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## EDITORIAL....

In retrospect 1977 has been an important year for "New Zealand Chess" .... If not for New Zealand chess! At the beginning of the-year we were six month behind our publication dates and the reader could not be blamed for wondering whether the venture would end up on the scrap heap of previous New Zealand chess publications. Well, we caught up and the scrap heap has grown no bigger.
It still beckons, however, as the Association is still subsidising the agazine to a considerable extent. No doubt the Annual General Meeting in January will discuss the magazine's future.

Needless to say, we plan to continue at this stage .... which brings us to the painful subject of subscriptions During 1977 there were several increases in production costs due, in great part, to rises in the cost of paper. Thus, we have been forced, albeit reluctantly, to increase the subscription rates for 1977 - these will be found on the inside front cover.
As regards this issue, it is a little late, but there was a good reason (we think) for this, namely the 2nd Philips Asian Chess To, not finish until 27 November. First, my nvolvement in the organisation of this vent caused a delay in producing this issue and, secondly, we thought it desiissue and, secondy, we thought it desitournament. In mitigation of this circumstance, we have gone beyond the normal 24 pages!
Finally, we wish our readers every good wish for the festive season and a boomer year in 1978!

The 5th MARLBORO CHESS CLASSIC in the Thilippines was won by GM Eugenio Torre with $10 / 13$. Second was GM James Tarjan (USA) on 9 $\frac{1}{2}$. Murray Chandler, playing in his most important event to date, scored $5 \frac{1}{2}$ points to share eighth place with Ardiansyah.

The recent FIDE Central Committee Meeting in Caracas awarded/confirmed many new titles:

GM's (14): L.Alburt (USSR), J.Bolbochan (Arg). E.Canal (Peru), L.Christiansen (USA), R.Dzhindzhikhashvili (ISr), E.Ermenkov (Bul), T.Georgadze (USSR), A.Kochiev (USSR), B.Milic (Yugo), D.Rajkovic (Yugo), A.Rodriguez (Cuba), M.Steap Eng), E.Sveshnikov (USSR) \& C.Torre (Mex).
IM's (44!): I.Dorfman, V.Kupreichik, A.Mikhalchishin, S.Palatnik, A.Panchenko, M.S.Tseitlin, Y.Vatnikov, A.Yusupov A.Zakharov (USSR), M.Diesen, E.Formanek A.Zakharov (USSR), M.Diesen, E.Formanek M.Rohde (USA), U.Bonsch (DDR), V.Bukal, Ier (NZ), R.Debarnot (Arg), J.Esion, K. ler (NZ), R.Debarnot (Arg), J.Eslon, Kaiszauri (Swed), J.Fraguela (Spain), (Col), A.Haik, M.Todorcevic (Fr), L.Hazai, G.Rajna (Hung), V.Inkiov (Bul), G. Lebredo, J.Nogueiras, J.L.Vilela (Cuba) H.Ligterink (Neth), A.J.Mestel, S.Webb (Eng), M.Pavlov, V.Stoica (Rum), Y.Ran(Eng), M.Pavlov, V.Stoica (Rum), Y.RanS.Witkowski (POI), T.Wibe (Nor), H.Wirthensohn (Swit) \& A.ZIchichi (Italy).

USSR FIRST LEAGUE CHAMPIONSHIP: Kuzmin won with $11 \frac{1}{2} / 17$, followed by Tuknakov min won with second, Gulko \& Grigorian equal third.

*     *         * 

In the FINAL CANDIDATES MATCH Korchnoy was leading $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ : $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ after 5 games!

# PHILIPS ASIAN TEAMS 

AUCKLAND - 18/27 November

Unlike many such events held in overseas countries where a local club or regional association undertakes the organisation on behalf of the national body (e.g. the First Asian Teams held in Penang), this tournament was organised by the New Zealand Cheas Association itself. New Zealand's hosting of this important event can be attributed to two factors: first, the desirability of all countries in Zone 10 contributing to the chess "1ife" of the region. This is the second FIDE tournament to be held in New Zealand, the first being the Zonal of 1966 - over ten years ago.
The second factor was the interest shown by Philips Electrical Industries of N.Z. in sponsoring a large chess event as part of their Golden Jubilee ith the Agtan Team Champtonship finail th the Astan being decided upon after several meet lngs with Philips

The original plans made provision for an eight-round event. With 19 countries eligible to compete a Swiss system event seemed most likely although it was realised that not all countries would wish to come so far. When the closing date for entries passed we had received ten entries but subsequently late entries were received from India and Iran and these were accepted. Thus we had 12 teams - not a very satisfactory number for an eight round Swiss. The alternative, however, of an elevenround all-play-all meant extending the cournament for another three days and the budget would not permit this. A last minute appeal from Dr Lim Kok Ann, the Zone 10 President, following submissions from Australia and the Philippines, to have an eleven rounder without any rest days would have meant only a one day extension but, as arrangements were irtually completed, this was not feasible. At about the same time, i.e. a week before the tournament, Japan and Iran withdrew, leaving only ten teams. Naturally the schedule was changed to aine rounds at the expense of one of he two rest days - and everyone was appy.

## THE TEAMS

The pre-tournament favourite was the Philippines, winner of the firgt Apian Team Championship two years ago. The late withdrawal from their team of GM Rosendo Balinas, following a disastrous performance in the recent Manila international tournament, left a small question mark hanging over this favouritism.
Australia, runner-up in Penang, came with a team quite inexperienced internationally except, of course, for their number one, IM Robert Jamieson a winner ver Torre at Haifa. Likewise Indonesi was expected to do well, its lack of balanced by its known strength in depth.
Our own team was perhaps the strongest we have ever fielded in an international event. New Zealand was the only team with two titled players and the only one in which all players had FIDE ratings.
The dark horse of the tournament seemed likely to be the People's RepubIic of China, playing in its first event outside China. Thus none of the Chinese players were rated but they had lost a series of matches against a visiting Philippino team in 1975 25:35 so quite clearly they would not be outclassed in Auckland.

India also was somewhat of an unknown quantity while Singapore lacked one or two of its regulars. Of the Papua-New Guinea team only three members turned up - nobody knows what happened to the other two:

## THE DRAW

Excepting the People's Republic of China, the strongest teams were all drawn in the top half with Philippines 1, New Zealand 2, Australia 3 and Indonesia 4. Unfortunately perhapa, this meant that these four would complete meant. that these four would complete first six rounds possibly relieving the tournament of any tension in the latter rounds. China, however, would meet the other four in the last four rounds,

ROUND BY ROUND
Round 1 did little to confirm or deny any pre-tournament prophecies aince the five most highly favoured teams each met weaker opposition. The Philippines, New Zealand, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China each won $4: 0$ against India, Papua-New Guinea, Thailand and Malaysia respectively while Australia, a little surprisingly, demolished Singapore $3 \frac{15}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$. The Papua-New Guinea top board had not arrived at the start of play and Sarapu, sans opponent, played play and Sarapu, sans opponent, played Ardiansyah's bright finish involving a queen sacrifice.
Round 2 saw the Philippines playing the home team. GM Torre saddled Sarapu with isolated pawns galore and eventually broke through, winning in 64 moves Newest IM Murray Chandler won a pawn from Mascarinas but could not conver it to a win in a protracted rook and played a cime he will to peedil forget, allowing Bordonada an easy win while Garbett after losing three pans versus Maninang, found a beautiful versus Maninang, found a beautinu Indi 3:1 That1 and Malayata $2 \cdot 2$ Indonesia beat Singapore $2^{1 / 2}: l_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}$ and Australia scored 4:0 against Papua- New Australia scored 4:0 against $7 \frac{1}{2} / 8$. The Guinea to take the 1 Ghosh from the China $v$ Indis match produced a tragi comic finish after a number of segsions when Ghosh, with $R+B$ versus Hsu's $R$, refused a draw offer ... and then LOST ON TIME one move before the fyfth time control!
Round 3 was a good one for New Zealand winning $3^{\frac{1}{2}}: \frac{1}{2}$ over India. Our top two boards were rested so Vernon Small was on board one - he won in 26 moves when his opponent overlooked a mate-in-one Garbett and Anderson also won. The Philippines put paid to Australia by winning 312 2 : $1 / 2$ with Mascarinas, who need one more norm to gain his IM title, particularly impressive. On top board Torre reversed their Halfa rebult by beating Jamleson after the lacter gave away pawns as tho their challenge by accounting for That1and 312:3/2 while Singapore beat neigh-
bour Malaysia 3:1 and Indonesia whitewashed hapless Papua-New Guinea. Bill uru essayed 1 a3 against Sampouw but did not meet with Sarapu's success.

Round 4: The Philippines continued mpressively by bowling co-leader Indoaesia 3:1. Torre took command of the white squares in an English, winning without any fuss in 47 moves. Ardiansyah gained Indonesia's point with a nice win on board 2. On the home front things did not go so well; on firet oard Jamieson recovered from his loss to Torre to beat sarapu in 40 moves. I the other three games New Zealand had the advantage at some stage but only handler could take the full point mall lost and Anderson drew. In other natches India drew 2:2 with Thailand, Malaysia won $3{ }_{3}$ : $\mathbf{k}_{2}$ versus Papua-New ulnea and Peple

Scores: Philippines 1312, China 13, Indonesia 11㢁, Australla 1012, New Zealand 10.
Round 5 saw the two leaders score 4:0 ins, the Philippines against Malaysia and China at the expense of Papua-New uinea. These two had now opened up a gap ahead of the rest of the field but ematning four rounds. The next three eams matntained thetr positions with ndonesia and New Zeal and halving thei hatch while Australia scored a narrow $2 \frac{1}{2}: 1 \frac{1}{2}$ victory over Indis. In the other match Singapore beat Thailand $2^{\frac{1}{2}}: 1^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Scores: Philippines 171 $\frac{1}{2}$, China 17, Indonesia 131 $\frac{1}{2}$, Australifa 13, New Zealand 2, Singapore 9, Malaysia $6 \frac{1}{2}$, Thailand 6, India 5, Papua-New Guinea ${ }^{1 / 3}$.
Round 6: The two leaders met with the hilippines taking the match $2{ }^{\frac{1}{2} \text { : }} 1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ thus virtually ensuring their ultimate victory. On top board Chi's combination lost two pieces for a rook but his active rooks were a match for Torre's $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{N}$ and a draw resulted. Another good day for New Zealand - a 4:0 win over Malaysia. Of mixed blessings was the Indonesian $3 \frac{1}{2}$ : $1 / 2$ victory over Australia; it meant that Indonesia stayed a point ahead of New Zealand, although Australla had now dropped $2 \frac{1}{2}$ points betind. India adjourned leading 2:0 but Singapore won the two adjourned games to tie the match while Thailand won 4:0 in the other match.

Scores: Philippines 20, China 18 $\frac{1}{2}$, Indonesia 17, New Zealand 16, Australia 131/2, Singapore 11.
Kound 7: The Philippines beat Thailand 4:0 and were obviously not going to be headed so the interest switched to the fight for the minor placings. China won 3:1 against New Zealand - an unfortunate result as Sarapu played very well before ruindng his position in the endgame while Anderson contrived to lose an endgame from a position tn which he was pawn up. Small sacrificed a piece to gain two connected passed paws on the queenside but eventually Chen returned the material leaving a hopelessily drawn position. Garbett emerged from complications a pawn in arrears but drew after a painstaking defence. Indonesia strengthened its claims to a high place with a $2 \frac{1}{2}: 1 \frac{1}{2}$ win over India and Australia overtook New Zealand again after its 4:0 victory over Malaysia. It was Singapore's turn to wallop Papua-New Guinea.
Scores: Philippines 24, China 21娄, Indonesia 191 $\frac{1}{2}$, Australia 171 , New Zealand 17, Singapore 15.

Round 8: The fight for the minor placings intensified as Australia held China to a $2: 2$ tie and Indonesia dropped a point against Malaysia, scoreless for the last three rounds. New Zealand scored a comfortable $3 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$ win against Thailand. Sarapu, Chandler and Small all won but Garbett conceded a draw when careless play allowed Rasmussen a powerful attack. In the other matches Philifppines beat Singapore 3:1 (Torre drawing with Lim Seng Hoo) and India beat PapuaNew Guinea $3 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
Scores: Philippines 27, China 2311 Indonesia $22 \frac{1}{2}$, New Zealan d $20 \frac{1}{2}$, Australia $19 \frac{1}{2}$, Singapore 16 , India 12, Thailand $10 \frac{1}{2}$, Malaysia $7 \frac{1}{2}$, Papua-New Guínea .
Round 9: New Zealand still had a chance for third place if it could beat Singapore convincingly and if Indonesia fulfilled as The second condition was fulfilled as Indonesia went down 1:3 to to Chinese but New Zealand lost $1 \frac{1}{2}$ : $2 \frac{1}{2}$ required were squandered with Smail vitages pawns then losing the exchanging finally drawing while exchange and change up, overlooked the winning exand lost instead. These two results
ade the difference between thitd place and fifth because Australia beat Thalland to move a half polnt ahead. The Philippines beat Papua-New Gulnea $3^{1 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}}$ with only one game actually played as the other two Papua-New Guinea players had gone home early. Alistair Pope drew that one game against Mascarinas! In the other match India defeated Malaysia $3 \frac{1}{2}: \frac{3}{2}$.

A selection of games and positions
Ardiansyah (Indo)-P.SInprayoon (Thai):


Agdiansyah finished strongly: 24 Bxf5 gxf5 25 Qg5t Kf8 26 Nxf5 Ndf6 $\quad 27 \quad$ Qg7t Ke8 28 Nb6 a5?: 29 Qg3! e4 30 Qg5 axb4 31 fxe4 Qc6 (3l,..e5 was the onis way to prolong the gexel 32 Qxgst\%, 1 : 0 . White mates port nove
P.Garbett (N.Z.)-RoManamag (Phil):

$31 \mathrm{Ng} 6+!$ : Rxg6 (31...hxg6 allows mate in 2 and 31... Axg6 loses the queen while
 Rh7+ also wins for White) 32 Qxh7+ Kf8 33 Qxg6 Bxg6 34 Bxb7, drawn in 67.
M.Hasan (India)-Chi Ching-hsuan (China), Queen's Indlan Defence: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 ef 3 Nf3 b6 4 g 3 Вb7 5 Bg2 Be7 $6 \quad 0-0 \quad 0-0$ $7 \mathrm{b3}$ c5 8 Bb2 cxd4 9 Na d6 10 Bxd4 a $11 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Nbd} 7 \quad 12 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{Qb} 8 \quad 13$ e3 b5 14 Nd 4
 exd5 exd5 19 Ne3 bf8 20 cxd5 Nxd5 21 Nxd5 Bxd5 22 Rxc8 Qxc8 23 Nf5 Be6 24 Nd4 Bd5 25 Nf5 Qc5+ 26 Bd4 Bxb3 27 axb3 Qxf5 28 f4 Re8 $29 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{Khl}$ Bzd4 31 Qxd4 Nf6 32 Bb7 Qc5 33 Qe5 Qxe5 $34 \mathrm{Rxe} 5 \mathrm{Rcl}+35 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 2+36 \mathrm{Kgl}$ Rc3 $37 \mathrm{Rxa} 5 \mathrm{~g} 638 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rxb} 3 \quad 39 \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ $40 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 41 \mathrm{h3} \mathrm{Ne} 8 \quad 42 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 7 \quad 43 \mathrm{Rb}$ Ne6 $44 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{gxf5} 45 \mathrm{gxh} 5 \mathrm{Nf} 4+46 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ Nxh3+ $47 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 4+48 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 3+49 \mathrm{Ke} 2$ $\mathrm{Nc} 5 \quad 50 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{f4} \quad 51 \mathrm{Bd} 5 \mathrm{Re} 3+52 \mathrm{Kfl}$ Rd3 $53 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{Rd} 454 \mathrm{Rxb4} \mathrm{Ne} 4 \quad 55 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 3+56$ Kf3 Nf5 $57 \mathrm{Ra} 4 \mathrm{Nd} 658 \mathrm{~h} 6+\mathrm{Kh} 759 \mathrm{Bb} 3$ d3+ 60 Kxf4 Rxb3 61 Ke 5
R.Ravı Sekhar (India)-Chang Tung-1o (China), Queen's Gambit: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf 3 d 54 Nc 3 c6 5 Bg 5 Be 76 e3 Nbd7 7 cxd5 exd5 8 Bd3 h6 9 Bh4 0-0 10 Qc2 Re8 11 0-0 Ne4 12 Bxe7 Qxe7 13 Rabl b6 14 b4 Bb7 $15 \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Na} 6 \quad 16 \quad \mathrm{Ng} 3$ b5 17 a4 Nb6 18 a5 Nbc4 19 Rbel Bc8 20 e4 dye4 21 Nxe4 Be6 22 Ne5 Qf6 23 Nxe6 Rxe6 24 Rxe6 Qxe6 25 Rel Qf6 26 Qe2 Kf8 27 Ne5 Qg5 28 f4 Qh4 29 g3 Qh3 30 Qf3 Rc8 31 Nxc6 a6 32 Qd5 Qd7 $33 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \mathrm{Qa7} 34 \mathrm{Bxc} 4 \mathrm{Nxc} 435 \mathrm{Nd} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8$ $36 \mathrm{Re} 7 \mathrm{Nd} 637 \mathrm{Nf} 6+, 1: 0$.
B.Anderson (N.Z.)-S.Nasir Ali (India), Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 $50-0$ Nf6 6 c3 Be7 7 Re 1 0-0 $8 \mathrm{~B} 8124 \mathrm{Bb} 713 \mathrm{Nf1} \mathrm{BfB} 14 \mathrm{Ng} 3$ 15 dxe5 Nxe4 16 Bf4 Ndc5 17 axb5 axb5 18 Rxa8 Oxa8 19 Nd4 Ne6 20 Nxe4 dxe4 21 Nxe6 Rxe6 $22 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{Re} 7{ }_{2}{ }^{23} \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 24$ $\mathrm{Qg} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 25 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{c} 4 \quad 26 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Re} 6 \quad 27 \mathrm{Bg} 3$
 Qa2 38 Bxe4 Bxe4 32 fxe6 Qc1 33 Qxe3 Qxe3 34 e $7 \mathrm{f} 535 \mathrm{e} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Kh} 7 \mathrm{Cl} 36 \mathrm{Qf} 7$, Qxe3 0.
Tan B.H. (Mal)-Lim S.H. (Sing), Benoni:
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e4 $\mathrm{g}_{6} \quad 7 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{O}-0$ $90-0$ Na6 10 Nd 2 Re8 $11 \mathrm{Rb1} \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 12$ Re1 Rb8 13 Bxa6 bxa6 14 a4 Rb4 15 Qc2 Ng4 16 Nf 3 f5 17 Bg5 Qb8 18 b3 fxe4 19 Nxe4 Br 20 Na 21 Qd1 Bxe4 23 Rxe4 Nxf2 24 Bxf2 Bxf2+ 25 Kxi2 Rbxe4 26 Nxe4 Re4 27 Qc2 Qb4 Oxe4 Rxe4 32 Kf 2 Kf 6 33 Kf3 Ke5 34 g 3 R5 $35 \mathrm{h4}$ a6 $36 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Rb} 4,0: 1$.
E.Torre (Phil)-S.Sitanggang (Indo),
 Ne3 Ne6 5 Nf3 e6 $60-0$ Nge7 7 d3 $0-0$ $8 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 9 \mathrm{Qc} 1 \mathrm{Nf5} 10 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{f} 611 \mathrm{Bd} 2$

 h6 19 b4 axb4 20 axb4 f5 21 bxc5 dxc5 22 Ne3 f4 23 Ned5 Nxd5 24 Bxd5+ Kh8 $25 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Qe} 726 \mathrm{Bc} 3 \mathrm{Bf5} 27$ Qb3 Bxe4 28 Bxe4 Nd8 29 Ral Rxal 30 Rxal fxg 3131
 Ne6 35 Qxb7 h4 $36 \mathrm{Ra} 8 \mathrm{hxg}{ }^{3} 37 \mathrm{Rxf} 8+$ Qxf8 38 Bxg 3 gxf3 39 Bxf3 Nf4 40 Kf 2 Bx6 41 Be4 Bg7 42 Kel Qd8 43 Q $44 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Qd8} 45 \mathrm{Bxf} 4 \operatorname{exf} 446 \mathrm{Qh} 5+\mathrm{Kg} 8$ 47 Bd5t, 1 : 0

