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## READERS' VIEWS

## EQUAL RIGHTS FOR EQUAL SUBSCRIPTIONS

Sir,-You'll agree that it is most important for all the members of a chess club to preserve amity and harmony. Therefore permit me to point out that Mr. Johnstone's slogan, "Equal rights for equal subscriptions" (vide his letter in your last number), says both too much and too little.

The contribution a member makes to his club is not his subscription alone. Some members contribute organising or other work, others contribute to the club's wellbeing by regular attendance, and others, again, help to bring laurels to the club in competition. Every club likes to have some strong players. These players have less to gain from their membership than the weaker players, since most of their fellow members will be unable to give them an interesting game.

Your correspondent speaks of a club telegraphic team as "twenty plum hunters." That is not very complimentary to the players who are engaging in a rather slow and tedious event for the honour of their club. It is quite true that there is some enjoyment in such events, and some players who are not in the team might like to be; their remedy is to improve their play. We all have it in our power to do that if we set about it.

To sum up, what I want to emphasise is that a slogan like "Equal rights for equal subscriptions" is too narrow, and is likely to produce nothing but friction if pressed too far. Many players derive little benefit from membership of a club. If criticised, their simple answer is to leave the club. As I said at first, amity and harmony are the great essentials.

As a New Zealander, Mr. Johnstone is fully entitled to criticise New Zealand chess administration, but I should like to say from first-hand knowledge that it compares very favourably with chess administration elsewhere I know, or know of. I know that New Zealand has more chess players per thousand of population and also a larger proportion of organised players than any other Englishspeaking country. I feel someone should point this out, if only in honour of the memory of Fedor Kelling, who did more than any other single individual to bring such a state of affairs about.

> C. J. S. PURDY (Sydney).

## THE RIGHT SPIRIT

Sir,-Congratulations on the last issue of THE NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER. Games, problems ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and "Announce the Nate" are splendid. We found working out the mates from the diagrams really good praçtice. We have been instrumental in getting another player for correspondence and lent
him a previous issue of THE NEW ZEALA:CHESSPLAYER, so he is becoming another stscriber to OUR magazine.
W. M. WALKER (Mrs.).

## MORE PROBLEMS WANTED

Sir,-Enclosed is a Meredith two-mover whin may be of use to you in your section of THE NEw ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER. I would like endorse the tributes already paid to your yount magazine, but with a problemist's prejudice I fet your section is very cramped. In a newspaper a few inches is all one can expect for problems, but in a chess magazine there should be a page nothing. With cordial wishes for yourself and Tris NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER.
P. BARRON (Margate, England)

GAME NO. 176
Sir,-I have much pleasure in renewing m subscription. Congratulations on another excellent issue. I was astonished to see one of my games it the latest issue. I would like to point out tw things. Firstly, I expected to see a question mart after $30 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$. After I had made this move saw $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7$. My opponent saw this, too, ans played $30 \ldots$ B-B3. The game continued 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB4}$. So this explains the weakness the magazine move. It appears that the score wa given to you with Black's 30th and 31st moves transposed.

Concerning the move $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$, I would refe you to page 100 , Q. 274, of "Chess Question Answered," by Bonham and Wormald.

I am pleased to see that THE NEW ZEALANI CHESSPLAYER will be coming out every tw months now. It will save some of the news from becoming a little stale.

ALWYN JONES (Ngaruawahia).
[Our note that $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ was "playable, but B K 2 is more usual," is questioned on the authorit: of the book mentioned. A very good book, too But G. H. Watson's analysis (Q. 272, page 99) does not take into consideration the continuation 8. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3 ; 10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ pointed out by F. L. Vaughan, of Sydney, in "Chess World," October, 1946. This little matter out of the way, we wish to thank Mr. Jones for supplying the Wanganui Congress pictures in this issue.-Ed.

## NEXT PUBLICATION DATE

The next issue of this magazine will be on salt on April 15, and copy must be in our hands not late than March 15. We cannot guarantee publicatios of anything received after that date. What about some club news?

## WELLINGTON CHESS CLUB

WELLINGTON SPORTS CENTRE - - WAKEFIELD STREET

Secretary:
R. A. Godtschalk, 62 Calabar Rd., Rongotai. Phone 16-552
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## WHAT M.C.O." LEFT <br> OUT

## By E. J. MARCHISOTTI

In undertaking this brief critique of the seventh edition of "Modern Chess Openings," my aim has not been to discredit the substantial and arduous task of revision, which in this instance was the work of the Czech master Walter Korn. I am simply going to point out a few omissions that should be borne in mind for future editions of this famous work. And now to our mutton.

Four Knights' Game.-After $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 ; 3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5 ; 5 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B} ; 7 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $9 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$; $10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$; $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$, "M.C.O." gives (page 61, col. 46, note (d)) the reply $12 \ldots$ N-B3. But $12 \ldots . \mathbf{Q x}^{2} \mathrm{QN}$ !; $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$ ! is clearly superior (Lundin-Michel, Buenos Aires, 1939). If now $14 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KNP}$, or if $14 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KNP}$; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 2$; with an evident advantage for Black in both cases. After 4 B--N5, P-QR3; 5 BxN , QP x B; $6 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$; "M.C.O." (page 62, col. 51) gives the continuation 7 NxN , and does not take into account the better procedure $\mathbf{7} \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R 5}$ !, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q3}$ (if $7 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3$; $8 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{NP}$ ch, etc.) ; $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 10 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, and White has the upper hand (analysis by Alfred Emery, 1943).

French Defence.-After 1 P-K4, P-K3; 2 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3 ; 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$, B-K2; $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{KN}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 6 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, "M.C.O." indicates (page 77, col. 48, note (f)) $7 \ldots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, which does not turn out well in view of the variation $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ !, N-N3; $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$; $10 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6$, followed by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$, with attack (analysis by Marchisotti, "Caissa" No. 74, 1945). Better is 7.... $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q R 3}$ !; and if $8 \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{K} 2$, P-QB4; 9 P-QB3, N-QB3; 10 Q-Q2, P-QN4; with an equal game (Burn-Salwe, Carlsbad, 1911).

Ruy Lopez.-After 1 P-K4, P-K4; 2 N-KB3, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 ; 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 5 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, P xP; "M.C.O." gives (page 282, col. 23, note (i)) the continuation $6 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$, omitting 6 NxP !, played in the game Balogh-Zollner, Carlsbad, 1939, with the continuation $6 \ldots$ N-B3; $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ e.p.; $8 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$, with a better game for White. After $3 \quad \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3 ; 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $5 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} ; 6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4 ; 7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $8 \mathrm{P} x \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4 ; 10 \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N} ; 12 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, R×P; $14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$; $15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$, "M.C.O." cites (page 291, col. 58, note (h)) the reply 15 B-N3; which is inferior because of $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ ! (Lasker-Rubinstein, St. Petersburg, 1914). The correct continuation is $\mathbf{1 5} \ldots$ B-Q3!; as Tarrasch indicated in his notes to the game, and with which Black gets an excellent game which in my opinion is superior to White's. After $3 \ldots$ P- Q3; $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 6 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; $7 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ !, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; "M.C.O." indicates (page 314, col. 154, note (f)) $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, but $10 \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ ! turns out better. The game Harris-Cornforth, correspondence, 1938-39, continued $10 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 11 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}$ !, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 13 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 15$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ !, $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ !, with an attack on Black's king position. It should
be noted that White's ingenious manoeuvre $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3-\mathrm{R} 3$ had been made possible as a result of vacating the square KB3.

Sicilian Defence.-After 1 P-K4, P-QB4; $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 ; 3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 4 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KN} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; 7 BxN , NP x B; $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B5}$, " M.C.O." cites (page 348, col. 60 , note (g)) $8 \ldots$ Q-B1; which turns out badly on account of $9 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q 5}$ ! (Balogh-van Kol, correspondence, 1933). Better is $8 \ldots \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R 4}$ !; and if $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3!$; $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 11 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, P-KR4!; $12 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$; with a good attack for Black (Richter-Bogoljubow, Bad Elster, 1937).

## CHAMPIONSHIP OF U.S.S.R.

Bronstein and Kotov 12-6 (they are to play a match), Furman (Leningrad champion) 11-7, Flohr $10 \frac{1}{2}$, Tolush 10, Bondarevsky, Keres, Konstaninopolsky and Lisitzin $9 \frac{1}{2}$, Ilyitsky, Lilienthal 9, Kholmov 8 $\frac{1}{2}$, Ragozin, Levenfish, Auerbach 8, Alatortzev, Panov 71 , Aronin, Taimanov 6. Botvinnik, Smyslov and Boleslavsky did not play.

Game No. 179—KING'S INDIAN DEFENCE
G. M. Levenfish
A. Lilienthal

1 P-Q 4, N—K B 3; 2 P-Q B 4, P-K N 3;
 N-N 3;

A novelty. Usual is 5
$\mathrm{N} x \mathrm{~N} ; 6 \mathrm{PxN}, \mathrm{P}-$ QB4; $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB4} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Castles; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

6 N-B 3, B-N 2; 7 P-K R 3, Castles; 8 B-K 3, N-B 3; 9 B-K 2, P-K 4; 10 P-Q 5, N-N 1; 11 P-Q R 4, P-QR4; 12 Castles, N-R 3; 13 Q-N 3, N-Q 2; 14 B x N, P x B !? ; 15 K R-Q 1, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 ; 16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 17 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, P-Q B 4; 21 B-K 3, B-K 3; 22 P-Q N 3, N-Q 2; 23 Q N-Q 2, Q-B 3; $24 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ !, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathbf{P}$; 25 $\mathbf{N - N ~ 5 , ~ Q - B ~ 3 ; ~} 26 \quad \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{N} ; 27 \quad \mathbf{N} \times R \mathrm{P}$, Q-N 3;

$28 \quad \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{N} 4!$, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{N P} ; 29 \quad \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{B} 6, \quad \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{N} 6$; $30 \mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{R}$ !!, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$; $31 \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{1}$;

32 NxBP , followed by advancing the pawn could not be ignored.

## $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{B - N} 5$ !, R-R 1;

The threat was $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 6$.
33 N-N 6, R-R 2; 34 P-Q 7, R xP; $35 \mathrm{~N} \times R$, P-R 3; 36 N-B $6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, K-K 2; 38 R-K $8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; and resigned because of $39 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, with Black's King in a mating net.
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## 1948 IN RETROSPECT

THE year 1948 was a most important one for New Zealand chess. An important milestone, we believe, was the introduction and immediate success of THE NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER. We think the magazine has done much to foster the game here during the twelve months of its existence, and for that we have to thank all those willing helpers who came to our aid and helped to make this publication what it is. Particular thanks are due to $R$. $G$. Wade, whose untiring efforts on behalf of New Zealand chess are all too liable to be overlooked; to B. H. Wood, editor of "Chess," and C. J. S. Purdy, editor of "Chess World." Thanks, too, to our many readers whose toleration and appreciative letters have helped us over the rough spots. Though all have not agreed with our views, most will agree that behind them lies the desire to help chess wherever we find it.

The biggest event of the year was, of course, the 56th New Zealand Congress, recently concluded. 'That the standard of play was lower than usual can be attributed to the fact that many good players were unavoidably absent. This state of affairs can be rectified by making the competition attractive and obtaining more publicity, particularly in the daily Press. Our views on this matter are well known, so there is no need to labour the point. That the championship should be taken back to Auckland after a lapse of 22 years will be very satisfying to enthusiasts of that district, where great progress has been shown in the last two years.

An outstanding event, and one that affects all club players, was the decision to revise the rules of the New Zealand Chess Association in order to place the association on a firmer basis and allow for the affiliation of leagues and sub-associations. The reorganisation of the Auckland Chess League and the formation of the South Auckland Chess League were moves in the right direction. Subassociations have done much for chess and will
continue to do much more in the future if gond organisers and enthusiastic officials are appointec The main thing is to shake the ordinary clui member out of his lackadaisical attitude and nm throw all the work upon one or two individuals The introduction last year of the Swiss system wes a godsend to those organisers who had long been aware of the insistent demand of the less experenced players (the majority) for more attention and a chance to gain experience in matches agains good players. The Auckland, South Auckland, ant Hawke's Bay and East Coast championships ant the Labour Day event at Gisborne, run under this system, were experiences out of which morz interesting events should emanate during the coming year.

Nineteen forty-eight also saw some activits in chess in schools, particularly in Canterbury and Otago. These clubs are to be commended upat their enterprise, but it is only a drop in the oceat Club executives everywhere should endeavour is arrange for schoolboy (and girl) championshigs during the vacation periods. If school teachers can be interested the results will be well worth the trouble. There is no bottom to this pool.

The year 1948 saw Botvinnik win the Worit Championship. It also saw young Jimmy Smith learn the moves in the game! Perhaps some of or readers can say which is the more important.

## ENGLAND

At a recent meeting of the British Chess Federztion it was decided to hold the next British charpionship on a modified Swiss system, but later information indicates that the full Swiss syster will be employed.

An extract from a letter from a U.S.C.F. officiei
"If U.S.A.'s prestige abroad has declinez considerable good has been attained in this countro by shifting the emphasis from tournaments activities for a select few to a programme to beneft the rank and file of players. The increasing number of 'open' tournaments using the Swiss system, then godsend to chess development, will, I think, evertually build up a strong base for chess that wII manifest itself in the form of better international participation as well."

