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 256 DOMINION ROAD, AUCKLAND. PHONE 64-277In ordering, merely quote catalogue number shown. Postage: Add one penny in every 2/-.

## GAMES

G 1—My Best Games, 1924-37-Alekhine. 120 games by the greatest player and the greatest annotator. 14/-
G 2-Capablanca's Hundred Best GamesGolombek. A book to grace every chess player's library. Well-selected games extensively annotated. 17/6
G 3—Tarrasch's Best Games-Reinfeld. 183 fully annotated games based on Tarrasch's own notes. 23/-
G 4-Chess Marches On-Reuben Fine. 50 great games extensively analysed. Each note a lesson in itself. 16/-
G 5-Keres' Best Games-Reinfeld. Keres was one of the contestants in the recent World Championship and 1947 Champion of the U.S.S.R. 12/-
G 6-From My Games-Dr. M. Euwe. 75 of Euwe's best games selected and annotated by himself. 12/-
G 7-Chess Strategy and Tactics-Reinfeld and Chernev. Said by critics to be the best selection of beautiful master games ever published. 11/-
G 8-The Russians Play Chess-Chernev. 50 thrill-packed games by more than 30 Russian masters. Lavishly illustrated by diagrams. A beautiful collection! 11/-
G 9-If You Must Play Chess-Denker. An autobiography of a great American player. Fifty-five beautiful games with comments entertaining, pointed and instructive. 11/-
G 10-Battles Royal of the Chessboard-R. N. Coles. Fifty hectic games from Labourdonnais to Botvinnik. A well-annotated historical record. 10/3
G 11-British Chess Masters-Reinfeld. Fifty games of British masters past and present, including Australians. 6/-
G 12-Meet the Masters-Euwe. Styles of the world's greatest eight with plenty of illustrative games, well annotated. 9/6

G 13-Fifty Great Games of Modern ChessGolombek. Well annotated and very good value. 4/3
G 14-Moscow - Prague Match, 1946-The 7 games of exceptional interest to all advanced players (not recommended for beginners) Well indexed for openings and endings. 3/-
G 15-Amenities and Background of Chess Play-Napier. Delightful little book of grea: games by a master of Chess and writing. 3/G 16-Great Britain v. U.S.S.R.-Complete games of the match. $\mathbf{1 / 3}$
G 17-Great Britain v. Australia-Complet: games of this interesting radio match. 2/G 18-Cream of Tournament Chess-L. Ingram. Part 1 of an interesting collection of tournament games from 1851 to 1878 . Richly studded with brilliant conceptions and games with curious twists. $3 / 3$
G 19-Czechs in Britain - W. Ritson-Morry Book of the two matches played by Czecheslovakia against England and the Midland with annotations by Alexander, Golombel: Broadbent and other English players. $\overline{5} / 6$ G 20-Hastings Chess Tournament, 1948-49 Golombek and Ritson-Morry. The complet: games of the tournament won by the Frencl champion, N. Rossolimo. R. G. Wade was th competitor in this event. 2/6
G 21—The Hastings Congress, 1946-7-Kmovi and Prins. 45 games from the congress and 20 others played at previous Hastings tournaments. All fully annotated. 5/6
G 22-Alekhine's Best Games of Chess, 193:-45-Alexander. The long, awaited thit: volume of the great master's games. Fully annotated in C. H. O'D. Alexander's bes style. 12/-
G 23—Southsea Tournament 1949 - Golot bek. The complete book of England's firs Swiss system tourney. 53 games annotate. in Golombek's best style, with index openings. 3/-
(Continued on inside back cover)
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## "CHESS WORLD" INTERNATIONAL MONTHLY

For which the "N.Z. Chessplayer" is Agent.
ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION ONLY 12/LIFE SUBSCRIPTION £\& (New Zealand currency)
Editor and Chief Contributor is PURDY, Champion and Correspondence Champion of Australia, noted Analyst and Writer.
$\star$
What some Overseas Readers say of
"CHESS WORLD":
"I take 'Chess,' 'B.C.M.' and 'Chess Review.' Your articles beat them all.-H.L.W., Forest Hills, N.Y., U.S.A.
"I have learned more from 'Chess World' and Purdy's earlier publications than from all the other books and magazines I've ever bought."-H.A., Lima, Ohio.
"One magazine in the world that really teaches chess."-" The Hindu."
"I rate it No. 1."-A.O.H., Pretoria, South Africa.
"Well, here's to another year with the grandest game in the world and the best publication in the business."-T.E.W., Kansas City.
"Most original chess magazine extant."E.D.R., Mountain Ash, Wales.

## $\star$

Sample Copies - - - $1 / 3$ post free Also "CHESS WORLD" Back Volumes (bound) are very popular. Treasure trove of Chess Lore. Vol. 1 (1946), 10/6, contains exclusive story of Alekhine's last years. Volume 2 (1947), $10 / 6$. Volume 3 (1948), 12/6. Volume 4 (1949), 13/9. Post free.

Agents:
" NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER" 256 Dominion Road, Auckland S. 2 Or write to
"CHESS WORLD"
1 Bond Street, Sydney, New South Wales

## THE

## INDIAN CHESS MAGAZINE

full of interesting games, problems, notes and end games."-" The Statesman."

Teasers-Hints on Openings-Correspondence Chess - Chess Personalities - News - Hindi

Section-Games-Problems; etc., etc.
PRINTED IN ENGLISH
Eend in your Subscription through
THE NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER
Annual Subscription 12/6. Specimen Copy 1/1.

## REMUERA CHESS CLUB

3 CLONBERN ROAD, REMUERA
WEDNESDAYS, $7.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. to 10.30 p.m.
Hon. Secretary: J. A. C. BARNES, 38 Koraha St., Remuera. Phone 42-820 (business hours).

## PAPATOETOE CHESS CLUB LANDSCAPE ROAD, PAPATOETOE

THURSDAYS, 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.
Secretary (Telephone 246S):
R. V. CLOSEY, Hillside Road, Papatoetoe.

## ONEHUNGA CHESS CLUB <br> CORNER MANUKAU AND MT. ALBERT ROADS, ROYAL OAK

TUESDAYS, 7.30 to 11 p.m.
Hon. Secretary: W. T. PERCIVAL, 2 Forbes Street, Onehunga, Auckland S.E.5.

## GISBORNE CHESS CLUB

## ARIMY HALL

7.30 P.M. MONDAYS AND WEDNESDAYS
(February to November inclusive)
VISITORS ALWAYS CORDIALLY WELCOME
Hon. Secretary: LL. MEREDITH, 70 Wi Pere St.
Phone 3777

THE
BRITISH CHESS MAGAZINE
Founded in 1881 and now the Oldest Chess Periodical extant

General Editor: B. Reilly.
Games Editor: H. Golombek, British Champion 1947 and 1949.
Problem World: T. R. Dawson
Regular Contributors: R. N. Coles, R. K. Guy, D. G. Jackson, S. Schroder, W Winter, P. S. Milner-Barry, C. H. O'D. Alexander.

Annual Subscription 15/- post free (12 issues)
Single Copies 1/6 : Specimen Copy 1/-
Apply to
" NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER" 256 Dominion Road, Auckiand, N.Z.

# the mew zealand <br> CHESSPLAYER 

Official Organ New Zealand Chess Association New Zealand Correspondence Chess Association PUBLISHED EVERY TWO MONTHS

Single Copies 2/-. Subscription 11/- per year. Editor and Problem Editor: A. L. Fletcher Associates:
R. G. Wade, former Champion of New Zealand J. D. Steele : F. G. McSherry : H. D. Addis Manager: F. G. MicSherry
Address correspondence for Editor, Problem Editor, or Manager respectively to the N.Z. Chessplayer, 256 Dominion Road, Auckland S.2.

## CHESS HANDICAP SYSTEMS AND GRADING

WHEN considering the question of handicapping systems in chess, there is no doubt in our mind about one thing: the existing scale of odds should be scrapped. A hundred years ago the latter may have been adequate; today it is just not good enough. It is debatable whether chess lends itself to handicapping at all (that is, of the type where one party concedes material or time, or both) We incline to the view that it does not. Nevertheless, there are times when the use of a handicapping system is considered unavoidable.

The conventional scale of odds is:-
Class I. concedes class II. KBP and move; class III., KBP and two moves; class IV., QN, having the move in this and following examples; class V., QR ; class VI., QR and QN; class VII., Q.

In our view the worst features of this scale are: (a) The handicaps are most unevenly spaced, so, therefore. grading of players based on them will be erratic; (b) the removal of a pawn in the first two cases makes the proper game unplayable.

The basis of the first objection can best be demonstrated by expressing the odds in numbers of pawns to show the progress of the scale. We take the orthodox valuation here: N or B equals 3 pawns; $R$ equals $4 \frac{1}{2}$ pawns; $Q$ about equals $2 R$ 's ( $8 \frac{1}{2}$ or 9 pawns).

Thus the first handicap can be paraphrased as: "gives 1 pawn and 1 tempo." Following would be: 1 pawn, 2 tempi; 3 pawns; $4 \frac{1}{2}$ pawns (a jump); $7 \frac{1}{2}$ pawns (where's the sense?) ; $8 \frac{1}{2}$ or 9 pawns. Also, the first two examples might be given as 1 1-3 pawns and $12-3$ pawns respectively, as a return of three tempi against a pawn sacrifice is considered
adequate.

But, in practice, the second move concecied : pawn and two is of much more moment than seems on paper; in fact, pawn and two moves so near the Knight handicap in difficulty that have often heard players say they would rathe: concede the Knight, and go down fighting if need be, than be slowly strangled at pawn and two, which thus seems not to have a friend in the world.

The second objection is based on even soundzground. What can be said in favour of a system if odds where the receiver plays 1 P-K 4 and the giver cannot reply 1 P—K 4 ?
Only the dead hand of tradition is keeping is use a system of odds-giving which is a menace the game and not even of much use for the purpos: for which it is intended-the grading of players

The CHESSPLAYER, always willing to start the ball rolling, has an alternative system, in which the spaces between the classes are even, or, anyway a great deal more even than the old scale, an which does not involve the removal of pawns. If handicapping by material must be resorted to, then the following scale we claim to be infinitely superios to the one at present used. Our suggestion:-

Class I. concedes class II. exchange and move (i.e., White removes QN ; Black removes QR

In all subsequent cases class I. has the move and concedes material as follows:-

To class III., QN; class IV., QR; class V., Q. $=$ and QN ; class VI., QR and QN ; class VII., Q .

Using the valuation given, it will be seen the: each class is $1 \frac{1}{2}$ pawns above the next in order, $n$ to put it another way, the exchange above.

The odds-giver plays black when his opponer: is only one grade below for the following reason: If the odds-giver had white, he would not feel the need of his QR for perhaps eight or nine moves, but the defence would be minus his QN, a vital piecs in almost any play in the first eight moves or so White in this case would not necessarily be handicapped at all. The attack must be given to the player without the Knight.

We do not think this scale of odds is perfers perfection herein is only a question of whethel deformity can be said to be more or less ideal We do think it is a big improvement on the old odits

Any chess clubs in New Zealand in which support for our suggestion can be found should bring the matter to the notice of their league or to the N.Z.C.A. through their delegates. There is no question that interest by club members in the matter could result in an official change in the handicap system in New Zealand chess.

At least one New Zealand chess club, Otago considers handicaps "distort" the game, and conducts no tourneys involving them. Instead, it has a system of scoring points according to the grading of opponents, which seems to secure the same or similar result to conventional handicapping without any of the latter's evils. We applaud this policy

Bound up with the handicap question is that of the grading of players.

At present many clubs merely take their ber player and grade him class I. But in another ciu. a stronger one, the same player would be only Therefore under these conditions a player's gradine is of no value outside his own club
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The answer, of course, is that to be of any malue, all grading must be on a national basis.

This can easily be achieved, especially using the Bandicap system outlined above, by taking the teputed (or actual) best player in New Zealand as the top of grade I. and comparing with him the reputed (or actual) strongest player in a club. Boppose it is considered the top Dominion player colld concede exchange and move to the club glayer and score at least 50 per cent. In that case tive club man would be a grade below the Dominion man, i.e., grade II. If, however, the club man is considered capable of doing a little better than 50 per cent, he is in grade I.; if much better than 50 per cent, then so much more obviously grade I.

Once the grading of a club player is judged as accurately as possible on this basis, all other players in his club can be graded from him, as he wras graded with the Dominion player in the first place. In grading any player, we think, the question is not whether Smith has finished ahead of Brown a a tournament (thus placing Smith a class or more ahead of Brown in the grading), but whether Smith could prove himself a class ahead of Brown Iv conceding him the first handicap and scoring at least 50 per cent.

## "PLEASE EXPLAIN"

Before subscribers received their April issues (iin May) a good number of inquiries were received ts to the reason for non-arrival of the same. We nenew our apology to these correspondents. Several writers expressed apprehension at the possibility that the CHESSPLAYER had, in common parlance, "gone bung"! There is no prospect of that lamentable event; we assure all subscribers that we are very much alive.

Also, we thank readers who offered congratulaalls on our promotion to the Editorship. Malvolio in "Twelfth Night" reads that "Some are born zrat, some achieve greatness, and some have Gratness thrust upon them." All we can say is tat certainly we were not born great, and we taven't noticed much achievement; so we must be in the third category. We'll do our best, that's all.
$\star$

## 1950 TELEGRAPHIC MATCHES

N.Z.C.A.'s 1950 telegraphic match arrangements: BLEDISLOE CUP
Eirst Round.-Auckland v. Canterbury, June Civic v. Wanganui, June 17; Wellington v. Otago, bly 8; Dominion Road, bye.

Second Round.-Dominion Road v. winner of achland-Canterbury match, August 5 ; winner of last-mentioned v . winner of Wellington-Otago math.

Third Round.-Remaining two winners play off.
fours of play: 1 p.m. to 10 p.m. (break for tea arranged).

## BLACKBURN CUP

First round.-Napier v. Hastings, to be played July 1; Wanganui v. New Plymouth, by July 1; merston North, bye.
Second Round.-Palmerston North V . winner of nganui-N.P. mateh, by August 19.
Einal Round.-Winner of last-mentioned $v$. fner of Napier-Hastings match, by October 7.