Ardiansyah (Indo)-R.Mascarinas (Phil), Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 Nc6 6 Be2 g6 7 Be3 Bg7 8 f3 0-0 9 Qd2 Nxd4 10 Bxd4 Be6 11 0-0-0 Qa5 12 Kbl Rfc8 13 a3 Ne8 14 g4 Rc6 15 h 4 Bxd4 16 Qxd4 Rac8 17 h 5 Qe5 18 hxg6 hxg6 19 f4 Qxd4 20 Rxd4 Bc4 21 Rd2 e6 22 f5 Bxe2 23 Rxe2 Nf6 $24 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{Rb} 625 \mathrm{Nxd6}$ Rcc6 26 Reh2 exf5 27 e5 Kg 728 gxf5 Rxd6 29 exd6 Rxd6 30 fxg6 fxg6 $31 \mathrm{Re} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 7 \quad 32 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Nh} 5 \quad 33$ Reg2 Rd6 $34 \mathrm{Rg} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 35 \mathrm{KcI} \mathrm{Ng} 7 \quad 36 \mathrm{Rdl}$ Re6 37 Rd7+ Kf6 38 Rxb7, $1: 0$.
R.Jamieson (Aust)-R.Ravi Sekhar (India), Sicilian: 1 e 4 c5 2 c 3 Nf6 3 e5 Nd5 4 d4 cxd4 5 Qxd4 e6 6 Nf3 Nc6 7 Qe4 d6

 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}11 & \text { Nxe5 } & 0-0 & 12 & \text { Bd3 } & \text { Nf6 } & 13 & \text { Qe2 } & \text { Qc7 } \\ 0-0 & \text { b6 } & 15 & \text { Bf4 } 4 & \text { Bd6 } & 16 & \mathrm{Bg} 3 & \text { Bb7 } & 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0-0 & \mathrm{~b} 6 & 15 & \mathrm{Bf} 4 & \mathrm{Bd} 6 & 16 & \mathrm{Bg} 3 & \mathrm{Bb} 7 \\ \mathrm{Rad} & 17 & \mathrm{la} & \mathrm{f4} \\ \text { Rael }\end{array}$

 Re3 Na5 28 Re2 Nf6 29 Qh4 Qe7 30 f5 exf5 $31 \mathrm{Bxf5}$ Qd6 32 Bc 2 Rde8 33 Rfe Re6 34 Bb3 R6e8 35 Nxf7 Rxf7 36 Rxe8 Nxe8 $37 \mathrm{Bxf} 7 \mathrm{Nf} 638 \mathrm{Bb} 3,1$ : 0.
M.Chandler (N.Z.)-Ardiansyah (Indo), Sicilian: 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc 3 a6 6 Bg 5 Nbd 76 Bc4 e6 $80-0$ Qa5 9 Qd2 b5 10 Bd5 exd5 11
 Kc7 15 Bxf6 gxf6 16 Qe8 Qb6 17 Nce2 Bd7 18 Qxf7 Re8 $19 \mathrm{Khl} \operatorname{Re7} 20$ Qxf6 Rg $21 \mathrm{b3} \mathrm{Ne} 322 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \quad 23 \mathrm{Qh} 4 \mathrm{Rxe} 224$ Rxe2 Qxd4 25 Rael Qxf4 26 exb5 axb5 27 ReI Rf7 31 Qh8 Bf5 32 Rb 2 Bh 70 : 1 G.Bordonada (Phil)-C.Hon (Mal), Modern $1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 3 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{c} 6 \quad 5$ Nf3 Bg4 6 h3 Bxf3 7 Qxf3 e6 8 Bd3 f5 $90-0$ d5 10 exf5 exf5 11 Relt Ne7 12 Qe2 Nd7 13 g4 fxg4 14 f5 Bf6 15 fxg6
hxg6 16 Qxg4 Kf7 17 Nd2 Rh4 18 Qe6+ Kg7 19 Nf3 Nf8 20 Qxf6+ Kxf6 21 Bg5+ Kf7 22 Bxe7 Qc7 23 Bxh4 Qf4 $24 \mathrm{Re7+}$ Kg 825 Kg 2 b 526 Rael a5 27 Rb 7 b 4 , 1 : 0 .
E.Torre (Phil)-Chi Ching-hsuan (China), English: 1 c4 e5 2 Ne3 d6 3 d 4 cxd4 4 Qxd4 Nc6 5 Qd2 g6 6 b3 Bh6 7 e3 Nf6 8 Bb2 $0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 10 \mathrm{Be} 2 \quad \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 11 \mathrm{~h} 3$
 Nb8 15 Qd4 c6 16 Qxa7 Nfd7 17 Radl $18 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Na} 619 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 5{ }^{20}$ Qa3 Ra8 21 Qb4 Rfd8 $22 \mathrm{Na} 4 \mathrm{Ned3} 23 \mathrm{Rxd} 3 \mathrm{Nxd} 3$ 27 Nd1 Rda8 28 Nxb2 Rxa2 26 Qc3 Qe5 30 Ne3 R2a3 31 Bc4 Rxc5 32 Ne 4 Rea5 33 Rel bs 34 Bfl Rxb 3 35 Rxc 6556 $\mathrm{Nc} 5 \mathrm{Rc} 3 \quad 37 \mathrm{Rc} 8+\mathrm{Kf} 7 \quad 38 \mathrm{Rc} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 839$ Re $8+\mathrm{Kf} 7 \mathrm{f} 40 \mathrm{Rc} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 8$, $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
Hsu Hung-hsun (China)-L.Chiong (Phil), ting's Indtan Defence: 1 c 4 Nf6 2 Nc 3

 h4 f5 11 Bg5 Ndf6 12 gxf5 gxf5 13 h 5 17 Bd2 hn bi8 15 Bh3 Be7 16 Qg2 Kh8 20 0-0 N 18 Nge 2 Nf4 19 Nxf4 exf4 23 1066 22 Ng 5 Qg 8 Phel b4e 24 dxe6 18 25 bc3 b5 26 Rhgl b4 27 Bxb4 Nh5 28 Nf7+ Rxf7 29 R.Jamieson (Aust)-Ardiansyah (Indo), Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 c3 d5 3 exd5 Qxd5 4 d4 Nc6 5 Nf3 cxd4 6 cxd4 Bg4 7 Nc3 Qa5 8 d5 $0-0-0 \quad 9$ Bd2 $\mathrm{Nb} 4 \quad 10 \mathrm{Rc} 1 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ 11 h3 Bxf3 12 Qxf3 e5 13 Qxf7 Nh6 14 Bxh6 gxh6 15 Bc4 Bc5 16 0-0 Rhf8 17 Qxh7 Bxf2+ 18 Kh1 Rf4 19 Ne2 Rff8 20 Qe4 Ka8 21 a3 Na6 22 Qxe5 Nb8 23 Qg7 Qb6 24 Rcdl Qd6 25 Nd4 Nd7 26 Ne6 Rg8 27 Qc3 Bb6 $28 \quad a 4$ Ne5 29 Nxc5 Bc7 30 g3 Rxg 3 31 Rf6 Qxe5 32 Qd4 Rdg8 33 Rxh6 Qxd4, $0: 1$.
C.Hon (Mal)-A.Prods (Aust), Sicilian: $1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$



 hxg6 $23 \mathrm{Nxd4} \mathrm{Nxd4} 24$ Qf2 22 fxg6
 $29 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 1{ }^{30} \mathrm{Rf} 2 \mathrm{Rxe} 1+\quad 31 \mathrm{Bxel}$ Qxel+ 32 Rf1 Qe2 $33 \mathrm{Ra} 1 \mathrm{Nxd3} 34 \mathrm{Oh} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3$. 0 : 1 .
Chi Ching-hsuan (China) - O.Sarapu (N.z.) French: 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\mathrm{Bg} 5 & \mathrm{dxe} & 5 & \mathrm{Nxe4} & \mathrm{Nbd} & 6 & \mathrm{Nf} 3 & \mathrm{Be} 7 & 7 \mathrm{Nxf6} \\ \mathrm{Bxf} 6 & 8 & \mathrm{Bxf} 6 & \mathrm{Qxf} 6 & 9 & \mathrm{Qd} 2 & \mathrm{c} 5 & 10 & 0-0-0\end{array}$
cxd4 11 Nxd4 0-0 12 Bc4 Nb6 13 вb3 514 Nb 5 Bd7 15 Nd6 Bc6 $16 \mathrm{f3}$ Rad8 17 Qb4 Qe7 18 a3 Rd7 19 Rhal 8620 f $4{ }^{21}$ Nxe4 Qxb4 22 axb4 Rxd1+ 23 Kxd
 30 Nxf7 Rhl 31 Rxhl Bxhl 32 Kd 2 Ke 7 $33 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \mathrm{~h} 634 \mathrm{Nh} 3 \mathrm{Kf} 635 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \quad 36$ ff $2 \mathrm{Nd} 5+37 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \quad 38 \mathrm{Ng} 4+\mathrm{Kd} 6$ Nxh6 Nf6 40 Nf7+ $\mathrm{Ke} 7 \quad 41 \mathrm{Ne} 5 \quad 85 \quad 42 \mathrm{Ke}$ Nh5 43 Kf 2 Be 444 Bdl Nf 645 Ke 3 Ke $46 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{Nxg} 4+47 \mathrm{Bxg} 4+\mathrm{Ke} 548 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{Bxf3}$ 49 Kxf3, 1 : 0.
V.Small (N.Z.)-Chen Te (China), Alekhin Defence: 1 e 4 Nf6 2 e 5 Nd5 3 d 4 d6 4 Nff $\mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 5 \mathrm{Be} 2$ e6 $60-0 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 6$

 15 b4 Rg8 16 Bhs g6 $17 \mathrm{Bf3}$ c6 18 Be 2 22 axb5 Pxal 23 NxbS cxb $25 \mathrm{f4}$ Bh6 26 Od2 Nf8 29 Qxb4 Qh4 27 Qel Qd8 28 Rc7 32 Od $20833 \mathrm{Rc} 2 \mathrm{Ne}^{2} 8 \mathrm{Qcl}$ Nbxc6 35 bxc6 Rxc 636 Ne7 34 Qcl
 Kf3 Kf7 41 Bd2 Bf8
M.Kavakul (Thai)-M.Chandler (N.Z.) Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 e5 6 Ndb5 d6 7 a4 Be6 8 Bg5 a6 9 Bxf6 gxf6 10 Na 3 f 5 11 exf5 Bxf5 12 Nc4 Nb4 13 Ne3 Be6 14 Qh5 Qc7 $15 \quad 0-0-0 \quad 0-0-0 \quad 16 \quad \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 17$ $\mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{f} 518 \mathrm{Nh} 6 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{l} 19 \mathrm{MbI} \mathrm{Na} 2+20 \mathrm{Kd} 1$ Bb4 21 Rd 3 e4 $22 \mathrm{Rg} 3 \mathrm{Qf4}, 0: 1$.
C.Hon (MaI)-J.S.Sampouw (Indo), Ruy Lopez: 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 $50-0$ b5 6 Bb3 $\operatorname{Be} 7 \quad 7$ Rel $0-0$ 8 c3 d5 9 exd5 Nxd5 10 Nxe5 Nue5 11 Rxe5 c6 $12 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Bd} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Rel}$ Qh4 14 g 3 Qh3 15 Qd3 Bf5 16 QfI Qh5 17 Be3 Rae8 18 Nd2 Re6 19 Bd 1 Qg 620 Og2 Rfe8 21 Nfl Bd3 22 Be2 Bxe2 23 Rxe2 f5 24
 hxg $3 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 28 \mathrm{Qg} 4 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 29 \mathrm{Qh} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 2+30 \mathrm{Kg} 2$ 31 Be 3 Be 732 Qh3 Bd6 33 Rad 1 Oc 4 $34 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Qd} 5+35 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 36 \mathrm{Rdxe} 2 \mathrm{hxg} 3+$ 37 Nxg 3 R 8 e 738 Qg 2 Qxa 239 Kg 1 Oc 4 40 Nf5 Rh7 41 Nxd6 Rxd6 42 Bf4 Rd8 43 Re8+ Rxe8 44 Rxe8+ Kf7 45 Qe4, $1: 0$. A.Pope (PNG)-R.Mascarinas (Phil), Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 $2 \mathrm{Nf3} \mathrm{Nc} 6{ }^{3} \mathrm{~d} 4$ cxd4 $4 \mathrm{Nxd4}$
 12 Nxc6 Bxc 13 Bb3 5 a6 16 as exd5 Nxd5 16 Bf2 Rfd8 17 Nel Bg3 Qb7 19 Be5 Bd5 20 Nf4 Bxb 921 6622 Nye6 fxe5 23 Qxe5 Bf6 2421 cxb Rxd8 25 Qef+ Kf8 26 Rfel Ob8,


## KAI JENSEN AT THE WORLD JUNIOR

And so, at last, I set off for a taste of the big chess world - the 1977 World Junior Championship at Lnnsbruck.
There is something special about such a tournament, a kind of glamour that makes it worth the headaches of fund raising to go. Iwo months of hectic negotiations and preparations had left me with just enough money to cover air fares and the cost of three weeks sightseeing in Europe after the competition finished. I took the cheapest route available, a one stop excursion fare to Zurich via Singapore. A night in a zurich youth hostel, six hours on a train, and I stepped on to the platform of Innsbruck Hauptbahnhof.

Innsbruck is a tourist town, hence
very expensive. All the players in the tournament were fortunately bedded down and fed for nothing. Accommodation was a very modern youth hostel built for the inter 0lympics a few years ago. The ostel was about two miles from the Innsbruck Kongresshaus where the tournaat was held. This meant a 10 minute us trip and a fair amount of walking before and after each round. This was players' grumble no. 1.

Grumble no. 2 was the food. This was indeed pretty bad, so bad in fact that the closing ceremony Kouatly, the young Lebanese IM, felt compelled to mention it to the organisers ("so that you won't make the same mistake next year") in a roundly applauded speech

Austria is also hosting the 1978 World Junior. All players took a solemn oath Innsbruck, Innsbruck, don't eat at the Stiftskelle Restaurant!

I had allowed myself plenty of time to recover from jet-lag before the tournament began and spent a day watching a tennis tournament taking place across the road from the hostel. My room-mate for the duration was the Aussie representative, Colin Morris. In those first, lazy anticipatory days we invented a new game: spot the Russians. This involved a ively whispered debate over which people in the hostel and neighbouring treets and cafes looked most like a Russian wunderkind and his grandmaster second. To our great surprise, one like1y looking couple actually turned out to be Artur Jusupov and his second IM Dvoretsky.
Finally the waiting came to an end. One morning we got up to take part in the drawing of numbers and distribution of pocket money (about $\$ 2.50$ a day). That afternoon we sidled up to the Kongresshaus ready to do batcle. A word for the Kongresshaus: a huge building, modern, slate floors with marble strips; marble staircases. Unfortunately this meavenly place was inhabited by an Indeterminate number of fierce, non-EngIish speaking guards in red and black undforms who seemed to think their principle duty was to keep chessplayers waiting outside until 20 minutes before each round began This was players' grumble no. 3. To evade the guards, we had each day to find new entrances; through the construction work in one part of the building; through the art exhibition above. First prize for courage went to the pair of players who simply walked in through the main entrance and firmly ignored half a dozen guards shouting at them in German. By this time the atmosphere should be starting to emerge. It was not a strictly satisfactory playing environment, but the disadvantages were taken cheerfully.
On that first afternoon I was astonished, dumdfounded and flabbergasted to find Murray Chandler sitting on a suitcase outside the Kongresshaus along with a young Philippino who laboured under the nicus had left the Philippines and Androtwo days before, after a last minute
decision that Murray would compate in Innsbruck as Asian Junior Champion. But this tiring trip had taken a lot out of the pair. With his usual pessimiam Murray told me he had given evarything he had to the just-finished Asian Junior and it was too soon for him to play well again. Not everyone at the tournament was completely happy about this last nute addition of a second New Zealand player, I might add. I personally was lad of the company! (This decision was ratified at Caracas - also the American and European Junior champions will in future gain entry to the World Junior, Editor).

Now, before I go into a narrative of my round by round progress, I must explain something. Murray expressly asked me to mention him as Iittle as possible in this account. This was not a happy tournament for him - he fell sick halfway through and lost several games.
It was not a very exciting tournament, incidentally. The Russian, Jusupov, played safe, precise positional chess No-one else was steady enough to keep up with him

In my first game I drew the impressive Swedish player, Cramling. I had White and we played into the 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 Bb 5 line of the Sicilian. I tried an unclear pawn sac and he unwlsely declined it. This gave me the time and development I needed to crack open the centre and win a pawa. I then missed an opportunity to tie him dom completaly and the game suddenly became very complex. We both got into serious time trouble and I managed to win a piece and the game.