## Answers to Correspondents

We wish to thank all those readers who sent Christmas greetings and cards, and all those wo have written in appreciation of the magazine. O mail has assumed such proportions that it is impos sible to answer each one individually, but we cam assure readers that their encouragement makes heart glad.

## NEW YORK 1949

The New York international tournament, pla: enf in January, was a personal triumph for Review Fine. Three years away from tournament play, was very shaky in the first three rounds, but vomi the last six like the master he is. Following the placings: Fine 8, Najdorf 61 $\frac{1}{2}$, Euwe 5, Pilnik Horowitz $4 \frac{1}{2}$, Kramer 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Bisguier 4, Kashdan Denker 2, Steiner $1 \frac{1}{2}$.
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AROUND THE N．Z．CLUBS

## AUCKLAND

The only tournament running at present is the Summer Cup，with 19 competitors．Leading scores so far are：A．W．H．Breakey 8－0，K．R．Gillmore 6－0，R．W．Park 8－1，G．Sale 7－2，F．Haight 7－2．

As the first Swiss system tournament proved very popular，a second one was held and 12 entries were received．It resulted in a win for R．W．Park， 5 points，with G．Sale， 4 points，second．

The final match of the Auckland Chess League second grade competition，the play－off between Auckland A and Waterside teams，was held at the Auckland C．C．rooms on November 4，and a close match resulted in a win for Auckland， $3 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ ．They now become holders of the Lone Pawn trophy．

Our representatives at the New Zealand cham－ pionship Congress did exceptionally well，A．E． Nield winning the championship and bringing the Silver Rook to Auckland for the first time since A． W．O．Davies won it in 1927．A．L．Fletcher also played well to get in the prize list．Unfortunately A．E．Nield will be lost to us，as he is leaving for England，where he will probably take up residence． Two further losses sustained by the club are C．P． Belton，who has gone to Ireland，and C．B．Newick， who is moving to Wellington．

## OTAGG

Correspondent：H．A．McGILVARY
Club Championship．－In the club championship $J i m$ Lang is leading at present，but the issue is still in doubt，several players still having many gemes to complete．J．F．Lang， $8 \frac{1}{2}-6 \frac{1}{2} ;$ R．W． Luangley， $5-2$ ；W．S．Stenhouse，4－4；H．A． MeGilvary，5－10；W．Lang，4－2；A．J．McDermott， 8－4；S．J．Webb，4－7；R．Watt， $5 \frac{1}{2}-10 \frac{1}{2}$ ．

Intermediate Championship．－In the Interme－ diate championship R．E．Williamson and the club president，A．E．Ward，are leading with 13 points each．Williamson has the best chance，though he luas still four to play．Ward has finished all his bames．Scores are：C．Ahern，7－8；J．R．Cusack （withdrew），8－2；E．Hodgkinson，5－6；R．Paris， 7 （7）－121 $;$ A．C．Twose， $7 \frac{1}{2}-7 \frac{1}{2} ;$ A．Ward， $13-3$ ；J． K．L．Webling， $6 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{2} ;$ R．Williamson， $13-2$ J．A． Jaekson，6－8；Dr．R．Gardiner，1－19；J．J．Marlow， 6－16．

Junior Championship．－Among the juniors there has been little change in the relative positions．$F$ ． Botting and R．J．Glass are still leading with $12 \frac{1}{2}$ pulats each and both have four games to play．R． Class appears to have the best chances as he has to meet relatively weaker opponents than Botting．

Senior Tri－Gambit Tournament．－This is a Qothle－round tournament with eight players． Theree gambits come into consideration here－the Samenton，Scotch or Evans．In the Scotch Gambit ather 3 P－Q4，PxP；White for his fourth move cannot recapture the pawn immediately，though he may do so later on．He can play $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ （Eoving Gambit）or the more routine $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ．

This appears to be the favourite and there have been some quite exciting encounters．The gambit decided upon in the first game must also be played in the second game with colours reversed，and all gambits must be accepted．As several of the players in the other tournaments had finished their games，this proved a welcome diversion．J．F． Lang is certain of top place，as the nearest com－ petitor，A．J．McDermott，even if he wins his next two games，will finalise with $\frac{1}{2}$ point behind．Here are the scores：J．F．Lang，11⿺⿸⿻一丿又丶12$-2 \frac{1}{2} ;$ A．J．McDermott， 8－4；W．Stenhouse， $6 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$ ；R．Williamson， $2 \frac{1}{2}-$ 81 ；A．Ward， $2-11$ ；H．A．McGilvary， $5 \frac{1}{2}-7 \frac{1}{2}$ ；R． Watt，7－5；J．K．L．Webling，3－3．

Junior Tri－Gambit Tournament．－This is run on exactly the same lines as the senior and has attracted a large number of entries．Here are the scores［26 games each to play！－Ed．］：A．C．Hall， $7-10$ ；F．Botting， $6-0$ ；R．J．Glass， $5-0$ ；G．Adams， 3－2；L．Abbott，2－9；Dr．R．Gardiner，81 $-2 \frac{1}{2}$ ；R． Paris， $7-1$ ；V．Hay， $9-12$ ；L．Wheeler， $0-4$ ；M． Rodgers， $0-4$ ；I．Penrose， $3 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ；B．Murphy， $0-2$ ； C．Smith，0－2．

Perpetual Handicap Gradings．－In the perpetual handicap tournament the leading scores are （gradings at beginning of season in parentheses）： J．R．Cusack， 482 （443），plus 39；R．J．Glass， 376 （340），plus 36；A．J．McDermott， 670 （650），plus 20； J．F．Lang， 755 （744），plus 11.

Ruy Lopez Tournament．－This competition comprises senior players who have completed a fair proportion of championship and gambit games．It is on the same basis as other tourneys，viz．，two games against each opponent，one as White and one as Black，the moves forming the Ruy Lopez opening being compulsory．Scores are：R．Watt， $6 \frac{1}{2}-3 \frac{1}{2}$ （completed）；A．J．McDermott，2－2；J．F．Lang， $5 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ ；W．G．Stenhouse，2－3；H．McGilvary，1－2； A．Ward，0－4．

Social Evening．－On December 13 a social function was held in the clubrooms in Stuart Street． Prizes for the 1947－8 season were presented by Mr． J．J．Marlow with appropriate remarks to the winners，who were：－Club championship：R．W． Lungley；runner－up，J．F．Lang．Perpetual handi－ cap：J．F．Lang．Junior gambit tournament：R．J． Glass．Senior gambit tournament：R．Watt．The junior championship prize had previously been presented to J．R．Cusack prior to his leaving for Wellington．Second prize in the junior champion－ ship went to J．J．Lang．After the presentation of prizes Mr．Marlow presented on behalf of the members of the club a fountain pen，suitably engraved，and a silver propelling pencil to Mr．G． D．Wright in commemoration of 50 years＇service in the club．Mr．Marlow paid tribute to the work Mr．Wright had done，particularly in a financial aspect，commenting that only 10 years ago the club was in a very precarious position and that members had to thank Mr．Wright for the sound state of affairs today．Mr．Wright thanked members for their gift．The main event of the evening then took place，ably conducted by Messrs．Stenhouse，Mc－

Dermid and J. F. Lang. This was the Otago lightning chess championship. The rate of play was 10 s per move. The players got down to it in earnest and though the tension was electric all was quiet save for an occasional howl of triumph or a muttered curse as a queen was lost! The play-off which ensued between Lungley, McDermott, W. Lang and R. Watt resulted in a win for McDermott. Leading the non-finalists were J. J. Lang and H. A. McGilvary. So concluded a very entertaining and pleasant evening.

## DBETEARY <br> MR. R. C. GLASS

At his residence in Easther Crescent, Kew, Dunedin, on October 15, died Mr. R. C. (Bob) Glass, a very popular member and active supporter of the Otago Chess Club. His genial personality and pleasant manner had won many friends and his untimely death came as a sad shock to all. Originally a member of the Wellington Club, of which he was at one time secretary, he settled in Dunedin (his birthplace) with his family and joined the Otago Club. Although not a member for a very long time, the work he accomplished on the match committee and particularly as a director of play at the Dunedin Congress, was very impressive. His last serious chess was in the OtazoCanterbury telegraphic match. Being a strong player, he was capable of beating anyone in the club. A favourite opening of his was Bird's, which he handled with a good degree of suceess. Mr. Glass leaves a wife, daughter and son, the latter quite a promising player. Otago chess loses a great sportsman and friend.

## LIGHT IN DARK PLACES

We are pleased to publish the following statement received from Mr. R. G. Wade in reference to Mr. Arthur Johnstone's article in our last issue:
"I wrote to Mr. Mercer (A.C.F. secretary) informing him that the N.Z.C.A. was suggesting (it was not mandatory as Mr. Johnstone indicates) increasing the teams from eight to ten. This was answered by Mr. Mercer stating that Australia preferred eight. There the matter rested. The letter from Australia, as far as I can recall, was handed to Mr. Gyles after the match."

When we approached Mr. A. W. Gyles on this matter he stated that, as he told Mr. Johnstone, there was no letter to Australia on the file, but there is a letter from the Australian Chess Federation dated $23 / 3 / 48$ which concludes: "Eight players should be adhered to, we think." Mr. Gyles agrees with us that this appears to substantiate Mr. Wade's statement (if substantiation is needed), although no copy of a letter to Australia is available.

Mr. Wade states further: "The cost of the Australia match far exceeded the management committee's estimate due to inflated and what appeared
to me to be excessive installation charges for $\overline{ }$ and T. equipment. The transmission charges wez reasonable. I take full responsibility for instruction the starting of clocks while the move was be:decoded. I have made a study of this class In $^{2}$ match and had been perturbed by the loss of ti-s in the 'mechanical' operations. I was determize= that New Zealand would not offend, but be a mode and I therefore decided to provide an incentive :se quick handling of incoming messages. After noti-r the effects and after Mr. Abbott had stressed $\mathrm{r}_{2} 5$ difficulties, I discussed the position with the Australian representative (not umpire), Mr. White, and we agreed to the arrangement outlined by yocorrespondent. Mr. Johnstone's last sentence calies for comment. I believe firmly that chess must Zt organised for every New Zealander. I want peozis to be proud to be classified as chess players, prows to belong to chess clubs-at present we seem : apologise for playing chess-and I believe that ors way this can be achieved is by glamourising tre game, by publicity, by destroying the illusion the: chess is an old man's game.'

## WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP

M. Botvinnik, the world champion, is to defer: his title in a match late in 1950. The challence will be determined by the "candidates' tourney" be held in Argentina next spring. The candidate taking part are the first nine at Saltsjobaden 19:5 Bronstein (U.S.S.R.), Szabo (Hungary), Boleslavst (U.S.S.R.), Kotov (U.S.S.R.), Lilienthal (U.S.S.R Bondarevsky (U.S.S.R.), Flohr (U.S.S.R.), Najdo (Argentina) and Stahlberg (Sweden), plus the fit unsuccessful players invited to play in the Wor Championship, viz., Euwe, Fine, Keres, Reshevsk and Smyslov. In 1950 there will be further zor tournaments to determine future candidates.

## VENICE TOURNAMENT

A strong tournament was held at Venice, Ital-: on October 3-18, resulting in a win for Migu: Najdorf, of Argentina, with 10 wins, 3 draws ar no losses, ahead of Estaban Canal (Peruvian no living in Italy) and G. Barcza (Hungary) $9 \frac{1}{2}$ poinz each, Dr. M. Euwe 8, Castaldi (Italy) 71 2 , Lokver: (Austria) and Dr. S. Tartakower (France) 7, ete

A. G. (AUBREY) SHORT playing J. W. Ross in the Major Open, N.Z. Congress, 1948-9.
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## Announce the Mate:

This page is designed to assist the inexperienced player in exercising his ability to recognise a mating position when it arises. The positions are all taken from master games, and the correct moves will be found on page 15. Try to find the mate before looking at the answers. NOTE that the reader plays from the bottom of the board in each case.

Black


White

1. White mates in
moves
White


Black
4. Black mates in .... moves

Black


White
7. White mates in .... moves

Black


White
2. White mates in .... moves


Black
5. Black mates in .... moves

Black


White
8. White mates in .... moves

Black


White
3. White mates in .... moves

White


Black
6. Black mates in .... moves

Black


White
9. White mates in .... moves

## N.Z. CHAMPIONSHIP TO NIELD

W
ANGANUI turned on its best weather for the 56th New Zealand Chess Congress, held in that city from December 27 to January 4. Hoping to combine business with pleasure, we arrived early on the scene and together with some other early birds spent the first couple of days looking for transport, mostly in vain. All Wanganui seemed to be using taxis, so our visiting programme had to be severely curtailed.

Congress was opened on Monday morning by the Hon. W. J. Rogers, M.L.C. (Mayor of Wanganui), and J. B. Cotterill, M.P. Mr. Rogers said it was necessary to go sixteen (or was it sixty?) miles up the river to see the best of it. After a sad and apprehensive farewell from George Trundle and some other kindred spirits, we had taken the trip on Sunday, but went only as far as fifteen miles, so apparently we had a won game but failed to push it home. At the end of the trip we were induced to climb a mountain, and our end game wasn't too good. Nevertheless, the grandeur of the scenery was very impressive even if we were a mile short.

