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## LATE NEWS

SOUTH ISLAND CHAMPIONSHIP: Bruce Anderson won with $6 \frac{1}{2}$ out of 8 , losing his final game to Jon Jackson who tied for second, together with Chris Baker and Roger Nokes, on 6 points - an all Canterbury finish.

NEW ZEALAND SCHOOLPUPILS CHAMPIONSHIP: Patrick Cordue won his second title by scoring $5 \frac{1}{2}$ out of 7 . Equal second were Giles Bates and Michael Freeman on 4 . Then followed Warwick Norton $3 \frac{1}{2}$, Jonathan Sarfati and Tony Love 3, Graham Walden and Roy Mathias $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

OLYMPIAD TEAM CHANGE: Craig Laird withdrew for personal reasons and Peter Weir comes in on board six, Stuart and Anderson moving up to boards four and five.
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## LETTERS

CHESSATHON AIDS TELETHON Dear Sir,

If you are planning a match against the Tawa Chess Club, I would suggest you could improve your chances by holding it could improve your chances by holding it I called into Mexted Motors showroom in Tawa to see what this chessathon was all tawa to see what this chessathon was about - there was lots of enthusiasm, but towards the 24 th hour there was distinc

Through this year's Telethon appeal, however, the Rheumatoid Arthritis Foundation benefited by a little over $\$ 500$ as a result of the chessathon, much of it raised by the junior section of the club. In fact, two of the younger members raised about $\$ 60$ apiece and assisted secretary Max Wigbout in making the formal presentation to Telethon on TV at Avalon Studios.
lawa's deputy mayor played 1 P-K4 to officially open the event and throughout the long hours at least 12 players were present, ten of these going the full distance.

As a by-product, the Tawa Chess Club, and chess generally, gained good publicity, and publicity for the club, in its first year, is the key to success.
The club has a vigorous committee
led by President Mrs Jan Bird, Max Wigbout and Club Captain Phil Clark. A lot of effort is being directed towards building up a junior club too and with attendances of something like 35 juniors and 22 seniors on a regular basis, the future is looking good.

Bernie McAuliffe, Tawa

*     *         * 

INCENTIVE OR ADDITIONAL BUREAUCRACY? Dear Sir,

I have recently had my wife 'stolen'
from me. It happened quite suddenly and she seemed very happy to succumb. The bipit (co-respondent?) is the local purpose $n$. CHESS readers with the excel1ent award system readers wis, the possible berefits for chess players The main point I wish to make is hat although she has only been playing since the beginning of this year (1978) she has already started along the road she has already started along the road structure. Can this ever be true for the average chess player?

Make no mistake, I doubt if she will ever reach this target, for (quite rightly) it is no giveaway and is placed beyond the reach of the average player BUT at least she has something longlasting to show for her efforts in club and tournament play.

Their system operates roughly as follows: dependent on its strength a tournament is allocated so many " $C$ ' points, e.g. in her first club tournament (which she and another first year player won) she picked up 24 C points. Once she has accumulated 100 of these $C$ points she will be awarded one master point. She must then get $x$ number of master points before being awarded a master title.

Obviously, only talented and consistent players will ever get to this elevated position but at least the average club player can see reward for his effort through the accumulation of points whenever he has some success. All I ever get is a momentary thrill, a few rating points (which disappear quickly enough) and a pears even more quickly). How nice (as mall book for ly successes, credit that can't be taken away Further bridge players seen to bridge players see to have an on-going By way of conclusion all I
y way of conclusion all I can say is
Contd on page 120

## World Championship 1978, Baguio City

The everts at Reykjavik and at the various Candidate Matches of the current cycle involving Viktor Korchnoi left us prepared for what might happen in the Philippines. In some ways the goings-on in Iceland pale into insignificance beside some of the non-chess happenings in the current cycle; while there seemed to be a great possibility that the 1972 match would never get off the ground or that, having started, it would fizzle out with Fischer's imminent departure, these things never happened. There were simiar rumours about 1978 but never anything concrete. .he big difference however, is that while Fischer and spassky retained each other's respect in 1972, during this cycle overtones round candidate Match between Korchnoi and Dolugaevsky was over quickly and and Bolugaevky was a non-even competitively speaking but, as could be expected, things past ant inathy between Petrosion tha korchnoi was well known is luck wula have it spassky scraped past Portisch for the spassk scraped past portioch third "Korchnoi vs USSR" clash up a felt, however that here was a meeting between kindred spirits as spassky too was something of a maverick and had been living putside the Soviet Union for some time after his marriage to renchwoman; even here, though relations became very strained.

In view of the foregoing, together with Korchnoi's charges of rank favour itism by the Soviet Chess Federation towards Anatoly Karpov in the 1974 didate Final, there seemed little chance of peace and gentlemanly conduct in Baguio. Those expecting trouble were not disappointed ....

## PRELUDE

The first row arose over flags. Korchnoi, having adopted Switzerland as his new home, wished to display the Swiss flag. Soviet chess offifills pposed this, maintaining that Korchnoi was "stateless" and should have no flag. solved this problem by ruling that neither player could use a flag. At the official opening ceremony on 17 July
the argument over flags and anthems came to an ironical end when a Philippino military band mistakenly played "The Internationale" instead of the Soviet National Anthem - Korchnoi, reportedly already seated, broke into laughter. Shortly after, Korchnoi won the draw for the white pieces in the first game. game one

18 July
With the two players agreeing that both chess sets supplied by the organisers were too light, a replacement set had to be brought from Manila, 250 km away. The new set arrived just in time for the start and was quickly approved by both players. The game itself was a isappointment, being over within two hours after neither player proved willing to risk anything so early in the match. Korchnoi - Karpov, Queen's Gambit: 1 c 4 Nf6 2 Nc 3 e6 $3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 4 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 5 \mathrm{Bg} 5$ $66 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \quad 0-0 \quad 7 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 8 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Bb} 79 \mathrm{Bd} 3$

 6 Nxe4 Nxe4 17 Bxe7 Qxe7 18 Nd 4 Rfc 8 ,

GAME TWO
The match came to life when Korchnoi produced a novelty on his 14 th move in a variation which Karpov had played several times recently. At Leningrad 1977 both Smyslov and Beljavsky played $14 \ldots$...Re8, the former drawing and the latter winning (on time). The champion eased his position with exchanges and the game was looking drawish by move 20 . Karpov - Korchnoi, Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Nxe4 d4 b5 7 Bb3 d5 8 dxe5 Be6 9 c3 $\mathrm{Bc}^{\mathrm{d}}$ $10 \mathrm{Nbd} 20-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Bf} 5 \quad 12 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 13$ Nxc5 Nxc5 14 Rel d4 15 h3 Bh5 16 cxd4 Bxf3 17 Qxf3 Nxd4 18 Qc3 Qd5 19 Be3 Nxc2 20 Qxc2 Nd3 21 Redl Rfd8 22 Qxc7 Qxe5 23 Qxe5 Nxe5 $24 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 25 \mathrm{Bb} 6$ Rxd1+ 26 Rxdl Rc8 27 Rd2 h5 28 Re3 Kf7 $29 \mathrm{f} 4, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
game three
23 July
Korchnoi mounted a strong attack on the kingside but lost his way on the 24 th move. Either 24 Re 5 or 24 Rg 3 were better and possibly winning. After this mistake Karpoo defended accurately to
chieve a draw.
Korchnoi - Karpov, Nimzoindian Defence: 1 c4 Nf6 2 d4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 c5 $5 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{cxd4} 6$ exd4 d5 $7 \mathrm{c5} \mathrm{Ne} 48 \mathrm{Bd} 2$ Nxd2 9 Qxd2 a5 10 a3 Bxc3 11 Nxc3 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}12 & \mathrm{Bd} 3 & \mathrm{a} 4 & 13 & 0-0 & 0-0 & 14 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{~g} 6\end{array}$ Rf3 Re8 19 Rfe3 Bc6 20 cxb6 Oxb6 21 g4 Qc7 22 f5 exf5 23 gxf5 Qd6


24 Rh3 Nxf5 25 Bxf5 gxf5 26 Rgl+ Kh8 27 Rh6 Re6 28 Rxe6 Qxe6 29 Qg5 Qg6 30 Qh4 Qe6, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

What started as a joke after the second game assumed menacing proportions before the fourth game. After that second game, Korchnoi lightheartedly protested that the blueberry yoghurt sent in to the champion during the game could have been part of a "secret code of instructions" to Karpov based on the colour of the yoghurt! Officials, afraid that it might escalate into a real problem, decided that there would be no misunderstanding if both players brought in refreshments at the start of he game and, accordingly, official lanned to instal refrigerators and toves in the playe the Karpov's yoghurt must be fresh tot frozen ot froze that Karpow could have a violet coloured yoghurt delivered at recisely 7.15 - but the colour and lavour could not be chaged without fficial permission. This culinary saga as dubbed by grandmasters and press Korchnoi' s complaint

GAME FOUR
25 JuTy
The first 13 moves were played in
just a few minutes with Korchnoi varying from his 14...d4 of the second game. Karpov could again make no headway and the draw was soon agreed.
Karpov - Korchnoi, Ruy Lopez: 1 e 4 e 5 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Nxe4 6 d4 b5 7 Bb3 d5 8 dxe5 Ве6 9 с3 Вc5 $10 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \quad 0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \quad \mathrm{Bf} 5 \quad 12 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 13$ Nxc5 Nxc5 $14 \operatorname{Re} 1$ Bh5 $15 \mathrm{~h} 3 \operatorname{Re} 816 \mathrm{Bf}$ Ne6 17 Bd2 Nc5 18 Bf4 Ne6 19 Bd2, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
The game was over so quickly that there was no time to deliver the yoghurt which had taken five days to arrange!
game five
27 July
As in the third game, Korchnoi took the initiative in a Nimzoindian and was reckoned to be close to a vital first win at the adjournment on move 42 and this was demonstrated to be a correct appraisal the following day when the game was resumed - but Korchnoi missed the challenger could have won easily after 55 Bf7 7 Kc 656 Qe $6+$ By the Korchnoi sealed his 92 nd move the time Karchnoi sealed his 92 nd move, the game third session on 30 July, however, and broke the record for the longest World Championshíp game; the previous longest was Tal - Botvinnik (20th game, 1961) which went to 121 moves and was also drawn.

It was during the final session of this game that the next crisis came to a head. Dr Vladimir Zukhar, a Soviet pront throughout the session. He was accused of beaming thought waves at the stage and Korchnoi's delegation chief Petra Leeuwerick demanded that he be moved from the front row. The Soviets agreed and the psychologist sat in the second row for the last session of the fifth game with Mrs Leeuwerick sitting behind him trying to disturb his concentration Korchnoi - Karpov, Nimzoindian Defence:
 Ne 2 d 56 a3 Bxc $3+7$ Nxc3 cxd4 8 exd4 12 Od3 Bb7 13 R 10 Be3 $0-0$ 11 $0-0$ b Bf2 Nfd5 16 Ba2 Nf4 17 Od2 Nf 6 Bbl Od7 19 h4 Rfd8 20 h5 Nf8 21 f6 22 Ne 4 Na 523 g 4 Rac 824 Rg 3 Ba6
 $28 \mathrm{Ba} 2 \mathrm{Qd} 7 \quad 29 \mathrm{Nd} 6 \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 30 \mathrm{Nxb} 7$ Oxb7 31 Qe3 Kh8 32 Rcl Nd5 33 Oe4 Od 734 Bbl 41 Bg 3 Qf 642 Rh 1 Nh 743 Be 5 Qg 544 Qxf5 Qd2+ $45 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Nhf6} 46 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \operatorname{Re} 847$ Be4 Ne7 48 Qh3 Rc8 49 Kh 4 Rc 150 Qg 3 Rxg1 51 Qxg1 Kg8 52 Qg $3 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 53 \mathrm{Bg} 6+$ Ke6 54 Qh3t Kd5


55 Be4+ Nxe4 56 fxe4+ Kxe4 57 Qg4+ Kd 3 58 Qf3+ Qe $3 \quad 59 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Qxf} 3+\quad 60 \mathrm{Kxf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6$

 Kxb5 $71 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \mathrm{Ka} 672 \mathrm{Ke} 6 \mathrm{Ka} 7 \quad 73 \mathrm{Kd} 7 \mathrm{~Kb} 7$ $74 \mathrm{Be} 7 \mathrm{Ka} 7 \quad 75 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Ka} 876 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \mathrm{Ka} 777$

 84 Kf6 Kd4 85 Ke6 Ke4 86 Bf8 Kd4 87 Kd6 Ke4 $88 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 4 \quad 89 \mathrm{Ke} 6 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \quad 90 \mathrm{Ke} 5$ Kg4 91 Bf6 Kh5 92 Kf5 Kh6 93 Bd4 Kh7 94 Kf6 Kh6 95 Be3+ Kh5 96 Kf5 Kh4 97 Bd2 $\mathrm{Kg} 398 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 399 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \quad 100 \mathrm{Bd} 6$ Kf3 $101 \mathrm{Bh} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \quad 102 \mathrm{Bc} 7 \mathrm{Kf} 3103 \mathrm{Bd} 6$ Ke3 $104 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{l} 105 \mathrm{Kd} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \quad 106 \mathrm{Kc} 5$ Kf5 107 Kxb 5 Ke6 108 Kc6 Kf6 109 Kd 7 $\mathrm{Kg} 7110 \mathrm{Be} 7 \mathrm{Kg} 8111 \mathrm{Ke} 6 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 112 \mathrm{Be} 5$ Kg8 $113 \mathrm{Kf} 6 \mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 114 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 115 \mathrm{Bd} 4+$ Kh7 $116 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 6 \quad 117 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \mathrm{Kg} 6 \quad 118 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ Kf5 $\quad 119 \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 120 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 6 \quad 121 \mathrm{Bc} 1+$ Kh7 122 Bd2 Kh8 $123 \mathrm{Bc} 3+\mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 124 \mathrm{Bg} 7$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
GAME SIX
29 July
The sixth game was played, as scheduled, before the conclusion of the twice adjourned fifth game. Karpov equalised without much trouble.
Karpov - Korchnoi, English: 1 c4 e5 2 Nc3 Nf6 3 Nf3 Nc6 $4 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 5 \mathrm{Bg} 20-0$ $60-0$ e 47 Ne 1 Bxc3 8 dxc3 h6 9 Nc 2 Re8 10 Ne3 d6 11 Qc2 a5 12 a4 Qe7 13 Nd5 Nxd5 14 cxd5 Nb8 $15 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bf5} 16 \mathrm{~h} 3$