This was all very encouraging, but the next day my budding hopes fell flat. I had black against Yap; this 16-year-old turned out to be a demon tactician. In a Velimirovic Sozin Sicilian he pressured me until I reluctantly had to par with a pawn. This didn't mean that much but unfortunately I then committed a grievous blunder and lost.

The next day I was white once more; this time against the Chilean, Salazar. I played the ambitious and double edged Beach attack ( 1 e4 c5 2 b3) but the tricky devil found a userul transposi tion of moves into a type of position he was ramilar with but I wasn t. I was over-ambitious, played to win two
dublous pieces for a rook and two pawns. What I overlooked was that after the ex changes my king wandered into a mating net. Things were now beginning to look serious. I had set a pre-tournament target of $50 \%$ and it seemed to be receding before me. The next day, I resol ved, I would win.

Unfortunately, losing games in this tournament didn't throw you among the rabbits. Quite the contrary: the fewer points you had, the stronger the opposition, or so it seemed. I drew the powerful West German, Weidemann. The opening went well for me; against my Sicilian he played 2 c3 and I equalised easily enough. But then something went wrong; perhaps I should not have refused an offer to exchange queens. Now suddenly I was on the defensive and he began planting rooks and queens and things on tender squares in my position. It was looking lost when I blundered shockingly, a near obscene error that left me feeling a bit stunned. Only 1 point from the first four rounds.
In round 5 I drew yet another strong player, the Itallan, Coppini. This was one bunny-bouncer, however, who was not in top form. Against me he played a Modern Defence with a7-a6 and b7-b thrown in for good measure. It didn two moves fact, once I crumbled like over-ripe cheese. 1-0, 17 moves.
The next day I drew the Welsh representative, Manny Rayner, a good friend of Murray's. As a change from the Sicilian, I ventured the black side of a Siesta Ruy Lopez. Unfortunately, Mr Rayner turned out to be one of the most tholagly booked up young men I have ever played against. He obtained an advantage hich got steadily larger until it became a pawn. At this point I worked hard o bulld up some sort of an attack, but as beaten back dowa. With a long tortu us defeat staring me in the face, I possible, than before. Now, with onl possible, than before. Now, with only lookin black. The ony bright side wer that blunders hat my bluad eady Iost positions

With such a bad score, do I get a bunny? of course not! Round 7 saw me facting the British ace David Goodman, brother-in-1aw to GM Raymond Keene who
was there as his second. But now at last I seemed to be recovering something like form. Goodman played his customary Modern and transposed into the Pirc when I played the Classical. Now he committed a slight inaccuracy and let me push him back a little; even when various pairs of pleces came off he stayed cramped. On ove 26 , faced with a time shortage and oo clear winning line, I offered a draw nd he happily accepted. Afterwards kene tried to show me how I could have ron but David persistently found defences.

In round 8 I participated in the making of a tournament legend, the Disappearing Norwegian. I was scheduled to play Knudsen, the moody representative of Norway. He had lost a grinding game the day before to Thipsay of India. Today he did not show. All his baggage was still in his room, it turned out, but he had withdrawn his passport from the hostel office. He never came back. Maybe he went home, but other darker theories were formulated. Some said he had fallen into the Inn River and was even now being neatly dechire by the rocks at the bottom. Others thought he had been inveigled into the kitchens of the Stiftskeller Restaurant and foully done to death to save on the meat bills. Whatever had happened, it certainly made a fine talking point. Not to mention a point on my score card.

Round 9 saw me blunder once again, most aggravatingly. My opponent was the Polish player Stempin who played the EngIfsh; we gradually reached a very closed position where he held a slight advantage. I thought it was drawn, but he decided to play on. His last move before adjournment was 40 Qal threatening to penetrate on the a-file with check winning at least a piece. I had to play 40...Qb8 to counter it, with a long har struggle ahead; instead, in the haste of reaching the control, I pushed wood on the opposite side of the board. Suicide I did not know it at the time, but this was to be my last loss. Right here, needing $3 / 4$ to reach $50 \%$, I didn't seem to have much hope.
My tenth opponent was probably my weakest of the tournament: Marcel of France. He played a Sicilian and I replied with my old favourite the Closed Varlation. enough, provided he defends his kingside
before he starts attacking on the other wing. Marcel didn't seem to know this and went haring off with his queenside pawns. A sudden break in the centre left him irretrievably weak on the black squares and unable castie; three
moves later he blundered his queen! Bernat from Argentina. This was unquestionably my best game of the tournament. The opening was a King's Indian type English - very similar to my Closed Sicilian of the previous round, only reversed. I am convinced that Black stands better in this type of position since, unlike White in the Sicilian, he is not under the impression that he ts attacking, and hence takes steps to defend his vulnerable king. True to form, Bernat developed a queenside attack and left me to do my worst on the opposite wing. The game speedily became very complicated. Bernat broke up my queenside pawns, but I played a temporary piece sacrifice to open his king. Now he cleared the 7th rank and obtained enough pressure on my king to counterbalance the attack - if he played the right move. On move 29 I was threatening to give perpetual check and Bernat made the mistake of trying to prevent this, allowing me to play a combination winning a piece.
Round 12 was another Closed Sicillan, against the Ecuadorian, Pazos. This was one player who reminded me of nothing so much as an armadillo; he would sit stock still, arms curved under him in a loop, head jutting slightly forward, eyes blinking from time to time... then, slowly, slowly making a move. He told me after the game he had no book knowledge. His syatem against the Closed certainly looked home-made, but I could not find a convincing way to beat it and went into a slightly inferior middle game. This entral pawn captures sitting there for move after move, while the pieces manoeuvred behind them. At last he crumbled, allowing me to close the centre with 23 e 5 , then surrendering most of his queenside counterplay with $24 . . . c 4$. I was left to build a kingside attack in peace; it was a doozy when it got going and won a knight.
In the final round I drew the Irishman Barry, a Iikeable soul. Against his $1 . .$. e5 I ventured a Vienna Gambit, but quickly got a bad opening. He tried too
hard for advantage, however, and the balance swung the other way. Indeed, I was ready to force a draw by perpetual check, with options of trying to attack, when he offered a draw. There was no substantial reason on the board not to accept. This left me with a respectable 7 points from 13 rounds - better than fifty per cent.

Scores: 1 A.Jusupov (USSR) 10 $\frac{1}{2} / 13$; 2 A.Zapata (Col) 91 ${ }_{2}$; 3-6 P.Popovic (Yugo) S.Skembris (Gre), J.O.Fries-NLelsen (Den) \& R.Vera (Cuba) 8 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$; 7-10 M.Rivas (Sp), K.Georgiev (Bul), C.Ionescu (Rum) \& M. Sisniega (Mex) 8; 11-14 A.Dur (A), A. Groszpeter (Hun), E.Rayner (Wal), M.G. Chandler (NZ) 71/2; 15-20 K.Jensen (NZ), P.Stempin (Pol), C.Barry (Ire), V.O.Maki (Fin), N.Grinberg (Isr) \& D.Cramling (Swe) 7; 21-27 D.Goodman (Eng), H.Janssen (Neth), A.Kaspret (A), B.Kouatly (Leb), M.Rohde (USA), J.Weidemann (BRD) A.Yap (Phil) 61/2; 28-34 P.Thipsay (Ind) . Ghysels (Bel), M.Buchholz (Can), M.Bernat (Arg), C.Morris (Aust), G.Morrison (Scot) \& J.S.Masculo(Braz) 6; 35-40 P. Iten (Swit), Pazos (Ec), G.Coppind (It), J.Sequeira (Port), T.Klauner (Lux) \& Yurtseven (Turk) $5 \frac{1}{2}$; 41-44 A.T.Arnason (Ice), P.Marcel (Fr), A.Razzak (Iraq) \& H.Salazar (Chile) 5; 45 Rejabi (Tun) 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ 46 H.Armando (E.SaI) 3䒜; 47 Omuku (Nig) 3; 48 O.S.Knudsen (NOF) $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

The Director of Play, Frau-Doktor Gertrude Wagner of Graz, a FIDE International Arbiter, had bribed, bullied and cajoled tremendous numbers of politicians and business firms into giving trophies for the tournament. No less than the top 30 received prizes of some sort. Frau Wagner was indeed very popular with the layers, not least because she had hard words with the management of the Stiftskeller Restaurant on our behalf.
A word, too, about the rest days. Both were really memorable. For those who do not know their geography, Innsbruck is in the midale of the Austrer Alp, some the most lmposing scenery you can ask for. On the firat rest day (arter vo hre different cable cars, to the top the seegrub the $700{ }^{\prime}$, top of the Seegrube, the 700 moun layers the on this expedttion at the ap there were snowhts, drinks and a tremendous view. The second rest day (before the last round) saw us all boarding a bus for a trip through the Austrian
countryside. This journey brought us to the foot of - you guessed - another Ifft for an eerily silent 15 minute trip to the top. Lunch was waiting for us up there in a cheery Itttle restaurant

All in all, a very enjoyable tournament, if a little unusual at times. Those players with extensive international experience were rather critical; but for me, fresh from the horrors of New Zealand weekend competitions, it was all kind of impressive.
One more thing. I would like to thank those clubs and individuals who helped me to get to Innsbruck. Without you, time of writing this, I am flat broke!)

## Now for some games.

A.Yap - K.Jensen, Sicilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 d4 cxd4 5 Nxd4 d6 6 Bc4 e6 7 Be3 (Neat, I thought - the gutty line!) 7...Be7 8 Qe2 0-0 9 0-0-0 a6 10 Bb 3 Qc 711 Kb 1 !? (this came as a surprise; $I$ did not know at the time that it is Velimirovic's latest improvement) $11 . . . b 512 \mathrm{~g} 4$ Nxd4? (Falling into the trap. In the normal line 11 g4 Black can play inmediately ll...Nxd4 when White must recapture with the rook since on 12 Bxd4, $12 \ldots$...e5! But now the bishop recapture is possible. Worse still was 12...b4 13 Na4 Nxe4? which is elegantly refuted by 14 Nxe6! fxe6 15 Bbtregaining the piece while leaving black with shattered pawns) 13 Bxd4: b4 14 g 5 ! Nd7 15 Na4 Nc5 16 Rhg 1 Nxb3? : (a lot more promise was in $16 \ldots$...Bd7) 17 cxb3 e5 18 Rc1 Qb7 19 Bb6 Bd8 20 Qe3 Be6 21 Rgdl d5 (this loses a pawn, but is virtually forced. It is not, however, a very important pawn) 22 exd5 Bxd5 23 Bxd8 RaxdB 24 Qxe5 Rfe8 25 Ne 5 Qc6 26 Qf4 a5 27 Kal Qg6 $28 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Ba} 8 \quad 29 \mathrm{Rxd8} \mathrm{Rxd8}$ 30 Qc7 Re8 31 Nd7 h6 $32 \mathrm{ff} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 5 \quad 33$ hxg5 Qf5 $34 \mathrm{Rgl} \mathrm{Kh7??} \mathrm{(One} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{blun-}$ ders that plagued me at Innsbruck. White was lining me up for a cheapo; unfortunately this move does not prevent it but makes it stronger) $35 \mathrm{Nf} 6+, 1$ : 0 .
K.Jensen - G.Coppini, Pirc: 1 e4 d6 2 $\mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf3} \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 5 \mathrm{h3} 30-0 \quad 6$ Be2 a6 7 a4 b6? (black's 6th was somewhat avant garde, but this has to be bad Bb7 was better) 9 e5 Ne8 10 Bc 4 ! (a crushing move; Black's only defence to Il e6 is $10 . .$. Nbs and who could bring
himself to play that?) 10...h6 11 e6 fxe6 12 Bxe6t Kh7 13 Nh4 (the coup de grace; White threatens Nxg6 and if 13.. Rf6, then 14 bd5 Rbs 15 Ne 4 Rf 816 Qg 16 Nxg6 c4 $17 \mathrm{Nxf} 8+, 1$ : 0.
K.Jensen - D.Goodman, Pirc: 1 e 4 g6 2 $\mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 5 \mathrm{Be} 20_{0}$ 6 0-0 c6 $7 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \quad 8$ a4 b6 9 Be 3 Nbd 7 10 Qd2 a6? (afterwards Keene berated Black for playing this move, pointing out that before the tournament they had analysed 10...bb7 11 Rfdl e5 12 dxe5 dxe5 13 Qd6 Qxd6 14 Rxd6 Rfc81 with equality) 11 Rfd1 Bb7 12 e5! (taking advantage of a small tactical point, the weakness of Black's b-pawn, to leave Black with nothing) 12 ...dxe5 13 dxe5 Nxe5 14 Nxe5 Qxe5 15 Bxb6 NaS 16 Bd4 (a hard move to find, but the only way to preserve White's advantage; if now 16 ..Qxd4 17 Oxd4 Bxa4 18 Rxá4 Nxc3 19 bxc3 and the endgame is untenable for 10 Black, e.g. 19...Rfas 23 Rad Rxa 21
 Ke8 then 24 Rubl 16 black can only position", said Keene to Goodman afterwards, "I thought it was your move. I was Iooking at the board thinking, 'how do you defend against 17 Ne4?' Then he went ahead and played it") 17 Ne4 Bxd4 $18 \mathrm{Qxd4} \mathrm{Nf4} 19 \mathrm{Bf1}$ (not $19 \mathrm{Bf} 3 \mathrm{C5!}$ ) 19 18 Qxa4 Nf4 20 Oc4 Ne6 21 Nc5 Nxc5 22 Oxc5 23 Rxd8+ Rxd8 24 Rel e6 25 Re5 Ra8 $26 \mathrm{BC4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ : $1 \frac{1}{2}$, Black is completely tied down but was short of pletely tied down but I was short of straightforward win, but White can pose many problems with h3-h4-h5.
M.Chandler - D.Cramling, Sicilian: 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 $\mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{~d} 4$ cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc3 e5 6 Ndb5 d6 7 Nd5 Nxd5 8 exd5 Nb8 9 c4 Be7? (black must play a7a6 somewhere around here to drive away the Nb5) 10 Bd3 0-0 $110-0$ Nd7 12 Qc 2 $\mathrm{g}^{13} \mathrm{Bh}$ Re8 $14 \mathrm{f4}$ : a6 $15 \mathrm{f5}$ !: axbs 16 fxg6 f6 (16...fxg6 meets 17 Bxg6 Nf 18 Bxh7+ Kh8 19 Og6 Rg8 20 Exg8 $0 \times g 8$ 21 Rxf6) $17 \mathrm{g7}$. f5 (preparing Nf6) 18 Rxf. 0 Rxf6 Bef6 21 Bxh7+ xf7 22 , then 23 Rxf6 19 Bel 21 Bxh7 24 Qg6 Tit 23 Qxill follow by To 111 b lat but 0 Rel 20 ff7 21 bxh 7 Rg 22 Of5: Ra7, 1 : 0. Black now sees it is mate on the move, so resigns.
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by CRAIG LAIRD

Held on the 10th/11th September, the 4 th Winstone's Chess Tournament was run by the North Shore Chess Club. The event got under way with a warn welcome from Winstone's representative and popular sports figure Arthur Lydiard.
Players came from as far as Christchurch and included a contingent of six from Upper Hutt as well as two players recently returned from overseas
Robert Smith and Craig Laird - but the usual band of Hamilton men was absent, perhaps with their minds on Kai Jensen's efforts In Austria. The host club provided 25 entries.
With 70 entries and just five rounds the possibilities for a 'good' Swiss are pretty small. Director of Play Mike Livingston instituted an accelerated draw for the first two rounds leading to major conflicts arising from round two and more games between the higher rated contenders. Large numbers meant easy draws for those losing early and a cut-throat finish for those who had been setting the pace when, in the last round on the top two boards, win would mean first and a loss relegation rom the loss relegation

The final scores give a deceiving picture of the chess effort put in by some of the players, e.g. Nigel Metge who played Carpinter, Green and Smith (all prize winners) and played some of the finest chess in the tournament against them, but scored only $1 \frac{1}{2} / 3$ and rent unrewarded while three of the second place getters did not meet even ne other prize winner

With the expanding popularity of the cournament and the resultant problems with the draw the organisers are considering holding the event in two separate sections next year. What do the players think?
A tournament of 175 games is not without its surprises. These began in round one when Gerald Carter from Upper Hutt acrificed a piece to expose Vernon mall's king and quickly followed up ith mate.
.Carter-V.A.Small, Sicilian Defence 1 e4c5 $2 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 4 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 6$

5 Be2 d5 6 d3 dxe4 7 dxe4 Oc7 8 0-0 Bd7 9 Be3 b6 10 Nbd 2 Nf 611 h 3 Rd 8 12 Qc 2 Nh 5 ? ( $12 \ldots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}+=$ ) 13 Ng 5 ! Ng 3 14 Bc4 e6 15 Rf3 Nh5 16 g 4 Nf 617 e5 Nd5 18 Nde4 Nxe3 19 Rxe3 $0-0 \quad 20$ Nd 6 Na 521 Bd3 c4 $22 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{f6} 23$ Nxh7. Kxh7 24 Bxg 58 K 25 R2 29 g5 Rh8 29 gxh6+ Kxg6 30 Rg3+, 1 : 0 .

Others to have trouble were Wayne Power and Paul Spiller who could only manage draws against M. Howard and J.Fekete respectively.
Round two found Robert Smith pitted against Nigel Metge's beloved French; Nigel broke down Robert's central blockade and proceeded onto the white king in true Nimzowitschian style. Only time trouble later impaired the quality of this attractive game:
R.W.Smith-N.Metge, French Defence: 1 e 4 R.W.Smith-N.Metge, French Defence: 1 e4
e6 2 d 4 d5 3 Nd2 Nc6 4 Ngf3 Nf6 5 e5



 19 Na4 Qb6 20 Qd2 Ba6 21 Ne 3 Raf8 22

 exf3 29 Rxd3 Rxg2+ $30 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Qh} 2$ ( $30 \ldots$ Qf4!) $31 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Qg1+} 32 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Rxf} 2+$ and Black won.
Tony Carpinter made excellent use of his opponent's time trouble by providing Marsick's monarch with a golden apple and five problem moves in about two minutes. Good tactics!
B.M. Mars 1 e4 d6 2 d 4 Nf 63 Nc 3 g 6 f 4 Bg 75 069 Be3 Nc 610 Na 02511 Nwa $6 x$
 12 Ne3 36 Qd3 Qas 16 Rfel Ng4 17 Bd4 e5 18 fxes dxes 19 Be Rfa8 20 Qe2 Nxe3 21 Qxe3 Rf8 25 Kh 1 Oc5 $26 \mathrm{Og} 5 \mathrm{Be} 7 \quad 27 \mathrm{Og} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 2$ $28 \mathrm{Rbcl} \mathrm{h} 629 \mathrm{Rf} 1 \mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{~d} 7 \quad 30 \mathrm{Nbl} \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 3 \mathrm{I}$ $\mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \quad 32$ Rcel $\mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 33 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{h5} \quad 34 \mathrm{h4}$ ? ! Bh6 35 c 3 ? (allowing Black access to the weakness of the third rank, white the weakness of the third rank; White Kg2 $\mathrm{Oe} 7 \quad 37 \mathrm{Re}$ R7d6 $38 \mathrm{Ref} 2 \mathrm{f6} 39 \mathrm{Oc4}$ Qd7 40 a 4 a 541 b4 Rxg3+!? 42 Kxg3 Rd3+ 43 Rf3 $0.4+44 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Oxf} 3+45 \mathrm{Kel}$ Rd1 mate, $0: 1$.