## BOOK REVIEW

THE WORLD CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP, 1948, by H. Golombek. (London: G. Bell and Sons, Ltd.)

There will never be another tourney for the World Championship, hence no other book covering it than H. Golombek's magnificent "The World Chess Championship, 1948." The whole 50 games, wonderfully annotated, are accompanied by an extensive account of every phase of the tourney, personal, technical and historical. Produced in the famous "Bell Book" style, it is safe to agree with C. J. S. Purdy when he describes Golombek's fine masterpiece as "a work to rank among the great tournament books . . . perhaps the best since New York 1924 (Alekhine)."
A.L.F.

GUIDE TO GOOD CHESS, by C. J. S. Purdy. (Sydney: Associated General Publications.)
The claim by its author that this book covers the game from " first steps to fine points" gives a pretty fair indication of its contents, and is by no means exaggerated. Those who are familiar with C. J. S. Purdy's other books and his magazine "Chess World" will look for a snappy, concise and realistic approach to the game; and they will not be disappointed. This low-priced book, catering as it does for the stage between the primer and the too advanced, is just what the "in between" player needs, and it may be read with profit by many others who consider themselves more advanced than that. The chapters on combination, position play and general principles are outstanding, and the whole book is written in a style that is interesting and easy to understand. There are two references to New Zealand games, one from Auckland dating back some years and one from a New Zealand Championship. We have a supply of this book coming forward, and at $4 / 9$ we don't expect that supply to last very long.
F.G.McS.

CHESS PIECES: An Anthology on Chess in Literature. Compiler: Norman Knight.
(London: Sampson Low, Marston and Co. Ltd.)
Browsing through a West End book store, I was delighted to chance on an unusually brightly presented book labelled "Chess Pieces" and open it up to find a favourite quotation from Omar Khayyam: " 'Tis all a Chequer-board of Nights . . ."

What all the giants of literature had to say about chess seemed to be crammed into its 300 -odd pages. Bernard Shaw damned the game as "a foolish expedient for making idle people believe they are doing something very clever, when they are only wasting their time." A favourite author of mine slipped a little! Conan Doyle made Sherlock Holmes say, ". . . chess-one mark, Watson, of a scheming mind." A friendly slander. Benjamin Franklin's article of 1786 on "The Morals of Chess" marks him as a dangerous opponent.

Even Pepys associated chess with Mother Russia. "Russia . . . a sad place . . . all the winter within doors, some few playing at chesse." It was rather a shock to learn that chess, and not King George the Third, was the reason for Great Britain losing the American Colonies.

Chess master Reti wrote that criticism in chess is not as important as in art. ". . . in chess, results decide, ultimately." A win is chalked up for this book.
R.G.W.

## AROUND THE N.Z. CLUBS

## HAMILTON

The Hamilton C.C. has begun its 1950 season perhaps a little reduced in numbers, but still quite a strong party ready for battle. At the annual meeting the election of officers resulted: President, N. A. Palmer; vice-president, A. K. Liddell; secretary, J. M. Bamford; committee, A. T. Scott, A. Crookenden and Mrs. Thomas; captain, F. Hirst. The club extends a hearty invitation to any chess player to be present on any club evening-every Wednesday, 7.30 p.m., Daffodil tearooms, Victoria Street, Hamilton.

## DOMINION ROAD

At the annual meeting of the Dominion Road C.C. the roll stood at 38. In appreciation of his long and valuable service to the club, J. W. Simmonds was elected a life member. Officers elected were: Patron R. F. Barter, Esq., J.P.; vice-patron, J. W. Simmonds, Esq.; president, A. G. Rowland; vice-president, A. W. Glen; honorary secretary, D. E. Miller; honorary treasurer, F. A. Foulds; management committee, H. H. Douglas, J. Auckram, A. J. McNamara; director of play, A. G. Rowland; club captain, A. W. Glen. A lightning tourney was held on the opening night, May 16. Forty contestants played in three grades, various openings being compulsory. Prizes-winners: Top table, A. L. Fletcher; intermediate table, B. H. P. Marsick; bottom table, R. M. Haycock and H. Mayhill equal. Prizes were in book form, and the surprised winner of a consolation book was D. Clune.

## WELLINGTON CHESS LEAGUE

The Wellington Chess League, now in its 29th year, has ten affiliated clubs. Its annual report discloses that 1949 was a year of wide and useful activity for the league. The report records the death of Mr. H. Godtschalk, aged 90, who was widely known in Wellington, and who many years ago was president of the Woodville C.C. Wellington League officers for 1950 are: Patron, W. Appleton, Esq., Mayor of Wellington (re-elected); president, J. L. Hardy (re-elected); chairman, J. I. Goldsmith (re-elected) ; vice-presidents, W. M. Haycraft, W. J. Emery, J. I. Goldsmith, A. W. Gyles, J. Knight, D. McGillivray, R. McKay, G. Natusch, W. F. O'Shaughnessy and J. Shallcross; honorary secretary, V. Cuff; honorary treasurer, O. N. Thomson; honorary solicitor, - Gilkison; honorary auditor, A. W. Gyles; permit committee, J. L. Hardy, E. H. Severne, A. W. Gyles; management committee, Knight (Wellington College), J. Froome (Working Men's Club), Shallcross (Rongotai College), O'Shaughnessy (Hutt), Cuff (Civic), Williams (Hydro), O'Donnell (Waterside), Gyles (Wellington) ; delegate to N.Z.C.A., J. L. Hardy.

The Wellington League's teams matches are being contested by five teams in the A grade, six in the B grade, and six in the C grade. Result of first round in A grade: Working Men's Club beat Civic (top board, R. O. Scott 1, v. N. T. Fletcher 0); Wellington Red 3, v. Wellington Blue 2, one for adjudication (top board, Dr. B. M. Cwilong 1, v. A. W. Gyles 0); Hutt, a bye.

## WELLINGTON

Increased membership for the current seasom a reported by the Wellington C.C. This yeat officers are: President, A. W. Gyles; vice-presibenti H. J. Faulkner, J. L. Hardy, Dr. E. W. Merring E. H. Severne; honorary treasurer, W. J. E-t honorary secretary, Les. Esterman; general curnmittee, Dr. B. M. Cwilong, K. Beyer, J. Taylse Gneiss, H. P. Yarrell; honorary librarian ant reporter, O. N. Thomson; delegates to Wellinfur Chess League, O. N. Thomson, J. L. Hardy. Theme are 14 entrants in the club championship, inclutury Dr. Allerhand, Dr. Cwilong, Gyles, Severne, Sent Frost (late of Napier), Fuller, Hardy and Esterman Ten seek B grade honours.

## NAPIER

At its annual meeting the Napier C.C. decite to appoint a patron, and J. W. Callaghan, presi term of the club for the past two years and secreta for many years before that, was unanimously elertar to the office. Other officers for 1950 are: Presibent G. W. Gilchrist; club captain, E. F. Wright; vier presidents, J. Mason (former New Zealand chatpion), T. W. Hercock, A. E. Armstrong, M.P, 5 Butler, G. Husheer, H. McDougall, J. B and L. Styles; committee, T. J. S. Gurney, I I. Axford, L. Pleasants, R. E. Kibblewhite, Rout, A. W. Tucker and I. J. Husheer; acting borsrary secretary, G. W. Gilchrist; tournament cletk M. V. Rout. Six new members were welocmec including E. V. Stack, formerly of Auckland.

The club recorded its appreciation of the wurit of E. G. A. Frost, secretary for the past two seastru (and correspondent to this magazine), who taw left Napier to live in Wellington.

Napier tourneys for 1949 resulted as follows -
Championship (five competitors, double rount E. G. A. Frost, 6-2 (losses to Gilchrist and Axfart G. W. Gilchrist, J. Axford and T. J. S. Gere 4-4; L. Pleasants, 2-6.

Junior Championship (seven competitors, deurim round).-M. V. Rout 9-3, I. J. Husheer 8Tucker $7-5$, J. W. Callaghan $6 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{2}, ~ M r=1$ Gilchrist 6-6.

Handicap.-Best percentage: Axford 60.0, ₹rar 59.3, Gurney 57.2, Rout 56.6, Gallaghan $\equiv \mathbb{Z}$ Highest aggregate: Callaghan 188 points, Rout 1 ist Axford 150. Bi-monthly tournaments: April-NinE. F. Wright 1, Gurney 2, Rout 3. June-NGilchrist 1, Frost 2, Callaghan 3 . Aupar-September-Axford 1, Rout 2, Frost 3. Octocer-November-Frost 1, L. O'Flaherty 2, Callaghan 1

## HENDERSON

The Henderson C.C. has opened its winter searm on a cheerful note with prospects of some evenings ahead. Mr. Geo. Collingwood's comintr able room is the club's winter quarters. Play is am Wednesday nights. Officers were re-elected $m$ bloc from last season (Alf Hartnell president,

The Otago
April 1. Iteredin, had mason open, Bhesident v . wion by 7 pol attive this ye Itr beginners Atire of ches luegs has bee mond group Now meeting eegn well at anod progress uny club can

An inter-a Dtago and Ci zlietar. It res -etails of the

Otas
TV. G. Stenho
J. McDern
A. Cuming
K. L. Weblin
A. Jackson
P. Mathieso

Gardner
Adams
C. Cusack

McEwan Wheeler

At the annu unual report s macsessful. Men thected: Patron, Sale; vice-pres tack; honorary merretary, H. M. onggan; directo Ihs E. L. Shor Builey. H. D. Prizes presente Erabkey 1, R. E. A. Haight 1 Sale 1, J. O P. Belton 1, Tarruer 1, F. A

The champio chas drawn 2 - yed under the Major Open ne entered for Eathes.

WELL
WELLINGTO

TUESDAY,
er C.C. denomer
er Cl geen
shan guentim imously Elinnum are Fresitutu Wrian mulu
Zealand ong, ME M S
a, acti-g inmin rnament centh Were wex
Auct:
ion of the surnt past turp stanm zine), witi =um

## OTAGO

The Otago C.C.'s 67 th playing season was opened on April 1. After Sir Donald Cameron, Mayor of Dunedin, had presented the prizes and declared the season open, the usual teams match was held, President v. Vice-President. The president's team won by 7 points to 6 . The Otago club has been active this year in the matter of conducting groups for beginners, and results are encouraging for the future of chess in the district. A group of schoolboys has been meeting on Friday nights, and a second group under Selwyn Webb's leadership is now meeting on Thursdays. Both groups have been well attended and the beginners have made sood progress. [Possibly the most valuable work any club can undertake.-Ed.]

An inter-club correspondence match between Otago and Civic (Wellington) has now been completed. It resulted in a win for Otago by 15 to 9 Details of the scoring:-

## Otago

W. G. Stenhouse A. J. MeDermott J. A. Cunningham J. K. L. Weblin ${ }^{\text {G }}$.

1. A. Jackson
A. P. Mathieson
R. Gardner
G. Adams
B. C. Cusack
J. F. McEwan
L. Wheeler

## Civic

|  | v. N. T. Fletcher |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | v. T. J. Costello |
| 1 | v. J. R. Cusack |
| 1 | v. A. Summers |
| 1 | v. - Watson |
| 0 | v. D. Wiseman |
|  | v. C. Roberts |
| 0 | v. O. Strom |
| 1 | v. C. Johannson |
| 1 | v. W. Haycraft |
|  | v. K. Jobson |

## AUCKLAND

At the annual meeting of the Auckland club, the annual report showed that last year had been very successful. Membership, 113, was a record. Officers elected: Patron, Rev. H. B. Wingfield; president, G. Sale; vice-presidents, J. A. C. Barnes and W. J. Luck; honorary secretary, B. H. P. Marsick; assistant secretary, H. M. Bagnall; honorary treasurer, D. B. Duggan; director of play, G. L. Calnan; committee, Mrs. E. L. Short, Mrs. J. L. Sayers, J. James and C. Bailey. H. D. Addis was elected a life member. Prizes presented:-Club championship: A. W. H. Breakey 1, R. E. Baeyertz 2. Championship reserve: F. A. Haight 1, G. L. Calnan 2. Open handicap: G. Sale 1, J. O. Hanlon 2. Afternoon handicap: C. P. Belton 1, W. Tudhope 2. Summer Cup: A. E. Turner 1, F. A. Haight 2.

The championship this year is an open event and has drawn 28 entries. Eleven rounds are to be played under the modified. Swiss system used in the Est Major Open. Three teams, one in each grade, are entered for the Auckland Chess League teams matches.

## READERS' VIEWS

To the Editor

## TELEGRAPHIC MATCH ADJUDICATIONS

Sir,-I read with interest correspondence with reference to the Bledisloe Cup competition. As long as unfinished games are decided by adjudication, the N.Z.C.A. should dispense altogether with the captains' option of deciding the result of any unfinished games. If captains are required to send unfinished games for adjudication within a stipulated time (say, seven days), the result of a match could be ascertained within two or three weeks. The criticism of the quality of adjudication can be understood if by persisting with the absolute adjudication board method the N.Z.C.A. has declined to co-opt the services of leading players. I believe that a sole adjudicator would be more satisfactory. I believe that unfinished games in correspondence tourneys are decided by sole adjudication. Anyway, the clubs should confer with each other through the N.Z.C.A. Council to arrive at a satisfactory system of play. Complaints on matters relating to telegraphic matches have been long standing, so I hope that the CHESSPLAYER'S editorial will at least be beneficial in improving conditions of play and speeding up the finalising of matches.-R. O. SCOTT (Petone).

# COPIES OF THE WORLD-FAMOUS ENGLISH MAGAZINE <br> "CHESS" "CHESS" "CHESS" <br> <br> Now Available! <br> <br> Now Available! WE TAKE SUBSCRIPTIONS 

 WE TAKE SUBSCRIPTIONS}
"Chess" contains Comprehensive News Reports, Articles by Experts, Opening Theory by Dr. Max Euwe, and plenty of Games annotated by leading players.
15/- Brings You 12 Monthly Issues post free.

Address subscriptions to
"NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER" 256 Dominion Road, Auckland S. 2

## WELLINGTON CHESS CLUB

WELLINGTON SPORTS CENTRE - - WAKEFIELD STREET

TUESDAY, THURSDAY and SATURDAY - 7.30 to 11 p.m.

Secretary: L. Esterman, 67 Gardner Rd., Northland. President: A. W. Gyles, Phone 26-619.

