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## ROTHMANS N.Z. CHAMPIONSHIP 1976-77

## by Peter Stuart

The 84th New Zealand Championship, sponsored by Rothmans Sports and Cultural Foundation, was organised by the North Shore Chess Club and held at the North Shore Teachers' College from 27 December to 7 January. No doubt the fact that this was an off year as regards qualification for zonal or olympiad was partly responsible for the field being somewhat weaker than last year. Of the Upper Hutt twelve Murray Chandler, Vernon Small and Peter Weir were overseas; there were varlous reasons for the absence of other regulars Dr Falrd the, Richard Sutton, ewen Green and the writer these defections, however, gave ther players the chance to prove themsolv. An wa how Bruce Anderson, a rormer would fare in a tournament where all his opponents (except Sarapu of course) had "arrived" since he last played.

After the Hon.G.f.Gair had opened the Congress and Ted Stallknecht, who proved to be a most able D.O.P., had read the riot act, play in the first round got under way. One of the first to finish was Anderson when William Lynn misplayed his Dragon Sicilian allowing White to play a mini combination forcing him to recapture on $f 6$ with the e-pawn; the rest was technique. Ortvin Sarapu also got off to a good start after Michael Whaley, on the white side of a Catalan, played the middle game weakly and lost the exchange.
The other four games followed various courses but all ended in draws. The most important of these
was that between Lev Aptekar and Paul Garbett where the former gain ed a slight advantage but Garbett's active defence eventually equalised. Aptekar - Garbett, Queen's Indian $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Defence: } 1 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 & 2 \mathrm{c} 4 & \text { e6 } & 3 & 63\end{array}$
 $7 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxd4} 8 \mathrm{Nb} 50-0 \quad 9$ Nbxd4 d5 10 cxd5 Qxd5 11 Bg5 Ra8 12 e 4 Qd? 13 e5 Na5 14 Bxe? Qxe7 15 Rc 1 Na. 6 $\begin{array}{llllll}16 & \text { a3 } & \text { Qa7 } & 17 & \text { b4 } 46 \quad 18 \text { Qb3 Rac8 }\end{array}$ 19 Rfe 1 Ne7 20 Bf 1 Bd5 21 Qe3 Qb? 22 Nd2 Nb8 23 Nc4 ( 23 b 51 ? $) \mathrm{Bxc} 4$ 24 Bxc4 Q d7 25 Nf3 Nf5 26 Qe4 Q24 27 g4 Ne7 28 Ba3 Rxc1 29 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Rxcl } & 56 & 30 \text { Ral Qb3 } & 31 & \text { Bf1 } & \text { Qc } 3 & 32\end{array}$ Rac Nd5 $33 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \operatorname{Rc} 8 \quad 34 \mathrm{Nd}_{4}$ Qc. 1.35 Re2 Nf $4+36 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Rxc} 237 \mathrm{Nxc} 2 \mathrm{~g} 5$ 38 Ne3 Qe1 39 Qf3 Nd7 40 Nc4 Nxe5 41 Nxe5 Qxe5 42 Qa8 +Kg 743 Qxa7 Qe1 44 Qxb6 Nh3+ $45 \mathrm{Kg2} \mathrm{Nf4+}$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

The opening moves of Cornford Jensen were quite interesting:

 e5 Ne4? 11 Qxe 4 ? (the players are ef Ne4? 11 Qxe4? '(the players ar
following the 'book' moves which stem from a note by ciric in Informant; however, as Anderson pointed mant; however, as Anderson pointed for nothing) $11 .$. Rxc $^{\text {Wins }} 12$ bxc 3 Qxc3+ 13 Kf 2 Qxai 14 exd6 (restoring material equality and threatening the decisive dxe7) 14 ...Qc 3 (so as to meet 15 dxe? with 15 ... Qc5 5 and 16...Bxe7) 15 Bxe7 f5 (now Black can interpolate his queen on the e-file) 16 Qe2 Qd4+ 17 Kg 3 Qe 418 Bxf 8 Rxf 819 Qxe4+ fxe4 and Black's active pieces compensated for the pawn minus.
Wolf Leonhardt gave up a pawn for the initiative against Wayne Power the initiative against Wayne Pow
but, in time trouble, chose the
wrong pawn to regain. In the sixth game Tony Carpinter gained the ad vantage versus Tom Stonehouse's
King's Indian Defence but the latter neatly liquidated the centre, and with it his problems, when given the chance.

## 宣

Likewise the second round produced no real upsets. The two first round winners both won in fine style

Sarapu - Carpinter, Sicilian Defence 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 g6 $40-0$ Bg 75 c3 Nf 66 Re 1 O 077 e5 Nd5 8 d4 cxd4 9 cxd4 Nc7 10 Ba4 d6 Bxc6 bxc6 12 Nc 3 B64 13 h 3 Bxf 3 14 Qxf3 Ne6 15 Be 3 dxe5 16 dxe5 Qas 17 Qxc6 Bxe5 18 Bh6 Rfd8 19 34 Qc7 20 Nd5 Qxc6 21 Nxe7+ Kh8 22 Nxc6 Bxa1 23 Nxd8 Bc $3 \quad 24$ Nxf7+ Kg8 25 Rxe6 Kxf7 $26 \mathrm{Re}_{4} \mathrm{Rd8} 27$ h5 Kt 628 Re3 Re5 29 E3 Rd $1+3$ KE2 Rd7 31 Ra4 Bb8 $32 \mathrm{Ra}+\mathrm{Ke} 7$ 3324,1 : 0.

Garbett avoided Lynn's Dragon but a similar fate befell the latter pawn weaknesses spelled his doom in the endgame.

After 21 moves of a Jensen Whaley French Defence the following position was reached


Play continued: 22 Nxa6 Qc8 23 Nb 4 Ng6 24 Qd3 Bxh3! 25 Ne1 (25 Exh3 Qxh3 leaves Black with the strong threat Nh4) 25...Bg4 26 Nf 3 Ne 5 Nxe5 Rxe5 28 Rdel Rh5 29 g3 368 30 Bxa5 Bh3 31 Qf3 (after 31 Bg2 Bxg2 $32 \mathrm{Kxg} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 8!33 \mathrm{Qc} \mathrm{C}$ Qh3+ 34 Kf'3 Rf5+ $35 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Qh} 5+$ mates or wins
the queen) 31...Rg5 32 Nc6 15!? 33 Be6 Qc7 $34 \mathrm{Ne5} \mathrm{f1t!} 35 \mathrm{NP7}+$ Rxf? 36 Bxf? Qxf7 37 Qa8 h67? (in time trouble Black disregarded 37 .. Qg8 because of $38 \operatorname{Re} 8$; a costly hallucination) $38 \mathrm{Qxb} 8+\mathrm{Kh} 739$ Re8 fxg3? 40 Rh8 $\mathrm{Kg} 641 \mathrm{Qd} 6+\mathrm{Kh} 5$ $42 \operatorname{Rf} 8$ Qb7 43 Qd1 +Kg 6 44 Qd3 Kh5 45 Qe2+ Kg6 (45...Kh4 would prolong the agony, not avoid it) 46 Qe8+, 1 : 0.
Stonehouse - Leonhardt saw a middle game melee with both kinge exposed; Leonhardt disdained taking perpetual check on his 32nd move playing instead for a win which never looked likely to materialise
Aptekar sacrificed a pawn (versus Cornford) which he soon regained; he then sacrificed another in the ensuing endgame eventually grinding out a win.

## $\stackrel{8}{9}$

Round three saw two of the favourites losing. Aptekar got the worst of Whaley's 'Mickey Mouse' Sicilian (2 b3, 4 f4) and soon erred decisively, losing two minor pieces for a rook. Likewise Anderson blew a piece against Stonehouse.
Sarapu outplayed Leonhardt in a Benoni to record his third win, while Lynn chalked up his first win with the Blackmar-Diemer Gambit:
bynn - Power: 1 dy d5 2 e 4 dxe4 Nc3 Nf6 4 f 3 exf3 5 Nxf3 Bg4 6 h3 Bxf3 7 Qxf3 c6 8 Be3 e6 9 Bd 3 Be7 10 0-0 Nbd? 11 g4 h6 $12 \mathrm{Ne}_{4}$ g5 13 Rf2 Qc7 14 Raf1 0-0-0 15 Nxf6 Nxf6 16 c4 Rdg8 17 Qg2 Qd8
 Qxe4 hxg4 $22 \mathrm{Rxf7}$ Qd 623 Qxg4 Rh4 24 Qg 2 cxd 425 Bf 4 e5 26 Bg 3 Rhh 27 R1f5 d3 28 Qd2 g4 29 h4 Bxh 4 30 Bxe5 Qc5+ $31 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Be} 732$ Bxh8 Qd6 33 Be5 Qa3 34 Rxe? Qxe? 35 Qxd3, 1 : 0 .
The remaining two games, Cornford Garbett and Carpinter - Jensen were hard fought draws of 68 and 64 moves respectively.
Scores: Sarapu 3; Stonehouse $2 \frac{1}{2}$; Anderson, Garbett \& Jensen?
n round 4 Sarapu handed Anderson his second successive defeat when the lat Sarapu bind on the black squares and even 11ally broke through in the centre Sarapu - Andersorı, Sicilian Defence: 1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{NIS}^{2} \mathrm{~d} 63 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{cxat}_{4} 4 \mathrm{Nxa}_{4}$ Nf 65 Ne 3 ab 6 Be 2 e ? $\mathrm{f}_{4} \mathrm{Be}$ ? 8 $\mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Gc} 79 \mathrm{O} 9 \mathrm{Ba7} 10 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 611$ Nb 3 Re8 12 Qd2 $0-0 \quad 13$ a4 $\mathrm{Nb}_{4} 14$ Qfa Bc6 15 Bb6 Qd7 16 Rfdl Qe8 17 as d5 18 e 5 Ne4 19 Bxe4 dxe4 20 Ne5 Bd5 $21 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NXe}_{4} \mathrm{Bxe}_{4} 22 \mathrm{Nxe} 4 \mathrm{Rxc} 2$ 23 Rd2 Rxd2 24 Qxd2 Qc6 25 Na6 NdS 26 Rc1 Qd7 27 Be5 Bxd6 28 exd6 Nf5 $\begin{array}{lllllll}29 & \text { Qd4 } & \text { h6 } 60 \mathrm{~b} 4 & \mathrm{Rc} 8 & 31 \mathrm{~h} 3 & \mathrm{Qc} 6 & 32 \\ \text { Rdi Rad } & 33 \mathrm{Kh} 2 & \mathrm{Rd} 7 & 34 \mathrm{Re} 1 & \mathrm{Qd5} & 35\end{array}$ Rd $1 \mathrm{Rd} 8 \quad 33 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 734 \mathrm{Rel}$ Qd 535 Qe5 Kf8 $36 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 4 \quad 37 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{exe5} 38$ Qxi5 Qd5 $39 \operatorname{Re} 5$ Qd2 40 Re 7 Rd 841 Rob Kg8 42 Re 7 Qd 143 Ra 7 Qe 244 Re qu5 45 Re8 qe8 46 Rxd8 Qxd8 47 Qd3 Qc8 48 Bd4 Na7 49 b 5 axb5 0 Qxb5 Nb8 51 a6 Nxab 5 2 d7 Qd8 33 Bb6 Qb8+ 54 Kh 1 Nc 755 Qc 6,

Stonehouse kept up his challenge, winning quickly after Lynn's speculative sacrifice:
Stonehouse - Lynn, Sicilian Defence: 1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d}_{4}$ cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxa}_{4}$
 8 Bc4 0-0 9 Qd2 Bd? 10 O-0-0 Qa. 5 14 g4 Ng3 $15 \mathrm{Rh} 3 \mathrm{Nxf} 316 \mathrm{Nxf3} \mathrm{Bxc} 3$ 17 bxc 3 Nxe 418 Qd4 Bxg4 19 Bxf7+ Kxf7 20 Rxh7+ Ke8 21 Qxe4 Qa3+ 22 Kxf7 20 RXh7+Ke8 21 Qxe4 Qas+ 22 $25 \mathrm{Rh} 8+, 1$ : 0.