Most of the organising of Congress was in the hands of secretary H. P. Whitlock, whose unobtrusive efficiency was largely responsible for the smooth running of the whole affair. The umpire, Mr. K. C. Guthrie, of Raetihi, was just a shadow who materialised swiftly when he was required, which was not often. Catering was in the capable hands of Mesdames Whitlock, Cromarty and Smith, and four little girls (ex-pupils of the president, Mr. A. J. Ratliff) took their collective turn without adult assistance.

The venue was the assembly hall of the Wanganui Technical College, a fine, large and comfortable room capable of accommodating twice the number of players. Time control was 40 moves in the first two hours and 20 per hour thereafter


FOUR charming little girls who did a good job with the morning tea.
Owing to last-minute defections it was decided to eliminate the Second Class tourney and play 13 in the First Class. This meant one extra round for this event, but it was easily worked in without inconvenience.

The expected winner, Lepviikmann, was clearly
right out of form; only on a couple of occasions dic he reproduce the keen sense of position that he has shown previously. The winner, A. E. Nield played aggressively and with rare variety wher he had the white men. Only one serious blunder marred his performance. Although McNabb playe some good games he was not at his best and faile to win some games that would ordinarily have beer easy for him. N. M. Cromarty, of Wanganui, gave one the impression of being a very solid player who would quickly show great improvement with more practice against strong players. Lack of practice with the clock seemed to worry him, but we only once saw him actually in time trouble. The find of the tournament was undoubtedly A. L. Fletcher


THE EDITOR at work (!).
Originally not in the chosen twelve, he exhibited a fine tournament temperament and played one o: two very good games. His showing was no surprise to us: Alan is on our editorial staff and is ou linotype operator. (Is that combination equalles anywhere?) R. O. Scott played probably his best game in a grim struggle against Nield in the fina round, but he was otherwise frequently in clocs trouble. Gyles found his experience a great asse on more than one occasion, but carelessness brough: retribution more often. Noel Henderson got off to a flying start with two wins and a draw in the first three rounds. He has a good sight of the board and should do better. Baeyertz took a long time to ge: his first point, losing several times when in a goos position. Trundle and Lang never reproduced their best at any part and were disappointments.

The Major Open was a cut-throat affair with W. Reindler and W. E. Moore tieing for first place the latter receiving the prize after the tie-breaking system was applied. [Information just supplied by Mr. A. W. Gyles, secretary, N.Z.C.A., indicates that Reindler and Moore have agreed to a play-oz at Christchurch during the next school holidays presumably at Easter. Canterbury C.C. will be asked to supervise.-Ed.] Both of these players started off badly, as did Whitlock, who filled third place. The latter was somewhat handicapped by his official duties. F. Beamish (Gisborne) and E. J Byrne (Paeroa) played well and at one stage looked as if they might be first and second. Byrne-Jace osition that he r. A. E. Nield, variety when Eerious blunder McNabb played best and failed arily have been Nanganui, gave olid player who ent with more ck of practice n, but we only le. The find of 1. L. Fletcher.
he exhibited a played one or vas no surprise eff and is our ration equalled bably his best eld in the final ently in clock e a great asset essness brought son got off to a aw in the first the board and ong time to get hen in a good produced their ntments. jat affair with for first place, e tie-breaking just supplied C.A., indicates d to a play-off thool holidays, C.C. will be these players ho filled third andicapped by orne) and E. J. stage looked Byrne-Jack
to his friends-is a correspondence player who has had very little practice over the board. Fuller was fairly solid, but McGilvary was well out of form.

The First Class resulted in a popular win for Warne Pearse, of Temuka. He played steadily and deserved his victory. A. G. Jones (Ngaruawahia) and A. J. Ratliff (Wanganui), equal second, might have done better, and Jones especially will improve with the experience. A. Summers (Wellington)
produced some exhilarating chess for this class and so did Harry Pobar (Civic, Wellington). An improver in A. D. Smith showed considerable promise. Christensen, Woodfield and Costello were by no means outclassed; any of these may have won, but Mrs. H. Reilly, playing in her first tournament, found the going hard. However, she and the three college boys, Ball, Carde and Haar, will gain by the experience and will be tougher next time.

## ROUND 1

Fletcher played a Two Knights Defence and ran into a variation that put him on unfamiliar ground. Trundle made a good start by drawing with Lepviikmann, for which he received congratulations, but the game was uninspiring and drawn a long way from home. Gyles had the exchange and three pawns for a Bishop, but left a Rook unguarded. Scott was drifting in the ending when he made a blunder. He resigned to save a Rook. Baeyertz appeared to be set for a win, but tried to trap the Queen and drifted into inferiority. Cromarty then gathered in the exchange, a Rook and a pawn.

Black
Henderson Lang Trundle Scott Baeyertz .... 0 Baeyertz ....
Lynch ..... 1

Cromarty
Nield

Opening
Fletcher .... 0 Two Knights Defence $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Fletcher ..... } & 0 & \text { Two Knights Defence ... } & 29 \\ \text { Gyles ...... } & 0 & \text { Colle System .......... } & 34\end{array}$ Ioves Lepviikmann $\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Queen's Indian Defence Grunfeld Defence Nimzo-Indian Defence Nimzo-Indian

## Henderson, Lang, McNabb, Cromarty, Lynch 1 each.

Nield lost three tempi in the opening and after a dogfight in the middle game the ending was reached in 30 moves, with Lynch two pawns up, one of which he lost with the exchange of Rooks. Nield became short of time and Lynch was able to force a win with the extra pawn.

## ROUND 2

Baeyertz lost the exchange and
then threw away a Bishop on the 23rd move. Lepviikmann overlooked a Knight fork which lost him a Rook. Henderson played this game well. Nield played the

McNabb
Lepviikmann
Nield
Fletcher
Cromarty Gyles ....... BxN line against Lang's French. After 7 Q-N4, Lang played K-B1 instead of castling, and Nield was able to develop a strong King's side attack. Lang overlooked the mate, but his game was lost anyhow. Fletcher came out of the opening best and outplayed Lynch after the latter had made a weak 10 th move. After the 30th, with the exchange and a

Lang played vigorously and with imagination, but his 17 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ were of doubtful value. From the latter move Cromarty obtained a remote passed pawn which was the deciding factor in the game. Fletcher spent a lot of time trying pviikmann's Queen, and suddenly found men all on the wrong squares. McNabb overlooked a threat to his Queen and wisely resigned. Trundle developed a strong attack which looked like winning, but he miscalculated when he seemed

> Lang Lepviikmann ,ynch Lynch Trundle Henderson....

| Baeyertz .... 0 | Queen's Gambit Declined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Henderson .. 1 | English |
| Lang . . . . . . 0 | French Defence |
| Lynch . . . . . 0 | Catalan System |
| Trundle .... 0 | Queen's Pawn Game |
| Scott . . . . . . ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | French Defence |
| McNabb, Hender | , Cromarty 2. |

Trundle … 0

## McNabb, Henderson, Cromarty 2.

pawn up, it was only a matter of care on Fletcher's part. This was the latter's best game during the contest. Trundle suffered from a cramped position. Gyles exchanged Queens to obtain a passed pawn, but Scott defended tenaciously.

## ROUND 3


3, Henderson $2 \frac{1}{2}$, MeNabb, Lynch, Nield 2.
to have the game in hand. Baeyertz gave up a piece to get a passed pawn to Q7, but Scott was able to win the pawn. Henderson had a good game against Gyles, but lost his way. Gyles defended well.

## ROUND 4

Nield had no trouble with Henderson, who was forced into an inferior position. Cromarty and Scott were both in time trouble and when Scott threw away his Queen on the 39 th Cromarty missed it and lost his own. Baeyertz varied from the book on the sixth move, but was altogether too daring. Lepviikmann soon obtained an overwhelming King's side attack. Gyles overlooked the loss of a Rook, but he had a loss in any case. An oversight

| Nield...... | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cromarty | ... | 0 |
| Baeyertz | ... | 0 |
| Gyles | .... | 0 |
| MeNabb | ... | $\frac{1}{2}$ |

ROUND 5

Lepviikmann missed the win no fewer than three times in the ending. A hard game, but Lepviikmann was obviously out of form. Baeyertz, in a lost position, offered a Rook in the hope that White would go wrong, but Lynch promptly took the Rook-and won. McNabb conducted a strong attack on the Queen's file after offering a Rook which Trundle dared not take. Nield won two pawns, which proved sufficient for the win. Henderson put up a

> Gyles
> Lynch Trundle Fletcher Lang ....... 0 Henderson

Lepviikmann ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Colle System Baeyertz .... 0 Queen's Gambit Declined 80
27 McNabb ..... 1 Queen's Gambit Declined . 28 Nield ....... 1 Four Knights Scott ........ 1 Nimzo-Indian

Caro-Kann 28
41 n … Cromarty

## 0 <br> Cromarty, Lynch, Nield 4, McNabb, Scott $3 \frac{1}{2}$.

good fight with two pawns down, but Cromart: made no mistakes. When the loss of a Rook looke certain, Lang gave up the Queen for a mating chance that did not eventuate.

## ROUND 6

Gyles played the better ending against Trundle in spite of being a pawn down. Lang attacked fiercely, but slipped up and eventually resigned in a position which would have required precise play for White to win. Lepviikmann sacrificed the exchange, but when his attack collapsed Nield had too many guns. Fletcher came out of the opening best but Scott held his own in a proper dogfight. Fletcher eventually won after missing an easy win on the 38th move. McNabb-Henderson was even up to the

## Gyles

 Baeyertz Nield Fletcher McNabb Cromarty
## Trundle

e .... 0 Vienna Game Lang ........ 0 Dutch Defence Lepviikmann 0 Centre Counter Scott ........ 0 Dutch Defence Henderson .. 0 King's Indian Lynch ...... 1 Colle System

 4)

Nield played the Worrall Attack and it was not until he got his Rook to the seventh rank that he could claim an advantage. Fletcher sacrificed a Knight and the ensuing combination was too much for his opponent. Cromarty opposed Lepviikman's Reti with a Queen's Indian set-up, with an early P-KB4. Lepviikmann tried to win an obviously drawn game. Henderson-Scott was a difficult ending, with even pawns and Bishop against Knight, which

Nield . . . . . . 1 Gyles Henderson Trundle Lynch Fletcher

## Scott

## Lynch, Nield 5, McNabb 4를, Cromarty 4.

20th, when Henderson lost the exchange. Lynct gave nothing away against Cromarty, whose King's side attack was insufficiently prepared. A gooc game by both players.

## ROUND 7



Lynch, Nield 6, Cromarty 5, McNabb, Scott $4 \frac{1}{2}$.
should have been drawn. Trundle had an ever game but weakened on the 25th move and Baeyertz quickly gained the upper hand. Lang gave up the exchange early, but the attack did not turn out well

## ROUND 8

## Cromarty <br> Scott

McNabb
Lang Baeyertz ...... 0
Gyles .

Queen's Indian Defence
Nield Giuoco Piano
Lepviikmann 0 Queen's Pawn
Trundle .... 1 Queen's Pawn
Henderson .. 1 Queen's Gambit De....... 32
Fletcher .... 0 Vienna Game eclined.
Nield had a hard game against Cromarty. He obtained a passed pawn on the 39 th and maintained his advantage. Lynch played an and Scott deviated on the 12 th move, Lynch taking up almost an hour on his reply. After the 23 rd he had just about scrambled out of trouble, but both were desperately short of time and a draw was agreed upon Black's 40th. McNabb sacrificed his Queen, but the obscure outcome was unrevealed when Lepviikmann walked into a mate. Lang embarked upon an unsound sacrifice and then

Gyles-Cromarty was never anything but a draw. Lang's two passed pawns were too much of a hurdle for Henderson. Scott gradually wore Lepviikmann down and eventually obtained a remote passed pawn. Nield's penchant for finding obscure continuations was exemplified in this round. His early P-Q5 had McNabb scratching, and, although the latter recovered, the loss of a Rook later on settled the question. Lynch won a pawn and from then

Gyles
Henderson
Lepviikmann Nield
Trundle Fletcher

Lynch $6 \frac{1}{2}$, McNabb $5 \frac{1}{2}$, Cromarty, Scott 5.
became short of time- 10 moves to make in six minutes. Baeyertz had a distinct advantage, but sacrificed a piece and missed the winning line. Henderson took full advantage of the position. Fletcher had a moment of chess blindness and walked right into a mate on his 11 th move.

## ROUND 9

Cromarty
Lang
Lang
McNabb
Lynch .
BaeyertzKing's Fianchetto Defence French Defence ......... Queen's Gam
Ruy Lopez Q.G.D., Orthodo

0 Sicilian Defence
$\qquad$ 41
43
34 Lynch 71 $\frac{1}{2}$, Scott 6, McNabb, Cromarty $5 \frac{1}{2}$.
on played steadily to notch the win. Baeyertz caused quite a sensation when he illegally queened a pawn against Fletcher, who jumped from his chair thinking he had made a colossal blunder.


## hange. Lynch

 . whose King's red. A goodhad an even and Baeyertz g gave up the turn out well.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| fence | 61 |
| $\cdots \ldots .$. | 39 |
| $\ldots \ldots .$. | 39 |
| clined. | 32 |
| $\ldots . . .$. | 12 |

.
make in six Avantage, but vinning line. the position. lindness and move.