THis PAGE is designed to assist the inexperienced player to learn to recognise a winning position when it arises (not necessarily a quick mate). Give the page your thorough attention before examining the answers. Whites plays UP the board always Solutions - - Page 55


No. 1-Black to move
The threat contained in White's passed pawn keeps the black King away from his pawns, gut- $\rho \ell$



No. 2-White to move
Should white be worried by the threatened capture of his Rook?


No. 5-Black to move
White holds a discovered check over Black's head, but the latter calls in an old friend.


No. 8-Black to move
Things look anything but rosy for Black, but a keen examination improves the outlook.


No. 3-White to move
The white Knight is attacked and Black has hopes. He is not worried-ye.


No. 6-White to move
White's pieces are well placed far the slaughter if he can read the sigins.


No. 9-White to move
The black King is being straraz. He doesn't know it, but his ent are spiked.

New Zea WALTER
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## New Zealand Personalities WALTER E. MOORE, CHRISTCHURCH

Whatever Walter Moores chess skill, there is no doubt about his standing as a humorist. Here's how his chess lite began, according to himself:
" Learnt the moves in boyhood and played with my brother, when skili was chiefly shown by having as many Queens on the board as possible (Kings did not matter so much).
"Played occasionally at Cambridge, where I succumbed three times in successive games against the same player on the same evening to the following brilliant cumbination: $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 2$ B-B4, P-Q3; 3 Q-R5, N-K-B3; 4 QxP mate.

After this I retired from sorious chess for some years and came to New Zealand."

Walter graduated B.A. at Cambridge, in spite of his serious chess. When he joined the Canterbury Chess Club 15 years ago he won the intermediate

thampionship. In the last few Foars Walter has several times sen close to Canterbury chamTimship honours, but says he specialises in last round bluntars," of which he gives two temples. As a kindness to radiers we omit these. Of the seond one he remarks: "After tis effort I am planning to devote the rest of my life to ludo."

## DIFFICULTIES

Most of the difficulties connected with compiling an issue of the CHESSPLAYER arise because an inch is an inch; type isn't made of rubber; and time flies.

You have an article for publication: and when it is set up in type you find it is five lines over a page. Somebody, a bit late, sends in something that must go in the next issue, which is already full. You can't fit two items in; one's too big for the remaining space, and the other too small. You arrange for an item to be in your hands by a date just sufficient to allow its inclusion in next issueand it doesn't arrive for one of several possible reasons. You may be held up by a photographer, or the chap who makes the photos into printing blocks, and you almost do your own block.

But the fun doesn't end here. The rest of the difficulties exist because everybody has a different opinion of what a good chess magazine should contain. $B$ dislikes problems; A would be happy if we gave him ten pages of problems. C's cry is that we don't publish enough games. Another wants the magazine to publish something we would prefer not to. Still another wonders why we haven't published the letter he wrote the game he sent, the photo, or what have you.

The reason is nearly always the same in these cases: we must balance the contents of each issue, unless something we consider of outstanding importance justifies another course (for example, the annual New Zealand Congress).

When you open up your latest issue of the CHESSPLAYER, remember it has cost us any amount of time, patience and wangling. Our enthusiasm and interest is thrown in willingly.

ARTCRAFT PRESS LTD
256 Dominion Road, Auckland S. 2 Printers of this Magazine The Only Firm in New Zealand which SPECIALISES in

## - CHESS PRINTING <br> OF ALL DESCRIPTIONS

Every member of the staff is a chess player, and you don't have to explain things!
Swiss Score Cards, Score Sheets
(loose or made up in books of 12)
Diagram Blanks, Souvenir Score Books, etc., ete.

# KING'S BIRTHDAY WEEK-END TOURNEYS 

## J. F. LANG'S SOUTH ISLAND TITLE Cambridge Tourney to A. T. Scott

- Three major tournaments were conducted in New Zealand during the King's Birthday week-end, giving proof of the growing vigour of chess organisation in the Dominion.
- At Christchurch, J. F. Lang (Otago) became the first player to hold the title of South Island chess champion when he scored $4 \frac{1}{2}$ from his five games. V. Wilkinson (Rakaia) won the subsidiary tourney.
A. T. Scott (Hamilton) was a bit too solid for 22 rivals in a sixround Swiss at Cambridge for the South Auckland Championship.

The Hawke's Bay - East Coast Chess League staged its annual championship tourney at Dannevirke. Details are awaited.

## CHRISTCHURCH

STH. ISLAND CHAMPIONSHIP
J. F. Lang (Otago)
W. E. Moore (Canterbury)
R. Rasa (Otago)
R. J. Colthart (Canterbury)
D. C. Manson (Canterbury)
H. A. McGilvary (Canterb'y)

Dr. N. E. H. Fulton (A'burton)
E. R. Broom (Gambit)
A. Hollander (Canterbury)
T. Costello (Canterbury)
) ...
Lang beat Colthart, Rasa, Moore,
Fulton, drew Manson.
Moore beat Hollander, Manson, Rasa, Colthart.
Rasa beat Broom, Manson, Costello.
Colthart beat McGilvary, Broom, Costello.
Manson beat Fulton, McGilvary, drew Lang.
McGilvary beat Costello, Hollander, drew Fulton.
Fulton beat Hollander, drew McGilvary, Broom.
Broom beat Costello, drew Hollander, Fulton.
Hollander beat Costello, drew Broom.

## SUBSIDIARY TOURNAMENT

V. Wilkinson (Rakaia)
D. Robertson (Rakaia)
R. M. O'Callahan (Canty.)

Dr. R. Gardner (Otago)
R. V. Frew (Gambit)

Broadbent (Gambit)
J. K. L. Webling (Otago)
E. J. Denys (Canterbury)

Miss A. Wellard-King (Can.)
D. Manson (Canterbury)
V. Hay (Otago)

Campbell (Gambit)
O. C. Chandler (Canterbury)

Higgins (Gambit)
I. Barker (Canterbury)
H. Bratley (Ashburton)
F. R. Best (Canterbury) ....
Russell (Gambit) ..........
0

Wilkinson beat Frew, Bratley, Manson, O'Callahan, Miss Wellard-King.
Robertson beat Best, Campbell, Frew, drew Denys, Manson.
O'Callahan beat Barker, Gardner, Manson, drew Webling.
Gardner beat Miss Wellard-King, Hay, Chandler.
Frew beat Chandler, Denys, Gardner.
Broadbent beat Barker, Bratley, Russell.
Webling beat Best, Campbell, drew Denys, O'Callahan.
Denys beat Broadbent, Hay, drew Webling, Robertson.
Miss Wellard-King beat Higgins, Hay, Webling.
Manson beat Broadbent, Campbell, drew Robertson.
Hay beat Chandler, Barker
Campbell beat Higgins, Best.
Chandler beat Higgins, Bratley
Higgins beat Russell, Bratley. Barker beat Russell, Best.
Bratley beat Russell.
Best beat Russell.
Everybody enjoyed the first South Island Chess Championship, and it is taken for granted that it will become an annual fixture. Dunedin will be the venue for 1951.

The first round was played in the Y.IM.C.A. (the new home of the Canterbury C.C.), and the other four rounds were played at Canterbury College.

President Sam Hollander had L. J. Darwin as director of play, assisted by the Rev. N. Friberg. The tournament secretary was W. E. Moore (who supplied the material from which this "story" is prepared). At the end, the Rev. Friberg presented the prizes.

Votes of thanks were passed to J. Darwin, and to others who the catering.
"In the championship ever: Jim Lang well deserved his titis as he played solidly throughout, writes our correspondent. "Mcolt for once in his life avoie= blunders, but was the beneficiars in two. [He said it, we didn't. Ed.] Rasa seems to have play=: below his real strength; so E E Colthart, who would be cutstandingly good without his hat:of working too hard at othes things than chess. Half-way wer= MeGilvary and Manson-not : good for this year's and last yearl: Canterbury champions. Dr. Fu. ton and Broom, both difficier: about their playing strength, w= justified their inclusion. Th三 games of Alvin Hollander art Costello were not all so one-sicie: as their scores suggest."
In the subsidiary tourname-Wilkinson won with a clean score "He says he was lucky in two his games, thereby raising the $\mathrm{ol}^{2}$ question,' 'Is there luck chess?'" Rakaia men took fre and second place in this eve:which is a pleasing change frothe general dominance of pla: $=$ from metropolitan clubs in to naments of this kind. Robertsi (4) was also unbeaten, but corceded two draws. O'Callahan topped the Christchurch playe and six contestants were on 3 mark.

Our correspondent remarks ers while five rounds were "ampl= for the championship, five se " not enough for the subsician ( 18 players). In the latter could have fitted in six rouns with advantage." This is $= \pm$ enough; five rounds for 18 platers is one round short of the minimen for that number in a $S=195$ tourney proper. The champieship at Christchurch we shoul describe as an Anglo-Swiss, $=1$. is, as many rounds as possible played without regard to number of entrants.
"Rasa was stretching his - er while waiting for his oppots: to move, oblivious of his $s=$ roundings, as one is. The young competitor, his game and wanting a skittle, challerytit him. The look of puzzled surge on Rasa's face was a jo: behold.'
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## CATALAN

2J. F. Lang
$P-Q 4$
$\therefore P-Q B 4$
2. N-K B 3

- P-K N 3

N-K 5 :
This move portunity to pla $3-N 2$, etc., but messure on his QP at. and it is ne win a pawn at t *follow the lea
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The only complaint voiced at Caristchurch was that there was tho much noise at times. This will be rectified in future, it is hoped. If the noise was made lay occupants of the playing room, there is no need to "hope"; firmness is the cure.
South Island chess players generally must not fail to apprecate the advance in organisation which was signalised by the inauguration of the South Island Championship tourney. If as expected it becomes an annual event, it will be a most welcome andition to the opportunities at present offered to players from different localities to get together for their mutual technical and sacial enjoyment, and the Royal pime must advance in popularity as a result. This magazine conGratulates all those responsible for the conception and planning of the tournament.
Two games from the tourney are mpended:-
In the second round Lang took a really good game from Rasa. Tise made splendid use of opening advantages to force the win Wotes by the Editor.

## Game No. 283

## CATALAN SYSTEM

fJ. F. Lang
R. Rasa

## P-Q 4 <br> 2 P—Q B 4 <br> ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ —K B 3 <br> P-K N 3

P—Q 4
$\mathbf{N}-\mathrm{K} \boldsymbol{B} 3$
$\underset{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{B}$
PxP
: N-K 5 !
This move gives Black the mportunity to play 5 ... P-QN4; 31E-N2, etc., but he refrains. The Tressure on his QB3 could become whil, and it is never good policy win a pawn at the cost of having a "follow the leader" to hold it.

## Q-Q 4

A. disconcerting move for White, it results in the exposure of black Queen

| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | Q $\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} 2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| B-N 2 | Q-R 4 ch |
| (1) $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | Q-N 3 |
| $\mathrm{SxP} / 4$ | Qx@P |
| Q-B 2 | N-N 5 |

This is where Black loses furground. His threats result in White making desirable eloping moves to defend-the Bal-while Black's own position ties not improve at all. But Lack no doubt was worried about se safety of his Queen, who has necious few safe squares, and

10 ... N-N5 at least vouchsafes her comparative safety on the King's side. If this is his best line, then the manoeuvre is a tacit admission that his opening strategy was insufficient.

| 11 P-K 3 | Q-B 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | Q-R 3 |
| $13 \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | N-N 3 |
| 14 P-K R 3 | Nx |
| 15 Nx N | N-B3 |
| 16 Castles | P-K ${ }^{3}$ |



Lang
In this position White has an advantage of four tempi in effective developing moves, and the loss of his QP gives the QR maximum power. The way he uses that advantage is an object lesson.

## $17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Pch}!\quad \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ 18 BxN : $\quad \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$

$18 \ldots$ Q x B; $19 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{B} \mathrm{x}$ N (19 ... K-K2; 20 QxBP must win) ; $20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 21$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ (forced) ; $22 \mathrm{Q}-$ Q8 ch, Q-K1 (forced); $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7!$, B-R3; $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ or $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$; $25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, with a winning advantage. Seeing the possibility of this, Black prefers to guard his Achilles heel, the QBP.
19 N-K 5: $\quad$ P $\times$ B
If $19 \ldots \mathrm{QxB} ; 20 \mathrm{NxB}, \mathrm{Q}-$ $\mathrm{KB} 6 ; 21 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$, and 22 QxPch .
20 R x B :
R-B I
If $20 \quad \ldots \quad \mathrm{PxN} ; 21, \mathrm{QxBP}$ would be killing. Black's defensive play is good, but he is conceding too much start to White for the game to be saved.

|  | NxKBP | Q-N 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QxQ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
|  | NxR | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}$ |

23 NxR
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$
$24 \mathbf{N x P}^{2}$
White now has an easy win and the rest does not need comment.

25 P-K R 4 B-B
26 P—R 5

| 27 P -K N 4 | R-B2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 28 N-R 4 | R-Q 2 |
| $29 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | B-N 5 |
| 30 R -Q 1 | R-R 2 |
| $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | B-B4 |
| 32 R -Q 8 | B-K 2 |
| $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{Q}$ \% |
| 34 RxB ch | RxR |
| 35 NxR | KxN |
| 36 P -B4 | K-K 3 |
| $37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $\mathbf{P x N P}$ |
| $38 \mathrm{Px} \times$ | P-K 6 |
| $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | K-Q 3 |

## Black resigned

One of the best South Island games we have yet had the pleasure of examining.

The last round battle between the champion and David Manson was another game with some exciting moments, well handled by both sides.