In the third round Gerald Carter came face to face with top seed Lev Aptekar. Lev played a Reti and, after provoking Black to overextend in the centre, made a few careless moves giving Gerald a strong position. Was this to be a repeat of round one? But no, Gerald overextended again and Aptekar made no bones about it.
L.Aptekar-G.Carter, Reti System: 1 c4 e6 2 Ne3 Nf6 $3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \quad 4 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \quad 5 \mathrm{Ne} 4 \mathrm{Nxe}$ 6 Bxe4 f5 7 Bg 2 e5 8 d3 Bb4+ 9 Bd 2

 exe4 fxe4 17 bxc5 Nxes 19 Bd7 23 Nf4 Bc 64 Nd5 58 R 62 g 06 Nu4 Be6 24 Nas Q 2518 g $29 \mathrm{Re} 7 \mathrm{~d} 2 \quad 30$ Oxd $2 \mathrm{Bc} 6 \quad 31 \mathrm{Rg} 7+, 1$ : 0

Metge continued to play well and dominated Ewen Green throughout; Metge, with pawn to the good, could probably have converted this to a win but time pressure on both sides necessitated quick decisions:
N.Metge-E.M.Green, Benoni: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc 3 c 54 d 5 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e4 g6 $7 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Be} 20-0 \quad 90-0 \mathrm{a} 6$

 Nxd5 Nxd5 17 Nxd6 Rd8 18 Qe4 Qc6 19 Rd1 Nb4 20 Qxc6 Nxc6 21 Be3 b6 22 Nc4 Nd4 23 Bxd4 Rxd4 24 Rxd4 cxd4 25 Nxb6 Rb8 26 a. 5 Bf8 27 Rcl Bb4 28 Kf1 Bxa5 29 Rc8+ Rxc8 30 Nxc8 $8 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \quad 31 \mathrm{~g} 3$ Bb4 32 $\mathrm{Na} 7 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \mathrm{~d} 3 \quad 34 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 35 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ 36 hxg $4 \mathrm{Kf8} 37 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Be3} 38 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{gxf5} 39$ gxf5 Ke8 $40 \mathrm{Nb} 4 \mathrm{~d} 2+41 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bd} 442 \mathrm{Nd}$ Be3 43 b 3 Kd 744 Nb 2 Bd 445 Nc 4 Kc 6 , : $\frac{1}{2}$.
Peter Stuart and Michael Whaley came quickly and without sweat to the same deciaion as Nigel and Ewen, while Craig Laird and Tony Carpinter put in an effort somewhere between these two C.Laird-A.L.Carpinter, Grunfeld Defence:
 5 0-0 d5 6 Bf4 b6 7 c4 Bb7 8 Ne5 e6 9 Nc3 Nbd7 10 Rcl Nxe5 11 Bxe5 Qd7 12 exd5 exd5 13 a3 Rac8 14 Qb3 (better 14 ec2) $14 \ldots$ Ne4 15 Nxe4 dxe4 16 Bxg7 Kxg7 17 Rfdl Bd5 18 Qe3 Rfe8 19 h4 Qd6 $20 \mathrm{Rc} 3 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 21$ Qf4 Qe7? 22 Bh 3 f 5 ? (better 22...Be6 23 Bxe6 Qxe6 24 RxC 7 Rxc7 25 Qxch Rcs: +=) 23 h 5 Qd6 24 Qxd6 cxd6 25 Rde1 Rxc3 26 Rxc3 Re7 27 Kf1? (27 Rc8!) 27...Kf6 28 hxg6 Kxg6 $29 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 30 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Bb7} \quad 31 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 32$ 34 Rf7 33 Rel h5 34 a5 bxa5 35 Ral
cc8 $36 \mathrm{Rxa} 5 \mathrm{Rb} 7, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
Leading scores: Aptekar 3; Green tuart, Carpinter, Laird, Whaley, Power, Spiller, Metge and Steel $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

In round 4 Stuart benefitted from one of the ambiguities of the Swiss system by being paired against Steel while all around struggles of a tougher nature ere going o
M.G.Whaley-P.W.Power, Sicilian Defence $1 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2$ e4 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd 4 Nf 6 $5 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{O}-0 \quad 8 \mathrm{O} 0$ Ne6 9 Qd2 d5 10 exd5 Nb4? 11 d6: exd6 12 Radl d5 13 Bg5 Be6 14 Qf4 Qb8?? 15 Nze6, $1: 0$.
Green and Aptekar were happy with this effort:
E.M.Green-L.Aptekar, Benoni: 1 d4 Nf6 2 4 c5 3 d5 e5 $4 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 5$ e4 Be7 6 g3 - 7 Bg2 Ne8 8 Nh3 Bxh3 9 Bxh3 Bg5 $00-0, \frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
... as were many others as this brought Aptekar back with the others. Laird after playing well early, continued abysmally as Spiller's resourcefulness increased with his time pressure. Lady Luck, however, smiled upon Laird when piller touched a protected pawn just efore the time control and this cost a rook in an otherwise won position. Metge gain played excellently to dominate the opening and early middle game leading to the win of the exchange versus Carpinter. The position, however, was still complex and making a choice from a large number of plans consumed too much of Nigel's time; Carpinter combined and Metge muffed it.

Beginning the last round the leaders were Aptekar, Stuart, Carpinter, Laird and Whaley on $3 \frac{1}{2}$. Whaley dropped down to the 3 s and unfortunately hit Green: 1 d 4 Nf 6 .M.G. Whaley, King $s$ Indian Def: 43 0-0 6 Be3 575 Cb 8 e4 9 exf5 gxf5 10 Bd3 $511 \mathrm{~N}^{2} 5$ $0-0-0$ Oa5 13 Kbl 56 Nf6 16 gxf5 bxc 17 Be4 Pa 718 Bhg Nhd $719 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Oc}^{2} 20 \mathrm{Rdgl} \mathrm{Nh} 521 \mathrm{Ng}$ No3 22 Ry Bh6, 1 : 0 .

Stuart got behind on the clock agains Carpinter and this told in the later stages of the game when Stuart had better in a difficult position. Aptekar-Laird
was an erratic affair which finally developed into a complex and dramatic bishop ending with Laird a pawn up but Aptekar with an apparent initiative. The battle provided much entertainment or the spectators as it could have gone either way and top prize money was involved. Things finally petered out to a draw
Vernon Small's effort to share second prize was thwarted by David Gollogly in
this short but gory game:
D.A.Gollogly-V.A.Small, Stcilian Defence: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Ne6 $5 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 6 \mathrm{Bf} 4$ e5 7 Bg $5 \mathrm{Bc} 5 \quad 8$ Nle3 d6 9 Nd 5 Nxe4 (Vernon's analysis in the August issue continued 9...Qa5+ 10 Bd 2 Nxe4) 10 Nbc7+ Kf8 11 Bxd8 Bxf2+ 12 $\mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Bg} 4+13 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 5+14 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Bxdl} 15$



|  |  |  | R. 1 | R. 2 | R. 3 | R. 4 | R. 5 | Total | Sos |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Carpinter A.L. | North Shore | W23 | W5 | D2 | W11 | W10 | 41/2 |  |  |
| 2 | Laird C. | Toowong, Qld | W15 | W12 | D1 | W17 | D3 | 4 | 19 |  |
| 3 | Aptekar L. | Feltex | W18 | W16 | W19 | D4 | D2 | 4 | 18 |  |
| 4 | Green E.M. | Howick-Paku. | W48 | W20 | D11 | D3 | W9 | 4 | 16 |  |
| 5 | Marsick B.H.P. | Auckland | W31 | L1 | W47 | W24 | W21 | 4 | 15 |  |
| 6 | Smith R.W. | Waitemata | W49 | L11 | W35 | W37 | W25 | 4 | 13.5 |  |
| 7 | Steadman M. | Auckland | L10 | W58 | W61 | W33 | W20 | 4 | 11.5 |  |
| 8 | Brimble M.T. | Waitemata | L9 | W68 | W62 | W23 | W22 | 4 | 10.5 |  |
| 9 | Whaley M.G. | North Shore | W8 | W25 | D10 | W14 | L4 | 31/2 | 18 |  |
| 10 | Stuart P.W. | North Shore | W7 | W22 | D9 | W36 | L1 | 312 | 17.5 |  |
| 11 | Metge J.N. | Auck.University | W40 | W6 | D4 | L1 | W34 | 31/2 | 17.5 |  |
| 12 | Gollogly D.A. | North Shore | W27 | L2 | W50 | W45 | D13 | 312 | 14.5 |  |
| 13 | Small V.A. | Canterbury | L19 | W51 | W42 | W26 | D12 | 31/2 | 13.5 |  |
| 14 | Power P.W. | North Shore | D21 | W40 | W26 | L9 | W32 | 31/2 | 13.5 |  |
| 15 | Reid A. | Upper Hutt | L1 | W53 | W46 | D28 | W48 | 31/2 | 13 |  |
| 16 | Beach P.K. | Howick-Paku. | W33 | L3 | W63 | D34 | W39 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12.5 |  |
| 17 | Spiller P.S. | Howick-Paku. | D32 | W63 | W37 | L2 | W31 | 31/2 | 12.5 |  |
| 18 | Trundle G.E. | Auckland | L3 | W52 | W66 | D32 | W36 | 31/2 | 12 |  |
| 19 | Carter G. | Upper Hutt | W13 | W29 | L3 | L21 | W44 | 3 | 15.5 |  |
| 20 | Cater J.E. | Waitemata | W28 | L4 | W40 | W35 | L7 | 3 | 15 |  |
| 21 | Howard M.I. | North Shore | D14 | W57 | D36 | W19 | L. 5 | 3 | 14.5 |  |
| 22 | McIvor B.W. | Air NZ | W39 | L10 | W51 | W42 | L8 | 3 | 14 |  |
| 23 | Henderson A.J. | North Shore | L1 | W38 | W65 | 18 | W45 | 3 | 14 |  |
| 4 | Sareczky G. | Waitemata | W30 | D34 | D44 | L5 | W52 | 3 | 13.5 |  |
| 25 | Kinchant K.D. | Auckland | W43 | L9 | W29 | W64 | L6 | 3 | 13.5 |  |
| 26 | Putt T . | Remuera | W38 | W61 | L14 | L13 | W59 | 3 | 12 |  |
| 27 | Shead D. | North Shore | L12 | L50 | W55 | W51 | W53 | 3 | 11.5 |  |
| 28 | Milne D.J.0. | North Shore | L20 | W70 | D41 | D15 | W42 | 3 | 11 |  |
| 29 | Jones Miss G.M. | North Shore | W62 | L19 | L25 | W65 | W46 | 3 | 10 |  |
| 0 | Healey R. | Upper Hutt | L24 | L31 | W64 | W62 | W43 | 3 | 9.5 |  |
| 31 | Cromble W. | 21/2 45 | Dick |  | 2 |  | 59 | Hall D . |  | $1 \frac{1}{12}$ |
| 32 | Fekete J. | 212 46 | Roger | M. | 2 |  | 60 | Torok I. |  | $1{ }^{1 / 2}$ |
| 33 | Kasmara A. | 23/24 47 | Schna | der P . | 2 |  | 61 | Rawnsley | D.C. |  |
| 34 | Moratti S.C. | 23/248 | Belton | C.P. | 2 |  | 62 | Newman B |  | 1 |
| 35 | Lander G. | 21/2 49 | Lamb |  | 2 |  | 63 | Zyp F. |  | 1 |
| 36 | Steel R.G. | 21/2 50 | Lanni | R.M. | 2 |  | 64 | Ball Q. |  | 1 |
| 37 | Price A. | 21212 51 | Van der | r Mey | P. 2 |  | 65 | Boyd J.K. |  | 1 |
| 38 | Beattie Miss F. | 212 52 | Corbe | t P.D. | 2 |  | 66 | Giles S. |  | 1 |
| 39 | Bojtor J. | 212 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}} 53$ F | Fox C |  | 2 |  | 67 | Watt R.G. |  | 1 |
| 40 | Winslade B. | 212 54 | McAven | H.D. | 2 |  | 68 | Gale Mis | C. | 0 |
| 1 | Morrison M.K. | 21/2 55 | Spill | R . | 2 |  | 69 | Keith J. |  | 0 |
| 2 | Evans D.J. | 256 | Greve | s L.P. | 2 |  | 70 | Grindber |  | 0 |
| 3 | Stretch Ms W. | 57 F | Frase | R.J. | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Ball 0.J. | 58 B | Ball |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

The final prize fund stood at $\$ 570$. In addition to the major prize winners Grade 1; N.Metge, D.Gollogly; G.Trundle Grade 1; N.Metge, D. Gollogly, G.Trunde \& D.Milne. Unrated, $1=$ : R.Healey $\& T$. Putt; 3=: G.Lander, R.Steel, S.Moratti, A.Price \& F.Beattie. Top lady: Miss G. Jones.


## LOCAL NEWS

In the 1977 NORTH SHORE CLUB CHAMPIONSHIP two last minute withdrawals reduced the number of players in the A grade to clear favourite was Ewen Green but in the third round he lost to Bob Johnstone after overlooking a snap mate in time trouble - the position was otherwise much better for Ewen. By the time Green met second seed Wolf Leonhardt in the penultimate round the latter had dropped only half a point (to David Gollogly). Leonhardt, with the black pieces, played solidly and a draw resulted; a last round win against Paul Spiller gave him his first club championship title, a half point ahead of Green. Gollogly surprised some by coming in third, and de servedly so.

1234567890

1 Leonhardt W $\quad$ x $1 / \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 1111111111188$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Green $E M$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |

 5 Spiller P S $000 \frac{1 / 2}{2} \times 111 \frac{1}{2} 15$ 6 Roundill R L $0001110 \times x 1001004$
 $80^{\prime}$ Connor T P $0000000111 \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}9 & \text { Livingston M } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{1 / 2} & \frac{1}{2} & \times & 1 & 2 \frac{1}{2} \\ 10 & \text { Snelson P R } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{1 / 2} & 0 & x & 1 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$

The 22 players in the B grade were split into two divisions with Gavin Ion winning ahead of Peter Voss in one and Dave Shead taking the other ahead of Jim Guy. It is noteworthy that Ion and Guy were joint C grade champions last year. With Jim Guy unable to play the finals, Gavin Ion has won the title with one game in hand: G.Ion $2 \frac{1}{2}(1 \mathrm{adj})$, D. Shead $1 \frac{1}{2}, ~ P . V o s s 1$ (1).
Similarly in the 24 player C grade
where the finalists were Michael Collins (10/11) and Ray Lannie (9) from division (10/11) and Ray Lannie (9) from division Wilcock ( $8 \frac{1}{2}$ ) from division two. Final scores: Lannie 5, Trafford 31 $\frac{1}{2}$, Collin 212, Wilcock 1 .

The 1977 CANTERBURY CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS were run in four grades, the A grade being the strongest for several years. The field was made up of last year's winner Vernon Sma11, 'resurrected' Bruce Anderson (winner in $1966 \& 1968$ ), consistently good Jon Jackson and Allan (formerly, John) Johnston, evergreen Ari Nijman (champion in 1956, 1959 \& 1971), exOtagoite Martin Sims, ambitious school boys Warwick Norton \& Giles Bates, and two solid players in Bill Gibson and Robert Morrison, Pre-tournament forn, based on Vernon s two recent wins over Bruce, suggested that small would have the edge - but maybe it was time for Anderson to avenge himself?

It was Jon Jackson who made the early running, breaking through some weak tackling from the tailenders to score 5/5. He was then crash-tackled heavily and scored only a half point in the last four rounds, paralleling his performance in the South Island Championship - obviously a lack of staying power: The first game he lost was a deep one against Johnston, when the whistle blew for full time he still had 7 moves to make:
J.Jackson-A.J.Johnston, French: 1 e4 e6






 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Nfxd4 } & 23 & \mathrm{Cxd} 4 & \mathrm{Nxc4} & 24 & \mathrm{Rc} 1+\mathrm{Kb} 8 \\ \text { Qxd4+ } & 26 \mathrm{Kh1} \mathrm{Rhf8} & 27 \mathrm{Rf} 1 \mathrm{Bxb} 5 & 28 & \mathrm{Bxb} 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Qxd4+ } & 26 & \text { Kh1 Rhf8 } & 27 & \text { Rf1 Bxb5 } & 28 \\ \text { Rxfl+ } & 29 & \text { Qxfl Re8 } & 30 & \text { Qel } \mathrm{Qc} 3 & 31 \\ \text { Qbl }\end{array}$ Qc2 32 Qgl Qc5 33 Qfl Qc4, $0: 1$.