Kh2 $\operatorname{Re} 721$ Bd4 f6 22 Racl Qe8 23 Qe3, $\frac{1}{2}$ : $\frac{1}{2}$.
game seven
1 August
The seventh game got under way after further complaints from the Korchnoi camp over Dr Zukhar's seating arrangements. Korchnoi opened l d4 for the first time in the match, met by Karpov with the third Nimzoindian. The challenger's 6 d 5 appears to be new and Karpov's reply, the pawn sacxifice 6...b5 was generally agreed to be best. The position reached was very sharp and Karpov later gave up the exchange (move 15), his compensation comprisiag his massive pawn centre. In contrast to the previous games where Korchnoi sat
hunched over the board throughout, the challenger retired to his backstage room immediately after his every move. By move 30 the position was still unclear but, with time pressure coming on, Korchnoi erred on his 32nd (better was 32 a4) and three moves later, with ten minutes left on his clock, he allowed the advance $35 \ldots$..d 4 leaving Karpov well on top. At the adjournment almost everyone agreed that Black was winning and that Korchnoi might resign rather than continue play. The counterplay Korchnoi had gained was, however, sufficient and Karpov, rather than give the challenger a chance to demonstrate agreed to a draw immediately upon seeing greed to a draw immediately upon seeing the sealed move

Korchnoi - Karpov, Nimzoindian Defence 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 0-0 Bd3 c5 6 d5 b5 7 dxe6 fxe6 8 cxb5 bb7 $9 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 510 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{0} \mathrm{Nbd7} 11 \mathrm{Ne} 2$ Qe8 12 Ng 3 e5 $13 \mathrm{Bf5} \mathrm{~g} 614 \mathrm{Bh} 3 \mathrm{a} 615 \mathrm{Ng} 5$ axb5: 16 Ne6 c4 17 Bd2 Bc5 18 Nc 7 Qe7 19 Nxa8 Rxa8 20 a3 Nb6 21 Qc2 Bc8 22 Bxc8 Rxc8 23 Ba5 Nbd7 24 Qd2 Bd6 25 Bb4 Nc5 26 Bxc5 Bxc5 27 Khl Qd6 28 Radl Kh8 29 Qc2 Qe6 30 Ne 2 Qc6 31 h 3 Re8 $32 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Bb} 6 \quad 33 \mathrm{Qb} 2 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 34 \mathrm{Rfel} \mathrm{Kf} 7$ 35 Qc2 d4 $36 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 8 \quad 37$ exd4 exd4 38 Qd2 d3 39 Qh6 c3 40 Ne4 Nxe4 41 Qxh7+ Kf8 $42 \mathrm{Qh} 8+, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
Play might have continued 42...Kf7 43 Qh7 + and 1) $43 \ldots$ Ke8 $44098+K d 745$ Rxd3+ Kc8 46 Rxd8+ Bxd8 $47 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{KC7} 48$ Qf7+ Kb8 49 Qg8 with dynamic equality as neither side can undertake anything without undue risk, or 2) $43 . .$. Kf6 44 Qh4+ kg 7 ( $44 \ldots$...Ke5 $45 \mathrm{Qg} 3+$ is too danQxe8 47 Rxd 3 Qel $+48 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 7+49 \mathrm{~g} 3$

Oxf2+ 50 Khl Ofl +51 Kh 2 Qxd3 52 Qe7t with perpetual check.

## GAME EIGHT

3 August
Karpov rejected the normal handshake at the start of the game, thus giving up even the pretence of courtesy between the rivals. Korchnoi produced a dubious novelty on his tenth move after Karpov had varied with 9 Nbd2 (instead of 9 c 3 as in games 2 and 4). Afterwards, Korch noi admitted it was a gamble. Karpov sacrificed a pawn to open up lines to the black king which was marooned in the centre. The champion concluded brilliantly.
Karpov - Korchnoi, Ruy Lopez: 1 e 4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 $4 \mathrm{Ba} 4 \mathrm{Nf6} 50-0$ Nxe4 6 d4 b5 7 Bb3 d5 8 dxe5 Be6 9

 16 Nxc4 dxc4 17 Bc2 Nd3 18 Bh6 bf8 19 Rad1 Qd5 20 Bxd3 cxd3 21 Rxd3 Qc6 22 Bxf8 Qb6+ $23 \mathrm{KhI} \mathrm{Kxf8} 24$ Of3 Re8 25 Nh6 Rg7 26 Rd7 Rb8 27 Nxf7 Bxd7 28 Nd8+, 1 : 0 .

Korchnoi refused to sign the scoresheets in retaliation, he said, for Karpov's refusal to shake hands earlier

## GAME NINE

6 August
Korchnoi could not have had much to be happy about at this stage of the match - throughout the first two weeks of the match he had made all the running and could well have been two up after five games; but here he was one down after eight. It would not have been surprising to see the challenger take a time-out following the eighth game setback, but the ninth was played on the Sunday as scheduled.

Korchnoi avoided the Nimzoindian and gained a slight advantage which he eventually converted to a pawn. The scanty material remaining at the adjournment, however, precluded Korchnoi's winning and the draw was agreed without further play.
Korchnoi - Karpov, Queen's Gambit: 1 c4 Nf6 2 Ne3 e6 3 Nf 3 d 54 d 4 Be 7 5 Bf4 0-0 6 e3 c5 7 dxc5 Bxc5 8 Qc2 Nc6 9 Rd1 Qa5 10 a3 Be7 11 Nd2 e5 $12 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 13 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Od} 8 \quad 14 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 15$ Bxf6 Bxf6 16 0-0 Be6 $17 \mathrm{Nc5}$ Qe7 18 Nxe6 Qxe6 19 Nd5 Rad8 20 Bd3 Ne7 21 Nxf6+ Qxf6 22 exd4 exd4 23 Rfel Rd7 24 Re4 Ne6 25 Qe2 g6 26 Rel Kg7 27
$64 \mathrm{b6} \quad 28 \mathrm{Qg} 4 \mathrm{Rfd8} \quad 29 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{Og} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 6$
 Rh2 axb4 35 axb4 Qxb4 36 Rb 5 Qd2 37 Kh2 Qe3 38 Rxb6 Ra8 39 Qxe3 dxe3 40 Rb2 Ra3 41 Be 4 Rc 3 , $\frac{1}{2}$ : $\frac{1}{2}$

Hereabouts Karpov submitted a formal protest charging that Chief Arbiter Lothar Schmid, the West German grandmaster who also refereed the FischerSpassky match, favoured the challenger. This arose from Schmid heeding a protest by Korchnoi aides to have Dr Zukhar shifted back further in the audience; he was shunted by degrees from the first, to the second, fifth and finally seventh row. Korchnoi aides wanted him thrown out altogether or seated with Soviet officials in the upper gallery.

Later Korchnoi aides said their man was no longer speaking to the champion. This followed a row between the two camps over a Soviet demand for security checks on British, israeli, Dutch and Swiss citizens present (Korchnol's seconds, Keene and Stean, are British and his chief aide, Petra Leeuwerick, is Dutch). After organisers said such checks were impossible, the demand was Thew.

The hypnotism row also seemed to be settled after a ruling by the Match Jury Zukhar should not have beent to the severth bur to the sevid row but should stay ther now.

## GAME TEN

8 August
This followed game 8 until Black's 10th move where Korchnoi played the normal 10...d4. Now Karpov came up with a brilliant novelty in 11 Ng 5 instead of the previously played 11 cxd4 Nxd4 12 Nxd4 Qxd4 13 Bxe6 Nxe6 14 Qf3 Rd8 15 a4 Bb4 with equality. Korchnoi spent 43 minutes deciding to decline the offer of plece. Karpov had the better ending after the exchange of queens but his giving up the bishop pair on move 21 was not best and Korchnoi seemed to be drawing after this. Although Karpov had plenty of time in hand while Korchnoi as in time trouble over the last ten ove the the control, it was the at sufficig shough ot sufficiently to have any real win ing chances.

Karpov - Korchnoi, Ruy Lopez: 1 e 4 e5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 Bb5 a6 $4 \mathrm{Ba} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \mathrm{~S}^{5} 0-0$ Nxe4 6 d4 b5 7 вb3 d5 8 dxe5 Ве6 9 Nbd2

Nc5 10 c 3 d4 $11 \quad \mathrm{Ng} 5$ dxc3 12 Nxe6 fxe6 13 bxc3 Qd3 14 Nf 3 Qxd1 $15 \mathrm{Bxd1} \mathrm{Be} 7$ 16 Be3 Nd 317 Bb 3 Kf7 18 Rad 1 Ndxe5 19 Nxe5 Nxe5 20 Bf4 Nc4 21 Bxc4 bxc4 22 Rd4 Bd6 23 Be 3 Rhb 824 Rxc 4 Rb 225
 $\mathrm{Rb} 1+29 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rbal} 30 \mathrm{Rh} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 31 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \mathrm{e} 5$ $32 \mathrm{Ba} 7 \mathrm{Ke} 633 \mathrm{Rcg} 4 \mathrm{Be} 7{ }^{3} 34 \mathrm{Rh5} \mathrm{Bf} 6 \quad 35$
 Ra5 39 Bxe5 Bxe5 40 Rhxe5 Rxe5 41 Rxe5 Ra4 42 Re4 Ra5 43 h 4 h 544 Rf 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ : $\frac{1}{2}$.

Karpov offered the draw verbally, only to be rebuffed by Korchnoi exclaiming, "What do you think you are doing by speaking to me. I will not speak to you. Karpov then made the offer through the referee and Korchnoi accepted, again through the referee, after ten minutes thought.

Schmid had not refereed this game saying he was tired, but it bacame clear that the chief arbiter was waiting for an apology or explanation from Karpov for the attack on his integrity a few days earlier. Karpov's official protest had said, "This (referring to the Zukhar episode - Ed), as well as some ther actions on the part of the Chief Arbiter, generate doubts as to his objectiveness and fairness." Officials were reportably worried that a new twist might be added to World Chess Championship history - a walkout by the referee!

## GAME ELEVEN

10 August
In a departure from previous games in the match, Korchnoi played the noncommittal 1 g 3 , surprisingly transposing the game into a Sicilian on his third move - neither player normally plays these variations. Several mistakes by Karpov (moves 22, 25 and 26) allowed the challenger a winning position. Fast play meant the game was completed in the first session. Karpov resigned as Korchnoi was sealing 51 Qf2, after which his king would have escaped the checks.
Korchnoi - Karpov, Closed Sicilian: $1 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$


 19 Bg 4 e6 $20 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Na} 5 \quad 21 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \mathrm{Qe} 8 \quad 22$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Nb} 7 & 23 \mathrm{Nxb} 7 \mathrm{Rxb} 7 & 24 \text { Rdcl Qd7 } 25\end{array}$
 Ва6 Rcb8 29 Вxb7 Rxb7 30 Ra3 h6 31 Rac3 Nb5 $32 \mathrm{Rc} 8+\mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 33 \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 34$
$\mathrm{Kg} 2 \quad$ Qf7 $\quad 35 \quad$ Qc2 $25 \quad 36 \mathrm{~g} 4$ fxe5 $\quad 37$ fxe5 a4 $38 \mathrm{Ra} 8 \mathrm{Na} 7 \quad 39 \mathrm{Ra} 6 \mathrm{Qe} 7 \quad 40 \mathrm{Rxa4} \mathrm{Rc} 7$ 41 Qb 3 Nc 642 Ral Nb 443 Rcl Rc 444 Rb8 Rxcl 45 Bxcl Qc7 46 Rxb4 Qxc 1 Qd3 $\mathrm{h} 5 \mathrm{R}^{48} \mathrm{Rb} 6 \mathrm{Bh} 6{ }^{49} \mathrm{gx}$
$\mathrm{Qg} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 2+\quad 51 \mathrm{Qf} 2,1: 0$.

## game TwELVE

15 August
This game was postponed for three days at Karpov's request. In the fifth Open Ruy Lopez, Karpov again varled on his minth, eschewing the chance to repeat his novelty of the tenth game. The idea of White's 9 Qe2 is to pressure the black d-pawn. They followed HübnerDemarre (Dresden 1969) for the first 15 moves but, where Demarre continued $16 .$. Nxb3 17 axb3 Qb6 18 Qxb6 cxb6 19 b4 leaving his knight embarrassed, Rorchno offered the queen swap a move earlier. On move 24 Korchnoi temporarily sacrificed a pawn, regained it, then offered another on move 28 to get his rook to the seventh.
Karpov - Korchnoi, Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 $50-0$ Nxe4 $6 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{~d} 58$ dxe5 Ве6 9 Qe2 Be7 10 Rd1 0-0 11 c4 bxc4 12 Bxc4 Bc5 13 Be3 Bxe3 14 Oxe3 Qb8 15 Bb3 Na5 16 Ne1 Qb6 17 Qxb6 cxb6 18 f3 Nxb3 19 axb3 Nc5 $20 \quad \mathrm{~b} 4$ Nd7 21 Nd 3 g5 22 Nc3 Rfe8 23 Nf2 d4 $24 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{~d} 3 \quad 25 \mathrm{Nxd3} \mathrm{Bc} 4$ 26 Ng 3 Bxd3 27 Rxd3 Nxe5 28 Rd5 Ng 6 29 Rxg5 Rc2 $30 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 2 \quad 31 \mathrm{Nf5} \mathrm{Rxb} 3 \quad 32$ $\mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 833 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 734 \mathrm{Nxe} 7 \mathrm{Kxe} 735 \mathrm{Re} 1+$ $\mathrm{Kff} 8 \quad 36 \mathrm{Re} 4 \mathrm{a5} 37 \mathrm{Reg} 4 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 38 \mathrm{bxa5}$ Rxa5 39 h 6 Rxg 540 Rxg 5 b 541 Rg 7 Rb1+ 42 Kh2 Rdl 43 Rxh7 Rd8 44 Rg7, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

Karpov offered a draw on his 43rd move but Korchnol angrily rejected it with a wave of his hand, having earlier insisted that draws be offered through the arbiter. As he handed over the sealed envelope, Korchnoi reiterated his position, "I will offer a draw through the referee." Schmid understood this to mean that Korchnoi was in fact offering a draw and he relayed the offer to Karpov who accepted. When it turned out that Korchnoi had not intended any offer, Schmid apologised to the challenger who agreed to let the draw stand. Korchnoi later added, "I made it clear that I don't want any communication with him and if he does it again I'll call him a bandit." Karpov, however, insisted he had a right to make direct offers of draws.