Cornford held on to Whaley's gam-bit pawn and the latter never really got going. Jensen won easily versus Leonhardt - working mornings before rounds proved too much of a handicap for Wolf.

Garbett emerged from complications a pawn up against Power and went on to win. Carpinter got his pieces tangled up and lost a pawn on the 26th move; the position was other wise quite balanced but Aptekar's technique was up to the task of winning.

Scores: Sarapu 4; Stonehouse $3 \frac{1}{2}$; Garbett \& Jensen 3; Aptekar $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

First rame to finish in round five was Leonhardt - Aptekar, the former playing very quickly and very riskily while the latter soaked up first a pawn and then a rook.

Carpinter gained a clear advantage in a Ninzoindian but Cornford's un sound combination forced a draw. This was the position after 23 moves:


Play continued 24 Ref2 f5 25 exf5 Re3 26 Qa6 Rxh2?! 27 Qest? (the sacrifice must be accepted immediately while the queen still defends $\mathrm{f1}$; thus 27 Kxh 2 ! RxE3 28 Qe8+! Qg6+ Kf8 32 Qxh6t wins as Black's mate threat is parried $27 \ldots$ Kh7: 28 Qd7+ Kg8 29 Qc8+ Kh7 (but not 29...Kf7 30 Qe6+! Rxe6 31 fxe6+ and 32 Kxhe winning with two rooks for the queen) 30 Rxh2 ( 30 Kxh 2 would now be disastrous since his queen no longer covers fi: $30 .$. Qxg3+ $31 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Qh} 3+32 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Rg} 3+$ and mates next move) 30...Rxg3+ 31 Kh 1 (after 31 Kf 2 ? White is quickly mated: 31...Qf3+ $32 \mathrm{Ke1} \mathrm{Qxc} 3+!33$ Rd2 Re3+ 34 Kdl Qalt 35 Kc 2 Rc 3 ) 31...Rg1+! $32 \operatorname{Rxg} 1 \mathrm{Qf} 3+$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

Power slowed Stonehouse's momentum with a 36 move win in a main line Ruy Lopez. Garbett could make no progress against Whaley's RichterVeressov Attack and Sarapu Was held sibly overlooked a win near the end This was the first half point conceded by Sarapu. Anderson returned to the winners' list at the of Jensen.
Scores: Sarapu 4 4 ; Aptekar, Garbett \& Stonehouse $3 \frac{1}{2}$.


Michael Whaley v Tony Carpinter


Tom Stonehouse


Bruce Anderson


Lindsay Cornford v Wolf Leonhardt

Ortvin Sarapu v Wayne Power



Lev Aptekar

In the sixth round Carpinter's fear of Whaley's opening preparations led him to play the Czech Benoni, the result being a 21 move draw as unaesthetic as Whaley $v$ Garbett in the previous round. For a short while Sarapu seemed to be in trouble against Power as he had to give up his queen for rook and knight but his counter threats were very strong and he eventually won

Aptekar won two rooks for his queen versus Anderson and the emergence of the second rook tipped the scales in his favour:
Aptekar - Anderson, Benoni Defence 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc 3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 $6 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bf} 4$ $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{Bg} 7 & 8 \mathrm{Qa} 4+\mathrm{Bd} 7 \\ 11 & \mathrm{e} \\ \mathrm{Qb} 3 & \mathrm{Qc} 7 \\ 10 \mathrm{h3}\end{array}$ Bxb5 14 Nxb5 Qa5 15 Nxd6 Rab8 16 Nb7 Rxb7 17 Qxb7 Nxd5 18 Qxd5 Rd8 19 Qxd8+ Qxd8 20 Rd1 Bxb2 21 O-0 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Qc8 } 22 & \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{f} 5 & 23 \text { Nd6 Qe6 } & 24 \mathrm{Nb} 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Qc8 } & 22 & \text { Ne4 } & \text { f5 } & 23 & \text { Nd6 Qe6 } & 24 & \text { Nb7 } \\ \text { Be5 } & 25 & \text { Bh6 } & \text { Bc7 } & 26 & \text { Bf4 } 4 & \text { c4 } & 27 \\ \text { Bxc7 }\end{array}$
 $\mathrm{Nd5} 31 \mathrm{Na} 5 \mathrm{Qe} 4 \quad 32 \mathrm{Nb} 3 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~K} 3 \mathrm{Rd1}$ fxe3 34 Rexd5 e2 35 Rd8 + Kg7 36 Re1 Qc2 37 Re8 Qxa己 38 Nc 1 Qc 4 39 R 1 xe 2 a 540 Rc 2 Qa 441 Ree 2 $39 \mathrm{R1Xe2}$ a5 $40 \mathrm{Rc} 2 \mathrm{Qa}_{4} 41 \mathrm{Ree} 2$
Qd4 $42 \mathrm{NaC} \mathrm{Qd}+\quad 43 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qd6}+44$ E3 h5 $\quad 45 \mathrm{Nxc} 3 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 46 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 3+\quad 47$ fxg3 Qd4 48 h4 a4 49 NE5, $1: 0$
Lynn's unusual treatment of the Lynn's unusual treatment of the out very badly and Jicilian turned canter. Garbett played his best game so far:

Garbett - Stonehouse, Ruy Lopez: $r$ e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba. Nf6 5 0-0 b5 6 Bb 3 Be 77 d 4 d 6 $8 \mathrm{c} 3 \quad 0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bb} 710 \mathrm{Qe} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 7 \quad 11$ Be3 Na5 $12 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bc} 1 \mathrm{Bf} 6{ }^{1} 4$ 03 Ncb6 15 Nbd2 Re8 16 d5 c6 17 dxc6 Bxc6 18 Rd1 Ne5 19 Nfl Ne6 20 Be 3 Rb 821 Rac 1 Qe 722 N 1 h 2 Red8 23 Ng $4524 \mathrm{Nxf} 6+$ Qxf6 25 Nd2 g6 26 Bbl d5 27 Qf3 Qe7 28 Q53 Qf6 $29 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nf4} 30 \mathrm{Bxf4}$ exf4 31 Qg5 Qxa5 32 Nxg5 dxe $4 \quad 33$ Bxe 4 Bxe4 34 Nxe4 Kg7 35 Nd6 Kf6 36 Rd4 $55 \quad 37$ Rcd 1 Rg $8 \quad 38$ f3 Kg6 39 $\mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{f} 640 \mathrm{Rd} 6 \mathrm{Rgf8} 41$ Re6 a5 42 Rdd 6 Ne 8 43 Rxf6t Rxf6 44 Rxf6 + Kg7 $45 \mathrm{Rf} 5,1$ : 0.
Leonhardt blundered a pawn on his tenth move versus Cornford but
fought back strongly and came close to drawing.
Scores: Sarapu 5 $\frac{1}{2}$; Aptekar \& Garbett 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; Jensen 4; Stonehouse \& Cornford 3 $\frac{1}{2}$; Anderson 3; Carpinter \& Whaley 2; Lynn \& Power 1 $\frac{1}{2}$; Leonhardt $\frac{1}{2}$.


The seventh round heralded the birth of a daughter to Mrs Aptekar with the result that henceforth only half of Lev's mind was on the chess bard. his sachifces agalnst lynn,

The shortest decisive game of the tournament:
Power - Jensen, Sicilian Defence.
1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{~d} 4$ d5 5 exd5 Nxd5 6 Bb5 Nxc3 7 bxc3 $\mathrm{cxd}_{4} 8$ O-0! dxc3 9 Qxd8 $+\mathrm{Kxd8} 10$ $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Rd} 1+\mathrm{Kc} 7 \\ 13 \mathrm{Be} 2+\mathrm{Ka5} & \mathrm{Bf} 4+\mathrm{Kb} 6 \mathrm{Cl} \\ 12 \mathrm{~Pb} 1 & \mathrm{f} 6\end{array}$ $13 \mathrm{Be} 2+\mathrm{Ka} 514 \mathrm{Rd} 5+\mathrm{Ka}_{4} 15 \mathrm{Bb} 5+$ Kas $16 \mathrm{Rb} 3+\mathrm{Kxac} 17 \mathrm{Bxc} 6$ a5 18 Rd4, 1 : 0 .

Anderson (White) finished off Cornford quite nicely from the fol lowing position:


30 Rxe3! dxe3 31 Qg3 e2+ 32 Kh 1 g 6 33 fxg6 Rfc8 (there is no defence, e.g. 33...hxg6 34 Qxg6 mate) 34 gxh7+, 1 : 0 .
Leonhardt's knight got into difficulties and its extrication allowed Whaley to demolish the kingside pawn barrier. Amid all these happenings Garbett and Sarapu, against Carointe and Stonehouse respectively, gained and stonehouse respectively, gained
the full point thus opening up a gap on the rest of the field.

Scores: Sarapu $6 \frac{1}{2}$, Garbett $5 \frac{1}{2}$, Aptekar $4 \frac{1}{2}$, Anderson \& Jensen 4.

## 置

Round 8: Aptekar again played in a daze, rejecting an easy drawing variation in favour of losing a pawn and the resultant ending versus Power. Lynn's new defence against, 1 e4, the Dragon, brought home the bacon when Cornford blundered a pawn, recovered and then blundered again. Whaley followed Aptekar's moves in his game against Anderson and also achieved a reasonable position but blundered a piece on move 26.

The clash betwen the two leaders provoked much spectator interest: Garbett - Sarapu, Sicilian Defence: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d. 4 cxd4 4 $\mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{Nt}^{2} 5 \mathrm{Nc} 3$ e6 $6 \mathrm{Ndb} 5 \mathrm{Bb}_{4} 7$ a3 Bxcj+ 8 Nxc3 d5 9 exd5 exd5 10 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{Bd} & 0-0 & 11 & 0-0 & \mathrm{~h} 6 & 12 \mathrm{Bf} 4 & \mathrm{~d} 4 & 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Ne4 Nd5 } & 14 & \text { Qf3 } & \mathrm{Nxf4} & 15 \text { Qxf4 Qd5 }\end{array}$ 16 Radl Feb 17 Rfe 1 Rad8 18 Ng 3
 E6 22 hs


Just as White's attack begins to look menacing, Sarapu forces a iquidation to a drawn ending: $2 . . \mathrm{Bf5} 523 \mathrm{Nxf5} \mathrm{Rxe} 4 \mathrm{24}$ Nxd6
 Rh1 Ne7 31 g 3 , $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
Carpinter - Leonhardt (70 moves) and Jensen - Stonehouse ( 73 moves) were both draws in which fortunes fluctuated through the endgame.

Scores: Sarapu 7, Garbett 6,

Only three ninth round games were completed in the first session. Stonehouse \& Aptekar drew in 44 moves after a comedy of errors in the opening. Power \& Cornford had the same result in 43 moves with Power missing a winning idea on his last move! Lynn played the Exchange Variation against Whaley's French and became so engrossed in his queenside attack that he overlooked the loss of a piece in the centre.

'Wild Bill' Lynn
Anderson had another of his bad games and helped Carpinter recover to a respectable score; Anderson gave up his a-pawn perhaps hoping to trap Carpinteris queen, but this did not eventuate and Carpinter soon added another pawn to his collection.

Quite unexpected was Sarapu's first (and only) loss of the tournament; avoiding a very drawish line allowed Jensen to make life difficult for him. If there was a draw after the adjournment, Sarapu was unable to find it.

A middle game mistake by Leonhardt lost a pawn and Garbet't played accurately to win the major piece ending.