Meanwhile Small had dropped a possibly vital half point to his bete noire Bill Gibson in a miserable game which Gibson should have won. This apart Small was cruising through the field comfortably: V.A.Small-W.Norton, Sicilian: 1 e 4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Nc 3 g 6 $6 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 7 \mathrm{Be} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 6180-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{Qd} 2$ Ng4 10 Bxg4 Bxg4 11 Nd5 Bd7 12 c4 Ne5

13 b 3 e6 $14 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{Qa} 5 \quad 15 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 16 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{f} 5$ 17 exf5 gxf5 18 f4 Nf7 19 Racl ga8 20 Nce2 Qf6 21 Ng 3 (not only threatening Nh5 but also putting the brakes on e5) $21 . . . \mathrm{Qg} 6 \quad 22 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 23 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{~h} 424 \mathrm{Nge} 2$ e5 25 Nf 3 e4 $26 \mathrm{Nxh} 4 \mathrm{Qh} 5 \quad 27 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bf} 6$ $28 \mathrm{Rf} 2 \mathrm{Bxh} 429 \mathrm{gxh} 4 \mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 30 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Rg} 8 \quad 31$ Rfg2 Rxg2 32 Rxg2 Qxh4 33 Qd4 Rg8 34 cxd6 Nh6 35 Rxg8 Kxg8 36 Kg 2 Qh5 37 Qd5+ Kf8 $38 \mathrm{Nd4} \mathrm{Ng} 4$ (Desperation, as Black's kingside demonstration has come to nothing while White's pieces are dorinating the board; note how white 37 th and 38 th noves deny Black any chance of a perpetual check) 39 hxg 4 fxg4?, 1 . Black Qxg4t the White king easily escapes the Qxg4+ the white king easily escapes the checks on the queenside

Anderson's play was not as convincing but he was nevertheless winning, e.g.:
W.Norton-B.R.Anderson, Sicillan:

1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Ne6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 Nf6 5 Ne3 d6 6 Bc4 e6 7 Be3 Be7 8 Bb3 a6 9 Qe2 Qc7 10 0-0-0 $0-0 \quad 11 \mathrm{~g}_{4}$ 14 gh Nxa 13 Bxd4 Nc7 14 Rag1? (14 Rngl) $14 . . .13$, (his seems illogical and whice had 16 Na 2 and 16 h 4 with the idea of break ing up Black's kingside idea or break $16 . . \mathrm{Bb} 7 \quad 17 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \mathrm{Rfc} 8 \quad 18 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 19$ Qh4 16...Bb7 Again White has two alternative plans po Black's 55 or 19 Oh 3 giving f4-f5 pome force as wil as defending lateralIv) 19 h5 20- Bf6-85 21 Bdl Nxe4 22 3 (Interesting was 22 Bxh5 Nxd2 23 Be2 34 (Interesting was 22 Bxh5 Nxat 23 Be Bxf6 24 gxi6 NxC4 25 Qh6 Na3t 26 Ka2) Kd2 Bxf6 26 gxf6 0 e3t, $0: 1$.
The climax of the tournament came when Small beat Jackson and Anderson in successive rounds.
J.Jackson-V.A.Small, Grunfeld: 1 d4 Nf6 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 54 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 5 \mathrm{Bg} 5 \mathrm{dxc} 4$ 6 e4 Bg4 7 Bxc4 0-0 8 Od2 Nc6 9 0-0-0
 $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{Nd} \\ \mathrm{Kxg} 7 & 13 & \mathrm{Ne} 2 \mathrm{Nb} 6 & 14 & \mathrm{Bb} 3 & \mathrm{Nxd4} \\ 15 & \mathrm{Nxd} 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Kxg7 } & 16 & \mathrm{Ne} \\ \text { exd4 } & 16 & \text { Qxd4+ Qxd4 } & 17 \text { Rxd4 Rad8 } & 18\end{array}$ Rhd1 Rxd4 19 Rxd4 Kf6 20 f4! Ke7 21 Rd3 Nd7 22 Rh 3 Rh 8 (22...h5 23 f5!) 23 Re3 c6 $24 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 8 \quad 25 \mathrm{Ra} 3$ a6 26 Rb3 Nc5 27 Rb 6 Rd 728 e5 Kd8 29 f 5 $\mathrm{Kc} 7 \quad 30 \mathrm{Rb} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5 \quad 31 \mathrm{Rg} 4 \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 32 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Re} 733$ hxg5 hxg5 34 Rxg5 Rxe5 35 Rg 7 Re 7 ( 35 ...Re2 offered reasonable drawing chances) 36 f6 Ne6 (The big bluff, but 36
...Rd7 37 bf5 Ne6 38 Bxe6 fxe6 39 f7 is hopeless for Black) 37 fxe7? (Neither 37 Bf5 $\mathrm{Nxg7} 38 \mathrm{fxg7} 7 \mathrm{Rel}+\& \mathrm{Rg} 1$ nor 37 Rh7 Rd7 38 bf5 Kd6 \& Ke5 are any good for White but $37 \mathrm{Bg} 6!!$ calls Black's bluff and after 37...Nxg7 38 fxg7 Black does not have the resource of Rel+ $\& \mathrm{Rgl}) \quad 37 \ldots \mathrm{Nxg} 738 \mathrm{Bb} 3 \mathrm{f6} 39$ Kd2 (39 Be6 a5!) Ka7 40 Kc 3 Kxe 741 Nc4 Kd6 42 b4 Ne8 43 Bd3 Nc7 $44 \mathrm{a4}$ Ke5 45 Kc4 b5+ 46 axb5 axb5+ 47 Kb 3 Ke5 48 Bg 6 Nf 449 Be8 Ka6 50 Kc 3 NdS+ 0 : 1. The game was adjourned here but Jackson resigned without further play Black's winning plan is to keep the lay king tied down to his wand play $\mathrm{f} 5-\mathrm{f} 4$ and then penetrate with his king thag the bishop is driven away.

Allan Johnston should be pleased with his third place; one of his wins:
A.J.Johnston-W.Norton, Benoni: 1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e6 4 Nc3 exd5 5 cxd5 d 6 6 Nf3 g6 $\quad 7 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 8 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{O}_{0} 0 \quad 9 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Qe} 7$ 10 Na2 Na6 11 Ne4 Nc7 12 a4 Rb8 13 e4 b5 14 axb5 $5 \times \mathrm{Nx} 5 \quad 15 \mathrm{Nxb} 5 \mathrm{Rxb5} 16 \quad 0 \mathrm{~d} 3$
 $20 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{Ra4}$, 21 Rfa1 Rxa2 22 Rxa2 f5?
 Bh3 Rf8 27 Qcl Qe7 28 Bf4 Kh8 29 Be6
 Bxa 30 h 46 h6 Nxa6 g ( 33 Nf5 0d8 33 hxg $5 \mathrm{hxg} 534 \mathrm{Kg} 2,1$ : 0.

## 1234567890

1 Small VA $\quad$ x $1 \frac{1}{2} 1111 \frac{1}{2} 11188$
1 Small

| 2 | Anderson | B | R | 0 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Jackson J | 0 | 0 | 0 | $x$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & \text { Nijman A } & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \times & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 7 & \text { Bates G } & 0 & 0\end{array}$


 10 Sims IM $000 \frac{1}{2} 001 \frac{1}{2} 0 \mathrm{x}$
Russell Freeman, the Club's President, gained promotion to the A grade for next year's championships in winning the grade by a full point.
The C grade was won by D.Anderson while H.Wiek took out the D grade with a $100 \%$ score.
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## NZCA RATING LIST

This list includes the following events, the results of which were received before 10 November, namely Otago Easter Tournament, Civic Easter Tournament, Auckland Open Championship, North Island Championship, South Island Championship, North Shore Club Championship (A Grade), National Schoolpupils Championship, Waitemata Club Championships, Auckland Labour Weekend Open.
The list includes only those players active during the last two years. For provisionally rated players, the number of games played follows the rating.

| 1 | Sarapu 0 | 2366 | 50 | Hurley A | 1954 | 99 | Kinchant $K$ D | 1788 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Fairhurst Dr W | 2319 | 51 | Bates P | 1950 | 100 | Bloore R G | 1785/20 |
| 3 | Chandler M G | 2318 | 52 | Wilson W N | 1940/18 | 101 | Freeman M | 1783 |
| 4 | Anderson B R | 2285 | 53 | Carpinter B A | 1935 | 102 | Walker D R | 1780 |
| 5 | Garbett P A | 2282 | 54 | Metge J N | 1934 | 103 | Lancaster M | 1771 |
| 6 | Aptekar L | 2254 | 55 | Hawkes P D | 1932 | 104 | Belton C P | 1768 |
| 7 | Sutton R J | 2237 | 56 | Lichter D | 1920 | 105 | Bremner K | 1766/8 |
| 8 | Weir P B | 2224 | 57 | Spiller P S | 1919 | 106 | Okey K M | 1766 |
| 9 | Green E M | 2204 | 58 | Strevens R E | 1917 | 107 | Van Pelt J | 1764/5 |
| 10 | Small V A | 2189 | 59 | Steadman M | 1915 | 108 | Free T J | 1759 |
| 11 | Stuart P W | 2185 | 60 | Van Dam S | 1913 | 109 | Carter G | 1758 |
| 12 | Day A R | 2178 | 61 | Lyan K W | 1911 | 110 | Mancewicz | 1758 |
| 13 | Jensen K | 2178 | 62 | Barlow M J | 1908 | 111 | Livingston M J | 1757 |
| 14 | Smith R W | 2169 | 63 | Brunton D M | 1904 | 112 | Severinsen Q | 1754 |
| 15 | Carpinter A L | 2151 | 64 | Watson B R | 1903 | 113 | Knightbridge W | 1753 |
| 16 | Nokes R | 2142 | 65 | Campbell m | 1900/21 | 114 | Storey D J H | 1746 |
| 17 | Wansink R | 2121 | 66 | Goliogly D A | 1893 | 115 | Nysse J | 1745 |
| 18 | Feneridis A | 2095 | 67 | Baker C | 1893 | 116 | Robinson P G | 1745 |
| 19 | Paris P 0 | 2090 | 68 | Нааве G G | 1889 | 117 | Waite G S | 1741 |
| 20 | Deben B | 2083 | 69 | McIvor B W | 1885 | 118 | Burnett K | 1738/7 |
| 21 | Leomhardt W | 2082 | 70 | Marsick B H P | 1884 | 119 | Adams J | 1738 |
| 22 | Green P | 2077 | 71 | Mataga $P$ | 1874 | 120 | Adams D | 1726 |
| 23 | Wigbout M | 2073 | 72 | Ong R | 1873/6 | 121 | Nijman A | 1726 |
| 2 | Kerr A G | 2072 | 73 | Johnston J | 1870 | 122 | Trundle G E | 1717 |
| 5 | Brown W A R | 2061 | 74 | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{W}$ | 1865 | 123 | Voss P J | 1717 |
| 6 | Cornford L H | 2060 | 75 | Hoffmann P E | 1862 | 124 | Sims I M | 1717 |
| 7 | Jackson Jon | 2048 | 76 | Pool A | 1857 | 125 | Waddle Dr M H | 1716 |
| 8 | Flude D A | 2047 | 77 | Foord M | 1852 | 126 | Black R | 1715 |
| 29 | Laird C | 2038 | 78 | Kay J в | 1851 | 127 | Koloszar P | 1713 |
| 0 | Whaley M G | 2036 | 79 | Johnstone D G | 1848 | 128 | Cairns D J A | 1711/8 |
| 1 | Clemance P A | 2029 | 80 | Lanning R K N | 1847 | 129 | Henderson Dr A | 1711 |
| 32 | Evans M | 2028 | 81 | Goodhall D N A | 1845 | 130 | Thomson R | 1709 |
| 3 | Stonehouse T H | 2025 | 82 | Whitlock H P | 1840 | 131 | Gibbons R E | 1708 |
| 4 | Turner G M | 2020 | 83 | Arbuthnott J | 1840 | 132 | Winslade B | 1706/7 |
| 5 | Chiu G | 2020 | 84 | Cater J E | 1832 | 133 | Oliver D | 1705/8 |
| 6 | Beach D 0 | 2017 | 85 | Preece $P$ | 1823/8 | 134 | Fekete J | 1703 |
| 7 | Power P W | 2015 | 86 | Yee S | 1816 | 135 | Ziskin S | 1702/13 |
| 8 | Hensman P J | 2005 | 87 | Sell G J | 1813/23 | 136 | Skuja A N | 1701 |
| 9 | Van Dijk T | 2003 | 88 | Bemnett H | 1812 | 137 | Roberts M H | 1700 |
| 0 | Goffin P B | 1998 | 89 | Frankel $z$ | 1806 | 138 | Chin H | 1699 |
| 1 | Lynch D I | 1997 | 90 | Law B M | 1802 | 139 | Booth A J | 1698/7 |
| 2 | Whitehouse L E | 1993 | 91 | Dowden T | 1801 | 140 | Grainer J | 1698 |
| 3 | Perry R | 1983 | 92 | Kay B | 1798 | 141 | Mfils R L | 1697 |
| 4 | Cordue S | 1979 | 93 | Roundill R L | 1797 | 142 | Lamb P | 1685 |
| 5 | Beach P K | 1972 | 94 | Shardy 2 | 1794 | 143 | Haworth G | 1684 |
| 6 | Gibson D | 1969 | 95 | Downan I A | 1793 | 144 | Boyce D A L | 1683 |
| 7 | Cordue P | 1968 | 96 | Norton W | 1792/7 | 145 | $0^{\prime}$ Connor T P | 1682/21 |
| 8 | Hart B A | 1958 | 97 | SIdnam G | 1789/18 | 146 | Cowan G | 1681 |
| 9 | Love A J | 1957 | 98 | Malaraki G | 1789 | 147 | Bridges N P | 1679 |


| 148 | Brdjanovic M | 1676 | 208 | Weir T | 1524 | 268 | Schulz D | 1384 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149 | Earle S R | 1676 | 209 | Perry K | 1518/6 | 269 | Grevers L P | 1382/11 |
| 150 | Balme A | 1673 | 210 | Emslie B | 1518 | 270 | Horsley H | 1381/7 |
| 151 | Hollis W K | 1672 | 211 | Mitchell 1 R | 1515 | 271. | Oldridge C B W | 1378 |
| 152 | Fomotor P | 1670 | 212 | Crombie W | 1514/14 | 272 | Van Ginkel J | 1374/7 |
| 153 | Dominik A | 1666/20 | 213 | Knegt K | 1512 | 273 | Moore J | 1371/6 |
| 154 | Ion G J | 1665/18 | 214 | Watson M | 1512 | 274 | Benson C | 1366/12 |
| 155 | Wardrop J | 1665 | 215 | Bennett D | 1511/21 | 275 | Carter S | 1362 |
| 156 | Turner N | 1663 | 216 | Haapu S | 1510 | 276 | Sheridan L | 1357/22 |
| 157 | Baran P | 1663 | 217 | White M | 1508 | 277 | Noble M | 1354 |
| 158 | Steiner M | 1659 | 218 | Morrison M K | 1507 | 278 | Bridger M | 1352 |
| 159 | Cornelissen R | 1659 | 219 | Knowles A | 1507 | 279 | Stretch Ms | 1350 |
| 160 | Putt T J | 1657/5 | 220 | Dodd N | 1506/6 | 280 | Sinclair M C | 1345/5 |
| 161 | Ng G | 1656 | 221 | Keith D | 1505 | 281 | Caccioppoli $P$ | 1342/7 |
| 162 | Green W F | 1654/12 | 222 | Cockroft R | 1496 | 282 | Jones Miss G M | 1341/12 |
| 163 | Dixon $N$ | 1652/7 | 223 | Alexander R | 1492 | 283 | Shepherd R | 1334 |
| 164 | Fraser C | 1648/6 | 224 | Brannigan K | 1486 | 284 | Woodford R G | 1328 |
| 165 | Johnstone R B | 1643 | 225 | Dallow C | 1483 | 285 | Godtschalk R A | 1318 |
| 166 | Brimble M T | 1637 | 226 | Johnston A | 1480 | 286 | Neele R | 1317/8 |
| 167 | Be11 c | 1637 | 227 | Rogers M | 1478/18 | 287 | McLean T | 1315 |
| 168 | Rawnsley L | 1635 | 228 | Hignett A N | 1477/22 | 288 | Grinberg E | 1314/5 |
| 16 | Bennell D | 1629 | 229 | Johnston R | 1473/7 | 289 | Donselaar Mrs | 1313 |
| 170 | Rasmara A H | 1627/11 | 230 | F1ower G C | 1473 | 290 | Lander G | 1310 |
| 171 | Severinsen B | 1624 | 231 | Bowler R | 1469/7 | 291 | Cullen $R$ | 1304/7 |
| 172 | Evans N | 1621 | 232 | Carter P | 1467/14 | 292 | Tucker S | 1302/7 |
| 173 | Miller G P | 1620 | 233 | Cunningham P | 1466/8 | 293 | Hofsteede J | 1301 |
| 174 | Austin K | 1618/8 | 234 | Horwell P | 1466 | 294 | Collins Pete | 1301 |
| 175 | Davies R | 1616 | 235 | Beutner W | 1457 | 295 | Adams P | 1295 |
| 176 | Milne D J O | 1614/10 | 236 | Mabbett W H | 1454 | 296 | Lester W | 1292/14 |
| 177 | Williams B | 1613 | 237 | Holland J | 1451/15 | 297 | Phillips J | 1280 |
| 178 | Forster W | 1608 | 238 | Lane R | 1450 | 298 | Rombouts M J | 1278/8 |
| 179 | Bertram P | 1608 | 239 | Clowes C | 1448 | 299 | Bell ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | 1278 |
| 180 | Taylor J | 1603 | 240 | Pfahlert D | 1448 | 300 | Howell g | 1278/7 |
| 181 | Shuker R | 1600 | 241 | Drake A | 1444 | 301 | Scarr G E | 1272/8 |
| 182 | Ramsay W | 1599 | 242 | Pomeroy D M | 1442/11 | 302 | Atkinson J | 1268/6 |
| 183 | Henkel H | 1592 | 243 | Hill s | 1438 | 303 | Bowler Mrs E G | 1266 |
| 184 | Snelson P R | 1590/9 | 244 | Preston J | 1434 | 304 | 01d M | 1263 |
| 185 | $0^{\prime}$ Brien W | 1589 | 245 | Benbow M | 1434 | 305 | Chamberlain M | 1262/7 |
| 186 | Wong M | 1588 | 246 | Taylor D | 1428 | 306 | Rundle D | 1261/6 |
| 187 | Clayton I | 1584 | 247 | 01son J | 1423 | 307 | Allsobrook A J | 1257/14 |
| 188 | Van Oeveren C | 1584 | 248 | Rawneley P | 1422/7 | 308 | Hipkins B | 1256/6 |
| 189 | Bojtor J | 1582/10 | 249 | Weegenaar D | 1420/19 | 309 | De Oude H | 1255/8 |
| 190 | Basher R A | 1582/11 | 250 | Martin Mrs L | 1419/17 | 310 | Beattie Miss F | 1253 |
| 191 | Campbell I | 1578 | 251 | Freear C | 1418/8 | 311 | Sinclair M | 1248 |
| 192 | Mazur J J | 1577 | 252 | Blackburne M | 1416/7 | 312 | Martin S C | 1248/11 |
| 193 | Reid J | 1577 | 253 | Black R | 1416/13 | 313 | Dunningham M | 1246 |
| 194 | Price A | 1570/9 | 254 | Spiller T | 1413 | 314 | McCallum A | 1244/8 |
| 195 | Winter W | 1567/9 | 255 | Frost G R E | 1411 | 315 | Baldwin $P$ | 1236/6 |
| 196 | Thompson S | 1567 | 256 | Wall L | 1410/16 | 316 | Jones Les | 1236/17 |
| 197 | Blackburn $P$ | 1565/15 | 257 | BarIow I | 1408 | 317 | Powell Miss L | 1232 |
| 198 | Capper D | 1560 | 258 | Giles S | 1407/7 | 318 | Nicholls T | 1228/5 |
| 199 | Porter W | 1559/21 | 259 | Petrie B | 1403/16 | 319 | Strickett R | 1227 |
| 200 | Gibson W F | 1559/16 | 260 | Burgess B | 1402/7 | 320 | Arker G | 1225 |
| 201 | Pointon S | 1558/15 | 261 | Clay B | 1399 | 321 | Corbett P D | 1220 |
| 202 | Sarfati J | 1552 | 262 | Petch W | 1397/6 | 322 | McLaren L | 1216/7 |
| 203 | Mathias R | 1552/6 | 263 | Brookie R | 1395 | 323 | Mackie J | 1215 |
| 204 | Marner G | 1548 | 264 | Freeman B | 1390 | 324 | Fitchett P | 1213 |
| 205 | Aabryn E | 1533 | 265 | Skinner C | 1388/6 | 325 | Mailen S B | 1212 |
| 206 | Jackson R | 1528/6 | 266 | Sareczky G | 1388 | 326 | Chang A | 1209 |
| 207 | Howard M I | 1526/24 | 267 | Wallis J | 1386/5 | 327 | Waterson M | 1209/6 |