GAME THIRTEEN

In the second Queen's Gambit, Tartakower Variation of the match Korchnoi adopted the less known 7 Rcl and, with his 10 g 3 , initiated a new strategy, eminiscent of the Tarrasch, against the advantage, surprisingly rejected the apparently strong 32 e 4 , and later sacrificed the exchange for a pawn on nove 38 when there were other strong possibilities. Nevertheless, Korchnoi's chances were preferable at the adjournment, but his using 40 minutes on sealing his 4lst left him short of time for the next fifteen moves, and a horrible blunder on move 56 allowed Karpov a simple win.
Korchnoi - Karpov, Queen's Gambit: 1 c4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{e} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 4 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Be} 7$
 0 x 0 13 14 12 0-0 Qd6 13 eJ 17 g4 Be6 18 h 3 ff8 019 Re6 Ng 20 Qd3 Rad8 21 Rc 2 57523 Nc 3 Rd 6 $\mathrm{Ne} \mathrm{L} 4 \mathrm{Bf} 25 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{~b} \quad 27 \mathrm{ab} 3 \mathrm{Na} 8 \quad 28$ a bxa4 29 Qxa $4 \mathrm{Nb} 630 \mathrm{Ob} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 831 \mathrm{Nf4}$ xa4 49 Qxat 33 Qxa
 Re7 38 Rxc4 dxc4 39 Qxc4 Qf5 40 Nd3 Bg7 41 Ra7 Rdf6 42 Rxf7 Rxf7 43 d5 Be5 $44 \mathrm{dxc} 6 \mathrm{Kg} 745 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{Qg} 5+46 \mathrm{KfI}$ Bd6 47 Bd5 $\operatorname{Re} 748$ Bf3 h5 49 Bdl Qf5
 $\begin{array}{llllll}50 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Re} 4 & 51 & \mathrm{Qc} 3+\mathrm{Qf6} & 52 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{Qf} 5 \\ \mathrm{Ob} 7+\mathrm{Re} 7 & 54 & \mathrm{Ob} 2+\mathrm{Kh} 7 & 55 \mathrm{Od} 4 \mathrm{Bc} 7 & 56\end{array}$ Qh4?? Re4 57 f4 Bb6 58 Bc2 Rxe3+ 59 Kd2 Qa5+ 60 Kdl Qal+ $61 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Re} 4,0: 1$

## game fourteen

19 August
Another Open Ruy, with Karpov reverting to the 9 c 3 of games 2 and 4, but diverging on move 13 from the earlier games. $\infty$ g4 Bg but it further; it can cow had that it was Korchnoi that be noted that Encyclopaedia wrote that section 2 rooks + bishop each (opposite colour bishops) had been reached with Karpov holding the initiative. The champion sacrificed the exchange for one pawn on move 29 with the promise of eventually winning the pawn offside at $f 3$ as well; this he accomplished just before the adjournment, by which time the win was just a matter of technique. The game only last ed another nine moves when it was
resumed the following day soon after the challenger had resigned the also adjourned thirteenth game. Thus Karpov won tw games within the space of one hour take a commanding 1 lell be rememered to score six wins. It will be these two hat in the 1 Karpor took a 3 -nil lead (but fought back to win the 19th and 21 st fought back
111 121 . imilar comeck in this match?

Karpov - Korchnoi, Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 $\mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Ba4} \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 5 \quad 0-0$ Nxe $6 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~b} 57 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{~d} 588 \mathrm{dxe5} \mathrm{Be} 6{ }^{9} \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Bc5}$ $10 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \quad 0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \quad \mathrm{Bf} 5 \quad 12 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 13$ h3 Bh5 14 g 4 Bg6 15 Bxe4 dxe $4 \quad 16$ Nxc exf3 17 Bf 4 Qxd 18 Raxdl Nd8 19 Rd 7 Ne6 20 Nxe6 fxe6 21 Be3 Rac8 22 Rfd1 Be4 23 Bc5 Rfe8 24 R 7 d 4 Bd5 25 b 3 a $26 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Ra} 827 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Ra} 628 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Rc} 6 \quad 29$ Rxd5 exd5 30 Rxd5 Rce6 31 Bd 4 c6 32 Rc5 Rf8 33 a4 bxa4 34 bxa4 g6 35 Rxa
 Rcc8 39 Bd6 Ra8 40 Rxc6 Rxa4 41 Kxf 3 h5 42 gxh 5 gxh5 $43 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Ra} 2 \quad 44 \mathrm{Rb} 6 \mathrm{Kf7}$ 45 c5 Ra4 46 c6 Ke6 47 c7 Kd7

## GAME FIFTEEN

22 August
Karpov introduced a new strategy in this game - rocking from side to side in his new (the third) swivel chair. This infuriated.Korchnoi who complained bitterly to the referee. In a throw-back to the Candidates Final match against Spassky, Korchnoi began working out his moves from his rest chalr at the side of the stage, using the giant demonstration oard intended for the audience - but several moves later Schmid persuaded him to return to the board instead of rush ing to and fro.

Korchnoi again avoided the Nimzoindian instead directing the game into Catalan hannels. By the time queens were ex hanged the position was already looking drawn.
Korchnoi - Karpov, Queen's Gambit:
1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 e6 3 Nf3 d5 4 d 4 Be 7 g3 0-0 6 Bg2 dxc4 7 Ne5 Ne6 8 Вxe6 bxc6 9 Nxc6 Qe8 10 Nxe7+ Qxe7 11 Qa4 c5 12 Qxc4 cxd4 13 Qxd4 e5 14 Qh4 Rb8 15 Bg5 Rxb2 I6 0-0 Qe6 17 Bxf6 Qxf6 18 Qxf6 gxf6 19 Rab1 Rxb1 20 Rxbl Be $21 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 22 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 23 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 24$ Rc2 Bxa2 $25 \mathrm{Rxa} 2, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

The argument over chairs continued on the rest day when Karpov said Korchnoi's chair was too high, thus giving the challenger the advantage of looking down. Retorted Petra Leeuwerick, Korchnoi's delegation chlef, 'Let's just cut off Viktor's head, then they will be the same height and neither will have an advantage. It is not known whether the Soviet camp endorsed thís suggestion,

## game sixteen

24 August
Despite a powerful storm with heavy rain, high winds, landslides and a power failure (the playing hall has its own generator), the sixteenth game was played on schedule. Korchnoi adopted the French Defence for the first time in the match, although he had used it seven
match and seven times against Spassky (for 4 wins, 1 loss and 2 draws) in the Candidates Final. Of course Spassky was prone to allow the Winawer which gives good chances for both sides, whereas Karpov is a staunch Tarrasch man. The current game followed Euwe - Botvinnik World Championship, Moscow 1948) up to lack's 12 th when Euwe continued 13 Bc 3 Nc6 14 0-0-0 Bxf2 15 Bxg 7 and drew in 29 moves. Karpov, however, retained a small edge until shortly before the adjournment when he let it slip, perhaps trying to take advantage of Korchnoi's time trouble.
Karpov - Korchnoi, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 c5 4 exd5 exd5 Bb5 Nxc5 9 Qe2+ Qe7 7 Bxd7+ Nxd7 axb 3 Bc5 12 Bd2 Ne7 13 Nf4 $0-0 \quad 140-0$ Rfd8 15 Nd 3 Bb 616 c 3 f 6 18 Kfl Nf5 19 Rel 16 c3 6617 Rfdl Kf d3 Rad8 22 Rad1 Ke6 23 Nd2 Nc 64 Rd3 Rad8 22 Rad1 Ke6 $23 \mathrm{Bd2}$ Nc6 24
 d2 Ke6 31 Ra6 R5b6 32 Ra Kd6 33 Ke $\mathrm{Re} 7+34 \mathrm{Kd3}$ a6 $35 \mathrm{Rdl} \mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 36 \mathrm{Kall} \mathrm{Kd} 2$ 37 f 3 Re5 38 Kd4 Kc 7 Kc7 36 Raal Kd8 Rxel 41 Rxel a5 42 bxa5, $1 / 2: 1 / 2$

## GAME SEVENTEEN

27 August
Korchnoi refused to make his first move after noticing Dr Zukhar sitting in the fourth row of the hall; he gave the organisers ten minutes to remove him, threatening to do it himself with his ist if they didn't. After consultation, the organisers moved the whole audience back several rows. Meanwhile Kachnoi
had lost 13 minutes on his clock. Later match organiser Florencio Campomanes stated that in future he would not move the audience back at the challenger's request.

In the fourth Nimzoindian of the match, Korchnoi repeated his novelty of the seventh game with Karpov varying on his eighth move. Even so the game to on the unclear nature of the earlier game with Karpov having a great central pawn advantage for his sacrificed pawn Korchnoi, however, gained the advanta when he was able to consolidate his extra pawn although the challenger later gave back but regained it a few moves ater. The position again became unclea or rork gave up two milly Korchnoi lundeo and two pawns. had become a before position, thus giving Karpov his fourth in.
Korchnoi - Karpov, Nimzoindian Defence: 1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 e6 $3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bb} 4 \quad 4$ e $30-0$ 5 Bd3 c5 6 d5 b5 7 dxe6 fxe6 8 cxb5
 Bxb5 Bxc3 13 bxc3 Ba6 14 Rbl Qd6 15 c4 d4 16 Ng 3 Nc 617 a4 Na5 18 Qd3 Qe6 Bxa6 Oxa6 23 Oxa6 Rxa6 24 fa Rxc5 22 ff 5 Kf7 26 fxe5 Rxe5 27 Rb5 Nc4 Rb7+ Ke6 29 Nxd4+ Kd5 27 Rb5 Nc4 28 Nxe5 Kxe5 32 Re7+ Kd4 33 Rxg7 Nc4 34 $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ \mathrm{Rf} 4+\mathrm{Ne} 4 & 35 \mathrm{Rd} 7+\mathrm{Ke} 3 & 33 & \mathrm{Rxg} 7 & \mathrm{Nc} 4 \\ 34\end{array}$ Rxh7 Ned2 38 Ra3 Re6 39 Ral? Nf3+, 0 : 1.

The 18th game was scheduled for Tuesday 29 th August, but Korchnoi called a postponement and headed for Manila, leaving Keene in charge of his delegaion with the responsibility of trying to smooth some rather ruffled feathers. The challenger, however, did not help matters in Baguio by giving a television interview in which he accused the match organisers of discriminating against him in their refusals to deal with Dr Zukhar as Korchnoi wished. His seconds, back in Baguio, nevertheless managed to negotiate new conditions with their Soviet counterparts and Korchnoi accepted these. Thus the most serious crisis so far was ove and the match could.go on, even if the rivals were still not on speaking terms

This game by game report will be continued in December. Korchnoi pulled one back in the 21st, thus 4:2 after 23 games.

## Auckland University Open

## Report: Nigel Metge

|  |  | Club | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | T'1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Green E.M. | Howick-Pakuranga | W16 | W9 | W26 | W7 | D3 | 4/21 | 16 |
| 2 | Jensen K. | Hamilton | W21 | W4 | W25 | D3 | W8 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 16 |
| 3 | Sarapu 0. | North Shore | W12 | W5 | W10 | D2 | D1 | 4 | 19.5 |
| 4 | Marsick B.H.P. | Auckland | W14 | L2 | W33 | W31 | W11 | 4 | 13.5 |
| 5 | Metge J.N. | Auck.University | W31 | L3 | W28 | W25 | W17 | 4 | 12 |
| 6 | Steadman M. | Auckland | D8 | W11 | D7 | W18 | D9 | $3{ }^{1}{ }_{2}$ | 16 |
| 7 | Storchenegger 0. | Auckland | W27 | W17 | D6 | Ll | W13 | 31/2 | 15.5 |
| 8 | Brimble M.T. | Waitemata | D6 | W12 | W34 | W19 | L2 | 31/2 | 15 |
| 9 | Spiller P.S. | Auckland | W20 | L1 | W37 | W15 | D6 | 31/2 | 14.5 |
| 10 | Scott M. | - | W18 | W27 | L3 | D17 | W19 | 31/2 | 13.5 |
| 11 | Watson B.R. | Auck.University | W22 | L6 | W29 | W26 | L4 | 3 | 14 |
| 12 | Taylor R. | Howick-Pakuranga | L3 | L8 | W35 | W30 | W23 | 3 | 13.5 |
| 13 | Putt T, | Auckland | W35 | D20 | L19 | W32 | D7 | 3 | 12 |
| 14 | Zyp F. | North Shore | L.4 | W29 | L18 | W38 | W25 | 3 | 11.5 |
| 15 | Holster A. | Auck.University | L17 | W32 | W38 | L9 | W26 | 3 | 10.5 |
| 16 | Walden G. | Papatoetoe | L1 | L25 | W40 | W28 | W27 | 3 | 10.5 |
| 17 | Weir P.B. | North Shore | W15 | L7 | W23 | D10 | L5 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 16.5 |
| 18 | McCarthy Miss K. | Howick-Pakuranga | L10 | W39 | W14 | L6 | D21 | 2 ${ }^{2}$ | 13.5 |
| 19 | Tan C.C. | Auck.University | W39 | D22 | W13 | L8 | L10 | 21/2 | 13.5 |
| 0 | Vermeer W.J. | Auck.University | L9 | D13 | L31 | W29 | W34 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 11.5 |
| 21 | Trundle G.E. | Auckland | L2 | L26 | W39 | W37 | D18 | 21/2 | 11 |
| 22 | Bennell D. | Parnell | L11 | D19 | D30 | D33 | W32 | 21/2 | 10.5 |
| 23 | Ball T. | Birkdale North | W40 | D31 | L17 | W24 | L12 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9.5 |
| 4 | Corbett P.D. | Auck.University | D30 | D34 | D32 | L23 | W31 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 |
| 25 | Pomeroy D.M. | Auck.University | W33 | W16 | L2 | L5 | L14 | 2 | 16 |
| 6 | Shead D.B. | North Shore | W37 | W21 | L1 | L11 | L15 | 2 | 14 |
| 27 | Morrison M.K. | Auckl and | L7 | L10 | W36 | W34 | L16 | 2 | 13 |
| 28 | Edmonds L. | Howick-Pakuranga | L32 | W36 | L5 | L16 | W33 | 2 | 11.5 |
| 29 | Falk G. | Auckland | W36 | L14 | L11 | L20 | W37 | 2 | 11 |
| 30 | Povel F. | Waitemata | D24 | L37 | D22 | L12 | W38 | 2 | 10 |
| 1 | Kasmara A.H. | 13/2 | 36 | Fer | ando |  |  | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 32 | Spencer-Smith P.A. | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 37 |  | and $M$ |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3 | Williams R.G. | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 38 | Aus | in P . |  |  | 1 |  |
| 4 | Taylor H. | $1 \frac{1}{1}$ | 39 | Hen | s S . |  |  | 1 |  |
| 35 | Newman N. | 11/2 | 40 | B1a | kwood | A.R. |  | 0 |  |

Well, another A.U. Open has come and gone - 100 games played and eight dozen ples consumed. As usual, the open, held on the weekend of 15-16 July, was a five round Swiss with $11 \frac{1}{2}$ hours per player for each game. The Director of Play, Mike tivingston, conservatively accelerate only the first two rounds.

After an opening speech by Auckland niversity Chess Club patron Dr W.A airhurst, the somewhat smaller and he firt yeare oo surprises except that highly rated

Kichael Steadmàn only drew against Mark Brimble. Kai Jensen had a difficult win against experienced regular George Trun dle in a repeat of last year's first round.

