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## Asian Circuit - Jakarta, Penang

In the April issue we briefly mentioned the third leg tournament at Jakarta, but we got Craig Laird's score wrong. Craig scored 6 points (not $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ) and finished 7 th equal (not 9th equal). Anyway, here is the full cross table:

Third leg, JAKARTA, 6-24 May 1978

1 E.Torre (Fhil)
$\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { M. Quinteros (Arg) } \\ 3 & \text { M. Sharif (Tran) } \\ 4 & \text { R.Mascarinas (Phi }\end{array}$
4 R.Mascarinas (Phil)
5 A.Bachtiar (Indo)
6 L.Chiong (Phil)
7 A.0'Kelly ( Belg )
8 C.Laird (NZ)
9 K. Shirazi (Iran)
11 M.Chandler (NZ)
11 Ardiansyah (Indo)
13 C.Sampouw (Indo)
13 C.Hon (Malay)
14 H.Suradiradja (Indo)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G 2490 | $x$ | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 101/2 | 59.25 |
| G 2480 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 101/2 | 58.5 |
| I 2380 | L/2 | 砍 | $x$ | 1 | L/2 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $91 / 2$ |  |
| 2355 | 1/2 | 1 | 0 | x | 1/2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| I 2335 | 1/2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 7 |  |
| 2400 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 1/2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| G 2460 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 1 | L/2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 37.25 |
| - | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | x | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | 1 | 6 | 31.25 |
| 2300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | x | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | , | 1 | 6 | 29 |
| I 2390 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| I 2330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1/2 | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |
| 2315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1/2 | 0 | 1/2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | x | 1 | 0 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 2285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2/2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | x | 0 | 21/2 | 15.5 |
| I 2330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | x | 21/2 | 10.5 |

Fourth leg, PENANG, June 1978

1 K.Shirazi (Iran)
2 E.Torre (Phil)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

3 R.Mascarinas (Phil) G
4 M.Sharif (Iran)
5 M.Chandler ( NZ )
6 Ardiansyah (Indo)
A. O'Kelly (Belg)
A.Bachtiar (Indo)
M.Aaron (India)

10 L.Chiong (Phil)
11 Rafiq Khan (India)
2 M.Wotulo (Indo)
13 C.Laird (NZ)
4 Liew (Malay)
5 C.Hon (Malay

The IM norm at Jakarta was $8 \frac{1}{2}$ points the GM norm 10. Thus Mascarinas became
he zones latest International Master.
To prove this was no fluke, the Phi-
lippino repeated the norm at Fenang here $10 \frac{1}{2}$ points were required. There have certainly been some see-
ellington and equal first in Penang while Ardiansyah was first equal in the first leg at Baguio City but well down in the bottom half at Jakarta.

The following five games were played at the Jakarta event
Ardiansyah - Torre, Sicilian Defence 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 d6 4 d4 cedt 5 Nxd4 Nf6 6 Be 2 e5 $7 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{Be} 78 \mathrm{Be}^{8}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0-0 & 9 & \mathrm{~g} 4 & \mathrm{Be} 6 & 10 & \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Na} 7 & 11 \mathrm{Rgl} \text { a } 5 \quad 12\end{array}$ a3 a4 13 Nd2 Nb6 14 Bd3 Nd4 15 Nf3 Nxf3+ 16 Qxf3 Nc4 17 Bxc4 Bxc4 18 $0-0-0 \quad$ b5 19 Nd5 Bxd5 20 Rxd5 b4 21
 Qa7 25 Kb 1 Qxf2 26 Rfl Oxh2 27 Ra 5 Qg2 $28 \mathrm{Ka} 2 \mathrm{Rxa5} 29 \mathrm{bxa5} \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{Re} 1$ dxe4 31 Rxe4 Bxg $5 \quad 32$ Re2 $0 g 4 \quad 33$ Bxg 5
 Oc3 Qd5t 38 Qb3 Qb5 39 Rxe4 Qxa6 40 b5 Qb7 $41 \operatorname{Re} 5 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 42 \mathrm{~b} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 6 \quad 43 \mathrm{Qb} 5$ Rxb6 44 Re8+ Kh7 45 Qd $3+\operatorname{Rg} 6,0: 1$ Hon - 0'Kelly, Ruy Lopez: 1 e 4 e $5 \quad 2$ Nf 3 Nc6 3 Bb5 f5 4 d4 fxe4 5 Bxc6 dxc6 Nxe5 Nf6 7 Bgs c5 8 c3 Bf5 $90-0$ Qd 10 Qa4+ c6 11 Rd1 be7 12 Na3 cxc4 13 Cxd4 h6 14 Bn4 0-0 15 nch Qe6 16 Ne3 Rad8 20 Kfl g 521 Bg 3 Mh 522 Rc 3 c 5 23 dxc 5 Nxg 34 hxg 3 Rxdl 25 Nadi Re5 26 dx 6 NxG 67 Nxg Rf6 28 Rc4 Rd 529
 Kf8 $33 \mathrm{Nb} 7 \mathrm{Na} 74 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \mathrm{Rl6} 35 \mathrm{Nxe4}$ Kf8 $33 \mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{Kd}$

Chandier - Hon, Petroff Defence: 1 e4 es 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nf3 Nxe4 5 d4
d5 6 Bd3 Be7 7 0-0 0-0 8 Re1 Nd6
 Re8 13 Rxe6 fxe6 14 Bxg6 Nf5 15 Bxf5 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { exf5 } & 16 & \text { Qxf5 } & \text { Nf8 } \\ \text { Bxe5 } & 19 & \text { Ne5 } 5 f 6 & 18 & \mathrm{Nbd} 2\end{array}$ Bxe5 19 dxe5 Qe7 20 f 4 Qe6 21 Qxe6+ Rxe6 22 Bxf8 Rxf8 23 by c5 24 Nf3 Rb6 Rb2 29 R6 Rd8 27 f5 a4 28 bxa4 32 Rbl Rd7 33 Kll Re3 31 Kf 2 d4 36 Rxa2 36 Rfl Rc7 37 e6 Rxa2+ 36 Kfl Rc 7 37 RgS+ Kf8 38 e7+ Ardiansyah - Sharif, Scotch: 1 e4 e5 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 exd4 4 Bc4 Nf6 $50-0$ Bc5 6 b4 Bxb4 7 c3 dxc3 8 e5 d5 9 Bb 5 Ne 10 Nd4 Bd7 11 Nc 2 Be 712 Qxd5 Nc5 13 Be3 a6 14 Bxc5 axb5 15 Nxc3 Be6 16 Oxd8+ Bxd8 17 Nxb5 Rxa2 18 Rxa2 Bxa2 19 Ne3 b6 20 Ba3 Nxe5 21 Nf 5 g6 22 Nfd4 Kd7 23 Rd1 Nc6 24 Bb2 Re8 25 Nf5+ Kc 826 Ne 3 Be6 27 Ral Kb 728 Ne 2 Bc 4 29 Nba3 Ba6 $30 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{Be} 731 \mathrm{Nac} 2 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 32$ h3 Bd 333 Nel bb5 $34 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{f} 5,0: 1$. Suradiradja - Quinteros, Sicilian Def. 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 Nf6 4 Nc 3 cxd 4

 $0-0$ Bd7 13 f5 h5 14 fxe6 fxe6 $15 \mathrm{e}^{12}$ $16 \quad \mathrm{Qg} 5 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 17 \mathrm{Qg} 6+\mathrm{Kd} 8 \quad 18$ exd $6 \mathrm{Bxd} 6 \quad 19$ Radl $\mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nb} \quad \mathrm{Qb} 4 \quad 21$ a3 $0 \mathrm{Oh} 4 \quad 22$ Rxd6 Kxd6 $23 \mathrm{Rdl}+\mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 24 \mathrm{Qg} 7 \mathrm{Qe} 7{ }_{2} 25 \mathrm{Qg} 3+$ e5 $26 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Rad} 8 \quad 27 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 \quad 28 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \mathrm{Oxa}$ 29 Qf2 Be6 30 Ral Qd6 31 Nc5 Bxd5 32 Nxa6+ Kc8 33 Rd1 Nd4 34 Bxd5 Qxa6, 0 : 1 .

## OLYMPIAD TEAMS SELECTION

The Men's and Women's teams for the 1978 Olympiad in Buenos Aires (starting 25 October) are as follows:
Men: Bruce Anderson (captain), Murray Chandler, Craig Laird, Ortvin Sarapu, Vernon Small and Peter Stuart. Women: 1 Fenella Foster, 2 Winsome Stretch, 3 Vivian Burndred, 4 Lilian Davies.

NZCA Fresident Michael Whaley was appointed Team Manager and FIDE delegate. The board order for the Men's team was not finalised at the selection meeting

The New Zealand based team members (a11 except Murray and Craig) wil leave Auckland on 18 th October.

CAN YOU SEE THE COMBINATIONS?
Solutions on page 96


No. 1 Black to move


No. 3 White to move


No. 5 White to move


No. 2 B1ack to move


No. 4 White to move


No. 6 White to move

## Aptekar \& P. Green tie North Island

NORTH ISLAND CHAMPIONSHIP - AUCKLAND, 15/20 MAY 1978

|  | NAME | CLUB | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | R. 8 | T'1 | SOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Aptekar L. | $A U$ | W53 | W32 | W24 | W11 | D3 | D7 | wlo | D4 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 39 |
| 2 | Green P. | Civ | W41 | W12 | D23 | L10 | W45 | W16 | W9 | w7 | 61/2 | 38 |
| 3 | Wansink R. | $A U$ | W45 | W16 | W13 | W8 | D1 | D9 | L4 | W15 | 6 | 42 |
| 4 | Clemance P.A. | $A U$ | W28 | W18 | D10 | D15 | D21 | W12 | W3 | D1 | 6 | 41.5 |
| 5 | Stonehouse T.H. | A | W56 | D25 | D30 | W6 | L22 | W47 | W11 | W10 | 6 | 35.5 |
| 6 | Beach P.K. | ${ }^{A U}$ | D35 | W39 | W47 | L5 | W30 | D23 | W22 | W14 | 6 | 35.5 |
| 7 | Goffin P.B. | A | W57 | W37 | D15 | W23 | D10 | D1 | W8 | L2 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 40 |
| 8 | Stuart P.W. | NS | D30 | W22 | W17 | L3 | W28 | W21 | L7 | W24 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 38.5 |
| 9 | Lynn K.W. | Ham | W65 | W52 | L11 | W40 | W38 | D3 | L2 | W21 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 35 |
| 10 | Gollog1y D.A. | NS | W62 | W31 | D4 | W2 | D7 | W22 | L1 | L5 | 5 | 1.5 |
| 11 | Van Dijk T. | Nel | W49 | W14 | W9 | L1 | D16 | W24 | L5 | D13 | 5 | 41 |
| 12 | Storchenegger 0 . | A | W58 | L2 | D31 | W35 | W13 | 14 | W46 | D17 | 5 | 37 |
| 13 | Johnstone D.G. | NS | W21 | W34 | L3 | D33 | L12 | W44 | W36 | D11 | 5 | 36.5 |
| 14 | Free T.J. | A | W43 | L11 | W26 | L24 | W52 | W19 | W23 | L6 | 5 | 36.5 |
| 15 | Carpinter B.A. | W | W66 | W60 | D7 | D4 | D24 | D17 | W25 | L3 | 5 | 36 |
| 16 | Cater J.E. | Wai | W69 | L3 | W34 | W27 | D11 | L2 | D26 | W38 | 5 | 35.5 |
| 17 | Watson B.R. | $A U$ | W61 | W36 | L8 | D30 | W33 | D15 | D18 | D12 | 5 | 35 |
| 18 | Sidnam G. | A | W68 | L4 | L21 | W50 | W34 | W37 | D17 | D20 | 5 | 34 |
| 19 | Marsick B.H.P. | A | L31 | W62 | W51 | D36 | D25 | L14 | W49 | W35 | 5 | 31 |
| 20 | Whitehouse L.E. | Ham | L52 | W65 | W48 | L21 | W32 | D26 | W33 | D18 | 5 | 30.5 |
| 2.1 | Pardoen A. | $A U$ | L13 | W46 | w18 | W20 | D4 | 18 | W31 | L9 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 39.5 |
| 22 | Arbuthnott J. | Ham | W54 | L8 | W43 | W37 | W5 | L10 | L6 | D26 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 38 |
| 23 | Mataga P.A. | A | W67 | W26 | D2 | L7 | +136 | D6 | L14 | D25 | 41/2 | 38 |
| 24 | laming R.K.N. | Pat | W55 | W42 | Ll | W14 | D15 | L11 | W45 | L8 | 4112 | 37.5 |
| 25 | Walden 6 . | Pap | W59 | D5 | D33 | D32 | D19 | W38 | L15 | D23 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 35.5 |
| 26 | Spiller T. | civ | W38 | L23 | L14 | W57 | W60 | D20 | D16 | D22 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 33.5 |
| 27 | Steadman M. | A | D39 | L30 | W44 | L16 | W54 | L31 | W62 | W45 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 30 |
| 28 | Foster B.R. | Pen | L4 | W69 | D45 | W48 | L8 | L33 | W5 1 | W46 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 30 |
| 29 | Morris N. | NS | L32 | L45 | D61 | D55 | D67 | W42 | W60 | W52 | $41 / 2$ | 24.5 |
| 30 | Davies R. | ${ }_{\text {HP }}$ | D8 | W27 | D5 | D17 | L6 | L36 | W59 | D31 | 4 | 38 |
| 31 | Foster F . | Pen | W19 | L10 | D12 | D47 | D46 | W27 | L21 | D30 | 4 | 35 |
| 32 | Whitlock H.P. | Wan | W29 | L1 | W58 | D25 | L20 | D35 | W52 | L34 | 4 | 34.5 |
| 33 | Fekete J. | A | D50 | W63 | D25 | D13 | L17 | W28 | L20 | D41 | 4 | 34 |
| 34. | Dunstan I. | - | W46 | L13 | L16 | W56 | L18 | L40 | W37 | W32 | 4 | 33.5 |
| 35 | Lane K . | HP | D6 | L48 | W54 | L12 | W61 | D32 | W40 | L19 | 4 | 33 |
| 36 | Holster A. | $A U$ | W64 | L17 | W52 | D19 | L23 | W30 | L13 | D39 | 4 | 33 |
| 37 | Trundle G.E. | A | W51 | 17 | W66 | L22 | W41 | L18 | L34 | W55 | 4 | 31.5 |
| 38 | Spiller P.S | A | L26 | W67 | W42 | W60 | L9 | L25 | W47 | L16 | 4 | 31.5 |
| 39 | White M . | $\bigcirc$ | D27 | L6 | W63 | L45 | W65 | L46 | W48 | D36 | 4 | 29.5 |
| 40 | Brimble $\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{T}$. | Wai | L42 | W55 | W49 | L9 | L47 | W34 | L35 | W54 | 4 | 29 |
| 41 | Ferguson R. | Civ | L2 | W68 | L60 | W58 | L37 | D62 | W44 | D33 | 4 | 28.5 |
| 42 | McCormick R. | A | W40 | L24 | L38 | L54 | W56 | L29 | W65 | W53 | 4 | 28 |
| 43 | Croucher J. | $A \cup$ | L14 | W57 | L22 | L46 | L66 | W56 | W67 | W47 | 4 | 26.5 |
| 44 | Levy R. | A | L60 | Bye | L27 | W69 | W48 | L13 | 141 | W61 | 4 | 23 |
| 45 | Vermeer J. | $A U$ | L3 | W29 | D28 | W39 | L2 | W53 | L24 | L27 | 312 | 37.5 |
| 46 | Bennett li. | Ham | L 34 | L21 | W59 | W43 | D31 | W39 | L12 | L28 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 33 |
| 47 | Kasmara A.H. | Par | Bye | W50 | L. 6 | D31 | W40 | L5 | L. 38 | L43 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 31.5 |
| 43 | Poor P. | $A \cdot$ | D63 | W35 | L20 | L28 | L44 | W64 | L39 | W59 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 29.5 |
| 49 | Morrison M.K. | A | L11 | W59 | L40 | L62 | W51 | W66 | L19 | D50 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 28.5 |
| 50 | Burndred V . | Wai | D33 | 147 | D53 | L18 | L57 | W58 | W66 | D49 | $3 \frac{12}{2}$ | 27 |
| 31 | Carline L. | UH | L37 | W61 | L19 | D53 | L49 | W65 | L28 | W60 | 312 | 27 |