| 328 | Harding R | 1205/8 | 355 | Harris K | 1105/6 | 382 | Harrison A | 958/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329 | Watts D | 1202/6 | 356 | Borrie M | 1087/6 | 383 | Meek L L | 949/14 |
| 330 | Cameron D | 1202 | 357 | Paul D | 1078/22 | 384 | Bowler Jon | 948 |
| 331 | Phillips G | 1201/7 | 358 | Henderson A | 1073 | 385 | Staples M | 929/8 |
| 332 | Worthington S | 1193 | 359 | Carkeek P | 1072/7 | 386 | Rawnsley D C | 924 |
| 333 | Beyk A | 1193/7 | 360 | Cunningham $G$ | 1064 | 387 | Stinson I P | 918 |
| 334 | Williams G | 1192 | 361 | Fernando R | 1057/6 | 388 | Smith-West P | 902/5 |
| 335 | Eston R | 1188/8 | 362 | Has V | 1056 | 389 | Fernando T | 882/10 |
| 336 | Baran M | 1180 | 363 | Collins Paul | 1055/15 | 390 | Sievey J | 877/6 |
| 337 | Chandler K | 1178/8 | 364 | Slingsby A | 1052/19 | 391 | Vause S | 827/8 |
| 338 | Focas P | 1157/8 | 365 | Town D | 1050 | 392 | Watts M | 798 |
| 339 | King B | 1157 | 366 | Foster F | 1048/15 | 393 | Watifing R | 796/6 |
| 340 | Edwards F | 1152 | 367 | Malloy K J | 1048/10 | 394 | Fussell D | 759 |
| 341 | Graham M | 1146 | 368 | $0^{\prime}$ 'Reilly C | 1047/11 | 395 | Williams Y | 717/6 |
| 342 | Delany C | 1144/8 | 369 | Henderson N | 1046/7 | 396 | Ward A N | 696/5 |
| 343 | Anastasiadis M | 1138/7 | 370 | Feneridis C | 1046 | 397 | Glen S | 654 |
| 344 | Menzies N | 1137/7 | 371 | Chin P | 1040/21 | 398 | Burgess W | 630/6 |
| 345 | Walker M | 1135/16 | 372 | Wright A | 1031/6 | 399 | Gulik S | 623/6 |
| 346 | Mc Carthy K | 1130/11 | 373 | Hughes T | 1024 | 400 | Campbell L | 581/6 |
| 347 | Erry K | 1129/14 | 374 | Forrest M | 1022/6 | 401 | McNamara S | 577/7 |
| 348 | Ah-kit $G$ | 1115 | 375 | Andrews L | 1015/7 | 402 | Webber C H | 550/6 |
| 349 | Boughan A | 1114 | 376 | Boyd J K | 1012/11 | 403 | O'Shaugnessy B | 236/7 |
| 350 | Newman B | 1112 | 377 | Boyd S G | 1012/11 | 404 | Kilford S | 196 |
| 351 | Borrell D H | 1111/16 | 378 | Fisk I | 1010/8 | 405 | Buchanan $R$ | 169/5 |
| 352 | Dalziel I | 1111/6 | 379 | Jackson M | 997/7 | 406 | McRobie S | 20 |
| 353 | Darwin B W | 1109/7 | 380 | Blaikie J | 988 |  |  |  |
| 354 | King $P$ | 1108/11 | 381 | Parry N | 975 |  |  |  |

LOCAL NEWS contd
The annual PHILIPS TOURNAMENT in Wellington was held on 13 Septerber; the clash of dates with the Winstone Tournament in Auckland was perhaps unfortunate We have received no report but do have the scores, courtesy of Craig Laird!
A P.A.Garbett 3, D.0.Beach $1 \frac{1}{2}$, S.Cordue 1 , A.Feneridis $\frac{1}{2}$.
8 D.N.A.Goodhall $2 \frac{1}{2}$, M.Wigbont 2,2 。 Frankel I, R.M. $0^{\text {C Callhan }} \frac{1}{2}$.
C M.Evans 2 $\frac{1}{2}$, P.L.Cordue 2, P.Clark
$1 \frac{1}{2}$, A.Borren 0 .
D R.Bloore 3, R.Kent 2, P.Baxak 1, S.R.Earle 0.

E P.D.Hawkes \& J.Nysse 2, J.Reid \& W. Rausay 1.

F C.Cowan \& P.Rawnsley 2, J.B.Kay \& B.Foster 1.

G G.Marner 3, D.Clark 2, L.Powell 1, R.McLean 0 .

H M.Gillespie 2 $\frac{1}{2}$, A.Drake $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$, D.Keith \& G.Frost 1 .
I P. ©ollins 3, M.Noble 2, K.Chandler 1, M.Dunningham 0 .

J D.Bennett 3, J.Rickett 2, A.Donselaar 1. C.clowes 0 .
K J.Phillips \& F.Foster 2, J.Hofstede \& R.Glover 1 .
$L$ D.Adams 3, C.Feneridis 2, P.Cunainghan 1 , MoSinclair 0 .
M D.Dinkgreve 3, P.Collins 2, R.Mc Grath 1, J.Cunningham 0 .
N T.Hughes $2 \frac{1}{2}$, G.Cunningham 2 , M . Baran 1, M. Marner $\frac{1 / 2}{2}$.
0 P.Reid $2 \frac{1}{2}, ~ N . \operatorname{Papp} 2, ~ P . B o t r e t ~ I, ~$ P. Beckford $\frac{1}{2}$.

P P.Chin, G.Whte \& J.Blaikie 2, B.Anderson 0 .


This year saw the resuscitation of an AUCKLAN INTERCLUB Competition for clubs other than business houses. It may be remembered that when the Business House Tournament, sponsored by the AuckIand Star, started in 1973 the main clubs were also invited to compete, in order to give the event a healthy start. North Shore won a competition dominated
by the major clubs and it was decided to restrict the event to business houses from 1974 on.

This year's tournament was for teams of six and six teams entered. Before the tournament got under way it appeared that three teams (North Shore, University and the Auckland Centre) would dominate the other three since HowickPakuranga, headed by Richard Sutton and Ewen Green, was unable to field its other leading players. In the event Howick-Pakuranga took the lead in round one by winning 3 $\frac{1}{2}: 2 \frac{1}{2}$ against Birkdale North while North Shore drew 3:3 with University and Auckland was surprisingly held to a draw by unfancied Papatoetoe, the latter being helped by the Centre defaulting one game - even though the Centre was the venue for all matches, which were played on Sunday evenings. From then on North Shore took command with decisive victories against HowickPakuranga and Birkdale North and a loss to the Centre in the penultimate round did not affect first place. Auckland was perhaps lucky to shade University for second as, in their individual round two clash,it looked for some time as though University would win by about 5:1:

## 010203040506

|  | NORTH SHORE | xx | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | AUCKLAND | 4 | xx | 31/2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 20-6 |
| 3 | UNIVERSITY | 3 | 21/2 | xx | 5 | 41/2 | 4 | 191/2 |
| 4 | HWK-PAKURANGA | 1 | 2 | 1 | xx | 4 | 31/ | 111/2 |
|  | Papatoetoe | 0 | 3 | $1{ }^{1 / 2}$ | 2 | xx | 5 | 111/2 |
|  | BIRKDALE NORTH | 0 | 0 | $1^{1 / 2}$ | $21 / 2$ | 1 | x | 5 |

The 1977 AUCKLAND LABOUR WEEKEND TOURNAMENT was organised by the Auckland Centre and generously sponsored by the Papatoetoe Glass Company. The tournament was a 5 round Swiss with a time control of 40 moves in 2 hours and 20 moves per hour thereafter. The entry of 24 , though small in number, was solid in strength throughout. Lev Aptekar, Robert Smith, Tom Stonehouse, Wolf Leonhardt and Craig Laird were the top seeds and more or less dominated the tournament. Bruce Winslade, as well as being a bit of a pool shark, directed with authority and handled the tournament extremely well. His life was not made easy with numerous hassles which could have been avoided if players would take the simple step of becoming
familiar with the laws of chess.
Round 1 held few surprises with the top seeds either drawing or winning. Most interest was centred around one of the lower boards in which a wild game was taking place between Bruce Marsick and the writer; after reaching a favourable position, the writer managed to exterminate himself swiftly.
Nothing extraordinary happened in the second round with the top players all forging ahead. Leaders at this stage were Aptekar, Smith \& Metge with 2.

Round 3 saw Aptekar win easily when Metge blundered early in the game to give Lev an extra piece. Smith showed he was in good form by beating Leonhardt in a good game. Marsick scored a goo win against George Trundle with his favourite 'Tal' Sicilian:
B.Marsick-G.Trundle, Stcilian: 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 d6 3 d4 exd4 4 Qxd4 Bd7 5 c4 Nf6 6 Nc 3 Nc $6 \quad 7$ Qd2 g6 8 b3 18 Bg 79 Bb2 $00-0 \quad 10$ Be2 a6 11 0-0 Qc7 12 NdS Qd8 13 Nxf6t exf6 14 Qxd6 Re8 15 Nd 2 Re6 16 Qd3 Qe7 17 f4 $4 c 5+\quad 18 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Rd} 6$ 19 Qc3 Rd8 20 e5 fxe5 21 Ne4 Qa7 22 Nxd6 Nd4 23 Qe3 Qb8 24 fxe5 Nc2 25 Qf4 Nxal 26 Qxf7+ Kh8 27 Qe7 Bc6 28 Qxd8+, 1 : 0 .
Smith fought out an exciting draw with Aptekar in round 4. In the fifth round Aptekar very quickly put paid to Laird Mete Sither Mick rigel Metge to share sead third plat take third place by Dr A.J.Henderson, S. Wan Dam and To Putt.
L.Aptekar-C.Laird, Nimzowitsch Defence: 1 e4 Nc6 2 d4 d5 3 exd5 Qxd5 4 Nf3 Bg4 5 Be 2 e5 6 c4 Qast 7 Bd 2 Bb 4 d5 Bxf3 9 Bxf3 Bxd2+ 10 Nxd2 Na4 11 $0-0 \mathrm{Ne} 712 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 13 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 0-0 \quad 14 \mathrm{Nb} 3$ Nxb3 15 Be6+ Kh8 16 Qxb3 Ng6 17 Rad 1 Rad8 18 Qc2 Qc5 19 Qxg6!: f5 20 Rd3 Rf6 21 Qg 5 h6 22 Rh3 Qxc4 23 Rxh6+! , 1 : 0 .

Scores: 1-2 L.Aptekar \& R.W.Smith $4 \frac{1}{2}$; 3 M.Steadman 4; 4-5 C.Laird \& B.H.P. Marsick 312 ${ }^{2}$; 6-11 W.Leonhardt, J.N.Metge, P.Mataga, K.D.Kinchant, A.J.Henderson S.Van Dam 3; 12-14 T.H.Stonehouse, Z Frankel \& T.Putt 2年; 15-20 P.G.Robia son, D.J.H.Storey, M.K.Morrison, 21 reczky, P.D.Corbett \& Sinclair 2,219

## ANNOTATED GAMES

From the 1977 Canterbury C1ub Championship. Notes by Martin Sims.

## V.A.SMALL B.R.ANDERSON

## Vienna Game

1 e4 e5
First surprise; Bruce usually defends the Sicilian.
2 Nc3!
Side stepping any of Bruce's prepared lines after 2 Nf3.
2...Nf6 $3 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Bc} 54 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 5 \mathrm{Nge} 2$ Nc 6

Now that White's knight is on e2,
Black could try the aggressive 5... 55 as was tried by Nunn recently.
6 Na4 Bg4 7 f3
On 7 Nxc5 Vernon was worried about 7 ...Nd4 but after 8 f3 White seeme to win after either 8...Nxf3+ 9 Kf 2 or 8 ....Bxf3 9 Bxf3 Nxf3+ 10 Kf 2.
7...Bh5?!
7...Bd7 seems better, but not 7...Be6 which only encourages $\mathrm{f} 4-\mathrm{f} 5$.
8 d3 h6 9 Nxc5 dxc5 10 Be3 Qe7 11 0-0 Rd8

With the idea of putting pressure on White's centre by c4 and Nb4
12 Qcl!
Killing the pin.
12...Nd4!?
12...0-0 $13 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Bg} 6 \quad 14 \mathrm{~g} 5$ : followed by f 4 is hardly appetizing for Black, yet the resultant position after the text does not look too good for Bruce.
13 Nxd4 cxd4 14 Bd2 Nd7
The battle Iines are set in this King's Indian Reversed but within a few moves it becomes clear that White has a decisive advantage as his kingside attack is already beginning to roll whereas Black's queenside counterplay has yet to get off the ground.
 Bxd7

Getting rid of his bad bishop.
18. . . Oxd7 19 f5 Bf7

White's kingside attack has gathered further momentum whereas Black is still just winding up.
$\begin{array}{rlrl}20 & \mathrm{g4} \mathrm{c5} & 21 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{c4} \quad 22 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{hxg5} 23\end{array}$
25 Qg4 would be premature; the text speeds up White's attack as Black is forced to double rooks in order to penetrate, but in so doing he removes a defensive piece from the kingside.
25...Rc6 26 Qg4 cxd3 27 cxd3 Rfc8 28 Rg 2

With the idea 29 Bf6 winning.
28. . Be8

If 28...Rc2 then $29 \mathrm{Bf} 6 \mathrm{Rxg} 2+30$ Qxg2 and 31 Bxe5.

29 Rfl Qf7
Aiming to defend by ...Qh5.
30 Rh2 Rc2 31 Rh3!
"The best move of the game - without it Black stands well", Small.
31...Rxb2 32 Qh4 Kf8
32...Qh5 33 Qxh5 Bxh5 34 Rxh5 Rec2 35 f6 wins, e.g. $35 . . . \mathrm{gxf6} 36 \mathrm{Bxf6} \mathrm{Rg} 2+$ 35 f 6 wins, e.g. $35 \ldots \mathrm{gxf6} 36 \mathrm{Bxf6} \mathrm{Rg} 2+$
37 Khl Rxa2 38 Bxe5 with the threat of 37 Khl Rxa
Rh8 mate.

33 f6 gxf6 34 Bxf6
It was not too late for White to throw it all away by 34 Rxf6? Qxf6! and Black wins.
34...Qxg6+ 35 Rg 3 Qh5 36 Be 7 mate.

A beautifully played by Robert Wansink from the Auckland University Championship. Notes by Nigel Metge.

## R.WANSINK N.METGE

French Defence
$\begin{array}{lrllllllll}1 & e 4 & e 6 & 2 & d 4 & \text { d5 } & 3 & \mathrm{Nd} 2 & \mathrm{Nc} 6 & 4\end{array}$ Rare.
7...b6 8 h4

If 8 Bh 3 then $8 . . \mathrm{h} 5$ with the idea h 5 -h4.
8...a5 9 Bf4

On 9 a4 I intended 9...Ba6.

## 9...a4 $10 \mathrm{Nc} 1 \mathrm{Bb4+l}$ ?

Not expecting White to play into the game line; 11 bd2 followed by 12 c3 was the alternative.
11 c3 a3 12 cxb4 axb2 $13 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{bxc} 1 Q$ 14 Qxc1 Ne7 15 Qc2 c5
Black has achieved a positional goal
of the French, viz exchange of the white d-pawn.
16 Bh3!?
On $16 \mathrm{Bb} 5,16 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$.
16...Nc6?

This natural move leads to hot water. 17 0-0! Nxb4
Or 17...Nxd4 18 Nxd 4 cxd 4 and 19 Qc6 threatening Bxe6 is awkward.
18 Rxb4 cxb4 19 Bg5 f6


20 Bxe6!
Having sacrificed the exchange Robert now offers a piece. 20...Nxe5 is successfully met with either 21 Nxe5 or 21 dxe5. Hence, in order to keep the e-file closed, Black takes the bishop.
20...fxg5 21 Bxd5 Ra5

Perhaps an artificial reply - the black QB is no longer protected. On 21...Ba6 (or $21 \ldots$...Rb 8 ) 22 Nxg 5 the compIfcations are intractable
22 Nxg5! Nc5
Possibly best was 22...Rxd5 23 Ne6 Bb7 24 Nxd8 Kxd8. The passed central pawns make life difficult for Black.

23 Bf7+ Ke7 24 dxc5 Rxc5 25 Qb3 Rxe5 26 Qxb4+ Qd6 27 Qxd6+ Kxd6 28 Bb3

Now Black must return the exchange leaving White a sound pawn ahead.
28...Rf8 29 Nf7+ Rxf7 30 Bxf7 Be6 31 Вхе6 Кхеб

Is this ending drawn? Fine indicates that where the defending king is on the same side as the potential passed pawn the winning method is:

1) Placing of $K$ and $R$ on 'best
squares';
2) Advancing pawns without actually creating a passed pawn;
3) Entry of king on the other wing.
$32 \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 33 \mathrm{Rb} 2 \mathrm{~h} 5 \quad 34 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \quad 35$ Kf3
White threatens $36 \mathrm{Rb4}$ with the idea Rf4+ cutting the black king off from the queenside. Unfortunately, B1ack now blundered with ....
35...Ke6?? $36 \mathrm{Re} 2,1: 0$.