The second round, being accelerated, brought the top ten players into conflict with each other but Sarapu, Green and Jensen, in a class of their own, on agnst Metge, Spiller and Marsick espectively. The lirst sign of Per heneger, rated 300 points below

Round three brought the two halve
of the field together again with pre－ dictable results．The top 14 players all won except for Steadman and Storcheneg－ ger who drew with each other．This left Sarapu，Green and Jensen a clear point ahead of the field and one or two of these players surely had to come first． Thus Kai and Ortvin took a quick draw whe Green beat Storchenegger．It was easy to calculate that in the last round Sarapu would meet Green but Jen draw against M Scort who was of payers 5 to 9 all won thus bringing phe within a them wi

In the event，Sarapu and Green had a difficult draw while Jensen beat Brim－ ble allowing Green and Jensen to share first prize（ $\$ 75$ each）just as in 1976. Sarapu was relegated to third equal Metge who had won against Weir（a dismal game it was too！）．
Grade prizes（ $\$ 30$ each）were won by M．Brimble，T．Putt and M．Scott．

All－in－all a good，well－run tourna－ ment but the fast time limit and patchy play by most players precluded much ＂intelligent＂chess being played．
0．Sarapu－M．Scott，Petroff Defence： 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf 6 d 3 d3 Nc6 4 Be 2 d 6 5 c3 Be7 $6 \mathrm{Nbd} 20-07$ Qc2 h6 8 Nfl Be6 $9 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 10 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 411 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 4$
 a3 a4 16 Rael Qd 717 Nh 2 Na 518 f 4 Bb3 19 Qcl c5 20 f5 Bd6 21 Rf3 Nc6 22 Bxh6 gxh6 23 Qxh6 Ne5 24 Qg5＋Kh7 $25 \mathrm{Rf} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 26 \mathrm{fxg} 6+$ fxg6 $27 \mathrm{Rh} 4+\mathrm{Kg} 7$ $28 \mathrm{Nf} 5+\mathrm{Kf7} 29$ Nxd6＋Qxd6 30 e5， $1: 0$. D．Shead－E．M．Green，Sicilian Defence： 1 e4 c5 2 d3 Nc6 3 Nf3 g6 4 g 3 Bg 7 $5 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 60-0$ e5 $7 \mathrm{Nb} 2 \mathrm{Nge}^{2} 8 \mathrm{Re} 1$ $0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{Nf} 1 \mathrm{~h} 610$ Ne3 Be6 $11 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{f5} 12$ exf5 gxf5 13 Nh2 f4 14 Nefl Qd7 15 $\begin{array}{lllllll}g 4 & \mathrm{Ng} 6 & 16 & \mathrm{~g} 5 & \mathrm{Nxh} 4 & 17 & \mathrm{gxh} 6 \\ \mathrm{Nxg} 2 & 18\end{array}$ Kxg2 Bd5＋ 19 Kg 1 Nd 420 Nd 2 f 321 Ne4 Qh3， 0 ： 1.
O．Sarapu－E．M．Green，Sicilian Defence： 1 e4 c5 2 Ne2 e6 3 Nbc3 Nc6 4 g 3 Nf6
 exd5 $9 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 10$ Ncd5 $\mathrm{Nxd5} 11 \mathrm{Nxd5}$


 24 Rxe2 $\mathrm{f} 6 \quad 25 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Kf7} \quad 26 \mathrm{Bcl} \mathrm{g} 6 \quad 27$ Hb2 Ne5 28 Re 4 Re 829 Kfl Nc 630

Rxe8 Kxe8 $31 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Kd} 732 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{Nd} 5$ Ke6 $34 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 35 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{f} 5, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ ．
B：H：P．Marsick－B．R．Watson，Modern Def： $1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{c} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 5$ e5 h5 6 Nf3 Bg4 7 Be3 Nh $68 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Nf5}$


 bxc4 20 bxc4 $0-0$ ？ 21 c5 Nd7 22 Qg4 N68 23 Bd3 Kh7 24 Be3 Rh8 25 f5 exf5 26 Bxf5 Rg8 27 Qh5＋， $1: 0$.

## 宔 要 宔

## LOCAL NEWS

In the August issue we gave the brief scores of the CANTERBURY CLUB＇S 40－40 TOURNAMENT，played 27／28 May．Vernon Small＇s following report was，unfortu－ nately，not received in time．

Following the success of the Upper Hutt Chess Club＇s 40－40 tournament， Canterbury decided to spend its modest account labelled＂tournaments＂on a similar venture．It was resolved to hold an eight round tournament with 5 rounds on the Saturday and 3 on the Sunday． Being almost a last minute decision，it was necessary to hold the tournament in the club rooms so no great amount of effort was made to draw in the rank and file of non－club members as Upper Hutt do so successfully．
In recent years，Canterbury＇s problems in chess have stemmed not from a lack of strong players，but from the fact that for various reasons many of the best players are not active．It was hoped that the weekend format would make the tourna－ ment available to those who find diffi－ culty getting time off work and that the fast time 11mit would lessen the blow of ＂come－back＂while shortening the pain． n this rega bjectives．

Out of the woodwork came Bruce Ander－ son（who looked like disappearing again ons Hall ons hall and hournon ．Knegt Frame Gloistein．A strong＇contingent from Dun－ din comprising Philip Paris，Tony Love and David Weegenaar Hoits，＇s Dovis Pfahlert and mystery Wellingtonian Roy Hilliard made up the out－of－town group．
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## Record Entry in DB 40~40

Report: Paul Spiller

A new chess tournament attendance record was set in July when 156 chess enthusiasts packed into the Upper Hutt Civic Hall to compete in the 1978 Dominion Breweries 40-40 Tournament.

As a newcomer to the event I was most impressed with the efficiency of the tournament staff in handing such a courtesy and hospitality extended by the Upper Hutt club to the competitors - for those players who have not yet competed in this tournament, the Upper Hutt club put on an excellent morning tea, lunch and afternoon tea free of charge.

The large number of entries meant that the tournament had to be divided into different grades: A, B and two C grades. This had the effect of splitting the prize money more evenly throughout the competitors and also of avoiding multiple ties as occurred in last year's Winstone Tournament for example.

The A Grade was larger and stronger than in previous years owing to the presence of "imported" players from Auckland and Christchurch. The first two rounds went much as expected with nearly all the top rated players winning. The exception was Aucklander Robert Smith who suffered at the hands of talented $C$ Loh of Wellington. After three rounds
only four players (Small, Deben, Feneridis and Jensen) remained on full points hence round four saw Small playing Feneridis and Deben playing Jensen. Feneridis inexplicably gave away a pawn after which he never recovered while Jensen fell into a well-known but nevertheles devious trap in the Ruy Lopez and resigned after 13 moves. Small and Deben halved the point in round five to
become joint winners.
Two games:
B.Deben - K.Jensen, Ruy Lopez: 1 e 4 e 5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 $50-0$ Be7 6 Rel b5 7 Bb3 $0-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nxd} 49$ Bxf7+ Rxf7 10 Nxe5 Ne6 11 Nxf7 Kxf7 12 e5 Ne8 13 Qf3+, l: 0 .
V.A.Small - A.Feneridis, Grünfeld: $1 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 40-0 \mathrm{Bg} 7$



 18 Ne 5 Ne 419 Qe 3 Nd 620 Re 5 Be6 21 Qd2 Rc8 22 Rfcl f6 23 Na3 Rxc5 24 Nxc5 Bc8 $\quad 25$ Bd5+ Kh8 26 Ne6 Bxe6 27 Bxe6 Qb6 28 d5 Rd8 29 Bd4 Qa6 30 a4 h5 31 Qe3 b6 32 Rc7 Bf8 33 Rxe7 Bg7 34 Bxf6 Nf7 35 Bxg7+ Kh7 36 Rxf7, 1 : 0 .

|  | Player | City | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | $\mathrm{T}^{\prime} 1$ | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Small V.A. | Christchurch | W16 | W24 | W13 | W3 | D2 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 16.5 |
| 2 | Deben B. | Wellington | W37 | W4 | W10 | W11 | D1 | 4/2 | 15.5 |
| 3 | Feneridis A. | Wellington | W7 | W27 | W12 | L1 | W14 | 4 | 16 |
| 4 | Loh C. | Wellington | W5 | L2 | W31 | W23 | W10 | 4 | 15.5 |
| 5 | Smith R.W. | AuckIand | L4 | W32 | W24 | W8 | W12 | 4 | 14.5 |
| 6 | Evans M. | Wellington | W22 | D18 | D14 | W13 | W11 | 4 | 14 |
| 7 | Roberts M.H. | Wellington | L3 | W30 | W19 | W21 | D9 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 |
| 8 | Cordue P.L. | Wellington | W30 | D14 | W18 | L5 | W23 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 |
|  | Jackson J.R. | Christchurch | W34 | L13 | W26 | W15 | D7 | 31/2 | 12.5 |
| 10 | Green P.R. | Wellington | W25 | W15 | L2 | W17 | L4 | , | 16.5 |
| 11 | Jensen K. | Hamilton | W28 | W26 | W17 | L2 | L6 | 3 | 15.5 |
| 12 | Beach D.0. | Wellington | W33 | W20 | L3 | W28 | L5 | 3 | 15 |
| 13 | Poole W. | Wellington | W38 | w9 | L1 | L6 | W22 | 3 | 15 |
| 14 | Metge J.N. | Auckland | W36 | D8 | D6 | W22 | L3 | 3 | 14.5 |
| 15 | Bennett H.P. | Hamilton | W32 | L10 | W25 | L9 | W26 | 3 | 12.5 |
| 16 | Nysse J. | Wellington | L1 | W29 | L28 | W27 | W24 | 3 | 12.5 |
| 17 | Spiller P. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Auckland | W29 | W23 | L11 | L10 | W28 |  | 12 |
| 18 | Goodhall D.N.A. | Wellington | W40* | D6 | L8 | W33 | D21 | 3 | 12 |
| 19 | Frankel Z. | Wellington | L26 | Bye | L7 | W30 | W29 | 3 | 9.5 |
| 20 | Lynn K.W. | Rotorua | W39 | L12 | L22 | w35 | W25 |  | 8 |


| 21 | Carpinter B.A. | Wellington | L27 | W38 | W35 | L7 | D18 | $2{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Bell C. | Wellington | L6 | W34 | W20 | L14 | L13 | 2 | 14 |
| 23 | $0^{\prime}$ Callahan R.M. | Wellington | W35 | L17 | W27 | L4 | L8 | 2 | 13.5 |
| 24 | McLaren L. | Wellington | W31 | L1 | L5 | W39 | L16 | 2 | 13.5 |
| 25 | Cowan C. | Wellington | L 10 | W33 | L15 | W32 | L20 | 2 | 13 |
| 26 | Spiller T. | Wellington | W19 | L11 | L9 | W34 | L30 | 2 | 12.5 |
| 27 | Sarfati J. | Wellington | W21 | L3 | L23 | L16 | W34 | 2 | 12.5 |
| 28 | Adams D. | Wellington | L11 | W36 | W16 | L12 | L17 | 2 | 12 |
| 29 | Clark D. | Wellington | L17 | L16 | W36 | W31 | L19 | 2 | 12 |
| 30 | Henkel H. | Wellington | L8 | L7 | W39 | L19 | W37 | 2 | 11 |
| 31 | Kay J.B. | Wellington | L24 | W39 | L4 | L29 | W35 | 2 | 10 |
| 32 | Johnston A. | Wellington | L15 | L5 | W37 | L25 | Bye | 2 | 10 |
| 33 | Shuker R. | Wellington | L12 | L25 | Bye | L18 | W36 | 2 | 9 |
| 34 | Baran P. | Wellington | L9 | L22 | W38 | L26 | L27 | 1 | 10.5 |
| 35 | Ramsay W. | Wellington | L23 | W37 | L21 | L20 | L31 | 1 | 10.5 |
| 36 | Ferguson R. | Wellington | L14 | L28 | L29 | W38 | L33 | 1 | 10 |
| 37 | Grainer J. | Wellington | L2 | L35 | L32 | Bye | L30 | 1 | 9.5 |
| 38 | Haapu S. Snr | Wanganui | L13 | L21 | L34 | L36 | W39 | 1 | 7.5 |
| 39 | Lindsay C. | Levin | L18 | L19 | L33 | L37 | L32 | 0 |  |
| 40 | Knegt K. | Wellington | f | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |

The B Grade was dominated by the two Wellington juniors Mark Noble and Peter Collins who tied for first after drawing Scores: 1-2 M.NobIe \& P.Collins 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 36 M.Brdjanovic, O.Jones, S.Jones \& K.Mc Grath 4; 7-8 F.Foster \& S.Haapu Jnr 3 $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 9-17 M.T.Brimble, P.Cunningham, A.Drake, G.C.Flower, C.Fraser, W.K.Hollis, D. Keith, C.Van Oeveren \& W.Winter 3; 1823 L.Car1ine, G.Frost, D.Katrak, R.Minnis, S.Severinsen \& G.Ter Horst $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 24-31 A.AIlsobrook, J.Baker, L.Castegren J.Hartley, J.Hofsteede, S.Mailen, A.

Price \& I.P.Stinson 2; 32-33 D.Be11 \&
G.Sowerbutts $1 \frac{1}{2} ; ~ 34-37$ W.Anderson, S. Earle, L.Jones \& J.Rickit l; 38-39 A. Gemmell \& A.Grant 0 .

The C Grade, section 1 was jointly won by local players J.Middleton and D. Paul while section 2 was jointly won by Aucklander R.Fraser and Wellingtonian G.Aldridge.

Section 1 scores: 1-2 J.Middleton \& D.Paul 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3-7 B.Clay, T.Fernando, H Gregson, P.King \& W.Munro 4; 8-9 K. Chandler \& A.Kutt 31/2; $\quad 10-19$ F.Cook, A. N.Hignett, R.McLean, L.Meek, R.Mitchell, B.Newman, J.Phillips, P.Reid, M.Sims \& Sinclair 3; 20-23 P.D.Corbett, C. Feneridis, S.Mancewicz \& B.Southgate $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 24-33 J.Blaikie, E.Boekholt, P.Chin, K. lover, M.Jenkins, P.Milman, A.-1ater, R.Routledge, B.Scot 34-35 J.Kinkpatrick K.Sis 36 M.Brabaler, R. A C 42 D. Fussell 0.

C Grade, section 2 scores: 1-2 G Aldridge \& R.Fraser 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 3-6 R.Baker, .Forster, A.Ker \& T.Worthington 4; 7-8 A.Aldridge \& D.Scott $3 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 9-18$ T.Ball, H.Becker, J.Burt, T.Butler, B. Craigie, M.Edwards, A.Grkow, D.Haak, J. Holden \& P.Turner 3; 19-29 T.Boswell M.Cooper, S.Ede, J.Fargher, G.Grant, A. Flower, R.Henry, R.Hilliard, M.Lewis, H. Nelson \& J.Ridge 2; 30 P.Doms $1 \frac{1}{2}$; 31-35 M.McLaren, C.Miller, D. $0^{\prime}$ Connor C.Robinson \& N.Sandiford 1; 36 A. Hewer $\frac{1}{2}$; 37-38 M.Dunningham \& P . Rich 0 .

TAWA LABOUR WEEKEND CHESS TOURNAMENT
21-23 October 1978, Tawa
Sponsored by FLETCHER TIMBER, TAWA Organised by Tawa Chess Club

Guaranteed first prizes for A Grade B Grade of $\$ 100 \& \$ 50$ respectively.