Jefence

Baeyertz ally queened ed from his al blunder.

Nield slipped badly. The end game was reached with fairly equal chances, but Baeyertz won two pawns and made no mistake about the win. When McNabb gave up a Bishop on the 20th, Gyles' last seven moves were forced. A brilliant game by McNabib. Lang and Lepviikmann had a completely blocked and complicated position. Henderson, with a pawn up, missed a certain draw. He lost a Knight on the 43 r d move. Scott blundered when

Trundle trapped Henderson's Knight. Baeyertz lost a piece in the middle game and it was then only a matter of time. Lepviikmann played more like himself in this round. The pressure was on all the way, but Lynch played well and should have drawn. However, a slip cost him a piece and lost the championship for him. There was nothing between McNabb and Cromarty and the game reached its logical conclusion. Lang had a pawn up for most of the game, but then gave up the exchange for chances. Later on he blundered, under some

| Baeyertz | Nield | Reti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McNabb | Gyles ....... 0 | Max Lange . . . . . . . . . . . . 27 |
| Lang | Lepviikmann $\frac{1}{2}$ | Queen's Pawn |
| Lynch | Henderson . . 0 | King's Indian Defence . . . 43 |
| Trundle | Scott . ....... 0 | Two Knights Defence ... 40 |
| Cromarty | Fletcher . . . ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | Dutch Defence ......... 40 |

## Lynch 82

short of time and was mated on the back rank.

Cromarty-Fletcher had an exciting game with both Kings exposed and attacked.

## ROUND 11



## Nield 9, Lynch 81, McNabb 7, Cromarty, Fletcher $\mathbf{6 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$.

pressure, and lost a Rook. Nield entered the end game with two pawns up and looked a certain winner. Scott defended tenaciously and reduced the disadvantage by one pawn. Nield had to play correctly to win.

Score Sheets on Page 12

## SECRET WEAPON

Nield tries a tricky Lopez line, and McNabb, after taking some pesitional punishment, reaches safety only to throw away the win. Notes by A. L. Fletcher.

## Game No. 180 <br> RUY LOPEZ

## A. E. Nield H. McNabb <br> 1 P-K 4 <br> N-Q B 3

Black is willing to play Nimzovitch's Defence (2 P-Q4, P-Q4; $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, etc.), a rarity in New Zealand chess. White does not see why he should play the game Black would prefer, so

| 2 N -K B 3 | P-K 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | P-Q R 3 |
| 4 B-R 4 | N-B 3 |
| 5 Castles | Nx ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 6 P -Q 4 | P-Q ${ }^{4}$ |
| 7 P-Q 5 !? |  |

One of Nield's secret weapons.

## $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. . . . N-K 2?

This error gives the writer a warm feeling of kinship with McNabb, because the former also lost to Nield in an Auckland tourney through 7 P-Q5!? and made the bad Knight move as here. " M.C.O.," page 293, gives as the best line $7 \ldots \mathrm{PxB} ; 8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$; etc.

After the text Nield makes play with a selection of horrible threats suggested by the same source.
8 R-K 1
N-K B 3
$9 \mathbf{N x P}$
P×B
$10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ :
P—QR4

If $10 \ldots$ P $\times P$; the strength of $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ is obvious, but it had to be seen before playing $9 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$. 11 B-B 4 :

Threatening either $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 6$ or 12 PxP, QxP; $13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 6$, followed by $N \times N$ (or $N \times B$ ) and B-Q6, with very strong pressure.

| 11 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 N-B 4 | R-R 3 |
| 13 Bx | R-B 3 |
| 14 N -K 3 | N-K5 |
| 15 B-R 3 | P-Q 3 |
| 16 N-Q 5 | N-B3 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} 16 & \ldots & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \\ \text { met by } 17 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 . \end{array}$ | would be well |
| 17 Nx N ch | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 18 Q-B 3 | B-K 3 |
| 19 N-B 3 | B-N 2 |
| 20 Q R-Q 1 | Castles |

Both sides suddenly complete their development. White, of course, has overdone it with his Bishop sacrifice.
${ }_{22}{ }_{2} \mathbf{N X X P}^{5}$
$23 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} 4$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{N} 1$
$\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{2}$

McNabb


Nield
$24 \mathrm{R} / 6 \times \mathrm{B} \quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{R}$
25 NxP Q-B 1
Threatens $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$. White has no intention of giving up his Knight for a mere Rook.

| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | R-B 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $27 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | QxReh |
| 28 K-R 2 | R-K 1 |
| 29 Q-R 5 | N-N 3 |
| 30 Q-Q 5 | Q-B 1 ? |

This is a bad skid. $30 \ldots$ KR1; leaves White with a loss. Any Knight move in reply would lose to $\ldots . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$; and if $31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$, simply ....R R .
31 N—N 5 ch R-K 3
Forced to stop smothered mate.

## $32 \mathbf{N x R}$

$\mathbf{K}$ - $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{1}$
N.Z. CHESSPLAYER, JAN.-FEB., 1949

Played in anticipation of 35 Q-KB5 (threat $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ ).

## 35 Q-K B 5 : <br> 36 QxPch <br> BxB

Nield's nerve in giving up the Bishop for chances was matched only by his luck in winning. McNabb defended ably till he erred.

## "SWINDLE" WORKS

A humorous contrast with the game Nield - McNabb. There, Nield was a Rook down, should have lost-but won. Here, against Baeyertz, he is a Rook up, should have won-but lost. Notes by A. L. Fletcher.

K 4, B-K 2; 26 Q-K 2, P-K R 27 Q-B 1, Q-B 1; 28 Q-K

| 1 | W. A. Pearse . |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | A. G. Jones | 0 |
| 3 | A. J. Ratliff.. | 1 |
| 4 | A. Summers . . | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5 | H. I. Christensen | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 6 | A. D. Smith . | 0 |
| 7 | R. T. Woodfield | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8 | H. Pobar ... | 0 |
| 9 | T. J. Costello. | 0 |
| 10 | O. J. Ball .... | 0 |
| 11 | Mrs. H. Reilly | 0 |
| 12 | F. J. Carde ... | 0 |
| 13 | A. Haar . .. | 0 |

Game No. 181

## RETI OPENING

| R. E. Baey | A. E. Nie |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 N-K B 3 | N-K B 3 |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | P-B 4 |
| $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{N} 3$ | P-Q 3 |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | B-N 2 |
| 5 Castles | P-N 3 |
| 6 P-N 3 | B-N 2 |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | Castles |

8 P—Q 4, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 9$ B x P, $\mathbf{P}$ Q 3; 10 N-B 3, N-B 3; 11 B $\times \mathbf{N}$, BxB; 12 Q-Q 2, N-K 4; 13 $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K}_{4}, \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \operatorname{ch} ; 14 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}-$ N 2; 15 QR-Q 1, P-B 4; 16 K R-K 1, R-B 2; 17 N-Q 5, $\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P} P ;} 18 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 19 \mathrm{P}-$ KR 4, Q R-K B 1; 20 R-K 2 P—K 3; $21 \quad \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{B ~ 4 , ~} \quad \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; 22 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 23 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \quad \mathrm{Q}-$ R 6; 24 R-K 2, B-B 3; 25 R—
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WANGANUI 1948-49
ZEALAND CHAMPIONSHIP
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & \mathrm{Tl} \text {. Place }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}* & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 9 & \text { I. } \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 8 & \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & * & 1 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 8 \frac{1}{2} & \text { II } \\ 0 & 0 & * & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 7 & \text { III }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}0 & 0 & \% & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 7 & \text { III. } \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & x_{1} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & \text { IV. } \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & 6 & \text { IV }\end{array}$

| 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\#$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | IV. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $*$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | VI. |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 5 |  | 1 $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

## MAJOR OPEN

1 W. E. Moore
2 W. Reindler
3 H. P. Whitlock
4 F. Beamish
5 E. J. Byrne
6 H. J. Fuller
7 H. A. McGilvary
8 A. Short
9 S. Smith
10 A. W. Glen
11 F. E. Hansford
12 J. W. Ross

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Tl. Place |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | I. |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | I. |
|  | $*$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | III. |
| 0 | 1 | $:$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | IV. |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $*$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 7 | IV. |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $*$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | VI. |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $*$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | VII. |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $*$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $*$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 4 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $*$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $*$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | Q-R 6; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 3$; $\mathbf{Q}-K 1, P-K N 4 ; 31 P \times P, B \times P$ 32 P-B 4, B-R 3; $33 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}$ ? Q-N 5.



Baeyertz

## 34 R X K P ! ?

The first incident in a so far duli game. For his Rook White gets three pawns and a fine post for his Knight. Not good enough-but even unsound sacrifices must be suitably dealt with.

##  <br> 36 RXP

37 R-R 4

## $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ <br> Q-K 3 <br> Q-K 2 ?

One more crack like this and the black warriors will be pallbearers. 37 .... RxN; wins. Here Nield forgets that having accepted a material sacrifice, the way to lessen later pressure is to return some of the material.
38 R-N 4 ch
39 Q-K 4
39 R-K 4
40 R-R 4 :

## K—R $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B}$ $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Q-B 4 ch

Releases the pin of the Knigh: and gives up his Rook, but it is hard to find anything better except perhaps $40 \ldots$ K-N2: and if R-N4 ch, K-R2; putting the onus on White to avoid a draw by repetition.

FIRST CLASS
41 K-N 2
42 P-K N 4
43 P x R

## Q-Q $B 1$ <br> $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ <br> $\mathbf{Q x P}$

44 R-N 4 ch
White now finishes very strongly.
44
45 Q-R 8 ch
46 R-R 4 ch
47 Q-Q 5 ch
48 R-N 4 ch
49 Q-N 7
K-R 1
B-B 1
K-N 1
Q-K 3
B-N 2
Qx $\mathbf{~ c h}$
Black's game is hopeless, and with a gesture of defiance he ends it all.
50 Nx Q
Resigns






|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Tl. | Place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| * | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | II. |
| 0 | \% | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | II. |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | * | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ | IV. |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | n | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | V . |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 0 | * | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | VI. |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | VII. |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | * | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | * | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | * | 0 | 1 | 0 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | * | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 3 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | * | 1 | 2 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 |  |

$\mathbf{K} 2, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{4} ;$ 28 Q-K 2 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 30$ $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$

## 0

in a so far dull ok White gets ne post for his enough-but nees must be

## ? $\times$

—K
? 4
2-K 2 ?
like this and will be pallRxN; wins that having sacrifice, the pressure is to material.
K—R 2
R-B 3
2-B 4 ch
of the Knight look, but it is thing better,
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2$;
-R2; putting avoid a draw

Q-QB 1
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2$
Q $\times \mathbf{P}$
inishes very
K-R 1
B-B 1
K—N 1
Q—K 3
B-N
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch
hopeless, and fince he ends

## Resigns

## LOCAL BOY

DESPERADO
The following game caused a great deal of excitement at the time, partly because of the struggle for the lead between Lynch and Nield and also because of the extraordinary twist it was given. Notes by the Editor.

Game No. 183

## GIUOCO PIANO

## R. O. Scott

D. I. Lynch

1 P-K 4
P-K 4
$2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}} 3$
3 B-B 4
4 P-B 3
N-Q B 3
B-B 4
N-B 3
5 P-Q 4
$6 \mathbf{P \times P}$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
N-B 3
8 Castles
B-N 5 ch
NXKP
BxN
P-Q 5
The Moller Attack.

## N-K 4

Generally considered as inferior to $9 \ldots$ B-B3, although its inferiority is not easy to demonstrate.
$10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{B} \\
& \mathbf{P}-K \mathbf{B} 4
\end{aligned}
$$

The only alternative, 11 QN-Q3; not only looks bad, but is definitely weak. It was at this stage that the game was given the twist that caused all the excitement. Scott, whether by inadvertence or otherwise, touched the Bishop and then after some thought played Qx QN . Lynch demanded (and quite rightly, too) that the Bishop should move, so Scott played:
12 B -N 5


Making the best of a bad job. Lynch, however, possibly rattled by the circumstances, took a long time and then produced an outsize in Hawke's Bay lemons-
12 . . . N-B 3 ??
the heading of "lost opportunities." Black should have played NxB!; when with two
This, surely, should come under pieces down White has nothing better than 13 QxNP, R-B1; 14 N x N, Q-B3; 15 KR-K1 ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1}$; with a winning advantage to Black. Except that White's 12th and 13th moves are transposed, this is as in "M.C.O." (Schlechter-Lasker, 1899).

## 13 Q x N/4 P—Q 3

Blockading the QP, mobilising the Bishop and preventing N-K5.

T. LEPVIIKMANN, twice New Zealand champion, playing at Wanganui. N.Z. Congress, 1948-9.

Black would have considered 13 ... Castles; if it were not for $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ dis ch and $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$.
14 K R-K 1 ch
K—B 2
15 N -Q 4

$$
\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{K} 1
$$

16 R x R

$$
\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}
$$

$K \times R$ would invite the entry of the white QR. The text is the lesser of two evils.

