## THE N.Z.C.A. BULLETIN

First, I wish to apologize for the errors which appeared in the last bulletin. These were mostly typing errors of names and I express regret to all who suffered at the hands of the infernal typing machine. Needless to say, we have changed to a different duplicating service and hope this issue will. be an improvement. Secondly, I would like to thank those who have sent in information for the bulletin and I hope others will soon follow suit. In this issue we have incorporated a section dealing with correspondence chess. This will be a regular feature of the bulletin, and will include results from trophy tourneys as they come to hand. I think this will help many N.Z.C.A. members who are correspondence players to keep up with the state of the tourneys they are in.

1974 promises to be an excellent year for chess, both in N.Z. and in other parts of the world. As most of you know, the chess Olynpiad is held this year in Nice, France, and once again N.Z. has entered a team. The composition of the team and comments on it appear later in the bulletin.

Finally, a word of caution. Before making your move and pressing the button on your clock, have another look at the position! Check all captures and checks, then if nothing sinister shows itself, make your move. I guarantee this will drastically cut the number of blunders you make.

The Editor

ROTHMAN'S NEN ZEALAND CHAPPIONSHIP
123456789012 Pts. Gelbfuhs

| 1 | Sarapu 0. | x 1 | $9{ }^{1}$ | 47.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Garbett P.A. |  | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | 43.5 |
| 3 | - Fairhurst Dr. W.A. | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} 100 \frac{1}{2} 0111111$ | 7 |  |
| 4 | Daly P.M. | $\frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 00 \frac{1}{2} 111111$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 27 |
| 5 | Green E. | $0000 \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 11111111$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24.25 |
| 6 | Stuart P.W. | $001 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{l}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 011$ | 6 | 27.75 |
| 7 | Day A.R. | $00 \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} 0 \times 1 \frac{1}{2} 11 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 25.25 |
| 8 | Hall G. | $0001 \frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} 00 \times \frac{1}{2} 111 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |  |
| 9 | Wansink R. | $\frac{1}{2} 000000 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \times 11 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 10 | Aavelaid U.O. | $000001000 \times 01$ | 2 | 7.5 |
| 11 | Hensman P. | $0000000001 \times 1$ | 2 | 3.5 |
| 12 | Jackson J.R. | $000000 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 00 \mathrm{x}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |

The 1973-74 Championship had the strongest field for some years, with ten of the top fourteen on the National Grading List competing; in fact several players with 700-plus gradings missed selfction.

Most expected a close fight for the top places but to the charrin of ten of the twelve players, this did not turn out to be the case. Sarapu and Garbett were the two spoil-sports; after seven rounds Sarapu had a possible and Garbett had $6 \frac{1}{2}$ - followed by Fairhurst on 5, then Daly \& Day 4, Greon \& Stuart $3 \frac{1}{2}$, Hall \& Wansink 3. In round eight Sarapu beat Garbett in a long game and it looked as though the former's thirteenth title was no longer in dispute. But, in round nine Sarapu agreed a quick draw with Fairhurst, no doubt relying on beating Wansink with the white pieces in the following round, while Garbett beat Hall. In the penultimate round Garbett won easily, while Wansink appeared to be in difficulties at the adjournment; with careful defence, however, Wansink saved the draw, leaving Sarapu only half a point clear of Garbett. In the last round Sarapu agreed another quick draw (with Daly), leaving Garbett having to beat Day to tie - this he accomplished without much sweat, incidently gaining the National Master title in the process.

Dr. Fairhurst manoeuvred successfully through most of the tournament and tiredness no doubt played a part in his two losses. There was little between the next four; Daly and Day both started well with $4 / 6$ but whereas the former with his hardest opponents ahead scored $50 \%$ in his last five games, the latter could manage only 2 points against somewhat weaker opposition - he seems to suffer from heebie-jeebies in the later rounds of tournaments. Green started badly with $11 / 2 / 5$ but by this time had met his strongest opponents. 5 points in the last 6 rounds gave him a tie for fourth. Stuart made the same start but could only manage $41 / 2 / 6$ after that. Losing to Aavelaid by a crass blunder in a winning position ruined his chances.