Special tournament for juniors up to 14 years old.
FORMAT: 6 round Swiss, 36 moves/ $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hrs ENTRY FEES: A Grade, $\$ 8.00$; B Grade, \$6.00; Juniors, \$2.00 Closing date is 14 October - late losing date is 140 or $\$ 2.00$ extra Some accom. available with TCC members
For more info \& entry: Philip Clark, 5A The Drive, Tawa. Phone: TAWA 6107.

# 5th WINSTONE TOURNAMENT 

## BY PETER STUART

This tournament was played over the weekend of 2-3 September. Following the 1977 event which featured the usual large Swisses of few rounds, the North Shore club decided to ment format as is customarily used in Wellington's main tournaments. With no Wecent experience in AuckIand of such events, the organisers had to things by ear to some extent - the players, too, were sometimes unsure which grade to enter, but only a little reshuffling of entries was necessary after the upper limit of 1700 was set for the B Grade. Further, the addition of another grade meant a big hike in the prize fund if Open prizes on a scale similar to previous years were to be maintained - in the event the $\$ 720$ prize fund was probably the biggest ever offered in New Zealand for a weekend tournament.

A number of entries taken on the Saturday morning boosted the number to 71 - one more than last year. Almost a quarter came from outside of Auckland, including an enthusiastic group of eight from Upper Hutt. The tournaments were directed by David Gollogly with assistance from the writer.

The fact that four winners emerged in the 30 -player Open, and that $4 / 5$ was enough for first place, shows that competition was close. The winners routes to first prize were all quite different. Top seed Ortvin Sarapu was one of only two players (the other was Green) to win his first three games, having disposed of Bennett, Taylor and Metge. He then drew with the black pieces from a slightly inferior position against Ewen and then got black again versus Smith. After co-leader Green had lost to Jensen in the last round, Ortvin agreed to a draw rather than take risks trying to win a marginally better position.

Kai Jensen had a rather shaky start, going into a line wherein his opponent could force a draw in round one; luckily or Kai, Evans didn $t$ accept the chance Then, in round two, he got on top of Mins but blew plece ins abinst bront
round five - until the latter overstepped the time limit with four moves to go.

Robert Smith conceded a draw to Tom Stonehouse in round two and then won against Spiller. His round four win games of the tournament. The draw with Sarapu

Peter Wir had a slightly easier
route, starting with wins over Trundle oute, starting with wins over Trundle and whitehouse before losing to Green e than beat Marsick but had to come Metge in the last round.
While Sarapu and Smith thoroughly deserved their success, Jensen and Weir were fortunate to have the gods smiling upon them at crucial moments.
Paul Garbett had returned from Europe only a few days prior to the tournament and was unable to produce his best form so soon after. Ewen Green looked a like1 y winner until late in the last round when he got behind on the clock. Nigel Metge also came close - had he won his better game versus Weir, he would have taken Peter's place on the dais.

The $\$ 50$ prize for under-1750's was shared by Dr Jim Henderson and Bob Johnstone.

The better time control, compared with many Auckland weekenders, allowed for better chess and there were a number of good games played. Here are a few.
E.M.Green - P.B.Weir, Nimzoindian:
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 2 & \mathrm{c} 4 & \mathrm{e} 6 & 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bb4} \quad 4 \mathrm{~g} 3 & 0-0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & \mathrm{~d} 4 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 2 & \mathrm{c} 4 & \mathrm{eb} & 3 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 & \mathrm{Bb} 4 & 4 \\ 53 & 0-0 \\ 5 & \mathrm{Bg} 2 & \mathrm{~d} 5 & 6 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Nbd} & 7 & 0-0 & \mathrm{c} 6 & 8\end{array} \mathrm{Qc} 2$

 $\begin{array}{cccccccccc}15 & \mathrm{Bb} 2 & \mathrm{Be} & 16 & \mathrm{e} 4 & \mathrm{Rad} 8 & 17 & \mathrm{Rfe} 1 & \mathrm{Bb} 3 & 18 \\ \mathrm{Qc} 3 & \mathrm{~h} 6 & 19 & \mathrm{a} 4 & \mathrm{a} 5 & 20 & \mathrm{~h} 3 & \mathrm{Rd} 7 & 21 & \mathrm{~g} 4 \\ \mathrm{Red}\end{array}$


 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Racl Rd2 } & 29 & \text { Qxc6 Qa2 } & 30 \\ \text { Oa8+ Nf8 } & 32 & \text { Rc8 } 8 \text { Na } & 31 \\ \text { Rec1 } & \text { Oe3+ }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Qa8+ Nf8 } & 32 & \mathrm{Rc} 8 \mathrm{Qa} \\ \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Rxg} 2 & 35 \mathrm{Rxf} 8+\mathrm{Kh} 7 & 36 \mathrm{Rh} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 6 & 37\end{array}$ Qc6+ f6 38 Qe8 mate, 1 : 0 .
P.J.Hensman - P.W.Power, Dutch Defence: $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{3} \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 64$ e3 e6 5
 Nbd7 9 f4 Nxe5 13 dxe5 Ne4 11 Nxe4 fxe 412 Qg4 c6 $130-0-0$ Qb6 14 Qg3 $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{h} 6 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & 19 & \mathrm{c} 3 \\ \text { (DIAGRAM) }\end{array}$

19...b4 20 axb4 Qa7 21 Qf2 a3 22 bxa3 Rxf4 23 Qxf4 Qxa3+ 24 Kd 2 Qb2+ $25 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Ra} 226 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Qxc} 3+27 \mathrm{Kf} 1$ Qxc4+ $28 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Qe} 2{ }_{29} 29 \mathrm{Qf1}$ Qxe3+ $30 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qxh} 6+$ $31 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Qe} 3+32 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 233 \mathrm{Qel}$ Qf3 34 Rg1 g5 35 Qxf2 Qxf2 36 Rgf1 Qh4+ 37 Kg1 e3 38 Rxd5 cxd5 $39 \mathrm{Rf} 8+\mathrm{Kxf} 8,0: 1$.
0. Sarapu - N.Metge, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 Ne6 $4 \mathrm{Ngf3} \mathrm{Nf} 6$ 5 e5 Nd7 $6 \mathrm{Bb} 5 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{c} 3$ a6 8 Bd3 f6 9 exf6 Bxf6 10 Qc2 e5 11 dxe5 Ndxe5 12 Bxh7 Qe7 $130-0$ Qf7 14 Nxe5 Nxe5 1544 Bf4 BC5+ 19 Na4 Bf5 20 Qd2 $0-0-0 \quad 21$ b4 Bxd4+ 22 cxc4 Qg6 23 Racl Qe6 24 Qc3 Rd7 25 Bg 5 Rh8 26 a4 Kb8 27 h 4 31 Qc5 Rr4 Re8 29 Re 6 31 Qc5 Bry 35 Bb 6 Bd8 Re8 38 0g 0 d7 39 R1x 5 Bxf 7037 Qd8 Be8 38 Qg5 Qd7 39 Bc 5 Rd 840 Be 7 Rc8 41 Qxg7 Rc7 42 Bf6 Qxg7 43 Rxe8 Ka7 44 Bxg7 Rxg7, $1: 0$
T.H.Stonehouse - K.Jensen, Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba 4 Nf 6 $50-0 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 6 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bb} 30-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5$ 9 exd5 Nxd5 10 Nxe5 Nxe5 11 Rxe5 c6 12 d 4 Bd 613 Rel Qh4 $14 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Qh} 3 \quad 15 \mathrm{Qe} 2$ Bg4 16 OfI Qh5 17 Be 3 Rae8 18 Nd2 Re6 19 Bdl f5 20 Bxg4 Qxg4 21 Qg2 Rg6 22 Nf3 f4 $23 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 8 \quad 24 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{fxg} 3,0: 1$.
P.A.Garbett - R.W.Smith, English:

1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc 3 e5 3 Nf 3 Nc 64 d 3 Bb 4
 Be6 9 Ng5 Nxc3 10 bxc 3 Bxc3 11 Ne6 Bxd2+ 12 Qxd2 fxe6 13 0-0 Qd6 14 Rab1
 18 Qc3 b4 19 Qc4 c5 $20 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Rfc} 8 \quad 21$ Bh3 Qe6 $22 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \mathrm{Kf7} 23 \mathrm{Rfl} \mathrm{Ke} 724 \mathrm{f} 4$ Rf8 25 Rel Rbc8 26 fxe5 a5 27 Rel Qc7 28 e3 Qxe5 29 Rbbl Nf3+ 30 Bxf3 Rxf3 31 Qh4+ Kd6 32 d4 cxd4 33 exd4 Qf6 34 Qe4 Qf5 35 Qb7 Rc2 36 Re5

WOODEN, as used at Nice Olympiad polished, weighted, felted, in hinged presentation box. King height $9 \mathrm{~cm}\left(3^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Price: $\$ 30$.

PLASTIC - weighted and felted. King height $9 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~cm}$. No fancy packing $\cdots$ but price only $\$ 6.00$. Ideal for school/ciub use $\$ 5.00$ each

Please add 50c per set for postage $\alpha$ packing. Postage free on orders of 10 or more sets. Orders to: North Shore

Rxg3+ 37 Khl Rxh2+, $0: 1$.
T.H.Stonehouse - P.A.Mataga, Modern Def:
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}5 & \mathrm{Be} 2 & \mathrm{c} 6 & 6 & 0-0 & \text { e5 } & 7 & \mathrm{~d} 5 & \mathrm{c} 5 & 8 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 & \mathrm{a} \\ 9 & \mathrm{Qc} 2 & \mathrm{Ne} 7 & 10 & \text { a3 } & 0-0 & 11 & \mathrm{~b} 4 & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 12 & \mathrm{bxc}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}9 & \mathrm{Qc} 2 & \mathrm{Ne} 7 & 10 & \text { a3 } & 0-0 & 11 & \mathrm{b4} & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 12 & \mathrm{bxc} \\ \mathrm{bxc} & 13 \mathrm{Rb} & \mathrm{f} 5 & 14 & \mathrm{Ng} 5 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 15 & \mathrm{f} 3 & \mathrm{~h} 6\end{array}$
 Bf2 Re8 20 Qa4 Nd4 21 Bxd4 exd4 22 Nd5 Rxe6 23 Nxf4 Re5 24 Nxg6 Rg5 25 Nf4 d3 26 Bxd3 Nh5 27 Nxh5 Bd4+ 28 Kh1 Rxh5 29 g 4 Rxh2+ 30 Kxh2 Qh4+ 31 Kg2 Be5 32 Rf 2 Qg3+ $33 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Rf} 8 \quad 34$ $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Kg} 7 & \mathrm{Bd} 4 & 35 & \mathrm{Qe} 6+\mathrm{Kh} 8 \\ \mathrm{Qd} & 36 \text { Qxh6+ Kg8 } & 37\end{array}$ Qg5 Kh8 $\quad 38$ Qh6+ Kg8 $\quad 39$ Rbb2 Bxb2 40 e5 Rxf3 41 Bh7+ Kf7 42 Qgbe Kf8 43 Qxd6+ Kg7 44 Qg6+ Kf8 45 Qh6t Kf7 46 Bg6t Ke7 47 Qg5+ Kf8 48 Qd8+ Kg7 49 Qd7+ Kxg6 50 Qc6+ Kg7 51 Qxf3 Qxf3 52 Rxf3, 1 : 0.
P.B.Weir - J.N.Metge, Dutch Defence: $1 \begin{array}{llllll}14 & \text { e6 } & 2 & \text { c4 f5 } & 3 & \mathrm{~g} 3 \\ \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 & \mathrm{Be} 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1 & \mathrm{~d} 4 & \text { e6 } & 2 & \mathrm{c} 4 & \mathrm{f5} & 3 & \mathrm{~g} 3 & \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 4 & \mathrm{Bg} 2 & \mathrm{Be} 7 \\ 5 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 & 0-0 & 6 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{~d} 6 & 7 & 0-0 & \mathrm{Qe} 8 & 8 & \mathrm{~b} 3 & \mathrm{Ne} 4\end{array}$

 Ree1 Nxd4 16 Nxd4 exd4 17 Da5 096 18 Bxb7 Rb8 19 Bd5 + Kh8 20 f4 c5 21 Qxa7 d3 22 Bc 1 Bc 323 Re 7 d 224 Bxd 2 Bxd 2 $25 \mathrm{Rf} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \quad 26 \mathrm{Rfe} 2 \mathrm{Bd} 327 \mathrm{Bf} 7 \mathrm{Bd} 4+28$ $\mathrm{Kg} 20 \mathrm{P} 429 \mathrm{Rel} \mathrm{h6} 30 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Qf5} 31 \mathrm{Be} 6$

 R2a7 $38 \mathrm{Qe} 4 \mathrm{Ral}+39 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qxe} 440 \mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{xe} 4$ Kg8 41 Re6 R8a2 42 Re8+ Kf7 $43 \mathrm{Bh} 5+$ $\mathrm{Kg}_{\mathrm{g} 6} \quad 44 \mathrm{Re} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 45 \mathrm{Bxg} 6 \mathrm{Bg} 1+46 \mathrm{Kg} 2$
 $\mathrm{h} 5 \quad 50 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Rf} 1+51 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 1+52 \mathrm{Kh} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ $53 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \mathrm{Bf} 6+54 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Bd} 4 \quad 55 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 756$ Be4 Rfl $57 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Rg} 1+58 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \mathrm{Rfl} 59$ Bd5+ Kf8 $60 \mathrm{Re} 4 \mathrm{Be} 561 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Rgl}+62$ $\mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 363 \mathrm{Kg} 6 \mathrm{Rf} 164 \mathrm{Bc} 6,1: 0$.