## 17 N-N 5

No need to take the pawn at once.
${ }_{17}^{17} \dot{\mathrm{Q} \times \dot{\mathrm{P}}} \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5\end{aligned}$
White appears to get a better game with 19 R-K1. If 19 Q-QB1; $20 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{Pch}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N} ; 21$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$, with a stronger position than in the text.
N.Z. CHESSPLAYER, JAN.-FEB., 1949


There is nothing better.

Black's worries are mostly over now, but the passed pawn will have to be watched.

## 23 P-Q B $4 \quad$ R-K 1

At this stage the times were: White 1.35 , Black 1.40. Both had to step lively

| 24 P-B 3 | K-Q 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B 2 |
| $26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | P-Q ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| 27 B-Q 2 | K-Q 3 |
| 28 P-Q R 3 | K-B 4 |
| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |  |

Excelsior! This brave fellow, who stayed at home while the fighting was on, hurls himself into the breach.
29 ... B-R 5; 30 B-K 3 ch , K-Q 3; 31 P-N 3, R-Q B 1; 32 R-B 3, P-K N 4; 33 P-KR 4, P-N 4; 34 R P xP, RPXP; 35 P—B $5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathbf{P} ; 36 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; 37 R x R, K xR; 38 B-K 3 ch, K-B 5; 39 B x P, P—N 5. Draw agreed.

If Black was lucky to draw, White was lucky not to lose. A clear case of both players having lost games.

## JUNGLE LAW

No waiting for developments here. Both players are out for a point and "mate or be mated" is their motto-even if wins are missed. Notes by H. D. Addis.

## Game No. 184

## DUTCH DEFENCE

R. O. Scott
A. L. Fletcher

| 1 P-Q 4 | P-K B 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{N}$ | P-K 3 |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | P-B3 |
| 4 N-K B 3 | N-B 3 |
| 5 Casties | P-Q 4 |
| 6 Q N-Q 2 | B-Q 3 |
| $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | Castles |
| 8 P-QR 3 | Q-K 1 |
| 9 P-Q N 4 | Q $\mathbf{N}$ - $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | N-K 5 |
| 11 N -K 5 |  |
| Necessary. |  |
| 11 | $\mathbf{B x}$ |
| $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | NxN |
| 13 Q $\times$ N | N-N 3 |
| 14 P $\times$ P | BPXP |
| 15 Q-Q 3 | N-B 5 |
| $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | B-Q 2 |
| 17 P-B4 |  |

White could now get three pawns for B by $17 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; 18 Q x P ch, B-K 3; 19 Q x P.

## $17 . . \quad B-B 3$

18 P-K 4
A risky move, which opens the game up.

$$
18 \text {. . . } \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N} 3
$$

Wins the exchange, but White gets pawns as well.

| $19 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | B-N 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 Q-Q 4 | BxR |
| 21 RxB | NxP |
| 22 BxN | R-Q 1 |
| 23 BxP ch | Q x B |

$24 \mathbf{Q \times P}$
White now has Bishop and two pawns for Rook, so the position is reasonably even.

## 24

Q-B 5
To prevent White playing BQ4 and B5.
25 Q-B 5
Exhange of Queens would help White.

## 25 <br> Q-N 6

Black avoids the exchange as not to his advantage.
26 Q-K 3
Threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$ and $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$. 26 . . . R-Q 8

To exchange Rooks and get his $Q$ to K5.

Q-Q4 ch, followed by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ seems better.
30 K—R 3 Q-K 5
Black now wants to exchange Queens.

## $31 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$

Q-N6 could also be considered.

| 31 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 32 | $\mathbf{P}$ - $\mathbf{R} 4$ |$\quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$

P—N5 looks best.

$$
32 . . \quad \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} 1
$$

P-KN3 first, to stop P-B5, may be stronger.

| 33 P-B 5 | R-Q 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 34 B-K 1 | R-K B 6 |
| 35 K-N 4 | R-B 8 |
| 36 B-Q 2 | R-B 6 |
| 37 B-B 4 |  |
| Bad. P- | $y$ be best |
| 37 | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 4$ ch |
| Good mo | ch should |
| $38 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| Fatal, bu better, as | 5 is not ollows. |


$\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ wins.
39 K-N 6
K-B 1
40 B-K $5 \quad$ P-K 6
This was the position that analysed a lot, some contendine that White can win from here.

## 41 B x P ch

$\mathbf{K}-K 2$
Loses. Best appears to be $f^{2 \pi}$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{P}-$ K7; 44 B-R4, R-R6; $45 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}$ $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} ; 46 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7 ; 47 \mathrm{P}$ KN5, R-N8; with very gooz chances for White.

## 42

43 P-B $7 \quad$ R—Q 1
$44 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}^{5} \quad \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K}^{7}$ 45 B-B $3 \quad$ R-KB 1
At last the advanced pawn goes
$\begin{array}{lll}46 & \text { P-R } 4 & \text { R } \times \text { P } \\ 47 & \text { P-N } 4 & \text { R-B }\end{array}$
$47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8$
48 P-R 5 R-B 3 ch

Hoping that Bishop and may be able to give a draw.

| 49 | P-K $8(\mathrm{Q})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 50 | P-N 5 |
| 51 | K-N 7 |

51 K-N $7 \quad$ P-N 3
This settles it, as mate soon follows.
52 P-R 6
53 K-R 8
54 K—R 7
55 K—R 8
56 Resigns
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{ch}$
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{ch}$

## OPTIMISTIC

An interesting game betweer the new champion and a former title-holder. Lepviikmann gives up a pawn for rapid developmer: but Nield is content to hold the position and exchange as opportunity offers. Notes by H. D. Addis.

## Game No. 185 <br> CENTRE COUNTER

A. E. Nield
T. Lepviikman=

1 P-K 4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\
& \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{B} \\
& \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\
& \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{B} 3
\end{aligned}
$$

$\begin{array}{ll}1 \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} & \text { N-K B } 3 \\ 3 \text { B-N } 5 \mathrm{ch} & \text { B—Q2 }\end{array}$
Giving up a pawn for speed. development. More usual is for Black to win the pawn back by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5$, and ultimately $\mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$, White getting slightig the better position.

| $5 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{B x} \mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | P-K 3 |
| 7 Castles | B-Q 3 |
| 8 P-Q 3 | Q-B 2 |

[^0]


## soon
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( ) $s$





[^2]
 .

[^3]

TER
for speedy usual is for on back by ly $\mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ing slightly

## 9 P—KR 3 <br> 10 N-B 3 Castles

To prevent $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5$, getting rid of one of the Bishops.
11 B-K N $5 \quad$ Q N-Q 2
$12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
To exchange Knight for Bishop. White is simplifying as much as possible. $13 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{PxB}$; 14 Nx P , getting Rook and two pawns for two pieces, might be considered, but would leave Black with two Bishops and an open game.


N-R 5
18 P-K B 3
Proves adequate, although it leaves the black squares weak.

$21 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
White again forces an exchange.


H. I. CHRISTENSEN, Palmerston North president, in a typical pose. N.Z. Congress, 1948-9.
and Black in providing against this makes an oversight, losing a pawn.

## 27 B x KP

Wins a pawn at least.

## 27 . . . . $\quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$

If $27 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 28 \mathrm{QxN}$, and $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ is forced on account of mate at N7, leaving Black two pawns down and a bad position, while $27 \ldots$ R-K1; loses another pawn by BxPch.

| 28 PxK | R-K 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 29 QxN | $\mathbf{R \times B}$ |
| 30 Q-B5 | P-K N 3 |
| 31 Q-B 5 | Q-B 5 |
| $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | R-K 7 |
| 33 Q-B 8 ch | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{N} 2$ |
| 34 Q-N 4 | Q-K 6 ch |
| $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | B-B 5 |

If B-Q3 at once, then 36 Q K4 forces the Queens off.
36 P—K N 3
Enables White to force the Queens off and win by extra material.

## 36

B-Q 3
37 Q-K 4
White gives up a pawn to exchange Queens.

| 37 |  | B x $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q $\times \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
| 39 | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | B-Q 3 |

B-R4 is better, as the move made allows White to exchange Rooks, leaving him with a won end game.

## 40 K R-K 1

## Resigns

## CONGRESS QUIPS

One player in the First Class accepted a draw when he had a mate in two!

A noticeable feature was that R. O. Scott wore his hat in every round but the last. In the previous round he was beaten by Trundle, but there is no truth in George Trundle's suggestion that as the consequence of a bet Scott's hat had been eaten.

Harold McNabb's poker face may be a great asset when he is in trouble, but on one occasion he was noticed writing his moves in the wrong columns.

## OVERHEARD

"I threw everything at him! He lost a Bishop and nearly lost his Queen, and to save his pieces-I mated him!"

A. D. SMITH, a promising player in the First Class tourney.
N.Z. Congress, 1948-9.

## ANNOUNCE THE MATE SOLUTIONS

No. 1: $1 \quad \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, K-Q1; 2 $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Nx} \mathrm{Q} ; 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7$ mate.

No. 2: 1 R-R7 ch, K x R; 2 QB7 ch, K-R1; 3 N-N6 mate.
No. 3: 1 Q-K8 ch, $B \times Q ; 2$ $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; \quad 3 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QP}$ mate.
No. 4: $1 \ldots \mathrm{QxP} \operatorname{ch} ; 2 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Q}$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch} ;$ 4 K-B1, N-R7 mate.
No. 5: $1 \ldots$ Q-R2 ch; $2 \mathrm{~K}-$ N2, Q-R6 ch; $3 \mathrm{Kx} \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; 4 K-R2, R-R1 mate.

No. 6: $1 \ldots \mathrm{QxRPch} ; 2 \mathrm{Kx}$ $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ mate. No. 7: $1 \quad \mathrm{BxPch}, \quad \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; \quad 2$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{NxQ} ; 3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$ mate.
No. 8: $1 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 2 \mathrm{Q}-$ R6ch, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 8$ mate.

No. 9: 1 Q-Q8 ch, K x Q; 2 BN5 ch, K-K1; $3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P} ; 5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ mate.

## IVIC . LUB

EVERY FRIDAY
7.30 to 11 p.m.

## With IR. G. Wade in Europe <br> HASTINGS TOURNAMENT <br> Game No. 187-FRENCH DEFENCE (in effect <br> Dr. S. Tartakower <br> R. G. Wade

The Hastings tournament was held over the Christmas and New Year period, resulting in a win for the French champion, Rossolimo. New Zealand's representative, R. G. Wade, did not do as well as expected, but he finished within three points of the winner, so that was not so bad. Continuous study of openings led to a staleness reaction which was the probable cause of his playing openings that were not sufficiently solid. The fact that he saved four games out of seven from lost positions was no mean feat. The final scores were: Rossolimo 6 $\frac{1}{5}$, Konig 6, Muhring $5 \frac{1}{2}$, Fairhurst and B. H. Wood 5, Schmidt $4 \frac{1}{2}$, Sir G. Thomas 4, Wade $3 \frac{1}{2}$, Winser 3, Tylor 2.

## BEVERWIJK 1949

A total of 142 players took part in the tournament held at Beverwijk, Netherlands, in January. Writing from there, R. G. Wade says that tournaments are differently organised in the Netherlands. There the Dutch cater for people who have different lengths of leave. Invariably their tourneys have a maximum of ten players and begin on a Saturday and play every day (including Sunday) until the following Sunday week. Besides the premier or international tourney there are tourneys for ten players graded Tienkampen A, Tienkampen B, etc. Then beginning on the Monday is the Ashtkampen (8), on Wednesday the Seskampen (6), and finally, on the Saturday afternoon, Sunday morning and afternoon, the Vierkampen ( 4 players). Prizes below international class are generally articles solicited from the local tradesmen. The players in this year's tourney comprised three groups of ten, two groups of eight, three groups of six, and 20 groups of four.

Result: Dr. S. Tartakower 6 $\frac{1}{2}$, van Scheltinga and Schmidt 6, Golombek and O'Kelly de Galway $5^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Henneberke 5, Wade $4 \frac{1}{2}$, Baay and Bergsma $2 \frac{1}{2}$, van Steenis $1 \frac{1}{2}$. Bergsma evidently replaced Cortlever.

Following are some of R. G. Wade's games with his own notes:-

## Game No. 186-GRUNFELD DEFENCE

$$
\text { Dr. K. M. Bergsma } \quad \text { R. G. Wade }
$$

1 P-Q 4, N-K B 3; 2 P-Q B 4, P-K N 3; $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Castles; 6 Q-N 3, P-B 3; 7 N - B 3, Q-R 4; 8 BK 2, P×P; 9 B×P, P-Q N 4; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$; 11 Q-Q 1, N-Q 4; 12 Castles, $N \times B ; 13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$, P-N 5; $14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 15$ Q-K 2 , B-N 5 ; 16 Q N-Q 2, B $\times P ; 17$ Q×P, B-B $3 ; 18$ Q-K 2 , N-B4; 19 N-K 4, N X N; 20 B x N, K R-K 1 ; 21 K R-K 1, Q R-B 1; 22 Q-B 2, B-K 3 ?;
$22 \ldots$ BxN; was better.
$23 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{N} ; 24 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4!$, QR-Q 1; $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{ch} ; 27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Q}-$ Q5; 28 QR-B1, P-QR4; 29 Q-K2?, B $\times$ B; $30 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 31 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} 7 ; 32 \mathbf{P}-K \mathbf{R} 3$, R×RP; $33 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$, R-Q 8 ch ; $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 36 \mathrm{R} / 4 \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{R} / 8-\mathrm{Q} 7 ; 37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$, R×Pch; 38 K-R 1, R (N 7 )-Q B 7; 39 R×R, R×R; 40 R-R 7, R-QR 7; 41 K-N 1, P-R 5; 42 Resigns.
$1 \quad \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 4, \quad \mathbf{N}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{3;} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}$ $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} \mathrm{5} \mathrm{P}-,\mathrm{K} \mathrm{3;} 4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 5 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$, B×B. $6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, N-B 3; 8 Castles
 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{Q} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ 14 Q-N 4, Castles; 15 Q-KR4, P-N 3;

An error, because of White's next move.
16 P-B 4, N-B 6;
If $16 \ldots$ N-N5; 17 P—B5. Or $16 \ldots$ N—E 17 Q-K7.