Game No. 284

## QP: STONEWALL OPENING

J. F. Lang D. C. M. Manson

1 P—Q 4
P-K 3
N-K B 3
B-Q 3
N - Q 2
N-K 2
Castles
P-K B 4
P-B 3
B-B 2
N-K N 3
$\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}_{4}$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 1$
$\underset{\text { P—K }}{ } 4$
N/2× ${ }^{2}$
N—QB5
P-B 5
$P-B 5$
$B-B 4$
B-B 4
Q-K 2
Q-K 2
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{F}$
B-K 5
Q-B 2
QR-K1
$\mathbf{N x}$
$\mathbf{B x} \mathbf{N}$
N-K 4
$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B} 1$
$\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}$
1
$R-R 3$
$30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 1$
31 R-K 2
32 R—K 5
Q×Q
$\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$
R-Q B 5
K-B 2
$\begin{array}{ll}36 & \text { K—B } 2 \\ 37 & \text { K—B } 3\end{array}$
38 R x B P
39 R $\times$
R-N 4

P-K N 3
P—K N
$\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}$
B-N 2
Castles
Q N-Q 2
P-B 4
P-B 5
Q-B 2
P-Q N 4
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{P}} \times \mathbf{P}$
N-N 3
P-QR4.
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{N}$
B-B 3
K R-Q 1
Q-B 1
R-R 2
$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
Q-N 5
R-K B 1
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
Q×N/2
BxB
BxN
P-R 5
R/1-R 1
Q-N 4
R-N 1
B-N 4
Q×P:
$\mathbf{R \times Q}$
R-N 8
RxBch
$R — \boldsymbol{R}^{7 \mathrm{ch}}$
R-N 7
R-N 6
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$
R-R 6
Draw agreed
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## CAMBRIDGE

## S. AUCKLAND CHAMPIONSHIP

A. T. Scott (Hamilton)
E. Avery (Hamilton)
F. Hirst (Hamilton)
E. Wooderson (Matamata)
H. Hooker (Cambridge)
M. I. Wells (Cambridge)
J. McGill (Morrinsville)
J. Hopkins (Matamata)
N. A. Palmer (Hamilton)
B. Bjerring (Waihi)
T. C. Morriss (Cambridge)
S. Bjerring (Waihi)
J. R. Newcombe (Cambridge)
F. Bjerring (Waihi)
C. Hurliman (Matamata)
J. W. Collins (Cambridge)
G. Rosser (Morrinsville)
B. Neureuter (Morrinsville
J. W. Bamford (Hamilton)
R. G. Hall (Thames)
J. Sloan (Thames)
R. K. Stuart (Cambridge)
L. Wooclerson (Matamata)

Consistency was rewarded when A. T. Scott became the South Auckland Provincial Chess League champion for 1950 in a six-round Swiss. This was the third annual championship tourney conducted by the South Auckland League. Scott was second to E. Avery in the inaugural event; last year he and L. Cole were joint titleholders, and this year he won narrowly but convincingly, being the only unbeaten contestant.
This year's event was held in the R.S.A. Club's premises at Cambridge. The playing room could hardly have been bettered for the purpose. All arrangements were in the hands of the Cambridge Chess Club, and here again it was impossible to fault its work.

The director of play and sole adjudicator was the Editor of the N.Z. CHESSPLAYER, A. L. Fletcher, and (he was told) this department also worked with precision.

Comment on all sides showed that everybody (including us) thoroughly enjoyed a well organised and happy tournament. Hours of play were 9.30 to 12.30 and 2.30 to 5.30 on each of the three days.

The refreshments squad (no praise too high) consisted of Mesdames Hooker, Morriss, Newcombe, Stuart, Wells and Vinall, and Miss Nita Stuart.

On the Saturday morning South Auckland president J. W. Collins welcomed visitors and officially
opened the proceedings, the director of play made a few technical remarks, and 22 players swung into action with one aim: the title, or bust. Sure enough 22 of them " busted" (there was a bye in each round).

The first round draw put together the players in order of ranking, 1 v. 2, etc., the draw for later rounds being determined by scores and colours due, and where there was a choice, by lot (the so-called Auckland system). The only pair to meet twice were Hooker and Scott. They drew in their first round game and after the fifth round were level with 4 each. Meeting in the sixth round to try to settle the matter, there was a sensation when Hooker

A. T. Scott . . . his consistency did it.
made his first oversight in the event and Scott mated him in one! This may have been bad luck for Hooker, but there was no doubt that Scott played a winner's tournament from the start, and opinion was the right man won the title.

Avery, Hirst and E. Wooderson chased Scott home with $4 \frac{1}{2}$ each, and all played well. Avery won his last three in a row, but a loss to Hopkins early, and a draw, damaged too much his chance of a repeat performance. E. Wooder-
son, still in his teens, call lie considered probably the mort promising of the younger players in the league. He will do befor in the not too distant future. His was always dangerous and show stamina to finish high up afiar losing his first game to $=$ Wooderson
"Bush" Hooker put up gine his best showing in any tourher to date, but his collapse agens Scott in the last round was blemish. Wells and McGill sume the others to score 4 ; both finis:- - : strongly after a shaky ster: Hopkins was a disappointmen: He won three in a line to been with, but got only a draw tos= after. Palmer was a surprise, * that he was ranked last but yet scored $3 \frac{1}{2}$, playing some colspetent games. His improvemen in the last year is marked. Es: players on the 3 mark incluter the whole three Bjerring brothe: from Waihi.

Perhaps for its future annal contests the South Auch: Provincial Chess League mish consider a second grade prize. the best score of those ran:below a certain point. This worlat give more incentive and pleas.is to the middle markers.

Metropolitan chess leagues sat other bodies should note the prosel given by this tourney that easily possible to conduct such event in a three-day holiza: break, with each evening fuce at that. The third evening migh: earmarked for a possible severound, which would have desirable at Cambridge had ant finality been reached by the soter

Visitors during the toumers included Mr. and Mrs. Muir a: party (Thames C.C, preside. and L. Cole, last year's :ait champion.

Mr. and Mrs. "Bush" Burer entertained the director of $=1$. and seven of the competints as their home on the Monday - ens Skittles and reminiscences weme indulged in, and Fletcher ylares the company simultaneraric Scott, Hirst and Wells won; : drew; Hooker, Bamford, C:Hen and Newcombe bit the dust. Trul was a pleasant finishing tount at an excellent week-end of chers

Following championshi
in round wa his winn played, win horse" of th an exciting prefers to gi belief, usu sooner or lat lay himself win. Notes

## Gar

POLIS
$\stackrel{\dagger}{+} \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{Sco}$
1 P -Q N 4
2 P-QR 3
$3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$
4 N-K B 3
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
$6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$
$7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$
8 P-Q 3
Black is no White's choic opening, as known to be willing to mix (3) ... B x P.
io ciouble Bla haps 8
all.
9 BxB
(1) $N-Q 4$ :

11 NxN
$12 \mathbf{Q x P}$
(3) $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{2} 2$
$14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ :
15 Castles (K)
16 P—K 4
$1: \mathbf{Q x} \mathbf{Q}$
18 NxP
is $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{~ x P}$
20 RxR
The game itself " to be advantage is $B$ 20
21 N-Q 2
23 R-K 6

teens, can be bably the mos? younger players He will do better stant future. Hirst Erous and showed high up after game to $E$

Eer put up quit= z in any tournes collapse agains: and McGill wers re 4 ; both finishet shaky star: disappointmert. n a line to bes ly a draw ther:vas a surprise, hed last but or:laying some comHis improvems is marked, S. 3 mark includ= Bjerring brothern
its future anmall South AuchleLeague mis. d grade prize, int of those rante:
ooint. This wond: tive and pleastas: narkers.

## chess leagues anat

 uld note the prowt wumey that it 0 conduct such at? hree-day hollidy evening migh: a possible sewentit ould have bret mbridge had zatc ched by the suriting the tourtas d Mrs. Muir aan: C.C. presille. "Bush" Howe director of $=$ he competifors the Mondagy bug: Eminiscences in Fletcher phases simultan $\div$-2s. Wells won; S:Eamiond, Camun bit the dust. Th. Enishing touth ek-end of chase

Following are a couple of the championship games:-
In round four Scott continued on his winning way with a wellplayed win against the "dark horse" of the field. Perhaps not an exciting game; but Scott prefers to give no chances in the belief, usually justified, that sooner or later his opponent will lay himself open. And a win is a win. Notes by the Editor.

## Game No. 285 <br> POLISH OPENING

| $\ddagger$ A. T. Scott | N. A. Palmer |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} \mathbf{4}$ | P-K 4 |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}$ R 3 | N-K B 3 |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | P-Q 3 |
| 4 N-K B 3 | P-Q R 3 |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | N-B3 |
| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | B-K ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}$ 2 | B-B 4 |
| 8 P-Q 3 | B x P |

Black is not at all affected by White's choice of a little played opening, as players have been known to be, and shows he is willing to mix it into the bargain. $8 \ldots$ B x P, however, White uses to double Black's QBP's, so perhaps 8 ... O-O was better after all.

| 9 BxB | P-K 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ! | $\mathbf{P} \times$ B |
| 11 Nx | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}$ |
| 12 Qx | Castles |
| 13 N -Q 2 | P-Q 4 |
| 14 P-B 5 ! | Q-Q 2 |
| 15 Castles (K) | P-QR 4 |
| 16 P-K 4 | Q P XP |
| 17 QxQ | $\mathbf{N x}$ |
| 18 NXP | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{P} \times$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |

## $20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$

The game has "annotated itself" to here. White's sole advantage is Black's doubled P's.

| 20 | P-K B 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $21 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} 2$ | N-B 3 |
| 22 R-WK 1 | N-Q 4 |
| 23 R-K 6 | B-B 3 ! |

Palmer


Scott

24 BxB
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$
$25 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{R}$
Black is worthy of his opponent's steel. His last manoeuvre saved his front QBP.

## 26 N—B 3 N-Q 4

Black's first serious mistake, missing the fork by $27 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$. The trite $26 \ldots$ P—N3 would have sufficed, leaving the $K$ free.

| 27 | N-Q 4 | $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | NxKBP | N-Q 4 |
| 29 | N-Q 4 | N-K |

The material is still even, but not the position. White fully exploits the first winning advantage he has held in a carefully played game.

| 30 P-B4 | K-B 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 31 P-N 4 | P-N 3 |
| $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | P-R 3 |
| 33 K-B 3 | K-B 3 |
| 34 P-R 4 | K-B 2 |
| $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | K-B 3 |
| $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $37 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{Pch}$ | K-B 2 |
| 38 K-K 5 | K-K 1 |
| 39 P-B 5 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 40 NxP | Nx |

A graceful admission of defeat. Everything loses.

| 41 KxN | K-B 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{N} 2$ |
| $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | K-N 1 |
| 44 K-B 6 | K-B 1 |
| $45 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}^{7} \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{N} 1$ |
| $46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 7$ | Resigns |

In the second round young $E$. Wooderson drastically punished time-wasting tactics. Notes by the Editor.

## Game No. 286

QUEEN'S PAWN GAME
$\ddagger$ E. Wooderson J. R. Newcombe

| P-Q 4 | $\mathbf{N}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | P-K 3 |
| $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{B} 3$ | P-Q 4 |
| $4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | B-N 5 |

In this opening where White omits P-QB4, Black generally does best by playing that move himself. Here indicated was 4 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}$; $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; with a good free game.

## 5 P-Q R 3 B-R 4

Clearly $5 \quad \ldots \quad$ BxN ch was right, otherwise his previous move was pointless.

| 6 | P-Q N 4 | B-N 3 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7}$ P-K 3 | P-Q R 3 ? |  |
| 8 B-Q 3 | Castles |  |
| 9 | Castles | R-K 1 |
| 10 | P-K 4 | P-B 3 |

## 11 B-K N 5 B x P

Black apparently does not realise how precarious his game has become, otherwise he would have met the threat $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ by 11 ... PxP; $12 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; and taken a chance of being able to hold White off till he worked up some sort of defensive position. Whatever Black thinks he sees after the text move, White quickly shows it to be a mirage.
12 N x B
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
13 Q N X
White considerately gives Black the biggest chance of going wrong.
13 . . . $Q \times \mathbf{N}$
And Black takes it, never needing another.

| 14 Nx N ch | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15 BxP ch | $\mathbf{K} \times$ B |
| 16 Qx Q | $\mathbf{P} \times$ B |
| 17 Q-B6! | R-B 1 |
| 18 Q $\times$ N | P-N 4 |
| 19 Q R-Q 1 | B-N 2 |
| 20 Q-K 7 | Resigns |

## POT-POURRI

A position from the Berlin Championship 1949. The player of the fine combination is Kurt Richter, a famous master of attack (the Richter Attack was one example).

Mross

| Mross | K. Richter |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ : ! |
| 2 KxR | R-B1 ch |
| $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 4 K - 1 | NxBch |
| 5 Q-Q 5 ch | Q $\times$ Q ch |
| $6 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | NxR |
| $\boldsymbol{7} \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ | R-B 7 |
| $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | P-B5 |
| 9 R-Q B 1 | P-B 6 |
| 10 N-K 4 | R-B6 |
| $11 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | R-K 3 |
| 12 Resigns |  |

## GAMES FROM ALL QUARTERS

NOTE-In future, for the convenience of readers, all games will have the name of the winning player prefixed by a double dagger, thus: $\ddagger$ Smith. No symbol will appear if a game is drawn.

## SOUTHSEA 1950

Jonathan Penrose, 16-year-old champion of London, who might be another Mr. Blackburne, put British chess well and truly on the map at the Southsea international tourney in April. Writing from the scene of the event, R. G. Wade said: "The show has been stolen by Jonathan Penrose. After seven rounds he is leading, his victims having included Bogoljubow, Tartakower and Prins." Penrose finally shared third place with three others, half a point behind Bisguier and Dr. Tartakower. Here is Penrose's clash with Tartakower. Notes by R. G. Wade.

## Game No. 287

## SICILIAN DEFENCE

| $\ddagger \mathrm{J}$. Penrose | Dr. Tartakower |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K} \mathbf{4}$ | P-QB4 |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{K} \mathbf{3}$ | P-QR3 |

A favourite system of Tartakower's, also played by O'Kelly and Rossolimo. After $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 ; 4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 5 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 7 \mathrm{~N}-$ $B 2, B-B 4$, the aggressive formation of Black's pieces compensates for the weakened pawn structure (Wade - Rossolimo, Heidelberg 1949). Other systems worth playing for White are $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN4}$, as Black's P-QR3 is rarely advantageous in a Wing Gambit; and $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

| P-Q 4 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 NXP | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K}$ |

This move is often played nowadays, but requires great skill in the handling of the minor pieces.