The following position was reached after 29 moves of the game Leonhardt Gollogly in the 1977 North Shore Club Championship. David Gollogly provides the notes to this interesting endgame.


White has a very strong position with his control of the d-file, advantage in space and his strong passed e-pawn, not to mention Black's exposed king.

> W.LEONHARDT D.A.GOLLOGLY

## 30 Rd6+?!

This violent move gives the endgame its interest. Nevertheless, simply 30

Bf3 was strong and in practical play probably the best move．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
30 & \because & B x d 6 \\
31 & \text { Rxd } 6+ &
\end{array}
$$

After 31 exd6 Black has $31 \ldots$ ．．．Rd8 with equality at least，e．g． 32 Bf 3 Rxd 633 Rel＋Kf7 34 Be5 Re7．

| 31 | M． | Ke 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | Rxh6 | Rd 7 |

Black must activate his pieces，even at the cost of a pawn or two

| 33 | Bxe6 | Rdit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | Kh2 | Red8 |
| 35 | 24 | Rbl |

35．．．Rd2 may have been stronger．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
36 & \mathrm{Ba} 3+ & \mathrm{K} f 7 \\
37 & \mathrm{Bd} 5+ & \mathrm{Kg} 7
\end{array}
$$

Not 37．．．Ke8？？ 38 e6 and White mates．

$$
38 \text { Rxbs }
$$

White appears to have an easily wor game with three pawns，the bishoy pair and a strong initiative for the ex－ chang．．Black，however，has a neat tac－ tical resource which keeps him in the gane．

$$
38 \quad \therefore \quad 94!
$$

Foreseen as Eny back as move 37 ： White＇s reply is forced．

$$
39 \quad 9^{3}
$$

$$
43!
$$

Seens to be best．The $f$－pawn now threatens to quees in two moves and together with the rook，imprisons the thite king．
$\begin{array}{ll}40 & \text { Rf6 } \\ 41 & B C 1\end{array}$
Rhy

The sealed move．


42 h 4 ？
White misses the win．Correct was 42 Rf7＋！Kg6 43 h 4 ，the point being that Black cannot now play 43．．．Re8 because of $44 \mathrm{~h} 5+$ ：Kxh5 45 Be 4 Rh 846 Rf 6. Black＇s best try is 43．．．Rbl 44 Ba Re8 45 Bd6（ $45 \mathrm{~h} 5+$ is not dangerous without White＇s bishop on the cl－h6 diagonal； 45 Be4＋？Kxf7 46 Bxbl Be6 Ioses for White）45．．．Be6（Black must prevent $46 \mathrm{~h} 5+$ when $46 . . \mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 47 \mathrm{Be} 7+$ Kh6 $48 \mathrm{Bf8}+\mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 49 \mathrm{~h} 6,46 \ldots \mathrm{Kxh} 547$ $\mathrm{Rh7}+\mathrm{Kg} 5 \quad 48 \mathrm{Bf7}$ ，and 46．．．Kh6 47 e6 Bxe6 48 Bf4＋Kxh5 49 Rf6 are all win－ ning for white） 46 Rf6 6 Kg7 47 Bxe6 Rxe6 48 Rxe6 f2 $49 \mathrm{Bf} 8+$ ！（Black＇s king is driven into the corner before White gives back his rook）49．．．Kg8 50 $\mathrm{Rg} 6+\mathrm{Kh} 7 \quad 51 \mathrm{Rg} 7+\mathrm{Kh} 8 \quad 52 \mathrm{Rf7} \mathrm{f1Q} \quad 53$ Rxf1 Rxfl 54 Bd 6 ．This ending is won for White，e．g．54．．．Rc1（black＇s other try， 54 ．．．Ral narrowly fails： 55 c5！Rxa4 56 c6 Kg8 57 e6 Rc4 58 c7 $a 459$ e7 Kf7 60 h 5 a3 61 h 6 a 262 Be5！and Black is too late after 62．．． Kxe7 $63 \mathrm{h7}$ ） $55 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 7 \quad 56$ e6 Kf6（what else？） $57 \frac{\mathrm{e} 7 \mathrm{Kf7}}{} 58 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Rc} 2+59 \mathrm{Kf1}$ loses as before） $60 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{(60}$ loses as berore） $60 \mathrm{Kel} \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{(60...Kal}$ is no better－If Black＇s rook leaves the c－file White may then have the Rc4 62 c 2 H ！followed by c7） 61 Kd ． Kf7 $65 \mathrm{Ka5} \mathrm{Ke} 866 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \mathrm{Rb} 167 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Bb}$ 68 c 6 Rbl 69 Kc 8 Bl 70 c 7 Bl 71 h5 etc．

42
ReB！
Buack frees the square h8 for hed kirg，thus avoiding the above varia－ rions，and also threatens Whiters e－ pawn．
$\begin{array}{ll}43 & \text { Bh6t } \\ 44 & \text { Bf8！}\end{array}$
Khe
Not considered in my adjoumment analysis？Other moves lose for thite， e．g． $44 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{Rb} 2+45 \mathrm{Kgl} \mathrm{Re} 246 \mathrm{Bgb}$ Rd8 47 Rd6 Rxd6 48 exdb Reb 49 Bft
 $49 \mathrm{Kfl} \mathrm{Rxf8} 50$ e6 $\mathrm{kg} 7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{e}^{7} \mathrm{Rb} 8$ etc． Fortunately，after thee text，Black still has a draw．

| 44 | $\because$ | $R b 2+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | $K g 1$ | $R b 1+$ |
| 46 | $K f 2$ | $R b 2+$ |
| 47 | $K f 1$ | $R b 1+$ |
| 48 | $K f 2$ |  |

$\frac{3}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$
48．．．Rb2＋ $49 \mathrm{Ke3}$ Rxe5＋followed by 50．．．Rh5 is risky，albeit for both sides，but should not be worse for Black．

## 㿾 品 号

A Calculated Risk
In modern lines of the Sicilian De－ fence it has become apparent that Black will have to put up with a brisk king－ will have to put up with a brisk king－ side attack while he himself is still It is tempting to postpone kingside castling，so that White will not be able to compit himself completely to the attack and may find himself under mount－ ing pressure by the time Black＇s king is eventually moved away from the centre． This strategy evidently exposes Black to some risks，but in practical terms it can pay dividends．White may not find a good way of exploiting the position of Black＇s king or，having embarked on vigorous sacrificial measures with that end in view，he may efther become over－ confident，or lose himself in a maze of variations．In the following game，from the Asian Team Championship，Dozsa de－ cides to take that risk，but finds that he is unable to cope with his defensive problems．Notes are by Richard Sutton （based on Velimirovic＇s analysis in ECO）．

R．MASCARINAS P．DOZSA
Sicilian Defence

| 1 | e4 | c5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nf3 | Nc6 |
| 3 | d4 | cxd4 |
| 4 | Nxd4 | Nf6 |
| 5 | Nc3 | d6 |
| 6 | Bc4 |  |

In earlier days White used to play 6 Be2 which permitted Black a choice of $6 \ldots .$. e5，6．．．g6 or 6．．．e6．The move played is much more aggressive．It con－ trols d5 so that 6．．．e5 7 Nde2 is not attractive for Black．If 6．．．g6？then 7 Nxc6 bxc6 8 e5！is unpleasant．It also provides added strength to White＇s queenside，so he can contemplate cast－ ling on that side so as to be unhampered in his projected kingside pawn storm． In the moves which follow，White adopts a system of development favoured by GM

Velimirovic．

| 6 | $\ldots$ | e6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | Be3 | Be7 |
| 8 | Qe2 | a6 |
| 9 | $0-0-0$ | Qc7 |

As it turns out，the queen is not happily placed here；but other methods of development do not seem better，e．g． $9 . . . \operatorname{Bd} 710 \mathrm{Bb} 3$ Qa5 $11 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{~b} 5 \quad 12 \mathrm{a} 3$ 6413 axb4 Nxb4 14 g 4 Rc 8 （ $14 \ldots 0-0$ 15 g 5 is better for White） 15 g 5 Rxc3 16 gxf6 Rxe3 17 Qxe3 Bxf6 $18 \mathrm{Kbl} 0-0$ 19 e5！Be7（19．．．dxe5 20 fxe5 Qxe5 21 Qxe5 Bxe5 22 Nf3） 20 Rhg 1 g6 $21 \mathrm{f5}$ ！ and White had a winning attack in Veli－ mirovic－Pekjun 1972 （Informant 14／407）．

$$
10 \quad \mathrm{Bb} 3
$$

Na5
If Black tries an immediate 10 ．．．b5， the answer is 11 Nxc6（a common ploy against an early b7－b5）11．．．Qxc6 12 Bg5 Bb7 13 Rhel Qc7 14 a3 and White is well placed in the centre and on the kingside while Black has made little progress towards his goals on the queen－ side．

## $11 \quad \mathrm{~g} 4$

Aimed at dislodging the Nf6 and set－ ting up a spearhead for a kingside attack．
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| 11 | $\ldots$ | b5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | g5 | Nxb3 |
| 13 | axb3 | Nd7 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Nf5}$ |  |

A forcing continuation which opens the e-file; the piece sacrifice is only temporary.

| 14 |  | exf5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | Nd5 | Qd8 |
| 16 | exf5 | Bb7 |



It is clear that the king must soon depart for the kingside, and his exit will not be a dignified one. The only question is whether he decamps immediately, or shutfles across in slow and painin the fmediate 16, 0-0, VelimirovicSofrevsit 1965 Int...0 17 f6 18 Bd4 Ne5 19 oxf6 Bxf6 20 Rhgl+ Bg7? 21
 f6 23 Ne7+ Kh8 24 Rxd8 fxe5 25 Rxf8 f6 $23 \mathrm{Ne} 7+\mathrm{Kh} 824 \mathrm{Rxd} 8$ fxe5 25 Rxf8+ Bg7?, 20...Kh8 is possible because if 21 $f 4$ Bé 1 .... he has prospects of defence. So it may be that prospects of defence So it may be that White does better to
 overwhelming) 19 f 4 : keeping the black overwhelming
knight out of e5 and threatening $20 \mathrm{Qg} 2+$ knight out of e5 and threatening $20 \mathrm{Qg} 2+$ and 21 Nxf6 attacking the Ra8. If Black
replies $19 \ldots$ Bb7, then $20 \mathrm{RhgI}+\mathrm{Kh} 821$ replies 19...Bb7, then 20 Rhglt Kh8 21
Nxf6 Nxf6 22 Bd 4 threatening to bring Nxf6 Nxf6 22 Bd 4 threatening to bring the queen to h4, via g4 or 18 Nxe7+ Qxe7 19 gxf6 so that, if 19...Qxf6 (19...Nxf6 20 Bd4l and wins), 20 f4! Re8 21 Qg2+ with multiple threats. Other queen moves are no better: 19...Qe6 20 Qf3 followed by Qg3, or 19...Qe4 20 RhgI+ Kh8 21 Rd4 Qe6 22 Rdg4 Nxf6? 23 Bd4 Bb7 24 Qxe6 fxe6 $25 \mathrm{Rg} 8+$ ! Rxg8 26 Bxffer Rg 7 27 Rxg7 Rf8 $28 \mathrm{Rg} 6+$ ending the exchange
dozsa is not willing to allow his chances, such a plethora of attacking White's powerful Na5, keep his king close to the Be 7 to give it extra protection, and retain his Rh8 where it can if necessary contest the g-file. True, his rooks will not speak to each other for a whfle, but in a position such as this some embarrassments must be expected!

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
17 & \text { f6 } & \text { gxf6 } \\
18 & \text { Rhel! } &
\end{array}
$$

Correctly judging that the issue will now be contested in the centre rather than on the kingside. It is now too late for 18...0-0 because of 19 Nxe7+ Qxe7 20 gxf6 Qxf6 21 Qg4+ winning back the knight.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
18 & \text { B. } & \text { Bxd5 } \\
19 & \text { Rxd5 } & \text { Rg8! }
\end{array}
$$

Again, if 19...0-0 20 gxf6 Bxf6 (20 ...Nxf6 $21 \mathrm{Rg} 5+$ and $22 \mathrm{Bd4)} 21 \mathrm{Rxd6}$ and the double threat of 22 Qg4+ and Qd2 will win back the knight, leaving White with an extra pawn and a strong game; or else White may continue the attack with $21 \mathrm{Rg} 1+\mathrm{Bg} 722 \mathrm{Qh} 5$.

## 20 Bf4!?

More straightforward is 20 gxf6 Nxf6 21 Rf5 Rg6 22 Bb6 Qd7 which leads to a game which is slightly inferior for Black but by no means fatal; if 23 Qf3 Rb8 24 Rxf6 Rxb6 25 Oa8+ Od8 26 Rxe7+ Kxe7 27 Rxf7+ Kxf7 28 Qxd8 (Kupreichik -Beljavski 1974) ECO recommends 28...d5: connecting the rooks and the endgame is unclear despite White's two pawn advantage after the win of the pawn on d5
With the move played, White tries to get his opponent muddled - and succeeds?

## 20

Ra7?
An inconsequent move, after which his position swlftly collapses. Much better is $20 \ldots$...Kf8!, unpinning the Be7 and leadIng to general exchanges if White captures on d6, e.g. 21 Bxd6 Bxd6 22 Rxd6 fxg5 23 Qd2 Ra7 24 RdI Qe7 and White regains his sacrificed piece but with no advantage. Or if 21 gxf6 Nxf6 22 Bh6+ Ke8 23 Rf5 Rg6 etc. Velimirovic gives Bxa6+ Ke6 24 c4!, Martinovic-Musil 1973) 22 Qe2 Qd8 with repetition of moves. Perhaps Mascarinas, who would know of
this analysis, had some other plan of attack in mind if he reached this position.

| 21 | Bxd6 | Nb6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | Bxe7 | Qxd5 |
| 23 | Bxf6+ | Qe6 |

Forced, since 23...Kd7 24 Qe7+ Kc6 25 Qxa7 is hopeless for Black in view of his material deficit and exposed king.

| 24 | Qd2 | Rd7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | Rxe6+ | fxe6 |
| 26 | Qe2 |  |

Black has given back his extra mater al in the worst possible circumstance since his rooks are still unconnected and his king exposed to the combined attentions of $Q$ and $B$.

| 26 | O. | Kf7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | Qh5+ | Kf8 |
| 28 | Qh6+ | Ke8 |
| 29 | Qh3! | Rd6 |
| 30 | Qxh7 | Rf8 |
| 31 | Qe7 mate |  |
|  | $1: 0$ |  |
|  | 鹪 |  |

Also from the Asian Teams - notes by Paul Garbett.
J.S. SAMPOUW P.A.GARBETT

| 1 | e4 | $c 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nf3 | e6 |
| 3 | $d 4$ | $c x d 4$ |
| 4 | Nxa4 | Nc5 |
| 5 | Be3 |  |

Not the best; Black is left with some good options.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
5 & \ldots \\
6 & \text { Nd } 2
\end{array}
$$

Nf6

6 Nc3 Bb4 is good for Black; 6 Bd3 e5:? is interesting

$$
5 \quad \ldots \quad \mathrm{Be} 7
$$

Tempting is 6...d5, but 7 exd5 Nxd5 8 Nxc6 bxc6 9 Bd4 is very awkward. Therefore Black decided to develop his kingside first.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
7 & \mathrm{Be} 2 \\
8 & 0-0
\end{array}
$$

0-0

Now Black's $\mathrm{d} T$ - d 5 is strong, therefor 8 c 4 could have been considered when efther 8...d5 or 8....Bb4 seem satis-
factory.

| 8 | $\ldots$ | d5 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | exd5 | Nxd5 |
| 10 | Nxc6 | bxc6 |

Now, whatever White plays, Black gains the two bishops and open lines which more than outweigh his inferior pawn structure.

## 11 Bd3?!

Opting for an open fille at the expense of a weak e-pawn. The alternative is 11 Bd4 Nf4.

| 11 | $\ldots$ | Nxe3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | fxe3 | g6 |
| 13 | Qf3 | Qd5! |
| 14 | Qg3 | Qd6 |

Not $14 \ldots$.. Bd $6 \quad 15$ Qh4 threatening Ne4.

| 15 | Qh3 | Rb8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | Rf2 | Rb4! |

With the idea 17...e5 18 Qg3 Eh4
17 g3
Qc5

| Wins a pawn. |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Raf1 | Qxe3 |
| 19 | a3 | Rd4 |
| 20 | Nf3 | Rdd8? |

Safer was 20...e5 21 Qg 2 Rdd8 22 Re 1 0663 Khl f 6 and White has fewer threats than in the game.


## 21 Rel

Re 1
g 4
e5!
The best chance; if 22 Rxe3 Bxh3 23 Rxe5 Bd6, White's rook can be forced off the fifth rank and Black then wins the exchange, e.g. 24 Ra 5 Bc 7 followed by 25 ...Bb6. If 22 Qg 2 , simply $22 . . . \mathrm{Qb} 6$ is good.