17 K R-K 1, Q-Q 1; 18 Q-Q 4, N-R 5; 19 RK 3, B-B 3; $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{5,N-N3;} 21 \mathrm{~N}$ - Q 4 , $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q}$ : $22 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ R-K 1;

A drawing plan thwarted by White's 28th az 29th.
$25 \mathbf{B x P}, \mathbf{R P \times B ;} 26 \mathbf{Q \times N} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} ; 27 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ R-N 1; 28 R-Q N 3, KR-Q 1; 29 R/7-Q 3, KN 2; 30 K-B 1, P-R 4; 31 K-K 2, P-R 5; 32 E (Q 3) $\times R, R \times R\left(K_{1}\right) ; 33 R-N 6, K-B 3 ; 34 R \times P$ Correct was $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$.
34 $\ldots$ R-Q $\mathbf{N}$ 1; 35 R-R 6, R—N 7; 36 RE $\mathbf{R P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{c h} ; 37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$,

While my King can come across to the Queers side, White must hold his King's side pawns.

3y .... P-K 4; 38 P-R 4, K-B 4; 39 P-N R-B $6 \mathrm{ch} ; 40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{Q} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}$ 玉 42 P-B 3, P-K 5; 43 P-N $4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} \mathrm{5;} 44 \mathrm{P} \times$ P.
 47 K-B 2, K-B 4; 48 R-R 7, R xP; 49 R-B 7 ch -K-N 5;

I think $49 \ldots$ K- 49 ; gives good drawi-s chances.
$50 \mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{c h}$ !, $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$;
If $50 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 51 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 52 \mathrm{Rx}$ ㄹ․ followed by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$.

51 K-B 3, R-R $6 \mathrm{ch} ; \mathbf{5} 2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{Q} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{N}$ 6; 3 R-R 7 ch,
$53 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$, is only a transposition after 53 RxP; 54 P-B6, R-N8.
$53 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 54 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 55 \mathrm{P}-$ B5,RXP; 56 P-B6,R-N8; 57 R-N 8, R-Q B 58 K-Q 5, P-B 4;

There is room for considerable speculatiom whether this was the wrong pawn. Certainly $\frac{\pi i f}{}$ $58 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$; White cannot follow the plan $i=-\bar{t}$ won the game because Black would queen with $z$ check on move 66.
$59 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q}$ 6, R—Q 8 ch ;
The pawn must not reach the seventh rank too easily.

60 K-B 7, R—Q B 8; 61 K—Q Y, R—Q 8 ch ; K-B 8, P-B 5; 63 P-B 7, P-B 6; $64 \mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{N}^{7}$,

The only way to win. $64 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 65 \mathrm{P}-5 \pi$ wins. $64 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4 ; 65 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 2$, R-KR8; I $64 \underset{64}{\mathrm{R}}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 5$; draws.
$64 \ldots$ P-B 7; 65 P-B 8 (Q),
Not 65 R-KB8, R-QN8 ch; 66 K-R8, R-QEE draws.
$65 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{N} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 66$ K-R 7, R x R; 67 QR 6 ch , Resigns.
N.Z. CHESSPLAYER, JAN.-FEB., 1999


## De

E (in effect) G. Wade B 3, $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 4 ;$ $\overline{\mathrm{J}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$; B 3; 8 Castles, N $3, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{N} ; 11$ $3 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$; P—N 3; it move.
$16 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$;
N-R 5; $19 \mathrm{R}-$ - $\mathbf{Q} 4, \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} 2$; 1; $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$,
nite's 28th and
$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{Q} ; 27 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$, R/7-Q 3, K-$\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 5 ; 32 \mathbf{R}$ -B 3; $34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}$,

## - N 7; 36 R

to the Queen's de pawns.
4; 39 P-N 3, -K 2, R-B 6; -B 5; 44 P x P, -N 5, K-Q 5; $49 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ !,
good drawing
-N3; $52 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$, R-K N 6; 53 after 53

K-R 4; 55 P --N 8, R-Q B 8;
le speculation Certainly if the plan that queen with a
venth rank too
R-Q $8 \mathrm{ch} ; 62$ 64 K-N 7,
-N3; $65 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ 2, R-KR8; or
-R8, R-QB8;
R×R; 67 Q-

## Game No. 188-SLAV DEFENCE

A. O'Kelly de Galway R. G. Wade

1 P—Q 4, P—Q 4; 2 P-QB4, P—QB3; 3 NK B 3, N-B 3; $4 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{B} 3, \mathbf{P} \times P ; 5 \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}$ 4, B-B 4; 6 P-K 3, P-K 3; 7 BxP, B-Q N 5; 8 Castles, Castles; 9 Q-K 2, B-N 5;

This used to be O'Kelly's favourite defence.
10 P -R 3, B-K R 4; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$, B-N 3 ; 12 N K 5, Q N-Q 2; 13 R-Q 1, Q-K 2; 14 N x B, R P x N; 15 P-K 4, N-N 3; 16 B-N 3, P-R 4; 17 P-K 5, $\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{R} 2 ;$

The last three moves gave an original defensive set-up; White's best would have been $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KN} 5$.

18 N-K 4, K R-Q 1; 19 K-N 2, R-Q 2; 20 P—R 4, Q R-Q 1; 21 B-N 5 !?
$21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; is good for Black.
$21 \ldots . . \mathrm{N} \times \mathbf{B} ; 22 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} ; 23 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; 24 R-R 1,
$24 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6$ does not succeed, and if $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ! ; $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ ? , R-Q6.
$24 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4!$, K-B 1; $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathbf{B} 3, \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{N} 3$; $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B P} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{~ B}$; 29 N-B 2, R-Q 7;

Stronger $29 \ldots$ B- Q7
$30 \mathbf{P}$ - $\mathbf{B}$ 5, Q—R 3 ch ; $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{N} 2$, $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}$;
My intended $31 \ldots$ Q-K7; loses to $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ ch, K-K2; $33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ !.
 K-K 2;

I am in severe time trouble-fatigue element induces slow thinking-and I miss several wins.

35 R-Q B 1, K-Q 2; 36 P—N 3, P-K N 3; 37 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{ch} ; 38 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 39 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 4$, R-Q R 7; 40 N-B 6 ch, K-Q 1;

Now out of time trouble.
41 Q-R 4,
Looks a winner, e.g., $41 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 42 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8$, Q-N3 ch; $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$.

41 .... B-B 4 ch !; 42 R x B, R—R $8 \mathrm{ch} ; 43$ K-B 2,R-R 7ch!.

If $43 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP} \mathrm{ch} ; 45$ K--Q2, with White standing better.

Drawn.

## LOST CHANCE

The following game from the Beverwijk tournament was specially annotated for the NEW ZEALAND CHESSPLAYER by Paul Schmidt. Paul Schmidt is a former Estonian compatriot and rival of Paul Keres. He drew a match with Keres $3 \frac{1}{2}$-all in 1936, was first at Parnau 1937 ahead of Keres, Flohr, Stahlberg and Tartakower. He was champion of Germany in 1941 and first equal with Alekhine at Cracow 1941, ahead of Bogoljubow.

## Game No. 189—SLAV DEFENCE

Van Scheltinga P. Schmidt
 $3 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{B 3}, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 4 ; 4 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{B} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K} \mathbf{3} ; 5 \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{N} \mathbf{5}$, PxP; 6 P-QR4,

Usual is $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, as in Spanjaard-Wade, Sooest-Baarn.
$6 \ldots$ Q N—Q 2; 7 P-K 4, P-K R 3; 8 B x N, N×B; 9 BxP, B-N 5; 10 Q-B 2, $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 4 ; 11$ Castles (K), B-Q 2;

Not committing himself to castling in view of the threatened King's side attack.

12 N-Q 2, P-K 4;
Too keen in view of White's better development. Better is $12 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$.
$13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$,
If $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 6 ; 15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$, $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$, B-K3.
$14 \ldots$ Castles (Q);
If 14 .... Castles (K); $15 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; 16 $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$, with big advantage to White.

Very bad. Best is $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}$, Q-Q5 ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$, with a strong attack.

17 .... B-Q B 4; 18 P-R 5,
Van Scheltinga should now play $18 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$, though chances are even after .... P-KN4.
$18 \ldots$ K R—B 1; 19 Q R-Q 1,
Threatening $20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 6$.
$19 \ldots \mathbf{B}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{N} 5 ; 20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$, P-Q N 3; 22 Q-B 2,

Now $22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ was the only chance.
$22 \ldots$ Q-B 5; 23 B-Q 5, K-N 1;
If $23 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$.
24 BxP ,
If $24 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 25 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 7(26 \ldots \mathrm{QxN} ; 27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{ch}) ; 27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$, PxP; $28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3!$ !, K-R1; $29 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ ? ; 30 $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7 ; 31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins, or 27
Q-B5; $28 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins, is an attractive possibility. Best in this line is $25 \ldots . . \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$, winning a piece but not without complications, e.g., $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 27 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{ch}$, K—B2 (K—R1 loses).

If $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} ; 26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ wins, or $25 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP} \mathrm{ch}$.
 B-B 6;

I liked this move.
28 Resigns.

A. L. FLETCHER (left) looks contented, while G. E. Trundle awaits events. Umpire K. C. Guthrie looks on. N.Z. Congress, 1948-9.

## ||| PROBLEMSECTION

PROBLEM EDIT0R: Mr. J. Adkins, Hauraki Street, Birkenhead, Auckland N.5.

No. 28-L. Pleasants, Napier


White, 7 men
White to move and mate in two

No. 31-Douglas Jack, Auckland
Black, 11 men


White, 12 men
White to move and mate in three
No. 34-P. Barron, England
Black, 5 men


White, 5 men
White to move and mate in two

No. 29-T. Taverner
Black, 8 men


White, 8 men
White to move and mate in two
No. 32-C. Kainer, Pillsbury Gazette Times, 1911-12, 1st Prize

Black, 5 men


White, 9 men
White to move and mate in three
No. 35-N. L. Hughes, Willenhall
Black, 7 men


White, 9 men
White to move and mate in two

No. 30-P. F. Blake
Black, 11 men


White, 8 men
White to move and mate in tra
No. 33-W. Jacobs, Washington D.C.

Black, 9 men


White, 5 men
White to move and mate in the

No. 36-J. Soler, Malta Black, 6 men


White, 6 men
White to move and mate in $:$ SOLUTIONS TO LAST ISSUE ON NEXT PAGE


## s, Washington,

men

men
mate in three
ler, Malta
men

men
d mate in two

## :: NEW ZEALAND PERSONALITIES

## K. BEYER

A. well-known and popular Wellington player, Ken Beyer was born in Denmark in 1903. He learned to play chess at the age of 11 and when he was 15 and 16 won the yearly tournament among 40 boys at the school which he attended. He played chess in several clubs in Copenhagen and the provinces and was fortunate enough to be able to attend lectures by famous master Aaron Nimzovitch. He also played against Nimzovitch in three simultaneous exhibitions. Since coming to New Zealand in 1929 he has taken part in eight New Zealand championships. He tied for second place with A. W. Gyles in 1934-5 at Christchurch, was fifth at Wellington in 1939-40 and third at Palmerston North in 1946-7. In 1929-30, at Wanganui, he won the brilliancy prize for his game with H. Topp and repeated this performance in 1939-40 with a game against R. G. Wade.
Ken carried off the Wellington Working Men's Club championship in 1931 and 1937 and the handicap totrney in 1931; won the Wellington C.C. championship in

## SOLUTIONS

No. 22 ( Cox ) : B-R8.
No. 23 (J.B.): B-KB4.
No. 24 (Pleasants): Q-QR4.
No. 25 (Havel): N-K4.
No. 26 (Hume): R-N3.
No. 27 (Unknown): 1 R-B4, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{N} ; 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 6 ; 3 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 3$.
No. 27 is really a very old problem by Sam Loyd, published in the N.Y. Albion 1857, but with a pawn in place of the Knight. It has been estimated that there are upwards of 1000 problems existent in which castling comes into play. The B.C.M., Dec. 1948, contains nine castling problems. It claims to have published more of this class of problem than any other magazine.-Ed.]
Correct solutions sent in by W. S. King (Christchurch), E. A. LePetit (Invercargill), A. D. Harris (Auckland) 22 and 27, W. J. D. Barnes (Tokanui) except 26 .