## 5 N-K B 3

The Knight goes back here in order to protect the QB when it
goes to KN5 from black manoeuvres like NxKP , besides keeping the black KP under observation if Black is able to play P-Q4.

| 5 | N-K B 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 B-Q B 4 | B-K 2 |
| 7 Castles | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 3$ |
| 8 N-B 3 | Castles |
| 9 B-K N 5 | Q $\mathbf{N}$ - $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ |

If White exchanges the Bishop for Knight, Black wants to recapture with the Knight and keep all White's pieces tied down to stopping $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$.
10 R-K 1
11 R—R 4
P—K R 3
12 B-N 3
P-GN 4
$12 \ldots \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{KP}$ does not quite work after $13 \mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N} ; 14$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q}$.
$13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ :
At KB3 the $N$ is not very effective as long as Black does not play P-Q4, therefore the N moves to more effective squares, Q5 or KB5. The threat of this shows up the weakness of Black's game, particularly his KB.

## 13 <br> 14 N-B 1 <br> $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B} 1$ $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{N}$

If Black goes immediately N QB4, White plays BxN, as with the fixed pawn structure in the centre, Knights are stronger than Bishops.
15 B-N 3
16 P-B 3
$\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{B}$
$\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{N}$
$\mathbf{N x B}$

Somewhat better is $17 \ldots \mathrm{BxN}$; 18 B x B, N x B; 19 Q x N, N-K3, with counter-play along the QB file.

| 18 Nx Nch : | B $\times \mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $19 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{N}$ | Q-B 2 |
| 20 N-K 3 | $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{1}$ |
| 21 B-B 2 |  |

The threat of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ would destroy the co-ordination of Black's pieces. Black's reply stops this, because of the retort $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$.

Allowing the Bishop to go to QB1 to fight against the Knight entry.

## 23 R-Q 2 <br> K-B 1

Black has extremely few moves.
$24 \mathbf{N}-\mathrm{B} 5$
P—KR4
${ }_{25}^{25} \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{K} 2$


Penrose
Threatening $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR4}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$. $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$. It it most difficult fo a boy to sit wearing down his opponent by fine positional chess, as his next move shows. However, his position is so sound that the opening up of the game is without great risk.

| 25 | B-B 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{B} 4$ | Bx N |
| 27 QxKRP | B-B 3 |
| $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | NPxP |
| 29 B-R 4 | Q-N 3 ch |
| $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | P-Q 4 |

30 K—R $1 \quad$ P—Q 4
If 30
BxB; $31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$; $32 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch, with hopes from P-B6.

## 31 R-K 1

## Q-Q 3

A mistake, but Black's positis: is in a mess after $31 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ 32 Q-N4 ch, K-B1; $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$.
$32 R \times Q P!\quad Q-N 3$
$32 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} ; 33 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kl}$; $34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}$ wins.

| $33 \mathrm{R} / 5 \times \mathbf{P}$ | B $\times \mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ | P-B $\mathbf{3}$ |
| $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | P-B 6 |

A Tartakowerian method giving up the ghost.
$\begin{array}{lll}36 \text { Q-R } 8 \text { ch } & K-B 2 \\ 37 \text { Q } \times \text { ch } & K-N 1 \\ 38 \text { Q-N } 6 \text { ch } & K-B 1 \\ 39 ~ B-K ~ \% ~ m a t e ~ & \end{array}$

This Southsea game reminds $=$ s that New Zealand players he: made little use of the Book of :Southsea Congress 1949 (notes Golombek) and the Book of $=$ Hastings Congress 1948-49 (roc: by Golombek and Ritson-Morr: At $3 /-$ and $2 / 6$ respectively, $:=$ ? are very good value, and will 5. many hours of pleasure to $-=$ chess-lover. Stocks are limitė
P. Devos (B briliiancy prize Soest-Baarn a ago. The final tively bizarre, fa make your cho notes from
Raris," translate
Game
NIMZO-INDI
B. H. Woodi
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
$4 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$
© $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$
? Q-B 2
3 QPxP
This line in th asually gives a and subtle game has seemed that Sice is not sound does not change

## ${ }_{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}$

More aggressive tegaining the pas nferior position.
12 P-QN 4 :
$11 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 6$ ?
3) $13 \mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{F}-$
$3-22 ; 14 \mathrm{QxP}$
B-N
Q $-K 2 ;$
15
QxE
$B \times Q, K \times B ; 17$
12 B-N 2 :
Buwe-Najciorf,
347, went 12
3, P-Q5: 14 N
PxN, N-B7 ch:
8; $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R4}$ ! T

- innovation, but
bis at all conclu
$\because Q-B 4$
$4 \mathrm{NxP}^{2}$
Here is the mis an pressed to ace
WWon. $14 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ wo
Eack some difficu
E-R 2
Perhaps the leas
$15 \mathrm{NX} \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$;
5, with many the
- $\mathrm{E3}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$;
$17 \mathrm{PxN}, \mathrm{QxP}$
tereupon 18 ...
$\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{B}$


## SOEST-BAARN 1948

P. Devos (Belgium) won the brilliancy prize for this game at Soest-Baarn a couple of seasons ago. The final position is positively bizarre, fantastic, or rococo; make your choice! Score and notes from "L'Echiquier de Paris," translated by F. A. Foulds.

## Game No. 288

NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENCE

## B. H. Wood

$\ddagger \mathrm{P}$. Devos
1 P—Q 4 N-K B 3
2 P-Q B 4 P-K 3
$3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
4 Q-B 2
B-N 5
5 P-QR3
$6 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$
$P-Q 4$
6
$\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N} \mathrm{ch}$
N-K 5
P—Q B 4
N-QB3

This line in the Noa Variation usually gives a very complicated and subtle game. Up till now it has seemed that the pawn sacrifice is not sound, and this game does not change that opinion.
9 P x
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
(i) $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$
B-B 4

More aggressive than $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, regaining the pawn, but with an inferior position.
11 P-Q N 4 : Castles:
11 ... N-N6? 12 Q-N2!, N x $\mathrm{B} ; 13 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ (if 13 K-Q2; 14 QxPch, N-K2; 15 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ and wins); $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$. Q-K2; $15 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 16$ $\mathrm{BxQ}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$, etc.
$12 \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{N}$ 2: $\mathbf{P}$ - 5 :
Euve-Najdorf, Mar del Plata 1947, went 12 ... N-N6; 13 QR3, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5 ; 14 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N} ; 15$ BP x N, N-B7ch; $16 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, QN4; 17 P-R4! The text move is an innovation, but does not seem to us at all conclusive.
13 Q-B 4
R-K 1
19 NxP
Here is the mistake. White is too pressed to accept the second pawn, 14 R - Q1 would have given Black some difficult problems.
14
Q-B 3
$15 \mathrm{R}-\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{2}$
Perhaps the least of the evils. II $15 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-$ 133!, with many threats. Or if 15 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B3}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{x}$ $\mathbb{P}^{2} 17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $18 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, whereupon $18 \ldots \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ wins. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{NX}^{\text {B }} \quad$ B-K 3 Q×P ch

| 17 |
| :--- |
| 18 |
| 18 |
| B—Q |

$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{N}$ $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{N}$
$\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ Q-K 6 !

20 P—KR4
White tries to free himself from Black's merciless grip, but it is too late; here is where the fireworks begin. Black will sacrifice his strongest pieces to force mate.


Wood
20
$21 \mathbf{B x}$
R-Q 7 ch:
$22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3$
22 K-N1, N—B6 ch, etc.

| 22 | N-Q 5 ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23 K-R 4 | Q-Q 8 ch |
| 24 K -R 5 | R-R 3 ch |
| $25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ | Q-R 5 ch ! ! |
| $26 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | N-B 6 ch |
| $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathbf{N - N 6 m a t e}$ |

A mating combination worthy of inclusion in future anthalogies.

## INDIA

With the establishment of the "Indian Chess Magazine," a brighter future for chess in India has become possible. By the new magazine's agency the Indian chess community can learn more fully of the technical advances made in the game by the Western world, and the periodical is bound to stimulate Indian chess interest and organisation. The following entertaining game was played in the recent Bombay Presidency tournament, which R. B. Sapre won with 11 points out of a possible 12. Notes by the Editor.

Game No. 289
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
Cambridge Springs Defence
$\ddagger$ R. B. Sapre
S. M. Natu
$1 \mathbf{N}$ —K B 3
P—Q 4
P-Q 4
$\underset{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{P}} 4$
P-B 4
P-K 3

4 N-B 3
$5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$
P-B 3
Reaching by transposition the once popular Cambridge Springs Defence.

## 6 P-Q R 3

White selects a tame-looking continuation; a matter of taste. There is more punch in $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ if White seeks a sharp game.
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{6} \text { B-R } 4 & \text { P-K R 3 } \\ \mathbf{7}-\mathbf{B H}_{3}\end{array}$
A bad move which has the effect of making White's next move more powerful than it should be. $7 \ldots$ B-K2 was right.

## 8 P—K 4

## P×KP

Black's last move would have been passable if here he could play 8 ... P-K4. But 8 ... PK 4 ? ; $9 \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{QN} \times \mathrm{P} ; 10 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$, for example, debars.

|  | NxP | B-K 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | NxNeh | N $\times \mathbf{N}$ |
|  | B-Q 3 | $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{Q}$ |

Black is losing fast. His best chance here is $11 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 3$, with $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ as the intended follow-up. He must try for ... P-QB4, or his QB will be neither use nor ornament and his position will be impossibly congested.

## 12 N-K 5 <br> 13 Castles :

Sets a trap. Black cannot castle on account of Bx N . His previous inaccuracies have robbed Black of good moves, and he now draws on his supply of the other sort.
13

## $\mathbf{Q \times Q} \mathbf{P}$ ?

A gruesome form of suicide. If Black thought he was "forcing" $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$, he is disillusioned at once.

## 14 N X K B P : R-K B 1

Seeing that if $14 \ldots$ Q x B; 15 $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{R}$ wins for White without much difficulty.

## $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 6$ : $\mathbf{Q x B}$

If $15 \ldots \mathrm{QxQ} ; 16 \mathrm{KRxQ}$, $\mathrm{RxN} ; 17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 18 \mathrm{Bx}$ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 19 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, White has the exchange and the better set of pawns and must win.

## 16 P-K N 3

And the Queen is lost wherever she flies!

16 둔 6 dis ch \(\begin{aligned} \& Q-K 5<br>\& Resigns\end{aligned}\)

## U.S.S.R. 1949

Fine attacking play by veteran Levenfish in 1949 U.S.S.R. Championship. Notes by R. G. Wade.

## Game No. 290

KING'S INDIAN DEFENCE

| $\ddagger$ G. Levenfish | V. Smyslov |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | N-K B 3 |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} \mathbf{B}$ | P-K ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | P-Q 4 |
| 4 N-B 3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 5 Q-N 3 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 6 QxBP | Castles |
| $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | K N - Q 2 |
| 8 B-K 3 | N-N 3 |
| 9 Q-N 3 | N-B 3 |
| $10 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | B-N 5 |

The last four moves constitute Smyslov's Variation.

| 11 P-Q 5 | N-K 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | N $\times$ N ch |
| $13 \mathrm{P} \times$ | B-R 4 |

13 ... B-R6; 14 R-KN1, Q-B1 (or $14 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 15 P B4, P-QB3; $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ ! SzaboKotov, Budapest-Moscow 1948); $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ gives White good attacking chances.
14 P-B 4
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$
15 NxB
Q-B 1

An unsuccessful attempt to improve on $15 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 16 \mathrm{P}-$ KR4, P-QB3; $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$, BP $\times \mathrm{P}$; 18 RP x P, RPx P; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ! of Lilienthal - Bronstein, Saltsjobaden 1948.

```
16 R-Q B 1
P-Q B 3
17 P-B 5 !
N-Q 2
```

After $17 \ldots$ NP xP; 18 R KN1, K—R1; 19 B—Q4 gives White a strong attack.
18 R-K N 1
K-R 1
19 B P x P
KBPXP
20 B-Q 4
N-B 3
$20 \ldots$ BxB; 21 NxB, with N-B5 a threat.


Levenfish

21 R-B 3:!
What a decision to fling everything into the attack!
21 $\dot{\mathbf{R}} \dot{\mathrm{N}} \dot{\mathrm{P}} \quad \mathbf{N X K P}$
22 RxNP : $\quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$
$22 \ldots \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{R} ; 23 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$; $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ and mates.
23 R-R 3 ch K-N 1
$24 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ dis ch $\quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}_{3}$
$24 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 25 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ (Q), Q x Q ; $27 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ is a favourable simplification for White.

| $25 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | Q-B 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ (Q) | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
| 27 BxB | K x B |
| 28 Q-K 3 | N-B 3 |
| 29 N-Q 4 | Q-R 8 ch |
| $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | Q-Q 4 |

30 K—K 2
Q-Q 4
$30 \ldots$ R-K1; 31 N-B3, followed by Q-R6 ch and N-K5 ch. $31 \mathbf{N x P c h} \quad K-N 1$
$31 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 32 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-$ N1; 33 Q-QN3, with two pawns more.

| $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ ! | K-B ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $33 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
|  |  |

34 R x R
Resigns
A piece is lost.

## OTAGO

From the current Otago intermediate championship, this game is uncommonly instructive. It is annotated by the Editor with the specific idea of assisting players in lower grades to avoid the typical positional errors it contains, and to explain the faulty thinking which produces them.

## Game No. 291

GIUOCO PIANISSIMO
A. C. Aherne $\ddagger$ J. A. Jackson

"Correct" enough, no doubt; but $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is more chessy, for the reason that it leaves Black an alternative plan of development to the one he actually plays, while retaining the option of the latter. Suppose $5 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 6 P-Q3. Now Black has 6 B-K3; $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3$, Q-Q2, with the possibility of castling either side. If $7 \mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ ( or $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ?, Q-K2), and Black has a more elastic position (i.e., a wider choice of good moves than
his opponent). Of course the peemature $6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ is met by ... $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, but even if White thus forces Black to show his hand it has taken a poor move to do i: The point of the whole argume:-: is: Don't commit yourself till yo have to; wait till you see whe: the other fellow intends. Wreboth sides adopt these tactics where warranted, a better class 0 game results, whatever faults may contain in the execution these ideas. Much the same comment applies also to White's fift move.
$6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$
P-Q 3
7 P-K R 3
$\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{- \mathrm { K }} \mathbf{5}$
8 B-N 3
Bx
9 NxN
B—N 3
10 N-K

With the intention of followin: with P-KB4; quite a good plar But too soon. The rule is (and 18 golden one): Before making aadvance on the flank, see that th= opponent cannot break through i. the centre. The tactical appliestion of the rule naturally vartes with the position. Here, Whit: should see that if permitted $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, Black will call as much more tune than will White wit. his P-KB4 (if he gets a chante to play it, after Black's centra thrust). In this case it seems the: to prevent ... P-Q4 (thaensuring that when he does pley P-KB4 the battle will be ground of his own choosing, si: to speak), White must play P QB4. This involves the temporary uselessness of the KB, so White must be sure he can make हs avenue of employment for the: piece. If White thinks he can 1. so, he should therefore play $P$ QB4. After $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4}$, Whil: should perhaps have the followiss moves in mind: B-KN5 (if pe:mitted), $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K}$ (making way for B-Q1 late:) and now P-KB4. Prepare :. advance. While White is makithese moves, Black at least carr. rum amok as he does in the gams 11 . . . . $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 4$ !