| 52 | Johnston A. | - | W20 | L9 | L36 | W66 | L. 14 | W57 | L32 | L29 | 3 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Miller G. | Ham | L1 | D54 | D50 | D51 | W62 | L45 | D55 | L42 | 3 | 29.5 |
| 54 | Moratti S.C. | NS | L22 | D53 | L35 | W42 | L27 | D67 | W57 | L40 | 3 | 29 |
| 55 | Millar B. | ADL | L24 | L.40 | D65 | D29 | W58 | D60 | D53 | L37 | 3 | 27.5 |
| 56 | Watson M.J. | A | L5 | L58 | W68 | E34 | L4.2 | L43 | W64 | W66 | 3 | 27.5 |
| 57 | Cunningham P. | Pen | L7 | 14.3 | W69 | L26 | W50 | L52 | L54 | W67 | 3 | 26.5 |
| 58 | Brightwell D. | Pac | L12 | W56 | L32 | L41 | L55 | L50 | W69 | W68 | 3 | 25.5 |
| 59 | Costello T. | Pap | L25 | L49 | Li46 | Bye | W63 | W61 | L30 | 148 | 3 | 24 |
| 60 | Bennell D. | Par | W44 | L15 | W4 1 | L38 | L26 | D55 | L29 | L51 | $2{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 32.5 |
| 61 | Martin L. | Par | L17 | L51 | D29 | W64 | L35 | L59 | W68 | L44 | $2{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 28.5 |
| 62 | Ah-Kit G. | $A U$ | L10 | L19 | W67 | W49 | L53 | D41 | L27 | f | $2{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 27 |
| 63 | Stewart B. | Ais | D48 | E33 | L39 | L6.5 | L5.9 | L68 | Bye | W69 | $2{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 19.5 |
| 64 | Wastell B. | Air | L36 | L66 | Bye | L61 | W69 | L48 | L56 | D65 | $2 \frac{1 / 2}{}$ | 18 |
| 65. | Sinclair M. | A | L9 | L20 | D55 | W63 | L39 | L51 | L42 | D64 | 2 | 30 |
| 66 | Jones G.M. | NS | L15 | W64 | L37 | L52 | W43 | L49 | L50 | L56 | 2 | 28.5 |
| 67 | Bell D. | Wan | L23 | L38 | L62 | W68 | D29 | D54 | L43 | L57 | 2 | 27.5 |
| 68 | Younger J. | Ham | L18 | L42 | L56 | L67 | Bye | W63 | L61 | L58 | 2 | 22 |
| 69 | Bloomfield S. | Ham | L16 | L28 | L57 | L44 | L64 | Bye | L58 | L63 | 1 |  |

Abbreviations used are: A = Auckland Centre; ADL = Auckland Diagnostic Laboratory; Air = Air New Zealand; AU = Auckland University; Civ = Civic; Ham = Hamilton; HP Papatoetoe; Par = Parnell; Pen = Pencarrow; UH = Upper Hutt; $\mathfrak{W}=$ Wellington; Wai Waitemata; Wan = Wanganu1.

## MICHAEL LIVINGSTON reports:

This year's tournament was held in the pleasant surroundings of the
Students Association Building at Auckland University. The centralised location no doubt attracted a few more out-oftown participants and their famflies to he Queen City.
The University Club's patron, Dr W.A. Fairhurst, started proceedings by welcoming the 69 players and declaring the tournament open. With the rooms overlaok ing Albert Park and the Rudman Gardens the scene was set for some interesting nd exciling matches lu a lield where ny one of the top five plagers had hance of taking the title, The fleld nuly was not particularly strong with inter plat 1900 the f some upsets occurring pas quite his don't think occone would have guessed there would be six in the top half of the field in the first round; but it happened ...

ROUND ONE Monday 15th, morning
Some bad play from more experienced players brought about these upsets more than anything else. Len Whitehouse, piece up in an endgame, allowed his pponent to queen WITH CHECK before
losing his own passed pawn. Richard Lane, who finished 2nd= in the Schoolpupil championskip the previous week, looked to have the advantage against Paul Beach but neither player could do very much so a draw was agreed. Bob Davies played well to hold second seed Peter Stuart to a draw, as did M.White against seventh ranked Michael Steadman. Meanwhile the two Spillers met again with Tim (Wellington) beating Paul (Auckland). Young Fenella Foster took the first of her two important scalps by dereating Bruce Mar sick - the first time in 21 years that Suiss as the ther quick wins with Apterar big quick wins with Aptekar being given a piece, Wansink obtainine two connected his pieces and Goffin exchanging his queen for several pieces before encircling his opponent's king.
R.Wansink - J.Vermeer, Pirc Defence: $1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 5$ e5 dxe5 6 dxe5 Qxdl+ 7 Kxdl Ngd7 8

 15 g 3 e $6 \quad 16$ a5 Nd5 17 Nxd5 exd5 18


Re6 25 f. 5 Rh6 26 Rag1 Rxh4 27 Rxg7 Rxd4 28 f6 Rh4 29 e 6 Rh6 30 Rxf7 Rg6 31 Rxg6 hxg6 32 e7 $\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rg} 7+\mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 34$ f7, $1=0$.
P.K.Beach - R.Lane, Alekhine Defence: 1 e4 Nf6 2 e $5 \mathrm{Nd} 5,3$ d4 d6 4 c 4 Nb 6 $\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{dxe5} 6$ fxe5 Nc6 7 Be 3 Bff 58 Nc3 e6 $9 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 10 \mathrm{Rcl} \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 11 \mathrm{~d} 5$ exd5 12 cxd5 N4xd5 13 Nxd5 Qxd5 14 Qxd5 Nxd5 $15 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Bd} 716 \mathrm{Bxd} 7+\mathrm{Kxd} 717 \mathrm{Bxc} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 8$ 18 e6+ Kd8 19 Ng5 Bxc $5 \quad 20$ Nxf7+ Ke7 21 Nxh8 Bb4+ 22 Kf2 Rxi8 23 Rc4 Kxe6 24 Rd1 Rf8 $+25 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 4+26 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 5+$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

## ROUND TWO

Monday 15 th, evening
This time, all the top ranked players did win, with the exception of Tom Stonehouse who was held to a draw by the
curreat Auckland Schoolpupil champion,
Graham Walden. Aptekar was the first to finish after his opponent gave him a piece, and soon afterwards G.Miller stalemated Moratti after being two pieces up. Stuart looked to be in a lot of trouble against Arbuthnoct but in the ensuing time scramble played some strong moves to hit at his opponent's weaknesses. In battles of youth Clemance, Watson, Mataga, Gollog1y, D.Johnstone and Davies all easily accounted for Sidnam, Holster, T.Spiller, F.Foster, Dunstan and Steadman respectively.
D.A.Gollogly - F.Foster, Sicilian:

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d 4 cxd $4 \quad 4$ Qxd4 Nc6 5 Bb5 Qa5t 6 Nc 3 Bd7 7 Bxc6 Bxc6 8 $0-0$ h6 9 Rel Nf6 10 Bd2 Qc7 111 NdS Bxd5 12 exd5 Qxc2 13 Racl Qf5 14 Rc 7 Ne4 18 Rb7 e5 $19 \mathrm{Ba} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \quad 20 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{Rxb} 8$ 1220 Rb 8 Rxb 8 21 Qxb8+ Kd7 22 Rcl Qxa2 23 Qc8+,
M.Steadman - R.Davies, French Defence: 1 e4 c5 2 f4 e6 3 d3 d5 4 Nd2 Nc6 5 Ngif Nf6 $6 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 80-0$ a5 9 Rel Be7 10 c3 Ba6 11 Qc2 Rc8 12 Nf1 $0-0 \quad 13 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 14$ Ne5 dxe4 15 Nxc6 Rxc6 16 dxe4 Bxe2 17 Qxe2 g6 $18 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \quad 19$ axg $4 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 20 \mathrm{Ng} 3$ c $4 \quad 21 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{Bc} 5+22 \mathrm{Kg} 2$ Qd 323 ()xd3 cxd3 24 Kff 3 Rd8 25 Bd 2 bxc3 26 bxc3 Nd7 27 e5 Nb6 28 Bg5 Rd5 $29 \mathrm{Bf} 6+\mathrm{Kf8} 30 \mathrm{Rh} 1 \mathrm{Ke} 8 \quad 31$ fxg6 fxg6 32 Rh $8+\mathrm{Kd} 7 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rg} 8$ Rc $8 \quad 34 \mathrm{Rg} 7+\mathrm{Kc} 6 \quad 35$ Rxg6 d2 $36 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Nxa4} 37 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 38 \mathrm{Rh} 6$ Rb 239 Kdl Bb 440 cxb 4 Rd 441 Rh 2 Rxe4 42 Rxd2 Nc3+ 43 Kcl Rxd2 44 Kxd 2 $48 \mathrm{Kcl} \mathrm{Relt}$,0 : 1
P.W.Stuart - J.Arbuthnott, English: 1 c4 e5 2 Nc3 Nf6 3 Nf3 Nc6 4 e4 d6
 Be7 9 h3 Bh5 10 Qe3 $0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 7$ $12 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Ng} 3$ Bh4 $14 \mathrm{Nf} 5 \mathrm{Bxf5} \quad 15$
 h6 19 Oe2 Bg5 $5 \quad 20$ Be3 Qf6 21 b3 Bxe3 22 Qxe3 a6 23 a4 Re7 24 Rabl Rae8 25 a5 Nd $3 \quad 26 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Qe5} \quad 27 \mathrm{Ng} 3 \quad$ Qf4 48 Qxf4
 $\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { Nxf4 } \\ 32 \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{e} 2 & \mathrm{Kh} 2 & \mathrm{Nd} 3 & \text { fxe5 exflQ } & 34 \\ \text { Rxfl dxe5 }\end{array}$

 d6 c6 42 d7 Rd8 43 Ra6 Kh 744 Ki 4 Na3+ 45 Kxe4 Nc5+ 46 Ke5 Kg7 47 Nf5 + Kf8 $48 \mathrm{Rh6} \mathrm{Nxd7}+49 \mathrm{Kd6}, 1: 0$.

## ROUND THREE Tuesday 16th, afternoon

Thirteen players on 2 points at the start of this round were very quickly chopped down to just three on points Aptekar, playing Lanning, broke throug decisively on the queenside, while Wansink surrounded his opponent's king and Van Dijk accounted for Lynn.

Stuart caught up with the pack when . Watson played very weakly. Clemance Gollogly Morfin tame draws. Mataga $v$. Creen lasted hetween Stonehouse and Davies, gach player attacked on opposite sides of the board and the gane finally sided with Stonehouse baving promot and queen, forced to take perpetual check avoid being mated. avoid being mated.

Leading scores: Aptekar, Wansink \& Van Din Gollogly $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
L.Aptekar - R.K.N.Lanning, King's Indian Defence: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 eg7 4 e4 d6 5 d4 $0-0 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 2$ e5 7 d5 as 8 $0-0$ Na6 9 Nel Bd7 10 Bg 5 h6 11 Bh 4 g 5 12 Bg 3 Nxe4 13 Nxe $4 \mathrm{f} 5 \quad 14$ Nc 3 f $4 \quad 15$ Bg4 fxg 316 hxg 3 Nc5 17 Bxd7 Qxd7 18 Nd3 Nxd3 19 Qxd3 g4 20 Ne4 Bf6 21 c 5 Be7 22 Racl dxc5 23 Nxc5 Bxe5 24 Rxc5
 Qf7 28 Qxg4+, $1: 0$.
T.H.Stonehouse - R.Davies, King's Indian Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 Bg7 4 e4 d6 5 Be2 0-0 6 Nf3 e5 7 d5 a6 8 $0-0$ Nbd7 9 b4 Nh5 - 10 Be3 f5 11 exf5 gxf5 $12 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bxf4}$ exf4 14 Ne6 Qh4 15 Nxf8 Bxc 316 Rel Be5 17 Nxd7 Bxd7 18 Bf3 Rf8 19 c5 Rf6 20 c 6 Rh6 21 h3 bxc6 22 dxc6 Be8 23 a4 Qg5 24 Kh2 Bh5 25 b5 Bg 4


26 b 6 Qh 427 bxc 7 Bxh $3 \quad 28 \mathrm{c} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Kg} 7$ $29 \mathrm{Qd} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 8$, $\frac{1}{2}$ : $\frac{1}{2}$.
K.W.Lynn - T.Van Dijk, French Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 Nc3 d5 3 Bg5 e6 4 e4 Be7 5 e5 Nfd7 6 Bxe7 Qxe7 7 f4 c5 8 Nf3 Nc6 9 Qd2 a6 10 dxc5 Nxc5 11 0-0-0 b5 12 bd3 b4 13 Ne2 Nxd3 14 Qxd3 a5 15 Ned4 Nxd4 16 Nxd4 Ba6 17 Qg3 g6 18 h4 Kd7 19 Qb3 Qc5 20 Qa4+ Kc $7 \quad 21 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{~Kb} 6$ 22 Qd7 Ra7 23 Qa4 Rc8 24 hxg6 hxg6 25
 Rh3 Rc4 $29 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Re} 4 \quad 30 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 4$ 32 Rfd3 Rc7 33 Kb 2 Rel 34 Rh 2 Rg 135 Rf 2 Rc 3 R 36 Rxc3 bxc3+ 37 Kxc3 Rxg $3+$ 38 Kd4 Kb 49 Rg2 $\mathrm{g}_{3} 42$ a3 $+\mathrm{Kxa3} 43 \mathrm{Kc5} \mathrm{Rt} 244 \mathrm{Rxg} 3$ Rxc2+ 45 Kbj 46 RdS Re2 506 79 bxas Rxe5 7 Ra 53 Kd6 545 d3 $55 \mathrm{Kxe} 5 \mathrm{~d} 256 \mathrm{Ra} 2+\mathrm{Kd} 3 \quad 57 \mathrm{Ral} \mathrm{Ke2}$, d3 55

## ROUND FOUR Wednesday 17 th, morning

Aptekar gained his fourth point when he won another piece (his third in four games.) against Van Dijk and Wansjnk joined him by beating Stuart. Clemance and Carpinter saved their energy for the evening round but they were overtaken by Goffin and Gollogly who both moved up to $3 \frac{1}{2}$ points at the expense of Mataga and Green. Pardoen beat Whitehouse with a nice piece sacrifice and Davies walked into a perpetual check as he was outplaying B.Watson. Paul Spiller caught up with the leaders with his third successive win but steadno ceived his second midd rime by Jiner.
Leading ouse, Van Dijk, Carpinter, PiSpiller,