Scores: Sarapu \& Garbett 7, Jensen 5i $\frac{1}{2}$, Aptekar \& Anderson 5.



Kai Jensen
The tenth round became a second rest day for some of the players. Cornford, - Stonehouse was drawn in 24 moves, Whaley - Power in 11 moves, Carpinter - Lynn in 23 moves and Garbett - Jensen in 24 moves. Only the last mentioned affected the major prizes; Garbett did not try wery hard to punish Jensen's Nirnzowitseh wicilian and the draw was agreed with Jensen standing a little better

Sarapu unleashed a sacrificial attack on Aptekar's kingside for a fine win:
Aptekar - Sarapu, Nimzoindian Def: 1 d 4 Nf 6 \& c4 e6 3 Nc 3 Bb 44 Nf 3
 Bd3 Nbd7 $90-0$ Exc 310 bxc3 Re8 11 Nd2 e5 12 Qf3 g5 13 Bg3 Qe7 14 Qd 1 Nf8 15 Rab1 Ng6 16 dxe5 dxe5 17 Be 2 e 418 Qca b6, 19 Kh 1 Bb 720
 Rf4

23...Nf3! 24 Bxf6 Qxf6 25 Rxg4 Kh' 26 Nxe4 Rxe4 27 Rxe4 Rd2 28 Qc1 Rxe2 29 Qft Bxe4 30 Qxe2 Bxbl 31 gxf3 Qxf5, 0: 1.
Leonhardt doubled his score for the second time in a row with his best game of the tournament:

Leonhardt - Anderson, Benoni Def d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc 3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e $4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 7 \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ 8 Nf 3 0-0 900 Bg4 10 h 3 Bxf 3 11 Qxf3 Nbd7 12 Qec Re8 13 Qc2 a6 $1424 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \quad 15 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{c4} 16 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Qc5+} 17$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Kh2 } & \mathrm{b} 5 & 18 & \mathrm{Nd} 1 & \mathrm{Qc} 7 & 19 \\ \mathrm{Bh} 45 & \mathrm{~h} 6 & 20\end{array}$ Bh4 g5 21 Be1 Nh5 22 Ne3 Ne5 23
 26 Qxe2 Kg8 27 axb5 axb5 28 Rxa8 Rxa8 $29 \quad \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 6 \quad 30 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \mathrm{gxf} 4 \quad 31$
 $\mathrm{Bd}_{3} \mathrm{c} 3 \quad 35$ Qxh6 Rxb2+ $36 \mathrm{Kg} 1 \mathrm{Ne5}$ $37 \mathrm{Kh1} \mathrm{f6} 38 \mathrm{Rg} 1+\mathrm{Kf7} 39 \mathrm{Rg7}+\mathrm{Ke} 8$ $40 \mathrm{Rxe} 7+\mathrm{Kxe} 7{ }^{2} 41 \mathrm{Qg7+} \mathrm{Ke} 8 \quad 42 \mathrm{Qg} 8+$ Qxd6+ Kgr 43 Bb6 Ke? 44 Qe6+ Kf8 45 Qxd6+ $\mathrm{Kg}^{2} 76$ Qe7+ Nf 7 4? $\mathrm{Bd}_{4}$,

This loss cost Anderson any chance of third place. Scores with one round to go: Sarapu 8; Garbett $7 \frac{1}{8}$ Jensen 6; Anderson, Aptekar \& Stonehouse 5; Cornford, Whaley \& Power $4 \frac{1}{2}$; Carpinter \& Lynn 4;


Paul Garbett
Sarapu made quite sure of his fifteenth title by beating Cornford already assured Garbett, who was perbaps assured of clear second, ford's hash was went ing a beautiful finjsh by anderson

Jensen sacrificed a pawn but Aptekar closed up the game and neither player had any real chances when the draw was agreed on move 27. This draw assured Jensen of third place by himself. Stonehouse - Whaley was very interesting until Whaley lost a piece on move 33 in an unclear
position. Lynn played his favourite BDG again but Leonhardt took over the initiative and added some respectability to his score, while Carpinter, by means of a well played win over Power, jumped several places in the final standings.

1 O.Sarapu (N.Shore)
2 P.A.Garbett (N.Shore)
3 K.Jensen (Hamilton)
B. R.Anderson (C'bury)
T.H.Stonehouse (Auck.)
L.Aptekar (Feltex)
A.L.Carpinter (N.Shore)
P.W.Power (Auckland)
L.H.Cornford (Gisborne)
M.G.Whaley (N.Shore)
K.W.Lynn (Nelson)

12 W.Leonhardt (N.Shore)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 9 | $\$ 300$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\$ 150$ |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\$ 100$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | $\$ 35$ |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\$ 5$ |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | x | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | x | 1 | 1 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | x | 0 | 4 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | x | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In summing up I feel that Ortvin Sarapu was, without a shadow of doubt, the best player on the day and the final margin of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ points was a fair reflection of his superiority. His determination to win was evident in most of his games and it was this which caused his only loss. Similarly Paul Garbett showed the rest of the field a clean pair of heels and only his last round loss, which was quite immaterial to his final placing, allowed the third place-get.ter to come within a point. Somehow, though, Paul's usual killer instinct seemed to be lacking and his games were not as interesting as they normally are.

Kai Jensen finished in third place - more or less by default as it were. Always sitting just above the $50 \%$ mark, he was there when the others dropped off the pace. Aptekar and Stonehouse were early pacemakers and Anderson was also lying third at one stage but all three eventually dropped out of the running for the major money. Stonehouse's result was better than most people (Tom excluded, perhaps!) expected, while Anderson was a curious mixture of very good and very bad; he was the only player not to draw a game. Aptekar, as already mentioned, had other things on his mind during the second half of the tournament; $4 \frac{1}{2} / 6$ in the first half then $1 / 5$ speaks for itself.

Carointer met most of the leading bunch early in the tournament and only his $2 \frac{1}{2} / 3$ at the end brought him nearer his rightful place. Power, Cornford, Whaley and tynn all had their moments but none was consistent enough to make Whaley and Lynn all had their moments but none was consistent ent was no indication of his true ability.

One feature (new? Certainly in the last decade) was a daily bulletin containing all the championship games as well as Premier Reserve results and selection of games. Unfortunately there was a hold-up in the middle of the tournament caused by a photo-copying machine misbehaving but when commercial firms reopened after the New Year holiday weekend the problem solved itself (there are a limited number of sets of the eleven bulletins available from the North Shore Chess Club, price $\$ 2.20$ including postage).
For those who like statistics White won 28 games ( $42.4 \%$ ), Black won 18 ( $27.3 \%$ ) and 20 ( $30.3 \%$ ) were drawn; an overall $57.6 \%$ for White. Of partial interest perhaps is the fact that White scored a massive $72.2 \%$ in the first
six rounds but then the pendulum swung and Black scored $60 \%$ over the remaining five rounds.

## $48 \% 8$

## PREMIER RESERVE CHAMPIONSHIP

This event was the most open for some years with no player being able to dominate throughout the tournament. The course of the tournament can conveniently be divided into three phases: an early scramble for he lead, Goffin domination in the middle rounds, and Goffin's decline at the end

Top seeded of the 64 players, Peter Hensman actually led after the first five rounds although nobody knew at the time! This was because his fourth round game versus Patrick Cordue was twice adjourned; after the main session Hensman's two knights appeared to be winning against Cordue's two bishops so the game was adjudicated a win for the former for the purpose of the draw. At the end of the second session, however, Cordue pulled off a fine swindle for what appeared to be an easy win, but which turned out to b a very difficult win; accordingly Cordue was given a win on adjudication for the sixth round draw. Eventually Hensman turned the tables and won in the third session. Peter Goffin drew with Roberts in round but otherwise won to be in second place after the fifth round. Paul Beach won his in round games but cordu. Thus in cordue. Thus the scores at this tach Bernard Carpinter, Dobert Beach, Bernard Carpinter, Robert

Over the next three rounds Goffin streaked ahead, starting with a fine win over Cordue and following up with wins versus Hensman and Cater The onl: other leading contender to score even $2 \frac{1}{2}$ out of 3 was Beach, while poor Hensman lost in rounds 6 and 7 thus cancelling out his marathon victory against Cordue. Scores after eight rounds: Goffin $7 \frac{1}{2}$; Beach $6 \frac{1}{2}$; Hensman, Cordue \& Cater 6; Philip Clemance, Paul Spiller, Matthew Barlow, Jack Arbuthnott, Aldis

Skuja \& Michael Steadman $5 \frac{1}{2}$
Goffin seemed to be home and hosed if he could avoid losing to Beach and this he did, securing a draw after 2 ? moves. Also in round ine Clemance beat Hensman and Cordue beat Cater while Barlow beat Skuja, making the scores: Gofin 8 , Beach \& Cordue 7, Clemance \& Barlow $6 \frac{1}{2}$.

In the penultimate round Clemance, with the black pieces, emerged as a clear threat for the first time by comprehensively beating Goffin Even so nobody caught up with the leader because Beach lost in ten noves to Hensman after blundering a iece, while Cordue was lucky to scrape a draw against Barlow. thers to wir wore Spilier, Arbuthnott, Cater, Campinter and Livington so the scores were. Goffin Beach, Spiller, Cater, Barlow and Arbuthnott 7; Carpinter \& Jivingston $6 \frac{1}{2}$.

So the fight for first prize was not yet over, with nine players in with a chance of first equal Early in the session Goffin lost a pawn against spiller but 40 move lates, are wil and truly Sill er finally comceded that piller finally concer dian was further progress and Won his last round cle versus cor due so he and Goffin shared first prize (each \$150) - fairy tale anding for clemance who was two oints behind the leader with oints bounds romaining. Hen only Beach and Barlow all finished on a winning note (against Arbuthnott, Cater and Livingston respectively) ater and Livingston respectively) $\frac{1}{2}$ point behind the winners.

The grade prize for 1750-1900 was shared by Bruce Marsick and Jack Arbuthnott (each \$37.50). The 1600
-1750 prizes were shared by Jim Henderson and Malcolm Wong (each 400-1600 grade ( $\$ 50$ ) with Gavin

Ion, Julius Bojtor, Lynne Martin \& eter Voss sharing second (each 6.25). In the Under-1400s winners ere Leo Grevers and Michael Rogers each $\$ 37.50$ )

In the following table the abbreviations used are: A, Auckland Centre; AU, Auckland University; Civ, Civic; H, Hamilton; HP, Howick-Pakuranga; OU, Otago University; Par, Parnell; Pen, Pencarrow; PN, Palmerston North Kel, Kelston Boys High School; T, Tauranga; UH, Upper Hutt; Wai, Waitemata.

|  | Clemance P.A. Goffin P. B. | NP A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beach P.K. | HP |
| 4 | Hensman P.J. | H |
| 5 | Earlow M.J. | NS |
| 6 | Cordue P.L. | Civ |
| 7 | Spiller P. | HP |
| 8 | Carpinter B.A. | 0 |
| 9 | Cater J.E. | A |
| 10 | Marsick B.H.P. | A |
| 11 | Arbuthnott J. | T |
| 12 | Hoffmann P.E. | NS |
| 13 | Lanning R.K.N. | Par |
| 14 | Gibbons R.E. | A |
| 15 | Livingston M.J. | NS |
| 16 | Wong M. A. | OU |
| 17 | Watson B.R. | H |
| 18 | Steadman M. | A |
| 19 | Van Dam S. | A |
| 20 | Henderson A.J. | NS |
| 21 | Trundle G.E. | A |
| 22 | Mataga P. | A |
| 23 | Sidnam G. | A |
| 24 | Gollogly D.A. | NS |
| 25 | Strevens R.E. | A |
| 26 | McIvor B.W. | A |
| 27 | Earle S.R. | N |
| 28 | Skuja A.N. | Kel |
| 29 | Kinchant K.D. | A |
| 30 | Johnstone D.G. | PN |
| 31 | Knightbridge W. | NS |
| 32 | Haworth G.M.R. | UH |
| 33 | Sell G.J. | Wai |
| 34 | Voss P.J. | NS |
| 35 | Bojtor J. | Hun |
| 36 | Bridges N.P. | Wai |
| 37 | Ion G.J. | NS |
| 38 | Fekete J. | A |
| 59 | Martin Mrs L. | Par |
|  | Baran P. | Pen |
| 41 | Kasmara A. H . | Par |