Hall lacked recent tournament practice, perhaps explaining a poor result for him. Vansink in his first championship did not dissrace himself and will certainly do better in future events. Until his loss to Garbett in round 6 he vas playing well but after that he rarely found his rhythm.

Aavelaid was obviously out of form, got good positions in many openings, but frittered away his advantages. Hensman won in the first round but not again until the eighth; by this time he was rather demoralized and put up little resistance thereafter. Jackson picked a bad year to win the South Island Championship but was never a pushover and no doubt gained a lot of experience.

## PREMIER RESERVE CHAMPIONSHIP

1 N. Metge $10 \frac{1}{2}$
2 K . Jensen $8 \frac{1}{2}$
$3=$ A. Pomeroy \& D. Flude 8
5. G.G. Haase $7 \frac{1}{2}$

6= C. Baker, R. Gibbons, T. Free \& A. Skuja 7 pts.; 10= G. Russell, D. Walker, J. Arbuthnott, A.S. Hollander, M. Chandler \& G. Adams $6 \frac{1}{2}$; $16=$ L.H. Cornford, C.P. Belton, P. Clark, G.E. Trundle, M. Foord, R. Poor, C. Foong ${ }^{\circ}$ G. Cowan 6; 24= A. Ward, J. Mathieson, B. Williams, G. Mears, P. Voss, M. Wilcox \& C. Rolfe $5 \frac{1}{2} ; \quad 31=$ I.E. Whitehouse, I.R. Mitchell, M. Watson \& B. Brett 5; 35= M. Giles, J. Mazur, D. Steffensen, J. Cater \& R. Black, J. Adams \& Marshall $4 \frac{1}{2} ; 42=$ F.G. Robinson, W. Zyskorski, R. Cockcroft \& N. Knowles 4; 46= M. Morrison \& S. Earle $3 \frac{1}{2}$;

48 D. Cameron 21 249 G. Williams 2; 50 Sneyd 0.
Higel Metge and Hoody Mouse proved too good a combination for the others in fact they conceded the draw in round ten when Mouse was temporarily absent. Considering the two-point victory margin, Metge's win was obviously fully deserved. Jensen also shows great promise and should make the championship grade within a few years - he finished ahead of several championship players of recent years. Flude played more solidly than usual, to finish equal third with Pomeroy, a half-point ahead of Haase. Skuja won the grade prize for the lower half, while G. Adams took the prize for the bottom quarter.

Both tournaments were extremely well directed by Dr. Ross - his very inconspicuousness attests to that.

## NORTH SHORE CHESS CLUB NEWS

## 1973 Swiss Tournament ( 38 players)

1st= P. Stuart, P. Garbett \& N. Metge $7 / 8 ; 4$ M. Livingston 6 pts., etc.

## 1973 Club Ch'p.

A Grade: 1st M. Whaley $8 \frac{1}{2} / 10 ; 2$ P. Stuart 7; 3 M. Livingston 6;
$4=G$. Russell, D. Milne, N. Metge $\&$ R. Johnstone $5 \frac{1}{2}$;
8 R. Roundill 4; 9 P. Weir $3 \frac{1}{2} ; 10=$ W. Green \& D. Shead 2.
B Grade: 1st D. Johnstone 7i $/ 10 ; 2=$ G. Waite \& L. Grevers 7, etc.
C Grede: $1 \mathrm{st}=\mathrm{R}$. Fraser, Miss G. Jones \& D. Higgins $10 \frac{1}{2} / 15$, etc.