Lynn, Lanning, Arbuthnott, Cater \& Pardoen 3.
A.Pardoen - L.E.Whitehouse, Scotch Gambit: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 exd4 4 c3 dxc3 5 Bc4 d6 6 Nxc3 Nf6 7 Qb3 Qe7 8 Ng5 Ne5 9 bb5+ c6 10 f4 Neg4 11 h3 cxb5 12 hxg4 Bxg4 13 Be 3 a6 14 e5 Bf 5 15 Rd1 Rd8 16 вb6 Rd7 17000 dxe5 18 fxe5 Rxdl 19 Rxdl Nd7 20 Nd5 Qxg5 21 $\mathrm{Nc} 7+\mathrm{Ke} 722 \mathrm{Qb} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 8 \quad 23 \mathrm{Qc} 3 \mathrm{Ke7} 24$ Qa3+ Kd8 25 Nxa6t, 1 : 0.
J.E.Cater - M.Steadman, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d5 3 Nd2 Nf6 4 e5 Nfd7 $5 \mathrm{Naf3} \mathrm{c5} 6 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 6 \mathrm{CdO} \mathrm{Be} 11 \mathrm{Ne}$
 $16 \quad \mathrm{I} 6 \mathrm{Oe} 2 \mathrm{Na} 717 \mathrm{Rfb} 1 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 18 \mathrm{~b} 30-0$
 $\begin{array}{lllllll}19 & \text { Bh6 Re8 } \\ \mathrm{Nh} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 3 & 23 & \mathrm{Nf} 4 \mathrm{Bf} 8 & 24 & \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Be} 7 & 25 \mathrm{n} 4\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Bxg5 } & 26 & \mathrm{hxg} 5 & \mathrm{Qe} \\ \mathrm{axb} 3 & 29 & \mathrm{a} 4 & \mathrm{Nc} 6 & 30 \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Na} 5 & 31 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 2\end{array}$ $32 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Rc} 7 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rhl}$ Qb4 34 Kg 3 Kg 7

$35 \mathrm{Rxh} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 36$ Qh4 Kf8 $\quad 37 \mathrm{Qh} 6+\mathrm{Ke} 7$ 38 Bxg6 Rf8 39 Bxf7 Kd8 40 Qf $6+\mathrm{Ke} 8$ 41 Rh8 Kb7 42 Rxf8 Qxf8 $43 \mathrm{~g} 6,1: 0$. P.R.Green - D.A.Gollogly, King's Indian Defence: $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6{ }_{2} \mathrm{c}_{4} \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4$ Nc3 $0-0 \quad 5$ e $4 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 6$ Be2 e5 7 0-0 Nc6 8




 Rfdi Qh5 26 Ng4 Bxg4 27 fxg4 Qh2+ 28 Kfl be5, 0 : 1.

ROUND FIVE Wednesday 17 th, evening This evening round saw draws on many of the top boards, the only exceptions being when Stonehouse blundered horribly afainst Arbuthnott and Lynn v P. Spiller
where Paul was running into time trouble after his 14 th move.

Post mortem analysts had trouble finding saving moves for Aptekar in his drawn clash with Wansink. Gollogly v Goffin only took 14 moves before a draw as agreed while Van Dijk v Cater and Carpinter v Lanning went to 77 and 54 the respectively the same conclusion. Clenance g gam Pardoen offered him a draw.
Stuart and Green kept their chances alive with good crushes over B.Foster and Vermeer as did Beach who tried his infamous 'h3 Sicilian' and won a piece from a tiring Davies.

Leading scores: Aptekar \& Wansink 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ Goffin, Lynn, Gollogly \& Arbuthnott 4; Stuart, Clemance, Green, Van Dijk Beach, Carpinter, B.Watson, Cater, 3六.
P.A.Clemance - A.Pardoen, Petroff: 1 e 4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 Nxe5 d6 4 Nc4 $\mathrm{Nxe} 4 \quad 5 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 76 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{ga} \mathrm{d} 5 \quad 8$ $\mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 9 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{c} 6 \quad 100-0 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \mathrm{ll}$ Rel Be 6 12 c4 dxc4 $13 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{exb3} \quad 14 \mathrm{Ba} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 7 \quad 15$ Ne3 Qxd4 $16 \quad \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{cxb5} \quad 17$ Qxb5+ Nbd7 18 Nc4 Ne4 19 Bxe4 Qxc4 20 Qxc4 Bxc4 21 Bd5+ Kd8 $22 \mathrm{Be} 7+$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

## ROUND SIX Thursday 18th, afternoon

 A crucial round for many players Aptekar and Wansink were both fortunate to get even a half point from their games. Aptekar was two pawns down in an ending against Goffin while Wansink had trouble holding his pawns against Lynn.Clemance very quickly outplayed Storchenegger and Arbuthnott blundered badly against Gollogly. Stuart, Green and Van Dijk all recorded their four th wins at the expense of Pardoen, Cater and Lanning. The upset of the round was when Steadman outplayed Fenella Foster in the opening but then was unable to do anything for about ten moves and finally walked into a forced mate at the adjournment.

Even at this late stage there were seven unbeaten players: Clemance, Goffin, Carpinter and Walden plus the leading trio. Scores: Aptekar, Wansink \& Gollogly 5; Stuart, Clemance, Green, Van Dijk, Goffin \& Lynn 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Stonehouse, Beach, Carpinter, B.Watson, Arbuthnott, Mataga, Sidnam, Free \& Walden 4
P.B.Goffin - L.Aptekar, Sicilian: l e4

5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 $16 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 7 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Ra7} 9$ Be 3 Rc 710 Qe2 bb7 11 Rfd1 Nbd7 12 b3 Be7 13 Bf4 e5 14 Bg 3 0-0 15 Rd 2 Qb8 16 Radl b4 17 Nd5 Nxd5 18 exd5 g. 19 Rd3 f5 20 h 4 Bf6 21 Nd4 exd4 25 Bxd6 Nc5 23 R3d2 Qd8 24 Bxc7 Qxc7 25 Qc4 Qd6 26 Qxb4 Bxd5 27 Rxd4 Bxd4 31 Oc6 g6 32 Qxc8 Rxc8 3303 Na4 34 $1 . \mathrm{Rc}^{2} \mathrm{g6} 32$ Qxc8 Rxc8 33 c 3 Na 434 $4 \mathrm{Ko7} 38 \mathrm{Ra}^{2} 39 \mathrm{Ra}+\mathrm{Kf} 6 \quad 40$ 2 $41 \mathrm{Ra} \mathrm{Kg} 742 \mathrm{a} 7 \mathrm{Kh} 6 \quad 43 \mathrm{~K} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 744 \mathrm{Ke}$
 8 Bc4 Ra3 49 Bf7 Nf6 50 Be6 Nh5 51 Bg8+ Kxh6 52 f3 f4 53 Bf7 Nf6 54 Rh8+ g7 55 a 80 Rya 856 Rxa8 Kyf7 57 Ra5 $58 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{Kf7} 59 \mathrm{Ra7+} \mathrm{Ke} 6 \quad 60 \mathrm{Ra} 6+\mathrm{Kf5}$


61 Rxf6+?
Now the game is drawn. The correct plan was to place the rook on $g 5$ and hen bring the king in through the centre, e.g. 61 Rast Ke6 62 Rg5 Kf7 63 Kg 62 Ng7 66 Na3 Ne6 (66. Nh5 67 K 6 68 Reh5 (ins) 67 Rg4 g5 68 hxg + 8 Rxhs wins) f4+ winning
61...Kxf6 $62 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 763 \mathrm{Kxf} 4 \mathrm{Kf6}$ 64 Kg 4 Kf 7 ! 65 Kg 5 Kg 766 h 5

Or 66 f4 Kf7 drawing, but not 66 . Kh7? 67 h5 and White wins.
$66 . . . \mathrm{gxh} 567 \mathrm{Kxh} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 668 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 6$ $69 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 670 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 7, \frac{1}{2}: 1 / 2$.
O.Storchenegger - P.A.Clemance, English: 1 c4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{cxd4} 4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Nc3 } & \text { Nxd4 } & 9 & \text { Oxd4 } & \text { d6 } & 10 & \text { Qd3 } & \text { Nd7 } & 11 & \text { e4 } \\ \text { Ne5 } & 12 & \text { Qe } & \text { Be6 } & 13 & \text { Nd5 } & \text { Rc8 } & 14 & \mathrm{Bg} 5 & \mathrm{Bxd5}\end{array}$
 b4 Qa4 19 Rfdl Nxe3 20 Qxe3 Rc3 21 Qd2 Rfc3 22 Rdc1 Rc2 23 Rxc2 Rxc2 24

Qe1 Qxa2 $25 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Re} 2,0: 1$

## ROUND SEVEN Friday 19th, morning

 Six of the seven unbeaten players were paired together in a round where many players' records were severely tarnished. Gollogly, playing Aptekar, succumbed quickly after failing to pro tect his king and Goffin beat Stuart in when the latter made a fatal mistak Clemance position in time trous the ending against Wansink. Green kept his chances alive with a fine win over Lynn in which he gave up the exchange to get his pawns moving. Van Dijk and Mataga were overwhelmed by their opponents' (Stonehouse and Free) attacks. Of the rest, Beach and Carpinter picked up some pawns before Arbuthnott and Walden resigned. B.Watson v Sidnam produced the only draw on the top twelve boards. Fenella Foster playing against Pardoen, allowed him to get a pawn to the seventh before walking into a one-mover. Paul Spiller marched his opponent's king up towards his ow and Holster blundered by not stopping Johnstone's passed pawn when he had the chance.Leading scores (with one round to go): Aptekar 6; Clemance, Green \& Goffin 5 $\frac{1}{2}$; Wansink, Stonehouse, Beach, Carpinter, Gollogly \& Free 5 .
L.Aptekar - D.A.Gollogly, King's Indian Defence: 1 c 4 g 62 d 4 Bg 73 Nc 3 d 64 e4 Nf6 5 f3

By a harmless transposition a normal grouping of the white and black pieces in the King's Indian has been reached White has obtained one of the standar "positions given in howell \& Young s Minor ractics of Chess, a bo ginners published seventy years ago

## 5...Nc6 6 d5 Ne5

black provokes White into disturbing his classical formation

## 7 f4 Ned7

Logical would be 7...Neg4 8 h3 Nh6 9 94 Nhg8 10 e 5 dxe5 11 fxe5 Na7 12 e 6 fxe6 13 dxe6 NC5 with interesting possibilities.

8 Nf3 0-0 9 Bd3 e6 $100-0$ exd5 11 exd5 Re8

Black should have played 11...NC5, e.g. 12 Bc2 Bf5 13 Bxf5 gxff $14 \mathrm{Nd4}$ Qd7 with adequate compensation for the iso-

## lated kingside pawns

## 12 f5

Now White's pieces come alive and Black's vital Bg7 has to be exchanged. Black should now have tried 12...NC5 1 fxg6 fxg6 14 BC2 Nfe4 etc.
12...Ng4 13 fxg6 hxg6

A little better was 13...fxg6, despite the weakening of e6

14 Bg5 Bf6 15 Qd2 Bxg5 16 Nxg 5 Ne 3 Better was 16...Nde5. After the text Thite wins with a few forceful moves

17 Qf2 Ne5 18 Qh4 Nxfl 19 Rxfl Qxg5
A final confession of weakness. But if 19...Qd7, then 20 Qh7+ Kf8 21 Bxg6 Ke7 22 Ne6 wins; or 19...f5 20 Qh7+ Kf8 21 oh8t etc; or, finally, 19...Bf5 20 Bxf5 gxf5 21 Qh7+ Kf8 22 Rxf5 winning.

20 Qxg5 Nxd3 21 Nb 5
An elegant finish to a flawless game bu New Zealand's most consistent tournament player.
21...Re5 22 Qd8+, 1 : 0
K.W.Lynn - P.R.Green, Blackmar-Diemer Gambit: 1 d4 Nf6 2 Nc3 d5 3 e4 dxe4 4 f3 exf3 5 Nxf3 bf5 6 Bc4 e6 $7 \quad 0-0$ Bd 6 8 Bg5 h6 9 Bxf6 Qxf6 $\quad 10$ d5 0-0 11 Qd2 Nd7 12 Rael $\mathrm{Nb} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bb} 3$ Bc5+ 14 Khl Rad8 15 Qf4 Bd6 16 Qc1 e5 17 Qe3 Rde8
 Nb5 f4 22 Qcl Kh8 23 Nxd6 cxa6 24 Qc 3 e4 25 Qd4 Nc8 26 Bc2 e3 27 Bg6 Rd8 28 Ng 1 Qf6 29 Qxf6 Rxf6 30 Bd 3 Rdf8 $31 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 32 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{~h} 533 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad 34 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ hxg4 35 Ng 5 Ne 736 Kgl Ng 637 Ne 4 Bf 5 38 Nxf6 Bxd3 39 Nxg4 Bxc4 40 Rf3 Bxd5 $41 \mathrm{Rh} 3+\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 42 \mathrm{Rcl} \operatorname{Rf7} 43 \mathrm{Nh} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 744$ Nf5+ Kf6 45 Nd4 Bxa2 46 Rh5 Be6 47 R£I Bc4 $48 \mathrm{Rf} 5+\mathrm{Kg} 749 \mathrm{Rcl}$ b5 50 Nxb5 Bxb5 51 Rxb5 d5 $52 \mathrm{Rbc} 5 \mathrm{Kf6} 53 \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{Ne}$ $54 \mathrm{~b} 6 \mathrm{Rb} 755 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 56 \mathrm{Rc} 7 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \quad 57 \mathrm{~b} 7$ d3 58 Rc8 Rxb7 59 Rxb7 d2

## see diagram next column

60 Rbl e 261 Rccl dxclQ+ 62 Rxcl Nd 3 63 Ral Kg 564 Kh 2 Kg 465 Kgl Kg 366 Khl elQ+ 67 Rxel Nxel 68 Kgl Nxg2 69 Kfl f3 $70 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{f} 2+71 \mathrm{Kfl} \mathrm{Ne} 3+72 \mathrm{Ke} 2$ flQ+ $73 \mathrm{Kxe} 3 \mathrm{Qf} 4+74 \mathrm{Ke} 2,0: 1$ T.J.Free - P.A.Mataga, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 44 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{dxe} 4$ 5 Qg4 Nf6 6 Qxg7 Rg8 10 Nxe4 Bxd2+ 11 Rxd2



Lynn - Green after 59...d2
Ndb4 15 a3 b5 16 Bb3 e5 17 Nf6t, $: 0$.

ROUND EIGHT Saturday 20th, morning
The top pairings for the last round were Aptekar v Clemance, Goffin v Green, Wansink $v$ Carpinter, Stonehouse $v$ Gollog ly, and Beach v Free.
The top board clash arrived at a rook and pawn ending after just 14 moves and this was quickly agreed drawn. This meant that either Goffin or Green could tie for first place; in the event the latter won to share the title, although it was Aptekar whe qualified for an automatic place in the next New Zealand Championship in view of his higher tiereak score.
Wansink won a pawn but there was still a difficult road to the win until Colpinter left another pawn en pris. against Stonehouse and for poor moves game had his pioces on his back of the 3each was able to get at Free's with two glorious bishops in the middle of the board. Stuart and Lynn were both given the lanning and Pardoen, to end up (with Goffin) on $5 \frac{1}{2}$ points, a half point beind Wansink, Clemance, Stonehouse and Beach.

Lev Aptekar was perhaps unfortunate not to win first place outright, but the four "easy" games he had before his lapses in rounds 5 and 6 were not enough to settle him before he started neeting the other top ranked players.