41 Kasmara A.H.R. 1 R. 2 R. 3 R. 4 R. 5 R. 6 R. 7 R. 8 R. 9 R10 R11 T'l S0SW33 W26 W41 L10 D30 W23 L5 W24 W4 W2 W6 $8 \frac{1}{2} 73$W49 D43 W54 W13 W10 W6 W4 W9 D3 L1$\begin{array}{rlllllllllll}\text { W32 } & \text { W21 W22 W14 } & \text { L6 } & \text { D8 } & \text { W11 } & \text { W5 } & \text { D2 } & \text { L4 } & \text { W9 } & 8 & 78 \\ \text { W47 } & \text { W24 } & \text { W20 } & \text { W6 } & \text { W5 } & \text { L9 } & \text { L2 } & \text { W13 } & \text { L1 } & \text { W3 W11 } & 8 & 78\end{array}$$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrr}\text { W47 } & \text { W24 } & \text { W20 } & \text { W6 } & \text { W5 } & \text { L9 } & \text { L2 } & \text { W13 } & \text { L1 } & \text { W3 } & \text { W11 } & 8 \\ \text { W5 } & \text { W11 } & \text { L4 } & \text { W10 } & \text { W1 } & \text { L3 } & \text { W28 } & \text { D6 } & \text { W15 } & 8 & 74 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
W16 W27 W19 L4 W3 L2 W17 W8 W9 D5 L1 $7 \frac{1}{2} \quad 80 \frac{1}{2}$
L43 D35 W34 W54 W20 W24 D8 D28 D18 W13 D2 $7 \frac{1}{2} 66$
D29 W40 D5 W45 W17 D3 D7 L6 D11 W12 D14
L23 W53 W51 W36 W26 W4 W25 L2 L6 W18 L3
W62 W28 D13 W1 L2 L5 W23 L18 D16 W24 W26
D54 W34 W43 L5 W48 W30 L3 W25 D8 W14 L4
L44 W18 W42 L26 L24 W63 W54 W15 W17 L8 W27
W35 W23 D10 L.2 D29 W46 W40 L4 W19 L7 D16
W38 W36 W15 L3 W4O L25 L28 W21 W29 L11 D8
W18 W42 L14 L17 W47 D16 W43 L12 W23 W34 L5
L6 W57 L26 W42 W36 D15 D19 D31 D10 W40 D13 $66 \frac{1}{2}$
L51 W39 W55 W15 L8 W26 L6 W40 L12 W29 D25 $6 \frac{1}{2} 64 \frac{1}{2}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrr}\text { L15 } & \text { L12 } & \text { W57 } & \text { W55 } & \text { D45 W41 } & \text { W30 } & \text { W10 } & \text { D7 } & \text { L9 } & \text { D19 } & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ \text { W53 } & \text { W44 } & \text { L } \\ \text { W6 } & \text { D24 } & \text { L23 } & \text { W48 } & \text { D16 } & \text { W33 } & \text { L13 } & \text { W28 } & \text { D1 } 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 63 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { W53 W44 } & \text { L6 } & \text { D24 } & \text { L23 W48 } & \text { D16 W33 } & \text { L13 } & \text { W28 D1 } & \text { D } & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 63 \frac{1}{2} \\ \text { W64 W30 } & \text { L4 } & \text { D25 } & \text { L7 } & \text { D33 } & \text { L29 } & \text { D38 } & \text { W39 } & \text { W37 } & \text { W41 } & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 61 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { W64 } & \text { W30 } & \text { L4 } & \text { D25 } & \text { L7 } & \text { D33 } & \text { L29 } & \text { D38 } & \text { W39 } & \text { W37 } & \text { W41 } & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 61 \frac{1}{c} \\ \text { W52 } & \text { L3 } & \text { D23 } & \text { W4 } & \text { L25 } & \text { W32 } & \text { D31 } & \text { L14 } & \text { D46 } & \text { W44 } & \text { W34 } & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 61 \\ \text { W60 } & \text { L3 } & \text { L30 } & \text { D32 } & \text { W35 } & \text { L24 } & \text { L23 } & \text { W48 } & \text { W46 } & \text { W28 } & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 60\end{array}$
W9 L13 D21 W31 W19 L1 L10 W22 L15 D30 W46
W50 L4 W44 D19 W12 L7 W22 L1 D31 L10 W40
W45 L22 W29 D20 W21 W14 L9 L11 L34 W43 D17
W61 L1 W16 W12 L9 L17 D46 W37 D41 W31 L10 6
W59 L6 D32 L29 W39 L43 W50 D46 W33 W41 L12 6
W58 L10 D33 D32 W38 W45 W14 D7 L5 L19 L22
D8 W31 L25 W27 D13 L40 W20 W30 L14 L17 D32 W46 L20 W37 W22 D1 L11 L18 L29 W56 D23 D36 D34 L29 W35 L23 W53 W38 D21 D16 D24 L26 D33 L3 W52 D27 D28 D22 L21 D35 W55 L40 W56 D29 L1 W60 D28 D47 D41 D20 W56 L19 L27 W54 D31 D31 L11 L7 W63 L43 W49 W47 W45 W25 L15 L21 L13 D7 L31 W52 W59 L22 D32 L56 D42 W51 W44 W57 L14 W38 L9 L16 L37 W51 D44 D54 W55 D30 I5 W63 L30 L48 W42 W36 D44 L26 W45 L20 W43 L14 W61 L36 W51 L28 L31 W42 D20 L43 W48 W49 L41 L17 W61 D44 L27 L50 W63 W59 L20 W45 W55 W48 L8 W46 W41 L14 W29 L13 L17 W32 L16 L24 Civ W55 W51 L1 L40 D33 L18 W52 W43 D26 L27 L20 Civ W55 L15 L12 L16 L37 W58 L38 W57 D35 D47 W56

43 Roberts M. H.
44 Johnstone R. B 45 Milne D.J.O.
46 Howard M.I.
47 Pomeroy D.M.
48 Morrisor M.K
49 Benbow M. R.
50 Grevers L.P.
51 Powell Miss L.
51 Powell Mis
52 Rogers M.
Civ

60 Boyd J.K.
61 Boyd S.G. 62 Corbett P. D 63 Rawnsley D.C. A
Pen

L48 D57 L63 L61 W58 D62 L51 W64 L53 AU L10 L58 L69 L59 D64 W60 W53 L48 L62 L58 L57

Wi? D2 L11 L21 1434 W27 L15 L41 W38 L25 I3 37

 L30 W64 L4O W49 W56 L13 D26 D27 D21 L22 L23 $\begin{array}{rllllllllll}\mathrm{L} 4 & \mathrm{D} 48 & \text { W58 } & \mathrm{D} 33 & \mathrm{I} 15 & \mathrm{D} 54 & \mathrm{I} .34 & \text { W52 } & \mathrm{L} 44 & \mathrm{D} 42 & \mathrm{D} 50 \\ \mathrm{~L} 40 & \mathrm{D} 47 & \mathrm{~W} 60 & \mathrm{~W} 37 & \mathrm{~L} 11 & \mathrm{~L} 19 & 5 & \text { W61 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rllllllllll}\text { L40 } & \text { D47 } & \text { W60 } & \text { W37 } & \text { L11 } & \text { L19 } \\ \text { L2 } & \text { L54 } & \text { W64 } & \text { L4 } & \text { W58 } & \text { L54 } & \text { L5 } & \text { L38 } & \text { W5 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cllllllllll}\mathrm{L} 2 & \mathrm{~L} 54 & \text { W54 } & \mathrm{L} 4 & \text { W58 } & \mathrm{L} 34 & \mathrm{L5} 5 & \mathrm{D} 51 & \text { W53 } & \text { W52 } & \mathrm{L} 38 \\ \mathrm{~L} 24 & \mathrm{~L} 45 & \mathrm{D} 52 & \mathrm{~L} 53 & \text { W57 } & \text { W39 } & \mathrm{L} 5 & \mathrm{~T} 54 & \mathrm{~L} 55 & \text { W59 } & \mathrm{D} 47\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { L24 } & \text { L45 } & \text { D52 } & \text { L53 } & \text { W57 } & \text { W39 } & \text { L27 } & \text { D54 } & \text { L55 } & \text { W59 } & \text { D4? }\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { L21 } & 132 & \text { D50 } & \text { L35 } & \text { W62 } & \text { W53 } & \mathrm{L} 41 & \mathrm{~L} 47 & \text { W57 } & \mathrm{L} 49 & \text { W64 }\end{array}$
 L42 W59 Li L18 W5 L56 W49 L32 W50 L36 L3G $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { L33 } & \text { L5 } & \mathrm{L} 45 & W 58 & \mathrm{~L} 46 & \text { W55 } & \mathrm{L} 33 & \text { W35 } & \mathrm{L} 30 & \mathrm{~L} 32 & \mathrm{~L} 4 \mathrm{C}\end{array}$ L28 W62 L47 L56 L49 L42 L60 W64 W59 W63 I4

One or two notes. One player entered by telegram at the last minute and the organisers (foolishly perhaps?) accepted this subject to the entry fee arriving within a few days which it did. A few days later he other the day of round one!) were also accepted. Intending players should nake up their minds once and for all BEFORE the closing date for entries. Perhaps late entrants should be charged double to compensate the organisers for the extráa time and trouble caused.
An unsavoury incident arose in the sixth round when two players found themselves in totally lost positions one faced mate in one, the other in five) with about 45 mirnutes of the session to go. The two players (obviously in collusion) strolled round and talked until the session ended, then sealed moves - and ended, then sealed moves - and for the adjourned games session. We can do without this sort of player!

The final round produced an intersting problem for Ted Stallknecht, the Director of Play. On one of the whereupon White, who had record
oves, claimed a win. Black, however, had recorded 41 moves.
Eventually the game was reconstructed and .... BOTH score sheets were found to be correct! The extra moves on Black's score sheet merely repeated the position so it was quite impossible to determine whether 39 or 41 moves had been completed. How about that, FIDE? In the end the two players agreed to a draw thus saving Ted from having to make a decision, but there definitely seems to be a loophole in the rules.

