 Garpinter B.A. 37 Baker C. 38 Bates G. 40 Sarfati J. 41 Steadman M.V.R. 42 Perry R. 43 Whitehouse L.E. 44 Sidnam G. 45 Fleming M. 46 Cater J.E. 47 Cooper D.J. 48 Power P.W. 49 Wigbout M. 50 Frankel Z. 51 Freeman M. 52 Spiller P.S.

| 2391 |
| :--- |
| 2306 |
| 2272 |
| 2266 |
| 2254 |
| 2249 |
| 2247 |
| 2244 |
| 2220 |
| 2214 |
| $2193 / 15$ |
| 2166 |
| 2164 |
| 2163 |
| 2159 |
| 2155 |
| 2148 |
| 2120 |
| 2118 |
| 2114 |
| 2077 |
| 2073 |
| 2066 |
| 2062 |
| 2052 |
| 2025 |
| 2023 |
| 2000 |
| 1996 |
| 1991 |
| 1984 |
| 1981 |
| 1973 |
| 1970 |
| 1959 |
| 1959 |
| 1958 |
| 1952 |
| $1949 / 6$ |
| 1945 |
| 1939 |
| 1936 |
| 1929 |
| 1919 |
| $1914 / 18$ |
| 1912 |
| 1908 |
| 1906 |
| 1904 |
| 1903 |
| 1903 |
| 1902 |
| 1896 |
|  |


| 54 McLaren L. | 1894 | 107 Mazur J. | 1756 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 Brunton D. M. | 1891 | 108 Kinchant K. | 1755 |
| 56 Cook N. | 1891 | 109 Kay B. | 1751 |
| 57 Jiles Dr D. | 1887 | $110 \mathrm{Bell} \mathrm{C}$. | 1743 |
| 58 Van Dijk P. | 1886 | 111 Arbutnott J. | 1736 |
| 59 Roberts M. | 1885 | 112 Keith D. | 1735 |
| 60 Haase G.G. | 1882 | 113 Adams J. | 1729 |
| 61 Bennett H. | 1882 | 114 Bennell D. | 1729 |
| 62 Free T.J. | 1881 | 115 Borran A. | 1722 |
| 63 Marshall C. | 1868 | 116 Koloszar P. | 1721 |
| 64 Weegenaar D. | 1867 | 117 Livingstone M. | 1718 |
| 65 Clark P. | 1864 | 113 Ker A. | 1718 |
| 66 Stonehouse T. | 1863 | 119 Rawnsley L. | 1716 |
| 67 Hurley A. | 1861 | 120 Nijiman A. | 1716 |
| 68 Spiller T. | 1856 | 121 Leese M. | 1715 |
| 69 Sell G.J. | 1855 | 122 Field D. | 1715/9 |
| 70 Hopewe 11 | 1854 | 123 Van Dam S. | 1711 |
| 71 Caukwe11 P.T. | 1852/5 | 124 Stracy D. | 1704 |
| 72 Gibbons R.E. | 1851 | 125 Moulin Dis. | 1703/7 |
| 73 Hawkes P. | 1845 | 126 Shead D. | 1699 |
| 74 Johnston A. | 1843 | 127 Williams B. | 1698 |
| 75 Harts. | 1833 | 128 Brimble M.T. | 1697 |
| 76 Cairns D.J.A. | 1833 | 129 Capper D. | 1694 |
| 77 Norton W. | 1826/22 | 130 Post M. | 1692 |
| 78 Goffin P. | 1821 | 131 Johnstone R. B. | 1688 |
| 79 Jones C. | 1817/8 | 132 Storey D.J.H. | 1683 |
| 80 Marsick B.H.P. | 1814 | 133 Boyce D.A.L. | 1681 |
| 81 Dowman I.A. | 1811 | 134 Mil1s R.L. | 1680 |
| 82 Fekete J. | 1808 | 135 Ion G.J. | 1679 |
| 83 Strevens C. | 1806/9 | 136 Carter G. | 1678 |
| 84 Williamson H. | 1803/14 | 137 Sims I.M. | 1677 |
| 85 Frost E. | 1803 | 138 Bridges N.P. | 1668 |
| 86 Winslade B. | 1802 | 139 Trundle G.E. | 1667 |
| 87 Whitlock H.P. | 1802 | 140 Law G.J.S. | 1665/14 |
| 88 Cameron B . | 1801/18 | 141 White M. | 1662 |
| 89 Wheeler R. | 1800 | 142 Hollis W.K. | 1662 |
| 90 Van Ginke1 J. | 1797/15 | 143 Robinson P.G. | 1659 |
| 91 Noble M. | 1792 | 144 Shuker R. | 1659 |
| 92 Lynn K.W. | 1790 | 145 Stretch W. | 1659 |
| 93 Storchnegger 0. | 1788/23 | 146 McCormick Dr | 1658 |
| 94 Davies R. | 1785 | 147 Severinsen M. | 1657 |
| 95 Bloore R.G. | 1781 | $148 \mathrm{Nijman} \mathrm{B}$. | 1654 |
| 96 Foster F. | 1773 | 149 Mathias R. | 1650 |
| 97 Taylor R. | 1772 | 150 Pitts G.L. | 1650/21 |
| 98 Knegt J. | 1771 | 151 Booth Dr A.J. | 1649 |
| 99 Gibson W.F. | 1769 | 152 Wieboldt J. | 1649/7 |
| 100 Evans D.J. | 1765/22 | 153 Watson D. | 1648/14 |
| 101 Vermeer J. | 1765 | 154 Aldridge G. | 1648 |
| 102 Putt T.J. | 1765 | 155 Waddle Dr M. H. | 1646 |
| 103 Nguyen N. | 1764/7 | 156 Dolejs D. | 1640 |
| 104 Knightbridge W. | 1759 | 157 Pomeroy D.M. | 1637 |
| 105 Gloistein B. | 1758 | 158 Usmar J. | 1633 |
| 106 Hutton I. | 1756/5 | 159 Davies G. | 1632 |