Black knows the golden ruie $12 \mathrm{P} \times$
$\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$

## 13 P—Q B 4

The steed has gone, but he $5: 5$ shuts the stable door. To th: point the play has been positiona. Now White becomes aggressive an inferior position-another rul= broken. Best appears $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \Xi \div$ though Black might still have little the better of it.

H
B-N 5 ?
And now a po 4 B -K3 could rith $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ in v entre via the in of a backwa gen to the oppo nps in to impr is pieces.
$\mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}$
P-N 3
White's positi mosions to no orale goes, and ally sudden. If $\mathrm{h} ; 17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$, 17 P-B4
18K—N2
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14 B-N 5 ?
And now a positively bad move. $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ could have been tried, with $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ in view (attacking the centre via the $Q$ file and getting rid of a backward pawn in a file open to the opponent). Black now hops in to improve the placing of his pieces.
14 ${ }_{15}^{15} \dot{\mathbf{P}} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \dot{\mathbf{N}}$
$\underset{\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{N}}{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{5} \mathrm{ch}$ !
$16 \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{N} 3$
$\mathbf{Q \times B}$

White's position now has pretensions to nothing much, his morale goes, and the end is mercifully sudden. If $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$ ch; $17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$, Q x N, etc.

## ${ }_{17} \mathbf{1}$ P-B 4 <br> BxNP <br> 18 K-N 2 Q-R 6 mate <br> Q—R 4 ch <br> LATE NEWS

World Championship Candidates Tourney (double round).-Final: Boleslavsky 12, Bronstein 12, Smyslov 10, Keres $9 \frac{1}{2}$, Najdorf 9, Kotov 81 , Stahlberg 8, Flohr 7, Lilienthal 7, Szabo 7. Boleslavsky and Bronstein will play off.

British Empire Championship at Christchurch next Easter: C. J. S. Purdy (Australia) and M. Fox (Canada) are two known starters. Canterbury C.C. Championship: f. A. McGilvary won first place.

## CORRESPONDENCE CHESS

There is no Correspondence Chess Section in this issue for the reason that the copy simply did not arrive. This gives unexpected point to the article "Difficulties" on page 47 (written weeks ago).

## WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR

## BOUND VOLUMES <br> OF . . . THE NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER

Volumes 1 and 2 together. Price-£1
Beautifully bound in Rexine by $\therefore$ Irtcraft Press Ltd. De Luxe Dust Cover. A beautiful production!

## - ALSO

## "CHESS" <br> (ENGLAND)

1948, and Volume 14. Similar to above. Price £1 each

## Correspondence Play for U.S.S.R. Title

Konstantinopolski turns in a great performance in this game from the U.S.S.R. Correspondence Championship.

## Game No. 292

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED Konstantinopolski Perfilev 1 P-Q 4, P-Q 4; 2 P-Q B 4, PK 3; 3 N-Q B 3, N-K B 3; 4 BN 5, B-K 2; 5 N-B 3, Castles; 6 P-K 3, P-K R 3; $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} \mathrm{4}, \mathrm{P-}$ Q N 3; 8 Q-B 2, B-R 3; 9 Castles, Q N-Q 2; 10 N-K 5, $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$; 11 PxN,N-Q 2; 12 B-N 3, B-R 5; 13 P-B 4, B X B; 14 P X B, P $\times$ P; 15 N -K 4, Q-K 2; $16 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ! ! P-K B 4; 17 P x Pe.p., $N \times P$ x 18 NxNeh, $\quad$ R $\times 19$ Q-R 7 ch , $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{B} 2 ; 20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{c h}, \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}$; 21 P-K 4, Q-B 4; $22 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathbf{Q ~ 7 ~}^{7}$, Resigns.

J. F. LANG, of Otago, winner of the first South Island Chess Championship Story page 48.

## ON THE COVER

The very fine camera study on our cover this month, by Alwyn Jones, shows young Edgar Hift playing in last year's Auckland Schoolboy Championship. Local players expect him to develop into something out of the ordinary. On the April cover, the picture of Dr. Allerhand was by the "New Zealand Free Lance."

## "MOVE AND WIN" SOLUTIONS

No. 1-Black won by $1 \ldots$ PR5; $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$; $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 4 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 6!; 5 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, P-R6; 6 Resigns. DandoyMalpas, Liege 1920.

No. 2-White played 1 Q-B3!, and Black resigned, since his Q is lost. If $1 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$; then 2 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Ri} ; 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, K-N1; 4 BxP mate. PillsburyNewman, Philadelphia 1902.

No. 3-White wins by $1 \mathrm{~N} / 4 \mathrm{x}$ $\mathrm{Pch}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 2 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Nch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 3$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5$ mate. If $2 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$, then 3 Q-K6 ch and mate on the move. Gunsberg-Mackenzie, Tunbridge Wells 1909.

No. 4-White wins immediately with 1 QxP!, threatening Qx P mate. Black has no reply, because $1 \ldots \mathrm{PxQ}$ is answered by $2 \mathrm{~N}-$ B6 mate. Palmer-Shoesmith, Tumbridge Wells 1908.

No. 5-Black cracks his opponent wide open with $1 \ldots Q \times R P$ ch; $2 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ dbl ch; 3 K N1, R-R8 mate. BelsitzmannRubinstein, Warsaw 1917.

No. 6-1 $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 2 \mathrm{R}-$ N8 ch, KxR; 3 Q-N5 ch, K moves; 4 Q-N7 mate. WattAnderson, correspondence (N.Z.) 1949.

No. 7-A subtle removing of the guard. 1 ... R x P!!; $2 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ ch; $3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; 4 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$; $5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$, $\mathrm{Q}-$ N8 mate. Gantzin - Sergeiev, U.S.S.R. 1949.

No. 8-1 ... B-KR6; $2 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ B-B4 ch; 3 K-R1, B×Pch; 4 $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ ch; $6 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate. Euwe -Reti, Amsterdam 1920.

No. 9-Something really choice by an international footballer, $C$. Wreford Brown: $1 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}!!$, Bx Q $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7!!$, and mate in three at most cannot be prevented. If $1 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} 4 ; 2 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 4$ ch!!, $\mathrm{B} \mathbf{x}$ Q; 3 Nx B , and mate in two. If ... B-KB4; 2 Q-N4ch!!, BN3; $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ !, and again mate in two. If $1 \ldots P \times N ; 2 Q \times B$ ch, and mate next move. If 1
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 2 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, any; $3 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{ch}$, and mate next move at latest. If 1 ... P-KB4; $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7!!, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ (mate follows any capture); 3 Q x P mate! C. Wreford BrownN.N., London 193?.

## PROBLEM SECTION

APRIL SOLUTIONS
No. 91 (Rinaldini): B-Q2
No. 92 (King): B-B6
No. 93 (Pleasants): Q-QR3
No. 94 (Andrade): B-Q5
No. 95 (Barron): R-K2
No. 96 (Vaughan): $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 1$
No. 97 (Harris): N x BP
No. 98 (Andrade): Q-Q5
No. 99 (Palatz): B-Q6
Beginners: Guest, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 6$. Clark: Q—R8.
Correct solutions from:
A. G. Rowland-All bar 92 and 97; also 2 extra for beginners.
R. G. Hall*-96, 99
N. A. Palmer-91, 94, 96, 99; 2 extra.
A. E. Hartnell-97.
T. A. Vincent- $91,95,96,99$.
L. Pleasants-All.
J. Sadleir- 91 to 96 inclusive; 2 extra.
A. H. N. Taylor-All bar 91; 1 extra.
J. Sloan- 95 .
R. McDermid*-All.
F. Walker*-91, 93, 94, 95, 96, 99 ; 1 extra.
P. F. Clarke-92, 93; 2 extra

Dr. N. E. H. Fulton-All; 2 extra.
W. J. D. Barnes*-All bar 97.
W. S. King-All.
R. T. Woodfield-91, 99; 2 extra.
E. M. Guest-All.
L. Esterman*-All bar 97.
F. E. Hansford-All bar 98.
*New solver. Welcome.
A. D. Harris (No. 97) again received good "Press notices" from Messrs. Pleasants, King, Guest, and Dr. Fulton. Andrade's No. 98, Palatz' No. 99, and also Pleasants' No. 93 were mentioned as excellent.

As was to be expected, some solvers found themselves rather short of time owing to the brief gap between last issue and this, and perhaps the same thing has somewhat reduced the number of solvers this time. Five new solvers obliged with sets of solutions. We are a growing band.

## $\star$

## TO CORRESPONDENTS

T. A. VINCENT-Thanks. Our problem page sketch is the work of Mrs. T. H. Reece, a friend of ours, but unhappily not a chess player.
L. PLEASANTS-Many thenks.

DR. N. E. H. FULTON.-Thank you for everything; send 'em along, please.
F. WALKER-Writing.

## - A THREAT FOR BEGINNERS!

Leaving for the nonce the Waiter, with which by this time we are on nodding terms, we hope, we come to another important class of composition, the Threat problem. Here the situation is decidedly different from the Waiter, in which Black is merely allowed to beat himself. In a two-move Threat problem, the key threatens mate, and the interest of the position then turns on the various defences Black has at his disposal and (necessarily) the way these are victoriously met by White. The situation is generally more complicated in a three-er; for the present we shall confine ourselves to examining the following two-er, also sent us by an English friend:-


By Neil Maclay, Nigeria Mate in two (11 v. 9)
The first thing we notice is that set mates are absent. Consequently we can't be dealing with a Waiter. Now don't start rushing Rooks round and brandishing Bishops in a feverish effort to solve the mystery. A better way is to examine each piece in turn in relation to the general position. All we know at present is: We must threaten mate.

Taking the black side first, we see the $K$ has no move at present (nothing unusual), and is under a masked threat from the BR7. The $Q$ prevents White's $R-R 4$ and watches the WQ. The KR is on the same file as the WK, but if the N moves to open the KN file, the QR is pinned. The QR if moved leaves the $N$ pinned. The B's function is at present not obvious, but he no doubt will prove to be on the only possible square for his side's benefit. For example, if he were at N 2 he would block the KR's obvious defensive functions on the KN file; same at N4. Were he at B5, the WQ would be attacked and the
problem spoiled. The NP cleat is there to limit the WQ's effers down the QN file. The jobs the other two black P's will [.. doubt be evident later.

Now we start looking for the key move, and hope to bencif from our examination of Blacs: position.

The WK can hardly be the key piece; this would mean he removal from defensive effects by the opposing KR. Such a $k=$ move would be inartistic in the extreme. The WQ? Well, no. few tries with her don't seem : get anywhere. The QR coul. mate at $R 4$ if $\ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$, and thas a check at K7 if wanted. The KR is a likely-looking key piees but where? Say 1 KR -R3 (threa. KR-R4). Easily met by, es
$B \times N$. We try various $K$ moves with no success. On to the KB. Obviously he isn't the sat piece.

We've made up our mind tentstively that the key piece is mans of the men so far discussed, and while eyeing the QB our thought are short-circuited by seeing an. of one eye the move N - B ? threat N-N3. Realising thes looks a bit more likely, and seeme that the threat is to occupy the KN file, we see a possible reasi, for the prepared defences ins Black in that file.

So to cut our thinking time down a bit, we try 1 N-B1. Cis defence is naturally ... N-N $=$ Now, have we a mate? Ye $2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$ ! (interposal by QR nont impossible). Try $1 \ldots$ B- $\mathbf{B}^{2}$ $2 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ! (the B has shut off $\mathrm{t}=$ $Q R$ from a key square). We try other defences, and sure enouswe have solved a pretty proble= Thus: Key N-B1. If-

N-R5; $2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$
N-B5; $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
N-K4; 2 N-Q6
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 2 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$
N-B1; 2 QxQ
N-R1; 2 R-K7
B-B5; $2 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 4 ; 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$
Other replies allow $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N}$.
Putting thoughts into wart: produces a rather clumsy picture but the above method is more : less the one to adopt. Systemate thinking is the aim. Anyway, the is a sketch of our mental processe while solving this item, $=2$ taking the method as a gener. basis, any solver can alter it : suit himself.
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No, 100-L. Pleasants (Napier). First publication.


No. 103-B. J. da C. Andrade.
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No. $106-$ B. J. da C. Andrade.


Mate in three ( 9 v .4 )

No. 101-F. Gamage, 1st Tidskrift, 1911.


Mate in two (9 v. 7)

No. 104-B. J. da C. Andrade.


Mate in two ( 8 v .7 )

No. 107-B. J. da C. Andrade.


Mate in three (14 v. 12)

No. 102-C. G. Watney, 1st Bromley Congress, 1920.


No. 105-B. J. da C. Andrade


Mate in three ( 5 v .3 )

No. 108-R. L'Hermet, Illustrated London News.


Mate in three (3 v. 2)
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## WADE at Trencianski-Teplice <br> A FURTHER INSTALMENT of the story of the big Trencianski-Teplice

 master tournament, in which R. G. Wade put up the best showing of any native New Zealander in a high-class European contest. When this story is completed, this magazine will have a permanent record of a performance yet to be equalled or excelled by a New Zealander.Dr. Paoli, attacking Venetian master, was an acceptable victim in round 7. The game was full of interesting points, but I shall give only the finish.


Paoli
I am a pawn more and have just played $54 \ldots$ P-B 5 !, for if 55 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$; $56 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ wins.