## Scores in the final were ist P. Garbett $7 / 7 ; 2=$ P. Stuart \& H. Whaley $5 \frac{1}{2}$;

4 N. Metge 4 , eto.

## 1973 Speed Ch'p

In June, North Shore and the Auckland Centre played an interestiñ lightning match. Each of the ten Shore players met each Auckland player, making possible a 100 board match in a single evening. Organization is sinple: one tean sits at the sane boards all through while the other team moves; the best arrangement for colours is for each team to have White on all boards in alternate rounds. Just for the record, the result was a close $51-49$ victory to North Shore.

## UPPER HUTM CHESS CLUB NENS

## Open 40-40 Tournament

This 5 round Swiss in three grades was played on 10 th November 1973, with 34 players. Each player has 40 minutes on his clock for the whole game. The big surprise was the defeat of current Wellington champion Feneridis by Nard in the last round. Scores:

A Grade: $1 \mathrm{st}=\mathrm{A}$. Feneridis \& A. Ward 4 pts.; $3=$ D. Goodhall \& B. Law $3 \frac{1}{2}$; $5=$ P. Kelly \& M. Wigbout 3; 7= D. Flude \& M. Chandler $2 \frac{1}{2}$; 9=R. Cockroft, M. Roberts, B. Gloistein \& A. Hurley 2; 13 K . Hollis 1 ; 14 C . Cowan 0.

B Grade: 1st W. Gnojek 5 pts.; 2 R. Shuker 4, etc.
C Grade: 1st D. Gifford-Moore 4 pts.; 2= D. Beach \& S. Thompson $3 \frac{1}{2}$, etc.
The nert $40-40$ tournament will be held on the 8 th June in the Civic Hall, Upper Hutt. Full details will appear in a later bulletin.

## 1973 Club Ch'p. $^{\prime}$

The A grade was won by 17-year old Gerald Carter; full scores: 1st G. Carter 7 $\frac{1}{2} / 9$; 2= A. Hurley, P. Clark \& A. Reid 6 $\frac{1}{2}$; 5 P. Lamb 5; 6 D. Clark 4 $\frac{1}{2}$; 7 N . Richardson 4; 8 L . Jones 3; 9 F . Winter 1; 10 D . Ingram $\frac{1}{2}$.

B grade (18 players) was won jointly by D. Gifford-Moore and E. Hunt and the C grade ( 16 players) by A. Price. The Junior grade, which meets on a different night from the adults, resulted in a tie by $S$. Leitch and R. Brookie.

## N.Z. CONGRESS 1975/6

Preparations are well on the way for the N.Z. Championships to be held in Upper Hutt in two years' time. The entire Civic Hall (Town Hall) has already been booked and can accommodate at least 300 players very comfortably. Negotiations are in progress in respect of accomodation for the players from outside Wellington.

## NEU ZEALAMD TEAM FOR NICE

The following players have been selected by the Council to represent N.Z. at the 1974 Olympiad:

1 Dr. V.A. Fairhurst, 2 O. Sarapu, 3 P.A. Garbett, 4 E. Green,
5 P.W. Stuart, 6 A.G. Kerr
The manager will be I.R. Mitchell and the captain Dr. Fairhurst.
This is a strong team and will do well in the fortheoming event. The board order is as above and is interesting. The decision to play Dr. Fairhurst on top board is very canny - he has vast experience to draw on (pun intended). On second board Ortvin Sarapu should bring in much needed full points. Garbett and Green on boards 3 and 4 are both capable of playing good attacking chess and of scoring well. Stuart and Korr will provido the top four with very good back-up when two others are rested. We congratulate the team and wish thom the best of success.

All 90 places in this tournament have been filled. With practically all of Auckland's top players in the field, this promises to be an even better event than last year.