| 218 | Gr | 1519 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 219 | Brightwell D. | 1517 |
| 220 | Richardson N . | 1516 |
| 221 | Delowe S. | 1516 |
| 222 | Fraser R.J. | 1512/16 |
| 223 | Colthart R. | 1511 |
| 224 | Stewart M. | 1507/5 |
| 225 | Blundell K . | 1504/11 |
| 226 | Bunn D . | 1502/8 |
| 227 | Bennett P. | 1501/22 |
| 228 | Dalziel I. | 1499 |
| 229 | Moratti S. | 1494 |
| 230 | Metge K.M. | 1492 |
| 231 | Freeman R. | 1492/16 |
| 232 | Steel R. | 1487 |
| 233 | Sareczky G. | 1481 |
| 234 | Spencer-Smith | P. 1475 |
| 235 | Feasey R.A. | 1474/7 |
| 236 | Mitchell R. | 1469/18 |
| 237 | Knowles A. | 1464 |
| 238 | Hagg G. | 1462/7 |
| 239 | Brown 5. | 1458/18 |
| 240 | Sacks M. | 1456/7 |
| 241 | Wong J.K. | 1455/22 |
| 242 | Rusbridge B. | 1453/13 |
| 243 | Preston J. | 1453 |
| 244 | Flower A.H. | 1452 |
| 245 | Ramsay W. | 1450 |
| 246 | Hampton R. | 1446 |
| 247 | Burridge D. | 1445/17 |
| 248 | Kubatzki K. | 1445/1 |
| 249 | Severinson E. | 1445 |
| 250 | Brannigan K. | 1445 |
| 251 | Bennett D. | 1444 |
| 252 | Costello T. | 1443/15 |
| 253 | Hignett A.N. | 1443 |
| 254 | Benbow M. | 1442 |
| 255 | McIntosh I. | 1441/6 |
| 256 | Potini G. | 1439/21 |
| 257 | Manetto L. | 1438/8 |
| 258 | Austin P. | 1431/8 |
| 259 | Fransen W. | 1429/8 |
| 260 | Talaic L. | 1429/14 |
| 261 | Stewardson P. | 1428/18 |
| 262 | Flewellen M.J. | 1423/6 |
| 263 | Hipkins B. | 1421 |
| 264 | Watt R. | 1418 |
| 265 | Robbie C.G. | 1411/24 |
| 266 | Pa1mer H . | 1408/8 |
| 267 | Shaw M. | 1407/8 |
| 268 | Allsobrook A.J. | . 1406 |
| 269 | Rogers M. | 1405 |
| 270 | Aldridge A. | 1396/7 |
| 271 | Green Clive | 1395/6 |
| 272 | Sims M. | 1392/18 |
| 273 | Downey P. | 1389/14 |
| 274 | Perry K. | 1385/19 |
| 275 | Green C. | 1382/7 |