## TO THE EDITOR

E. A. LePetit: No. 23 is a fine piece of work. The late J.B. was, I think, formerly problem editor


1938 and the All-Wellington championship in 1947-8. He has been second and third in the two last mentioned events on several occasions. His record is ample justification for the contention that K. Beyer is one of New Zealand's foremost players.
of the B.C.M. It is hard to prove that White has the right to castle, but of course it is equally hard to disprove it. As a solver of over 50 years' standing, I quite agree with Mr. Pleasants' plan of stating the number of pieces with each problem. [As you will see in this issue, we have decided to agree with Mr. Pleasants, too. Glad you like the problems.-Ed.]
W. S. King: Superb diagrams and plentiful supply of games and news. Havel's three-mover is a wonderful piece of work and must rank as one of the best ever composed. It is indeed pleasing to see a problem by Mr . L. Pleasants, of Napier. May we see more of them. The question in No. 27 is: In a stalemate position, whence came the black King? If from R7 after being checked by the Rook moving from N1 to R1, there is no mate in three. [Many thanks for appreciative remarks. We will be publishing more of Mr. Pleasants' problems. Did you try reconstructing with the black $K$ on B 6 and white $R$ (B1) on N 1 ? R-B1 ch does the trick,-Ed.]

## :: "TEACH YOURSELF CHESS"

Perhaps the predominant feature of present-day chess is the amount of literature available to devotees of the game. No other sport or pastime can rival chess in this, and although a great many are merely rehashes of the same theme, there is abundant material for the entertainment of the firstclass player and instruction of the novice. In the latter class there are a dozen books we can recommend, each written with the objective of teaching the learner and each with its own particular features that make it different from the rest, so that a reader may study the lot and learn something from each.

The latest of these to come under our notice is Gerald Abrahams" "Teach Yourself Chess," a well-written and comprehensive volume that is not only an introduction to the rudimentary elements of the game but a scientific analysis of its many principles and aspects. In this book, end game, middle game and opening are all widely and expertly treated, the chapter on the middle game being especially instructive. Every class of player can learn something from this book and learners will find it a useful adjunct to others of the same nature.

Published by Hodder and Stoughton, Limited, for the English Universities Press, Limited, "Teach Yourself Chess" is on sale in New Zealand bookshops at 5/6.


Devos brought off a sensational mate by $1 \ldots \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P c h} ; 2 \mathbf{K} \mathbf{~ Q}$, N-N $5 \mathrm{ch} ; 3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$; $4 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} / 2-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch} ; 5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$, N-K 4 ch; 6 K-B 2, N/3-N 5 ch ; 7 K-N 1, B-K 6 mate (A. O'Kelly v. P. Devos, Belgium, 1937).

## WORLD CHESS DIGEST

## Moscow Variation

There has been much comment that Vassily Smyslov, 27 -year-old Soviet grandmaster, should have finished second in the recent World Championship. Smyslov proved that he is an unusually strong end game player, usually not spectacular in the opening or middle game-just strong and steady. There is no justification to regard him as stronger than Keres or Reshevsky. The latter two were real possibilities for the world title and were inclined to play to the score-which meant trying to win at all costs, after Botvinnik's magnificent start. Smyslov was there to play chess according to the position on the board in front of him - and, possessing no illusions as to his chances, was not disillusioned. Notes by R. G. Wade.

## Game No. 190 <br> RUY LOPEZ

V. Smyslov M. Euwe

1 P-K 4, P-K 4; 2 N-K B 3, N-Q B 3; 3 B-N 5, P-QR3; 4 B-R 4, N-B 3; 5 Castles, $\mathbf{N x P}$;

Due to a number of games in the World Championship theorists are not keen on playing this, the Tarrasch Defence. Whether that is a correct opinion is not yet known. The closed defence by $5 \ldots . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; is not too popular due to having been overworked.

## $6 \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q 4}$

An alternative worth serious study is $6 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 7 \mathrm{BxN}$, QP x B; 8 P—Q4.
$6 \ldots$.... P— 4 ;
The Riga Variation, 6
Px
$\mathrm{P} ; 7 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; is refuted by 8 B-KN5, Q-Q3; 9 P-B4.

7 B-N 3, P-Q 4; 8 P xP, BK 3; 9 Q-K 2 ,
A variation analysed first (?) by C. S. Howell, later by Tartakower, and played in the 1947 U.S.S.R. championship by Keres.

## 9 . . . N-B 4;

Played in all four games of this variation that occurred in the World Championship. The quieter defence $9 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; is met by 10 R-Q1, Castles; 11 P-B4 (Tartakower, 1939). The defence
that I had relied on for years $9 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$; is answered by 10 N -Q4, as in Alexander-Abrahams, British championship, 1948 , but not by $10 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4 ; 11$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 12 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$; as recommended by Purdy.

## 10 R—Q 1, NxB;

Reshevsky played 10
P N5; against Smyslov in round 21, but White retains an edge by 11 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B} ; 12 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 13 P-B4, QP x P; 14 P x P, P-R3; 15 QN-Q2, B-K2; 16 N-N3, Castles; as in the game, and now 17 P-R3, followed by B-B5.

11 R P x N, Q-B 1; 12 P—B 4 !
Better than $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$, and now $13 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ KN4 would have been good in Keres-Reshevsky, round 18.
$12 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$; $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}$ P; 14 Q-K 4, N—K 2;

If $14 \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$, B-QB4; $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 3$ (better than $16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ ), is good for White.
$15 \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{R} 3, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{B}$ 3;
$15 \ldots$ B-K3; $16 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$, with $17 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch as a possibility.
$16 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} ; 17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ (B4), Q-N 2;
B. H. Wood in "Chess" points out that if $17 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$; 18 Rx $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 19 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 20$ R x N ch, K-K2; $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-$ K3 ( $21 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ) $22 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Pch}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} ; 23 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 24 \mathrm{NxQ}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{N} ; 25 \mathrm{R}$ Q8, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3 ; 26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, wins with the extra pawns.

18 P-K 6, P-B 3; 19 R-Q 7, Q-N4;20 QxQ,BPxQ;21 NQ 4, R-B 1; 22 B-K 3, N-N 3; 23 R x R P, N-K 4; 24 R-N 7 , B-B 4; 25 N-B 5, Castles; 26 P-R 3, Resigns.

## A Year of Tourneys

The year 1948 proved to be one of interesting tourneys, the most important being, of course, the World Championship. Other important ones were the Tchigorin tourney in Moscow (won by Botvinnik), Mar del Plata (Eliskases), Saltsjobaden (Bronstein), Budapest (Szabo), Buenos Aires (Najdorf), KarlsbadMarienbad (Foltys) and Bad

Gastein (Lundin). From Moseaw we cull the draw between Bervinnik and Yugoslavia's yould master Trifunovic. It is of greati interest to players of the S.ex Defence who wonder what to ax about the Exchange Variation Notes by R. G. Wade.

## Game No. 191

## SLAV DEFENCE

| M. Botvinnik | P. Trifunor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 P -Q 4 | P-Q 4 |
| $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | N-K 3 |
| $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | P-B 3 |
| $4 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | N-B 3 |
| 6 B-B 4 | B--B 4 |
| 7 P-K 3 | P-K 3 |

If $7 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, Bx ※ 9 Q x B, P-K3; 10 Castiex (Chekhover - Euwe, Leningr $三=$ 1934).

8 Q-N 3, B-Q N 5 '; 9 BQ N 5,

If $9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$; and if $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N} ; 10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$, Castier 11 QxNP, Q-R4; 12 Q-NE QR-N1; $13 \mathrm{BxR}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 14 \mathrm{Q}$ Q1, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Pch} ; 15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}$ $16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B7} ; 17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Ex}$ $R$; $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QR4}$; is Trifue:vic's analysis.

9 .... Castles; 10 Castles (K Analysis from "Shakmaty" 7 10 BxN goes 10

B $\times \mathrm{N}$
11 QxB, R-QB1; $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K}=$ PxN; $13 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{QBP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 14 \mathrm{R}-$ QB1, N-K5; $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q} \times 3$ 16 Q x R, Q-N5 ch; $17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{E}$ QxNP; 18 B-N3, N-Q7 ch; K-K1, N-B5; with advantage II Black.
$10 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N} ; 11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B}=$ NP; 12 BxNP, BxR; 13 R×R Q-N 3; 14 BxR,R×B. DrawR

## SHATTERED

An amazing offishoot of tas growing popularity of eter-s chess has been the developmeta of the correspondence game. Here are lacking the ever-press-a demands of a ticking clock insitent on a move to be plarex urgently despite the intricacies 7 the position. And here the tired business man can play withen club worries. And what of farmer in the backblocks? He $s$






Manilumixe
$=$
$=$
$=$
no longer deprived of civilisation!
Holenweg, the winner of this game, is the United States correspondence champion. We wonder if his opponent dreamt of the shattering 16 th move? Notes by R. G. Wade.

## Game No. 192 <br> NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENCE

H. Holenweg
A. Menzel

| 1 | $P-Q 4$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $P-Q B 4$ |  |
| 3 | $N-Q B 3$ |  |
| 4 | $P-K$ | 3 |
| 5 | $N-K$ | 2 |
| 6 | $P-Q R 3$ |  |
| 7 | $N-B 4$ |  |
| 8 | $P \times P$ |  |

## N-K B 3 <br> P—K 3

B-N 5
P-G $\mathbf{N} 3$
Castles
B-K 2
P-Q 4
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
Black has been playing for a variation of the Queen's Gambit Declined similar to $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$; $4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 5 \mathrm{P}-$ K3, where the white King Knight blocks the Bishop-supposedly a disadvantage. However, the Knight on K2 goes to B4 and puts Black on the defensive.

9 B-Q 3, B—N 2; 10 Castles, Q N-Q 2

Spackman gives if $10 \ldots$ NK5; $11 \mathrm{KN} \times \mathrm{P}$, or $10 \cdots \mathrm{P}$ QB4; 11 Q-B3.

11 Q-N 3, P-B 3; 12 P-B 3, R-K 1; 13 P-K 4, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 14 \mathbf{P} \times$ Р, N-B 1; 15 B-K 3, N-N 5;

Who would not play this?

## 16 Q x B P ch !!, K-R 1;

The Queen must not be captured, e.g., $16 \ldots . . \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q}$; 17 B-B4 ch, K-B3 (17 $\ldots$ N$\mathrm{K} 3 ; 18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$, Q-N1; $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 4 ; 21$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$, and White should mate); 18 N-R5ch, with mate next move.

## 17 B-B 4, N—R 3;

$17 \ldots$ N-Q2; $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 6$ wins the Queen.

18 Q-R 5, B-N 4; 19 N—N 6 ch, $\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{N} ; 20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; 21 P-K 5, R-K B 1; 22 N-K 4, N-B 2; 23 Q-R 5, Q R-K 1; 24 $R \times N, R \times R ; 25 N-N 5, N-B 1$; $26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 27 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{Qch}$, $K-N 1 ; 28 N-Q 6$ dis ch, Resigns.

## CORNERED

A game from the German teams championship:-

## Game No. 193

## ENGLISH OPENING

Liicke Brinckmann 1 P—Q B 4, P-Q B 3; 2 N -

K B 3, P-Q 4; 3 P-K N 3, P-K 3; $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q}$ N 2, N-B 3; 6 B-N 2, Q-K 2; 7 Castles, $P$-K 4; 8 P $\times P, P_{x} \mathbf{P}$; 9 P -Q 4, P -K 5 ; 10 N -K $5, \mathrm{~N}$ B 3; 11 P-B 4, P-K R 4; 12 PK R 3, P-R 5; 13 P-K N 4, $\mathbf{B} \times$ NP; $14 \mathrm{NxB}, \mathrm{NxN}$; $15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$, P-R 6; 16 B-R 1, $P-R 7 c h ;$ 17 K-N 2, Q-R 5; 18 P-K 3, N-N 5; 19 Resigns.

## KING'S TOUR

In the following game from the Otago C.C. championship McGilvary hounds the exposed King to some purpose. The black Queen's side pieces stay at home while the King tries to bury his head in the sand. Notes by H. McNabb.

## Game No. 194

## VIENNA GAME

H. A. McGilvary
S. J. Webb

| 1 P-K 4 | P-K 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | N-K B 3 |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | NxP |

One of several good replies to White's last move and the one which caused Alekhine to abandon the Vienna. Others are $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

## 4 BxPch

At first glance this looks the refutation to Black's aggressive play. It regains the pawn, prevents the opponent from castling, and exposes his King as well. Experience, however, has proved the move deficient owing chiefly to the strong pawn centre Black is able to set up, thereby gaining control of important central squares and decreasing the manoeuvreability of White's men. The text also concedes the two Bishops to Black and is directly responsible for the loss of the fight for the centre, getting only the KBP in return for the centraily posted KP. Better is 4 $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 5 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP} \mathrm{ch}$.

## 4 <br> NxN P—KN3?

In these open games speedy development is of the utmost importance and the loss of one move will often make all the difference between a good and a bad game. With $5 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; Black would have the better game, as an immediate attack by White would be premature. Try 6 $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ ( $6 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$; 7 Q x KP loses a piece after $7 \ldots$. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ ), $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1 ; 7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ (if instead $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; and $\mathrm{N}-$

N5 is not on; played immediately, it forces Black's awkward reply) $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$, P-KR3; $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 4$; and Black's threats of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5$ and N -N5 in conjunction with his general command of the board and the two Bishops is too much with which to contend.