|  |  | Club | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | T'1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Smith R.W. | Waitemata | W17 | D7 | W14 | W6 | D3 | 4 | 16 |
| 2 | Jensen K. | Hamil ton | W22 | L9 | W7 | W8 | W5 | 4 | 15.5 |
| 3 | Sarapu 0. | North Shore | W18 | W11 | W9 | D5 | Dl | 4 | 15.5 |
| 4 | Weir F.B. | North Shore | W23 | W10 | L5 | W19 | W9 | 4 | 13. |
| 5 | Green E.M. | Howick-Pakuranga | W28 | W12 | W4 | D3 | L2 | 31/2 | 16 |
| 6 | Garbett P.A. | North Shore | W13 | W19 | D8 | L1 | W10 | 31/2 | 15.5 |
| 7 | Stonehouse T.H. | Auckland | W26 | D1 | L2 | W12 | W15 | 31/2 | 15 |
| 8 | Power P.W. | North Shore | W27 | W16 | D6 | L2 | W14 | 312 | 13 |
| 9 | Metge J.N. | Auck.University | W29 | W2 | L3 | W20 | L4 | 3 | 14. |
| 10 | Whitehouse L.E. | Hamil ton | W20 | L4 | W28 | W11 | L6 | 3 | 13. |
| 11 | Taylor R. | Howick-Pakuranga | W21 | L3 | W18 | L10 | W20 | 3 | 13 |
| 12 | Mataga P.A. | Auckland | W30 | L5 | W27 | L7 | W18 | 3 | 10 |
| 13 | Roundill R.L. | North Shore | L6 | D25 | D24 | W27 | W19 | 3 | 10 |
| 14 | Spiller P.S. | Auckland | D24 | W15. | L1 | W25 | L8 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13.5 |
| 15 | Johnstone R.B. | North Shore | D16 | L14 | W17 | W22 | L7 | 21/2 | 13 |
| 16 | Hensman P.J. | North Shore | D15 | L8 | L25 | W26 | W21 | 21/2 | 11 |
| 17 | Henderson A.J. | North Shore | L1 | D26 | L15 | W29 | W25 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 |
| 18 | Bennett H.P. | Hamilton | L3 | W21 | L11 | W24 | L12 | 2 | 14 |
| 19 | Marsick B.H.P. | Auckland | W25 | L6 | W22 | L4 | L13 | 2 | 14 |
| 20 | Reid $A$. | upper Hutt | L10 | W23 | W26 | L9 | L11 | 2 | 12. |
| 21 | Price A. | Upper Hutt | L11 | L18 | W23 | W28 | L16 | 2 | 10.5 |
| 22 | Evans D.J. | North Shore | L2 | W29 | L19 | L15 | W27 | 2 | 10 |
| 23 | Trundle G.E. | Auckland | L4 | L20 | L21 | W30 | W28 | 2 | 9.5 |
| 24 | Lannie R.M. | North Shore | D14 | L27 | D13 | L18 | W29 | 2 | 9 |
| 25 | Shead D.B. | North Shore | L19 | D13 | W16 | L14 | L17 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12.5 |
| 26 | Carter G. | Upper Hutt | L7 | D17 | L20 | L16 | W30 | 11/2 | 11 |
| 27 | Ve1dhuizen J. | Tokoroa | L8 | W24 | L12 | L13 | L22 | 1 | 13.5 |
| 28 | Lamb P. | Upper Hutt | L5 | W30 | L10 | L21 | L23 | 1 | 11 |
| 29 | Steel R.G. | North Shore | L9 | L22 | D30 | L17 | L24 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 10 |
| 30 | Spencer-Smith P.A. | North Shore | L12 | L28 | D29 | L23 | L26 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 8 |

In the B Grade event, Alan Grace ran up four straight wins to lead by a half point from Terry $0^{\prime}$ Connor and Stephen Moratti. In the last round $0^{\prime}$ Connor and Grace drew after only 22 moves but, in losing to John Vermeer, Moratti was Vermeer, together with David Pomeroy, Alan Drake and Brian Winsor, shared the minor prizes. Winsor also won the Unrated prize.

There followed: 7-11 S.C.Moratti, J. Bojtor, Ms W.R.Stretch, L.P.Grevers \& P.
M.Austin $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points; 12-17 R.A.McDonM.Austin $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points, $12-17$ R.A.MeDonMcCarthy, S.C.Martin \& A.R.Blackwood 3; 18-23 M.Rogers, E.G.Brightwell, R.McCormick, R.G.Watt, W.H.Dick \& M.Garland $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 24-32 P.Van der Mey, B.Newman, G.Moffat, M.King, C.G.Robbie, M.J.Verhoeff, Mrs D. J.Krig, C.G.Robbie, M.J.Verhoeff, Mrs D 33-36 D.Thornton, R.G.Williams, L.Symmans \& Miss G.M.Jones $1 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 37-41$ A.J. Meader, N.Newman, E.Lock, T.Glynn \& J. K.Boyd 1 point.

## LOCAL NEWS contd from p. 106

Combined with the entries of Vernon Small and Jon Jackson, "vicious" junfors Warwick Norton, Giles Bates and Andrew Lloyd, and the old war-horse himself, Arie (he prize money was golng to earn it.

As it turned out, eight rounds was perhaps longer than necessary, since most of the important pairings had been made by round six. The two New Zealand finally atives as victors with $7 / 8$. finally emerged as victors with $7 / 8$.
Contd on page

## CHESS \& POLITICS

One could ask, "What has chess to do with polltics, or politics with chess?" They are two completely different things and should have no connection. is, unfortunately, not so.
In the last ten or more years, politics have popped up into chess with alarming regularity and with bad consequences for chess. Many chess events have been disrupted or cancelled on Folitical grounds. FIDE (World Chess Federation), formed in 1924, was to oster international chess and now runs 11 World Championships (for men, women, teams and juniors) as well as Sumus means "WIDE's motto of Gens Una anns means "We are one people. It is a non-political organisation, there only international players together to play FIDE is now chess. The membership of than ever bout 100 countries, more But politics troy all if it

FIDE did make a
n it declare a correct decision whenanising chess events without any notice of political some players are not allowed to partici pate in some countrie or players on political prounds, then have to take it up with thas, then they rations or Governments to rectify matter - or miss out through mater -

Now that Prof.Dr Max Euwe is planning to retire from the FIDE Presidency at the FIDE Congress in Buenos Aires later this year, it is very important for his successor to separate chess from politics, or let chess be split into East and West.

The 1974 FIDE Congress at Nice received a telegram from then World Champion Robert Fischer who, among other things, said that chess should be above politics. How right he was, is now very clear. Fischer's ideas have been gradually accepted by FIDE. Just to name some fis contributions: Fischer protested about candidate tournaments, claiming team work from players of the same country - the format was changed to candidate matches. Fischer also protested that a World Championship match could be won by a single win with the rest of the games
noi is the first since 1927 where draws do not count; the first to win 6 games is the match winner. To give the champion a similar advantage over the challenger, Fischer proposed that the champion should have, if he loses, a return match inside a year. Karpey and Korchnoi also have to be thankful to Fischer for upgrading the prize emaey for the World Championship. Never again need a world champion die in poverty like
Steinity
There are many examples of politics interfering in chess and preventing chess players from playing chess. Just to name some: at the 0lympiad at Lugano 1968, GM Pachman refused to play against the Soviet Union team because of the military intervention and occupation of Czechoslovakia at the time; at the Olympiad in Siegen 1970, Albania did not turn up to play South Africa, thus defaulting 4:0 - this result in the pre liminaries put South Aifrica one grade up and New Zealand one grade down for the finals! Also some teams have refused to play against lsrael, distorting score tables. One European Zonal tournament held in Spain was affected by the withdrawal of several players, the reason "feing that eight Basque terrorists, or freedom fighters", had been executed shortly before. The FIDE Congress at Nice 1974 suspended Rhodesia and South Africa on political grounds - the 1976 Congress in Haifa re-admitted them but this decision was reversed at a further meeting in 1977 which ended in a walkout by some western nations. The 1978 Congress in Buenos Aires may well have the anl probles on the agenda again. One hly pes that the Congress will have hess players as representatives of the appointed politicians not government appointed politicians who put politics before chess to win some political
It is only nareers.
ill iome players international tournament was in 1962 an in Cuba and Fischer was was organised At the time relations between Cub and the United States were strained
Fischer sent a telegram to Castro asking him to give his personal guarantee that his participation in the tournament would not be used as political propa
anda against the USA. Castro obliged but then the US State Department stepped in and refused to give Fischer a permit to go to Cuba. Fischer then played all his games from New York by telephone, Then there is the case of GM Ludek Pachman who was allowed to leave, or expest Germany following his political activities in the Dubcek era. An international tournament in Solingen was nearly rufned when Spassky, Polugaevsky and Uhlmann were not allowed to play if Pachman was participating. Pachman was a member of the organising club and he withdrew to save the event.

Bent Larsen, who wrote an article on chess and politics in 1974, points out a few illogical facts. The Solingen tournament was won by Polugaevsky and Kavalek - the latter was also ex-Czechoslovakian and defected to live in the USA; there was no opposition to him he was only regarded as anti-Communis (Kavalek was employed for some time by an American propaganda radio station in Europe). Pachman, on the other hand, used to be a good member of the Commu nist party and was therefore a real "traitor". Larsen also points out that after World War II there was no opposition to GM Bogoljubow (died 1953) and, after all, Bogoljubow used to be a chess friend of Nazi Governor of Poland Frank (also known as the butcher of Poland) but he failed to save the Polish chess master Przepiorka from the gas chamber. Was it because Bogoljubow was regarded as non-political? So Larsen is right; there is not much logic in the politics as far as chess and politics is con cerned.

Today Viktor Korchnoi is perhaps the biggest thorn for politicians. Korchnoi hits back by accusing politically appointed officials of the Soviet Ches ederation or cheating claims 1974 because directed against him by the Soviet Chess directed against hia the current match Federation. Korchno allowe to join him in Switzerland, where he now lives.

Chess players in New Zealand have
een spared from political influence in chess. The main reason is that our politicians are apathetic towards chess, the N's and L's alike, because it is a minority sport. This is reflected in
the relatively meagre funds made available to the New Zealand Chess Assoclaion or chess in general. No wonder that 2 olympiad team members this year will fares to Argentina. On the other hand, who is better off? All your expenses paid by the Department of Sport, but do what you are told - or pay your own way with nobody dictating what you do or say?

The present NZCA of 1978 is so far without political policy and I hope it will remain so. After all, it is more important to organise the 1979 New Zealand Championship and an international tournament here with good prize money To put it bluntly, priorities should be put in the right order: chess and chess players first, organisers and federation second, and politics, if at all, last


LOCAL NEWS contd from p. 112
Small conceded draws to Anderson and Hall while Anderson's other draw was with Wilkinson.

Bruce tended to go about it in a different way to me. He tended to use more time striving for the the worst complicathen, and time usually ended wis scramble. Signal amongst who managed to draw with Alan stale king 0 n the other hand, I tended lone king. On the other hand, being content to play a move if it looked safe enough and came to me quickly enough.

Jon Jackson can be well pleased with another good performance, as he was also making the draw between rounds: 40-40 is a bit fast for Jon though and he was incIned to sacrifice the practicality of speed to the idealism of accuracy. Of the others, Paris again showed as Otago's best player. Hall, Wilkinson and Knegt (who ridiculously won the "B" grade) can all be reasonably happy with their performances considering their lack of recent tournament experience. Nijman was Nijman, Norton was, as expected, the best of the schoolboys, but Lloyd at 13 years is full of potential. Dennis Pfahlert took the C-grade prize back to the Coast, and Jane Cookson did well to finish ahead of Winsome Stretch, the NZ Women's co-champion.

Just recapping the leading scorers: V.A.Small \& B.R.Anderson 7; J.Jackson 5; G.Hall, A.J.Love, A.Nijman, W.Norton 5; G.Hall, A.J.Love, A.Nijman, W.Norton A.Lloyd $4 \frac{1}{2}$.

At Queen's Birthday weekend an Otago team travelled up to Timaru for a match over two rounds on six boards.

|  | TIMARU |  | OTAGO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S.Hall | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | D. Weegenaar | $0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 2 | D. Hall | 00 | J.Wallis | 11 |
| 3 | J.W.Hermans | 01 | K.Perry | 10 |
| 4 | T.Campbe 11 | $\frac{1}{2} 1$ | D. Cameron | $\frac{1}{2} 0$ |
| 5 | I,Morris | ${ }^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}$ | R.Strickett | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 6 | (L. Jankowskí | 1 | M.Forrest | 01 |
| 6 | (R.Murphy | 0 | M.Forrest |  |
|  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
|  | * |  | * |  |

A play-off was again necessary in Auckland to decide the second qualifier for the National Schoolpupils. In the CH'P there was a four-way tie for second between Katrine Mc Carthy, Roy Mathias, Richard Lane and Gavin Ion (in tie-break order).

The play-off, held during June/July was very close with Mathias beating Ion in the last round to come first equal and qualify through his better tie-break score in the main tournament. Scores: Mathias \& Ion $3 \frac{1}{2}$; McCarthy \& Lane $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

The first round of the 1978 OTAGO CLUB CHAMPIONSHIP was easily won by Tony Love with 6/7, losing only to Roger Perry.

The event took the form of three 8 player round robins; with only five of the A-grade players assured of a place in the A-grade for the next round, competition was expected to be intense. pre-tournament form it appeared that Paris and Love would battle out the top honours but unfortunately Paris never really got going and did not complet all his games.

Final scores were: 1 A.J.Love 6; 2-3 M.Foord \& M.Freeman 4; 4 R.Perry $3 \frac{1}{2}$; 5 G.G.Haase $2^{\frac{1}{2} ;}$ 6-7 P.0.Paris \& J.LIchter 1; 8 J.Adams 0

The B-grade was won by M.White and the C-grade by R.van't Steen.

The following interesting encounter
as Love's first win
A.J.Love - J.Adams, Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 d4 cxd4 3 c3 dxc3 4 Nxc3 Nc6 5 Nf3 ${ }^{16} 6$ Bc4 e6 7 0-0 Nf6 (7...Nge7 8 Bg5 h6 9 Bh4 a6 10 Bg 3 Ng 6 is the main alternative) 8 Qe2 Be7 9 Rd 1 Bd 7 (more usual is 9...e5) $10 \mathrm{BE4}$ (ECO gives 10 Bg 5 0-0 11 Bxf6 gxf6 12 Nb 5 Ob8 13 Rd3 with advantage) $10 \ldots 0511$ Bg5 Bg $4 \quad 12 \mathrm{Be} 3$ a $6 \quad 13 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bla} 5 \quad 14 \mathrm{Rd} 2$ b5 15 bbs Na5?! (Black should complete his development before starting queenside play) 15 g 4 Bg 6 हो Nze5 Nxb3 18 axb3 Nxe4 19 Nxe4 Bxe4 20 Rxa6 Rb8 (20...0-0 would give siack more chances, e.g. 1) 21 Qxb 5 Rxa5 22 Qxab 007! 23 Qc4 $0 b 724$ Na3 Bd5; 2) 21 Rxas Qxa8 22 Nd 7 Ras 23 Nb 6 QC6; 3) $21 \mathrm{Bb} 6 \mathrm{QC8}$ 22 Rxa8 Qxa8 =, or here 22 Ras? Rxa3 23 bxa3 dxe5 24 Qxe 4 Qcit with advantage to Black) 21 Bai Qc8 2.2 Qxe 4 Qxab 23 Nc6 Rb7 24 Re2? (Ouicker was 24 Rxab: Kf8 25 Qxe7t Rxe7 25 Red Res 27 BC5t
 (26...exc6 27 2e7+ kcs 28 Qxal was
 Nc6+ Kc8

*     * 

North Shore's first defence of the JENKINS TROPHY was successful when they defeated Auckland University on 26 July. Several upset results on the top boards made the scores somewhat closer than they might otherwise have been. Sarape with a minimal edge over Clemance erred badly to lose after first letting slip his advantage and whaley blundered horribly in a winning pesition.