276 Brownlee L.R. 1376/13 277 Davidson S. 1375 278 Rudkins L. 1374 279 Adams C. 1363/12 280 Vartiainen J. 1358/17 281 Phillips J. 1356 282 Day W. 1356/ 283 Clowes C. 1350 284 Giles S. 1345/21 285 Drake A. $\quad 1338$ $\begin{array}{ll}286 & \text { Ross J. } \\ 287 \text { Flower G. } & 1333 / 8 \\ 1332\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}287 \text { Flower G. } & 1332 \\ 288 \text { Boughan A. } & 1330\end{array}$ 289 Stewart M. $\quad 1325 / 8$ $\begin{array}{ll}290 \text { Mathias J. } & 1322 / 7 \\ 291 \text { Thorby B. } & 1320 / 9\end{array}$ 292 Schuster D.F. 1319/16 293 Garland M. 1318 $\begin{array}{lll}294 & \text { Baker J. } & \text { 1313/16 } \\ 295 & \text { Scarr G.E. } & 1311\end{array}$ 296 Windsor B.M. 1310/11 $\begin{array}{ll}297 \text { Chin P. } & 1306 \\ 298 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}298 \text { Stewart B. } & 1306 / 20 \\ 299 \text { Woodford R.G. } & 1305\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}299 \text { Woodford R.G. } & 1305 \\ 300 \text { Martin L. } & 1305\end{array}$

| 300 Martin L. | 1305 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 301 Sinton P. | $1305 / 8$ | 302 Robb J. 1903/13 303 Borrell D.H. $\quad 1301$ $\begin{array}{lll}304 & \text { Brightwell E. } & 1301 / 24 \\ 305 & \text { Borovski J. } & 1300 / 12\end{array}$ 306 Hofsteede J. 1298 307 Brown B.

308 Corbett P.D. 1297
309 Carline L.V. 121296
310 Winter W. 1292
311 Salmen M. $1291 / 13$
312 Spencer-Smith G. 1290
14 Hill S. 1289
315 Calder R. 1281/20
316 Clark D. $\quad 1281 / 7$
317 Hartley J. $1280 /$
$\begin{array}{lll}318 & \text { Donselaar M.S. } & 1279 \\ 319 & \text { Barnard B. } & 1278 / 5\end{array}$
320 Chandler K. 1274
$\begin{array}{ll}320 \text { Chandler K. } & 1274 \\ 321 & \text { Allen E.G. }\end{array}$
321 Allen E.G. $\quad 1271$
323 Cook F. 1266/23
$\begin{array}{ll}324 \text { Harris K. } & 1266 \\ 325 \text { Stiles T. } & 1260 / 17\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}325 & \text { Stiles T. } \\ 326 \text { Taylor H. } & 1260 / 17 \\ & 1260 / 8\end{array}$
326 Taylor H . $\quad 1260 / 8$
328 Jones G. 1257
328 Jones G. 125 .
330 Younger J. $1250 / 15$
331 Yeid P. 1258
332 Jennings в. 1248 /11
333 Cookson J. 1239