## CORRESPONDENCE CHESS

The following report was supplied by A.L. Fletcher, the life and soul of the II.Z. Correspondence Chess Assoc. However, as well as Alan there are other hard workers who help settle disputes and sort out muddles made by careless players. . The first report is a short history of C.C. in New Zealand:

Chess by correspondence in N.Z. on an organized basis dates from before 1930. In 1935 the late A.D. Gray of Christchurch organized the V.Z.C.C.A. and the first N.Z. Correspondence Championship was played that year. It was won by the late R.O. Scott. In succeeding years some of our country's finest players have won this event and the N.Z.C.C.A. has become incroasingly important in giving younger players an insight into chess that is not nearly as rapidj.y granted by over-the-board play. The fact is, that properly conducted, C.C. is not the saine game. C.J.S. Purdy, an ICCF grandmaster, has gone so far as to describe over-the-board play as "a game of chance" compared to correspondence play, in which many hours may be spent on deciding one move. The standard of accuracy thus attainable must be far higher than a given player could achieve at 40 moves in $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hours.

One question of ten pops up from non CC players: "How can you lose a game when you have all night to decide on one move?" The answer is that even under ideal conditions any player has his limit. What this limjt might be is somewhere in the following factors:

1) The determination and care exhibited;
2) The time available;
3) The books availabie - and used to good purpose;
4) Age and physical fitness;
5) Level of experience and general competence;
6) The quality of the opponent in the above factors.

The first two points are most important, but they will be qualiried by some or all of the rest. All that is sure is that success means you have done better wi.th your assets than your opponent vith his. You might even be a better player but it takes one or more tournaments to prove that, not just one game.

Analysis of possibilities is the essential ingredient, at any necessary length, folloved by an evaluation of lines and eventual selection of the move. This is rather like telling an athlete that the idea of a sprint is to run as fast as he can; but CC beginners neca to have this emphasized, while there is no point in telling prospective CC practitioners that it is a lazy man's occupation!

Correspondence chess is an independent branch of the game. Its popularity seems likely to continue now that it is satisfactorily organized in many countries and internationally.

Minzoindian Def. 1 d4 Ní6 2 c4 e6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 e3 b6 5 Nge2 Ba6 6 a3 B:c3+ $7 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{a} 58 \mathrm{Qf} 30-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{~cd}$ ed $10 \mathrm{~B}: \mathbf{0 6} \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{a} 6 \quad 110-0 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 12 \mathrm{dc} \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 13 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 3$ 14 Rb1 N:c1 $15 \mathrm{Rb}: c 1$ Qd7 16 Red1 Rfd8 $17 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{d} 518$ e4 $\mathrm{Qb5} 19 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{d} 520 \mathrm{ed}$
 Kf8 $28 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 7 \quad 29 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{Qd} 6 \quad 30 \mathrm{Kr} 30 \mathrm{~d} 7 \quad 31$ Qe5 f6 32 Qe4 Qh3 35 Ke 2 Qd 7 34 Qe6 Qbjt $35 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{b} 4 \quad 36 \mathrm{~d} 6 \mathrm{Dc} 3+37 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{Qc} 6+38 \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{Qe} 839 \mathrm{Qc} 4 \mathrm{Qd} 840$ Qc7 Ke8 41 Qe6t Kf8 42 Qc7 Ke8 43 Q:g7 Q:d6 44 Q:h6 Qd4 45 On5 +KfQ 46 Kg2 Qc4 47 Qi5 Kg7 48 Qd7+ Kh6 49 Qd6 b5 50 Q:f6+ Kh7 51 h5 b4 52 Qg6t Kh8 53 h 6 Qd5t 54 Kh 2 Qd 455 QI7 Qb2 56 h 7 1:0.