## NORTH SHORE

A. UNIVERSITY

| 1 | - Sarapu | : | P.A.Clemance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P.W.Stuart | 1/2: $\frac{1}{2}$ | J.N.Metge |
| 3 | A.L.Carpinter | : | P.K.Beach |
| 4 | W.Leonhardt | 0 | B.R.Watson |
| 5 | M.G.Whaley | 0 | D.Gibson |
| 6 | P.W.Power | 1:0 | M.J.Livingst |
| 7 | P.J.Hensman | 1 : 0 | T.Gilbert |
| 8 | R.L.Roundill | 0 : 1 | A.Holster |
| 9 | R.B.Johnstone | /1/2: $\frac{1}{2}$ | W.J.Vermeer |
| 10 | D.B.Shead | : | S.J.Willson |
| 11 | G.J.Ion | : | D.M.Pomeroy |
| 12 | P.R.Snelson | : | A.Hames |
| 13 | F.zyp | $1: 0$ | C.C.Tan |
| 14 | D.J.Evans | $1: 0$ | R.G.Steel |
| 15 | R.A.Feasey | 1 : | G.Ah-kit |
| 16 | M.I.Howard | 1 : 0 | K.Ward |
| 17 | G.L.Pitts | 1 : 0 | P.M.Austin |
| 18 | R.M.Lannie | 1 : 0 | H.Taylor |

From Wellington, news of a new club, TAWA. Meanwhile the We1lington North Chess Club has gone out of existence after a few years of life - the Tawa Chess Club apparently inherited most of the old club's equipment, thus guaranteeing a good start.

The new club held a Chessathon on 1/2 July and raised just over $\$ 500$ for the SPTV Telethon.

The Club's first (eight round) tournament was won by schoolboy Russell Dive with a possible 8 points. The Tawa club, incidently puts out what is probably the best club bulletin in New Zealand.

The 1978 HUTT VALLEY \& WAINUIOMATA INTERMEDIATE SCHOOLS Tournament was won overall by Raroa with 22 pts, followed by Naenae 20, Hutt 181/2, Tawa $16 \frac{1}{2}$, St. Bernards 131/2, Parkway 11, Hutt "B" 91/2, Taita 9, Wainui 8.

The H.V.I.S. Trophy was won by $\mathrm{Nae}-$ nae as Raroa (\& Tawa) was invited to compete only after the non-entry of regulars Maidstone and Fergusson. The event was organised by R.S.Teece.

For the first time in many years the ivic Chess Club failed to win a single event in the WELLINGTON INTERCLUB competition, despite the fact that they had eight teams participating. The Wellington Chess Club's 'A' team of Deben, Poole, Carpinter and Loh won the A-grade tournament on countback from Civic I.

Scores, A-grade: Wellington \& Civic I 17; Civic II 15; Hutt Valley 12; Tawa \& Upper Hutt 9; Pencarrow 5.

B-grade: Upper Hutt 23年; Pencarrow I 22; Polonia \& Civic II 21雰; Hutt Valley 1912; Civic I 19; Tawa 18; Wellington 15; Civic III \& Pencarrow II 10 .

C-grade: Hutt Valley $23 \frac{1}{2}$; Civic II 21\%; Upper Hutt I 20; Civic I 19 Tpper Hutt II 14 $\frac{1}{2}$; Pencarrow 13; Civic III $11^{\frac{1}{2}}$; Tawa \& Paraplegic $10^{\frac{1}{2}}$.


## Evans Beached!

Match fever seems to have caught on in Wellington. Mark Evans describes the latest.

In Wellington matches between individuals are becoming a popular supplement to the usual tournaments and team matches. Tim Spiller and Ross Bloore recently battled themselves to a standtill with 3 draws and 1 win apiece David Beach and the writer likewise decided to slog it out and agreed the winner would be the first to win 5 games from a maximum of 12

Beach | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Evans $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 4 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
Lots of fun where draws gave way to bloody violence spiced with mutual incompetence. Play consequently deteriorated/elevated into a free-for-all of rollicking attacks, swindles, blunders and whimsies. As always in real life, the good guy lost - a result which surprised no-one except the writer who considers it the biggest upset since Buenos Aires 1927.

It came to pass that David, $3-1$ down from four games, looked to be knackered The 4th game was typical


Having outplayed his opponent to reach the above position, David continued 23 Bd5. This left Black without a sensible answer to the rook check, so he ignored it by 2J...Bbi. (sneakiness (Whi enemg fire) $24 \mathrm{Rg} 1+\mathrm{Ng} 625 \mathrm{Bxb} 7$ (and) 25 Be3 25 ....Qxb7+ 26 Rg2 Qf3. If now White tried 27 0d2 but lost after Oxf5 28 Ne 3 Of $329 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Re8}$,0 :

In these early days of the blitz, David found consolation in my pigheaded persistence with the Four Pawns Attack against Alekhine's Defence. Consistent ly out-prepared, I trotted along dutifully for the oad-numbered games to ge my head lopped off, e.g. the 5th:
M.Evans - D.0.Beach (5), Alekhine Def: 1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 c4 Nb6 4 d4 d6 5 f4 dxe5 6 fxe5 bf5 7 Nc3 e6 8 Nf3 badly executed) $0-0-0 \quad 11$ c 5 Nd5 12 Nxf5 exf5 13 Bf2 Nf4: 14 g3 Ne6: 15 d5 Nxc5 16 Bb5 Qe7 17 0-0 Nxe5 18 b4 Ne4 19 Nxe4 fxe4 20 Bc5? Qg5 21 Bxa7 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Red } & 22 & \text { Qa4 Rxb5 } & 23 & \text { Bc5 Rxc5 } & 24 & \text { Radl }\end{array}$
 Bb6 28 Qxe4 f6.... 0 : 1 in 53 .

Why did I lose? During the game, my adversary would frequently sneak away from the board in order, I suspect, to consume quantities of blueberry yoghurt. Meanwhile a pair of beady eyes would affix my cranium with a black and malevolent gaze. These baleful orbs, I iscovered, belonged to the skull of D voprak, a sloe-toed botclewasher from the child psychology unit at Eketahuna devious tactics which account for my further loss in the 6th gane, otherws he most enjoyable of

## D.O.BEACH M.EVANS

Richter-Veresov Attack
1 Nc3 d5
In contrast to his black fixation with Alekhine's Defence, David as White played variously l Nf 3 , 1 e 4 or $1 f 4$ not to mention the obscenity above.

2 d4 Nf6 3 Bg5 Nbd7 4 Qd3 c6 Alburt - Tal (40th USSR Ch'p) went interestingly 4 f3 c6 5 e4 dxe4 6 fxe4 e5! 7 dxe5 0 a5.

## 5 e4 Nxe4

A Caro-Kann ?!?
6 Nxe4 dxe4 7 Qxe4 Qa5+ 8 Bd2 Qd5 9 Qe3 e5!? 10 Nf3 Bd6 11 Bd3 0-0 12 c4
After a long think White decided to win a pawn and cede the initiative, an arrangement agreeable to Black who had, in any case, no good option. Note the sacrifice 12 Bxh7+ Kxh7 13 Ng5+ Kg8 14 Oh3 Nf6 is more Irish than Greek.
$12 \ldots$ exd4 13 Bxh7+?! Kh8
13...Kxh7? 14 Qd3+ goes without saying. 'Correct' play was probably 13 Nxd4 Qe5
$140-0-0$ Qxe3 when White has the better of a drawish position.

14 Nxd4 Qh5
Not $14 \ldots \operatorname{lng} 2$ is $0-0-0$ ! nor $14 \ldots$ xc4 15 Bd 3.
$15 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 16 \mathrm{Kf} 1 \mathrm{Bc} 5$
The king move, which was more or less forced, invited the pretty, though naive 16...Ng4 17 Qh3 Nxh2+? (better 17 .. Qxh3 18 gxh 3 Ne5) 18 Rxh 2 Hxh 319 Rxh3 regaining the quen with a naterial phus. Black's reply prevents 17 Qg5 and prepares for lines involving either .. Ng4 or an exchange on d followed by a queen check on e2. With his nert, White obviates both possibilities, prepares to connect rooks and, on the debit side, exposes his rump along g1-a7

17 f3 Bh3!
Black completes his development.
18 Bc3 Rad8
The first of seven successive rook moves (of varying merit), each with threat, in this case $19 .$. Bxd4 20 Bxd4 Rxd4! 21 Qxd4 Qxf3+ 22 Kel (22 Qf2 Bxg $2+!$ ) Re8t $23 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Re} 2+24 \mathrm{Kcl}$ Rxc2+! $25 \mathrm{Kxc} 2 \mathrm{Bf5}+26 \mathrm{Kcl}(26 \mathrm{Kd} 2$ Qxg2t 27 Kc 3 c5!) Qxg2 27 Qdl Qe4 28 b3 Qe5 $29 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Qd} 4+30 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Qe} 3+31 \mathrm{Qe} 2$ Qc3+ $32 \mathrm{kff2} \mathrm{Ne} 4+33 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Bh} 3+34 \mathrm{Kg} 1$ Qxal+ 35 Qfl Qxfl mate! A computer might work this out with subvariation in less than a minute, but there are some lines a human can dismiss instant-
ly without sweat.

19 Rdl Rfe8 20 Qf4 Re5?
It was time to recant and either unsacrifice himself with $20 .$. Bc8 or continue tactically $20 \ldots$ Bxd4 21 Bxd4! Rxd4: (not 21 ... Bxg2+ $22 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{Re} 2+23 \mathrm{Bf} 2$ ) 22 Rxd4 Bxg2+ 23 Kxg2 Re2+ with good accident compo in either event.

21 gxh3 Rde8
Interpolating 21 ... Qxh $3+$ serves only to marry White's rooks (after 22 Kf 2 ) followed by a challenge on the e-file. Black's move prevents Kf2. Instead, many different possibilities could have arisen if he had pointed a finger at the white queen with 21 ... Bd 6 . Perhaps White would fall bewitched into seeking a fantasy solution such as 22 Ne 6 ? Rel + ? 23 Kxe1 Bxf4 24 Rxd8+ Ng8 25 Bxg7 mate! .... and perhaps not.

22 h 4 Re 323 Qg5:
Both players were beginning to be tickled by their clocks. Unflustered

White lands a boomer, sidestepping 23 Be4? Nxe4 24 Qxe3 $\mathrm{Ng} 3+$ and 23 Kf 2 ? Re2t. Black is left with a frustrating position full of stunning moves which all end in defeat. Here, for instance, $23 \ldots$ Qxf3+ 24 Nxf3 Rxf3+ $25 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 2+$ 26 Kg 3 and now $26 \ldots$ Rxc2 27 Bxf 6 or 26 ... Re3+ 27 Qxe3.

23 ... Rxf3+ 24 Kg2 Rf2+
Other spectacular failures include 24 ... Re2+ 25 Nxe2 Rf2+ $26 \mathrm{Kh} 3:$ Qf3+ (26 ... Rff3+ 27 Ng 3 ) 27 Qg 3 Qxe2 28 Rd8+ Ng8 29 Bxg7 mate; or the hope25 Qxh5+ Nxh5 26 bxc 3 Bxd4 27 cxd4

## 蹭

BRITISH CHAMPIONSHIP - Ayr
Re2+ 28 Kf 3 Rxe2 29 d 5 :
$25 \mathrm{Kxf2}$ Qe2+ 26 Kgl Qxc 2 26 ... Ne4 was more trappy, e.g. 27 Bxe4? (hoping for $27 \ldots$ O... $\mathrm{xd} 1+$ ? 28 Kg 2 ) kxe4. followed by ...Rg4+. White, however, has 27 Qg 2 Qe3+ 28 Kfl when Black is short of shots. With the move played, Black prepares a brilliant concluding combination

27 Qd2 Bxd4+ 28 Bxd4 Rel + for if $29 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Re} 2+$, or if $29 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Qxd} 2+$ or if 29 Rxe1 Qxd2, or if .... Black stopped the clocks.
$1: 0$.

The British Championship was held in Scotland for the first time in fifty years. Ayr is a pleasant seaside resort In "Burns Country" on the west coast of Scotland. Sponsored by Grievson, Gran and Company, a firm of London stockbrokers, prizemoney was a great deal better than in the past. First prize was 1100 pounds, second 800, going down to elghth prize of 200 pouns. Lost hoped that with this incould be competing Britain's top players would be competing Hower, Kilable Mil were unallable entry after r play in Spain

With these players missing, the clear favourite was A.J.Mestel, a winner in devastating style two years previously and now very close to obtaining the GM quite capable of taking the title and I quite capable of taking the title and the grandmasters, this was the strongest in depth British Championship ever. The field included IM's Bellin, Botterill (defending champion), Speelman, Webb and Taulbut (European Junior Champion) Another possible winner was A.J. Whiteley, several times runner-up in the past. Then there were a large number of very tough competitors not expected to come first but with an excellent show of making the prize money. They included talented juniors such as P1askett (18), Watson (16) and Nigel Short (13). Most of the 44 players had won their places in qualifying tournaments. I was one of
a dozen or so allowed to play without having qualified

In the eleven round Swiss tournament Jonathan Speelman scored a narrow ictory with $8 \frac{1}{2}$ points - a half point ahead of A.J.Mestel and J.Plaskett. From round five onwards Speelman held the lead, sometimes shared, except riefly after round seven when Cooper got half a point ahead.
Mestel dropped back in the field during the first five rounds; losing to Plaskett and Peter Littlewood, but pulled himself up to equal second by scoring 5 points in his remaining six games - he was unable to break P.H. Clarke's solid French Defence in the ast round, thus missing out on the chance for first equal. Plaskett emerged as a real threat for the title when he beat Mestel and Cooper in rounds three and four to take a clear lead with a possible 4 points. Following his loss to John Littlewood in round five, he reemerged as co-leader after round nine, but then lost to Webb in the penultimate round. In view of the youth of the leading trio (Speelman and Mestel are both 21, Plaskett oily 18) British chess seems to have a very bright future ndeed.

Peter Littlewood was close to the eaders for the first six rounds but then tailed off a little, while Simon lebb managed only $3 / 6$ but scored $4 \frac{1}{2}$ in the last five rounds, including the win over Plaskett, to emerge in a tie for
ourth with $7 \frac{1}{2}$ points
Four players tied for sixth on 7 pts Of these, Bellin had a poor start and was never really a threat for the major prizes while Clarke was never far away from the lead in the second half. On the other hand, Cooper and John Littlewood (for the confused: John is Peter's father and Norman's brother) vied for the lead until the middle of the tournament (in fact Cooper led Speelman by a $\frac{1}{2}$ point after 7 rounds) but both fell away badly towards the end. This completes the list of prize winners.

I had a poor start, drawing with $N$ Littlewood and then losing to Taulbut and Cafferty, getting into trouble in the opening both times. Then I recovered with four straight wins, beating Reid, Motwani (Scottish Champion), Ashby and tawton. The first two 1 won tactically when my opponents got into time trouble but I hit good form against Ashby and Lawton. In round 8 I opportunity against witeley, after win ning a pawn in the opening $I$ let things this in the $5 / 8$ and aeventh, evarl, Iut inarlon Fina innovation. Finally 1 drew a 11. 1, a salisfactory ,isfying result

Scores: Speelman 81 $\frac{1}{2}$; Mestel \& Plaskett 8; P.Littlewood \& Webb 7 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$; Bellin, Clarke, Cooper $\varangle$ J. Littlewood 7 ; CarleTaulbut Short \& W, Botterill, Gat He 6 , Botter M, Garbet, Heqson, Cint mens \& Reid 5 , Coates, Corden, Pov, Reid \& , 4 ; chard 31 , after 4 rounds with 2 Milner-Bars after 7 rounds with $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ points.