| 334 | Ang M. | 1236/8 | 359 | Cameron D. | 1150 | 384 | Gubbins J. | 1053/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 335 | Ivancic | 1227/8 | 360 | Dinkgreve W. | 1146/20 | 385 | Scott R.J.L. | 1048/13 |
| 336 | Slobbe H. | 1226/2 | 361 | Dwight M. | 1144/7 | 386 | Meek L.L. | 1042 |
| 337 | Stap1es M. | 1220 | 362 | Bell D. | 1143 | 387 | Wong Marianne | 1027/9 |
| 338 | Brown M. | 1220/15 | 363 | McClory J. | 1142/10 | 388 | Smith Sandra | 1027/9 |
| 339 | Watson M. | 1213 | 364 | Newman B. | 1138 | 389 | MacMillan P. | 1015/11 |
| 340 | Winder S. | 1213/4 | 365 | Edmonds L.G. | 1134/16 | 390 | Hodder J. | 1010/7 |
| 341 | Hudepohl H. | 1211/21 | 366 | Cole N. | 1132/13 | 391 | Scott B. | 994/11 |
| 342 | Sievey J. | 1210/14 | 367 | Weston R. | 1123/9. | 392 | Fleming L. | 986/9 |
| 343 | Kinchant Mrs | 1201 | 368 | Best D. | 1123/13 | 393 | Prins J.A. | 962/12 |
| 344 | Earle F. | 1200 | 369 | Hughes T. | 1114 | 394 | Murray K. | 952/5 |
| 345 | Sinclair M.C. | 1197 | 370 | Williams R.G. | 1113 | 395 | O'Sullivan C. | 923/7 |
| 346 | Visser J. | 1197/11 | 371 | Cole R. | 1106/5 | 396 | Manetto L. jun | 894/7 |
| 347 | Hall Prof. D. | 1197/7 | 372 | Bowler J. | 1105 | 397 | Schwass M | 873/9 |
| 348 | Boyd J.K. | 1191 | 373 | Keith J.G. | 1105/5 | 398 | Nokes J. | 808/7 |
| 349 | Healey R. | 1185/16 | 374 | Anderson G. | 1103/23 | 399 | Ferguson P. | 801/7 |
| 350 | Borsje J. | 1185 | 375 | Southgate B. | 1091/8 | 400 | Evernden R. | 789/19 |
| 351 | Kutt A. | 1178 | 376 | Turner G. | 1085/6 | 401 | Stinson I.P. | 782 |
| 352 | McIvor A.G. | 1174/5 | 377 | Rawnsley D. | 1084 | 402 | Lynch P. | 759/5 |
| 353 | Cameron S. | 1172/10 | 378 | Blaikie J. | 1083 | 403 | Frost T . | 747/11 |
| 354 | Bates G. | 1167/16 | 379 | Verpoorte N.J. | 1079/6 | 404 | Svoboda D. | 721/7 |
| 355 | Martin S.c. | 1167/17 | 380 | Hay V. | 1078 | 405 | Ward A.N. | 667/10 |
| 356 | Takhar R. | 1163/8 | 381 | Clover R. | 1069/7 | 406 | Adams J. | 660/10 |
| 357 | Bartocci K. | 1153 | 382 | Symmans H.L. | 1054/10 | 407 | Boyd K. | 644/8 |
| 358 | Van der May P.1151/7 |  | 383 | Goodhall C.H. | 1054 | 408 | Baker N. | 556/3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 409 | Slater P. | 523/6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 410 | Buxton W. | 487/4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 411 | Greenslade E. | 325/6 |

Local news continued
execution' attempts in superior positions back.fired horribly.
Scores: P.B. Weir(7/8) 1; R.G. Steel(61 $\frac{1}{2}$ ) ; P.W. Power, G.J. Ion, P.W. Stuart and D.B Shead (6) 3-6; M. J. Barlow, B.W. McIvor, K. D. Kinchant and R.B. Johnstone ( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) 7-10; D. J. Evans, D.T. Adams, S.C. Moratti, W.R. Stretch, G.L. Pitts, R.G. Watt and R.A. Feasey (5) 11-17; L.P. Grevers, R.L. Roundill, M. I. Howard, P.A. Spencer-Smith, L. R. Brownlee, P.F. Van der Mey, D.I. Lamb,
J. Miller and N.A. Bradley $\left(4 \frac{1}{2}\right)$
18-26;... J. Miller and N.A. Bradley ( $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ) 18 - 26 ;...

The Waitemata Chess Club Summer Cup for 1981 has been won by Robert Smith with 4 $\frac{1}{2} / 5$.

Smith conceded only a last-round draw. Second was G. Sareczky ( $3 \frac{1}{2}$ ), followed by A. Kesseler and J. Van Pelt (3). Then and J. Robinson and J. Shields(1).
The club's under 400 tournament was wo by John $0^{\prime}$ Connor with five straight wins (312) foll Carthy, D. Barnes $D$. Willams, (3) ; P. James, E. Lowe, P. Shields(2);
T. Wood and L. King(1)

The 47th NZ Correspondence Chess Championship has been won by Roger Chapman of We1lington.