Five games from the Premier Reserve:
Mataga - Beach, Sicilian Defence: $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & \text { e4 c5 } & 2 \mathrm{Nf3} & \mathrm{~d} 6 & 3 & \mathrm{~d} 4 \\ \text { cxd4 }\end{array}$ $\mathrm{Nad}_{4} \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 5 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{g6} .6 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{f} 3$ Qb8 $11 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~b} 512 \mathrm{Naxb5} \mathrm{Ne5} 13 \mathrm{Ba}$ Rc8 14 a4 Qb7 15 Nabs Ne5 13 Bd Rab8 $17 \mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{Bxa4} 18$ he $16 \mathrm{Na}_{4}$ Re2 Bxb 30 cxb3 Rxc $3+1$ (or 21 bxc3 Qxb3 22 Qc2 Qa3+ 23 Kd2 Rb2 wins) 21...Re8 22 Kc 2 (22 Bc4+ also loses: 22...Nxc4 23 bxc4 Nc4t also oses: 22...Nxc4 23 bxc4 fxg4 Qxe4+ 25 Kdz Nd5 26 Bd4 Bx 44 $27 \mathrm{cxa4} \mathrm{Qe} 3+28 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Nf4}^{26} \mathrm{Bd} 4 \mathrm{Bxd}$ Rd2 Nye2 30 Rxer Qc1+).
Gollogly - Hensman, Scotch Game:
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 Nf6 $4 d 4$
exd4 5 Nd5 Nxa5 6 exd5 Nb4 7 Bc 4
Bd6 8 0-0 $000 \quad 9$ a3 $05 \quad 10$ Bxb5
$\begin{array}{llllllll} & 11 & \mathrm{Qxad} & \mathrm{Bb} 7 & 12 & \mathrm{c} 4 & \mathrm{Nff} & 13 \\ \mathrm{c} 5\end{array}$
Be7 14 Ne5 d6 15 Nc6? Bxc6 16
Bxe6 dxc5 17 Qa4 Rb8 18 Rd1 Bd6
19 Bg5 Rxb2 20 Qh4 Qe7 21 Re 1 Rec
22 Rxe2 Qxe2 23 f 3 ? (23 Bxf6) Be
$24 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Bd} 4^{+} 25 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Qb} 226 \mathrm{Ex} 56$
Bxf6 27 Qa4 Qc3 28 Rb 1 a5 29 Qb3
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Qxb} 3 & 30 & \mathrm{Rxb} 3 \mathrm{c4} & 31 \mathrm{Rb} 7 \mathrm{Rd} 8 & 32 \\ \mathrm{Kg} 1 & \mathrm{Bd} 4+ & 33 \mathrm{Kf} 7 & \mathrm{Bb} 6 & 3+\mathrm{Ke} 9 & \mathrm{f} 5,\end{array}$
$0: 1$.
Hensman - Cordue, Modern Defence:
Eensman Cordue, Modern Defence


$\begin{array}{llllll}8 a 4 & 0-0 & 12 & \mathrm{Nb} 5 & \mathrm{Nb} 8 & 13 \\ \mathrm{bl} & \mathrm{b} 6 & 14\end{array}$


20 Rbl ab 21 Nbc3 fxe4 22 Exel
Nf5 23 Rd2 Qc? 24 Qa4 Bd7 25 Qce

Rb1 Rxb1 29 Qxb1 Qb4 30 Qxbl cxb4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Rb1 Rxbl } \\ 31 & \text { Bxf5 Bxf5+ } 32 \text { Ne4 Kf7 } 33 \mathrm{Kcz}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll} \\ \mathrm{Bf} 8 & 34 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7 & 35 \mathrm{Kxb4} \mathrm{Bd} 7 \\ 36\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Bf} 8 & 34 & \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Be} \\ \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{c} 3 & \mathrm{Ke} 8 & 37 \mathrm{Na} & \mathrm{Ne} & \mathrm{Kxb} & 38 \\ \mathrm{Nab} & \mathrm{Mb} & \mathrm{Bb} 7\end{array}$
39 Ka 5 Kd 840 Nf 2 Kc 741 Nd 3 Bf 8
42 Nb4 Be? 43 Nxa6t Bxab 44 Kxa6
Bxg5: $45 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{dxc5} 46 \mathrm{~Kb} 5$ Bxht 47
$14 \mathrm{Be} 148 \mathrm{Nc} 4 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 49 \mathrm{~d} 6+\mathrm{Kd} 750$


Kxe $5 \mathrm{Be} 5 \quad 57 \mathrm{Kaj}$ B66 58 MhZ Bet
$59 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Bb} 660 \mathrm{Ne} 5+\mathrm{Kd8} 61 \mathrm{Ke} 6 \mathrm{hz}$
$62 \mathrm{Nc} 6+\mathrm{Kc} 863 \mathrm{~d} 7+\mathrm{Kc} 764 \mathrm{~d} 8 \mathrm{Q}+$
Kxc6 65 Q $\mathrm{S}^{+}, 1$ : 0.
Mataga - Gollogly, French Defence:
1 e4 e6 $6 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{4} \mathrm{~b} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Baj} \mathrm{Bb} 7$ 4 Nf 3
d5 5 e5 c5 6 c3 Nc6 ? O-O Nge7
Be3 Nf5 9 Bg5 Be7 10 Bxe'f Ncxe?
$\begin{array}{llllllll}11 & \text { al } & 0-0 & 12 & \mathrm{Na} & \text { Qd7 } & 13 & \text { g4 } 4 \\ 14 & \text { Nh } 6 \\ 14 & \mathrm{Nh} 4 & \mathrm{ab} & 15 & \mathrm{f} 4 & \mathrm{Ng} 6 & 16 & \mathrm{Ng} 2 \\ \mathrm{Bc} 5\end{array}$

fxg6 20 Qxd4 Qb7 21 Qs2 Nxg4 22
Qg 3 Rxg2 $23 \mathrm{Rd} 1 \mathrm{Rf}^{2} 24 \mathrm{Rd} 6 \mathrm{Nh} 6$
Q83 Bxg2 $23 \mathrm{Rd} 1 \mathrm{Bf} 3{ }^{24} \mathrm{Rd} 6 \mathrm{Nh} 6$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}25 & \text { Nd2 Bd5 } 26 \text { Rb6 Qc7 } & 27 & \text { Nf } \\ 28 & \text { Qe1 bxa4 } & 29 & \text { c4 Bc6, } 0: 1 .\end{array}$
Goffin - Clemance, Benoni Defence:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6' 3 g3 c5 4 d 5
exd5 5 cxd5 $26 \quad 6$ Bg2 g6 7 Nf 3
Bg7 8 O-0 O-0 9 Nc3 Qe7 10 Re 1
$\mathrm{Bg}_{4} \quad 11 \mathrm{~h} 3$ Exf3 12 Bxf3 Nbd7 13
Bg2 a6 14 e 4 Rab8 15 Qa4 Nb6 16
Qb3 Qc? 17 Be3 Nbd7 18 Rac1 b5
19 Ne2 Rfe8 20 Qc2 Qa5 $21 \mathrm{b3}$ Rec8
$22 \mathrm{Bd2}$ b4 $23 \mathrm{a3} \mathrm{Nb} 6 \quad 24$ axb4 cxb4
25 Qb1 Nfd7 $26 \operatorname{Rxc} 8+\operatorname{Rxc} 827 \operatorname{Rc1}$
Nc5 28 Be3 qa3 29 Bxc5 dxc5 30 Rc2 c4! 31 bxc4 b3 $32 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{~b} 2 \quad 33$ Rd1 $\mathrm{Rxc}_{4} 34 \mathrm{~d} 6 \mathrm{Qal} 35 \mathrm{ff} \mathrm{Bd}_{4}+36$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\mathrm{Kf} 1 & \text { as } & 37 & \text { e } 5 & 24 & 38 & \mathrm{Bd} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 1 & 39\end{array}$


45 Ke 2 Bf 646 Kd 3 Nb
and Black w


## BOOK REVIEW

## "100 BEST GAMES - 1975"

This book is the third edited by W. Mac Lean and published by "Chess Mates of Australia, á group comprising Robert Jamieson, Michael Woodhams, Douglas Hamilton, Peter Thompson, Michael Winslade and Bill MacLean.

The first two such books covered the 1973 Australian Open Championship and the 1974 Australian Championship (Closed), but the volume under review introduces an Inter-
national flavour with more than half national flavour with more than hal of the book devoted to the 1975 Asjan-Pacific (Zone 10) Championship in which faul Garbett and Lindsay Cornford represented New Lealand. Also covered are the 195 Lades the the 9 Austraikan open Champion Mon Melhourne puenio Torre won the Tonal but had to bow to Max Fulle in the open.

All 100 games are amotated to some extent, many in considerable depth, and the annotators include GM Torre and IM's Grefe and Jamieson, as well as a number of other leading Australian players. Notation is descriptive. There are plenty of diagrams and several pages of photographs of the players. The presentation in this 151-page, sof cover book is generally very good; certainly rather better than one normally expects in a tournament book!

## 堂 固

## bATTLES AT HASTINGS

## by Peter Weir

The New Year season is the traditional time for the Hastings International Chess Tournament which is held in the south coast resort of Hastinos in Sussex. As I had a free day from my studies at London University I decided to visit both the tournamert and the battle site. The great battle of 1066 took place at Battle village, a 'place of battel' in the old chronicles, which lies about seven miles from Hastings. The site is clearly tharked by the ruined Battle Abbey, built by William of Normandy to celebrate his victory over Harold II of Eneland, Earl of Wessex. The high altar was reputably built over the spot where Harold fell, charged down, j.t would seem, by a Norman lancer, rather than by an arrow in the eye, or so the historians tell atmosphere of histiory and it is easy to imagine Harold's Saxons encamped on Eenlac Hill and the Norman forces raraed in opposition waiting for the inevitable battle to commence.
At the White Rock parilion in the town of Hastinss battles have been taking place on the chess board since 1895 when the first Hastings event was won by the Araerican Harry Nelson Pillsbury ahead of such talents as Em.Lasker, Tarrasch and l'chigorin.

In the 1976/77 event were eight grandmasters including two leading Russians, Vassily Smyslov and ole Romanishin, who were expected to
contest first place with Britain's first GM Tony Miles. The event was justifiably won by the young Ukrainian Romanishin who displayed an impressive brand of fighting chess throughout, including a last round win over Damjanovic when already assured of first prize. His only loss was to second placed shimon Kagan of Israel who achjeved a GM norm. Mira place was rather surCM Isingly laken by the new American M James tarjan, ancad the more experienced smyslov, Damjanovic and Adorian.

The round which I saw featured a fine exhibition of winning chess Trom the Yugoslav GM Damjanovic over Kagan and an exciting victory for Romanishin against Smyslov. The records of the Hastings event from past years show only two decisive Russian encounters, BotvinnikBalashov in $1966 / 57$ and KorchnoyKarpov in 1971/72. It was expecte that both players would be happy With a quick draw this year, follow ing the example set by the two kungarian grandmasters Ivan Farago and Andras Adorian, who took only 15 minutes to reach a peaceful conclusion.

This game, however, developed otherwise:
O.Romanishin V.Smyslov Ruy Lopez
 $\mathrm{Ba} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 5 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Bd7} \quad 6$ c4 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{Nf} 6 & 7 & \mathrm{Ne} 3\end{array}$ Bg4?

A surprising move from Smyslov, amounting to a clear loss of tempo. Better was 7...s6 at once.

$$
8 \text { h3 } \quad \mathrm{Bxf} 3
$$

Better 8...Bh5 and 9...Be7.
$\begin{array}{rrr}9 & \text { Qxf3 } & \text { g6 }\end{array}$
A strong move; if now 10...exd4 then 11 e5 or 11 Bg5 Bg7 12 Nas.

| 10 | M. | Nd7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | dxe5 | Ndxe5 |
| 12 | Qe2 | Bg7 |

At this point the schoolboy operating the demonstration board somehow lost Smyslov's king's bishop and it was not until some moves later that it reappeared on g7!

| 13 | Be3 | $0-0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Rad | $\mathrm{Na5}$ |
| 15 | c5! | b5 |
| 16 | cxb6 | cxb6 |

Black is now saddled with a weak d-pawn and White has full control of the important square d5, from which a knight can attack a second weak pawn on b6.