## 6 Q-B $3 \mathrm{ch} \quad K-N$ <br> 7 P-Q4:

McGilvary is wide awake to the importance of development in this difficult position and sacrifices a pawn just for one tempo. It gives the best chance.

| 7 . . . . | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 P-K R 4 | B-K 2 |
| 9 P-R 5 | R-B1? |

Not the best, but he can hardly be blamed for overlooking White's brilliant reply.
10 B-R 6 ch !!
$11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch

$$
\underset{\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{B}}
$$

If 11 $\mathrm{KxP} ; 12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, and mate next move, or 11
K—N2; $12 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Pch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1 ; 13$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$; and the Rook is sacrificed at N7 or R8 and mate next move.
$12 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}$
13 QxRch $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$
14 Q-N 8 ch

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Q \times R} \\
& \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P} \\
& \mathbf{K} — \mathbf{R} \mathbf{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Anything else loses the Queen. E.g., $14 \ldots$ K-R4; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, QxP (15 .... K-R3; $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ch, K-R4; $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6$ mate) ; 16 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$. Or $14 \ldots$ K-B4; 15 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5(\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 16 \mathrm{Q}-$ Q8 ch) ; $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$.

## 15 P-K N 4

A much shorter route was 15 $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, and Black is helpless. If in reply $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$, $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ wins immediately, or if Q-R4; 16 Q-B8 ch, and mate next move.

| 15 | Q-K 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-R 4 |
| 17 N-K 2 | Qx ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |
| 18 K-Q 2 | K-N 5 |
| $19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-R 6 |
| $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-R 5 |
| 21 Q-B 8 | Q-K 3 |
| $22 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | Q-K 6 ch |
| $23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathrm{R}$ |

N-K 2 ch
and wins
A very aggressive game on McGilvary's part.

[^4]
# CORRESPONDENCE CHESS 

## N.Z. CORRESPONDENCE CHESS ASSOCIATION

Address all correspondence to the Secretary, P.O. Box 287, Wanganui

Conditions concerning awards for "Brilliancy," "Best Recovery" and "Best Games":-
(a) Two trophies are awarded each year for the best game in the handicap tourney-classes $1,2,3$, and classes 4, 5, 6. Also a trophy for the best game in each class of the trophy tourneys. Each player shall be entitled to nominate two of his games on payment of an entry fee of 6 d per game.
(b) Trophies are provided for brilliancy and best recovery, both open classes. Each player may nominate two of his games for each award. Games from the trophy tourneys, handicap tourney, matches and "friendlies" are eligible.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS - Correspondence players please note that the secretary's address has been changed and now is: P.O. Box 287, Wanganui.

## INTERNATIONAL POSTAL CHESS

Extract from the International Correspondence Chess Association's bulletin of August-September, 1948:-"C. J. S. Purdy: 'Australia and New Zealand are independent nations in chess and otherwise. Moreover, New Zealand, unfortunately, is not affiliated as far as I know.' Bulletin Editor: 'New Zealand did enter the six boards tourney, but did not start, and has since been silent, to our great regret.'"

In connection with the above, R. G. Wade comments: I understand that New Zealand was originally placed (1946) in a section with South American countries under a mistaken idea that they would be the most accessible. I feel that New Zealand should re-enter, at the same time forwarding to the I.C.C.A. a schedule of approximate air mail elapses between Sweden, Argentina, Chile, U.S.A., the United Kingdom and Italy, to give officials an idea of difficulties. I feel that New Zealand cannot afford any further neglect of the standard of over-the-board or postal chess. Take no notice of the nice things previous visitors have said. We are far behind as it is. The cultural achievements of a country are as important as the social ones.

## Game Awards

Members often inquire what kind of game is suitable as an entry for "best game" and "brilliancy" awards. Messrs. A. W. Gyles, E. H. Severne and J. D. Steele here express their views. The last-named deals with the "best recovery" award.

## A BRILLIANT GAME <br> By A. W. GYLES

To my mind a brilliant game is one in which the winner by a sacrificial combination obtains winning advantage. If the sacrifice leads to a force mate in a few moves which could have been fair:easily calculated before the sacrifice was made, would not classify it as a brilliant game unless the initial and following moves were not obvious Again, the game should not be marred by obviousi: weak play by the loser prior to the sacrifice. In this case the game most probably could have been wo easily without the sacrifice.

Some authorities classify games as brilliant if the winner by a succession of very good moves gradually obtains a winning advantage although the loser has made no apparently weak moves, but for the purposes of the N.Z.C.C.A. I think these games should be entered for the best game awards To sum up, therefore, in my view a brilliant gam= is one in which from an apparently even positio: which has arisen from good play on both sides the winner makes a sacrifice of material which is no: too obvious and as a result of further good pla enables him to win the game.

## BEST GAME

By E. H. SEVERNE
If the term "best game" is used without som= definite qualification there would be no use fo other terms of praise or approbation such as "brilliant." We have seen and played variou master players' books of "best" games which are sound and brilliant games combined. But iz common parlance there does seem to be a distinctiodrawn between a brilliancy and a best game, otherwise why use both terms, sometimes in the same tournament, and invite entries for each kind? Th: present writer would like to see the element soundness stressed in a best game as revealed by the showing of both players; whereas when through superior imaginative insight one player by means of a "sacrifice" or super-excellent play gains a decisive advantage we have what is called a brilliancy. Thus a hard-fought game on both sides in which the players make no obvious mistakes ma. well be included among "best games."

## BEST RECOVERY

## By J. D. STEELE

To my mind a "recovery" means that a playehaving got into a bad position, extricates himse by good play without the assistance of his opponer: This was not the case with most of the entries th year, which really amount to "who blundered last: The merit of a "best recovery" is in the play of a player who, in a bad position, makes things as difficult as possible for his opponent and exploiz his limited counter-play to the full.
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## SECOND PROGRESS REPORT — 10/1/49 TROPHY TOURNEYS

Championship. - Cunningham drew Lungley; Whitlock beat Tibbitts, drew Grant, Taylor; Lynch beat Taylor; Taylor drew Smith, Cole, Grant, Byrne, Lungley, Cunningham, Broom, Campbell, Tibbitts, Cromarty; Broom beat Lungley; Smith drew Cole, Lungley; Cromarty beat Byrne, drew Whitlock.
T.T. Class 1B. - King beat LePetit, drew Mitchell; Moore beat Fulton, Smith; Fulton beat Allen drew Kiley; Severinsen beat Sloan, Dick; Kiley beat LePetit, Dick; Allen beat Sloan; LePetit beat Sloan; Mintoft beat Smith, Kiley; Paterson beat Mintoft, Allen, drew Dick; Mitchell beat Moore.
T.T. Class 1C. - Toye beat McKenzie, Wright, Ratliff, drew Miss Hollis; McGilvary beat Miss Hollis, drew Jones, Kurney; Hooper beat McKenzie, Jones, Watts; McKenzie beat Jones; Miss Hollis beat Jones, drew Hooper; Watts beat Jones; Donald beat Ratliff; Ratliff beat McKenzie.
T.T. Class 2. - Littlewood beat Morris; McDiarmid beat Keam, Hignett; Stack beat Keam, Adkins; Hignett beat Morris; Adkins beat Cook, Littlewood, Morris, Hignett; Pearse beat Morris; Cook beat Eades; Keam beat Guthrie; Morris beat Cook; Beamish beat Eades, Guthrie, drew Littlewood.
T.T. Class 3.-Hignett beat Cusack, Wilkins, Jessett, drew Yates, Jones; Cusack beat Jones; Collins beat Miss Wilkinson, Orbell, drew Frost Frost drew Mathieson, Jessett, Cusack, Orbell, Miss Wilkinson, Miss Collinson; Miss Collinson beat Miss Wilkinson; Frost beat Nabbs, Wilkins; Jones beat Collins; Orbell beat Mathieson, Miss Wilkinson; Yates beat Wilkins.
T.T. Class 4.-Pilkington beat McCombie, Neilson, Vincent, Dickie; McCombie drew McClellan;

Neale beat Hartnell; Dickie beat McClellan; Smith beat Dick; Neilson beat McCombie; Robinson beat Hartnell.
T.T. Class 5.-Mills beat Chrisp, Meikle, Mitchell; Mitchell beat Meehan; Taylor beat Mitchell; Hardiman beat Meehan, White, Meikle; Fenwick beat Meikle, White, Meehan, drew Mrs. Forrest; Mrs. Forrest beat Meehan, Hardiman.

## HANDICAP TOURNEY

Anderson beat A. Smith, Lee 2, Harrison-Wilkie; F. L. Collins beat P. D. Taylor; Douglas beat Hartnell, Robinson; G. O. Jones beat Young 2; Walker beat Gant, Easterbrook; Mrs. Forrest beat Chrisp 2; Thorne beat A. Smith, J. C. Taylor 2; Traves beat Cooper, G. Mitchell, Fulton 2, drew Kiley; Jeffs beat Chrisp, drew Jackson, Mrs. Sayers 2, Percival 2; S. Severinsen beat Miller; G. Mitchell drew Jeffries 2; J. A. Jackson beat P. D. Taylor, Dr. Johnston 2; McKenzie drew Kiley; Hemingway beat Graham 2; Roberts beat Meikle 2, McKay; Banks beat Honore, Johnston, G. S. Smith; McKay beat Roberts; Neale beat Burn, Walker; Byrne beat T. Mitchell, drew Dick 2; A. Smith beat T. Mitchell, P. W. R. Jackson, Graham, drew Taylor; L. A. Jones drew O'Connell 2, Easterbrook 2; McEwan beat Meikle 2, Thorne 1 $\frac{1}{2}$, Mrs. Walker; Hartnell beat Rogers $1 \frac{1}{2}$; Luck beat Jeffiries $1 \frac{1}{2}$; Gyles beat Traves 2, Fletcher 2, Park 11 2 , Kiley 2; O'Connell beat Mrs . Walker $1 \frac{1}{2}$, Hartnell; Pilkington beat Mrs. Walker; Ross beat Easterbrook 2; Chrisp beat Jeffs; Teece beat Mrs. Walker; Griffith beat Johnston; Lee beat O'Connell, Harrison-Wilkie; Wing beat Meikle 2, Harrison-Wilkie; Miss Wilkinson beat Young; Watson beat Wing 2, T. Mitchell, Meikle; Sloane beat Banks, R. Severinsen; Woodfield beat Banks; Robinson beat Mrs. Walker $1 \frac{1}{2}$; Guthrie beat J. W. Collins; Toothill beat Parsons; Faulkner beat Johnston, Paull; Mrs. Sayers beat Thorne 1 $\frac{1}{2}$, Wing.

## PAUL'S BOOK ARCADE LIMITED, HAMILTON BOOKS ON CHESS

## We can supply the following books:-

Hoffer, L., Chess

Mieses, J., Instructive Positions from Master Chess(including 140 of My Best Games)

Reinfeld, Fred, Keres' Best Games of Chess, 1931-1940
Znosko-Borovsky, E., The Middle Game in Chess

WHEN ORDERING PLEASE ADD POSTAGE

## AUCKLAND CHESS CLUB (INC.) <br> Third Floor, His Majesty's Arcade, Queen Street, Auckland

Phone 30-36!

OPEN AFTERNOONS - MONDAY TO FRIDAY
CLUB NIGHTS: MONDAY AND THURSDAY
VISITORS ALWAYS WELCOME
Further particulars from JOHN JAMES, Hon. Secretary.

## DOMINION ROAD CHESS CLUB



225 Dominion Road (Walters Road Corner), Auckland
Tuesdays and Fridays - - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.
IIon. Scometary: A, G. Rowland, 28 Dexter Avenue, Mt. Eden Phone 6? 697

CHESS SCORE SIDEETS STANDARD PATEGRN WITH DIAGRAM FOR ADMOURNED GAMES

PRINTED IN GZREN
Price $3 / 6$ per 100 Sheats

## SCORE BOOKS

12 GAMES
IN ATTRACTIVE COVER
IDEAL FOR TOURNAMENTS
Price 1/- Post Free

## N.Z. CHESSPLAYER 256 Dominion Road <br> Auckland S. 2

Don't be without a Game!

## APEX

## TRAVELLING CHESS SET

WTTS THE POCKET
Price 21/- Post Free
Procurable from New zealand Chessplayex

## REMUERA GHESS CLUB

3 Clonbern road, remuera
WEDNESDAYS, 7.30 p.m. to 10.30 mm I
Hon: Secretary: J. A. C. BARNES, 30 Korah 1
St., Remuera. Phone 42-820 (business hours).
PAPATOETOE CHESS CLUB
LANDSCAPE ROAD, PAPATOETOE
THURSDAYS, 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.
Secretary - - - R. V. CLOSEY
Telephone 246 S
ONEHUNGA CHESS CLUB
CORNER MANUKAU AND MT. ALBERT ROADS, ROYAL OAK
TUESDAYS, 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.
Hon. Secretary: W. T. PERCIVAL, 2 Forbes Street, Onehunga, Auckland S.E.5.

Printed and Published by Artcraft Press Ltd., 256 Dominion Road, Auckland - February 15, 1949.
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[^4]:    "Why don't you mate him? Taking pieces doesn't win." "That's all right. If you take all his pawns he can't make them into Queens."