Clarke - Short, English Defence: 1 d4 e6 2 c4 b6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 Qc2 Bb7 5 a3 Bxc3+ 6 Qxc3 55 b3 Ne6 $8 \mathrm{f3} 0-0 \quad 9$ e3 Qe8 10 Bb2 c5 11 dxc5 bxc5 12 Nh 3
 Qxe5 fxe3 17 Qxc5 d5 18 Rcl Rc8 19 Qd4 Ne6 20 Qxe3 d4 21 Bxd4 Nxd4 22 Qxd4 Rd8 23 Qb2 Ng4 24 fxg4 Bxg2 25 Rgl Bxh3 26 Qc3 Qf7 27 Qg 3 Qf6 28 Rc 3 Rde8 29 Kdl Qd4+ $30 \mathrm{Kcl} \mathrm{Rxe} 2 \mathrm{3l} \mathrm{Rd}$ Rf1 32 Qb8+ Kf7 33 Rf3+ Kg6 34 Qd6

Cooper - Plaskett, Nimzoindian Defence 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc 3 Bb 44 Bg 5 h 6 5 Bh4 c5 6 d5 b5 7 dxe6 fxe6 8 cxb5 d5 9 e3 0-0 10 Bd3 d4 11 exd4 cxd4 12 a3 Ba5 13 b4 dxc3 14 bxa5 Bb7 15 Ne2 Bxg2 16 Rgl Bf3 17 Bc2 Nbd7 18 Qd6 Ne5 19 Rd1 Qxd6 20 Rxd6 Bxe2 21 Kxe2 Nf3 22 Kxf3 Ne8t $23 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Nxd6} 24$ BC3 e5 25 Be 7 e4 26 Bbl Nxb 527 Bxf 8 Kxf8 28 Bxe4 Re8 29 f3 Nxa3 30 Rg 6 Rc8 31 Kd 1 Nc 432 a6 Ne3+ 33 Kcl Rd 8 $34 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{c} 2 \quad 35$ Bxc2 Rc8 $36 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Nxc} 2 \quad 37$ Rc1 Ke7 38 Rxc2 Rxc2+ 39 Kxc 2 Kd 6, 0 : 1 .
Speelman - Cooper, King's Indian Defence: $1 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6$ 5 d4 0-0 $6 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{e} 5 \quad 7 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Nbd} 78 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{c} 6$

 16 axb4 f6 17 Be3 Nf7 18 Oc2 $2 \mathrm{Bh} 6 \quad 19$

 Qxb4 f5 26 Qc3 fxe4 27 Qxe5+ Qf6 28 Qxf6+ Rxf6 29 Nxe4 Nxe4 30 Bc4 Raxf2 31 Rxe4 Kg7 32 Re7+ Kh6 33 Rc7 Rc2 34 Rxc8 Rf4 35 Rb4 b5 36 d6 Rd4 37 Be6, 1 : 0 .
Taulbut - Lee, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{dxe} 4 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nxe} 4 \mathrm{Nd} 75 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & \mathrm{Ngf6} 6 & 6 \mathrm{Bd} 3 & \mathrm{Be} 7 \\ 0 & \mathrm{Qe} 2 & 0-0 & 8 & \mathrm{Bg} 5 & \text { c5 } & 9\end{array}$ $0-0-0$ cxd4 10 Nxd4 h6 $11 \mathrm{Bh} 4 \mathrm{Nd5} 12$ By Qb6 13 Bc4 N7f6 14 Be $5 \mathrm{Nd7} 15 \mathrm{Bg} 3$ N76 16 Be5 Na 17 Bxg7 Kxg7 18 Bxd5
 Qe6 22 Rd6 Qxa2 23 Rxh6+ Kg7 24 Qh5 g8 25 Nh7 K 8 2 27 Nat Kd8 28 Nxb 7 Ke 729 Qd6+ Ke8 30 Rel Qa4 31 Od5, 1 : 0.

Cooper - Whiteley, Grünfeld Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxd5 e4 Nxc3 6 bxc3 c5 7 Bc4 Bg7 8 Ne 2 $0-0 \quad 90-0$ cxd4 10 cxd4 Nc6 11 Be 3 Bg 4 12 f3 Na5 13 Bd3 Be6 14 Rc 1 Bxa2 15 Qa4 Be6 16 d5 Bd7 17 Qb4 e6 18 Nc3 exd5 19 Nxd5 Be6 20 Rfdl Bxd5 21 exd5 Qxd5 22 Be4 Qb3 23 Bd2 b6 24 Bxa8 Rxa8 25 Qd6 $\mathrm{Qb} 5 \quad 26 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 7 \quad 27 \mathrm{Qe} 7 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \quad 28$ Rd8+ Rxd8 29 Qxd8+ Bf8 30 Bh6 Ne6 31 Qb8 a5 32 h3 a4 33 Rc8 Qb4 34 Qe5 a3 35 Rxf8+, 1 : 0
Garbett - Whiteley, Queen's Pawn: 1 Nf3 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nbd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 5 \mathrm{e} 3$ Nbd7 6 c4 c5 7 cxd5 Nxd5 $8 \quad$ Qb3 N5b6 9 Ne4 c4 10 Bxc4 Nxc4 11 Qxc4 Qb6 12 Rcl Nf6 13 Bxf6 exf6 14 Qb5+ Qxb5 15 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Nd6+ } & \mathrm{Ke} 7 & 16 & \mathrm{Nxb} 5 & \mathrm{Bd} 7 & 17 & \mathrm{Nc} 3 \\ \mathrm{f} 5 & 18\end{array}$ $0-0$ Rac8 19 Rfdl Rhd8 20 Nel b6 21 Rc2 Be6 22 Rdcl Ke8 23 Nb 5 Rxc2 24
xxc2 Bxa2 25 Nxa7 Bd5 26 Nc8 b5 27 Nb6 Be4 28 Rc5 Rb8 29 Nas b4 30 f3 Bbl 31 Rcl Ba2 32 Nc7+ Kd8 33 Nd3 Rb6 34 Re5 Bf8 35 Ra 5 Kxc 736 Rxa 2 Kb7 37 Ne5 b3 38 Ra4 Ra6 39 Rxa6 Kxa6 40 Kf2 $\mathrm{Kb} 5 \quad 41 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 42 \mathrm{Nd} 7 \mathrm{Ba} 3$ $43 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \mathrm{Bxb} 244 \mathrm{Nxb} 3 \mathrm{f} 4 \quad 45 \mathrm{~d} 5$ fxe3 46 Kxe3 Be5 47 f4 Bd6 48 Nd2 f5, $\frac{1}{2}$ : $\frac{1}{2}$.

## LETTERS contd from p. 97

that Tina (my wife) got a very real sense of on-going achievement out of her small initial success. The details would have to be carefully worked out, but the principle seems far superior to our present system

How about it, Council?
Martin Sims, Tokoroa

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

1. Goldin - Ryabov, USSR 1972

1 Nd6+! Qxd6 (1...Ka7? 2 Re7+!) 2 Re7+: Qxe7 3 Qc7+! ! , $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
2. Botvinnik - CapabTanca, AVRO 1938: 1 Ba3!! Qxa3 $2 \mathrm{Nh} 5+$ ! gxh5 3 Qg5+ Kf8 4 Qxf6+ Kg8 5 e7 and Black resigned after running out of checks: 5.. Qc1+ $6 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 2+7 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 3+8 \mathrm{Kh} 4 \mathrm{Qe} 4+$ 9 Kxh5 Qe2+ 10 Kh4 Qe4+ 11 g 4 Qel+ 12 Kh5, $1: 0$.
3. Mestel - Ball, Birmingham 1975:
$1 \mathrm{Ng} 5+!, 1$ : 0 . If $1 \ldots$..hxg 5 , then $20 g 8+K h 6 \quad 3$ Rxe7 Qxe7 4 Qhs 0 Qh7 5 Qf6 threatening 6 Rh8.
4. Czillag - Negessy, Budapest Ch'p

1942: $1 \mathrm{Rxg} 7+$ : Qxg7 (1...Kxg7 xe6 \& 3 Rgl+) 2 Bxe6+ Rf7 3 Rg1. Qxg1 4 Qxf7+ Kh8 5 Qh5+ Kg7 6 Qh ate
5. Ermenkov - Sax, Poland 1969:

1 d7! Qxf1+(1...d2 2 Qalt) 2 Kxf1 d2 3 Qxf3!: Rcl+ 4 Qdi! Rxdl+ 5 Ke2 Rbl 6 d8Q dlQ+ 7 Qxdl Rxdl 8 Kxdl \& White wins the pawn ending.
6. Appel - Tartakower, Lodz 1938: 1.. Bxd4: 2 hxg4 (2 exd4 gxh3 3 Qf1 Rxg2+ 4 Qxg 2 Rg 8 -+) $2 \ldots$...Bxe3+ 3 Kfl Qxh1+! 4 Bxh1 Rxg4 5 Bg2 Nd2+, $0: 1$.

## Correspondence Chess Results

NZCCA Trophy Tournament results notified by 31 August:

45th N.Z. Championship: Anderson 1 Smith, 1 Beach; Beach 1 Anderson; Lynn 1 Fletcher; Van Dijk 1 Fletcher.

Championship Reserve: Ter Horst 1 Kinchant; Rice 1 Heasman; Sims $\frac{1}{2}$ Luey; Barnard 1 Ter Horst; Heasman $\frac{1}{2}$ Roundill Freeman 1 Rice; Rice $\frac{1}{2}$ Luey; Barnard $\frac{1}{2}$ Luey.

Class 2: Millar $\frac{3}{2}$ Hignett, 1 Davies, 1 Bishop; Johnstone I Steadman, $\frac{1}{2}$ Millar; Van Oeveren 1 Hignett, 1 Rogers; Lovelock 1 Rogers, 1 Steadman; Mataga 1 Rickit; Cooper 1 Steadman, $\frac{1}{2}$ Johnstone; Steadman 1 Hignett; Cooper 1 Van Oeveren.
Class 3 Red: Watt 1 Frost, 1 Else; Thomas 1 Else; McAuliffe 1 Holmes; Brumby 1 Hagan, 1 Thomas, 1 Holmes, 1 Frost; Bailey $\frac{1}{2}$ Brumby

Class 3 Green: Newell 1 Passmore; Wilcock 1 Martin, 1 Fenwick; Martin 1 Salter; Fenwick 1 Newell, 1 Salter, 1 Newall, h Wilcock. Mazur 1 Martin, Pasmore l Fenick I Fisher Passmore 1 Fe 1 Salter.
Class 3 Blue: Ion 1 Montgomery; Smith l Stringer, 1 Peterson; Brightwell 1 Peterson, 1 McBeath.
Class 4 Red: Fraser 1 Billinghurst 1 Seccombe, $\frac{\frac{3}{2}}{2}$ Morgan; Jones 1 Billinghurst, 1 Robbie; Morgan 1 Robbie, 1 Billinghurst, 1 Mobley; Robbie 1 Brown, 1 Cox, 1 Wilson; Cox 1 Mobley, 1 Morgan 1 Billinghurst, 1 Seccombe; Wilson 1 Gunmer;
Mobley.
Class 4 Green: Boyden 1 Cribbett, 1 Reed, I Burton; Cribbett 1 Burton, 1 King, $\frac{1}{2}$ Dainty; Ansley 1 Cribbett; Burton 1 Martin; Martin 1 Ferguson, 1 Dainty; King $\frac{1}{2}$ Ferguson; Dainty Burton, 1 King; Lockwood l Reed, Dainty; Anderson 1 Martin, 1 Boyden; Reed $\frac{1}{2}$ Cribbett

Class 5: Brohm 1 Stynman, 1 Absolum; McCormick 1 Kingdon, 1 Brohm; Absolum 1 Kingdon; Kingdon 1 Stynman; Turnbull 1 Kingdon, 1 Wilson, 1 Corbett; Wilson 1 Brohm.

NOW IN STOCK: Chess Informant No. 24 , price $\$ 12.70$. Volumes $1-23$ are also available; see April issue for prices.

## A Selection From our Bookshelf.

## THE CLASSICAL DUTCH Robert Bellin

Batsford's partner to THE LENINGRAD DUTCH, this book covers those lines where Black plays e7-e6. The Dutch is an aggressive weapon in the mould of the Sicilian with Black opting for an asymmetrical pawn formation.
Hardback
\$14.40
THE SIC IL IAN SCHEVENINGEN C.Pritchett
The theory and practice of this popular variation are built around 34 complete games in a somewhat different and more readable approach. Hardback. \$14.95

FRENCH DEFENCE MAIN LINE WINAWER Moles
This important variation of the French $(3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ) is examined in great detail with considerable emphasis on the strategic ideas behind it. Many variations have been reassessed as previous judgments of ten derived from misconceptions of Black's aims Hardback.

## NIMZOWITSCH/LARSEN ATTACK Keene

One of Batsford's Specialist Chess Openings series, this book is devoted to systems with b2-b3 for White. A 1977 publication in figurine algebraic notation. Softback.
\$7.25

## BENONI

William Hartston
This third edition, in figurine algebraic notation, is a complete rewrite of the earlier books, incorpora The material is divided into three parts - Modern Benoni (the threr prt) Modern Benoni (the greater pystems. Softback. \$7 KING'S FIANCHETTO DEFENCES Marovic/ Susic

A survey of openings where Black plays g6 and Bg 7 : Kiqg's Indian Defence, Pirc Defence, Modern Defence, Grünfeld, etc. Softback.

SICILIAN: ...e5
Harding/Markland
This Batsford book examines three of the most controversial lines of the Sicilian Defence. The Boleslavsky ( 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 d6 6 Be 2 e 5 ) challenged the old static notions of "weak" squares in the centre and so led a post-war revolution in opening theory. Currently popular is the Lasker Variation (5...e5) particularly recommended by the authors. The older La Bourdonnais (4...es) rounds out the work. Softback.
$\$ 6.80$
PRACTICAL CHESS ENDINGS Paul Keres
Not encyclopaedic in scope, this book deals with the principles of the more basic endings. Hence it is less technical and more readable than most books on the endgame. Hardback.
$\$ 10.10$
KNIGHT ENDINGS Averbakh \& Chekhover
This is the fifth volume to appear of Averbakh's great series on the endgame. This English translation of the Russian original has been updated with the addition of 51 new examples. Alrogether NH (s) N N (s), N+M

QUEEN \& PAWN ENDINGS
Covers only endings involving queens and pawns. This book gives a much fuller treatment on this subject than any other work, much of it the result of comparatively recent Russian research. This applies particularly to the ending of Q + P v Q. As with KNIGHT ENDINGS, this English translation has been updated with the addition of more examples. Hardback.
$\$ 9.35$
ROOK ENDINGS
Levenfish \& Smysiov
Examines endings with rooks and pawn but not those with other pieces. Rooks and pawns are involved in perhaps as many as half of all endgames. This book will give the reader a deep understanding of these positions. Hardback. $\$ 14.50$