17 Nd5
Nec4
Leads to a rapid loss. More hope

25 Nf6
Intending $26 \mathrm{Ng} 4^{+}$forcing the king up the board．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
25 & \ldots & g 5 \\
26 & \mathrm{f4} & \mathrm{gxf} 4 \\
27 & \mathrm{Rxf} 4 &
\end{array}
$$

Final scores：
Romanishin 11立；Kagan 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；Tarjan 9；Smyslov \＆Adorian 8；Miles $7 \frac{1}{2}$ Damjanovic，Farago，Vukcevich \＆ 2waig 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ ；Whiteley \＆Kaplan $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ； Rumens \＆Kraidman 5；Webb $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ．


CAN YOU SEE THE COMBINATIONS？
（Solutions on p．24）


No 1．Black to play


No 3．White to play


No 2．White to play


No 4．White to play

## ANNOTATED GAMES

First，we have two of Vernon＇s
Haifa games held over from the last issue．He annotated the first＂to encourage C grade players to go to Olympiads＂and the second because ＂it expiains why I was born in 1954
and am ㅍow aged 34 ＂．


More precise is 6．．．Nf6 so that if 7 N5c3 then a6 can be postponed in favour of development．

| 7 | NS C 3 | Be 7 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Be 2 | Nf 6 |
| 9 | $0-0$ | $0-0$ |
| 10 | Br 4 | e 4 |

0．．．b6 would transpose back into more normal lines．The text move makes White＇s efforts on $d 5$ look like divine inspiration．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \text { Be3 } \\
& \text { Qd7? } \\
& \text { Why? Ng4 maybe. So } \begin{array}{c}
\text {.... } \\
12
\end{array} \\
& \text { ! I } \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
13 & \text { Nd5 } & \text { Nxd5 } \\
14 & \text { cxd5 } & \text { f5? }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Every Russian household pet knows this is bad unless the g－pawn can recapture or e4 can be controlled．

| 15 | exf5 | Qxf5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | Bd3 | Qf7 |
| 17 | Nc3 |  |

Not to defend the d－pawn．

| 17 | $\ldots$ | Bf5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Bxf5 | Qxf5 |
| 19 | Ne4 | Nf7 |
| 20 | Qb3 | b5？ |

Qd7 was quite sound．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
21 & \operatorname{Rac} 1 & \operatorname{Rfc} 8 \\
22 & \operatorname{Rc} 6! &
\end{array}
$$

Consolidates White＇s considerable advantage．The rook cannot be taken

Birice the resulting passed pawn would be too strong．But once the heavy pieces are concentrated on the c－file Black is bound hand and foot． Please note：Nd8 is not possible．

$$
\begin{array}{ccl}
22 & \text { N. } & \text { Nd8 } \\
23 & \text { Nxd6 } & \\
\text { Also on is } 23 \text { Rxd6. } & \\
23 & \ldots & \text { Bxd6 } \\
24 & \text { Rxd6 } & \text { Qc2?? }
\end{array}
$$

24．．．Rc2 got it via 25 g4 Qf8 26 Rxd8．Relatively best was $24 \ldots$ ．．．Rc4

25 Rxd8＋
$1: 0$

Sicilian Defence

| 1 | e4 | c5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nf3 | e6 |
| 3 | d4 | cxd4 |
| 4 | Nxd4 | Nf6 |
| 5 | Nd2！？ |  |

I had not prepared this at all I just felt like playing something completely different．

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
5 & \cdots \\
6 & B b 5+
\end{array}
$$

d5
Here the book（yes，it＇s in the book after all）gives 6 e5，so it is a little different now．


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Bd7 } \\
& \text { Nbxd7 } \\
& \text { Nxd5 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nrom } \\
& \text { from }
\end{aligned}
$$

I have got very little from the experiment－but he did take 40 minutes over his fifth move．Look out for regular time checks！

| 9 | $0-0$ | Be7 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | c4 | N5 f6 |
| 11 | N2f3 | $0-0$ |
| reabouts I offered a draw． |  |  |


| 12 | Qe2 | Qc7 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | b3 | Bc5（101 |  |
|  |  | min） |  |
| 14 | Bb2（20 m） | Qf4 |  |
| 15 | Radi | Rfe8 |  |
| 16 | h3 |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 量 } \\
& \underset{(N Z)}{\text { V.Small }} \underset{\text { (Denmark) }}{\text { P. Rosenlund }} \\
& \text { Si } \\
& \text { (Denmark) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Intending e5 again.

| 17 | Bc 1 | Qc7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | a3 | a6! |
| 19 | 34? | $\mathrm{BxO}_{4}$ |
| 20 | Nxd4 | Nb6 |
| 21 | c5 | Nbd5 |
| 22 | Qc4 4 | Rd7 |
| 23 | N +'3 |  |

Now I decided to abandon the dfile and hold es.

| 23 | ... | Rad8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Rde 1 | Ne? |
| 25 | Ef4 | Qc8 |
| 26 | Be5 | Rd5 |
| 27 | 14 | Ne8 |
| 28 | Bb ? (30m) | Nc6 (143m) |
| 29 | Ng5? |  |
| QE4. |  |  |
| 29 | $\cdots$ | h6 |
| 30 | Ne4 | Q.7 |
| 31 | Re 3 | Nd4 |
| 32 | Na 3 | R5d? |

White has methodically frittered away his advantage and Black now stands very well. Now my logic stands very well. now 33 Nai .... he can't play b5, Decause not cxb 6 but Nxb6! Right!

$$
33 \text { Na4?? }
$$

It was only now as I stared at the static position that I realised my new rule, Nxb6 en passant, was not yet in the FIDE rule books!

33 … Nf5
Oh, why doesn't he stop hitting me.
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}34 \& Ree1 \& Qc6? <br>
35 \& Mb6 \& (sigh) <br>

Rd2\end{array}\right]\)| 36 | Ee5 | Nc? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | Qi4 | Nb5 (149m) |
| 38 | Nc4 | R2d3 |
| 39 | a4 | Nbd4 |
| 40 | Bc7! |  |

Beautifully timed to coincide with Rosenlund's worst time trouble.

| 40 | $\cdots$ | Rd7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | Ne5 | Qxc |
| 42 | Nxd3 | Qxf |
| 43 | Nxfl | Nxh4 |
| 4 | Re3 | Nhf5 |

## 45 Red!

Adjoumed here - and it is not easy. Black has a pawn for the exchange and very active pieces. 45

Nb3!
His excellent sealed rove which of course we had not found.

| 46 | Rfe1 | a5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47 | bxa5! | Nxc5 |
| 48 | Re4 | Nb3 |
| 49 | $\operatorname{Re5}$ | G5 |
| 50 | Ne2 | Rd1+ |
| 51 | Kh2 | $\operatorname{Re} 1!$ |

White had nothing to fear from 53...Nd2 52 kc 1.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
52 & \text { Re2! } & \mathrm{Nbd}_{4} \\
53 & \text { Rbe } & \mathrm{Nh} 4
\end{array}
$$

Threatening 54...Nif3t.

$$
54 \quad \operatorname{Re} 3 \quad \text { Nhf5? }
$$

Rosenlund was again down to his last seconds, which explains this further error. Those two knights left the board so quicikly ...

| 55 | NXa4 | Nxe3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 56 | Pxe3 | Ra1 |
| 57 | Rb4 | h5 |
| 58 | Nb3 | Ra2 |
| 59 | Nc5 |  |

Of course, once the b-pawri goes, White's a-pawn is unstoppable. 1 : 0

The following was one of the best and also most critical games from the 1976/7? Premier Reserve. Notes are by Peter Stuart.
P.L.Cordue P.B.Goffin

## Pirc Defence

| 1 | e 4 | d 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | d 4 | Nf 6 |
| 3 | Ne 3 | g 6 |
| 4 | f 3 | c |
| 5 | Be 3 | Bg 7 |
| 6 | Qd 2 | h 6 |

Botterill and Keene consider both $6 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ and 6...Qas but not the text, which prevents White's thematic Bh6. Of course Black will not be able to castle for some time, but this is okay insofar as Black
normally refrains from early castling in this line because of White's automatic kingside attack.

$$
7 \quad 84
$$

Aiming for the new target on h6.

$$
7
$$

Qa5

Botterill and Keene also point out that this move, although often played in these positions, is incorrect since it is mot clear yet where the queen will be best posted.

| 8 | Nh3 | Nbd? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | E5 | hxg |
| 10 | Nxg5 | Nh7 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{f} 4 ?$ |  |

Better seems 11 Be 2 , or even 11 O-O-O, aiming to complete development and connect rooks.
Ndif
$12 \quad \ddot{h_{3}}$
As this fails to achieve what was intended, i.e. denying a black piece access to 94 , White should have played 12 0-0-0

| 12 | $\ldots$ | Nxg 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | fxg 5 | Ng4! |
| 14 | Bg 1 | c5 |

Having paciilied the kingside, Black now seeks the initiative in the centre.

$$
15 \quad 0-0-0
$$

If 15 Bg2 (to threaten hxg4). Black continues 15...cxd4 16 Bxd 4 (16 hxg4 Rxh1 Leaves White the exchange down since 17 Bxh1 dxc3 18 Qd1 cxbe+ is even worse) 16...Bxd4 17 Qxd4 Ne5 with slight advantage for Black.

| 15 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Cxd}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | Bxd 4 | Bxd 4 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Bb} 5+$ |  |

Naturally, not 17 Qxd4 Qxg5+ 18 Kb1 qe5 followed by Nf6 with mulch the better of it.

| 17 | $\ldots$ | Bd7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Qxd4 | Ne5 |
| 19 | Bxd7 ${ }^{+}$ | Kxd7 |

Black holds most of the trumps: central pawn majority, well placed knight, and two semi-open files.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
20 & \text { Qf2 } & \text { Rac8 }
\end{array}
$$



21 $\qquad$ Rxc3!?
The first point being that 22 Rxa5 $\mathrm{Nd} 3+23 \mathrm{Kd2} \mathrm{Nxf2} 24 \mathrm{Rf} 1$ Nxe4+ simply leaves White a piece in arrears.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
22 & b x c 3 \\
23 & \text { Qd } 4 ?
\end{array}
$$

Qxc3
Overlooking the second point to the combination. Black's threat of Qa1+ winning the rook should have been parried by a rook move (not 23 Qg 2 ? Nc4! $24 \mathrm{Kd} 1 \mathrm{Ne} 3+$ ). Useless, however, would be $23 \mathrm{Rh} 2,23 \mathrm{Rg} 1$ or 33 Rei because of 23...Nf3! thr

Best was 23 Rhdl when 23....b6 4 Ne4 25 Rb 5 Qe3 + 26 Qxe 3 Nxe3 27 Rh1 Rc8 leaves Black with one pawn for the exchange and good prospects of more, or in this 25.. Qa1+ 26 Rb1 Qxa2 27 Rd3 Ne5 with two pawns for the exchange but better possibilities of counterplay for White.

$$
23
$$

Nd3+!
So Black regains his exchange.

| 24 | Qxd3 | Qa1+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | Kd 2 | Qxh1 |
| 26 | Qb5+ | Kc7 |
| 27 | Rc5+!? |  |

White was pinning his faith on this sacrifice. Indeed, if Black accepts then it is a draw: $27 \ldots$ dxo5 28 Qxc5+Kd8 (28...Kb8 29 Qe5 + and 30 Qxh8+, or $28 \ldots \mathrm{Kd7} 29$ Qd4 +Kc 630 Qc4+ etc) 29 Qd4 +Kc 8 (or $29 \ldots \mathrm{Kc}$ ' 30 Qc5+ repeating) 30 Qxh8 $+\mathrm{Kd7} 31$ Qd4+ etc.