22 ．．．Qf4

Essential was 23 Re4 when，after 23. ． Qcl＋ 24 Rf1 Qxb2 25 Rxe5 Bf6，Black should hold off the attack and stay a pawn up，e．g．if 26 Rh5，then $26 \ldots$ ．．．Rxd3：

| 23 | $\cdots$ | $B C 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | Qg 3 | Bxf2＋ |

Declining to double White＇s pawns with $24 . . . Q x g 3+$ as then the open $h$－file could be dangerous．

| 25 | Qxf2 | Qxf2＋ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | Kxf2 | Rd6 |
| 27 | Rg1 | Re8 |
| 28 | $N f 3$ | C5 |
| 29 | g5 | Rb6 |
| 30 | b3 | Ba6 |
| 31 | Ne1 | f5 |

To stop Rg4 when Black plays c5－c4

| 32 | a4 | c4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | bxc4 | Rc8 |
| 34 | a5 | Rd6 |
| 35 | Rg3 | Bxc4 |
| 36 | Re3 | Bxd3 |
| 37 | cxd3 | Kf8 |
| 38 | Re5 | a6 |
| 39 | Re2 | Rc5 |
| 40 | Rb2 | Rd7 |

The game was adjourned here but the win is fairly simple．

| 41 | Ra？ | Kg 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | Ras | Rb5 |
| 43 | n4 | h6 |
| 44 | Ra3 | hxg 5 |
| 45 | hxg5 | Rdd5 |
| 46 | Nf 3 | Rxa5 |
| 47 | Rc3 | Rdc5 |
| 48 | Rb3 | Rab5 |
| 49 | Ra3 | a5 |
| 50 | Ke3 | Rb4 |
| 51 | d4 | Rcb5 |
| 52 | Kf4 | a4 |
| 53 | Ral | Rb7 |
| 54 | Ke5 | Rb3 |
| 55 | Nd2 | Re3＋ |
| 56 | Kdб | Rd3 |
| 57 | Kc6 | Rb4 |
|  |  |  |

Kai Jensen annotates his best game from the World Junior at Innsbruck．

[^0]
## English Opening

| 1 | C4 | Nf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nc3 | g6 |
| 3 | g3 | Bg7 |
| 4 | Bg2 | $0-0$ |
| 5 | Nf3 | d6 |
| 6 | $0-0$ | e 5 |
| 7 | d 3 |  |

Now all the possibilities of trans－ position are no more and we have settled into a kind of reversed CIosed Sicilian． I have played this opening as Black per－ haps five times in tournament games and cannot remember losing．

| 7 | $\cdots$ | Nc6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Rb |  |

invariably play this move．It does not prevent b2－b4，but allows Black to exchange his a－pawn which might other－ wise become weak after a subsequent b4－ 65.

| 9 | a 3 | h6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{b4}$ | $\mathrm{axb4}$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{axb4}$ | Be6 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{b5}$ | Ne7 |
| 13 | Qc2 | Na5 |

Evading the positional trap 13．．．Qd7？ $14 \mathrm{c5}$ ：

| 14 | Bb2 | f5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | NdZ | Rb8 |
| 16 | Ral |  |

If White tries to prevent f5－f4 by 16 f4，he loses a pawn：16．．．exf4 if gxf4 Md4＋and 13．．．Be3．

| 16 | $\ldots$ | f4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | Raj | Qc8 |
| 18 | Nde4 |  |

Poring over the board before this move I had found a rather pretty variation． White can try to prevent the exchange of white－squared bishops by 18 Rfai．If the 18．．．Bh3 then h1 is probably the best retreat square；but white can try to throw a tactical spanner in the works of the black attack with 19 bast Nxd5 20 Nxd．threatening 21 Ne7＋．Now comes the

 fence）Exil 25 Kogl（anot be stopped all his tix

The move played aims at mmediate
queenaide counterplay with $55-\mathrm{b} 6$ ．At this stage，baid bernat afterwazds，ke thought
he was winning．I thought I was winning too．
$\begin{array}{ll}18 \\ 19 & \ddot{0} 6 \\ 20\end{array}$
Bh 3
Bxg 2

Not 20 bxc7 Bxfl 21 cxb 8 Q Qh3

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
20 \\
21 & \text { hxg } 3
\end{array}
$$

fxg3
Again bxc 7 fails，this time to $21 \ldots$ Nf4＋．

$$
21 \text {... Nfa }
$$

The only good way to continue the attack．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
22 & g \times f 4 & \text { Qg4t } \\
23 & \text { Ng3! } &
\end{array}
$$

Whate is trying to win too．After 23 Kh2，Black has at least a perpetual．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
23 & \cdots & \text { exf4 } \\
24 & \text { bxc } 7 & \text { Rbe8! }
\end{array}
$$

This allows White to play along the 7 th rank but the alternative $24 . . . \operatorname{Rbc} 8$ is met by 25 Na5：，engn 25．．．Nxd5 26 cxds fxg 37 f3．After the text，how－ ever， 25 Nas is met by $25 \ldots$ ．．．Nxd5 26 cxd5 Rxe2 winning．

vital zwischenzug，clearing the 7th rank and defending against the threat of $26 .$. ．Rxe4．

| 26 | $\because$ | Rxc8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | R×b7 | Be5！ |

None of Black＇s tries work until he has prevented Rxg7＋．

| 28 | Bxe5 | dxe5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29 | Rh1？？ |  |

In the tension，White finally misses something．He had to move his queen． 29 Qb2（or 29 Qb3／Qa4）forced Black to draw by 29 ．．．f3＋ 30 exf3 Nh4＋ 31 Kh 2 $\mathrm{N} x \mathrm{f} 3+\mathrm{etc}$ ．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
29 & & \text { fxg3 } \\
30 & \text { Nxg3 } & \text { Qxg }
\end{array}
$$

Ouch！This is what White，in slight time trouble，failed to see．He is now a piece down，with virtually no compen－ sation，in all variations．
$\begin{array}{ll}31 & \mathrm{fxg} 3 \\ 32 & \mathrm{KgI}\end{array}$
$\mathrm{Ne} 3+$

$$
0: 1
$$
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## Report：Peter Corbet

## －琉

## COMBINATION SOLUTIONS

1．Pufaoch－Matocha．Czechoslovakia 1968： 1 Be7＋！Khb $28 x h 7+$ ！ Kxh 7 $3 \mathrm{Bf8t}$ and 4 Qg 7 mate．

2．Capablanca－Lasker，Berlin 1914 （ 5 seconda a move）： 1 Ra8＋！？ Nxa8 2 Kc 8 ！Nc7 3 Kxc 7 Ke 8 Kxb6 $\mathrm{Kb} 8 \quad 5 \mathrm{Kc} 6 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \quad 6 \mathrm{~b} 6+\cdots$

3．Ventura－Neu，Correspondence 1968／69：1．．Nxc3！ 2 bxc3 Rxc3 0 ： 1 Re4t 4 Rxc4＋bxc

4．Lamparter－Green，Australian Ch＇p 1938：1 Nc6！Nxc6 2 Qh7＋！Nxh7 （or 2 N6． 3 ． 4 Bg7 mate．

5．Horowitz－Kevitz，New York 1931． 1 Qg5：g6 2 Qh6 gxf5 3 Rg4＋ fxg4 4 Bxh7＋Kh8 5 Bg6＋and mates in 2.

6．Bach－Botto，Tjentiste 1975： 1 Rg6！fxg6（1．．．Qe5 2 Rg7） 2 hxg6 Rf7 3 Qf8＋！！Rxf8 4 Rxh7＋ Kg8 5 Nh6 mate．
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## TOURNAMENTS

(Al1 1977 unless stated)
Anzac Weekend Tournament 88,90
Asian Junior Championshi.p $\quad 88,100,122$
Auckland IntercIub
Auckland Interschools Teams
Auckland Labour Weekend Tourn.
Auckland Open Championship
Auckland University Club Ch'p
Auckland University Open
Australian Junior Championship
Australian Open Championship
Canterbury C.C. Tournaments
Canterbury Schoolpupil Ch'p

Civic Easter Tournament European Team Championship Giltrap Cup
Hastings 1976/77
Howick-Pakuranga Open
Kapiti 2-in-3
Marlboro Tournament (Manila) Melson District Championshi North Island Championship North Shore C.C. New Zealand Championship 1976/77 New Zealand Corres. Ch'p 1976/77 New Zealand Schoolpupil Ch'p Otago C.C.
Otago Easter Tournament Otago/Southland Schoolpupils
Otago University C.C. Ch'p
Premier Reserve Ch'p 1976/77
Philips Tournament
South Island Championship
Thames Valley Open
Upper Hutt D.B. 40/40
USSR Championship
Waitemata C.C. Tournaments 62, 83, Wellington Queen's B'day Tourn.
Wellington Schoo1pupils
Wijk-aan-Zee
WInstones Tournament 81, 133
World Junior Championship
88, 12

## MATCHES

AuckIand $\mathbf{v}$ Wellington
Auckland Centre v Waitemata C.C. Candidates 49, 73, 98, ? Canterbury C.C. V Otago University Clemance v Goffin
Garbett v D.Beach
Howick-Pakuranga v Auckland University
Howick-Pakuranga v Parnell
Ladies' Candidates
Upper Hutt v Hutt Valley
Upper Hutt v Pencarrow
Waitemata v Auckland University
Waitemata v Crown Lynn Potteries

## GAMES

Indexed under player with WHITE pieces.
ADAMS J - Ong 52
ANDERSON - Cornford (5), Garbett 21, 55 P.Green 55, Jackson 104, Jensen 56, Nasir Ali 125, Nijman 103, Pointon 103, Small 63
APTEKAR - Anderson 5, A.Carpinter (38),

61, Carter 134, Garbett 1, Hoffmann 54, Laird 141, Sarapu 7
ARBUTHNOTT - P.Green 74
ARDIANSYAH - Mascarinas 125, Sinprayoon (124)

BADEMIAN - Batrez 29
BEACH D - Anderson 55, Garbett 85, 113 BEACH P - Nijman 119, Sims 120
BENNETT - Whitehouse 74
BENSON - Dowden 83
BERNAT - Jowsen 148
BORDONADA - Hon 125
BOWLER - Pointon 106
BRONSTEIN L - Ligterink 29
BROWN - Carter 58
CAIRNS - Mackley 84
CARPINTER A - Aptekar 54, Cornford (3), E.Green 61

CARTER - Small 133
CATER et al - Bridges et al 47
CHANDLER - Ardiansyah 125, Cramling 131,
Goh 98, Kouatly 97, Lumban Tobing 98 CHI CHING RSUAN - Sarapu 126
CLEMANCE - Goffin 84, E.Green 80, Spiller 107
CORDUE P - Goffin 16, M.Evans 75, Sarfati 108
CORNFORD - Garbett 22, P.Green 89, Jack-
son 105, Jensen (1), (33), Pointon
107, Small 75, Steadman 74
DAVIES - Gibson 106
DELAMARRE - Smith 25
DIXON - Watson 109
DODD - Fawcett 10
DOWDEN - Love 83
EVANS M - D.Beach 118, Garbett 118, Spiller 76

FELLER - Solmundarsson 29
FLEMING - Depasquale 62
FULLER - Sakurai 29
GARBETT - D.Beach 95, Feneridis 55,
Maninang (124), Sarapu 6, Stonehouse 5
GIBSON - R.Perry 103, Weegenaar 103 GOFFIN - Clemance $12,46,84$
GOLLOGLY - P.Cordue 117, Hensman 12,

$$
\text { Ion } 114 \text {, Small } 135
$$

GREEN E - Aptekar 68,134, Stonehous
80, Wansink 61, Whaley 134
GREEN P - P.Cordue 56, M.Evans 75
haAse - J.Adams 83
HASAN - Chi Ching-hsuan 125
HENSMAN - P.Cordue 12, (34)
HON - Prods 126, Sampouw 126
HOWARD - Leonhard t 80, Stuart 53 HSU HINNG HSUN - Chiong 126

ION - Livingston 62
JACKSON - P.Beach 103, Johnston 136, R.Perry 105, Small 137

JAMIESON - Ardiansyah 126, Ravi Sekhar 125
JENSEN - Coppini 131, Garbett 55, Goodman 131, Small 66, Stonehouse (36), Sutton 80, Trundle 79
JOHNSTON - Norton 137
JOHNSTONE R - Stuart 93
KAVAKUL - Chandler 126
KORCHNOY - Polugaevsky 99
LAIRD - A.Carpinter 134
LANNING - P.Beach 110
LARSEN - Portisch 49
LEONHARDT - Anderson 7, Gollog1y 143
LOVE - Anderson 104, Hjorth 45, Paris 52
YNN - Clemance 92, Power 2, Sarapu (32)
MARSHALL - P.Cordue 84
MARSICR - A.Carpinter 133, Lynn 75, Trundle 141
ascarinas - Dozea 145
MATAGA - P.Beach 11, Gollogly 12
ccCARTHY - Slavotinek 61
METGE - E.Green 1
NIJMAN - Cameron 104
NOKES - J.Adams 31
NORTON - Anderson 137, P.Cordue 108,
Sarfati 109
$0^{\prime}$ 'CONNOR - Stuart 94
OZAKI - Woodhams 29
PACIS - Chandler 97, Thipsay 97
ARIS - Freeman 52; Jackson 106, Nijman 105, Wong 103
PERRY R - Anderson 105, Freeman 71
PHILIPPE - Kennefick 29
POINTON - Love 107
POLUGAEVSKY - Korchnoy 99
POPE - Mascarinas 126
PORTISCH - Larsen 50, Spassky 99 POWER - Anderson 20, Cornford (32), Jensen 5
PREECE - Kent 58
RABII - Chandler 98
RAMIREZ - Martina 29
RAVI SEKHAR - Chang Tung
SAMPOUW - Garbett 147
SARAPU - Anderson 3, A.Carpinter 2,
Corbett 110, Cornford 19, Power 18
SARECZKY - Wilbon 63
SARFATI - Dixon 109
SHARIF - Hamada 29
SHUKER - D.Johnstone 113

SIDNAM - Gollogly 114
SIMS - Anderson 31, 103
SMALL - Anderson 67, 142, Chen Te 126, Goodhall 56, P.Green 90, Holland 74, Norton 136, R.Perry 131, Rosenlund 15, Tatsutomi 15
SMITH - Metge 133
SPASSKY - Hort 50, Portisch 99
SPILLER - Knightbridge 62, Lynn 76, Sutton 80
STEADMAN - P.Green 90
STONEHOUSE - Lynn 3, Sarapu (37)
STUART - Spiller 79, Van Dam 70
SUTTON - Stuart 80
tan bian huat - Lim Seng Hoo 125 TORRE - Chi Ching-hsuan 126, Sitanggang
125 125
VAN PELT - Spiller 60
WALKER - Spiller 75
WANSINK - Aptekar 59, Metge 142
WATSON B - Gollogly 63, (108)
WHALEY - Power 134
WHITEHOUSE - P.Cordue 91
WONG - Cameron 104
YAP - Jensen 131

## OPENINGS

| Alekhine Defence | 45, 52, 83, 126 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benoni Defence | 5, 7, 12, 46, 54, 99, 115, 125, 134, 137 |
| Bird's Opening | 74 |
| Blackmar-Diemer Gambit | 2, 92 |
| Caro-Kann Defence | 29, 97, 98, 106, 118 |
| Dutch Defence | 19, 53, 62 |
| English | 50, 55, 63, 79, 80, 98, 125, 126, 148 |
| Four Knights' Game | 90 |
| French Defence | $\begin{aligned} & 2,12,52,59,61,62,75,80,98,105,107,113,126, \\ & 133,136,142 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grünfeld Defence | 54, 103, 110, 137. |
| King's Indian Attack | 110, 142 |
| King's Indian Defence | 56, 70, 74, 80, 103, 126, 134 |
| Modern Defence | 12, 125 |
| Nimzofndian Defence | 7, 61, 104 |
| Nimzowitsch Attack | 56, 80 |
| Nimzowitsch Defence | 141 |
| Pirc Defence | 16, 29, 56, 61, 84, 91, 106, 108, 117, 131, 133 |
| Polish Opening | 83 |
| Ponziani's Opening | 80 |
| Queen's Gambit Declined | 18, 50, 58, 75, 103, 106, 125 |
| Queen's Indian Defence | 1, 63, 99, 107, 125 |
| Queen's Pawn | 29 |
| Reti System | 134 |
| Richter-Veresov Attack | 29, 85 |
| Ruy Lopez | 5, 13, $29,55,74,76,103,105,107,109,125,125$ |
| Scotch Game | 12 |
| Sicilian Defence | $1,2,3,5,6,11,15,20,21,22,29,31,49,52,55$, $58,59,60,62,63,66,67,68,71,74,75,76,79,80$, $84,86,89,90,93,94,95,97,99,103,104,105,107$, $109,113,114,119,125,126,131,133,134,135,136$, 137, 141, 145, 147 |
| Slav Defence | 84, 99 |
| Trompovsky Attack | 83 |
| Two Knights' Defence | 61 |
| Vienna Game | 142 |
|  |  |

## A Selection From our Bookshelf.

## MODERN CHESS TACTICS

## Ludek Pachman

\$3.95

Sub-titled 'Pieces and Pawns in Action' this book describes the characteristics of the various pleces, showing the situations in which each is at its best. The main part of the book describes the tac tical action of the pieces both as they increase their own effectiveness and as they restrict the opponent's pleces. Limp cover.
ATTACK \& DEFENCE IN MODERN CHESS TACTICS Ludek Pachman
$\$ 3.95$
A companion volume to 'Modern Chess Tactics', this book analyses the tactical problems of attack and defence, the
fight for time and space, the psychological elements of chess and the problems arising from creative fantasy in a game. Limp cover.

AN OPENING REPERTOIRE FOR THE ATTACKING CLUB PLAYER
David Levy \& Raymond Keene $\$ 6.55$
The authors offer a complete repertoire of openings to meet all likely continencies The menings and defences
elected all lead to interesting, sharp positions. Limp cover.

THE BATSFORD GUIDE TO CHESS OPENINGS Leonard Barden \& Tim Harding \$5.25

This guide is designed for the club or junior player who wants to be shown his way through the maze of modern opening theory. The Ideas behind the selected penings are discussed in detail, with mportant variations illustrated by complete master games. The emphasis is on explaining ideas rather than listing varlations. Limp cover.

THE CHESS PLAYER'S BEDSIDE BOOK
Edited by R.Edwards \& R.Keene
$\$ 9.90$
The rather soppy title belies this
book's very interesting contents. In the ain the articles are original, comots-
sioned especially for this volume, and
cover a wide range of chess topics on the less serious side. Contributors include Gligoric, Gufeld, Hartston, Levy and Nimzowitsch. Hard back.

THE MARSHALL ATTACK
R.G.Wade \& T.D.Harding

This volume, one of Batsford's Contemporary Chess Openings series, provides a comprehensive repertoire for $\mathrm{Black}^{2}$ against the popular Ruy Lopez. This is built around Black's rellable counter attacking system, the Marshall Attack, and incorporates all the main alterna tives for White up to this point. Hard back.

THE LENINGRAD DUTCH
T.D.Harding

This is the first comprehensive survey of a system which has become very popular in recent years. The Leningrad system, involving a kingside fianchetto by Black, normally leads to a sharp struggle. The various plans for both sides are carefully presented - and illustrated by more than 100 complete games. Hard back.

RUY LOPEZ: BREYER SYSTEM
L.S.Blackstock

The Breyer System (3...a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 Be7 6 Rel b5 7 вb3 d6 8 c3 $0-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 8)$ is a favourite of two world champions, Karpov and Spassky, for coping with the Opentins . Inis (Bume openings Serles (Datsford) gives a de tailed picture of the use of the opealng the use of foil ganes. Figurine algala the use of full games. Figurine algebraic notation. Limp cover.

FRENCH DEFENCE MAIN LINE WINAWER
$\$ 15.05$ John Motes

This important variation of the French Defence ( $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ) is examined in great detall with considerable emphasis on the strategic ideas behind it. Many variations have been reassessed as previous judgments often derived from misconceptions of Black's aims. Hard back
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