SARAPU - STUART

## SELECTED GAMES FROM THE 1973/4 N.Z. CHAMPIONSHIP

Pirc Def. 1 e4 d6 $2 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6 \quad 4 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7 \quad 5 \mathrm{Be} 2 \quad 0-0 \quad 6 \quad 0-0 \mathrm{Bg} 4 \quad 7 \mathrm{~h} 3$
 Nb6 15 Rae1 Nb4 16 Qa1 d5 17 e5 d4 $18 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{c} 219$ Re4 f5 $20 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{c} 2$ fe 21 Q:e4 c6 22 Bd1 Kh8 23 Bb3 Qd7 24 Qe3 Rad8 25 Rd1 Qc7 26 g4 Nc8 27 Ne4 b6 $28 \mathrm{Ng} 5 \operatorname{Re} 729$ e6 h6 $30 \mathrm{Nf7}+\mathrm{R}: f 7 \quad 31$ ef Kh7 32 Qe8 B:d4+ $33 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 8 \mathrm{~K} 34$ feQ 1:0.

SARAPU - DAY
Barcza System $1 \mathrm{Nf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 52 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Nf} 6 \quad 3 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{c} 6 \quad 400-0 \mathrm{Bf} 5 \quad 5 \mathrm{~d} 3$ e6 6 Nbd 2 Be 7 $7 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{~h} 68 \mathrm{Bb} 20-0 \quad 9 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{a} 510 \mathrm{Qc} 1 \mathrm{Mbd} 711 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Bh} 712 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{Qb} 813 \mathrm{Qc} 3 \mathrm{Rd} 814$ b4 Ne8 15 Rfc1 Bf6 16 Qb3 Ne5 17 c5 N:f3t $18 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{f}^{\prime} 3$ e5 19 d4 e4 20 Nh2 Bf5 21 ba R:a5 22 Bc3 Ra8 23 e3 Nc7 24 Rcb1 Ra7 25 a4 Qa8 26 Bf 1 h5 27 a5 Na6 28 Ra3 h4 29 g4 Be8 30 Be1 Re8 31 f 4 ef $32 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 7$ 33 N:h4 B:h4 $34 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{h} 4$ $\mathrm{R}: \mathrm{a} 535 \mathrm{Rba1} \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{36R} \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{Na} 637 \mathrm{Bg} 3 \mathrm{Bd} 7 \quad 38 \mathrm{Qb} 6$ Qd8 $39 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{b7} \mathrm{Bc} 8 \quad 40 \mathrm{Qb} 6$ Q:b6 $41 \mathrm{cb} \mathrm{Nb4} 42 \mathrm{Ra8}$ 1:0.

SARAPU - HENSMAN
Ruy Lopez 1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 d4 b5 6 Bb3 N:e4 7 de Nc5 8 Bd5 Ne5 $90-0 \mathrm{Bb} 710 \mathrm{Nc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 611$ Re1 Qd7 12 ed B:d6 13 Hg5 Ned8 14 Qh5 g6 15 Qf3 c6 16 Nce4 Be7 $17 \mathrm{~B}:$ e6 fe $18 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{e} 6 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{e} 619 \mathrm{Nf} 6+\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{f} 6$ 20 Q:f6 Bc8 21 Q:h8+ Kf7 22 Q:h7+Kf6 23 Qh8+Kf7 24 Bh6 Qd4 25 Qh7+ Ng7 $26 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{g} 7 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{g} 7 \quad 27 \mathrm{Re} 7+1: 0$.
GARBETY - HENSMLAN
French Def. 1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d 5 JNc 3 Nf 64 e5 Nfd7 $5 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{c} 56 \mathrm{dc} \mathrm{B}: c 57 \mathrm{Nf} 3$
 Bb 715 O 0 g6 $16 \mathrm{Nd} 4 \mathrm{Nb} 617 \mathrm{Of} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 418 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{de} 19 \mathrm{Bc} 2 \mathrm{Nc} 6 \quad 20$ a $4 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{d} 4$ 21 B:d4 0-0 22 Rae1 Qd7 23 Qe3 Bh4 24 Re2 ba 25 Qh3 Be7 26 f5 Rfd8 27 Rf4