Keanwhile Peter Green played a weake field after his early loss, but the way he disposed of some of his opponents are clatched The most noticure tournaments.
hole tournament was however, the naturity and skill shown by , the tudents. It was Clemance, Beach and B Watson would ail finish quite hish, but the real were the excellent performances by David Gollog1y and 13-year old Fene1l bove David, following up his high placing Congress, showed good all-round skill and is rapidly becoming a top class player. As for Fenella, the skill is there and the forthcoming Olympfad will provide her with some of the necessary experience she requires.

The winners each received $\$ 160$ while those who finished equal third got

Grach.
Grade 1 winners were D.Gollogly, B.H. P.Marsick, D.G.Johnstone, J.E.Cater, G. Sidnam \& T.J.Free. Grade 2 was won by B R.Foster with T.Spiller taking Grade 3. took first shared by

$$
\triangle \star \quad \star \quad \star
$$

A further selection of games follows. F.Foster - B.'H.P.Marsick, Vienna Game 1 e4 e5 2 Nc 3 Bc5 3 Bc4 Nf6 4 f4 d6 5. Nf3 Ng4 6 d4 exd4 7 Nxd4 $0-0 \quad 8$ f Ne5 9 Bb 3 Bxd 410 Qxd4 Qh4+ 11 Qf2 Qxf2t 12 Kxfe 2 Na6 13 a3 Re8 14 h3 Ne5 15 Ba2 Ned7 16 Nas Ná6 17 Rel Ncc5 18 Ne 3 Bd7 19 Bd5 Rabs 20 Bf4 Na4 21 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Nxa4 } & \text { Bxa4 } & 22 & \text { b3 } 3 \text { Bd } 7 & 23 & 84 & \text { b } 5 & 24 & \text { e } 5\end{array}$ dxe5 25 Bxe5 Rb6 26 Radl Rh6? 27 Bxf7+ Kxf7 $28 \mathrm{Rxd} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8 \quad 29 \mathrm{Rxg} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 30 \mathrm{Rd} 7$
 34 Re8 Rf6 37 Rxc8 $35 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Rxf} 7 \mathrm{~F} 36 \mathrm{Rxf} 7+\mathrm{Kxf} 7$ 37 Rxc8 c5 38 Ra8 Ke6 39 Fxa7 Nb 840 Rxh7 Ne6, 1 : 0
B.R.Foster - P.A.Clemance, Queen's Pawn: 1 d4 Nf6 2 Nd2 d5 3 Ngf3 e6 4 e3 c5 5 c3 Ne6 6 Ed3 Be7 7 Nil $0-0 \quad 8$ Ng 3 Qc7 9 h4 e5 10 dxe5 Nxe5 11 Nxe5 Qxe5 $12 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Ne}_{4} 13 \mathrm{Nh} 5 \mathrm{Be} 6 \quad 14 \mathrm{~g} 4$ r5 $\quad 15 \mathrm{~g} 5$ Rad8 $16 \quad \mathrm{Nf} 4 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 17$ exd4 $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 18 & \mathrm{Nd} 3\end{array}$ 2c7 19 Bd 2 dxe3 20 Bxe3 f4 21 Qcl? Qa5t 22 Kfl fxe3 23 Qxe3 Qf5 24 f 4 Bc4 25 Bg4 Rxd3, 0 : 1.
P.A.CTemance - R.Wansink, French Defence 1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d5 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 Bd3 dxe4

5 Nxe4 Nbá7 6 Nf3 Be7 7 Qe2 c5 8 c3 cxd4 9 Nxd4 Nxe4 10 Bxe 4 0-0 $110-0$
 15 Bg 5 g 616 Bb 3 Rfe 817 Rfel Rad8 18 Qi 3 Nh5 19 Exe7 Rxe7 20 Nf5 Reed 21 Na6 Bé 22 Nxe8 Rxe8 23 Qe3 Qe7 24 Red1 $\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 28$ Qe5 Kg8 29 Qd $6 \mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 30$ Qe Kg8 31 Re3 Rd8 $32 \mathrm{Rxd} 8+$ Qxd8 33 Od 4
 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Qxd4 } & 34 & \mathrm{Cxd} 4 & \mathrm{Nd} 5 & 35 & \mathrm{Kfl} & \mathrm{Kf8} & 36 & \mathrm{Ke} 2 \\ \mathrm{Ke} 7 & 37 & \mathrm{~g} 3 & \mathrm{f} 6 & 38 & \mathrm{Rd} 3 & \mathrm{Kd} 6 & 39 & \mathrm{Rd} 1 & \mathrm{Nb} 4\end{array}$ 40 a3 Ne $6 \quad 41 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Kd} 542 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 7 \quad 43$ Rc3 Kd6 $44 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 45 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad$ gxh4 $4 \quad 46 \mathrm{gxh} 4$ Ng6 $47 \mathrm{Rc} 8 \mathrm{f} 5+48 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Nxh} 4 \quad 49 \mathrm{Rg} 8 \mathrm{f} 4+$
 Rh8 Kd6 54 Rxh5 b6 55 Rh8 Ne6 56 Rh6 Ne7 $57 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 558 \mathrm{Rg} 6 \mathrm{Ne} 7 \quad 59 \mathrm{Rf} 6$ a5 $60 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Nd} 5 \quad 61 \mathrm{Rf} 7 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 62 \mathrm{f5}$ exf5t 63 Exf5 Kd7 $64 \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \quad 65 \mathrm{Rxb} 4 \mathrm{axb} 466$ Ke5 b3 67 Ке6 b5 68 d5t Rc5 69 axb5 l : 0 .
P.W.Stuart - P.B.Goffin, English:

1 c4 NfG 2 ne3 e5 3 Ne3 Ne6 4 e3 Be7 5 be ?

Instead, 5 d4 d6 (or 5...exd4 6 Nxd4) 6 Be2 preserves White's slight advantage.

$$
5 . .0-0 \quad 6 \quad 0-0 \text { d }
$$

Black has achieved equality by simple development.

7 cxd5 Nxd5 3 Qe2 Bé6 9 a3 a5 10 d3 0 d 7

Very strong is $10 . .$. Nb 6 when White cannot reply 11 Bd2 because of 11...a4 etc, and if 11 Na4, then $11 . . . N x a 412$ Qxa4 Qd7 with advantage.

## 11 Bd2?

vecessary was 11 Na4

## 11...f5

Now 11...Nb 6 is even stronger as 12 Na4 Nxa4 13 Qxa4 Nd4 14 Qdl Bb̄3 leaves Black with a simple win.

12 Na4 Rad8 13 Rfdil
Better was 13 NC5.
13...Qe8 14 Nc5 Bce 15 e4

The game now becomes complicated but Black retains some advantage.
15...Nb6 16 b4 axb4 17 axb4 Kh8 18 Qb2 Bf6 19 Racl Nd7 20 Bel Nxe5 21 byc 5 fxe 4
21...f4 followed by 22...g would give Black an overwhelming attack and White would have no counterplay

22 dxe4 Nd4 23 Nxd4 Rxd4
Better was 23...exd4 and if 24 Bd3, es 25 g3 Bg4 gaining time by attacking the rook.

24 Rxd4 exd 425 Bd 3 Be5 26 g 3
White now seems to have a sufficient defence against the black attack
26...Qg6 27 Qc2 h5 28 Khl Bg 429 Be2 h4 $30 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Qh} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{Bxg} 4 \mathrm{Qxg} 4 \quad 32 \mathrm{Qd}$ oth 3

Essential was 32...Qxdl but then White has a clear advantage for the endgame.

33 Qh5t Kg8 34 Bd2 d3 35 0xe5??
A blunder in severe time pressure. 35 Rgl wins easily.
35...hxg3 36 gd5+Kh8, $0: 1$

Notes to this and Aptekar-Gollogly by Dr W.A.Fairhurst.
P.R.Green - J.E.Cater, Queen's Gambit: d 4 d5 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 Nf6 4 Bg 5 Nbd 7 5 Ne3 c6 6 e3 Qa5 7 Bxf6 Nxf6 8 Nd2 Bb4 9 Qc2 0-0 10 Bd3 dxc4 11 Nxe4 Qg5 12 f4 On6 13 0-0 Ng4 14 h3 Nf6 15 Ne4 Nxe4 16 Bxe4 f5 17 Bf 3 Be 718 Rael Bh4 $19 \mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 320 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 21$ fxg5 Qxg5 22 e. kd8 23 d5 cxd5 24 exd5 Qf6 25 d6 Bxd6 26 Nxd6 0d4+ 27 Khl 0xd6 28 0b3 a5 29 b5 Rd8 30 Rcl Bd7 31 Bxb7 Rab8 32 Rdl Qe7 33 Bd5 Rb 634 Exe6t Bxe6 35 Fxd8 + Qxd8 36 Rxe6 Kf8 37 Rxb6 Qxb6 38 Qd5 Qf6 39 Qa8t Kg7 40 Qa7+Kfd 41 Oc $5+$ Ke8 42 b5 $\mathrm{Qb} 2 \quad 43$ Qc8+ Ke7 44 Qc $7+$ Ke6 $45 \mathrm{~b} 7,1$ : 0.
P.W.Stuart - A.Pardoen, English: i c4 es 2 Nc3 Ne 63 Nf3 554 d 4 e4 5 Ng 5 Nf6 $6 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Be} 7 \mathrm{~F}^{7}$ e 3 0-0 $8 \mathrm{Nh} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 49 \mathrm{Nf}^{9} 4 \mathrm{Bxh} 4$ Ne7 $7^{14} \mathrm{Qb} 3$ c6 $\quad 15$ Bd2 Bxf4 16 gxf4 Ng6 17 f3 Oe7 18 fxg4 Nxf4 19 bfl fxg 420 $0-0-0$ Nh 321 Bxh3 gxh3 22 e4 Bg4 23 Rdg1 $15 \quad 24$ Bel Rf3 $25 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Raf8} 26 \mathrm{c} 5+$ d5 27 Bdt Qg5t 28 Kbl R8f7 29 exd5 Qe3 30 at cxd5 31 Rel Qd3+ 32 Kal Qd 233 Qd1 Qg2 34 Ob3 Rf2 35 Rh2 Rf1 36 Rxfl Rxfl+ $37 \mathrm{Ka} 2 \mathrm{Qg} 1 \quad 38$ Qxd $5+\mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 39 \mathrm{Ka} 3$ a5 40 Oc4 Rf 241 Rxf 2 Qxf 242 Qd3 + Bf 5 43 Nd 1 Bxd3 44 Nxf2 bif 45 d5 g5 46 b4 axb4+ $47 \mathrm{Kxb} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 648 \mathrm{~Kb} 5 \mathrm{Bd} 7+49 \mathrm{c} 7$ bxc6t 50 dxe6 Bc8 51 a5 Kfg 52 a 6 Bxa6+ 53 Kxa6, 1 :

## Civic Easter to Chandler

## Report: Tim Spiller

The Civic Easter Tournament has gained a reputation for attracting strong players from outside Wellington and, though the number of participants this year was somewhat disappointing, the tournament was still quite strong. Undoubtedly, the favourites were Chandler and Small but there were many others who also had their sights set on winning the title, including Kai Jensen, Peter Green, David Beach, Mark Evans and Jon Jackson, to name but a few. The format this year was slightly different, the event still being a seven round Swiss, but run in two grades instead of one. The time control was the usual 40 moves in two hours.

There were no real surprises in the first round, although Green was held to a draw by a determined Roy Shuker and Evans was rather lucky to win against Tim Spiller after being four pawns down at one stage. Round two saw the begin ning of the sorting out process when Jackson went down to Chandler while D. Beach lost to Jensen when the latter sacrificed unsoundly but proved to have the better nerves. Small and Evans drew a way when the former could bet position. Grove his held to his second draw, this time by Peter Hawkes.

In round three Murray Chandler and Kai Jensen opened up a half point lead by defeating B.Carpinter and Wigbout was probably the first mentioned game whole who beat $H$ and had $2 \frac{1}{2}$ poins.

Round four saw the emergence of single leader when Chandler made short work of Jensen. Carpinter and Small agreed to a quiet draw while Wigbout crushed David Beach in only 15 moves. Evans moved up to share second place after an impressive win over Jackson. The leaders at this stage were: Chandle 4; Evans, Small, Jensen, Wigbout and Green 3; Hawkes, Carpinter and Cooper $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

It was left to Vernon Small, in the fifth round, to take the only $\frac{1}{2}$ point from Chandler in the whole tournament.

Chander decided to be rather adventurous by replying to Small's 1 e4 with "something different", but the experiment almost ended in defeat; it was only the discovery of an ingenious saving manoeuvre by Murray during the adjournment session that stopped Vernon taking the full point. Others to suffer in this round were Jensen at the hands of Evans and Jackson against Mike Roberts. While most had been following the battles be tween the leaders, Green and Hawkes had quietly caught up with them by beating Wigbout and Carpinter respectively. The leaders now were: Chandler 4年; Evans Green 4; Small \& Hawkes $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

By defeating Peter Green in round 6, Chandler ensured that his claim on first prize, was almost a certainty. Small and Jensen agreed not to risk anything and split the point, as did most of the other leaders: Beach drew with Sarfati, who was having a fantastic tournament, Wigbout drew with Malarski, Hawkes drew with Evans (who had luck on his side after plundering a rook early in the an unknown force in this tournament, joined the leaders at the expense of Roberts. Scores: Chandler $5 \frac{1}{2}$; Evans $4 \frac{1}{2}$; Small, Green \& Hawkes 4; Jensen, Beach, Cooper, Wigbout, Malarski \& Sarfati $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

Before round seven Small was unfortunately forced to withdraw because of a igralne attack, which lert it up to ans to see if he could stop Chandler however, turned out to beore. The game, Cher, in that st win of the tournat determined bid to ensure that all th rize money would stay in Wellington Green and Hawkes sent Jensen and Wigbout reen and haw sent Jens this was rebir Hywas' best tournament per rabably hawk and tormint perfor in was quite and his determination to athan Sarfati, by defeating Cooper in the last round and thus coming in equal fourth, was the one who really showed some of his potential and it is hoped that we will see more of him in the future.

Abbreviations used in the score table
are as follows: C = Canterbury; Civ $=$ Civic; Ham = Hamilton; HV = Hutt Valley; Pen = Pencarrow; PN = Palmer-
ston North; UH = Upper Hutt; W = Wellington.