But this puts an end to the nonsense!
$\begin{array}{ll}28 & \mathrm{Rc} 4 \\ 29 & \mathrm{Kc} 1 \\ 30 & \mathrm{Ra.4}\end{array}$
Qxh3

30 Qb parries the immediate threat but then $30 \ldots$ ie $3+31 \mathrm{~Kb}$ Qxg5 makes further resistance pointless.

30
Qc 3

## $\stackrel{+8}{\stackrel{8}{4}}$

The remaining games are from the North Shore Congress.
O.Sarapu P.W.Power

Queen's Gambit
du
I have been long enough a 1 et mart. The last three World champions Spassky, Fischer and Karpov have preferred 1 eq, but Euwe, Alekhine, Botvinnik and Petrosian played more games with du than et. Both that chess will fer wite and 1 hope hat that it never advance so far that it will be clear which move

| 1 | $\cdots$ | Nf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $N f 3$ | $d 5$ |
| 3 | $c 4$ | $e 6$ |
| 4 | $N c 3$ | $c 6$ |
| 5 | Eg |  |

By transposition of moves, it is now a Slav Defence. Botvinnik used to play here with Black dxc4 when White plays a gambit: 6 e 4 bs 7 es hb 8 Bht etc.

| 5 | $\ldots$ | Nbd7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Qc | Be 7 |
| 7 | en | $0-0$ |
| 8 | Rd 1 |  |

How openings are related to each other! It is now a Queen's Gambit, Orthodox Defence. In 1974 I had the same position against Dr Fairhurst in the NZ Championship at Christchurch; then I had the black pieces and had great difficulty in developing my QB. Dr Fairhurst has analysed this position many years ago and recommended it to Alekhine,

Capablanca in 1927.

## 8



Not a good move; better was h 6 as I played. Ne has no future and is just a waste of time.
$9 \quad \mathrm{Bf} 4$
Black now has a stonewall defersite formation with knight on es instead of on f 6 . If Black later plays Ne8-f6 then he will have lost two moves in comparison with the normal Stonewall defence.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
10 & \operatorname{cxd} 5 & \operatorname{exd5} \\
11 & \operatorname{Bd3} 3 & \text { Ndf6? }
\end{array}
$$

I expected 11...g6; the text loses a pawn without compensation.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
12 & B x f 5 & N d 6 \\
13 & B d 3 &
\end{array}
$$

The simple 13 Bxc8 is better Now Black cam make some play on the kingside.

| 13 | M | Bey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $0-0$ | Qed |
| 15 | Ne | Bxf3 |
| 16 | gxf3 | Qh5 |
| 17 | Hg | Rh |

Avoiding 17...Qxf3? 18 Be winming the queen.

| 18 | Kg2 | gs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Bes | gi |
| 20 | fxg4 | Nxg4 |
| 21 | h3?! |  |

A risky move; safer was 21 Bf Qxh2+ $22 \mathrm{Kf3}$ with the threat of Rh and White has the attack.


21 ...
Ref+
Black will win White's queen for
rook and knight but his own king is so exposed to white rooks and bishops that he must lose more even analyse or calculate what can even analyse or calculate what for White in some continuations

| 22 | Ref | Ne+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | Khz! | Nxc2 |
| 24 | RE $!$ | Sg |

Black must stop $\mathrm{Nf} \mathrm{f}^{+}$when Black would also lose his queen.

$$
25 \quad \operatorname{Bxc} 2
$$

Perhaps 25 Race is stronger, to play on 25...Nf7, 26 Nf Qi 27 Reg with many threats, e. $27 .$. hb 28 Be 2 ag 29 Ne+ etc.
Better than 25 ...Nf?
Nc!
26 Bff etc.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
26 & \mathrm{Bi} 6 \\
27 & \operatorname{Rf} 3
\end{array}
$$

It jus clear now that 25 Rec was better; White has to lose a move in order shift his NE. On Nh 1 follows 27...Rf8 28 Rg4 Qxg4! giving Black an endgame with an extra pawn.

## 27

Re 8
On 27...Rfs follows either 28 Bag hae $29 \mathrm{Nf5}$ thy 30 Reg continuing the attack or the black king, or 28 Nf 5 Qh5 $29 \mathrm{Nxhb}^{+}$Quh6 30 Bags Qd6+ 31 Eft and wins.

$$
28 \text { Nf }
$$

QL
Ô 28...Re2+ $29 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Rxg} 2+30$ Kxg2 gel $31 \mathrm{Ne} 7^{+}$also wins as $31 \ldots$ Ki is answered by Bxg5+.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
29 & \text { Nxh6+! } & \text { Kf88 } \\
30 & \text { Reg } & \\
31 & \text { Kg } 2^{+} & \\
31: &
\end{array}
$$

Notes by Ortvin Sarapu

.Sarapu L.H.Cornford Dutch Defence

| 1 | $d 4$ | ff |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | g 3 | Nf 6 |
| 3 | Bg 2 | eG |

Black is first to show his choice of defence; he could also play $g 6$ here.
$\begin{array}{ll}4 & \mathrm{~N}+3 \\ 5 & 0-0\end{array}$
6 cf
Instead of c 4 , this system of play against the Dutch was shown to me way back in 1967 by GM Averbakh when he visited New Zealand. White defends his d-pawn and prepares to attack Black's centre with 13 \& e 4 . It does not matter whether Black plays the 16 or dy formation.

$$
6 \quad \cdots
$$

$$
\mathrm{c} 6
$$

After long hesitation, Black decided against 6...d5 here as then White has at his disposal 7 bs and 8 Ba exchanging Black's good
bishop and making the dark squares weak for Black.

$$
7 \quad Q \subset 2
$$

Waiting for 45 ; if now 7 bu, Black plays simply 7...d6.

$$
7 \quad \ldots
$$

Na 6?!
Black is not going to allow his KB to be exchanged, but now his Nab has no future

$$
8 \quad \text { Nba }
$$

Now white plays for elf with or without fy.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 & \ldots \\
9 & \mathrm{Ne} 5
\end{array}
$$

d5
With the idea 10 f 3 and 11 ed. Black's reaction is understandable, as normal play is futile.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \text { Nad } 3! \\
& 10
\end{aligned}
$$

55?!
Better than 10 fl gi and White cannot play eq.
$10 \quad \cdots$
$\stackrel{g 4}{\mathrm{Kg}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}$
Preventing Eh and preparing for kingside attack.

12 BE
White is again interested in exchanging Black's KB or even his bishop for the Nf.

12
Qu
Black avoids $12 .$. Rg8?? as then 3 Bn $6+$ ! wins the queen or mates.

$$
13 \quad N 1 d 3
$$

There is a nice square f 4 for
this knight.
13 $\qquad$
On 13...Qh5 follows 14 Qde with the chreat of Nf4.

| 14 | Qd2 | Kh8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | f 3 | c |
| 16 | Nf4 | Nb8 |

Black is
black is positionally lost already; the lnight is better placed on b8 than on a6-he can perhaps exchange it for the Ne5 via c6.

17 fxg4:
Mreatening to win a pawn if $17 .$. fxgt by 18 Bxfbt and is Nxgt if there are no better lines for White.

| 17 | -. | Ne4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Bxe4 | Bxg5 |
| 19 | Neg6+! |  |

With this sacrifice White plays for mate. After all, a look at Black's queenside pieces should tell that Black is actually three pieces down.
19
20
Qxe5
hxg6

After long consideration, as after O...det follows either 21 as $6+\mathrm{Ke}$ 22.95 ! with threat of Nxg6 followed c2. g5! with threat of Nxg6 followe
by Qh8t and Qh7 mate, or 21 Nxg6+ by Gh8t and Qh7 mate, or 21 Nxg6
Kg 7 N
$22 \mathrm{Ne} 5+\mathrm{Kh} 723$ Rf4! with the $\mathrm{Kg}^{\prime} 22 \mathrm{Ne} 5+\mathrm{Kh} 723$ Rfit! with the
threat of gxf5 and Rh4 mate. I intended to play the second line but tended to play the second line but first line.

$$
21 \text { gxf5! }
$$

## dxe4

Hopeless js 21...exf5 as 22 Bxd5 also wins the c-pawn when Black is three pawns down with a bad position as well.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
22 & \mathrm{f} 6+ & & \mathrm{Kh} 7 \\
23 & \mathrm{Qh} 4^{+} & & \mathrm{Kg} 8 \\
24 & \mathbf{f 7}^{+} & & \\
& & 1: 0 &
\end{array}
$$

After $24 . .$. Rxf7 25 Nxf7 Qxf7 26 Rxf7 Kxf'7 27 Rf1 1 Ke8 28 2ुh $8+\mathrm{Kd7}$ $29 \mathrm{Rf7}+\mathrm{Kd6} 30$ Qe5+Kc6 31 Qc5 mate was prepared. Notes by Ortvin Sarapu.

| 1 | e4 | c5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Nf3 | d6 |
| 3 | d4 | cxd4 |
| 4 | Nxd4 | Nさ6 |
| 5 | Nc3 | 26 |
| 6 | Be5 | e6 |
| 7 | Qd2 |  |

Unusual; White is going to adopt a Rauzer type setup but, as Black has not committed his knight to c6 and already has control of b5, White's usual play against Black's d-pawn is not possible. The system
holds few dangers for Black.

| 7 | O- | Be 7 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | $0-0-0$ | $0-0$ |
| 9 | f 4 | $\mathrm{Qc7}$ |
| 10 | Nf 3 | Nbd 7 |

Logical; Black plays to keep the white centre pawns restrained while completing his development. Naturqueenside sid play will be on the tre. For his part, White appears to be concentrating only on achieving a sound development.

$$
11 \mathrm{Kbl}
$$

Understandably white wishes to remove his king from the half open c-file, but Black now rapidly gains a strong initiative.
11
12
Bd ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{\mathrm{b} 5}$
13...b4 is threatened.

## 13 Rhe

White has completed his development but by means of a pawn sacrifice Black opens the b-file and causes White problems in defending his misplaced knight.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
13 & \ldots \\
14
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{Na} 4
$$

b4
If 14 Ne2, Bxe4!

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
14 & \ldots & \text { Bc6! } \\
15 & \text { Qxb4 } & \text { Rfb8 } \\
16 & \text { Qd4 } &
\end{array}
$$

White is in difficulties; if 16 qc3 then $16 \ldots$ Qb7 wins a piece arid if 16 qa3 then 16...Kf8! and the threat of d5 cannot be satisfactorily be met.

White seeks salvation in complications, but 亡hese are in Black's favour. If 17 No3 then $17 \ldots e 518$ Qc4 Bb5: 19 Nxb5 axb5 20 Qb3 No5 etc.

| 17 | $\ldots$ | dxe5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | fxe5 | $R b 4!$ |
| 19 | c4 |  |

Not 19 exf6 Rxd4 20 Nxd 4 Bxal 21 fxe? Qxge, winning easily for Black.