WANSINK - GARBETT
Sicilian Def. 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d3 d5 4 Nbd2 Nc6 5 g3 Bd6 6 Bg2 Nge7 7 0-0 0-0 8 c3 f6 $9 \mathrm{Ne} 1 \mathrm{Rb} 810 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{~b} 511 \mathrm{Nc} 2 \mathrm{a} 512 \operatorname{Re} 1 \mathrm{~d} 413 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~cd} 14 \mathrm{f} 4$ La6 15 Nf 3 b 416 Kh 1 ba $17 \mathrm{ba} \mathrm{Rb} 318 \mathrm{Bf} 1 \mathrm{Qb} 619 \mathrm{Nc}: \mathrm{d} 4 \quad 20 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{d} 4 \quad 21 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{b} 3$ Q:a1 22 Q:e6+ Rf7 23 Q:d6 Qc3 24 Qd8+ Rf8 25 Q:e7 Q:e1 26 Kg1 B:d3 0:1.
JACKSOIT - GARBETT
Sicilian Def. 1 e4 c5 2 Nf 3 e6 3 d 4 cd $4 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{Nf} 65 \mathrm{Ne} 3 \mathrm{~d} 66 \mathrm{Be} 3 \mathrm{Be} 7$
 14 Q:e3 hg 15 Q:g5 N:e4 16 Q:g7 Bf6 17 Qg4 N:c3 18 bc Q:c3 19 Rd3 Qa5 $20 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{a} 221 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Qa} 1+22 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Qa} 5+23 \mathrm{Kd1}$ ef $24 \mathrm{Qf} 4 \mathrm{Be} 525 \mathrm{Re} 1 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{e} 1+1: 0$.
FAIRHURST - AAVELAID
Qucen's Gambit 1 d4 d5 2 c 4 e6 3 Nc 3 c 54 cd ed $5 \mathrm{Qa} 4+\mathrm{Bd7} \cdot 6 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{d} 4$ ed 7 Q: d5 Nc6 8 e3 Nf6 9 Qb3 Bb4 10 Bd2 Qa5 11 Nf3 0-0-0 12 Bc4 Bg4 13 0-0-0 Ne5 $14 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{d} 1 \mathrm{f} 15 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{d} 1 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{e} 516 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{h} 217 \mathrm{Nb} 5 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{l} 18 \mathrm{Qc} 5+\mathrm{Kb} 8$ 19 Qe5+ 1:0.

GREIN - WANSINK
 7 f4 Be7 8 Qf3 Qc7 9 0-0-0 Nbd7 10 Bd3 b5 11 Rhe1 Bb7 12 Nd5 ed 13 Nf5 Kd8 14 ed Bf8 15 Nd4 Qb6 16 Be4 Rc8 17 Bh4 Rc4 18 Nc6+ Kc8 $19 \mathrm{bJ} \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 4$ $20 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 4$ nc5 21 Ro 3 b 422 Bf 2 Kc 723 Red3 Qb5 24 o4 bc $25 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Nc} 526$ a4 $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{b} 3+27 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{b} 3 \mathrm{Qa4} 28 \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{Qa}+29 \mathrm{Rb1} \mathrm{Qa} 230 \mathrm{Nb4} \mathrm{Qa} 531 \mathrm{Rc} 4+\mathrm{Kd8} 32 \mathrm{Nc} 6+$ B:c6 $33 \mathrm{Bb} 6+$ \& White won.

GREEN - AMELAID
 B:b4 8 N:e5 N: 059 Qa4t Ne6 $10 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{c}_{6+}$ be 11 Q:b4 Bh3 12 Re1 Qad 13 Ba 3 Ka 7 14. Qa5 Qe6 15 Nd 2 Rhe8 16 Nb 3 Qg4 17 f 3 Og6 $18 \mathrm{~N}: 44 \mathrm{Nh} 519 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Nf} 4 \quad 20 \mathrm{Nb} 3$ Qh6 21 Nc5t ITd8 22 Ne4 Ne6 23 Bct Qg6 24 d4 f5 25 Nà6 Rís 26 d5 Ng5 27 B:g5+ Q:g5 28 de Rf6 29 Red1 Ke7 30 Q:c7+ Kf8 31 Ne8 Re6 32 Rd8+ Re8 33 $\mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 8+\mathrm{K}: \mathrm{e} 834 \mathrm{Na} 6+1: 0$.