CIVIC EASTER A GRADE

|  | lc easter a gran |  | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | R. 6 | R. 7 | T'1 | sos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chandler M.G. | Pen | W19 | W10 | W8 | W9 | D7 | W2 | W4 | 62 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 2 | Green P. | Civ | D15 | D3 | W17 | W11 | W13 | L1 | W9 | 5 | 28 |
| 3 | Hawkes P.D. | Civ | W17 | D2 | L7 | W15 | W8 | D4 | W13 | 5 | 27 |
| 4 | Evans M. | Civ | W16 | D7 | D18 | W10 | W9 | D3 | L1 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 28 |
| 5 | Beach D.0. | civ | W20 | L9 | W16 | L13 | W12 | D6 | W11 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 23.5 |
| 6 | Sarfati J. | Civ | L10 | Ll1 | W21 | W20 | W18 | D5 | W12 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20.5 |
| 7 | Small V.A. | c | W11 | D4 | W3 | D8 | D1 | D9 | f | 4 | 31.5 |
| 8 | Carpinter B.A. | W | W12 | W18 | L1 | D7 | L3 | D16 | W15 | 4 | 27.5 |
| 9 | Jensen K. | Ham | W21 | W5 | W13 | L1 | L4 | D7 | L2 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 29 |
| 10 | Jackson J.R. | C | W6 | L1 | D12 | L4 | L14 | W17 | W16 | 31/2 | 28.5 |
| 11 | Malarski G. | Civ | L7 | W6 | W14 | L2 | W17 | D13 | L5 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 28 |
| 12 | Cooper D.J. | PN | L8 | W15 | D10 | W18 | L5 | W14 | L6 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 25.5 |
| 13 | Wigbout M. | Civ | W22 | W19 | L9 | W5 | L2 | D11 | L3 | 31/2 | 25 |
| 14 | Roberts M.H. | civ | L18 | W20 | L11 | D16 | W10 | L12 | W21 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 19 |
| 15 | Shuker R. | civ | D2 | L12 | W22 | L3 | D19 | W18 | L8 | 3 | 23.5 |
| 16 | Spiller T. | Civ | L4 | W21 | L5 | D14 | W20 | D8 | L10 | 3 | 23 |
| 17 | McAuliffe B. | - | L3 | W22 | L2 | W21 | L11 | L10 | W19 | 3 | 21.5 |
| 18 | Feneridis A. | Civ | W14 | L8 | D4 | L12 | L6 | L15 | W22 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24 |
| 19 | Kay J.B. | Civ | LI | L13 | L20 | W22 | D15 | W21 | L17 | 21/2 | 20 |
| 20 | Stretch W.R. | $c$ | L5 | L14 | W19 | L6 | L16 | L22 | Bye | 2 |  |
| 21 | Oliver D. | civ | L9 | L16 | L6 | L17 | W22 | L19 | L14 | 1 | 21 |
| 22 | Ramsay W. | HV | L13 | L17 | L15 | L19 | L21 | W20 | L18 | 1 | 17.5 |
|  |  |  |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The B Grade was easily won by Mark Noble with a perfect 7 out of 7 , two points clear of his pursuers. Scores: 1 M.Noble (Pen) 7 pts; 2/4 L.McLaren (Civ), R.Ferguson (Civ) \& B.Rusbridge (Civ) 5; 5 D.Keith $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 6/8 J.Olson (Civ), P.Chin (Civ) \& C.Webber (Civ) 4; 912 A.Drake (UH), L.Carline (UH), K. Chandler (Pen) \& F.Foster (Pen) 312 13/15 C.Feneridis (Civ), P.Cunningham (Civ) B Nole (UH) \& Moek (Civ) $1 \frac{1}{2}$. (Civ), B.Nema ( 19 T Fernando (Civ) 1

Many thanks must go yet again to Doug Clark for organising and running the tournament so efficiently. Unfortunately, this was probably Doug's last tournament as D.O.P. as he has decided to stand down from the position. I think I can honestly say that every player who has played under his direction regrets his decision to withdraw, but he has certainly left a high standard for future D.O.P.'s to work up to.
B.A.Carpinter - M.G.Chandler, English: 1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 c5 $3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 54 \mathrm{cxd5}$ Nxd5 5 e 3 Nxc3 $6 \quad \mathrm{bxc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7$
 12 Qb3 Bb7 13 Rd 1 Rac8 14 d5 c4 15 Qc2 Nf6 16 e4 e6 17 Ba3 Rfe8 18 d Bxe4 19 Qxe4 Nxe4 20 dxc7 Nxc3 21 Bxc4 Nxbl 22 Bb 5 Nxa3 23 Bxe8 Kf8 24 Bxf7 Kxf7 25 Rd7+ Kf6 26 Nd2 Bf8 27 Rd8 Rxc7 28 Rxf8 + Ke7 29 Rh8 Rcl+ 30 Nfl Nbl 31 Rxh7+ Kd6 $32 \mathrm{Rh} 8 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \quad 33 \mathrm{~g} 3$ Nxa4 34 Rg 8 b5 $35 \mathrm{Rd} 8+\mathrm{Ke} 736 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{a} 6$ 37 Kg 2 Rc6 $38 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 6 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rc} 8$ a5 40 Ra8 Nc3 $41 \mathrm{Rxa5}$ b4 42 Nb 3 Nd 543 h 4 Re6 44 Rc 5 Rxc 545 Nxc 5 Kd 646 Nb 3 Nf6 $47 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Kd5} 48 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{Ne} 4 \quad 49 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{e} 5+$ $50 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 5 \quad 51 \mathrm{Na} 5 \mathrm{~b} 3 \quad 52 \mathrm{Nxb} 3 \mathrm{Nxb} 3 \quad 53$ Kg5 Nd4 54 Kxg6 Nxf3 55 h 5 e4 56 h 6 Ne5t $57 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \mathrm{NE} 7 \quad 58 \mathrm{~h} 7 \mathrm{Nh} 8 \quad 59 \mathrm{Kf6} \mathrm{Kd} 6$ $60 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 61 \mathrm{Kxh} 8 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 62 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{e} 3 \quad 63$ g 5 e2 $64 \mathrm{~g} 6+\mathrm{Kxg} 6,0: 1$.
M.Evans - J.R.Jackson, Pirc: 1 e 4 d 62 d4 Nf6 3 Nc $3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 5 \mathrm{Qd} 2 \mathrm{c} 6$ 6 f4 b5 7 e5 b4 8 exf6 bxc3 9 Qxc3


13 Od2 Nd5 $14 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 6 \quad 15 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Qa5} 16 \mathrm{Ne} 2$ Rxb3 $170-0$ Rb6 18 Rf3 $\mathrm{Qa} 3 \quad 19 \mathrm{Rb} 1$
 23 c4 gxh4 24 Ra3 Bh6 25 Rxa5 Bxcl 26 cxd5 $\mathrm{Be} 3+27 \mathrm{Kfl}$ c5 $28 \mathrm{Rxa} 7 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \quad 29$ 33 BC 7 Bra a 34 Bx 26 Ba Bb 42 ab BC 5 33 Rc 7 Bxa6 34 Bxa6 Ra8 35 Rc8+ Rxc8 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}36 & \text { Bxc3 } \\ \text { Ke2 } & \text { d3 } & 37 & \mathrm{Nf} 4 & \mathrm{Be} 3 & 38 \mathrm{Nxd} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 7 & 39\end{array}$
 46 Bxf7 Bd $247 \mathrm{Ne}^{2}+\mathrm{Kh6} 48 \mathrm{Kd4} \mathrm{Bcl} 49$ Kc4 Ba3 $50 \mathrm{~Kb} 5 \mathrm{Bb} 21 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \mathrm{KCl}^{2} 49$ $\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ \mathrm{Bh} & \mathrm{Ba3} & 53 & \mathrm{Kd} 7 & \mathrm{~Kb} 5 & \mathrm{Bb} 2 & 51 \mathrm{Kc} 6 & \mathrm{Be} 5 \\ 52 & \mathrm{Bg} 6\end{array}$ $56 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Be} 5 \quad 57 \mathrm{Bf7} \mathrm{Kh} 7 \mathrm{5}$ Bxh5 Kh 6 BC $5 f 7$ Kh 760 Nf8 + Kh6 61 N Bh the 59 3 63 Ne7 Re5 64 Ne8 KC4 65 Bu5 Kg 64 Nc 8 Kf 465 Bh 5 Kg 5
D. 0. Beach - K.Jensen, English: 1 c4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 e3 d6 5 d4 g6 $6 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \mathrm{~T}^{7} 0-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 7{ }^{9} \mathrm{Qc} 2$ Fid7 10 Rb 1 f5 Il $\mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 12$ b $4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 13$ $\mathrm{e}_{4} \mathrm{f} 414 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 15 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Nxg} 4 \quad 16 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ Bxg4 17 Nh 2 Bh 318 Rfc 1 Qe 819 Qd 1
 23 Bfl g4 24 Khl Bxfl 25 Nxfl g3 26 Qe2 h4 27 Nxc7 h3 $28 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \quad \mathrm{Qh} 5 \quad 29 \mathrm{Nh} 2$ gxh2 +30 Kxh 2 Rg6 $31 \operatorname{Rgi} \mathrm{Rg} 2+32 \mathrm{Rxg} 2$ haxg $2+33 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{Rf} 634 \mathrm{QEI} \mathrm{Rg} 6+35 \mathrm{Kf} 2$
Qh2t, $0: 1$.
J.Sarfati - D. 01 iver, Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Nxe4 6 d4 b5 7 Bb3 d5 8 dxe5 Be6 9 c3 Bc5 $10 \mathrm{Nbd} 20^{0-0} 11 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Nxf} 2 \quad 12$ Rxf2 f6 13 exf6 Bxf2+ 14 Kxf2 Qxf6 15 Kgl Rae8 16 Bd 3 Bg4 17 h 3 Ne5 18 Be 2 Bh5 19 a4 Nxf3+ 20 Nxf3 c6 21 axb5 axb5 22 Ra 7 Bxf3 23 Bxf3 Qe5 24 Bd 2 Qg3 25 Qfl Rf6 26 Qf 2 Qg6 27 Be3 Ref8 28 Kh 2 Qf5 29 Bd 4 Rg6 30 Qe3 Qc2 31 b4 Qf5 32 Qe5 Qxe5t 33 Bxe5 Re8 34 Bd4 $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Rd} 8 & 35 \mathrm{Bh} 5 \mathrm{Rg} 5 & 36 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 & 37 \mathrm{Bf} 6 \mathrm{Rxh} 5\end{array}$ $38 \operatorname{gxh} 5 \mathrm{Re} 8 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rg} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 8 \quad 40 \mathrm{Rxh} 7,1: 0$. V.A.Small - M.G.Chandler, Owen's Defence: 1 e4 b6 2 d 4 Bb7 3 Nd 2 e6 $4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 5$
 Nxd3 9 cxd 3 d6 10 b4 Qd7 11 Qb3 Nf6 $12 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 13 \mathrm{Rac} 1 \mathrm{O}_{0} 014 \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{Rfc} 8 \quad 15$ Ne4 Rc5 16 Ba3 Rh5 17 Nd2 Bf8 18 Rc2 Ne8 19 Bb4 e5 20 Ne2 Nc7 21 a 4 Ne6 22 d4 Nxd4 23 Nxd4 exd4 24 RecI Qe8 25 Re7 Rb8 26 Qc4 d5 27 Qxd4 dxe4 28 Bxf8 Qxf8 29 Nxe4 Rds 30 Qe3 Rhd5 31 Rfl Rd3 32 Qf4 Bxe4 33 Qxe4 Qd6 34 Rxa7
 $\mathrm{Re} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rxd} 7 \mathrm{Qxd7} \quad 40 \mathrm{Qc} 3+\mathrm{f} 6 \quad 41$ Re7+ Qxe7 42 Qxd2 Qe4 43 Qd7+ Kh6 44 Qc6 Qxa4 45 Qxb6 Qd1+ 46 Kg 2 Qd5 +47 f3 Qd3 48 Qxf6 Qc2+ $49 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 8+50$ $\mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 2+, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

## 5th WINSTONE'S CHESS CONGRESS

2/3 SEPTEMBER

ST.JOSEPH'S CHURCH HALL, TAKAPUNA

## GUARANTEED PRIZE FUND $\$ 720$ :

OPEN: 1 st, $\$ 160$ 2nd, $\$ 100$ 3rd, $\$ 80$ tht, $\$ 50+$ grade prize, $\$ 50$ B GRADE: lst, $\$ 100$ 2nd, $\$ 70$ 3rd, $\$ 504$ th, $\$ 30+$ grade prize, $\$ 30$
FORMAT:
Five round Swiss in two grades with a time control of 45 moves in $I^{1 / 2}$ hours +15 minutes to complete the game (i.e. each clock is turned back 15 minutes after Black's 45 th move).

N.B. Players should report before 9:15 am on the Saturday.

ENTRY FEES: Advance entry (received by 30 August) - Open Crade $\$ 8$

$$
\text { B Grade } \$ 5
$$

Late entries ( $\$ 1$ extra) may be taken up to $9: 15$ am on the Saturday.
ENTRY FORMS: Available from North Shore Chess Club, P.0.Box 33587, Takapuna, Auckland, OR write enclosing entry fee \& giving name, address, \& club (if any).

## LOCAL NEWS

The WELLINGTON SCHOOLPUPIL CHAMPION SHIP, played in the May holidays, was surprisingly won by 13-year old Jonathan Sarfati, a third-former at Wellington college. Current New Zealand Schoolpupil Champion Patrick Cordue (Onslow College) took second place ahead of a six-way tie oeonard Mcl Fenella Foster, Mark Noble, Andrew Christie
Andrew Ch

For the first time in its history, the JENKINS TROPHY, long an unofficial symbol of interclub supremacy in Auckland, has an official set of rules. Formerly, the holder could do as it wished and frequently did. In the first challenge for 1978 the Auckland Centre, holder since 1973 when they won the trophy from North Shore, lost to the northern team 8 : 12.

## NORTH SHORE

## o.Sarapu

W.A.Fairhurst
W.Leonhardt
P.W.Stuart
A.L.Carpinter

## P.W.Fower

P.B.Weir
M. G.Hensman
M.G.Whaley
D.A.Gollog1y

1 R.L.Roundil1
M. Connor
M.I.Howard
P.R.Snelson
F. Zyp
A.J.Henderson

8 G.J.Ion
9 R.A.Feasey
9 R.A.Feasey
20 G.L.Pitts

|  | AUCKLAND |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | A.R.Day |
| $0-1$ | M.Steadman |
| $1-0$ | P.S.Spiller |
| $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | S.Van Dam |
| $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | O.Storchenegge |
| $1-0$ | B.H.P.Marsick |
| $0-1$ | P.A.Mataga |
| $0-1$ | G.Sidnam |
| $1-0$ | D.M.Brunton |
| $1-0$ | K.D.Kinchant |
| $0-1$ | G.E.Trundle |
| $1-0$ | J.Fekete |
| $0-1$ | D.J.H.Storey |
| $1-0$ | C.A.Rose |
| $1-0$ | R.Mathias |
| $0-1$ | P.Koloszar |
| $1-0$ | P.G.Robinson |
| $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ | T.Putt |
| $1-0$ | B.Winslade |
| $1-0$ | A.N.Hignett |
| $12-8$ |  |

Once North Shore established an 8-4 lead, the result was not in doubt, but the big upset was on board 2 where Michael Steadman, fresh from two disastrous tournaments in the May holidays, defeated Dr Fairhurst with a King's Gambit.

The CANTERBURY CLUB'S 40-40 TOURNAMENT, played 27/28 May, was jointly won
by Bruce Anderson and Vernon Small (both Canterbury) with 7 points in the 8 -round Swiss. Jon Jackson (also Canterbury) was chird on $5 \frac{1}{2}$. Then followed (all Canterbury unless stated): P.O.Paris (Otago), A.L.Wilkinson \& J.Knegt 5; G.Hall, A.J. Love (Otago), A.Nijman, W.Norton \& A. Lloyd 4 2 ; P. Fraemohs, R.Freeman, W.Gibson \& D.Pfahlert (Hokitika) 4; B.Gloistein, J.Cookson $\&$ G.Bates $3 \frac{1}{2}$; J.Atkinon \& D.Weegenaar (Otago) 3; G.E.Scarr W.R.Stretch $2 \frac{1}{2}$; C.Campbell-Trotter 1;

Hilliard $\frac{1}{2}$.
The $B$ grade prizes were won by Knegt and Lloyd, while Pfahlert and Atkinson took the C grade prizes.