Chapman scored $8 / 10$, half a point ahead of two previous winners, Michael Freeman (Otago) and Robert Smith(Auckland).
R. Chapman $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11\end{array}$



$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4 & \text { L. Talbot } & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & x & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 5 & \text { W. Alp } & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & 1 & 1 / 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}5 & \text { W. Alp } & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & \text { D. Cooper } & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & x & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}$

| 6 | D. Cooper | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $x$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}8 & \text { R. Taylor } & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \text { x } & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 9 & \text { M. } & \text { Brimble } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & x & 1 & 1 & 3 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
 1 J. Knegt 00000000000

The Auckland Schoolpupil Championship for 1981 has been won by Nigel Hopewell of Auckland Boys Grammar School with $7 \frac{1}{2} / 8$. Full report next issue.

## CLUB DIRECTORY

The annual fee (six listings) for this column is $\$ 5.00$ payable with order to the New Zealand Chess Association, P.O.Box 8802, Symonds Street, Auckland.

AUCKLAND CENTRE meets Mondays \& Thursdays at clubrooms, 17 Cromwell Street, Mt. Eden, phone 602 042. Contact: Nigel Metge, ph. 2789807 Schoolpupil coaching Friday evenings. Full recreational facillties - TV, poolroom, library.

HOWICK-PAKURANGA C.C. meets Tuesdays 7:30 pm (children 6:30-7:30) at Howick Bridge Club, Howick Comuunity Complex, Howick. Contact: Peter McCarthy, phone 565 055, 92 Ti Rakau Drive, Pakuranga, Auckland.

NORTH SHORE C.C. meets Wednesdays $7: 30$ pm (tournament and casual play) in St Joseph's Hall, cnr Anzac St \& Taharoto Rd, Takapuna. Postal address: P.O.Box 33587, Takapuna. Contact: Peter Stuart, phone 456377 (evenings).

PARNELL C.C. meets 7:30 pm Wednesdays in Social Hall, Foundation for the Blind, 545 Parnell Road, Auckland. Contact: Terry Free, 23 Pasadena Ave, Pt Chevalier, Auckland, phone 868103.

CIVIC C.C. meets 8.00 pm Fridays (Juniors $7.00-8.00 \mathrm{pm}$ ) at St Johns Ambulance Hall, Vivian Street, Wellington. P.o. Box 2702 , Wellington. Contact: Ross Bloore, Phone 739576.

UPPER HUTT C.C. meets 7:45 pm Thursdays in Supper Room, Civic Hall, Fergusson Drive, Upper Hutt. Contact: Anton Reid, 16 Hildreth Street, Upper Hutt, phone 288756.

OTAGO C.C. meets 7:30 pm Wednesdays \& Saturdays at 7 Maitland Street, Dunedin, phone (clubrooms) 776 919. Contact: Malcolm Foord, 39 Park Street, Dunedin, phone 776213.

NELSON C.C. meets 7:30 pm Thursdays at the Memorial Hall, Stoke. Contact: Tom van Dyk, phone Richmond 8178 or 7140 . Visitors welcome.
PALMERSTON NORTH C.C. meets 7.30 pm Tuesdays at the IHC Workshop, Cook St, Palmerston North. Contact: J. Blatchford, 64 Appollo Pde, Palmerston Nth, ph 69-575.
PENCARROW C.C. meets 7:30 pm Thursdays (for seniors) at Louise Bilderbeck Hall, Main Road, Wainuiomata. Juniors: 7:00 pm - 8:15 pm at Baths Lounge, Swimming Baths, Moohan St, Wainuiomata. Contact: Brian Foster, phone 648578.
HASTINGS C.C. meets 7:00 pm at the Library, Havelock North High School, Te Mata Rd, Havelock North, Hastings. Contact: Mike Earle, phone 776027

WAITEMATA C.C. meets 8:00 pm Thursdays at Kelston West Community Centre, corner of Gt North and Awaroa Rds. Postal address: P.0. Box 69005 Glendene, Auckland 8. Contact: George Williams, phone HSN 46-618 or Nick Bridges HSN 69-146.