Dr. Paoli played for the draw with $55 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}$; $56 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K}$ 2, for now a move with the RP draws.

However, I had reserved 56 P—K 6 !; 57 K xP, P-R 4, and White resigned because $58 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ ( or Q2), K—K5; $59 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ is zugzwang, and $58 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R4}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; 59 K-B2, K-K5; $60 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ ! ; $61 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ is similar.

The next five rounds were a real murderers row with Golombek, O'Kelly, Foltys, Pachman and Richter.

Against Golombek, I played his favourite English Opening-and as he justly remarked, atrociously. However, he did not press the attack sufficiently.

From the position diagrammed at top of the following column, I equalised with $24 \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B} 5$ !, $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x P}$; 25 Q-N $3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R}$ 1; 26 Q-B 7, Q-Q 5 (I must watch the attack on my KBP); $2 \boldsymbol{K} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B 4}$; 28 B-B 3, R-Q 7; 29 R-K 7, Q-


Wade
B 3; 30 R-K $8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} ; \mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch, Q-B 1; 32 R-K 1, R-Q 1; 33 $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R 4}, \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{Q} 5$; and a draw was agreed.

## Game No. 293

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
A. O'Kelly R. G. Wade

1 P-Q 4, N-K B 3; 2 P-Q B 4,
P—K 3; 3 N-K B 3, P-Q 4; 4 B-N 5, P-K R 3; 5 B x N,
$5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{PxP}$ is a better version of the Vienna Variation for Black.
5 .... Q x B; 6 Q-N 3, P-B 3;
7 N—Q B 3, N—Q 2; 8 P-K 3,
Against $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{I}$ intended 8
$\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 9 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 10$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QR} 4 \mathrm{ch}$.
$8 \ldots$ B-Q 3; 9 B-Q 3, Castles; 10 Castles (K), B-N 1;

Not immediately $10 \ldots$. . P x P 11 B xP, P-K4, because of 12 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

## 11 B-B 2, K-K 1 ?

Procrastination! I thought 11 P x P; 12 Q x P, P-K4 too drawish, not knowing that O'Kelly also was extremely worried about the possibility of only a draw.
$12 \mathbf{Q}$ R-Q 1, $\mathbf{P}-K \mathbf{N} 3$ ? ; $13 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$, K P x P; 14 P—K 4 !, $\quad$ P $\times$ P; 15 KR—K 1, Q-N 2; 16 N xP, RB 1; $17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 20 \mathrm{~N}-$ B 3, B-K 3 .


Though I have the two Bishors, they are not strong here wia blocked centre pawns. O'Kelly preserved a dangerous initiatire and I went astray in a Queens an: Bishop v. Knight ending on mow $=$ 43 just when $I$ seemed out a trouble.

Jan Foltys is one of the mas: polished chess technicians in the world, but technique was not feature of our game. It had $1:$ good and bad spots and patches that drew the sadistic chess spertators who always scent blood ar. like to see death blows.

## Game No. 294 <br> SICILIAN DEFENCE

R. G. Wade J. Foltys

1 P—K 4, P—Q B 4; 2 N—K B 3. N-Q B 3; $3 \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 4$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 4 \boldsymbol{N} \mathbf{x}$ $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}-K \mathbf{B} \mathbf{3} ; \mathbf{5} \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{P}-K$ :

The Paulsen move. Alternatives are $5 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ —Q3; $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{P}$ K3 (giving the Scheveninge: Variation), 5 .... P-Q3; $6 \mathrm{~B}-$ $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3$ (the Dragon Varittion), and $5 \ldots$ P-Q3; $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}$. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, leading to the moders Boleslavsky Variation.

## 6 B-K 2,

Paoli-Foltys, Vienna 194 went $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4!$ White's best chance an advantage is $6 \mathrm{~N} / 4-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{~B}$ $\mathrm{N} 5 ; 7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{Bx} \mathrm{N} \operatorname{ch} ; 8 \mathrm{Nx} \mathrm{E}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.
$6 \ldots$. . B-N 5; 7 Castles, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ : $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P} ; \mathbf{9} \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 4$ :
$9 \ldots \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{QBP} ; 10 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ gives White a very strong attack.
$10 \mathbf{N x N}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N} ; 11 \mathbf{B x N}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ 12 Q-N 4 ,
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Most authoritic $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 13 \mathrm{R}$ सtawn because -turasite colcur.

- 14 Castles: - \& 14 Q-K 3 .
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4 CHESSPLAY

Most authorities quote $12 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch， $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 13 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ ， $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB4}$ as drawn because of Bishops of opposite colour．
Q2 $4 ; 14$ Castles； 13 Q xP／4， $\mathbf{Q}$ 44； 14 Q－K 3

O＇Kelly and Pachman accused We immediately of playing too ressively，a decision they counter－ manded a couple of moves later．
$14 \ldots$ B－R 3； 15 R－K 1，K R－ Q1； 16 Q－N 3 ！，R－Q 2； 17 R－ N1，B－N $2 ; 18 \ddot{P}-K R 4, \mathbf{Q} \times R \mathbf{P}$ ； 19 B－R 6，P－B 3； $20 \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{N}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{1}$ ， Z－K B 2；

Not $20 \ldots . \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q1} ; 21 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ． Now is the first crisis of the game． Pachman told me afterwards that Foltys thought himself lost after $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KN} 4$ ．How－ ever，I saw that White defends Bimself after $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ by 21 Q－R4！； $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KN} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ ．The first alternative that $I$ investigated was 21 R － Q 6 ，when I became morried about $21 \ldots, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $22 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ ．I should，how－ ever，have played $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ； 22 P－R5，followed by doubling the Rooks along either the King － E Queen file．
2 R－Q 2，P—Q B 4 ：；22 B－K 3， （—B 5； 23 R－R 1，
Threatening 24 RxP in reply 5 $23 \ldots$ Q x P ．
23．．．P－Q R 4 ？； 24 R－R 3 ？？，
Immediately I made this move I became extremely angry with nyself for missing $24 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ！， $\mathrm{R} \times$ 3； $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 26 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$號 $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R} ; 27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 3 ;$ Q－B7 ch，K－N3； $29 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ， QxP，with a position not so Efficult to draw．
$24, \cdots$ B－Q 4； 25 R－Q 1，R－
2； $26 \mathrm{R} / \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R 1}, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 5 ; 27 \mathbf{P}-$ 25，Q－K 5 ！ $28 \mathbf{B \times P}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ；
Intending to burden me by
$3 P-Q \mathbf{B} 4!?, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} ; 30 \mathrm{R}-\mathbf{Q B} 3$ ，

$32 \ldots$ Q－K5； $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ ！
B－R 3，RxR； 34 RxR， $\mathbf{P}-$ 종 $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6!$ ？， $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 36 \mathrm{P} \mathbf{x}$ － P － N 1 ；
A most interesting position has trisen．The question is whether can stop the Bishop from tracking my Knight pawn．I sried miserably．
（＊）K－B 2，B－R 7； 38 R－B \％，
Stahlberg was annoyed with me when I did not play $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{R}$
moves； $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ ，as he，with his experience，perceived that in the coming ending with Rooks off I would have drawing ehances，but with Rooks on，none．I am inclined to think Foltys would have won，but I agree with Stahlberg that $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ was my only drawing chance．
$38 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 8 ; 39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$ ； $40 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{B} 7$ ；and $I$ resigned on move 65 ．

Round 11 was a clash with my Arbon rival，Ludek Pachman．He tried a relatively new idea for White in the opening with which he had defeated Svetkov in the Czechoslovakia－Bulgaria match a few weeks earlier（C．S．R．9⿺⿻十⺝丶⿱丶万⿱⿰㇒一乂心， Bulgaria 8 $8 \frac{1}{2}$ ）．

## Game No． 295

## QUEEN＇S GAMBIT DECLINED

 L．PachmanR．G．Wade $1 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{3;} 2$ P－Q B 4， P－K 3； 3 N－Q B 3，P－Q 4； 4 B － N 5，B－K 2； $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q} \mathbf{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ； 6 P－K 3，Castles； 7 Q－B $2, \mathrm{P}$－ B4； 8 Castles！，
This is the new idea，though Pachman and I had talked about it in Prague a few weeks earlier． $8 \ldots$ Q－R 4； 9 K—N 1，

And now I am not aggressive enough and surrender too much control of the centre．
$9 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times P ; 10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 11$ P－K R 4，P－Q R 3； 12 B－Q 3， $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 14 \mathrm{~N}-$ $\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 15 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 16$ $\mathrm{NXP}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ ； $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}-$ K 4； 20 P－B 4，Q－B 3； 21 P － KN4，

And White has now a strong attack．
$21 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 22 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-$ R 1； $23 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{N} 1$ ，N－N 3； 24 P － R 5，N－K 2； $25 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} \mathrm{3,R} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ ； 26 N－N 5 ！，P－K 4 ？；

Allows simplification into a won ending for White，but attack－ ing positions like this must always be practical wins for the attacker Already we both had only five minutes left to complete 40 moves． If $26 \ldots$ P－R3 I was wrongly afraid of $27 \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 1$ ，because $27 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ is playable．So after $26 \ldots$ P－R3 comes $27 \mathrm{~N}-$ $\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 28 \mathrm{NxQ}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ ；${ }^{29}$ $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4 ; 30 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 1$ ； 31 N－K5，R－N2，with a tenable position．
$27 \mathbf{P \times P !} \mathbf{B \times Q} ; 28 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{N}$ ； $29 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B} \times R ; 30 R \times R, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch； $31 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{1 ; 3 2} \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ ！，

The move I overlooked．
$32 \ldots$ R $\times$ P； 33 R－Q 5，R－K 1； $34 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} 7$ ，and won－I resigned on move 62.

Emil Richter，Czech chess champion，should not be confused with Berlin＇s Kurt Richter，the famous master of attack．Even their styles are radically different． Chief characteristics of the Czech are his ability to concentrate quietly on a stuffy position and his patient defence．

The critical position in our game was as diagrammed．

Richter


The game continued：
$29 \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} 1, \quad \mathbf{N}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 30 \quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ ， N－N 6； 31 Q－K B 2 ？，

Correct，as the post－mortem showed，was $31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 4$ ．
$31 \ldots R \times R ; 32 R \times R, R \times P ; 33$
 34 BxP！！wins．
$35 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P} \times R ; 36$ Q－K $2, \mathrm{Q}-$ N $8 \mathrm{ch} ; 37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 38 \mathrm{~N}$－ $\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~B}-$ K 5； $40 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N} ; 41 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ ， Q－B $\boldsymbol{7}_{\mathrm{ch}} \mathbf{~} 42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ ； $42 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7 ; 43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ ， $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$ draws．
$43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{P}$－Q R 4； 44 Q－Q 5 ch，K－B 1； 45 Q－B $3!, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{N}_{4}$ ； $46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} \mathrm{1}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 47 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ ， $Q \times P \mathrm{ch} ; 48 \mathrm{~K} \times P, Q-Q B 8 \mathrm{ch}$ ； $49 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathbf{Q \times P} ; 50 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ ！， K－Q 3； 51 Q－N $8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ ；
$51 \quad \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 52 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ ， $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3!; 54 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 55$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 56 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ ， $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 57 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 58$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch， $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 59 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ draws． 52 Q－B 7 ch ，and drew after a few more checks．The draw is certain as long as White stops the King＇s escape by QB5 with a check at QB7，and by KB4 with a check at KB8 or KB7．
［To be concluded］

## The Slav Defence

## By D. A. YANOFSKY and R. G. WADE

The second and concluding part of a valuable examination of one of the good defences to the Queen's Gambit. See April issue for part one.

The Meran Variation is $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{P}$ K 3, Q N-Q 2; $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $7 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}$ N 4; $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}$ QR 3; 9 P-K 4 (9 $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR4} 4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5$ does not set Black serious problems), $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B 4}$;

Black


White
10 P—K 5. Reynolds' $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, successfully tried in Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia, is best met by $10 \ldots$ N-N3: $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{P}-$ B5!; $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; \operatorname{not} 11$ P-K4; $12 \mathrm{BxP} \operatorname{ch}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 13 \mathrm{Nx}$ NP.
$10 \ldots . \mathbf{P}^{10} \mathbf{P}(10 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$; 11 B-KB4, PxP; $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B}-$ N5 ch; $13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 14 \mathrm{P}-$ KR3, N-R3; 15 N-KN5, which Gligoric gives as an advantage to White) ; $11 \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ (Blumenfeld's continuation. If $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 12 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 14 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$, equal), NxKP (Bogoljubow's line 11 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ is best met by $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 14 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ (reserves more choices than 14 $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 15 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$, equal, but not $15 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$, as 16 BxP gives White a strong attack, as in Kottnauer-Kotov, Prague 1946, and KottnauerPachman, Moscow 1947), 14 N-B4; 15 B-B4, B-N2; 16 RK1, and White holds up Black's central advance and builds up a King-side attack as in Botvinnik -Euwe, Moscow 1948, and Szabo -Foltys, Budapest 1948. Another line worth considering is 11 N—N5; 12 QN xP (12 Q-KR4, B-N2; 13 QN x P, Gligoric-Book, Saltsjobaden $1948,13 \ldots$ B- B 4 , equal), $12 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 13 \mathrm{~B}$ Q2, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch; $14 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$, as in Lundin-Trifunovic, Saltsjobaden 1948); $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}$; 13