The 1977 FINAL of the BLEDISLOE CUP COMPETITION was played by telegraph on 8th April. Auckland was untroubled to retain the trophy by beating Otago by $12 \frac{1}{2}$ to $7 \frac{1}{2}$. Auckland led $10: 5$ on the night and the five unfinished games, adjudicated by Canterbury, were shared $2 \frac{1}{2}: 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Games decided by adjudication are indicated by an "A"

The OTAGO PROVINCIAL SCHOOLPUPILS CHAMPIONSHIP was played from the 17 th to 20th May at the Otago Chess C1ub. The event was an eight-player round robin
and was jointly won by Tony Love and

Michael Freeman who scored $6 \frac{1}{2} / 7$ and were two points clear of third place getter David Weegenaar. This was Tony's fourth successive win in this event - an Otago record. The B grade event was won by M. Bayly.
A.Love - D.Weegenaar, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 Nf6 4 e5 Nfd7
 12 Nc 3 a6 $13 \operatorname{Rel} 0-0 \quad 14 \operatorname{Re} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 815$ Bb1 Qc7 16 Bg5 Bd7 17 Bh 4 Rae8 18 Bg 3 Bxg3 19 hxg3 b5 20 Re 1 Bc 821 Ne 5 Bd 7 22 a3 Qb6 23 Nxc6 Qxc6 24 g 4 Qd6? (24 ..e5! 25 dxe5 $\mathrm{Nxg} 4 \Rightarrow 25 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Ng} 826$ Qh5 g6 27 Bxg6 Re7 28 Re3 Rg7 29 Rh 3 Qe7 30 Be2 Rf4 $31 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \operatorname{Rff} 7 \quad 32$ g 6 Nf 6 33 Qh4 e5? 34 gxf7 Bxh $3 \quad 35$ Qxh3, $1: 0$.

## NEW PLYMOUTH

| 1 | A.G.Kerr | 1:0 | K.Jensen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | K.M.Okey | 1:0 | L.E.Whitehouse |
| 3 | F.Mul1 | 1 : 0 | P. Blackburn |
| 4 | J.Billing | 0 : | G.Miller |
| 5 | S.Mancewicz | 0 : | W.Crombie |
| 6 | F.Williams | 0 : | G.Morrison |
| 7 | C. Bolton | 0 : l | N.Palmer |
| 8 | D.C1inton | 1 : 0 | G.Todd |
| 9 | J.Acott | 1 : 0 | J.Younger |
| 10 | D.Walker | 0: 1 | M.Ang |
| 11 | A.Page | 1 : 0 | E.Lock |
| 12 | R.Clark | 1 : 0 | B.Heslop |

On Saturday 10th June New Plymouth Chess Club braved the four-hour drive to Hamilton in the name of interclub chess Hamilton Chess Club, ever courteous, regaled the visitors with a hef ty lunch before the business started. Thanks are due to club secretary Brian Bell-Syer for impeccable catering.

The struggle took place on 12 boards in Hillcrest High School library. Time limit was 40 moves in 2 hours. The lower boards finished quickly, but some of the higher boards lasted the whole session. Several games ran into nasty time trouble - always an indication of quality chess earlier on. The result was a narrow win for New Plymouth, 7 : 5. Hamilton has sworn bloody vengeance, and negotiations are under way for a return match in New Plymouth later in the year.
K.Jensen - A.G.Kerr, EngTish: 1 c4 e5 2 Nc 3 Nf6 3 Nf3 Nc6 4 e4 g6 5 d4 exd4 6 Nxd4 d6 7 Be 2 Bg 78 Nxc6 bxc6 $90-0$ $f 4 \mathrm{Re} 8 \quad 11 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 12 \mathrm{Qd} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 8$ $13 \mathrm{Kbl} \mathrm{a5} \quad 14 \mathrm{Khl}$ Oc8 $\quad 15 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Qa} 6 \quad 16$

Rbdl Rab8 17 b3 Re7 18 Bd4 Rbe8 19 Qd2 Qc8 20 e5 Ng4 21 e6 Bxe6 22 Bxg 7 Kxg7 23 h3 Nh6 24 Bxc6 Bd7 25 Bxd7 Rxd7 26 Nd5 f6 27 Nxf6? Kxf6 28 f5 g5: $29 \mathrm{~K} 4 \mathrm{Rg} 730 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 731 \mathrm{Qxa5} \mathrm{Re} 5$ $32 \mathrm{Oc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 4 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rf} 4 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 34 \quad \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{Rg} 8 \quad 35 \mathrm{~b} 4$ Rge8 36 a4 dxc5 $37 \mathrm{bxc} 5 \mathrm{Rd} 8 \quad 38 \mathrm{Re} 1$ Re8 39 Rfe 4 Qxf5 40 Kg 1 Kg 641 Rxe 5 Rxe5 42 Rfl Qe6, $0: 1$.
K.M.Okey - L.E.Whitehouse, English:

1 c4 e5 2 Ne3 Nf6 3 g3 Ne6 4 Bg 2 d 6 5 d3 g6 6 Bg5 h6 7 Bxf6 Qxf6 6 Nd5

 Qd2 Be6 16 Rfc1 Rfc8 17 a4 b5 18 cxb5 axb5 19 a5 Ra6 20 Rc2 Qa7 21 Rbcl c 5 22 d 4 Be4 23 dxc 5 dxc5 24 Nc 3 Bf $8 \quad 25$ bxc5 Bxc5 26 Bd5! Be7? 27 Nxb5 Qb8 28 Rxc4 Rxc4 29 Bxc4 and White won.

CIVIC TOUR OF AUCKLAND: David Beach reports.

In the last week of the Victoria University May vacation five enterprising students and what are vaguely described chess tour chess tour of the team of Mark Evans, Tim Spiller, Peter Hawkes, Ross Bloore and David dezvoused, and discovered to their condezvoused, and discovered to their consternation that their rather ill-con-
ceived accommodation plans had fallen ceived accommodation plans had fallen
through. The situation was, however, completely retrieved thanks to the much appreciated generosity of Mrs Metge, mother of liaison officer Nigel Metge to whom thanks are also due, who, with no visible signs of trepidation, offere us the use of her house while she left (fled?) for Wellington. With a base for our operations, we were now prepared to do battle with the Auckland clubs.

HOWICK-PAKUPANGA
CIVIC

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { R.Taylor } & 0: 1 & \text { T.Spiller }
\end{array}
$$

Our first encounter was with HowickPakuranga in which several games of fluctuating fortunes, of which the most interesting was probably the Green-Evan game, eventually resolved themselves to leave the match a draw.
E.M.Green - M.Evans, Queen's Pawn: 1 d4
e6 2 c4 Bb4+ 3 Nc3 c5 $4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bxc} 3+5$
 9 Qd3 Qe7 10 Bg 5 Kh 811 Rae 1 e 512 e 4 Ne6 13 d 5 fxe $4 \quad 14$ Rxe4 Nb8 15 Nh4: (if 15 Rh4 threatening 16 Rxh7+, then 15 -.e4 16 Bxf6 Qxf6 17 Qxe4 Bf5 with a good good game for Black) Qe8 16 Reel Bg 1714 Mbd 518 ${ }^{\mathrm{Bg} 6} 21 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Bf} 722 \mathrm{Bcl} \mathrm{h6} 23 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{Nxe} 4$ 24 Rxe (Electing hel Rcb 26 Ne Rci 27 h4 h (Electing to be throtred rather than steamrollered) $28 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Ng}_{4} 29 \mathrm{~g} 6 \mathrm{Bg} 8$ The Vanishing Prairie syndrome, otherb5 31 Ne4 Rf6 the stuffed bishop) 30 Ng 33 Bxf6 gxf6 34 Ng52! bxa4 35 Rdl ( 35 Ne6 Bxe6 3.6 dxe6 $d 5$, or 36 fxe6 f5) 0h6 36 Ne6 Be6 (Better was 36 Rb7 37 Rbl Rxbl 38 Rxbl 0 3t 39 Oxe3 Nxe3 with some Rxbl 38 Rxbl Qe3+ 39 Qxe3 Nxe3 with some ..Rb7 $37 \mathrm{g7}+\mathrm{Kh7} 38 \mathrm{Nf8}$ mate.... oops) 37 dxe6 Ne3 38 Rxd6 Nxf1 39 Kxf1 Qf8 40 Rd5 Rb7 41 Qf3 Pbl 42 Kg 2 Oh 643 $\mathrm{Rd} 8+\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 44 \mathrm{Rd} 7+, 1: 0$.

> NORTH SHORE CIVIC
> W.Leonhardt $0: 1$ D.O.Beach
> P.W.Power $\quad \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2} \quad$ M.Evans D.A.Gollogly $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ T.Spiller D.G.Johnstone $1: 0 \quad$ P.D.Hawkes D.B.Shead $\quad \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2} \quad$ R.Bloore

Another drawn match, but again one in which the result doesn't reflect the fighting nature of the games. On top board Black successfully sacrificed a knight to break into the white position managed to scrape up a draw by pertrouble Wayk a rook down, while in time ning plan against Mark. Peter had the galling experience of belng winning a few moves before his resignation.
W.Leonhardt - D.0.Beach, Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 d5 4 e3 Be7 5 Nf 3 0-0 6 Bd3 dxc4 7 Bxc4 Nbd7 $80-0$ a6 9 a3 c5 10 dxc5 Nxc5 11 Qe2 b5 12 Rd1 Qc7 13 Ba2 Bb7 (White's passive play has yielded Black an advantage in the form o4) moxe active pleces and domination of e4) 14 Bd2 (The attempt to establish the bishop on the al/he diagonal fails e.g't satisfactorily defend 13 as 16 bb NC3 is oood for Black) 14 Rfd8 15 Bel Ng4! (nducing Black) 14.....f the Bel Ng . (Here it can more to where it can more easily be subjected
 Nh2 etc) 16...Bf6 17 Rac1 Rxdl 18 Nxdl
(18 Rxdl loses a pawn after $18 \ldots$...Bxc3 as does 18 Oxdl Rd8 19 Qe2 Nxe4! With the text White seems to gain counterplay against the black queen) 18...Qd6: (The nly move to keep the previre because of White's next) 19 Bb4 Od3 ocause int if 20 ned3 Nod3 and pla (he point. If 20 Qxds ins the e-pawn. Instead, white plays to exploit the exposed black queen) 20 Qe (The main line was $23 \mathrm{hrg} 4 \mathrm{Nxf3}+24 \mathrm{gxf}$ (The main line was $23 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \mathrm{Nxf3}+24$ gxf3 factorily prevent 26 ... Qhl $+27 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bf3}+$ $28 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{mate}) 23$ Nxf3+ $24 \mathrm{gxf3}$ 25 Kfl Nxf3 26 Qe? Nd4 27 Q 4 Qh1+, 0 : 1.

## WAITEMATA

$\begin{array}{llll}1 & \text { R.W.Smith } & \frac{3}{2}: & \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 & \text { J.Van Pelt } & 1: 0 & \text { M. Beach } \\ \text { M. Evans }\end{array}$
CIVIC
J.Van Pelt
N.P.Bridges
M.T.Brimble
M.Evans

Cher $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ T.Spiller
Disaster. Mark overlooked a mate in one while in a winning position. Peter played some inconsequential moves in a won position and suddenly found himself lost while I could only draw an advantageous ending against Robert Smith. Thus the match ended in a 3: 2 victory for Waitemata.

## CIVIC

1 M.Evans D. O.Beach
P.D.Hawke
R.Bloore
T.Spiller

UNIVERSITY Ross won nicely satisfactory result had the satisfactithe ending while Mark $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{K}$ ending. Final score: Civic $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Auckland University $1 \frac{1}{2}$.

## CIVIC <br> AUCKLAND

1 D.0.Beach
D.O.Beach $\quad 1: 0$ T.H.Stonehous M.Evans
T.Spiller P.Spiller R.Bloore
$\frac{1}{2}$ : $\frac{1}{2}$ M.Steadman 0: 1 S.Van Dam

Our best result. Mark tempted weaknesses in Peter Goffin's position and then exploited them accurately. Tim played well to achieve an overwhelming position but went to sleep on the job in the ending and allowed it to peter out into a draw.

WELLINGTON QUEEN'S BIRTHDAY WEEKEND TOURNAMENT: report by Tim Spiller

Following the recent decision by the Wellington League not to run any more tournaments from its own resources, the Civic Chess Club was pleased to take on the task of organising this year's Queen's Birthday Tournament. The response was excellent; 52 players in thre grades, all from the Wellington area, took part, making it one of the biggest held here in recent years. With held here in recent years. With two time control was 36 moves in $1 \frac{1}{2}$, the time control was 36 .

The 18 players participating in the grade included nearly every top player that "it's not enough just to be a good that "l round when Peter Green the top seed 1978 North Island co-champion, was rout ed by bot tom ranked bill Ramsay. Unfortunately for Bill, he considered this fine effort was enough for one tourna ment and his score remained the same throughout the event. Meanwhile, Green recovered from this setback by Ko-ing Beach, Downan, Evans and Cordue in succession but even this was not enough to stop the eventual winner, Bernie Deben. When these two met in the last round, Deben, who was making a return to tournament chess, boasted a $100 \%$ record with wins over Shuker, Frankel, Feneridis, Cordue and Hawkes. Their much awaited game ended in a quiet draw, giving. Deben a clear one point margin over the rest of the field. His passage through the tournament was not as easy as the scores might suggest, however; in round 4 he was a pawn down versus Cordue but the latter left a piece en pris - a tragic error for Cordue but a useful point for Deben. Of the remaining players, only Beach and Bloore ever looked like a threat but they finally settled for third equal along with Cordue. Scores: 1 B.Deben $5 \frac{1}{2} / 6 ; 2$ F.R.Green $4 \frac{1}{2} ; 3-5$ P.L.Cordue, R.Bloore \& D.O.Beach 4; 6-9 M.Evans, A.Feneridis, P.D. Hawkes \& M. Wigbout 3 $\frac{1}{2}$; 10 R.Shuker 3; 11-12 J. Sarrati \& R.Fergusoni 24; 13-15 Z. Franke1, 1. A.Downan 18 W. 2 , 10

In the B grade Peter Collins looked a certain winner but a last round joss allowed Leonard McLaren and Joe Rickit to catch him and share first prize.

A similar fate befell Jim Wong in the C Grade when a loss to Rodney Fortune in the last round allowed the latter to tie hiim for first place.