White cannot take the offered piece, e.g. 21 fxe7 Ralt 22 Kc 2 Ba4+ $23 \mathrm{b3} \mathrm{Bxb} 3+24 \mathrm{Kxb} 3 \mathrm{Rb} 8+25$ Kc2 Qa2+ and mates next move.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
21 & \ddot{O} & \operatorname{Rb} 8 \\
22 & \mathrm{Bc} 2 & \operatorname{Bc} 5
\end{array}
$$

White's position is hopeless, e.g.
33 Bd2 Bxd4 24 Bxa5 Ral mate, or 23 Qd2 RaIt $24 \mathrm{Kb2Qa3}+25 \mathrm{Kc} 3$ Bb4+. Notes by Bruce Andersom.
B. R.Anderson P.A.Garbet Sicilian Defence

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1 & e 4 & c 5 \\
2 & \text { Nf } f 3 & \text { Qc? } \\
3 & \mathrm{Nc} z & \mathrm{ab}
\end{array}
$$

Black has adopted an unusuai sequence of moves which I expected
to transoose into the Paulsen variation.

| 4 | 24 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Nxd 4 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~g}^{3}$ |

A sound syster of developmert; the bishog on g2 will be well placed to restrain any ceritral pawn advance by Black and in some variations can be moved to h3 to increase pressure on e6 after White's thematic f4-f5.

| 6 | $\ldots$ | d6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | Bg 2 | e6 |
| 8 | $0-0$ | Be7 |

The opening has transposed into the Scheveningen variation.

| 9 | Be3 | 0-0 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | Qe2 | Nc6 |
| 11 | Rad1 |  |

White has completed his develop ment and will now advance his pawn to fy gaining space as a prelude to a kingside attack. White also hopes that the pressure on e6 will force Black to relinquish control of $d 5$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
11 & \ldots \\
12 & f 4
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Bd? } \\
\text { Rac8 }
\end{array}
$$

Black is now ready to begin his counterplay on the queenside.

$$
13 \quad 55
$$

b5

Unfortunately for Black, 13...Ne5 is not playable because, after 1 fxe6 fxe6 $15 \mathrm{Bh} 3!$, Black cannot defend his e-pawn

$$
14 \quad \text { a3 } \quad \text { Nxd4 }
$$

Since White was threatening a general kingside pawn advance, Black simplifies in the hope that his queenside play will compensate for the backward d-pawn

| 15 | Bxd4 | e5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | Be3 | Q4 4 |
| 17 | Ra3! |  |

Naturally White avoids the queen exchange and has a lone range $p l a n$ of bringing this rook into play against the black king.

$$
17 \quad \ldots
$$

25
Not 17...Bc6?? 18 b3 and the queen is lost.

White intends meeting 18... 64 with 19 axb4 axb4 20 Exf6 Bxf6 21 Nd5. Qxca 22 Rd2 Qc5+ 23 Kh 1 and the strong knight and positional advantage more than compensate for the pawn. Black avoids this but instead allows White to sacrifice a pawn and thus put Black's queen out of play for the rest of the game.

| 18 | $\ldots$ | Bc6? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | b3 | Q05+ |
| 20 | Be 3 | Qxa3 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{~g} 4!$ | b4 |

Black is hard pressed to meet the threat of g 5 followed by f 6 . The move played allows White to sacrifice a second pawn to open lines to the black king.

| 22 | Bc1 | Qa1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | g5! | bxc3 |
| 24 | gxf6 | Bxf6 |
| 25 | Qg4 | Qa2 |

Black had to meet the threat of 26 Bh6 Q moves 27 Bxg7 Bxg? 28 f6 26 Rxa6

Kh8


Better was 27...Qxc2. After 27.. gxf6 28 Qh4 Rg8 29 Qxf6+ Rg7 30 Bh6 Rg8 White has a winning bind.

$$
28 \quad \text { Qh4! } \quad \mathrm{Rg} 8 ?
$$

Leads to a quick end. The best chance was $28 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 8$ but after 29 Qg 3 Bxfl 30 Bh 6 White has a winning attack. If 28...gxf6, 29 Qxf6+ Kg8 30 Bh6 with mate to follow, or if 28...Bxf1 then $29 \mathrm{Rh} 6!\mathrm{Kg} 8$ (29... gxh6 30 Qf6+ etc) 30 Rxh7 f6 31 Qh5 and wates.

Rf3
Threatening 30 Qxh7+ Kxh7 31 Rh3 mate, to which there is no defence.


Mate follows by $31 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 732 \mathrm{Bh} 6+$ Kh? 33 Bf8. Notes by B.Anderson.

This game, although drawn, is interesting throughout its length. Notes by Paul Garbett
L. H.Cornford P.A.Garbett Sicilian Defence

| 1 | $e 4$ | $c 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nf 3 | Qc |
| 3 | d 4 | cxd |
| 4 | Nxd 4 | $\mathrm{Nff6}$ |
| 5 | Nc 3 | Nf |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Be5}$ |  |

This leads the game down uncharted paths. Other moves mostly transpose to the Najdorf or Paulsen.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
6 & \ldots & \text { e6 } \\
7 & \text { Bd3 } & \text { b5 }
\end{array}
$$

After 7... Bb 4 White could try sacrificing a pawn with 3 0-0 gaining attacking chances. But 7...Nc6 is a sound alternative.

$$
8 \text { Qi3 }
$$

8 f 4 may be best. But how does one evaluate 8 f4 h6 9 Bxf6 Qxf4!? $10 \mathrm{Rf1}$. If then $10 \ldots \mathrm{Qxh} 211 \mathrm{Qf} 3$ $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{gxf} 6 & 12 & 53! \\ \mathrm{Bd} 6 & 13 \mathrm{NJe2} \mathrm{Rg} 8 \\ 14\end{array}$ Rh1 Rxg3 15 Rxh2 Rxf3 16 Nxf3 Bxh2 17 Nxh2 should win for White. However, 10...Qe3+ seems playable.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 & \because \\
9 & \text { Bxf6 }
\end{array}
$$

Qe5:
If 9 Be 3 Bb 7 and White's e-pawn is in trouble.

$$
9
$$

## gxf6

I didn't like the look of $9 .$. Qxf6 10 e5!?

10 0-0-0!?
Neither player was quite sure whether the knight sacrifice was sound. A typical possibility would
be $10 . . .2 x d 411$ e 5 Nc6 12 Rhe Bh $6+13 \mathrm{~Kb} 1$ Qf4 14 Qh3 f5 15 Bxf5! Qxf5 16 Qxh6 and White threatens havoc by way of $\mathrm{Ne}_{4}$ since threatens havoc by way of $\mathrm{Ne}_{4}$ Since
Black cannot play $16 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 517$ Nxd5 exd5 18 Qxc6+. From a practical viewpoint, however, 10 o-0-0 achieves little as White must mov his kmight anyway after Black's next.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
10 & \cdots & \mathrm{Bb} 7 \\
11 & \mathrm{Nb} 3 & \mathrm{Ba} 3
\end{array}
$$

Also hard to evaluate. After 1 ...Bb4 12 Ne2 Nc6 13 Qe3 Black seems vulnerable on the g1-a7 diagonal and castling on either side has drawbacks.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
12 & \text { Q83! } & \text { Qxe } \\
13 & \text { bxa3 } & \text { Nc6 } \\
14 & \text { Qc? } &
\end{array}
$$ fine game.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
14 \\
15
\end{array} \quad \text { Rhel! }
$$

Bc8

Better than 15 f 4 when Black can play $0-0$ and then proceed to free his queenside.

| 15 | .. | Qe5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | Qxe5 | fxe5 |
| 17 | Bf1 | Ra7! |

Elack's bishop is going to be confined to c8 to defend the d-pawn but it is important to get the rook to the c-file where it hinders White from opening up the queen side.
$18 \operatorname{Rd} 6$
$18 \operatorname{Rd} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 819 \mathrm{Rh} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 720 \mathrm{Re} 3$ is probably better, tying Black down to the defence of his kingside.

| 18 | $\ldots$ | Rc7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Red1 | Ke7 |
| 20 | Kb2 | Rg8 |
| 21 | R1d2 | Rg6 |
| 22 | R6d3 | Rh6 |

Black's idea is to force h3 and then play Rg6 deterring Rg3 which would provoke Rxg3 weakening White's kingside pawns.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
23 & \text { h3 } \\
24 & \operatorname{Rc} 3
\end{array}
$$

Rg6
Preparing Ne 7 and d 5 .

| 25 | Rf3 | f6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $c 3$ | Ke8 |
| 27 | h4 | Kf7 |
| 28 | h5 | Rg 4 |
| 29 | Re3 | Ne7 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{f3}$ | Rg3? |

At this point Black had been ulled into a false sense of security and was under the impression he could keep things under control on the queenside and gradually bring his king over to grab the hite h-pawn. Here $30 \ldots$...Rh4 31 54 d5 with a very strong initiativ

## 31 Rd6

Preventing d5.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
31 & \cdots  \tag{f5}\\
32 & \operatorname{Re} 2!
\end{array}
$$

With the idea $\operatorname{Rc} 2$ and then $c 4$.

| 32 | —. | f4? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | Re2 | Bb? |
| 34 | Red2 | Ke8 |
| 35 | Na5 | Nc8? |

A bad oversight losing a pawn
35...Nc6 was playable

## 36 Nxb7 Rxb?

Naturally not $36 \ldots \mathrm{Nxd6} 37 \mathrm{Nxd6}+$.

| 37 | Rxa6 | Nb6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | Kc2 | Nc4 |
| 39 | Rxc4 | bxc4 |
| 40 | Ra8+ | Kf7 |
| 41 | Rh8 | KE7 |
| 42 | Rd8 | Kh6 |


$43 \quad 34$
ff 43 R8xd7 Rxd7 44 Rxd7 Rxget 45 Rd 2 Rg 7 and it is hard to see
now White can make any progress (if 46 a. 4 then $46 \ldots$ Ra' $)$. After the text RSxd7 is a threat as after the exchanges White can play Kb2-a3-b4

$$
43
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{6} 7
$$

Here the game was adjourned, with White having the upper hand

| 44 | Kc1 | Kxh5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | Rc8 | Kh4 |
| 46 | Rxc4 | Rg8 |

Black grabs his first(!) opportu nity to connect his rooks

| 47 | Rb 4 | Rc 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48 | $\mathrm{Kb2}$ | Rgc 8 |
| 49 | $\mathrm{a5}$ | $\mathrm{Kg3}$ |
| 50 | ab | $\mathrm{Ra7}$ |
| 51 | Rb 7 | Rc 7 |
| 52 | Rb 8 |  |

If 52 Fxd7, not Raxb7+ 53 axb? Rxa7 54 b8Q, but simply 52... Rxd7. After 52 Rb8 White has the nasty threat of $53 \mathrm{Rg} 8+\mathrm{Kh} 2$ ( $53 \ldots \mathrm{Kh} 4$ ? ? 54 Rd 1 and mates) $54 \mathrm{~g} 3+$ and Elack is in danger.

| 52 | $\cdots$ | d5! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53 | exd5 | exd5 |
| 54 | Rd8 | Rxa6 |
| 55 | R8xd5 | Rb7+ |
| 56 | Kc1 | Rab6 |
| 57 | c4! |  |

Not 57 Rxe5? Rb1+ $58 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{~b} 2+$ $59 \mathrm{Kd}_{3} \mathrm{Rxd} 2+60 \mathrm{Kxd} 2 \mathrm{Kxg} 2$ and it is White who is in danger of losing as his f-pawn must fall. Now White's king has an escape square on c3.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
57 & \ldots & \mathrm{Rb4} \\
58 & \mathrm{c} 5 & \mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{~b} 5
\end{array}
$$

Here the game was again adjourned, but now it seems drawn.

59 Kd1 Rc4
(Diagram next column)
60 Rd7
In home analysis I found a nasty ine if White tries 60 Rxes, e.g. 60...Rbxc5 61 Rxc5 Rxc5 62 a4 Ra5 63 Ra h 564 Kel h 4.65 Kfl Rc 5 66 Ral Re2! 67 a5 Rxg2 68 a 6 h3 69 a7 h2 70 a8Q h1Q mate.

| 60 | $\cdots$ | h 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | $\mathrm{Rg} 7^{+}$ | Kh 4 |
| 62 | Re2 | Rbxc5 |
| 63 | Pxc | Rxc4 |



After 59...Rc4


## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

(From p.14)
No. 1. Bellon - Forintos, Caorle 1972: 1...Fxaz!! 2 Kxaz Ra8+ 3 Kb2 Ba3+ $4 \mathrm{Kb1} \mathrm{Bxb} 3$ $5 \mathrm{Nxd}_{4}$ exd4 6 Rf5 Qb4 Kxaz Bxct mate).

No.2. Spielmann - Gebhardt Munich 1926
1 Nxd6: Qxd6 2 Ba3! Qc6 3 Bd5 Qxc2 4 Pxf6! gxf6 $5 \mathrm{Qg} 3+\mathrm{Kh} 86 \mathrm{Be} 7$ !,

No.3. Hartston - Whiteley England 1974: 1 Qg8+ Rf8 2 Qg6+ Qxg6 3 Rexe7+ Kd8 4 Rbd7 mate.

No. 4. Klovan - Dementiev, USSR Ch'p semi-final 1972:
1 Bd6!! Qxgy 2 d8Q Bxd8 3 Rf8 mate.
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