DAY - STUART
Sicilian Def. 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 cd 4 N:d4 NfG 5 Ne3 e5 6 Nab5 d6

 20 Ne4 Q:f2+ $21 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Qe} 1+\mathrm{O}$ : 1.
hasi - FAIRHURST
Barcza System 1 Ne3 d5 2 g3 No6 3 Bg2 e5 4 d3 Be7 $50-0$ Nf6 6 Nbd2 h6 7 c 4 Be6 8 b3 d4 $9 \mathrm{b4}$ Nd7 10 a3 $0-0 \quad 11$ e3 de 12 fe f5 $513 \mathrm{Bb} 2 \mathrm{Bf6} 14$ Qc2 Ne7 15 Nb c6 16 Radi Ng6 17 e4 fe 18 de Qe7 19 Na5 Nbs 20 c5 Nc8 21 Nc4 Qc7 22 h4 Best 23 Ne3 Be6 24 h 5 Wh8 25 Nd2 Nf 726 Nde4 b5 27 Na5 Ne7 28 Nd5 cd 29 ed Bgt 30 d6 B:d1 31 Q:d1 N:d6 32 cd Du6t 33 Kh 2 Rae $8 \quad 34$ de R:e7 35 Nc Ree8 36 Qd5t Kh8 $37 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{e}_{5} 38 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{f} 8 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{f} 8 \quad 39 \mathrm{~N}: e 5 \mathrm{Rf} 240 \mathrm{Ng} 6+\mathrm{Kh} 7$ 41 Qa8 R:g2+ $42 \mathrm{~K}: \mathrm{g}^{2}$ 1:0.
STUART - WANSINK
Kings Indian Def. 1 c4 Nf6 2 Ne3 g6 3 e4 dg 4 d4 Bg7 5 Be2 0-0 6 Bg5 h6
 Qif6 $15 \mathrm{Nb5}$ Na6 16 2d2 b6 17 Ld1 $2: \mathrm{g} 618$ Ba4 Nf6 19 Nc 3 h 5.20 gh Qg2 21 Th4 Bh6 $22 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 723 \mathrm{Nh} 3 \mathrm{~B} 4424 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{f}^{2}+25 \mathrm{Ke} 1 \mathrm{Bh} 3 \quad 26 \mathrm{Bd} 1 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{ef}_{4} 27 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{d} 2$ $23 \mathrm{~K}: \mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{Bf} 529 \mathrm{Be} 4 \mathrm{f} 3+30$ Be3 B:e4 $31 \mathrm{~N}: e 4 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{e} 3+32 \mathrm{~K}: e 3 \mathrm{Nb} 433$ Rhg4 Rac8
 $\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.
DAY - JACKSON
 Qc7 8 0-0 b5 9 Bd3 a6 10 e5 de 11 de Ng4 $12 \mathrm{Rfe} 1 \mathrm{Mbd} 7 \quad 13 \mathrm{~B}:$ e7 Nd:e5 14 N:e5 B:e5 $15 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{f}_{8} \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{c} 3 \quad 16$ De8 0:h2+ $17 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Ne} 3+18 \mathrm{fe}$ Oh1+ $19 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{e} 1+$ $20 \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 1 \mathrm{Qh} 4+21 \mathrm{Kf} 1 \mathrm{Oh} 1+22 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Oh} 4+23 \mathrm{Kf1} \mathrm{Qh} 1+\frac{1}{2}: \frac{1}{2}$.