As a first time D.o.P. I was much relieved to see the tournament run without the slightest hitch. Many thanks are due to the Astrological Institute(!) for their generous sponsorship and to Trevor hughes for the meals he provided through at the three days, while his chess was W.Ransay P RGreen, Caro-Kan
W.Ramsay - P.R.Green, Caro-Kann Defence:
 Ne3 Bb4 6 Bd3 $\mathrm{Ne7} 7 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 8$ 0-0 NLe6 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}9 & \mathrm{Nb} 5 & \text { cxd4 } & 10 & \mathrm{a} 3 & \mathrm{Qa} 5 & 11 & \mathrm{axb} 4 & \mathrm{Qxal} & 12 \\ \mathrm{Na}\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { fxe6 } & 19 & \mathrm{Nb} 3 & \mathrm{Qa} 2 & 20 & \mathrm{Nc} 5+\mathrm{Ke} 8 & 21 \\ \mathrm{Bxc} 6+ \\ \text { Nxc6 } & 22 & \mathrm{Nxe} 4 & \mathrm{dxe} 4 & 23 & \mathrm{Od} 6 & \mathrm{Ne} 7 \\ 24 & \mathrm{Bg} 5\end{array}$ Nxc6 22 Nxe4 dxe4 23 Qd6 Ne7 24 Bg 5 Ng6 $25 \mathrm{Rf} 8+, 1: 0$.
F.R.Green - D. 0. Beach, Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf 3 d5 4 Nc 3 c5 5 cxd5 Nxd5 6 e 4 Nxc3 7 bxc3 cxd4 3 cxd4
 Oxd2+ $12 \mathrm{Kxd} 2 \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bb} 5+\mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 14 \mathrm{Rhc} 1$

 exd5 2582 Rc6 26 Rxf6 Ke7 27 Rc6
 d4+ $31 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Ng} 632 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{Ne} 5+33 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 4$ 34 f4 Nxh2 35 Nxd'4 Kb4 36 f5 Ng4 37 Kf4 Nf6 38 Ke5 Ng4+ 39 Ke6 Kxa4 40 f. 6 Nxf6 $41 \mathrm{Kxf6} \mathrm{~Kb} 442 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Kc} 443 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6$ $44 \mathrm{Nf} 5 \mathrm{Kc} 345 \mathrm{Nxh} 6 \mathrm{~b} 546 \mathrm{Nf} 5,1: 0$.
B.Deben - A.Feneridis, French Defence: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc 3 Bb4 4 e5 Ne7 5 Nf 3 c5 6 a3 $\mathrm{Bxc} 3+7 \mathrm{bxc} 3 \mathrm{Qa5} 8 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{c} 4$ $130-0$ fxe5 14 dxe5 $0 c 715$ Rel Nc $5 \quad 16$
 Ne7 20 Bcl Bd7 21 Ba 3 Qg6 22 Qg 4 Qxg 4




 Bf5 40 Bf 3 gxh $5 \quad 41$ Bf $8+$ Rxf8 42 Rxf8 Ne7 $43 \mathrm{Rf} 7 \mathrm{Vg} 8 \quad 44 \mathrm{Bxd} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 645 \mathrm{Pf} 8 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ 46 Rxf5, I: 0.
P.R.Green - P.L.Cordue, Modern Defence: 1 d4 g6 2 c4 Bg7 3 Nc3 d6 4 e 4 Nc 6 f 3 Bg 46 Be3 e5 7 d5 Nce7 8 Be2 Bd7 Nd? f5 10 f3 Nf6 11 0-0 f4 12 Bf2 16 Racl Rf6 17 cxd6 $19 \mathrm{~d} 6+\mathrm{Be} 620$ Nd5 Nc6 $21 \mathrm{Ne} 7+\mathrm{Kf7} 22$

Nxc8 Bxb3 23 d7 Be6 24 dxe8Q+ Kxe8 2 Bc4 b6 29 Nf5 + Kf7 $30 \mathrm{Rd7}+\mathrm{Ke} 8-31$ Bxe6 Rxe6 $32 \mathrm{Rxg} 7,1$ : 0.

The 44 th NEW ZEALAND CORRESPONDENCE CHAMPIONSHIP 1977/78 was won by Len Jones of Wellington - his second title.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L.J.Jones | $\times 1 \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} 1111$ |
| 2 | D.O.Beach | $0 \times 0111111$ |
| 3 | P.W.Stuart | $\frac{1}{2} 1 \times 001$ |
| 4 | B.W.Anderso | $00 \mathrm{l} \times 1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5 | G.S.Waite |  |
| 6 | H.P. Whitlock | $000 \frac{1}{2} 1 \times 1$ |
| 7 | P.K.Beach | $000000 \times 1$ |
|  | E.M.Green | 0000000 x |
|  | T.Stallkne | 000000 |

The Reserve Championship was won by M. Sims with $8 / 10$, followed by G.R.Ter Horst 71/2, R.Luey 7, S.Cordue 61/2, K.D. Kinchant 6, P.G.Rice \& R.L.Roundill $5 \frac{1}{2}$, C.G.Johnstone $4 \frac{1}{2}$, N.A.A.
Hollis $\&$ R.K.Lanning 0 .
M.R.Heasman took Class 2 with 10/11 ahead of M.Freeman $8 \frac{1}{2}$, D.N.A.Goodhall 7 J.O.Bishop \& R.Freeman 612, E.O.Blazek \& E.R.Broom 5, P.Hoffman 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, B.Sinclair \& O.N.Thomson 4, M.H.Cooper 3, B.Watson 0

PERRY v VAN DIJK REVISITED
Tom Van Dijk writes:
After Black's 36th move in Perry Van Dijk (June issue, page 60) the following position occurred


White, in serious time trouble, played 37 Kf1? and lost after $37 .$. .Ra2 38 5 b3 39 Rb6 b2
The Editor's suggestion of 37 Rg 6 looks as if it may draw, but is in reali. ty not much better, e.g. 37 Rg6 Ra2 38 hot $\mathrm{Ko5}$ Kbane $4 \mathrm{hb4}$ Kej (or Ke3 b5 +Kd 4 and B1ack oins or 37 R 6 Ra2 38 Ri6 Ra4 and Black, with the ern and superior king position pawn and superior king position, must Kd4 42 Rxf5 b3 43 R×g 5 Rb4 44 Rf5 b 45 Rf4 4 K 5 ! 46 Rf1 blQ 47 Rxbl Publ and Thite's pawn are not far enoush advanced to create real problems.

This raises the question, however, of what would have happened in the diagrammed position after 37 Rb6: when Black's task is much more difficult as he does not immediately win the a-pawn. His best chance is to move the king over to support the passed b-pawn. Thus a possible continuation is 37 Rb 6 : Ke3 38 h 3 Kd4 39 a5 Kc5 $40 \mathrm{Rg} 6 \mathrm{Ra} 241 \mathrm{Rxg} 7 \mathrm{Rxa5}$ 42 Rc7+ Kd4 43 Rcl and Black is winning or 37 Rb 6 ! Ke3 $38 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Kd} 439 \mathrm{a5} \mathrm{Kc} 3$ 40 Rb 7 Ra 2 and again Black wins. This analysis is not exhaustive, but 1 feel that in all variations the active posiion of the black king plus the alvance -pawn must and pawns.

- Touché - a faulty snap judgment! I had in mind $37 \mathrm{Rg} 6 \mathrm{Ra2} \quad 38 \mathrm{Rb} 6 \mathrm{Rxa} 4$ and now 39 h 4 !? (instead or tom's 39 h 3 ) when $39 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 4 \quad 40 \mathrm{Rg} 6+\mathrm{Kxh} 4 \quad 41 \mathrm{Rxg} 7$ b 42 Kf2! seems to draw, but of course 39 ..Ke4! should win as in the variation given above by Tow

Nevertheless, white CAN DRAW from the diagrammed position. The correct move is 37 a5!! when the despised victim of the foregoing variations becomes the instrument which forces the draw - in the process laying to rest the myth that black pawns travel faster than white. Thus $37 \ldots$...Ra $2 \quad 38 \quad 26 \quad b 3 \quad 39 \quad R b 6 \quad b 2 \quad 40$ a7! Ke3!? 41 Rb3+ Ke2 (but not 41... Kd2?? 42 Rxb2+ winning for white) 42 h4 (and not 42 a8Q?? allowing Black to mate after $42 \ldots$ Ral+!) $42 \ldots$ Ralt 43 Kh 2 blQ 44 Rxbl, drawn. Or in this 40 . Ral+ 41 Kf 2 Ra2!? $42 \mathrm{Rb} 4+(42 \mathrm{Kfl}$ also draws) $42 \ldots$ Ke $543 \mathrm{kf3}$, drawn. Othe moves for black in these variations
either transpose back or draw quickly.

## Correspondence Chess in New Zealand

## by A.L. FLETCHER

Alan concludes his survey of the correspondence chess scene in New Zealand.

## PUBLICATIONS OF NZCCA

Apart from an Annual Report since its inception, the NZCCA has issued a limited number of publications in the past. From about 1940 to the early fifties a series of "Bulletins" was issued, numbering eight as far as we can discover. Issues No. 1 and No. 8 are not in che archives. These bulletins were generally well produced, and consisted or articles and best games in various classes selected and usually annotated by the juages. L.A.Dingle and A.L.Flet P. Eades was editor fors or wors 2 to 6 inclusive, and D.I.Lynch controlled No. 7. The other "extra" was the 1961 brochure quoted in Part 1 of this series.

## CHUCKLES AND FROWNS

Calling on the memories of forty years in the trade, one finds many incidents docketed away. Pride of place, for Chess is not productive of all that much laughter, may well be held by the most extraordinary game of correspondence chess we have yet seen. It was played many years back by two members no longer among those present. White had at leas a hazy idea of formal development, though he mostly avoided attacking anything. His pieces pirouetted prettily vres aniessly. It was black s manoeu encounter. If one had not encounter. Tf one had not known better, it might have bee " Bla Grandmater having hite on Black attained an apparent maximum of pointlessness whe though in a landlocked see this chap was after immobility from the word go, all word go, all done in the compass of duelling (dirty looks at forty paces) it seemed a long shot that there would be a further surprise in store, but White had a last contribution to make. He checked with his queen on the back rank through the first gate that opened
into the Black forest (the only check in the game) and wrote MATE in two inch block letters across the sheet. Black appended "Yes - great game." But .... a mate at all - not as far as could be mate at all-not as far as could tears.
The correspondence chess "note" is often used by some players. There was a story going about in one Trophy Tournament away back that on reaching home from work, a member was greeted by his wife from the front steps with the loud announcement "X has played pawn to king six and says, 'How are you going to get out of that, you bungler?'" This is one story we can vouch for: And it was not "bung1er"
The general pattern in NZCCA tournaments seems to be that most opponents, after an exchange of civilities with their opening moves, do not have much to say apart from occasional comments in the conditional column. But one cannot play an opponent, perhaps for several seasons, without the possibility of mutual interests other than chess being canvassed. Some lasting friendships have undoubtedly been formed as a result of meeting by post, with social benefit where an actual meeting occurs, as it nay during holiday or business trips. And, it could be remarked, with the further possibility of surprise when, on the strength of desultory correspondence with little personal detail, having vaguely envisaged a young man who is a keen vegetable gardener and has a car, the chap who has just mated you in six ("Will be at the airport at $11 o^{\prime} c l o c k "$ ) turns out to be over seventy, a grower and exhibitor of prize gerberas, and the owner-driver of an E type Jaguar:
Committee kerfuffles have occasionally been caused by such things as complaints that score sheets were received in reused envelopes well past their prime allegations that retrospective move alteration had occurred, that a player had requested a move back, had requested a draw in a lost position, and every other irregularity one can think of. One or two vitriolic letters have blown the receiver out of the water at point blank
range. This is Murphy's Law in operation: 'If anything can go wrong, it will. but the vast majority of the many thouands of CC games that have been played in New Zealand proceeded without serious incident, except perhaps that the time limit was allegedly exceeded on occasion.
The time limit is the subject of nearly all enquiries. In the earlier days in the NZCCA the limit was 48 hours (two days only!), in the fifties increased to 72 hours per move. The Fourth and Fifth editions of the NZCCA Rules abolished this 1 imit and substituted the far better arrangement of ten moves in thirty days. This gave players a degree thirty days. This gave players a degree which was totally lacking previously. It does not take time to send off 1 p . K4, but it is a different story when a K4, but it is a different story when a advanced. That's when the time is needed
Another technical matter about which debate is apt to break out once each decade is the treatment of illegal moves despatched. The usual specious argument is that a version of the over-the-board touch and move rule should apply. This will not work evenly in correspondence chess. You cannot "touch" a unit that is not there. A move written at $C C$ is a set of symbols which should be capable of one and only one translation into an actual move by the receiver on his board. If a "touch and move" idea is behind the demanded procedure, the sender of an illegal move can follow it only if the unit named exists. Thus uneven punishment" would follow a clerical error. For instance, a playe sends " "N-R6", legal. If he actually has a rook that could play elsewhere, he must ment if according to the specious angu there is he does not have a rook, then there is no penalty - he must substitut alegal move. Hence the unevennes. The rule now is "hat an inlegal move must b replaced by "any legal llegal has player has his posithon wrongly set up, The ideal is a result in a Th by ches skill only

Clerical errors are an occupational hazard in correspondence chess. Each season produces its quota of games lost by legal moves wrongly recorded, (e.g.

Q-K5, losing; he meant $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$ ) ; by the wrong prefix ( $P-Q R 3$, fatal or merely pointless; he meant $P$-KR3); or by another popular recipe for disaster in which a move and offered conditional, though intended to be written in, are omitted when recording and the next move given instead. Also an ambiguity may be sent and answered, guaranteeing trouble. The players must return to the ambiguity, resolve it, and proceed, having by now lost a month or more and having done in postage money as well. There are other paths to clerical calamity which accident prone players discover for themselves. There is just no substitute for great care in recording moves for despatch. Check everything twice; that's a good motto. Chess is hard enough without gratuitously adding to the difficulties.
The practice of offering a conditional move contains one nasty snag that has bagged a victim at least once. The offerer of a conditional move had attacked the opposing queen with a protected pawn, leaving the queen with a choice of several safe retreats. Unfortunately, he wrote in the conditional column "if Qany, then so-and-so." It was that word "any" that did the damage. The writer "any" that did the damage. The writer clearly implied any normal $Q$ retreat had actually given the queen darte blanche for one move. This was understood blanche for one move. This was understoo rook with the threatened queen and, rook with the threatened queen and, move, decamped to a safe spot. The victim complained to HQ that his opponent was another so-and-so, but no salve for his self-inflicted wound could be found in the Rules. He had misused the most dangerous word in chess clerical practice: the "didn't know the gun was loaded" story in another setting
If there is one thing more than any other that causes a player to call down fire on the head of an opponent, it is most likely tardiness exhibited by the latter in writing that little word "resigns" instead of recording yet anther move in a game that has been dead lost for long enough. True, ho gaw ever saved by lowering the flag. qually true, when it has got to the it, the game has gone on too long. This fault, an expensive one after hefty
postage increases，is naturally more common among the inexperienced，but better players have been known to some－ times carry on past a point at which any continuation was an insult．

Comparing the over－the－board and correspondence games of any one player， how much higher is his standard in the latter？This is a common question，but it is really unanswerable．If a player has for consideration his standard hey be very little higher．If a player is a top man the same may apply：he is good either way Between these extremes it is reasonable to suppose that most players＇correspondence games are of higher standard than their over－the－ board efforts．We remember a new CC player remarking，＂I can＇t see how it is possible to lose a game at correspon－ dence．＂He found out，but all the same it was not an unreasonable observation for a new chum．All players have a ceil－ ing to their power of judgment，and if the other fellow has a higher ceiling （or perhaps a better library）you stand to lose．One CC player assured us that， compared to correspondence chess，over－ the－board chess was a＂game of chance．＂ That was C．J．S．Purdy，first World Correspondence Chess Champion and an over－the－board International Master．
The biggest problem in $C C$ ，and one that is experienced by all CC authori－ ties，is the defaulter．An＂approved withdrawer＂in the terminology of the NZCCA Rules is a player who cannot con－ tinue play for a reason seen to be ade－ quate．That，if unfortunate，is one thing．It is another when a player， usually a new recruit，just stops play without even a by－your－leave．When several do this in one tournament，the contest can be ruined．This should be appareat to those involved，and the NZCCA＇s Rules and supporting information sheet go as far as they can in warning against this cavalier action．Ete is little use proposing a black ban on de－ faulters，because they don＇t want to come back anyway．Administratively， they are regarded as damned saboteurs．
On the finameial side the NZCCA has managed to survive on annual subserip－ tion rates varying from $7 / 6$（ 75 cents） 0 its foundation to the preseat six ollars for full adult members，wich is a little changed race taking into
account inflation．In 1971 the NZCCA benefited to the extent of $\$ 100$ as a legacy from the estate of the late F．W． Warne who had been an enthusiastic member for many years．Donations are made regularly by some members，occasio－ nally by others．
Now past its fortieth year，the New Zealand Correspondence Chess Association can fairly claim to have done its best， uneven though its performance may hav been，to promote the interests and practice of a magnificent game．Many of New Zealand＇s top players have from time to time taken part in its tourneys，and over the years there must have been considerable technical benefit to impro－ ving young players．An aspiring but un－ skilled player who goes through the mill of several consecutive seasons of corres－ pondence play，trying conscientiously， will never be the same again；he has been case－hardened．If there was ever in him any capacity for improvement he has become something at least of a chess player，as distinct from a player of chess．