## Q-B 3 (Stahlberg's move, which

 is stronger than $13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, B Q2; $14 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch ( $14 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ ch), $14 \ldots \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B} ; 15 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B}-$ N5 ch; $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$, when the advance of Black's central pawns gives Black the better middle game chances to offset White's end game advantage; or Rellstab's 13 O-O, Q-Q4; 14 QK 2 , $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3$, with an equal game, as in Spielmann-Bogoljubow, match, 1932), B-N 5 ch; 14 KK 2, R-Q N 1; 15 Q-N 3 (Reshevsky's move), Q-Q 3; 16 N-B 3 (better than $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N} ; 17$ $\mathrm{Q} x \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$, Reshevsky-Petrov, Kemeri 1937), Q×Q; $17 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 18 \mathrm{NX}$ $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{Q}$ 3: analysis by Botvinnik. White has a slight initiative but no advantage.Romih's system of $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Q N-Q 2; $6 \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{Q}$ 3, $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{N} 5$ was adopted by Euwe in the 1948 World Championship series. After 7 P-Q R 3, B-R 4; 8 Q-B 2, Q$\mathbf{K} 2 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{Q} 2, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}$, $\mathbf{P}-K 4 ; 110-0,0-0$, Black has an equal game. Euwe's losses were due to mistakes a few moves later. Black does not achieve equal games by $6 \ldots$ B- . $\mathbf{A}$; 7 P-K 4 (better than $7 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; 8 P-K4, PxBP; 9 B x BP, PK4, equal), $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{x K} \mathbf{P}$ (or $7 \ldots \mathbf{P x}_{\mathbf{x}}$ $\mathrm{BP} ; 8 \mathrm{BxBP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} ; 10 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{BxN} ; 11 \mathrm{Qx} \mathrm{Q}$ $\mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Nch} ; 13$ PxB, NxP; $14 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, with the advantage to White) ; $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{N X P} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}$ $\mathbf{N} ; \mathbf{9} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{O}-\mathbf{O}$ ( $9 \ldots$ B-N5 ch; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch} ; 11 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ is good for White); $10 \quad \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{B} 2$, P-K R 3; 11 O-O, when White stands better; nor by $6 \ldots$ BK 2; 7 O-O, O-O; 8 P-Q N 3 ( $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 9 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 3$ equalises), $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{N} 3 ; \mathbf{9} \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{N} 2$, B-N 2; 10 Q-K 2, when White has the better position due to Black having trouble in finding a good square for the Queen.
The white evasions of the Meran after $5 \mathbf{P}-K \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q}$ 2; by $6 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ (Stoltz's Variation), B-Q 3; 7 P-K 4, $\mathbf{N} \times P ; 8 \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{P \times N ; 9} \mathbf{9} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{B} 3 ; 10$ Q-B2, P-B 4 (Stoltz-Kotov, Groningen 1946) ; and $6 \mathbf{N}-K 5$ (Rubinstein's move), $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} ; \mathbf{7} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{8}$ P-B 4, B-B 4; 9 P-Q R 3, QK 2; 10 P-Q N 4, B-N 3; 11 B-

K 2, $\quad \mathbf{O}-\mathbf{O}$; $12 \quad \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}$ (Spielmann-Lokvenc, 1936), did not tend to lead to interesting games.

Instead of $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ White may play 5 B-N 5, leading to the Anti-Meran Gambit (other fifth moves for White may allow Blach: to take and hold the gambil pawn) by 5 .... P $\mathbf{x P}$; $6 \mathbf{P}-K 4$ P-Q N 4; 7 P-K 5, P-KR 3; B-R 4, P—KN 4.

## Black



White
Now Ekstrom's Variation goez $9 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; 10 \mathbf{N}-K 5$ (threa: ening $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{KBP}$ ), $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B P}$; 11 B K 2 ( $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3, \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 12 \mathrm{P}-$ B4, RPxP; $13 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$; i: QP x N, Pachman-van Schetinga, Hilversum 1947, and now $14 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ equalises), $\mathrm{Q} \mathbf{N}$ Q 2; $12 \mathbf{N x Q B P}$ (also to be cor:sidered is $12 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$; 13 P N, QxP; 14 B-B3, LundisStahlberg, Stockholm 1948, 14 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2)$, $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{N} 2$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-$ QR3, with a balanced game.

Alternative to Ekstrom's Variz tion is $9 \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}(9$ $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 10 \mathrm{Nx} \mathrm{BP}$ !, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 11 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{E}$ $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ;:$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3!, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R4} 4,14 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-0$ PxP; 15 QxQP, Llorens-Perez Barcelona 1946, gave White better game) ; $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{B x} \mathbf{N P}, \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{N}$ Q 2; 11 P-K N 3 (DenkerBotvinnik, U.S.A. v. U.S.S.R. $19 \div$ went $11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QN} 2 ; 12 \mathrm{~B}$ $\mathrm{K} 2(12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is stronger), Q $\mathrm{N} 3 ; 13 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, with strong attack for Black; wher Euwe-Szabo, Hastings 1938-3 went $11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QN} 2 ; 12$ $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KN1}$; and now after $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR4}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ equalises), $\mathrm{Q}-$ R4; 12 P $\times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}-N 5 ; 13$ N-K 4 B-QR 3; 14 Q-B 3 (somewh better is $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ), $\mathbf{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}::$ B-N 2, P-B 6; 16 N xP (16 Е P, B-B5), N-N 1 !; $\mathbf{1 7} \quad \mathbf{N}-\mathrm{K}$ ! RxP; 18 B-K 3, P-N 6 ch; 14 N-B 3, B-R 6 ! ! gives Blach strong attack: analysis based ant 1947 Moscow Championship ga-m

G 24-Kings o Eirst Australia faining repre encountered or cover 5/-, cloth G 25-World Golombek. Al Championship annotated by $t$ of master Che biographies of

TH
O 1-How to P Znosko-Borovsh for the modera O2-The Ideas Eine. The bes recent years. player who war 0 3-Modern Editior, revised standard work competitive \& (1) 4-The Chess new book on $t$ master. Design one answer to defence. 4/-
(1) 5-Traps on Borovsky. The 0) 6-Chess Que Wormald. 350 q on openings. S 0 7-More Ques Wormald. Sup splendid little player. 4/3
(0) 9—Chess Ope mington. A smal maimentary prir
(0) 10-A Pocket -Griffith and Go especially for th better his openin

## THE

M 1-The Basis of Mont. A great mayer. 10/-
M 2-The Middle Borovsky. An ex Fositional play by -odern teachers.

## 33 White maz

 eading to the : (other fit: G: allow Blact the gamkit $\leq P ; 6 P-K ı$ P—KR3;Variation i-K 5 (thres: $\times \mathrm{BP} ; 11 \mathrm{~B}-$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 12 \mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{xP}, \mathrm{NxN}$; -van Sche:1947, and now also to be con$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N} ; 13 \mathrm{P}=$ -B3, Lundinm 1948, 14 3 B-B 3, Piced game istrom's Varia$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}$ QxB; $11 \mathrm{~N}=$ Q2, P-B4; $14 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-0$ Llorens-Pert: ave White tht $\mathbf{B x} \mathbf{N P}$, $\mathbf{Q}$ : (DenkerU.S.S.R. 15: $=$ -QN2; 12 Bstronger), Black; ting: QN2: $12=$ now after qualises) B3 (soment $0-0-0$ $\mathbf{N x P}(16=1$ 1 : 17 N-K $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 6 \mathrm{cb} ; 23$ gives Blact
isis based on pionship gath

## BOOKS NOW IN STOCK (continued)

## GAMES—Continued

G24-Kings of the Chessboard-L. Steiner. Eirst Australian book by Lajos Steiner, conbaining representative games of masters encountered on his European tour, 1948. Stiff cover 5/-, cloth bouind 6/-
G 25-World Chess Championship - H. Golombek. All the games of the only World Championship tournament 1948, brilliantly annotated by the British champion. A feast of master Chess with vivid descriptions and biographies of all the players. 15/6

## THE OPENING

O1-How to Play the Chess Openings - E. Znosko-Borovsky. A good instructive book for the moderate player. 8/6
0 2-The Ideas Behind the Openings - $R$. Fine. The best seller in Chess books in recent years. A " must" for the moderate player who wants to know why. 13/-
0 3-Modern Chess Openings - Seventh Edition, revised by Korn, 1946. This is a standard work of reference, indispensable to competitive \& correspondence players. 14/6 04 -The Chess Pilot-Jacques Mieses. A new book on the openings by this famous master. Designed purely as a labour-saver; one answer to each opening and each defence. 4/-
$0.5-T r a p s$ on the Chessboard - ZnoskoBorovsky. The best book on traps. 6/-
0) 5-Chess Questions Answered-Bonham \& Wormald. 350 questions, 200 on endings, 150 on openings. Splendid value. 3/-
0 7-More Questions Answered-Bonham \& Wormald. Supplementary to O6 and a splendid little book for the inexperienced player. 4/3
09 -Chess Openings for Beginners-Cunnington. A small book for the learner on the rudimentary principles of the opening. 3/-
(1)10-A Pocket Guide to the Chess Openings -Griffith and Golombek. A great little book, especially for the young player anxious to better his opening play. $8 /-$

## THE MIDDLE GAME

M 1-The Basis of Combination in Chess-Du Mont. A great help to the inexperienced player. 10/-
M 2-The Middle Game in Chess - ZnoskoBorovsky. An excellent book for all players. Positional play by one of the world's greatest modern teachers. 13/-

M 3—Chess Quiz-Reinfeld. 300 positions, all from actual play. Solutions at back. Is guaranteed to improve your ability to see combinations. 11/-
M4-The Next Move Is . . . - Cordingley. Like "Chess Quiz," but smaller and more advanced. 4/3
M 5-Instructive Positions from Master Play-Mieses. The grand old man of Chess retains his gift for exposition. $\mathbf{3 / 9}$
M 6-Winning Chess-Chernev and Reinfeld. Demonstrates clearly, forcibly and graphically how to build up your attack. Not a book of theory, but a practical guide to winning chess. 16/-

## THE END GAME

E1-Basic Chess Endings-Reuben Fine. A standard work on end game play. 573 pages of knowledge for every grade of player. This book is a masterpiece. 25/6
E 2-Practical End Game Play - Reinfeld. Deals especially with the transition period before the end game gets to the book stage. Value at $7 / 6$
E 3-Manual of the End Game-Jacques Mieses. Introduction to end game play by a leading master. Inexpensive but good. 5/6 E 4-Chessboard Magic-Chernev. A selection of beautiful end games. 13/6
E 5-How to Play Chess Endings-E. ZnoskoBorovsky. The mysteries of end game play are revealed in this book by the master teacher. This book not only demonstrates how, but shows why. 14/6

## TEXT BOOKS

T1-Lasker's Manual-Emanuel Lasker. One of the great Chess books, by one of the great masters, possibly the greatest. 16/-T2-Modern Chess Strategy-Edward Lasker. A wonderful text book for the Chess student. Read this and play Chess. 16/-
T 3-The Game of Chess-Tarrasch. A complete text book by "the man who taught Europe to play Chess." 31/-
T 4-Chess Fundamentals-Capablanca. This incomparable genius shows how with crystal clarity. 10/-
T 5-My System-Nimzovitch. A complete treatise on every aspect of the game of Chess. Your education is incomplete until you have studied this book. 18/6
(Continued overleaf)

## TEXT BOOKS-Continued

T 6-Chess Mastery by Question \& AnswerReinfeld. Teaches by annotations to master games in form of questions answered in second half of book. 6/6
T 7-Chess for Amateurs-Reinfeld. Same effective scheme as " Chess Mastery by Question and Answer," but the games are those of amateurs with plenty of instructive errors. 6/6
T 8-How to Play Better Chess-Reinfeld. A new book on all aspects of the game written in Fred Reinfeld's best style. Chess enthusiasts will find in these pages material of the greatest value. $9 / 3$
T 9—Guide to Good Chess-C. J. S. Purdy. A splendid low-priced book with a wide range, and suitable for players of all grades. $4 / 9$

## FOR BEGINNERS

B1-Invitation to Chess-Harkness and Chernev. Beautifully printed, complete, pictorial introduction to the game of Chess. Contains moves, rules, and the elementary principles of strategy and tactics. 13/B 2-Easy Guide to Chess - B. H. Wood. Easiest of all Chess primers to follow. 6/B 3-Chess-Alexander. New edition of the popular elementary text book by Britain's leading master. 6/-
B4-Breviary of Chess-Dr. S. Tartakower. An up-to-date, complete and lucid treatise on Chess. 10/-

B5-Chess For the Fun of It -Harley. delightful combination of instruction ana entertainment. Includes illustrative gamat: of world's great masters, past and present. -i/i B6—Chess: Revised Edition-Green. Famuras old primer. $\mathbf{3 / 9}$
B 7-Chess Made Easy-Purdy and Koga . nitsky. Enlarged (third) edition. 50.0010 o previous editions sold. Only 1/-
B 8-Learn Chess Fast-Reshevsky and Reinfeld. A short cut guide to the Royal gan: Lavishly illustrated. 5/6
B9—Teach Yourself Chess-Abrahams. splendid book for the inexperienced play $=$ : and well worthwhile for those of highas: grades. 5/6

## MISCELLANEOUS

S 1-Chess for Fun and Chess for Blood-EB Lasker. Teaches and entertains simul? taneously. 15/6
S2-How Not to Play Chess - E. ZnoskBorovsky. Nails all the average playerts faults and shows how to avoid them. $4 / 3$

## BOUND VOLUMES

S 5—Chess World, 1946 ..... 10/5
S 6-Chess World, 1947 ..... 10/i
S 7 -Chess World, 1948 ..... 12/6
S 8-Chess World, 1949 ..... 13/3
S 9—N.Z. Chessplayer, Vols. 1 \& 2 ... ..... £1S 10-Chess, 1948
S 11-Chess, Vol. 14£1

[^0]VISITORS ALWAYS WELCOME

WELCOME
DOMINION ROAD CHESS CLUB

## LUB

225 Dominion Road (Walters Road Corner), Auckland

225 Dominion Road (Walters Road Corner), Auckland
Tuesdays and Fridays - - - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.Hon. Secretary: D. E. MILLER, 14 Akepiro St., Mt. Eden, S.1. Phone 65 -306
AUCKLAND CHESS CLUB (INC.)
Third Floor, His Majesty's Arcade, Queen Street, Auckland ..... ::
Phone 30-360OPEN AFTERNOONS, MONDAY TO FRIDAY. CLUB NIGHTS: MONDAY AND THURSDAY.VISITORS ALWAYS WELCOIME
Further particulars from JOHN JAMES, Hon. Secretary.
particulars from JOHN JAMES, Hon. Secretary.
CHESS C L U BTUESDAYS AND FRIDAYS, 7.30 P.M.
resident: S. HollanderPhone 31-389.Secretary: F. R. Best - P-O. Box 852, Christchurch.Phone 32-717 (business), 52-144 (private).
CANTERBURY

n. Secretary: D. E. MILLER, 14 Akepiro St., Mt. Eden, S.1. Phone $65-306$ club nghts: monday and thursday.
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