During 1977 negotiations were conducted aith certain wellington members with view to the NZCCA moving its headquarters there．The result was that from January 1978 the Secretary－Treasurer is J．W．Max－ well，the Chairman P．Mackey，and the third committee member C．J．Fisher．At the same time F．E．Bennett，also from Wellington，became the new Trophy Tour－ nament Director in succession to D．G． Brunt．Also，in May 1978，K．D．Kinchant （Auckland，took over the Handicap Tour－ ney from Norman French．．he present year chance of working fficers，ending onl of 17 years work for the Aholand rk for the Auckland HO and Don Brunt．

## 署 嘗 堂

CORRESPONDENCE RESULTS contd
F．F．Cribbett 1，S．R．Lockwood O；J．Fer－ Class 5：S．Jones 1，F．Stynman 0；S．Jones 1，N．Wilson 0 ；R．McCormick $1, R . B$. Turn－ bull O；P．D．Corbett $\frac{1}{2}$ ，D．Kingdon $\frac{1}{2}$ ；
R．McCormick 1，P．D．Corbett 0 ．
Play in these events started 1 March and concludese events started

## OVERSEAS NEWS

At the ALICANTE（Spain）Tournament played 1－15 March，the Soviet Union＇s Alexander Belyavsky scored a remarkabl out of ，a scoring per rimance misce five（ ）points bind No less than five（．）points behind，on ，were Ermenkov（Bulgaria）and Diesen $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ．Medina（Spain）7；Vilela（Cuba） 2；Med（ （Peru）61． spain）51 Her（ Spain）51， Spain）4 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；Verdu（Spain）

In SANTA FE DE BOGOTA（Colombia），ll／ 30 March，untitled local player Luis Garcia made a GM norm as did Cuban R． Hernandez who already has the IM title． Yet another Garcia（Gild．，also Colom－ bian）made an IM norm．Results：E．Geller （USSR）12／15；L．Garcia \＆R．Hernandez $10 \frac{1}{2} ;$ G．Sigurjonsson（Iceland）\＆Gild． Garcia 91／2；0．Panno（Argentina）9；C． Cuartas（Col．）8 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ；M．Cuellar（CoI．）7 $\frac{1}{2}$ ； J．Gutierrez（Col．）7；Guil．Garcia（Cuba） $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ；Minaya（Col．）6；Zapata（Col．）5 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ； J．Gonzalez（Col．）\＆J．Szmetan（Arg．）5； N．Velandia（Col．）4年；A．Acosta（Col．） $3 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

In Washington DC a match between Kavalek（USA）and Andersson（Sweden）was sponsorred by Volvo：
KAVALEK $2570 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} 11816$ ANDERSSON 2545 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} 0003 \frac{1}{2}$

The 6th International Tournament at DORTMUND（West Germany）was won by Ulf Andersson with $3 / 11$ ．Equal second were ．Keene（Eng．）and Women＇s World Champ ion Nona Gaprindashvili on $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ，just a das pili bot the tournament winner in dashvili beat the tournament winner in （Hung）Gipslis（USCR）\＆Tatai（Italu）
 in April．

Also in April in West Germany there was an International event at KIEL where

Jan Smejkal（Czech．）and Bruno Parma （Yugo．）tied for first with $8 \frac{1}{2} / 11$ ．Third （Bul．）\＆I．Csom（Hung．）on $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

Rainer Knaak ran away with the 27 th East German Championship at Eggesin． Knaak scored 13／14 and was followed by L．Espig 10；J．Bruggemann，L．Vogt \＆P． Hesse 8；W．Uh1mann \＆H．Liebert 7 ．．．． 15 players．

USSR co－champions Gulko and Dorfman were out of luck in the USSR ZONAL played at Lvov．Other name players to miss out were former World Champion $V$ Smyslov and E．Geller，the latter just after his triumph at Santa Fe de Bogota Scores：Y．Balashov 9；R．Vaganian $8 \frac{1}{2}$ ；
G．Kuzmin，O．Romanishin \＆V．Tseshkovsky 8 （these five qualified）；V．Tukmakov $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ； V．Bagirov，A．Belyavsky，B．Gulko \＆V． Savon $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ；E．Sveshnikov 5；E．Geller $3 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

Bent Larsen pocketed the $\$ 12000$ firs Prize at the 8th Louis D．Statham Masters －Plus Tournament in Lone Pine，played $2 /$ 12 April．Larsen scored $7 \frac{1}{2}$ points in the 9 round Swiss in which $23 \mathrm{GM}^{\prime}$ s were con peting．Lev Polugaevsky，clear second with points，walked off with $\$ 7500$ ． Jack Peters，American Open co－champion， tied with or Lajos Portisch and toly Lein for third place（each 9326 ） with $6 \frac{2}{2}$ points．Iied for 6 th were Petro－ Sian（GM），Rogote（IM），Evans（GM），Ree （IM）and Zaltsman on 6 ．Then Ti（TM） Miles（GM），Benko（GM），Sahovic（IM） Ligterink \＆Biyiasas（IM）5는．

GM＇s Panno，Browne，Lombardy，Cheor－ ghiu，Stean，Reshevsky，Shankovich，Jano Shric Balinas 3． 68 players all told．

AUSTRALIAN CHAMPIONSHIP PLAY－0FF： Jamieson 3，Fuller $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ，Shaw

Leading place getters in the ARONSO MASTERS TOURNAMENT played in London during March were：1－2 A．Haik（Er）\＆M．

Franklin $7 \frac{1}{2} / 10 ; 3-5$ W.Hartston, N.Diesen \& B.Soos 7; 6-8 J.Nunn, A.Ornstein \& R.Bailey 6 $\frac{1}{2}$; 9-20 A.J.Mestel, S.Webb R.Bellin, G.Botterill, M. Basman, A.Law, D.Supancic, P.A.Garbett, J.Nicholson, ${ }^{\text {J }}$ players. Apart from Paul Garbett, Franklin, Botterill, Law, Silman, Bellin \& Basman all made IIf norms.

GM's G.Sax (Hung) \& V.Tukmakov (USSR) tied for first with $10 \frac{1}{2} / 15$ in the 7 th FALMAS d by M ( d I.Csom (Hung) \& S.Mariotti (It) 81 . D I.Csom (Hung) \& S.Mariotti (It) 81; D. Rodriguez (Peru) 7 ; Janchenko (USSR) \& 0 Rodriguez ( $P$ ) J. Dominguez ( $S p$ ) 2l -Dominguez ( $S P$ ) $2 \frac{2}{2}$.

The tournament was category 10 (2477) with 9 points needed for a GM norm and 7 for an IM norm.
Stean - Sax, Sicilian: 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 e5 6 $\mathrm{Ndb} 5 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bg} 5$ a6 $8 \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 9$ Bxf6 gxf6 10 Nd5 f5 11 Bd3 Be6 12 Qh5 Bg7 13 $0-0$ f4 $14 \mathrm{c}_{4} \mathrm{bxc} 4 \quad 15 \mathrm{Bxc} 4 \quad 0-0 \quad 16 \mathrm{Rac}$ Rb8 $17 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{Bxd5} 18$ Bxd5 Nb4 19 Rfdl Nxa2 20 Rc6 Rb6 21 Rxb6 Qxb6 22 Nc4 Nxd5 26 Rxd5 f6 27 h 3 Rg8 28 Qd1, $1: 0$ Panchenko - Miles, Sicilian: 1 e 4 c 52 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 a6 5 Nc 3 Qc 7 $6 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 7 \mathrm{0} 0 \mathrm{Bb} 78 \mathrm{Bf3} \mathrm{Nc} 69 \mathrm{Rel}$ Bd6 10 g3 Nxd4 11 Oxd4 Be5 12 Qd3 Ne7 13 Bg2 0-0 14 f 4 Bxc3 15 Qxe3 Qxc3 16 bxc3 Rfc8 17 Ba3 Kf8 18 Re3 Rc4 19 g 4
 23 Rh3 Kxe7 24 Rxh7 Rxc3 25 Rxg7 Rxc2 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}26 & \mathrm{~g} 6 & \mathrm{Rxg} 2+ & 27 & \mathrm{Kxg} 2 & \mathrm{Bxe} 4+ & 28 & \mathrm{Kg} 3 & \mathrm{Bxg} 6 \\ 29 & \mathrm{Rd} 1 & \mathrm{Rd} 8 & 30 & \mathrm{f} 5 & \text { exf } 5 & 31 & \mathrm{Rc} 1 & \mathrm{Kf} 6 & 32\end{array}$ Rxg6+ fxg6 33 Rc6+ Ke5, $0: 1$.
Larsen - Dominguez, Caro-Kann: 1 e 4 c6 2 d4 d5 3 Nd2 dxe4 4 Nxe4 Nf6 5 Nxf6+

 $\mathrm{Bg}^{4} \quad 13$ a4 h5 14 b5 Nb8 15 Nh4 Bxe2 16 Qxe2 5517 Bxd6 Qxd6 18 Rfd 1 Rdg 8 19 Rab 1 Rg $4 \quad 20$ Nf 3 Rhg $8 \quad 21$ g 3 f6 62 bxc6 Nxc6 23 d5 exd5 24 Qb5 Qc7 25 Qxd5 Kb8 26 Qxf5 Ne5 27 Nxe5 Qxe5 28 Rxb7+Kxb7 29 Qd7+ Kb6 30 Rd6+ Oxd6 31 Qxd6+ Ka5 32 f4, 1 : 0.
0. Rodriguez - 0lafsson, Nimzoindian: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 c5

5 Bd3 0-0 6 Nf3 d5 7 0-0 Nc6 8 a3 Bxe3 9 bxc3 dxc4 10 Bxc4 $0 c 711$ Rel e5 12 d 5 Na 513 d 6 Qd8 $14 \mathrm{Nxe5} \mathrm{Nxc} 4$ 15 Nxc4 Be6 16 Qd3 Ng $4 \quad 17$ Re2 Bxc4 18
 Rad8 $22 \mathrm{Bf} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3+\quad 23 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} 4 \quad 24 \mathrm{~h} 3$ Nh4t $25 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qf} 326 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Qg} 2+, 0: 1$.

MASPALOMAS (Spain): l-3 I.Csom (Hung) F.01afsson (ICe) \& O.Rodriguez (Peru) 81/2; 4 J.Garcia-Padron (SP) 7; H.Vesterinen ( Rubio A.Medina (Sp) S.Tatai (IL) S; Rubio $(S p)$, 4 . O.Perez (SD) 4; S.Cabrera (Sp) \& $P$. ezcano ( $S p$ ) ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Played May/June. GM norm $=8 \frac{1}{2}$; IM Perez - Tatai, Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc 3 a6 6



 Bb3 Qd7 21 fxe5 Qg4 22 Qh2 h5 23 e6, 1 : 0 .

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

I. Andreyev - Dolukhanov, Leningrad 1935: 1...Rxh2! 2 Rxh2 Qxa3! 3 xa3 Bxa3+ $4 \mathrm{~Kb} 1 \mathrm{Nc} 3+5 \mathrm{Kal} \mathrm{Bb} 2+$ 6 Kxb2 Nxdl \& 7...Nxe3 winning.
2. Names of players unknown, Yugoslavia 1949: l...Rc5!, 0 : 1. A nice one-mover.
3. Grynszpan - Kaminski, Poznan 1961: 1 Ng5! hxg5 2 Ng6! (idea: 3 Ne7+ Kh8 4 Oh3 mate) 2...Exg6 3 Rxg7+ Kxg7 4 e6t, 1 : 0. Mate is unavoidable.
4. Graf - Wurm, Augsburg 1953: 1 Bxc5! bxc5 2 Qh6 Nd7 3 Rf7!! Kxf7 4 Qxh7+ Bg7 (4...Kes 5 Oxg6 mate; 4...Kf6 5 Qg7 mate) 5 Qxg7+ Ke8 6 Qxg6 mate.
5. Chayenkov - Aravin, Corres, USSR 1966: 1 Ra3!! Qbl (1...Nxa3 2 Rf1!) 2 Rxa7! Rf8 3 Rf7: Rd8 4 Rfl BE4 5 Qxf4 h6 6 Qc7 Qxc2 7 Rxg7+, 1 : 0.
6. Winz - Videla, Mendoza 1955 1 Bxc $7+$ ! Rxc7 2 Qe8+! Kxe8 1 Bxc7+. Rxe 2 Qe8+. Kxe8

## THE CLASSICAL DUTCH

Robert Bellin
Batsford's partner to THE LENINGRAD DUTCH, this book covers those lines where Black plays e7-ef. The Dutch is an aggressive weapon in the mould of the Sicilian with Black opting for asymmetrical pawn formation.
Hardback
$\$ 14.40$
THE SICILIAN SCHEVENINGEN C.Pritchett
The theory and practice of this popular variation are built around 34 complete games in are somewhat different and more readable approach. Hardback. \$14.95

FRENCH DEFENCE MAIN LINE WINAWER Moles This important variation of the French (3 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ) is examined in greac detall with considerable emphasis on the strategic ideas behind it. Many variations have been reassessed as previous judgments of ten derived from misconceptions of Black's aims. Hardback.

## NIMZOWITSCH/LARSEN ATTACK

Keene
One of Batsford's Specialist Chess Openings series, this book is devoted to systems with b2-b3 for White. A 1977 publication in figurine algebraic notation. Softback.

## BENONI

William Hartston
This third edition, in figurine
algebraic notation, is a complete rewrite of the earlier books, incorporating material up to the end of 1976 rere
parts - Modern Benonl (the greater part), Czech Benoal, and other Benon1
systeme. Softback.

KING'S FIANCHETTO DEFENCES
Marovic/ Susic

A survey of openings where Black plays g6 and Bg 7 : King's Indian Defence, Pirc Defence, Modern Defence, Grünfeld, etc. Softback.

SICILIAN: ...e5
Harding/Markland
This Batsford book examines three of the most controversial lines of the Sicilian Defence. The Boleslavsky ( $1 \mathrm{e}_{4}$ c5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd 4 Nf6 5 Ne3 166 Be 2 e 5 ) challenged the old static notions of "weak" squares in the centre and so led a post-war revolution in open ing theory. Currently popular is the Lasker Variation (5...e5) particularly recommended by the authors. The older La ourdonnals (4...e5) rounds out the work Softback.
$\$ 6.80$
PRACTICAL CHESS ENDINGS
Paul Keres
Not encyclopaedic in scope, this book deals with the principles of the more basic endings. Hence it is less technical and more readable than most books on the endgame. Hardback.
\$10.10
KNIGHT ENDINGS
Averbakh \& Chekhover
This is the fifth volume to appear of Averbakh's great series on the endgame. This English translation of the Russia original has been updated with the addition of 51 new examples. Alrogethe $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{v} \mathrm{N}$ etc. Hardback. $\$ 12.70$ QUEEN \& PAWN ENDINGS Averbak overs only endings involving queens and pawns. This book gives a much fuller treatment on this subject than any other ork, much of it the result of comparatively recent Russian research. This applies particularly to the ending of Q $+\mathrm{P} v \mathrm{Q}$. As with KNIGHT ENDINGS, this English translation has been updated th the addition of more examples Hardback out not those with other pieces. Rooks and pawns are involved in perhaps as any as half of all endgames. This book f these the reader a deep $\$ 14.50$

